The Florida Senate

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

COMMUNITY AFFAIRS Senator Simpson, Chair Senator Brandes, Vice Chair

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, October 20, 2015

TIME: 12:30—2:30 p.m.

PLACE: 301 Senate Office Building

MEMBERS: Senator Simpson, Chair; Senator Brandes, Vice Chair; Senators Abruzzo, Bradley, Dean, Diaz de la

Portilla, Hutson, and Thompson

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
1	SB 160 Gaetz	Ad Valorem Tax Exemption for Deployed Servicemembers; Expanding the military operations that qualify a servicemember deployed in support of such an operation in the previous calendar year for an additional ad valorem tax exemption; providing an extended deadline and specifying procedures for filing an application for such tax exemption for a qualifying deployment during the 2014 calendar year, etc. CA 10/20/2015 Fav/CS FT FP	Fav/CS Yeas 7 Nays 0
2	SB 416 Flores	Location of Utilities; Revising the circumstances under which a board of county commissioners is authorized to grant to a person or private corporation a license for specified projects related to lines for the transmission of certain public utilities and communication services; authorizing the Department of Transportation and certain local governmental entities to prescribe and enforce rules or regulations regarding the placement and maintenance of specified structures and lines within the right-of-way limits of roads or publicly owned rail corridors under their respective jurisdictions, etc. CA 10/20/2015 Fav/CS TR FP	Fav/CS Yeas 7 Nays 0
3	SB 190 Hutson	Conservation Easements; Providing that it is not necessary to make annual application for exemption of a conservation easement, etc. CA 10/20/2015 Fav/CS FT AP	Fav/CS Yeas 7 Nays 0
	Other Related Meeting Documents	of a conservation easement, etc. CA 10/20/2015 Fav/CS FT	. 500 7 7 1075

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	d By: The P	rofessional Staff	of the Committee	on Community	Affairs	
BILL:	CS/SB 160						
INTRODUCER:	Community	Community Affairs Committee and Senator Gaetz					
SUBJECT:	Ad Valorer	n Tax Exe	emption for De	eployed Servicen	nembers		
DATE:	October 19	, 2015	REVISED:				
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION	
1. Present		Yeatman		CA	Fav/CS		
2				FT			
3.				FP		·	

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 160 provides 11 new designated operations for which deployed servicemembers may qualify for an ad valorem tax exemption and removes one operation for which the time to qualify for exemption has expired. A servicemember may receive the exemption on homestead property for the portion of the preceding calendar year which the servicemember was deployed on active duty outside the continental United States, Alaska, or Hawaii in support of statutorily-identified military operations.

The bill extends the normal March 1 application deadline for the exemption application for qualifying deployments during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years to June 1, 2016. Even if an application has not been timely filed by June 1, 2016, the bill allows a property appraiser to grant the exemption under certain circumstances and provides for the ability to petition for review by a value adjustment board (VAB) without paying the associated filing fee.

The bill also provides refund procedures for servicemembers who were on qualifying deployments for more than 365 days during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years.

II. Present Situation:

Property Valuation in Florida

Florida law provides a number of options to reduce property tax liability. Article VII, section 2 of the Florida Constitution provides for uniform ad valorem taxation, stating that "all ad valorem taxation shall be at a uniform rate within each taxing unit." The property tax burden for an

_

¹ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 2.

owner of any particular piece of real estate will depend on the property's just value, its assessed value, and whether the property benefits from any tax exemptions or assessment limitations.

Just Value

Article VII, section 4 of the Florida Constitution requires that all property be assessed at just value for ad valorem tax purposes. Just value has been interpreted by the courts to mean fair market value or what a willing buyer would pay a willing seller for the property in an armslength transaction.²

Assessed Value

The Florida Constitution authorizes certain alternatives to the just valuation standard for specific types of property.³ Agricultural land, land producing high water recharge to Florida's aquifers, and land used exclusively for noncommercial recreational purposes may be assessed solely on the basis of its character or use.⁴ Land used for conservation purposes must be assessed solely on the basis of character or use.⁵ Counties and municipalities may authorize historic properties to be assessed solely on the basis of character or use.⁶ Counties may also provide a reduction in the assessed value of property improvements on existing homesteads made to accommodate parents or grandparents who are 62 or older.⁷ The Legislature is authorized to prohibit the consideration of improvements to residential real property for purposes of improving the property's wind resistance or the installation of renewable energy source devices in the assessment of the property.⁸ Certain working waterfront property is assessed based upon the property's current use.⁹

Taxable Value

The taxable value of real and tangible personal property is the assessed value minus any exemptions provided by the Florida Constitution or by Florida Statutes. Such exemptions include, but are not limited to, homestead exemptions and exemptions for property used for educational, religious, or charitable purposes.¹⁰

Property Tax Exemptions for Homesteads

The Legislature may only grant property tax exemptions that are authorized in the Florida Constitution, and any modifications to existing property tax exemptions must be consistent with the constitutional provision authorizing the exemption.¹¹

² See Walter v. Shuler, 176 So. 2d 81 (Fla. 1965); Deltona Corp. v. Bailey, 336 So. 2d 1163 (Fla. 1976); Southern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co. v. Dade County, 275 So. 2d 4 (Fla. 1973).

³ The constitutional provisions in Art. VII, section 4 of the Florida Constitution are implemented in Part II of ch. 193, F.S.

⁴ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(a).

⁵ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(b).

⁶ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(e).

⁷ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(f).

⁸ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(i).

⁹ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(j).

¹⁰ FLA. CONST. art. VII, ss. 3 and 6.

¹¹Sebring Airport Auth. v. McIntyre, 783 So. 2d 238, 248 (Fla. 2001); Archer v. Marshall, 355 So. 2d 781, 784. (Fla. 1978); Am Fi Inv. Corp. v. Kinney, 360 So. 2d 415 (Fla. 1978); See also Sparkman v. State, 58 So. 2d 431, 432 (Fla. 1952).

Article VII, section 6 of the Florida Constitution provides that every person having legal and equitable title to real estate and who maintains a permanent residence on the real estate is eligible for a \$25,000 homestead tax exemption applicable to all ad valorem tax levies including levies by school districts. An additional \$25,000 homestead exemption applies to homesteads that have an assessed value greater than \$50,000 and up to \$75,000, excluding ad valorem taxes levied by school districts.

Ad Valorem Exemption for Deployed Servicemembers

Article VII, section 3(g) of the Florida Constitution extends the homestead exemption to members of the military who were deployed outside of the United States "in support of military operations designated by the legislature." Section 196.173, F.S., implements the ad valorem tax exemption for homestead property owned by a military servicemember deployed outside of the continental United States, Alaska, or Hawaii in support of military operations designated by the Legislature. The exemption is equal to the taxable value of the homestead of the servicemember on January 1 of the year in which the exemption is sought multiplied by the number of days that the servicemember was on a qualifying deployment in the preceding calendar year and divided by the number of days in that year.¹³

Eligible Military Operations

The exemption is currently available to servicemembers who had a qualifying deployment in support of:

- Operation Noble Eagle, which began on September 15, 2001;
- Operation Enduring Freedom, which began on October 7, 2001;
- Operation Iraqi Freedom, which began on March 19, 2003, and ended on August 31, 2010;
- Operation New Dawn, which began September 1, 2010, and ended on December 15, 2011; or
- Operation Odyssey Dawn, which began on March 19, 2011, and ended on October 31, 2011.¹⁴

Annual Report of All Known and Unclassified Military Operations

By January 15 of each year, the Department of Military Affairs must submit to the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the tax committees of each house of the Legislature a report of all known and unclassified military operations outside the continental United States, Alaska, or Hawaii for which servicemembers based in the continental United States have been deployed during the previous calendar year.¹⁵

To the extent possible, the report must include:

- The official and common names of the military operations;
- The general location and purpose of each military operation;
- The date each military operation commenced; and

¹² The term "servicemember" is defined as a member or former member of any branch of the United States military or military reserves, the United States Coast Guard or its reserves, or the Florida National Guard. *See* s. 196.173(7), F.S.

¹³ Section 196.173(4), F.S.

¹⁴ Section 196.173(2), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 196.173(3), F.S.

• The date each military operation terminated, unless the operation is ongoing. 16

Exemption Application

A servicemember who seeks to claim the tax exemption must file an application for exemption with the property appraiser on or before March 1 of the year following the year of the qualifying deployment.¹⁷ The application for the exemption must be made on a form prescribed by the Department of Revenue and furnished by the property appraiser. The servicemember must provide with the application:

- Proof of a qualifying deployment;
- The dates of the qualifying deployment; and
- Other information necessary to verify eligibility for and the amount of the exemption.

The property appraiser must consider a servicemember's application for the exemption within 30 days after receipt of the application or within 30 days after receiving notice of the designation of qualifying deployments by the Legislature, whichever is later. ¹⁸ If a servicemember's application is denied, the property appraiser must send a notice of disapproval no later than July 1, citing the reason for disapproval and advising the servicemember of the right to appeal the decision to the value adjustment board along with the procedures for filing such appeal. ¹⁹

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 196.173, F.S., to add 11 unclassified military operations for which deployed servicemembers may qualify for the exemption. These 11 operations are identified in the statutorily required report submitted to the Legislature by the Department of Military Affairs²⁰ and include the following operations:

- Operation Joint Guardian, which began on June 12, 1999;
- Operation Octave Shield, which began in 2000;
- Operation Trans-Sahara Counterterrorism Partnership, which began in June 2005;
- Operation Nomad Shadow, which began in 2007;
- Operation U.S. Airstrikes Al Qaeda in Somalia, which began in January 2007;
- Operation Objective Voice, which began in 2009;
- Operation Georgia Deployment Program, which began in August 2009;
- Operation Copper Dune, which began in 2010;
- Operation Observant Compass, which began in October 2011;
- Operation Juniper Shield, which began in 2013; and
- Operation Inherent Resolve, which began on August 8, 2014.

The bill removes Operation Iraqi Freedom from the list of qualifying operations because the time for claiming an exemption for the applicable tax rolls has expired.

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ Section 196.173(5)(a), F.S.

¹⁸ Section 196.173(6), F.S.

¹⁹ Sections 194.015 and 194.011, F.S.

²⁰ State of Florida Department of Military Affairs Office of the Adjutant General, *Named Operations Report* (February 17, 2015).

Section 2 provides an extension of the application deadline for qualifying deployments during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years. The bill extends the normal March 1 application deadline for the exemption application for qualifying deployments during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years to June 1, 2016.

Additionally, the bill specifies that a property appraiser may grant the exemption to an otherwise qualifying applicant who fails to meet the June 1, 2016, deadline, under the following conditions:

- The applicant files on or before the 25th day after the mailing by the property appraiser during the 2016 calendar year;
- The applicant is qualified under the exemption; and
- The applicant produces sufficient evidence to demonstrate that they were unable to apply in a timely manner.

Furthermore, the bill provides an opportunity for review by a VAB, if the applicant files a petition on or before the 25th day following the mailing by the property appraiser of the notices required under s. 194.011(1), F.S., and demonstrates extenuating circumstances that warrant granting the exemption. Payment of the filing fee is waived.

The bill also provides that if the number of days that a servicemember was on qualifying deployments in the 2014 and 2015 calendar years exceeds 365 days, the servicemember may receive a refund of taxes paid for the 2015 tax year. The amount of the 2015 tax year refund is equal to the number of days in excess of 365 that the servicemember was on qualifying deployments in the 2014 and 2015 calendar years divided by 365.

Section 3 provides that the bill is effective upon becoming law and first applies to ad valorem tax rolls for 2016.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A.	Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions
	None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

During the 2015 session, the Revenue Estimating Conference determined that a similar bill, CS/SB 7052, would have reduced local governments' revenues by \$200,000 annually.²¹

B. Private Sector Impact:

If the bill becomes law, servicemembers deployed to one of the aforementioned military operations may receive property tax relief.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill provides additional duties to county property appraisers and VABs, which must consider servicemembers' applications for exemption that would otherwise not have met the filing deadline. The bill may also require a tax collector to issue a refund to a servicemember if the servicemember was on qualifying deployments for more than 365 days during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 196.173 of the Florida Statutes.

This bill creates an undesignated section of the Florida law.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Community Affairs on October 20, 2015:

Provides an extension of the application deadline for qualifying deployments during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years, rather than the 2014 calendar year only. Similarly, the bill extends the normal March 1 application deadline for the exemption application for qualifying deployments during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years to June 1, 2016. Furthermore, the bill provides refund procedures for servicemembers who were on

²¹ Revenue Estimating Conference, *Deployed Service Members Exemptions, Proposed Language*, (March 6, 2015) *available at* http://edr.state.fl.us/Content/conferences/revenueimpact/archives/2015/_pdf/page230-232.pdf (last visited September 18, 2015).

qualifying deployments for more than 365 days during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years. The bill also provides that the bill first applies to ad valorem tax rolls for 2016.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

LEGISLATIVE ACTION Senate House Comm: RCS 10/20/2015

The Committee on Community Affairs (Brandes) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

2 3

5

6 7

8

9

10

1

Delete lines 55 - 89

4 and insert:

> Section 2. (1) Notwithstanding provisions in s. 196.173, Florida Statutes, to the contrary:

(a) The deadline for an applicant to file an application with the property appraiser for an additional ad valorem tax exemption under s. 196.173, Florida Statutes, for the 2016 year is June 1, 2016.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39



- (b) For purposes of calculating the 2016 exemption for operations added by this act, a servicemember may include the number of days he or she was on qualifying deployments during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years as days he or she was on a qualifying deployment in the preceding calendar year.
- (2) If an application is not timely filed under subsection (1), a property appraiser may grant the exemption if:
- (a) The applicant files an application for the exemption on or before the 25th day after the mailing by the property appraiser during the 2016 calendar year of the notice required under s. 194.011(1), Florida Statutes;
 - (b) The applicant is qualified for the exemption; and
- (c) The applicant produces sufficient evidence, as determined by the property appraiser, which demonstrates that the applicant was unable to apply for the exemption in a timely manner or otherwise demonstrates extenuating circumstances that warrant granting the exemption.
- (3) If the property appraiser denies an application under subsection (2), the applicant may file, pursuant to s. 194.011(3), Florida Statutes, a petition with the value adjustment board which requests that the exemption be granted. Such petition must be filed on or before the 25th day after the mailing by the property appraiser during the 2016 calendar year of the notice required under s. 194.011(1), Florida Statutes. Notwithstanding s. 194.013, Florida Statutes, the eligible servicemember is not required to pay a filing fee for such petition. Upon review of the petition, the value adjustment board may grant the exemption if the applicant is qualified for the exemption and demonstrates extenuating circumstances, as



determined by the board, which warrant granting the exemption. (4) A servicemember may receive a refund of taxes paid for the 2015 tax year if he or she was on qualifying deployments during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years for more than 365 days. The amount of the refund is equal to the taxes paid on the servicemember's homestead in 2015 multiplied by the number of days in excess of 365 that the servicemember was on qualifying deployments during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years, divided by 365.

Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law, and first applies to ad valorem tax rolls for 2016.

51 52

53

54

57

58

59

60

61

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== And the title is amended as follows:

Delete lines 10 - 12

55 and insert: 56

during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years; providing procedures to appeal a denial by a property appraiser of an application for such tax exemption; providing refund procedures for servicemembers who were on qualifying deployments for more than 365 days during the 2014 and 2015 calendar years; providing for

By Senator Gaetz

1-00242-16 2016160

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to an ad valorem tax exemption for deployed servicemembers; amending s. 196.173, F.S.; expanding the military operations that qualify a servicemember deployed in support of such an operation in the previous calendar year for an additional ad valorem tax exemption; providing an extended deadline and specifying procedures for filing an application for such tax exemption for a qualifying deployment during the 2014 calendar year; providing procedures to appeal a denial by a property appraiser of an application for such tax exemption; providing for retroactive applicability; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (2) of section 196.173, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

196.173 Exemption for deployed servicemembers.-

- (2) The exemption is available to servicemembers who were deployed during the preceding calendar year on active duty outside the continental United States, Alaska, or Hawaii in support of any of the following operations:
 - (a) Operation Joint Guardian, which began on June 12, 1999.
 - (b) Operation Octave Shield, which began in 2000.
- (c) (a) Operation Noble Eagle, which began on September 15, 2001. \div
 - (d) (b) Operation Enduring Freedom, which began on October

2016160 1-00242-16 30 7, 2001.; 31 (c) Operation Iraqi Freedom, which began on March 19, 2003, 32 and ended on August 31, 2010; 33 (e) Operation Trans-Sahara Counterterrorism Partnership, 34 which began in June 2005. 35 (f) Operation Nomad Shadow, which began in 2007. 36 (g) Operation U.S. Airstrikes Al Qaeda in Somalia, which 37 began in January 2007. 38 (h) Operation Objective Voice, which began in 2009. 39 (i) Operation Georgia Deployment Program, which began in 40 August 2009. 41 (j) Operation Copper Dune, which began in 2010. 42 (k) (d) Operation New Dawn, which began on September 1, 43 2010, and ended on December 15, 2011.; or 44 (1) (e) Operation Odyssey Dawn, which began on March 19, 2011, and ended on October 31, 2011. 45 46 (m) Operation Observant Compass, which began in October 47 2011. (n) Operation Juniper Shield, which began in 2013. 48 49 (o) Operation Inherent Resolve, which began on August 8, 50 2014. 51 52 The Department of Revenue shall notify all property appraisers 53 and tax collectors in this state of the designated military operations. 54 55 Section 2. Application deadline for additional ad valorem 56 tax exemption under s. 196.173, Florida Statutes, for 2014 57 qualifying deployments.-58 (1) Notwithstanding the application deadline in s.

1-00242-16 2016160

196.173(5), Florida Statutes, the deadline for an applicant to file an application with the property appraiser for an additional ad valorem tax exemption for a qualifying deployment during the 2014 calendar year is June 1, 2016.

- (2) If an application is not timely filed under subsection
 (1), a property appraiser may grant the exemption if:
- (a) The applicant files an application for the exemption on or before the 25th day after the mailing by the property appraiser during the 2016 calendar year of the notice required under s. 194.011(1), Florida Statutes;
 - (b) The applicant is qualified for the exemption; and
- (c) The applicant produces sufficient evidence, as determined by the property appraiser, which demonstrates that the applicant was unable to apply for the exemption in a timely manner or otherwise demonstrates extenuating circumstances that warrant granting the exemption.
- (3) If the property appraiser denies an application under subsection (2), the applicant may file, pursuant to s.

 194.011(3), Florida Statutes, a petition with the value adjustment board which requests that the exemption be granted. Such petition must be filed on or before the 25th day after the mailing by the property appraiser during the 2016 calendar year of the notice required under s. 194.011(1), Florida Statutes. Notwithstanding s. 194.013, Florida Statutes, the eligible servicemember is not required to pay a filing fee for such petition. Upon review of the petition, the value adjustment board may grant the exemption if the applicant is qualified for the exemption and demonstrates extenuating circumstances, as determined by the board, which warrant granting the exemption.

2016160___ 1-00242-16 88 Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law, and first applies to ad valorem tax rolls for 2015. 89

THE FLORIDA SENATE

SENATOR DON GAETZ 1st District

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES: Appropriations Subcommittee on Education, Chair Appropriations Education Pre-K - 12 Ethics and Elections Health Policy Higher Education Rules

Committee Request

To: Senator Wilton Simpson, Chair

Community Affairs Committee

Subject: Committee Agenda Request

Date: October 8, 2015

I respectfully request that Senate Bill 160, Ad Valorem Tax Exemption for Deployed Servicemembers, be placed on the Community Affairs Committee agenda at your convenience. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Senator Don Gaetz

- ☐ 4300 Legendary Drive, Suite 230, Destin, FL 32541 (850) 897-5747 FAX: (888) 263-2259 ☐ 420 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100 (850) 487-5001
- □ 5230 West U.S. Highway 98, Administration Building, 2nd Floor, Panama City, FL 32401 (850) 747-5856

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

The Florida Senate **COMMITTEE VOTE RECORD**

COMMITTEE: Community Affairs

SB 160 ITEM:

FINAL ACTION: Favorable with Committee Substitute

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, October 20, 2015

TIME:

12:30—2:30 p.m. 301 Senate Office Building PLACE:

FINAL VOTE			10/20/2015 Amendmer					
			Brandes					
Yea	Nay	SENATORS	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay
Х		Abruzzo						
.,		Bradley						
X		Dean						
Χ		Diaz de la Portilla						
Χ		Hutson						
Χ		Thompson						
Χ		Brandes, VICE CHAIR						
Χ		Simpson, CHAIR						
7 Yea	0 Nay	TOTALS	RCS Yea	- Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay

CODES: FAV=Favorable

UNF=Unfavorable -R=Reconsidered

RCS=Replaced by Committee Substitute RE=Replaced by Engrossed Amendment RS=Replaced by Substitute Amendment

TP=Temporarily Postponed VA=Vote After Roll Call VC=Vote Change After Roll Call WD=Withdrawn OO=Out of Order AV=Abstain from Voting

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	By: The P	rofessional Staf	f of the Committee of	on Community	Affairs
BILL:	CS/SB 416					
INTRODUCER: Community Affairs Committee and Senator Flores						
SUBJECT: Location		Utilities				
DATE:	October 14,	2015	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Present		Yeatman		CA	Fav/CS	
2.				TR		·
3				FP		

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 416 addresses the responsibility for the cost of relocating utility facilities in a public easement. Easements dedicated to the public for utilities are typically located along existing road or highway rights-of-way and are available for use by a variety of utility providers. Under the bill, the owner of a utility that requires relocation will be liable for relocation costs only if their lines and facilities are across, on or "within" the right-of-way, rather than "along" any right-of-way.

The bill also provides that a governmental authority must bear the cost of utility work required to eliminate an unreasonable interference if the utility is located within an existing and valid utility easement granted by recorded plat, regardless of whether such land was subsequently acquired by the governmental authority, by dedication, transfer of fee, or otherwise.

According to the Florida Department of Transportation (DOT), CS/CS/SB 896, a similar bill from 2015, was expected to have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact on state expenditures relating to the cost of utility relocation on state roads. To the extent funds are expended for such relocations, projects currently planned in the Work Program may need to be adjusted.

The bill, like CS/CS/SB 896 from 2015, is also expected to have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact on local governments that may now be responsible for the cost of utility relocations.

II. Present Situation:

Specific Grant of Authority to Counties to Issue Licenses to Utilities

Section 125.42, F.S., gives counties specific authority to grant a license to any person or private corporation to construct, maintain, repair, operate, and remove, within the unincorporated areas of a county, water, sewage, gas, power, telephone, other public utilities, and television transmission lines located "under, on, over, across and along" any county roads or highways. ¹ The statutory phrase "under, on, over, across and along" county roads or highways has been in the statute since 1947.²

Specific Grant of Authority to Regulate the Placement and Maintenance of Utility Lines

Chapter 337, F.S., relates to public contracts and the acquisition, disposal, and use of property. DOT and local governmental entities³ prescribe and enforce reasonable rules or regulations related to the placement and maintenance of the utility lines along, across, or on any public road or rail corridor.⁴ "Utility" in this context means any electric transmission, telephone, telegraph, or other communication services lines; pole lines; poles; railways; ditches; sewers; water, heat or gas mains; pipelines; fences; gasoline tanks and pumps; or other structures that the statute refers to as a "utility." Florida local governments have enacted ordinances regulating utilities located within city rights-of-way or easements.⁶

Payment of Moving or Removing Utilities and Exceptions

Since 1957, Florida law expressly has provided that in the event of widening, repair or reconstruction of a county's public road or highway, the licensee, i.e., the utility provider, must move or remove the lines at no cost to the county. In 2009, that requirement was made subject to a provision in s. 337.403(1), F.S., relating to agreements entered into after July 1, 2009. In 2014, it was made subject to an additional requirement that the authority find the utility is "unreasonably interfering" with the convenient, safe, or continuous use, or the maintenance, improvement, extension, or expansion, of such public road or publicly owned rail corridor. In

Additionally, beginning in 1957, Florida statutorily required utilities to bear the costs of relocating a utility placed upon, under, over, or along any public road the authority finds unreasonably interferes in any way with the convenient, safe, or continuous use, or the maintenance, improvement, extension or expansion of a road. In 1994, that law was amended

¹ Section 125.42, F.S.

² Ch. 23850, ss. 1-3, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 125.42, F.S.

³ These are referred in ss. 337.401-337.404, F.S., as an "authority." S. 337.401(1)(a), F.S.

⁴ Section 337.401, F.S.

⁵ Section 337.401(a), F.S.

⁶ See City of Cape Coral Code of Ordinances, Ch. 25; City of Jacksonville Code of Ordinances, Title XXI, Ch. 711; City of Orlando Code of Ordinances, Ch. 23.

⁷ Ch. 57-777, s. 1, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 125.42(5), F.S.

⁸ Ch. 2009-85, s. 2, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 125.42(5), F.S.

⁹ "[A]uthority" means DOT and local governmental entities. Section 337.401(1), F.S.

¹⁰ Ch. 2014-169, s. 1, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 125.42, F.S.

¹¹ Ch. 57-1978, s. 1, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403, F.S.

to include utilities placed upon, under, over, or along any publicly owned rail corridor. ¹² Utility owners, upon 30 days' notice, must eliminate the unreasonable interference within a reasonable time or an agreed time, at their own expense. ¹³ The general rule remains that utilities bear the costs of relocating a utility unless governmental participation in such costs is authorized. Since 1987, numerous exceptions to that general rule have been statutorily carved out, and can be found in s. 337.403(1), F.S., as follows:

- When the project is on the federal aid interstate system and federal funding is identified for at least 90 percent of the cost, DOT pays for the removal or relocation with federal funds. 14
- When utility work is performed as part of a transportation facility construction contract, DOT may participate in those costs in an amount limited to the difference between the official estimate of all the work in the agreement plus 10 percent of the amount awarded for the utility work in the construction contract.¹⁵
- When utility work is performed in advance of a construction contract, DOT may participate in the cost of clearing and grubbing necessary for relocation. 16
- If the utility being removed or relocated was initially installed to serve an authority or its tenants, or both, the authority bears the cost of the utility work but is not responsible for the cost of removal or relocation of any subsequent additions to the facility for the purpose of serving others.¹⁷
- If, in an agreement between the utility and an authority entered into after July 1, 2009, the utility conveys, subordinates, or relinquishes a compensable property right to the authority for the purpose of accommodating the acquisition or use of the right-of-way by the authority without the agreement expressly addressing future responsibility for cost of removal or relocation, the authority bears the cost of the utility work, but nothing impairs or restricts, or may be used to interpret, the terms of any agreement entered into prior to July 1, 2009. ¹⁸
- If the utility is an electric facility being relocated underground to enhance vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian safety, and if ownership of the electric facility to be placed underground has been transferred from a private to a public utility within the past five years, DOT bears the cost of the necessary utility work.¹⁹
- An authority may bear the cost of utility work when the utility is not able to establish a compensable property right in the property where the utility is located:
 - o If the utility was physically located on the particular property before the authority acquired rights in the property,
 - The information available to the authority does not establish the relative priorities of the authority's and the utility's interest in the property, and
 - The utility demonstrates that it has a compensable property right in all adjacent properties along the alignment of the utility²⁰ or, pursuant to a 2014 amendment, after due diligence,

¹² Ch. 1994-247, s. 28, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403, F.S.]

¹³ Section 337.403, F.S.

¹⁴ Ch. 1987-100, s. 12, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403(1)(a), F.S.

¹⁵ Ch. 1987-100, s. 12, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403(1)(b), F.S.

¹⁶ Ch. 1999-385, s. 25, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403(1)(c), F.S.

¹⁷ Ch. 2009-85, s. 10, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403(1)(d), F.S.

¹⁸ Ch. 2009-85, s. 10, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403(1)(e), F.S.

¹⁹ Ch. 2009-85, s.10, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403(1)(f), F.S.

²⁰ Ch. 2012-174, s. 35, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403(1)(g), F.S.

the utility certifies that it does not have evidence to prove or disprove it has a compensable property right in the particular property where the utility is located.²¹

- If a municipally-owned or county-owned utility is located in a rural area of critical economic concern²² and DOT determines that the utility is unable, and will not be able within the next ten years to pay for the cost of utility work necessitated by a DOT project on the State Highway System, DOT may pay, in whole or in part, the cost of such utility work performed by DOT or its contractor.
- If the relocation of utility facilities is needed for the construction of a commuter rail service project or an intercity passenger rail service project, and the cost of the project is reimbursable by the federal government, then the utility that owns or operates the facilities located by permit on a DOT owned rail corridor shall perform all necessary utility relocation work after notice from DOT, and DOT must pay the expense for the utility relocation work in the same proportion as federal funds are expended on the rail project after deducting any increase in the value of a new facility and any salvage value derived from an old facility.²³

Utility Relocation under Common Law and the Cape Coral Decision

Legal scholarship has addressed the common law implications of utility relocation.²⁴ Generally, under common law, a utility will bear the costs of moving or relocating its utility lines or facilities if they are within the right-of-way or a public utility easement, unless there exists an agreement providing otherwise or a private easement pursuant to which the utility locates and runs its lines or facilities. A right-of-way differs from an easement. The term right-of-way "has been construed to mean ... a right of passage over the land of another It does not necessarily mean a legal and enforceable incorporeal [or intangible] right such as an easement."²⁵ An easement gives someone else a reserved right to use property in a specified manner,²⁶ but "does not involve title to or an estate in the land itself."²⁷

In 2014, the Florida Second District Court of Appeal (DCA) ruled in *Lee County Electric Coop.*, *Inc. v. City of Cape Coral* that the requirement for utilities to pay for relocation within a right-of-way is well established in the common law.²⁸ That court found that, absent another arrangement by agreement between a governmental entity and the utility, or a statute dictating otherwise, the common law principle governs.²⁹ This case involved a platted public utility easement on each

²¹ Ch. 2014-169, s. 5, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403(1)(g)2., F.S.

²² Section 288.0656(2)(d) defines "rural area of critical economic concern" as "a rural community, or a region composed of rural communities, designated by the Governor, that has been adversely affected by an extraordinary economic event, severe or chronic distress, or a natural disaster or that presents a unique economic development opportunity of regional impact."

²³ Ch. 2014-169, s. 5, Laws of Fla., now codified at s. 337.403(1)(i), F.S. The exception expressly provides that in no event is the state required to use state dollars for such utility relocation work and that it does not apply to any phase of the Central Florida Rail Corridor project known as SunRail. Section 337.403(1)(i), F.S.

²⁴ Michael L. Stokes, Moving the Lines: The Common Law of Utility Relocation, 45 Val. U.L. Rev. 457 (Winter, 2011).

²⁵ City of Miami Beach v. Carner, 579 So. 2d 248, 253 (Fla. 3d DCA 1991).

²⁶ Southeast Seminole Civic Ass'n v. Adkins, 604 So. 2d 523, 527 (Fla. 5th DCA 1992) ("[E]asements are mere rights to make certain limited use of lands and at common law, they did not have, and in the absence of contractual provisions, do not have, obligations corollary to the easement rights.").

²⁷ Estate of Johnston v. TPE Hotels, Inc., 719 So. 2d 22, 26 (Fla. 5th DCA 1998) (citations omitted).

²⁸ Lee County Electric Coop., Inc. v. City of Cape Coral, 159 So. 3d 126, 130 (Fla. 2d DCA 2014), review denied, 151 So. 3d 1226 (Fla. 2014), quoting Norfolk Redevelopment & Hous. Auth. v. Chesapeake & Potomac Tel. Co. of Va., 464 U.S. 30, 35 (1983).

²⁹ *Id*.

side of the boundary for each home site in the subdivision, in which the electric utility had installed lines and other equipment. The easement was "along" the public right-of-way and was dedicated *to the public*, not to any utility owner, for the purpose of furnishing utilities. No reserved right to use the property was granted to the Lee County Electric Coop by virtue of the platted public easement. The municipality and the utility had a franchise agreement granting the utility the right to operate its electric utility in the public easement, but the agreement did not address who would be responsible for the cost of moving the utility's equipment if the municipality required the utility to do so. The Second DCA held that the utility would bear the burden of the cost of moving a utility line located within a public utility easement to another public utility easement as part of the municipality's expansion of an existing road.³⁰

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 125.42, F.S., relating to licenses for water, sewage, gas, power, telephone, other public utilities, and television lines. The bill reduces a county's authority to grant licenses for lines to only locations under, on, over, across, or within the right-of-way limits of a county highway or public road, as opposed to "under, on, over, across and along" such highways or roads. Specifically, the bill provides that the authority of a county to grant a license to construct, maintain, repair, operate, or remove, within the unincorporated areas of the county, lines for the transmission of water, sewage, gas, power, telephone, other public utilities, television lines, and other communications services³¹ is limited to those lines located within the right-of-way limits of any county roads or highways. Accordingly, this change narrows a county's ability to grant licenses to construct such lines within a public easement, running along a road or highway but not within the actual right-of-way.

The bill also makes a conforming change, substituting a reference to ss. 337.403(1)(d) through (i), F.S., with ss. 337.403(1)(d) through (j), F.S., to correspond with the new exception set forth in Section 3 of the bill.

Section 2 amends s. 337.401, F.S., relating to rules or regulations concerning specified structures within public roads or rail corridors. The bill reduces the ability of defined government authorities to grant licenses to only locations "across, on, or within" the right-of-way limits of a county highway or public road, as opposed to "along, across, or on" such highways or roads. Specifically, the bill narrows the authority of DOT and local governmental entities to prescribe and enforce rules or regulations related to the placing and maintaining of a utility³² to only across, on, or within the right-of-way limits of any public road or publicly owned rail corridors.

³⁰ *Id.*at 133. In reaching this conclusion, the Second District distinguished *Panhandle E. Pipe Line Co.*, noting that case concerned "a private easement the utility purchased from a property owner, rather than pursuant to a franchise agreement that allows the utility to use public property." *Id.* at 129. The Second District in its opinion also distinguished an earlier Second District case, *Pinellas County v. General Tel. Co. of Fla.*, 229 So. 2d 9 (Fla. 2d DCA 1969). In *Pinellas County*, without citing or discussing relevant cases or statutes, the court determined that the utility, which had a franchise agreement with the City, had a property right in the agreement, and held that the County had to pay the utility's costs in moving its telephone lines located within a right-of-way of an alley dedicated to the City and which was within property the County was purchasing as part of a County building construction.

³¹ The bill adds "other communications services" to the list of utilities in current law.

³² Section 337.401(1)(a), F.S., provides that utilities include "electric transmission, telephone, telegraph, or other communication services lines; pole lines; poles; railways; ditches; sewers; water, heat or gas mains; pipelines; fences; gasoline tanks and pumps; or other structures referred to in this section as the "utility"."

By changing the language to "right-of-way," the bill reduces the authority of DOT and local governments to prescribe and enforce rules and regulations regarding the placement and maintenance of utilities within a public easement. The bill also changes the expression "other structures referred to as a utility" to mean those structures referred to in ss. 337.401-337.404, F.S., instead of just those found in s. 337.401, F.S.

Section 3 amends s. 337.403, F.S., relating to alleviating an interference that a utility causes to a public road or publicly owned rail corridor. The bill limits the responsibility of utility providers to pay for relocating their lines and facilities under certain circumstances. Specifically, the bill limits the responsibility of a utility provider to pay for relocating a utility that is located upon, under, over or *within the right-of-way limits* of the road or rail corridor, rather than upon, under, over, *or along* the road or rail corridor.

Furthermore, if a utility is located within an existing and valid utility easement granted by recorded plat, regardless of whether such land was subsequently acquired by the governmental authority, by dedication, transfer of fee, or otherwise, the authority must bear the cost of the utility work required to eliminate an unreasonable interference. The bill also provides that if an authority is required to bear such a cost, the authority is required to pay the entire expense properly attributable to such work after deducting any increase in the value of a new facility and any salvage value derived from an old facility.

These changes overturn the results reached by the Second DCA in *Lee County Electric Cooperative, Inc. v. City of Cape Coral*, which held that the cost of relocating utilities from a public easement in the absence of a permit or other agreement is the responsibility of the utility owner.³³ Under the bill, if a utility is located in a public easement and no permit or agreement is in place to address relocation, the state or local government will be required to pay relocation costs because the utility is located *along* a public right-of-way.

The provisions extend beyond the issue before the court in the Lee County case. For example, current law defers to private property rights by requiring the state or local government to pay for relocation when a utility is located on a *private* easement, i.e., on property for which the utility has paid for the right to use or occupy. The bill's provisions seemingly extend private property rights to public property by requiring the governmental entity to pay for utility relocation even when the governmental entity has purchased a *public* easement, i.e., property dedicated *to the public* in general, not to any specific utility owner, effectively bestowing a compensable property right to private users of a public easement, even when such users were granted the right to use the public property without compensation.

Section 4 provides that the Legislature finds that the bill fulfills an important state interest by clarifying a utility's responsibility for relocation of its facilities.

Section 5 provides that the act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

³³ Lee County Electric Coop., Inc., 159 So. 3d at 133.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Subsection (a) of s. 18, Art. VII of the Florida Constitution provides in pertinent part that "no county or municipality shall be bound by any general law requiring such county or municipality to spend funds ... unless the legislature has determined that such law fulfills an important state interest and unless: ... the expenditure is required to comply with a law that applies to all persons similarly situated."

The bill applies to all persons similarly situated, including the state and local governments. The bill includes a legislative finding that the bill fulfills an important state interest.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill would have an indeterminate positive impact on the private sector, depending upon the number of eligible reimbursements for relocation made to utilities by DOT, local governments, or other entities.

C. Government Sector Impact:

State and local governments would bear the cost of relocation if they require the relocation of a utility, with certain exceptions. State and local governments would be required to bear the cost of utility work when a utility is located within an existing and valid utility easement granted by recorded plat, regardless of how such land was subsequently acquired by the local government, even where the state or local government subsequently acquired the property by outright purchase.

While the extent is unknown, potential negative fiscal impacts appear to exist, given that utility facilities are located along the public right-of-way all over the state. The increased responsibility of state and local governments, and nonusers of utilities, to bear the cost of utility relocation previously borne by the utility owner and its users may delay or even prevent needed transportation improvements, particularly for local governments.

According to DOT, a similar bill from 2015 was expected to have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact on state expenditures relating to the cost of utility relocation on state roads.³⁴ To the extent funds are expended for such relocations, projects currently planned in the Work Program may need to be adjusted.

The similar bill from 2015 was also expected to have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact on local governments, based on the number of situations in which local governments will be responsible for the cost of certain utility relocations.³⁵

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 125.42, 337.401, and 337.403.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Community Affairs on October 20, 2015:

Removes two provisions that prohibited a municipality or county from requiring a utility or a provider of communication services to provide proprietary maps of facilities that were previously subject to a permit from the authority. The bill also removes several provisions regarding the allocations of costs when relocation of a utility is required. Specifically, the bill removes a provision that required an authority to bear the cost of relocating a utility if the authority required the relocation of the utility for purposes other than an unreasonable interference with the use, maintenance, improvement, extension, or expansion of a publicly owned road or publicly owned rail corridor. The bill also removes a provision that required an entity other than the authority to bear the cost of relocating a utility if the relocation was required as a condition or result of a project by that entity. Furthermore, the bill removes several corresponding provisions relating to the impairment of the rights of a holder of a private railroad right-of-way; the obligations of a holder of a private railroad right-of-way; and contracts between an authority and a utility before October 1, 2015.

B. Amendments:

None.

³⁴ Florida Dep't of Transportation, Legislative Bill Analysis of 2015 SB 896, at 3 (Feb. 13, 2015).

³⁵ *Id*.

_

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: RCS	-	
10/20/2015	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Community Affairs (Brandes) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

3

1 2

4

5

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

6

Section 1. Section 125.42, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

7 8 9

10

125.42 Water, sewage, gas, power, telephone, other utility, and television lines within the right-of-way limits of along county roads and highways.-

(1) The board of county commissioners, with respect to

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39



property located without the corporate limits of any municipality, is authorized to grant a license to any person or private corporation to construct, maintain, repair, operate, and remove lines for the transmission of water, sewage, gas, power, telephone, other public utilities, and television, or other communications services as defined in s. 202.11(1) under, on, over, across, or within the right-of-way limits of and along any county highway or any public road or highway acquired by the county or public by purchase, gift, devise, dedication, or prescription. However, the board of county commissioners shall include in any instrument granting such license adequate provisions:

- (a) To prevent the creation of any obstructions or conditions which are or may become dangerous to the traveling public;
- (b) To require the licensee to repair any damage or injury to the road or highway by reason of the exercise of the privileges granted in any instrument creating such license and to repair the road or highway promptly, restoring it to a condition at least equal to that which existed immediately prior to the infliction of such damage or injury;
- (c) Whereby the licensee shall hold the board of county commissioners and members thereof harmless from the payment of any compensation or damages resulting from the exercise of the privileges granted in any instrument creating the license; and
- (d) As may be reasonably necessary, for the protection of the county and the public.
- (2) A license may be granted in perpetuity or for a term of years, subject, however, to termination by the licensor, in the

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

6.3

64

65

66

67

68



event the road or highway is closed, abandoned, vacated, discontinued, or reconstructed.

- (3) The board of county commissioners is authorized to grant exclusive or nonexclusive licenses for the purposes stated herein for television.
- (4) This law is intended to provide an additional method for the granting of licenses and shall not be construed to repeal any law now in effect relating to the same subject.
- (5) In the event of widening, repair, or reconstruction of any such road, the licensee shall move or remove such water, sewage, gas, power, telephone, and other utility lines and television lines at no cost to the county should they be found by the county to be unreasonably interfering, except as provided in s. 337.403(1)(d)-(j) s. 337.403(1)(d)-(i).

Section 2. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 337.401, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

337.401 Use of right-of-way for utilities subject to regulation; permit; fees.-

(1)(a) The department and local governmental entities, referred to in this section and in ss. 337.402, 337.403, and 337.404 ss. 337.401-337.404 as the "authority," that have jurisdiction and control of public roads or publicly owned rail corridors are authorized to prescribe and enforce reasonable rules or regulations with reference to the placing and maintaining along, across, or on, or within the right-of-way limits of any road or publicly owned rail corridors under their respective jurisdictions any electric transmission, telephone, telegraph, or other communications services lines; pole lines; poles; railways; ditches; sewers; water, heat, or gas mains;

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77 78

79

80

81 82

83 84

85

86 87

88 89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97



pipelines; fences; gasoline tanks and pumps; or other structures referred to in this section and in ss. 337.402, 337.403, and 337.404 as the "utility." The department may enter into a permit-delegation agreement with a governmental entity if issuance of a permit is based on requirements that the department finds will ensure the safety and integrity of facilities of the Department of Transportation; however, the permit-delegation agreement does not apply to facilities of electric utilities as defined in s. 366.02(2).

Section 3. Subsection (1) of section 337.403, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

337.403 Interference caused by utility; expenses.-

- (1) If a utility that is placed upon, under, over, or within the right-of-way limits of along any public road or publicly owned rail corridor is found by the authority to be unreasonably interfering in any way with the convenient, safe, or continuous use, or the maintenance, improvement, extension, or expansion, of such public road or publicly owned rail corridor, the utility owner shall, upon 30 days' written notice to the utility or its agent by the authority, initiate the work necessary to alleviate the interference at its own expense except as provided in paragraphs (a)-(j) $\frac{(a)-(i)}{(a)}$. The work must be completed within such reasonable time as stated in the notice or such time as agreed to by the authority and the utility owner.
- (a) If the relocation of utility facilities, as referred to in s. 111 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956, Pub. L. No. 84-627, is necessitated by the construction of a project on the federal-aid interstate system, including extensions thereof

99

100 101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108 109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126



within urban areas, and the cost of the project is eligible and approved for reimbursement by the Federal Government to the extent of 90 percent or more under the Federal Aid Highway Act, or any amendment thereof, then in that event the utility owning or operating such facilities shall perform any necessary work upon notice from the department, and the state shall pay the entire expense properly attributable to such work after deducting therefrom any increase in the value of a new facility and any salvage value derived from an old facility.

- (b) When a joint agreement between the department and the utility is executed for utility work to be accomplished as part of a contract for construction of a transportation facility, the department may participate in those utility work costs that exceed the department's official estimate of the cost of the work by more than 10 percent. The amount of such participation is limited to the difference between the official estimate of all the work in the joint agreement plus 10 percent and the amount awarded for this work in the construction contract for such work. The department may not participate in any utility work costs that occur as a result of changes or additions during the course of the contract.
- (c) When an agreement between the department and utility is executed for utility work to be accomplished in advance of a contract for construction of a transportation facility, the department may participate in the cost of clearing and grubbing necessary to perform such work.
- (d) If the utility facility was initially installed to exclusively serve the authority or its tenants, or both, the authority shall bear the costs of the utility work. However, the

128

129 130

131 132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148 149

150

151

152

153

154

155



authority is not responsible for the cost of utility work related to any subsequent additions to that facility for the purpose of serving others. For a county or municipality, if such utility facility was installed in the right-of-way as a means to serve a county or municipal facility on a parcel of property adjacent to the right-of-way and if the intended use of the county or municipal facility is for a use other than transportation purposes, the obligation of the county or municipality to bear the costs of the utility work shall extend only to utility work on the parcel of property on which the facility of the county or municipality originally served by the utility facility is located.

- (e) If, under an agreement between a utility and the authority entered into after July 1, 2009, the utility conveys, subordinates, or relinquishes a compensable property right to the authority for the purpose of accommodating the acquisition or use of the right-of-way by the authority, without the agreement expressly addressing future responsibility for the cost of necessary utility work, the authority shall bear the cost of removal or relocation. This paragraph does not impair or restrict, and may not be used to interpret, the terms of any such agreement entered into before July 1, 2009.
- (f) If the utility is an electric facility being relocated underground in order to enhance vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian safety and in which ownership of the electric facility to be placed underground has been transferred from a private to a public utility within the past 5 years, the department shall incur all costs of the necessary utility work.
 - (g) An authority may bear the costs of utility work

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164 165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179 180

181

182

183

184



required to eliminate an unreasonable interference when the utility is not able to establish that it has a compensable property right in the particular property where the utility is located if:

- 1. The utility was physically located on the particular property before the authority acquired rights in the property;
- 2. The utility demonstrates that it has a compensable property right in adjacent properties along the alignment of the utility or, after due diligence, certifies that the utility does not have evidence to prove or disprove that it has a compensable property right in the particular property where the utility is located; and
- 3. The information available to the authority does not establish the relative priorities of the authority's and the utility's interests in the particular property.
- (h) If a municipally owned utility or county-owned utility is located in a rural area of opportunity, as defined in s. 288.0656(2), and the department determines that the utility is unable, and will not be able within the next 10 years, to pay for the cost of utility work necessitated by a department project on the State Highway System, the department may pay, in whole or in part, the cost of such utility work performed by the department or its contractor.
- (i) If the relocation of utility facilities is necessitated by the construction of a commuter rail service project or an intercity passenger rail service project and the cost of the project is eligible and approved for reimbursement by the Federal Government, then in that event the utility owning or operating such facilities located by permit on a department-



owned rail corridor shall perform any necessary utility relocation work upon notice from the department, and the department shall pay the expense properly attributable to such utility relocation work in the same proportion as federal funds are expended on the commuter rail service project or an intercity passenger rail service project after deducting therefrom any increase in the value of a new facility and any salvage value derived from an old facility. In no event shall the state be required to use state dollars for such utility relocation work. This paragraph does not apply to any phase of the Central Florida Commuter Rail project, known as SunRail.

(j) If a utility is lawfully located within an existing and valid utility easement granted by recorded plat, regardless of whether such land was subsequently acquired by the authority by dedication, transfer of fee, or otherwise, the authority must bear the cost of the utility work required to eliminate an unreasonable interference. The authority shall pay the entire expense properly attributable to such work after deducting any increase in the value of a new facility and any salvage value derived from an old facility.

Section 4. The Legislature finds that a proper and legitimate state purpose is served by clarifying a utility's responsibility for relocating its facilities within a utility easement granted by recorded plat. Therefore, the Legislature determines and declares that this act fulfills an important state interest.

Section 5. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

212

185

186

187 188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

213 ========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =============

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242



And the title is amended as follows:

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to the location of utilities; amending s. 125.42, F.S.; revising the circumstances under which a board of county commissioners is authorized to grant to a person or private corporation a license for specified projects related to lines for the transmission of certain public utilities and communication services; conforming a cross-reference; amending s. 337.401, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Transportation and certain local governmental entities to prescribe and enforce rules or regulations regarding the placement and maintenance of specified structures and lines within the right-of-way limits of roads or publicly owned rail corridors under their respective jurisdictions; conforming cross-references; amending s. 337.403, F.S.; specifying that the owner of a utility located within certain right-of-way limits must initiate and bear the cost necessary to alleviate any interference to the use of certain public roads or rail corridors under certain circumstances; conforming a cross-reference; requiring the authority to bear the cost of the utility work necessary to eliminate an unreasonable interference if the utility is lawfully located within a certain utility easement, subject to certain deductions; providing findings of an important state interest;



providing an effective date. 243

By Senator Flores

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

37-00427A-16 2016416

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to the location of utilities; amending s. 125.42, F.S.; revising the circumstances under which a board of county commissioners is authorized to grant to a person or private corporation a license for specified projects related to lines for the transmission of certain public utilities and communication services; conforming a cross-reference; amending s. 337.401, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Transportation and certain local governmental entities to prescribe and enforce rules or regulations regarding the placement and maintenance of specified structures and lines within the right-of-way limits of roads or publicly owned rail corridors under their respective jurisdictions; prohibiting a municipality or county from requiring a utility or a provider of communications services to provide proprietary maps of previously permitted facilities; amending s. 337.403, F.S.; specifying that a utility located within certain right-of-way limits must initiate and bear the cost necessary to alleviate any interference to the use of certain public roads or rail corridors under certain circumstances; conforming a cross-reference; requiring an authority or an entity other than the authority to bear the cost of relocating a utility under certain circumstances; providing applicability; requiring the authority under certain circumstances to pay the entire expense attributable to relocating a utility after certain deductions; requiring the authority to

37-00427A-16 2016416

bear the cost of the utility work necessary to eliminate an unreasonable interference if the utility is lawfully located within a certain utility easement; providing findings of an important state interest; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 125.42, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

125.42 Water, sewage, gas, power, telephone, other utility, and television lines within the right-of-way limits of along county roads and highways.—

- (1) The board of county commissioners, with respect to property located without the corporate limits of any municipality, is authorized to grant a license to any person or private corporation to construct, maintain, repair, operate, and remove lines for the transmission of water, sewage, gas, power, telephone, other public utilities, and television, or other communications services as defined in s. 202.11(1) under, on, over, across, or within the right-of-way limits of and along any county highway or any public road or highway acquired by the county or public by purchase, gift, devise, dedication, or prescription. However, the board of county commissioners shall include in any instrument granting such license adequate provisions:
- (a) To prevent the creation of any obstructions or conditions which are or may become dangerous to the traveling public;

37-00427A-16 2016416

(b) To require the licensee to repair any damage or injury to the road or highway by reason of the exercise of the privileges granted in any instrument creating such license and to repair the road or highway promptly, restoring it to a condition at least equal to that which existed immediately prior to the infliction of such damage or injury;

- (c) Whereby the licensee shall hold the board of county commissioners and members thereof harmless from the payment of any compensation or damages resulting from the exercise of the privileges granted in any instrument creating the license; and
- (d) As may be reasonably necessary, for the protection of the county and the public.
- (2) A license may be granted in perpetuity or for a term of years, subject, however, to termination by the licensor, in the event the road or highway is closed, abandoned, vacated, discontinued, or reconstructed.
- (3) The board of county commissioners is authorized to grant exclusive or nonexclusive licenses for the purposes stated herein for television.
- (4) This law is intended to provide an additional method for the granting of licenses and shall not be construed to repeal any law now in effect relating to the same subject.
- (5) In the event of widening, repair, or reconstruction of any such road, the licensee shall move or remove such water, sewage, gas, power, telephone, and other utility lines and television lines at no cost to the county should they be found by the county to be unreasonably interfering, except as provided in \underline{s} . $\underline{337.403(1)(d)-(\underline{j})}$ \underline{s} . $\underline{337.403(1)(d)-(\underline{j})}$.
 - Section 2. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1), subsection (2),

89

90 91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105106

107

108

109

110

111

112113

114

115

116

37-00427A-16 2016416

and paragraph (b) of subsection (3) of section 337.401, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

337.401 Use of right-of-way for utilities subject to regulation; permit; fees.—

- (1) (a) The department and local governmental entities, referred to in this section and in ss. 337.402, 337.403, and 337.404 ss. 337.401-337.404 as the "authority," which that have jurisdiction and control of public roads or publicly owned rail corridors are authorized to prescribe and enforce reasonable rules or regulations with reference to the placing and maintaining along, across, or on, or within the right-of-way limits of any road or publicly owned rail corridors under their respective jurisdictions any electric transmission, telephone, telegraph, or other communications services lines; pole lines; poles; railways; ditches; sewers; water, heat, or gas mains; pipelines; fences; gasoline tanks and pumps; or other structures referred to in this section and in ss. 337.402, 337.403, and 337.404 this section as the "utility." The department may enter into a permit-delegation agreement with a governmental entity if issuance of a permit is based on requirements that the department finds will ensure the safety and integrity of facilities of the Department of Transportation; however, the permit-delegation agreement does not apply to facilities of electric utilities as defined in s. 366.02(2).
- (2) The authority may grant to any person who is a resident of this state, or to any corporation which is organized under the laws of this state or licensed to do business within this state, the use of a right-of-way for the utility in accordance with such rules or regulations as the authority may adopt. No

37-00427A-16 2016416

utility shall be installed, located, or relocated unless authorized by a written permit issued by the authority. However, for public roads or publicly owned rail corridors under the jurisdiction of the department, a utility relocation schedule and relocation agreement may be executed in lieu of a written permit. The permit shall require the permitholder to be responsible for any damage resulting from the issuance of such permit. In exercising its authority over a utility under this section, a municipality or county may not require a utility to provide proprietary maps of facilities that were previously subject to a permit from the authority. The authority may initiate injunctive proceedings as provided in s. 120.69 to enforce provisions of this subsection or any rule or order issued or entered into pursuant thereto.

(3)

(b) Registration described in paragraph (a) does not establish a right to place or maintain, or priority for the placement or maintenance of, a communications facility in roads or rights-of-way of a municipality or county. Each municipality and county retains the authority to regulate and manage municipal and county roads or rights-of-way in exercising its police power. Any rules or regulations adopted by a municipality or county which govern the occupation of its roads or rights-of-way by providers of communications services must be related to the placement or maintenance of facilities in such roads or rights-of-way, must be reasonable and nondiscriminatory, and may include only those matters necessary to manage the roads or rights-of-way of the municipality or county. In exercising its authority over providers of communications services under this

147

148149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156157

158

159

160

161

162163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170171

172

173

174

37-00427A-16 2016416

section, a municipality or county may not require a provider of communications services to provide proprietary maps of facilities that were previously subject to a permit from the authority.

Section 3. Subsection (1) of section 337.403, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

337.403 Interference caused by utility; expenses.-

(1) If a utility that is placed upon, under, over, or within the right-of-way limits of along any public road or publicly owned rail corridor is found by the authority to be unreasonably interfering in any way with the convenient, safe, or continuous use, or the maintenance, improvement, extension, or expansion, of such public road or publicly owned rail corridor, the utility owner shall, upon 30 days' written notice to the utility or its agent by the authority, initiate the work necessary to alleviate the interference at its own expense except as provided in paragraphs (a)-(j) $\frac{(a)-(i)}{(a)}$. The work must be completed within such reasonable time as stated in the notice or such time as agreed to by the authority and the utility owner. If the authority requires the relocation of a utility for purposes not described in this subsection and the utility owner is authorized by state or common law or state or local agreement to place facilities in the public rights-of-way, the authority must bear the cost of relocating the utility. If relocation is required as a condition or result of a project by an entity other than an authority, the entity other than the authority must bear the cost of relocating the utility except to the extent that the relocation would otherwise be required in connection with a transportation improvement identified in the

37-00427A-16 2016416

authority's capital improvement schedule and scheduled for construction within 5 years. This subsection does not impair any right of the holder of a private railroad right-of-way or obligate the holder of such private railroad right-of-way to bear the relocation cost in such railroad right-of-way, subject to any agreement between the holder of the private railroad right-of-way and a utility that otherwise allocates such relocation cost. This subsection also does not affect a lawful permit or contract entered into between an authority and a utility before October 1, 2015. To the extent that an authority is required by this subsection to bear the cost of relocating a utility, the authority shall pay the entire expense properly attributable to such work after deducting any increase in the value of a new facility and any salvage value derived from an old facility.

- (a) If the relocation of utility facilities, as referred to in s. 111 of the Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1956, Pub. L. No. 84-627, is necessitated by the construction of a project on the federal-aid interstate system, including extensions thereof within urban areas, and the cost of the project is eligible and approved for reimbursement by the Federal Government to the extent of 90 percent or more under the Federal Aid Highway Act, or any amendment thereof, then in that event the utility owning or operating such facilities shall perform any necessary work upon notice from the department, and the state shall pay the entire expense properly attributable to such work after deducting therefrom any increase in the value of a new facility and any salvage value derived from an old facility.
 - (b) When a joint agreement between the department and the

37-00427A-16 2016416

utility is executed for utility work to be accomplished as part of a contract for construction of a transportation facility, the department may participate in those utility work costs that exceed the department's official estimate of the cost of the work by more than 10 percent. The amount of such participation is limited to the difference between the official estimate of all the work in the joint agreement plus 10 percent and the amount awarded for this work in the construction contract for such work. The department may not participate in any utility work costs that occur as a result of changes or additions during the course of the contract.

- (c) When an agreement between the department and utility is executed for utility work to be accomplished in advance of a contract for construction of a transportation facility, the department may participate in the cost of clearing and grubbing necessary to perform such work.
- (d) If the utility facility was initially installed to exclusively serve the authority or its tenants, or both, the authority shall bear the costs of the utility work. However, the authority is not responsible for the cost of utility work related to any subsequent additions to that facility for the purpose of serving others. For a county or municipality, if such utility facility was installed in the right-of-way as a means to serve a county or municipal facility on a parcel of property adjacent to the right-of-way and if the intended use of the county or municipal facility is for a use other than transportation purposes, the obligation of the county or municipality to bear the costs of the utility work shall extend only to utility work on the parcel of property on which the

37-00427A-16 2016416

facility of the county or municipality originally served by the utility facility is located.

- (e) If, under an agreement between a utility and the authority entered into after July 1, 2009, the utility conveys, subordinates, or relinquishes a compensable property right to the authority for the purpose of accommodating the acquisition or use of the right-of-way by the authority, without the agreement expressly addressing future responsibility for the cost of necessary utility work, the authority shall bear the cost of removal or relocation. This paragraph does not impair or restrict, and may not be used to interpret, the terms of any such agreement entered into before July 1, 2009.
- (f) If the utility is an electric facility being relocated underground in order to enhance vehicular, bicycle, and pedestrian safety and in which ownership of the electric facility to be placed underground has been transferred from a private to a public utility within the past 5 years, the department shall incur all costs of the necessary utility work.
- (g) An authority may bear the costs of utility work required to eliminate an unreasonable interference when the utility is not able to establish that it has a compensable property right in the particular property where the utility is located if:
- 1. The utility was physically located on the particular property before the authority acquired rights in the property;
- 2. The utility demonstrates that it has a compensable property right in adjacent properties along the alignment of the utility or, after due diligence, certifies that the utility does not have evidence to prove or disprove that it has a compensable

263

264265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

37-00427A-16 2016416

property right in the particular property where the utility is located; and

- 3. The information available to the authority does not establish the relative priorities of the authority's and the utility's interests in the particular property.
- (h) If a municipally owned utility or county-owned utility is located in a rural area of opportunity, as defined in s. 288.0656(2), and the department determines that the utility is unable, and will not be able within the next 10 years, to pay for the cost of utility work necessitated by a department project on the State Highway System, the department may pay, in whole or in part, the cost of such utility work performed by the department or its contractor.
- (i) If the relocation of utility facilities is necessitated by the construction of a commuter rail service project or an intercity passenger rail service project and the cost of the project is eligible and approved for reimbursement by the Federal Government, then in that event the utility owning or operating such facilities located by permit on a departmentowned rail corridor shall perform any necessary utility relocation work upon notice from the department, and the department shall pay the expense properly attributable to such utility relocation work in the same proportion as federal funds are expended on the commuter rail service project or an intercity passenger rail service project after deducting therefrom any increase in the value of a new facility and any salvage value derived from an old facility. In no event shall the state be required to use state dollars for such utility relocation work. This paragraph does not apply to any phase of

37-00427A-16 2016416

the Central Florida Commuter Rail project, known as SunRail.

(j) If a utility is lawfully located within an existing and valid utility easement granted by recorded plat, regardless of whether such land was subsequently acquired by the authority by dedication, transfer of fee, or otherwise, the authority must bear the cost of the utility work required to eliminate an unreasonable interference.

Section 4. The Legislature finds that a proper and legitimate state purpose is served by clarifying a utility's responsibility for relocating its facilities within a right-of-way or within a utility easement granted by recorded plat.

Therefore, the Legislature determines and declares that this act fulfills an important state interest.

Section 5. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

S-001 (10/14/14)

THE FLORIDA SENATE

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 10-20-15 SB 416 Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Location of Utilities **Topic** Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Brewster Bevis Job Title Senior Vice President Address 516 N. Adams St Phone 224-7173 Street Tallahassee FL 32301 Email bbevis@aif.com City State Zip Speaking: Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Associated Industries of Florida Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or	Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 5 3 04 16
Topic	Bill Number (if applicable)
Name Frank Walker	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title VT, bout. Affairs	
Address Bb E. Brunouph St. Street Tallahasse FC City	Phone (856)661-1200
State	SZ3(2 Email
Speaking: Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against
Representing Florida Chamber of Co.	(The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lo	obbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time mameeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so This form is part of the public record for this most.	
This form is part of the public record for this masting	unal as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)	
Meeting Date Meeting Date	
Topic	·
Name Amendment Barcode (if applicate	ole)
Job Title General Counsel, FL. Cable Telecom. Assoc.	
Address 1885 Monroe St- Phone 1810074	
City Email Children & Flackons	- ~
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: Against Waive Speaking:	
Representing CTA Against	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No	-
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this this form is part of the public record for this masting.	nasowoje dokumente za
this form is part of the public record for this meeting.	and a new (Constant de new Constant de new Cons
S-001 (10/14/14)	1

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 10/20/15 416

Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Location of Utilities			Amount 15
Name Jim Smith			Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title Director Government Affairs			
Address 315 South Calhoun Street, Suit	e 500		Phone 850-599-1779
Tallahassee City	FL	32301	Email James.Smith@centurylink.com
	State Iformation	<i>Zip</i> Waive Sp (The Chai	peaking: In Support Against will read this information into the record.)
Representing CenturyLink			
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes	s ✓ No	Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage publimeeting. Those who do speak may be asked to	ic testimony, tin limit their rema	ne may not permit all p arks so that as many p	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard
This form is part of the public record for this			,

the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

Meeting Date Meeting Date	716
Topic Utility Rela	Bill Number (if applicable)
Name_ ERIC Poole	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title ASSE Cecis Dir	,
Address Street Pho	one 900-4300
City State Zip Ema	ail
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speakin	g: In Support Against ead this information into the record.)
Representing Florich Assoc. Confres	- « « « « « recora.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered w	vith Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all person meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons.	s wishing to speak to be heard at this
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

Meeting Date	ator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Topic Utility Relocation	Bill Number (if applicable)
Name_ J.C. Florey	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title VP GOV AFFAIRS	
Address 150 S. Monvoe	Phone 80-577-5560
City State	32301 Email JF323W Q4H GOVE
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against
Representing AT9T	(The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, tim meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their rame	
meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remains form is part of the public record for this meeting.	ks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) OMMUNICATIONS - RELOCATION OF FACILITIES Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name JOB TITLE GENERAL ATTORNEY Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: | In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Meeting Date Topic Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Job Title Address 922 4300 Phone Street City Email State Zip Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

10/20/	20 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator	r or Senate Professional Staff conducting	g the meeting)
Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic	Cation of U4	itities	5053CC Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name)AN PETERSON		(,,,
Job Title\v	ector-Center for P	20 pert Rights	
Address / OO	N. Doval St	Phone_	407 758 2491
0.7001		9	d peterson @
City	State	Email 	d peterson @ james madisa, com
Speaking: For		∠ıρ W aive Speaklag. ↓	
Representing _	JAMES MADISC	ON TAISTITUT	E
Appearing at reque	est of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with	Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate trad meeting. Those who do	diti o n to encourage public testimony, time o spe ak may be asked to limit their remark	may not permit all persons wisks so that as many persons as	ishing to speak to be heard at this spossible can be heard
	e public record for this meeting.	,,	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting) SB 410
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic LOCATION OF UTILITIES	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name MEGAN SIRJANE-SAMPLES	
Job Title LEGISLATIVE ADVOCATE	
Address P.O. Box 1700 Street	Phone 850.701.3455
$\frac{TAULAHASSEE}{City}FORTHE AMENDMENT AGAINST THE BIZED$ Speaking: \square For \square Against \square Information Waive Speaking.	Email MSIBIANE SAMPLES Compeaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing FLORIDA LEAGUE OF CITIE	S
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature: X Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the m	neeting) 4116
Tonio / /T/// / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Bill Number (if applicable)
12.00	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
	e of Cities
	50-509-7886
7AU 12 31/61 Email 61	1.21 Heretalgovica
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking:	
Representing City of Tellchossa, F. Leage of	Ché,
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Leg	gislature: Yes No

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

То:	Senator Wilton Simpson, Chair Committee on Community Affairs			
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request			
Date:	October 9, 2015			
I respectfully	request that Senate Bill #416 , relating to Location of Utilities, be placed on the:			
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.			
\boxtimes	next committee agenda.			
	anitere Flores			
	Senator Anitere Flores			

Florida Senate, District 37

The Florida Senate **COMMITTEE VOTE RECORD**

COMMITTEE: Community Affairs

SB 416 ITEM:

FINAL ACTION: Favorable with Committee Substitute

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, October 20, 2015

TIME:

12:30—2:30 p.m. 301 Senate Office Building PLACE:

FINAL	VOTE		10/20/2015 Amendmer					
			Brandes			T		
Yea	Nay	SENATORS	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay
Х		Abruzzo						
		Bradley						
X		Dean						
Χ		Diaz de la Portilla						
Χ		Hutson						
Χ		Thompson						
Χ		Brandes, VICE CHAIR						
Χ		Simpson, CHAIR						
			500					
7 Yea	0 Nay	TOTALS	RCS Yea	- Nay	Yea	Nay	Yea	Nay

CODES: FAV=Favorable

UNF=Unfavorable -R=Reconsidered

RCS=Replaced by Committee Substitute RE=Replaced by Engrossed Amendment RS=Replaced by Substitute Amendment

TP=Temporarily Postponed VA=Vote After Roll Call VC=Vote Change After Roll Call WD=Withdrawn OO=Out of Order AV=Abstain from Voting

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	d By: The P	rofessional Staf	f of the Committee of	on Community	Affairs
BILL:	CS/SB 190)				
INTRODUCER:	Communit	y Affairs (Committee and	d Senator Hutson		
SUBJECT:	Conservati	on Easem	ents			
DATE:	October 19	, 2015	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Present		Yeatm	an	CA	Fav/CS	
2				FT		
3.				AP		<u> </u>

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 190 provides that once an original application for ad valorem tax exemption has been granted under s. 196.26, F.S., the property owner is not required to file a renewal application until the use of the property no longer complies with the restrictions and requirements of the conservation easement.

II. Present Situation:

Property Valuation in Florida

Florida law provides a number of options to reduce property tax liability. Article VII, section 2 of the Florida Constitution provides for uniform ad valorem taxation, stating that "all ad valorem taxation shall be at a uniform rate within each taxing unit." The property tax burden for an owner of any particular piece of real estate will depend on the property's just value, its assessed value, and whether the property benefits from any tax exemptions or assessment limitations.

Just Value

Article VII, section 4 of the Florida Constitution requires that all property be assessed at just value for ad valorem tax purposes. Just value has been interpreted by the courts to mean fair market value or what a willing buyer would pay a willing seller for the property in an armslength transaction.²

¹ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 2.

² See Walter v. Shuler, 176 So. 2d 81 (Fla. 1965); Deltona Corp. v. Bailey, 336 So. 2d 1163 (Fla. 1976); Southern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co. v. Dade County, 275 So. 2d 4 (Fla. 1973).

Assessed Value

The Florida Constitution authorizes certain alternatives to the just valuation standard for specific types of property.³ Agricultural land, land producing high water recharge to Florida's aquifers, and land used exclusively for noncommercial recreational purposes may be assessed solely on the basis of its character or use.⁴ Land used for conservation purposes must be assessed solely on the basis of character or use.⁵ Counties and municipalities may authorize historic properties to be assessed solely on the basis of character or use.⁶ Counties may also provide a reduction in the assessed value of property improvements on existing homesteads made to accommodate parents or grandparents who are 62 or older.⁷ The Legislature is authorized to prohibit the consideration of improvements to residential real property for purposes of improving the property's wind resistance or the installation of renewable energy source devices in the assessment of the property.⁸ Certain working waterfront property is assessed based upon the property's current use.⁹

Taxable Value

The taxable value of real and tangible personal property is the assessed value minus any exemptions provided by the Florida Constitution or by the Florida Statutes. Such exemptions include, but are not limited to, homestead exemptions and exemptions for property used for educational, religious, or charitable purposes.¹⁰

Conservation Easements

Section 704.06(1), F.S., provides that a conservation easement is "a right or interest in real property which is appropriate to retaining land or water areas predominantly in their natural, scenic, open, or wooded condition; retaining such areas as suitable habitat for fish, plans, or wildlife; retaining the structural integrity or physical appearance of sites or properties of historical, architectural, archaeological, or other significance, or maintaining existing land uses..." Subsection (1) also provides a list of activities which must be prohibited or limited by the conservation easement.

Conservation easements are perpetual, undivided interests in property and may be created or stated in the form of a restriction, easement, covenant, or condition in any deed, will or other instrument executed by or on behalf of the property owner. Conservation easements may be acquired by any governmental body or agency or by a charitable corporation or trust that meets the statutory purposes of a conservation easement. Conservation easements run with the land

³ The constitutional provisions in Art. VII, section 4 of the Florida Constitution are implemented in Part II of ch. 193, F.S.

⁴ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(a).

⁵ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(b).

⁶ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(e).

⁷ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(f).

⁸ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(i).

⁹ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(j).

¹⁰ FLA. CONST. art. VII, ss. 3 and 6.

¹¹ Section 704.06(2).

¹² Section 704.06(3).

and are binding on all subsequent owners.¹³ Conservation easements must be recorded in the same manner as any other instrument affecting the title to real property.¹⁴

Amendment 4 to the Florida Constitution (2008)

In November 2008, Florida's voters amended the Florida Constitution to provide an ad valorem tax exemption for real property dedicated in perpetuity for conservation purposes, including real property encumbered by perpetual conservation easements or by other perpetual conservation protections, as defined by general law.¹⁵ The amendment also provided that land used for conservation purposes shall be classified by general law and assessed solely on the basis of use, subject to conditions, limitations, and reasonable definitions as provided by general law.¹⁶ The amendment was proposed by the Tax and Budget Reform Commission and approved by 68 percent of the voters.

Conservation Easement Exemption

The 2009 Legislature, in its implementing legislation for Constitutional Amendment 4, provided for exemptions from ad valorem taxation for lands dedicated in perpetuity for conservation purposes. Section 196.26(2), F.S. states that:

Land that is dedicated in perpetuity for conservation purposes and that is used exclusively for conservation purposes is exempt from ad valorem taxation." The phrase "dedicated in perpetuity" is defined as "land encumbered by an irrevocable, perpetual conservation easement.¹⁷

Section 196.26(4), F.S. goes on to state that:

Land that comprises less than 40 contiguous acres does not qualify for the exemption provided in this section unless in addition to meeting the other requirements of this section, the use of the land for conservation purposes is determined by the Acquisition and Restoration Council created in s. 259.035 to fulfill a clearly delineated state conservation policy and yield a significant public benefit. In making its determination of public benefit, the Acquisition and Restoration Council must give particular consideration to land that:

- (a) Contains a natural sinkhole or natural spring that serves a water recharge or production function;
- (b) Contains a unique geological feature;
- (c) Provides habitat for endangered or threatened species;
- (d) Provides nursery habitat for marine and estuarine species;
- (e) Provides protection or restoration of vulnerable coastal areas;
- (f) Preserves natural shoreline habitat; or

¹³ Section 704.06(4).

¹⁴ Section 704.06(5).

¹⁵ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 3(f).

¹⁶ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 4(b).

¹⁷ Section 196.26(1)(d).

(g) Provides retention of natural open space in otherwise densely built-up areas.

Any land approved by the Acquisition and Restoration Council under this subsection must have a management plan and a designated manager who will be responsible for implementing the management plan.

The statute further requires that the conservation easement that serves as the basis for the exemption must include baseline documentation as to the natural values to be protected on the land, and that structures and other improvements situated on lands receiving the exemption and the land immediately surrounding such buildings and improvements must be assessed separately.¹⁸

Annual Application for Exemption

Every person or organization who, on January 1, has the legal title to real or personal property, except inventory, which is entitled by law to exemption from taxation as a result of its ownership and use shall, on or before March 1 of each year, file an application for exemption with the county property appraiser, listing and describing the property for which the exemption is claimed and certifying its ownership and use. However, a property owner may be exempt from the annual application, a property appraiser may modify the annual application requirement, and a county may waive the annual application requirement. Failure to timely file an application constitutes a waiver of the exemption privilege for that year. An exemption from annual application currently exists for the following properties.

- Houses of public worship, the lots on which they are located, personal property located
 therein or thereon, parsonages, burial grounds and tombs owned by houses of public worship,
 individually owned burial rights not held for speculation, or other such property not rented or
 hired out for other than religious or educational purposes at any time;
- Household goods and personal effects of permanent resident of this state; and
- Property of the state or any county, any municipality, any school district, or community college district thereof.

Use-based Taxation for Conservation Easements

Section 193.501, F.S., requires a property owner to file an annual application with a property appraiser for the assessment of any land subject to a conservation easement as described in s. 704.06 for a term not less than 10 years. Under this section, the land is assessed at its present use rather than its best use. This allows the land to be assessed at a reduced value, but it is not an exemption. Section 193.501, F.S. provides that "the property appraiser, in valuing such land for tax purposes, shall consider no factors other than those relative to its value for the present use, as restricted by any conveyance or covenant under this section." If a conservation easement is for a

¹⁸ Section 196.26(5) and (6).

¹⁹ Section 196.011(1).

²⁰ Section 196.011(3).

²¹ Section 196.011(4).

²² Section 196.011(9)(a).

²³ Section 196.011(1).

²⁴ Section 196.011(3).

term less than 10 years, then the land is assessed under s. 193.011, F.S., and does not receive preferential tax treatment.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 196.011, F.S., by providing that once an original application for ad valorem tax exemption has been granted, the property owner is not required to file a renewal application until the use of the property no longer complies with the restrictions and requirements of the conservation easement.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2016.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

A property owner is relieved of the burden of applying annually to maintain their tax exemption.

C. Government Sector Impact:

A county property appraiser may see a reduction in the number of renewal applications received.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 196.011 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Community Affairs on October 20, 2015:

Clarifies that a property owner is not required to file a renewal application until the use of the property no longer complies with the restrictions and requirements of the conservation easement.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

LEGISLATIVE ACTION Senate House Comm: RCS 10/20/2015

The Committee on Community Affairs (Hutson) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Paragraph (b) of subsection (6) of section 196.011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

196.011 Annual application required for exemption.-

(6)

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

(b) Once an original application for tax exemption has been granted under s. 196.26, the property owner is not required to file a renewal application until in each succeeding year on or



before February 1, the property appraiser shall mail a renewal application to the applicant on a form prescribed by the Department of Revenue. The applicant must certify on the form that the use of the property no longer complies with the restrictions and requirements of the conservation easement. The form shall include a statement that the exemption granted under s. 196.26 will not be renewed unless the application is returned to the property appraiser.

Section 2. This act shall take effect on July 1, 2016.

19 20

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

By Senator Hutson

2016190 6-00142-16 A bill to be entitled

1

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

2 An act relating to conservation easements; amending s. 3 196.011, F.S.; providing that it is not necessary to

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

conservation easement; providing an effective date.

make annual application for exemption of a

Section 1. Subsection (3) of section 196.011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

196.011 Annual application required for exemption.

(3) It is shall not be necessary to make annual application for exemption on houses of public worship, the lots on which they are located, personal property located therein or thereon, parsonages, burial grounds and tombs owned by houses of public worship, individually owned burial rights not held for speculation, or other such property not rented or hired out for other than religious or educational purposes at any time; household goods and personal effects of permanent residents of this state; and property of the state or any county, any municipality, any school district, or community college district thereof; and a conservation easement as defined in s. 704.06.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Appropriations Subcommittee on Criminal and Civil Justice
Children, Families, and Elder Affairs
Commerce and Tourism
Communications, Energy, and Public Utilities
Community Affairs
Environmental Preservation and Conservation

SENATOR TRAVIS HUTSON

6th District

September 17, 2015

The Honorable Wilton Simpson Chairman Senate Committee on Community Affairs 315 Knott Building 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

Dear Chairman Simpson:

I would like to respectfully request that my bill, SB 190 – Conservation Easements, be placed on the Committee on Community Affairs' agenda for the week of October 5 2015.

Currently, holders of perpetual conservation easements are required to file an annual application with the Tax Collector of the county in which the property is located. SB 190 seeks to remove this requirement and allow for holders of conservation easements to file only once.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of this request. If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me directly.

Sincerely,

Senator Travis Hutson

District 6

REPLY TO:

☐ 4875 Palm Coast Parkway, NW, Suite 5, Palm Coast, Florida 32137 (386) 446-7610 FAX: (888) 263-3475 ☐ 312 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5006

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

ANDY GARDINER President of the Senate GARRETT RICHTER President Pro Tempore

CourtSmart Tag Report

Room: SB 301 Case No.: Type:

Caption: Senate Community Affairs Committee Judge:

Started: 10/20/2015 12:33:43 PM

Ends: 10/20/2015 12:54:06 PM Length: 00:20:24

 12:34:04 PM
 Quorum present

 12:34:50 PM
 Senate Bill 416

 12:34:59 PM
 Senator Flores

12:36:41 PM Questions

12:36:03 PM

12:36:58 PM Senator Hutson with a question **12:37:42 PM** Senator Flores with response

Location of Utilities

12:38:10 PM Amendment 505366 Senator Brandes

12:38:29 PM Questions **12:38:36 PM** Speaker cards

12:39:02 PM Gil Ziffer City of Tallahassee, FL League of Cities

12:40:00 PM Questions

12:40:12 PM Senator Brandes with a comment

12:40:25 PM Senator Brandes continues

12:40:26 PM Megan Samples, FL League of Cities **12:40:42 PM** Senator Simpson asks for questions

12:41:49 PM Questions

12:41:57 PM Senator Simpson with a comment

12:42:26 PM Dan Peterson, James Madison Institute

12:44:32 PM Questions

12:44:48 PM Eric Poole, FL Association of Counties

12:45:51 PM Tracy Hatch, AT&T **12:47:29 PM** J.C. Flores, AT&T

12:47:33 PM Questions

12:47:38 PM Senator Brandes recognized to close

12:47:42 PM Amendment adopted **12:47:48 PM** Back on Bill as Amended

12:47:49 PM Eric Poole, FL Assocation of Counties

12:48:03 PM Jim Smith, CenturyLink

12:48:14 PM Charles Dudley, FL Cable Telecom. Association

12:48:21 PM Frank Walker, FL Chamber of Commerce **12:48:36 PM** Brewster Bevis. Assoc. Industries of FL

12:48:38 PM Questions **12:48:40 PM** No Debate

12:48:47 PM Senator Flores recognized to Close

12:49:28 PM Call role for CS/SB 416

12:49:48 PM CS/SB 416 reported favorably

12:49:55 PM SB 160, Senator Gaetz

12:50:37 PM Questions

12:50:51 PM Amendment 267652 **12:50:58 PM** Technical Amendment

12:51:15 PM Senator Brandes with comment

12:51:32 PM Questions

12:51:35 PM No speaker cards

12:51:39 PM Discussion or Debate

12:51:46 PM Amendment adopted **12:51:50 PM** Back on Bill as Amended

12:51:55 PM No speaker cards

12:52:03 PM Call role

12:52:16 PM CS/SB 160 reported favorably

12:52:30 PM SB 190, Senator Hutson **12:52:37 PM** Conservation Easements

12:52:52 PM	Questions
12:53:04 PM	Amendment 418604 by Senator Hutson
12:53:07 PM	Technical Amendment
12:53:14 PM	No speaker cards
12:53:24 PM	Discussion or Debate
12:53:27 PM	Amendment adopted
12:53:31 PM	Back on Bill as Amended
12:53:34 PM	No Debate on Bill
12:53:46 PM	Call Role for CS/SB190
12:53:56 PM	CS/SB 190 reported favorably
12:53:59 PM	Adjourned
	•

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES: Regulated Industries, Chair Fiscal Policy, *Vice Chair*Appropriations Subcommittee on Criminal and Civil Justice Communications, Energy, and Public Utilities Community Affairs Criminal Justice Reapportionment

JOINT COMMITTEE:
Joint Legislative Auditing Committee

SENATOR ROB BRADLEY

7th District

MEMORANDUM

Chair:

Senator Wilton Simpson

From:

Senator Rob Bradley

Subject: Excused Absence

Date:

October 20, 2015

Due to a scheduling conflict, I was unable to attend today's meeting of the Committee on Community Affairs. I humbly request an excused absence from today's meeting.

Had I been present, I would have voted favorably for the following bills:

- SB 160
- SB 416
- SB 190

Thanks so very much for tending to my request.

Cc: Mr. Tom Yeatman Staff Director Community Affairs

□ 2233 Park Avenue, Suite 303, Orange Park, Florida 32073 (904) 278-2085

□ 208 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5007

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov