Tab 1	CS/S	<b>B 590</b> by	/ CF, Dete	rt (CO-INTRODUCERS) Gae	etz; (Compare to H 0673) Adoption			
560534	D	S	RCS	JU, Simmons	Delete everything after	01/12 04:42 PM		
Tab 2	SB 972 by Lee; (Identical to H 0967) Family Law							
Tab 3	Tab 3 SB 996 by Negron; (Similar to CS/H 0003) Civil Remedies for Terrorism							
		-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Tab 4	SB 10	<b>042</b> by <b>S</b>	immons; (	Similar to CS/H 0503) Judgme	ent Debts			
753766	D	S	RCS	JU, Simmons	Delete everything after	01/12 04:45 PM		

#### The Florida Senate

### **COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA**

### JUDICIARY Senator Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Senator Ring, Vice Chair

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, January 12, 2016

**TIME:** 3:00—4:00 p.m.

PLACE: Toni Jennings Committee Room, 110 Senate Office Building

MEMBERS: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, Chair; Senator Ring, Vice Chair; Senators Bean, Benacquisto, Brandes,

Joyner, Simmons, Simpson, Soto, and Stargel

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
1	CS/SB 590 Children, Families, and Elder Affairs / Detert (Compare H 673)	Adoption; Revising the circumstances under which an adoption consent is valid, binding, and enforceable; requiring a court to determine, under certain circumstances, whether a change of placement of a child is in the child's best interests, rather than whether the change of placement is appropriate; revising when a court must provide written notice to a parent of specified information, etc.  CF 11/19/2015 Fav/CS JU 01/12/2016 Fav/CS FP	Fav/CS Yeas 10 Nays 0
2	SB 972 Lee (Identical H 967, Compare S 250)	Family Law; Citing this act as the "Collaborative Law Process Act"; providing that a collaborative law process begins when the parties enter into a collaborative law participation agreement; prohibiting a tribunal from ordering a party to participate in a collaborative law process over the party's objection; providing for confidentiality of communications made during the collaborative law process, etc.  JU 01/12/2016 Favorable RC	Favorable Yeas 10 Nays 0
3	SB 996 Negron (Similar CS/H 3)	Civil Remedies for Terrorism; Creating a cause of action for acts relating to terrorism; specifying a measure of damages; prohibiting claims by specified individuals; providing for attorney fees and costs, etc.  JU 01/12/2016 Favorable ACJ FP	Favorable Yeas 10 Nays 0
4	SB 1042 Simmons (Similar CS/H 503)	Judgment Debts; Specifying the parties who may obtain possession of property that is being levied on; revising the circumstances under which third-party claims must be taken to trial; revising the legal procedure for proceedings supplementary to execution; providing additional discovery in proceedings supplementary, etc.  JU 01/12/2016 Fav/CS	Fav/CS Yeas 10 Nays 0

## **COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA**

Judiciary

Tuesday, January 12, 2016, 3:00—4:00 p.m.

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
5	Other Related Meeting Documents		

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prep	pared By:	The Professional	Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judicia	ry		
BILL:	CS/CS/SB	590						
INTRODUCER:	Judiciary Committee; Children, Families, and Elder Affairs Committee; and Senator Detert							
SUBJECT:	Adoption							
DATE:	January 13,	, 2016	REVISED:					
ANAL	YST	STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION		
. Crosier		Hendo	on	CF	Fav/CS			
2. Brown		Cibula	ı	JU	Fav/CS			
· .		·		FP				

### Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

**COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes** 

## I. Summary:

CS/CS/SB 590 reduces the authority or influence of a parent to select a prospective adoptive parent for his or her child if the parent is in the process of losing his or her parental rights in a dependency proceeding. Under existing law, the dependency process starts with the filing of a petition alleging that a child has been abandoned, abused, or neglected by the child's parent or parents or a legal custodian. While the dependency case is pending, an adoption entity may intervene on behalf of the child's parent to have a child placed in the home of a prospective adoptive parent selected by the parent. The bill provides a timeline for the court to hold a final hearing on a motion to intervene and to issue a written final order. The timeline may not apply if good cause or mutual agreement of the parties warrants otherwise.

As under existing law, the bill requires a court to consider the best interests of the child when selecting a prospective adoptive parent from among competing prospective adoptive parents. However, the bill changes what a court must consider in determining the child's best interests. Under existing law, a court must consider "the rights of the parent to determine an appropriate placement for a child, the permanency offered, the child's binding with any potential adoptive home that the child has been residing in, and the importance of maintaining sibling relationships." Under the bill, the court must consider factors including the "rights of the parent

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 63.082(6)(e), F.S.

based on the well-being of the child," the permanency offered by a placement, the continuity of the current placement, sibling relationships, and the preferences of the child.

Although the bill limits a parent's right to choose an adoptive parent, the bill requires parents to be given written notice of the right to participate in a private adoption plan at an earlier stage of the dependency proceedings. Current law does not require a court to notify a parent of these rights until just prior to the filing of a petition for termination of parental rights. Moving up the time of notice might expedite the permanent placement of a child.

Current law provides that a parent's consent to an adoption is valid for children who are in the custody of the Department of Children and Families. This bill expressly allows a parent to consent when a child is under the supervision of the DCF, or is otherwise subject to the jurisdiction of the dependency court.

Once the court determines that potential adoptive parents are properly qualified and that adoption appears to be in the best interests of the child, current law requires the court to order an immediate transfer of custody of the child to potential adoptive parents. Rather than require immediate transfer of the child, the bill authorizes the court to establish reasonable requirements to allow the child a reasonable period of time to transition to final custody with the adoptive parents. This provision provides the court flexibility in determining transfer on a case-by-case basis.

#### II. Present Situation:

### The Department of Children and Families and Dependency

Chapter 39, F.S., governs child protection for the state. Chapter 63, F.S., addresses adoption. The Department of Children and Families (DCF) investigates all allegations of abuse, neglect, and abandonment.<sup>2</sup> The DCF may file a petition with the circuit court to place the child in shelter and enter an adjudication that the child is dependent.

A child who is dependent is a child found by the court:

- (a) To have been abandoned, abused, or neglected by the child's parent or parents or legal custodians;
- (b) To have been surrendered to the department, the former Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services, or a licensed child-placing agency for purpose of adoption;
- (c) To have been voluntarily placed with a licensed child-caring agency, a licensed child-placing agency, an adult relative, the department, or the former Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services, after which placement, under the requirements of this chapter, a case plan has expired and the parent or parents or legal custodians have failed to substantially comply with the requirements of the plan;
- (d) To have been voluntarily placed with a licensed child-placing agency for the purposes of subsequent adoption, and a parent or parents have signed a consent pursuant to the Florida Rules of Juvenile Procedure;

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 39.301(1), F.S.

(e) To have no parent or legal custodians capable of providing supervision and care;

- (f) To be at substantial risk of imminent abuse, abandonment, or neglect by the parent or parents or legal custodians; or
- (g) To have been sexually exploited and to have no parent, legal custodian, or responsible adult relative currently known and capable of providing the necessary and appropriate supervision and care.<sup>3</sup>

If the court adjudicates the child as dependent, the child is placed in the state's care.<sup>4</sup> When a child is placed in the state's care the state "acts in the protective and provisional role of in loco parentis" or in place of the parent for the child.<sup>5</sup>

## **Dependency Proceedings**

The purpose of dependency proceedings is for the court to determine if allegations of child abuse, abandonment, or neglect stated in a petition filed by the DCF or other interested person are true.<sup>6</sup> If the court finds the allegations to be true, the court will direct a course of action to protect a child. A course of action is provided through a written case plan, which may include requiring a parent, legal guardian, or a child into treatment and services, dependency mediation, and placement of a child in protective supervision.<sup>7</sup>

Dependency proceedings may include a shelter hearing, an arraignment on dependency, an adjudication of dependency hearing, a disposition hearing, and a hearing on the termination of parental rights.

### Detention or Shelter Determination of a Child

A shelter hearing is a proceeding in which a court determines whether probable cause exists to keep a child in a home other than the parent's or a facility pending further investigation of the circumstances leading to the detention of a child.<sup>8</sup> The circumstances in which a child may be detained or taken into custody by DCF are limited.

A hospital administrator or licensed health care professional may detain a child without parental consent if returning the child to the parent or caregiver presents an imminent danger to the child. If the child is detained, the medical provider must immediately notify the DCF. Upon receiving notification, the DCF must immediately notify the parents or legal custodians that their child is being detained and begin a child protective investigation.<sup>9</sup>

Alternatively, a law enforcement officer may take a child into custody, if probable cause exists that:

• The child has been abused, neglected, or abandoned, or suffers from or is in imminent danger of illness or injury due to abuse, neglect, or abandonment;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 39.01(15), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 39.501(1) and (2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Buckner v. Family Services of Central Florida, Inc., 876 So. 2d 1285, 1288 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 39.501(1) and (2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 39.501(1)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See s. 39.001(72), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 39.395, F.S.

• The parent or legal custodian of the child has materially violated a condition of placement ordered by the court; or

• The child has no parent, legal custodian, or responsible adult relative immediately known and able to provide care. 10

If a child is taken custody, the attorney representing the DCF must request a hearing within 24 hours after the removal of the child. A child may not be held in a shelter for more than 24 hours unless a court orders the child to remain in the shelter after a shelter hearing. 12

# Hearings on Arraignment and Adjudication of Dependency

The purpose of an arraignment hearing is for a parent to admit, deny, or consent to findings of dependency that are alleged in the petition for dependency. Within 28 days after a child has been sheltered, the court must hold an arraignment hearing on the petition for dependency. If a parent or legal guardian denies an allegation in the petition, the court must hold an adjudicatory hearing within 30 days. If the court finds the child dependent at the adjudicatory hearing and the child is placed in out-of-home care, the court must first consider placement of the child with relatives. If a child cannot remain safely in the original home and no adult relative is available for temporary, legal custody, the state may place the child with an adult willing to care for the child under the protective supervision of the DCF. Placement of the child to the temporary, legal custody of the DCF invests the DCF with the rights and responsibilities of a legal custodian.

## **Disposition Hearing**

A court must hold a disposition hearing after any of the following:

- The court enters an adjudication of dependency:
- The parents or legal custodian consent to the finding of dependency or admit the allegations in the petition; or
- The parents or legal custodians have failed to appear at the arraignment hearing after being properly noticed, or are unable to be found despite a diligent search.<sup>19</sup>

The purpose of the disposition hearing is to determine a course of treatment and services and placement of the child under protective supervision.<sup>20</sup> After the disposition hearing, the court may hold a postdisposition hearing to change the temporary legal custody or conditions of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 39.401(1)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section 39.401(3)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 39.402(8)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section 39.506(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> If any party has requested a demand for early filing, the court must hold the arraignment hearing within 7 days after the date of filing of the petition Section 39.506(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Section 39.506(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 39.507(7)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Section 39.521(3)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 39.521(3)(d), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section 39.521(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Section 39.521(1)(b), F.S.

protective supervision.<sup>21</sup> In determining whether to order a change in custody, the court must base its decision on the best interest of the child. As a factor of the best interest standard, the court must consider the continuity of the child's placement in the same out-of-home residence.<sup>22</sup>

### Hearing on a Termination of Parental Rights

If an attorney for the DCF decides that a termination of parental rights is appropriate, the DCF must allege in a petition for a termination of parental rights one of the following grounds:

- The parent or parents voluntarily executed a written surrender of the child to the DCF for adoption;
- The parent or parents have abandoned the child;
- The parent or parents through their conduct demonstrate that continuing involvement threatens the child's life, safety, or well-being irrespective of the provision of services;
- The parent's status of incarceration is harmful to the child based on the length of time the parent is expected to be unavailable to the child or the nature of the criminal history of the parent;
- The parent or parents have failed to substantially comply with a case plan;
- The parent or parents have engaged in egregious conduct that threatens the child's life, safety, or well-being, such as subjecting the child to sexual abuse;
- The parent or parents have committed certain criminal acts, including having to register as a sexual predator;
- The parental rights of a parent of a sibling have been terminated involuntarily;
- The parent or parents have an extensive history of unsuccessfully treated substance abuse; including exposing the child in utero to a controlled substance or alcohol; or
- The child was conceived as a result of sexual battery by the parent.<sup>23</sup>

The DCF must file a petition to terminate parental rights within 60 days after the following if:

- The child is not returned to the physical custody of the parents within 12 months after the child was sheltered or adjudicated dependent, whichever is first;
- A petition for termination of parental rights has not otherwise been filed, and the child has been in out-of-home care in the responsibility of the state for 12 of the most recent 22 months;
- A parent has been convicted of the murder, manslaughter, aiding or abetting the murder, or conspiracy or solicitation to murder the other parent or another child of the parent, or a felony battery that resulted in serious bodily injury to the child or another child of the parent; or
- A court determines that reasonable efforts to reunify the child and parent are not required. 24

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Section 39.522, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Section 39.522(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Section 39.806(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Section 39.8055(1), F.S. Exceptions to the requirement to file a termination of parental rights include the following: the child is being cared for by a qualifying relative or that the DCF provides a compelling reason that filing such a petition is not in the best interests of the child. Compelling reasons include that: adoption is not the appropriate permanency goal for the child; no grounds to file a petition to terminate parental rights exist; the child is an unaccompanied refugee minor; international legal obligations or compelling foreign-policy reasons preclude terminating parental rights; or the department has not provided to the family, consistent with the time period in the case plan, services that the department deems necessary for the safe return of the child to the home. Section 39.8055(2), F.S.

### Adoption

If the DCF is given custody of a child for a subsequent adoption under chapter 39, F.S., the DCF may place the child with a licensed adoption agency, a registered child-caring agency, or a family home for prospective adoption.<sup>25</sup> Prospective adoptive parents may not file a petition for adoption until the court has entered a judgment terminating parental rights.

In instances in which a child is adjudicated dependent but parental rights have not yet been terminated, a parent may consent to place a minor with an adoption entity or qualified adoptive parents. The court considers consent given in these circumstances to be valid, binding, and enforceable. After the parent gives consent, the adoption entity may intervene in the dependency case and provide the court with a copy of the preliminary home study of the prospective adoptive parents and other evidence of the suitability of the placement. The dependency court will hold a hearing to review documents in support of intervention and determine whether a change in placement is appropriate.

In determining whether the child's best interests are served by transferring custody to the prospective adoptive parent selected by the child's parent, the court must consider the rights of the parent to determine an appropriate placement, the permanency offered, the child's bonding to the potential adoptive home, and the importance of maintaining sibling relationships, if any.<sup>29</sup>

If a court determines that a prospective adoptive parent is properly qualified to adopt the child and that adoption appears to be in the best interests of the child, the court must immediately order the transfer of the custody of the child to prospective adoptive parents.<sup>30</sup>

### Parental Rights to Select a Prospective Adoptive Parent

In the case of *In re Adoption of K.A.G.*, 152 So. 3d 1271 (Fla. 5th DCA 2014), the DCF instituted dependency proceedings and filed a shelter petition on behalf of a child whose father awaited trial for murdering the child's mother. The child was placed in the temporary custody of his maternal aunt and her fiancé. After the DCF petitioned to involuntarily terminate parental rights, the paternal grandmother petitioned to terminate the father's parental rights and adopt the child. The father had given written consent to terminate parental rights and for the grandmother to adopt the child.<sup>31</sup>

The trial court ruled that the father's consent to terminate parental rights was conditional on allowing the grandmother to adopt the child. Therefore, the Aunt did not have the required consent to proceed with her petition for adoption.<sup>32</sup> The appeals court agreed with the trial court that the father's consent was properly conditional.<sup>33</sup> The court held that under these

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Section 39.812(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Section 63.082(6)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Section 63.082(6)(b), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Section 63.082(6)(c), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Section 63.082(e), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Section 63.082(6)(d), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> *Id.* at 1271.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> *Id.* at 1272.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> *Id*. at 1276.

circumstances, the court must analyze the best interest of the child through whether "the birth parent's choice of prospective adoptive parents is appropriate and protects the well-being of the child; not that it is the best choice as evaluated by the court or the Department in light of other alternatives."<sup>34</sup>

### **Due Process Protections for Parents**

A parent who is a party in a dependency action is entitled to due process safeguards. A parent must receive notice of all proceedings or hearings involving the child.<sup>35</sup> Notice of shelter hearings<sup>36</sup> or hearings resulting from medical emergencies must approximate actual notice. Notice by summons is required for other hearings or proceedings before the dependency court.<sup>37</sup>

During a dependency proceeding, a court may decide that a child's reunification with his or her parent is not viable. In those circumstances, the adoption of the child would often be in the child's best interest. However, once reunification is no longer viable, but before the parent's parental rights are terminated, the court must provide written notice to the parent of his or her right to participate in a private adoption plan.

At each stage of dependency proceedings, parents have the right to counsel appointed by the court if the parents are indigent.<sup>38</sup>

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill reduces the authority or influence of a parent who is in the process of losing parental rights to select a prospective adoptive parent for his or her child.

Under existing law, an adoption entity may intervene in dependency proceedings to have a child who is under the supervision of the DCF placed in the home of a prospective adoptive parent selected by the child's parent. As under existing law, the bill requires a court to determine the best interests of the child in deciding where a child should be placed. However, the bill changes what a court must consider in determining the child's best interests. Under existing law, a court must consider "the rights of the parent to determine an appropriate placement for a child, the permanency offered, the child's bonding with any potential adoptive home that the child has been residing in, and the importance of maintaining sibling relationships." The bill preserves as a factor the rights of the parent, but requires the rights of the parent to be based on the well-being of the child. This change appears to limit parental choice of a potential adoptive parent. The bill also requires the court to consider the reasonable preferences and wishes of a child, provided that the child is sufficiently mature enough to express a preference.

The bill attempts to expedite the final placement of a child in an adoptive home in two ways.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> *Id.* at 1276, n. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Section 39.502(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> A shelter hearing is a hearing in which the court determines whether there is probable cause for keeping a child in shelter status pending investigation of the case. Section 39.01(71), F.S. <sup>37</sup> *Id.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Section 39.013(1) and (9)(a), F.S. In fact, the State Constitution requires greater due process than the federal provision, including the appointment of counsel in every case. *M.E.K.* v. *R.L.K.*, 921 So. 2d 787, 790 (Fla. 5th DCA 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Section 63.082(6)(e), F.S.

First, the bill requires parents to be given notice of the right to participate in a private adoption plan at an earlier stage of the dependency proceedings. Current law requires parental notice to be given just prior to the filing of a petition for termination of parental rights. The bill requires the court to provide written notice to a parent earlier in the process, at the time of arraignment, in addition to other significant markers of the dependency process. Moving up the time of notice might expedite the permanent placement of a child.

In addition to requiring parental notice to be given earlier in the dependency process, the bill imposes a timeline on the court for holding a final hearing on a motion to intervene and issuing a written final order. Under the bill, the court must hold the final hearing on the motion to intervene and change of placement of the child within 30 days after the motion is filed. Additionally, the court must issue a written final order within 15 days after the hearing. The timeline may not apply if good cause or mutual agreement of the parties warrants otherwise.

Current law provides that a parent's consent to an adoption is valid for children who are in the custody of the DCF. This bill expressly expands the circumstances in which a parent may consent for the adoption of a child in dependency proceedings by allowing a parent to consent when a child is under the supervision of the DCF, or otherwise subject to the jurisdiction of the dependency court. Children whose cases are under DCF supervision would include children who are in relative care.

After the final hearing on the motion to intervene, if the court finds both that the prospective adoptive parents are properly qualified and that the adoption is in the best interests of the child, the court must order the transfer of custody of the child to the prospective adoptive parents. Current law requires the court to immediately transfer the custody of a child to the prospective adoptive parents. The bill instead authorizes the court to establish a reasonable timeline to transition custody pending adoption. This provision provides the court flexibility in determining transfer on a case-by-case basis.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2016.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A.	Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

#### D. Other Constitutional Issues:

The United States Supreme Court has long recognized that even parents in dependency proceedings have not entirely lost their fundamental rights to parent, as guaranteed by the 14th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. As stated in *Santosky v. Kramer*, 455 U.S. 745, 753 (1982), the "fundamental liberty interest of natural parents in the care, custody, and management of their child does not evaporate simply because they have not been model parents or have lost temporary custody of their child to the State." Therefore, certain due process protections are required, including the burden of proof in a termination of parental rights case. A court must not enter an order terminating parental rights without a finding of clear and convincing evidence that termination is warranted.<sup>40</sup> Other due process rights include notice and appointment of counsel for indigent parents.<sup>41</sup>

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

### C. Government Sector Impact:

Based on an analysis of the CS/SB 590, the Office of the State Courts Administrator indicates that an impact on judicial or court workload may result from the bill. Current law recognizes as valid, binding, and enforceable adoption consent from a parent for a child who is in the custody of the DCF. This bill recognizes parental consent for adoption for children who are not just in the custody of the DCF, but also under the supervision of the DCF or otherwise under the jurisdiction of the dependency court. Expanding the pool of cases may increase the number of adoptions. However, OSCA reports that it cannot accurately determine the fiscal impact due to the unavailability of data needed to quantifiably establish any impact. <sup>42</sup> OSCA further notes that the expedited timeframes to act on motions by an adoption entity may affect judicial workloads. However, any impact is unknown at this time. <sup>43</sup>

The Department of Children and Families does not expect a fiscal impact from the bill.<sup>44</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> *Id.* at 756, 769.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> M.E.K. v. R.L.K., 921 So.2d 787, 790 (Fla. 5th DCA 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> The Office of the State Courts Administrator, 2016 Judicial Impact Statement for CS/SB 590 (Dec. 21, 2015) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Email from Alexis Rojas, The Office of the State Courts Administrator (Jan. 12, 2016) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> The Department of Children and Families, 2016 Agency Legislative Bill Analysis for CS/SB 590 (Dec. 8, 2015)(on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

### VII. Related Issues:

None.

### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 63.082, Florida Statutes.

### IX. Additional Information:

# A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

### CS/CS by Judiciary Committee on January 12, 2016:

- Expands conditions in which adoption consent by a parent is valid, binding, and enforceable by the court based on a child being under the jurisdiction of the dependency court;
- Provides a timeline for the court to hold a final hearing on a motion to intervene and issue a written final order in response to motions by an adoption entity;
- Redefines for purposes of transferring custody of a child to prospective adoption parents the standard of the best interests of the child by:
  - Restoring the rights of the parent as a factor of best interest, provided that it is based on the well-being of the child;
  - Adding as a factor the preferences of the child, if the child is sufficiently mature to express a preference; and
  - Increasing the amount of information a court may use to determine what is best for the child.
- Authorizes the court to establish reasonable requirements and timeframes for the transfer of final custody of a child to prospective adoptive parents pending adoption.
- Clarifies that the court must provide written notice to a parent of his or her right to participate at three different phases of dependency proceedings: at the arraignment hearing, in the order that approves the case plan, and in the order that changes the permanency goal to adoption.

### CS by Children, Families, and Elder Affairs Committee on November 19, 2015:

- Allows a parent to execute a consent for placement of a minor that is under the supervision of the department with an adoption entity or qualified prospective adoptive parents and that the consent is valid, binding, and enforceable by the court.
- Revises the standard of review used by the court when making a determination of a change of placement of a child from the appropriateness of the placement to the best interests of the child.
- Ensures that the biological parent is provided written notice of his or her right to participate in a private adoption plan at the arraignment hearing held pursuant to s. 39.506, in the order approving the case plan pursuant to s. 39.603, or in the order

that changes the permanency goal to adoption and terminates the parental rights pursuant to s. 39.621, F.S.

# B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.



	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: RCS		
01/12/2016		
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Judiciary (Simmons) recommended the following:

### Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

2 3

6

8

9 10

11

1

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

4 5

Section 1. Subsection (6) of section 63.082, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

63.082 Execution of consent to adoption or affidavit of nonpaternity; family social and medical history; revocation of consent.-

(6)(a) If a parent executes a consent for placement of a minor with an adoption entity or qualified prospective adoptive 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40



parents and the minor child is under the supervision in the custody of the department, or otherwise subject to the jurisdiction of the dependency court as a result of the entry of a shelter order petition, a dependency petition, or a petition for termination of parental rights pursuant to ch. 39, but parental rights have not yet been terminated, the adoption consent is valid, binding, and enforceable by the court.

- (b) Upon execution of the consent of the parent, the adoption entity shall be permitted to intervene in the dependency case as a party in interest and must provide the court that acquired jurisdiction over the minor, pursuant to the shelter or dependency petition filed by the department, a copy of the preliminary home study of the prospective adoptive parents and any other evidence of the suitability of the placement. The preliminary home study must be maintained with strictest confidentiality within the dependency court file and the department's file. A preliminary home study must be provided to the court in all cases in which an adoption entity has intervened pursuant to this section. Unless the court has concerns regarding the qualifications of the home study provider, or concerns that the home study may not be adequate to determine the best interests of the child, the home study provided by the adoption entity shall be deemed to be sufficient and no additional home study needs to be performed by the department.
- (c) If an adoption entity files a motion to intervene in the dependency case in accordance with this chapter, the dependency court shall promptly grant a hearing to determine whether the adoption entity has filed the required documents to

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61 62

63

64

65 66

67

68

69



be permitted to intervene and whether a change of placement of the child is in the best interests of the child appropriate. Absent good cause or mutual agreement of the parties, the final hearing on the motion to intervene and change of placement of the child must be held within 30 days after the filing of the motion and a written final order shall be filed within 15 days after the hearing.

- (d) After consideration of all relevant factors, including those set forth in paragraph (e) below, the court determines Upon a determination by the court that the prospective adoptive parents are properly qualified to adopt the minor child and that the adoption is appears to be in the best interests of the minor child, the court shall promptly immediately order the transfer of custody of the minor child to the prospective adoptive parents, under the supervision of the adoption entity. The court may establish reasonable requirements for the transfer of custody in the transfer order, including a reasonable period of time to transition final custody to the prospective adoptive parents. The adoption entity shall thereafter provide monthly supervision reports to the department until finalization of the adoption. If the child has been determined to be dependent by the court, the department shall provide information to the prospective adoptive parents at the time they receive placement of the dependent child regarding approved parent training classes available within the community. The department shall file with the court an acknowledgment of the parent's receipt of the information regarding approved parent training classes available within the community.
  - (e) In determining whether the best interests of the child

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98



are served by transferring the custody of the minor child to the prospective adoptive parent selected by the parent or adoption entity, the court shall consider all relevant factors, including, but not limited to, the rights of the parent based on the well-being of to determine an appropriate placement for the child, the permanency offered, the established bonded relationship of the child's bonding and the current caregiver in with any potential adoptive home in which that the child has been residing in, the stability of the home in which the child has been residing as well as the desirability of maintaining continuity of placement, and the importance of maintaining sibling relationships, if possible, the reasonable preferences and wishes of the child, if the court deems the child to be of sufficient maturity, understanding, and experience to express a preference, whether a petition for termination of parental rights has been filed pursuant to s. 39.806(1)(f), (g), or (h), and what is best for the child.

- (f) The adoption entity shall be responsible for keeping the dependency court informed of the status of the adoption proceedings at least every 90 days from the date of the order changing placement of the child until the date of finalization of the adoption.
- (g) At the arraignment hearing held pursuant to s. 39.506, in the order that approves the case plan pursuant to s. 39.603, and in the order that changes the permanency goal to adoption pursuant to s. 39.621 In all dependency proceedings, after it is determined that reunification is not a viable alternative and prior to the filing of a petition for termination of parental rights, the court shall provide written



notice to advise the biological parent who is a party to the case of his or her the right to participate in a private adoption plan including written notice of the factors provided in paragraph (e).

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

103 104

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115 116

117 118

119

120

99

100

101 102

105 ======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== 106 And the title is amended as follows:

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to adoption; amending s. 63.082, F.S.; revising the circumstances under which an adoption consent is valid, binding, and enforceable; requiring a court to determine, under certain circumstances, whether a change of placement of a child is in the child's best interests, rather than whether the change of placement is appropriate; deleting a determination that a court must consider under certain circumstances; revising when a court must provide written notice to a parent of specified information; providing an effective date.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 590

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$  the Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs; and Senator Detert

586-01457-16 2016590c1

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to adoption; amending s. 63.082, F.S.; revising the circumstances under which an adoption consent is valid, binding, and enforceable; requiring a court to determine, under certain circumstances, whether a change of placement of a child is in the child's best interests, rather than whether the change of placement is appropriate; deleting a determination that a court must consider under certain circumstances; revising when a court must provide written notice to a parent of specified information; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.8

10

11

12

13

Section 1. Subsection (6) of section 63.082, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

63.082 Execution of consent to adoption or affidavit of nonpaternity; family social and medical history; revocation of consent.—

- (6) (a) If a parent executes a consent for placement of a minor with an adoption entity or qualified prospective adoptive parents and the minor child is <u>under the supervision</u> in the custody of the department, but parental rights have not yet been terminated, the adoption consent is valid, binding, and enforceable by the court.
- (b) Upon execution of the consent of the parent, the adoption entity shall be permitted to intervene in the dependency case as a party in interest and must provide the

Page 1 of 4

 ${\bf CODING:}$  Words  ${\bf stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 590

2016590c1

court that acquired jurisdiction over the minor, pursuant to the 31 shelter or dependency petition filed by the department, a copy 32 of the preliminary home study of the prospective adoptive parents and any other evidence of the suitability of the placement. The preliminary home study must be maintained with strictest confidentiality within the dependency court file and the department's file. A preliminary home study must be provided to the court in all cases in which an adoption entity has intervened pursuant to this section. Unless the court has 38 39 concerns regarding the qualifications of the home study 40 provider, or concerns that the home study may not be adequate to determine the best interests of the child, the home study provided by the adoption entity shall be deemed to be sufficient 4.3 and no additional home study needs to be performed by the department.

586-01457-16

45

46

51

52

53

54

57

- (c) If an adoption entity files a motion to intervene in the dependency case in accordance with this chapter, the dependency court shall promptly grant a hearing to determine whether the adoption entity has filed the required documents to be permitted to intervene and whether a change of placement of the child is in the best interests of the child pursuant to s. 39.522(1) appropriate.
- (d) Upon a determination by the court that the prospective adoptive parents are properly qualified to adopt the minor child and that the adoption is appears to be in the best interests of the minor child, the court shall immediately order the transfer of custody of the minor child to the prospective adoptive parents, under the supervision of the adoption entity. The adoption entity shall thereafter provide monthly supervision

Page 2 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 590

586-01457-16 2016590c1

8.3

reports to the department until finalization of the adoption. If the child has been determined to be dependent by the court, the department shall provide information to the prospective adoptive parents at the time they receive placement of the dependent child regarding approved parent training classes available within the community. The department shall file with the court an acknowledgment of the parent's receipt of the information regarding approved parent training classes available within the community.

(c) In determining whether the best interests of the child are served by transferring the custody of the minor child to the prospective adoptive parent selected by the parent, the court shall consider the rights of the parent to determine an appropriate placement for the child, the permanency offered, the child's bonding with any potential adoptive home that the child has been residing in, and the importance of maintaining sibling relationships, if possible.

 $\underline{\text{(e)}}$  (f) The adoption entity shall be responsible for keeping the dependency court informed of the status of the adoption proceedings at least every 90 days from the date of the order changing placement of the child until the date of finalization of the adoption.

(f) (g) At the arraignment hearing held pursuant to s.

39.506, in the order that approves the case plan pursuant to s.

39.603, or in the order that changes the permanency goal to adoption and terminates the parental rights pursuant to s.

39.621 In all dependency proceedings, after it is determined that reunification is not a viable alternative and prior to the filing of a petition for termination of parental rights, the

Page 3 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 590

586-01457-16

2016590c1

court shall provide written notice to advise the biological

parent who is a party to the case of his or her the right to

participate in a private adoption plan.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Page 4 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.



## The Florida Senate

# **Committee Agenda Request**

То:	Senator Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Committee on Judiciary					
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request					
Date:	November 19, 2015					
I respectfully	y request that Senate Bill #590, relating to Adoptions, be placed on the:					
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.					
$\boxtimes$	next committee agenda.					

Senator Nancy C. Detert Florida Senate, District 28

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

**January 12th 2016** SB 590 Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Topic Adoption Intervention Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Alan Abramowitz Job Title Executive Director Address 600 South Calhoun Phone 850.241.3232 Street Tallahassee Email Alan.Abramowitz@gal.fl.gov Florida 32399 City State Zip Speaking: Against Information Waive Speaking: ✓ In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Statewide Guardian ad Litem Program Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes Appearing at request of Chair: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

# **APPEARANCE RECORD**

11/2/10	iver BOTH copies of this form to the Senato	r or Senate Professional Sta	aff conducting the meeting)	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic	1M		Amen	dment Barcode (if applicable)
Name VIKI	XYWA			
Job Title <u>ATUTUL</u>	M	· .	0.0	
Address Street	1.10 myard lolyd	A 22	Phone 1	734-3799
City	MAN () () State	3312_	Email M	ed & which your
Speaking: For A	gainst Information	Waive Sp (The Chair		pport Against Against at least
Representing 1	oridat children	tich	100	
Appearing at request of C	Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislat	ure: Yes No
	encourage public testimony, tim may be asked to limit their rema		<del></del>	*
This form is part of the publi	c record for this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) January 12th 2016 SB 590 Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Topic Adoption Intervention Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Job Title Address Phone Street Email City State Zip Information Waive Speaking: ✓ In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

# APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) **January 12th 2016** SB 590 Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Topic Adoption Intervention Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Job Title Phone Address Street Email City State Zip Against Information Waive Speaking: ✓ In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Appearing at request of Chair:

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

# **APPEARANCE RECORD**

1/12/16	(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator	or Senate Professional S	taff conducting the meeting)	<b>590</b>
Meeting Date	13.7 			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Adop			Amendi	ment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Robert	Holzerd	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Job Title Gov erne	went Affairs Maring	>e/	ر ریسم	
Address 6600 14	V. Commercial Blud.		Phone 454	377-1677
Street	11 F.C.	33319	Email RHolcon	do esc broward on
Speaking: For	State Against Information	(The Cha	peaking: 1n Sup ir will read this informa	port Against tion into the record.)
Representing	hildren's Services	Council o	( Broward_	County
	of Chair: Yes No		ered with Legislatu	
	n to encourage public testimony, time eak may be asked to limit their remark			

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

# **APPEARANCE RECORD**

1/2/16	(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senato	or or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting)	570
Meeting Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic ASS	TYDA		. Amendi	ment Barcode (if applicable)
Name 13 1A	JA FACAGE	Company		
Job Title Direct	TOK, POCKE PE	scal Cag		
Address Street	SUD SIGNS WAY	Jam STAL FLE	Phone <u>Sess</u>	5715718
City	State	7/n	Email	a their
Speaking: For		Waive S	peaking: In Sup ir will read this informa	port Against
Representing	Ha any runto	6 6 NS		A second
Appearing at request o	of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislatu	re: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition meeting. Those who do spe	n to encourage public testimony, tim eak may be asked to limit their rema	e may not permit ali rks so that as many	persons wishing to sp persons as possible ca	eak to be heard at this an be heard.
This form is part of the pu	thlic record for this meeting			0.004 (404 444 4)

# **APPEARANCE RECORD**

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Senator Date)	Staff conducting the meeting)  Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Adoption	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title	 *
Address II (S. Mach Classes)	Phone 950-425-2600
City State Zip	Email: 177 pollu (6) ha way to
Speaking: For Against Information (Waive)S	peaking: In Support Against hir will read this information into the record.)
Representing Wolf March 3 am	
	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many <b>This form is part of the public record for this meeting.</b>	persons as possible can be heard.
ine form to part of the public record for this infecting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Judiciary								
BILL:	SB 972							
INTRODUCER:	Senator Lee							
SUBJECT:	Family Law							
DATE:	January 11, 2	2016	REVISED:					
ANAL	YST	STAFF Cibula	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE JU	Favorable	ACTION		
2.				RC				

# I. Summary:

SB 972 establishes the Collaborative Law Process Act as the framework for a collaborative law process to facilitate the out-of-court settlement of dissolution of marriage and paternity cases. The process is a type of alternative dispute resolution, which employs collaborative attorneys, mental health professionals, and financial specialists to help the parties reach a consensus. The terms of the process are contained in a collaborative law participation agreement between the parties.

Under the bill, issues that may be resolved through the collaborative process, include but are not limited to:

- Alimony and child support;
- Marital property distribution;
- Child custody and visitation;
- Parental relocation with a child;
- Premarital, marital, and postmarital agreements; and
- Paternity.

The bill also defines under what circumstances the collaborative law process begins and ends. The collaborative law process begins when the parties enter into a collaborative law participation agreement. Under the bill, parties may enter into a collaborative law participation agreement before filing a petition with the court or while an action is pending. The bill also allows for the partial resolution of issues collaboratively, with the remainder to be resolved through the traditional adversarial process.

Under the bill, collaborative law communications, which are communications made as part of the collaborative process, are generally confidential and privileged from disclosure, not subject to discovery in a subsequent court proceeding, and inadmissible as evidence. However, the bill provides exceptions to the privilege.

The effect of the bill is contingent upon the adoption of implementing rules by the Florida Supreme Court.

### II. Present Situation:

#### **Collaborative Law Process**

The collaborative law process, a type of alternative dispute resolution, is designed to facilitate the out-of-court settlement of dissolution of marriage cases. The process employs collaborative attorneys, mental health professionals, and financial specialists to help the parties reach consensus. The parties, attorneys, and team of professionals negotiate various terms, such as the distribution of property, alimony, and child visitation and support. A collaborative law participation agreement provides the structure for how the parties will proceed.

Once the parties reach agreement on a disputed matter, they sign and file with the court the marital settlement agreement.

The purported benefits of a collaborative divorce are that the process hastens resolution of disputed issues and that the total expenses of the parties are less than the parties would incur in traditional litigation. Although a comparison of costs is not available, the International Academy of Collaborative Professionals (IACP) studied 933 cases in which the parties agreed to the collaborative process.<sup>1</sup>

### The IACP found that:

- Eighty percent of all collaborative cases resolved within 1 year;
- Eighty six percent of the cases studied were resolved with a formal agreement and no court appearances; and
- The average fees for all professionals totaled \$24,185.<sup>2</sup>

Some jurisdictions disfavor the collaborative process for cases involving domestic violence, substance abuse, or severe mental illness.<sup>3</sup>

## **History of Collaborative Law Movement**

The collaborative law movement, starting in 1990, began to significantly expand after 2000.<sup>4</sup> Known as an interdisciplinary dispute resolution process, collaborative law envisions a collaborative team of professionals assembled to assist the divorcing couple in negotiating resolution of their issues.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The International Academy of Collaborative Professionals has more than 4,000 professionals as members from 24 countries. John Lande, *The Revolution in Family Law Dispute Resolution*, 24 J. Am. ACAD. MATRIM. LAW. 411, 430 (2012). <sup>2</sup> Glen L. Rabenn, Marc R. Bertone, and Paul J. Toohey, *Collaborative Divorce – A Follow Up*, 55-APR Orange County Law 32, 36 (Apr. 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> *Id*. at 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> John Lande and Forrest S. Mosten, Family Lawyering: Past, Present, and Future, 51 FAM. CT. REV. 20, 22 (Jan. 2013).

In the United States, at least 30,000 attorneys and family professionals have been trained in the collaborative process.<sup>5</sup>

#### **Uniform Collaborative Law Act of 2009**

In the United States, the Uniform Law Commission established the Uniform Collaborative Law Act of 2009 (amended in 2010). According to the ULC:

Collaborative Law is a voluntary dispute-resolution process in which clients agree that, with respect to a particular matter in dispute, their named counsel will represent them solely for purposes of negotiation, and, if the matter is not settled out of court that new counsel will be retained for purposes of litigation. The parties and their lawyers work together to find an equitable resolution of a dispute, retaining experts as necessary. The process is intended to promote full and open disclosure and, as is the case in mediation, information disclosed ... is privileged against use in any subsequent litigation. ... Collaborative Law is governed by a patchwork of state laws, state Supreme Court rules, local rules, and ethics opinions. The Uniform Collaborative Law Rules/Act (UCLR/A) is intended to create a uniform national framework for the use of Collaborative Law; one which includes important consumer protections and enforceable privilege provisions.<sup>6</sup>

Thirteen states, Alabama, Arizona, District of Columbia, Hawaii, Maryland, Michigan, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, Ohio, Texas, Utah, and Washington have enacted the Uniform Collaborative Law Act.<sup>7</sup> Nine states, including Florida, address the collaborative process through local court rules.<sup>8</sup>

An essential component of the Uniform Collaborative Law Act (UCLA) is the mandatory disqualification of the collaborative attorneys if the parties fail to reach an agreement or intend to engage in contested litigation. Once both collaborative lawyers are disqualified from further representation, the parties must start again with new counsel. "The disqualification provision thus creates incentives for parties and Collaborative lawyers to settle."

At least three sections of the American Bar Association have approved the UCLA—the Section of Dispute Resolution, the Section of Individual Right & Responsibilities, and the Family Law Section. However, in 2011 when the ULC submitted the UCLA to the American Bar Association's House of Delegates for approval, it was rejected. The disqualification provision appears to have been the primary basis for the ABA's decision. Those within the ABA who

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Lande, *supra* note 1, at 430.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Uniform Law Commission, *Uniform Collaborative Law Rules/Act Short Summary* (on file with the Senate Judiciary Committee).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Legislative Fact Sheet, <a href="http://www.uniformlaws.org/Act.aspx?title=Collaborative%20Law%20Act">http://www.uniformlaws.org/Act.aspx?title=Collaborative%20Law%20Act</a> (last visited Jan. 5, 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Alabama, California, Florida, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, and Wisconsin. Email correspondence with Meghan McCann, National Conference of State Legislatures (Feb. 19, 2015). At least four judicial circuits in Florida have adopted local court rules on collaborative law. These are the 9th, 11th, 13th, and 18th judicial circuits. Other circuits may however recognize the collaborative process in the absence of issuing a formal administrative order.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Lande, *supra* note 4 at 429.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> New Jersey Law Revision Commission, *Final Report Relating to New Jersey Family Collaborative Law Act*, 5 (Jul. 23, 2013), http://www.lawrev.state.nj.us/ucla/njfclaFR0723131500.pdf.

objected to the UCLA have stated that the disqualification provision unfairly enables one party to disqualify the other party's attorney simply by terminating the collaborative process or initiating litigation.<sup>11</sup>

# Florida Court System

In the 1990s, the court system began to move towards establishing family law divisions and support services to accommodate families in conflict. In 2001, the Florida Supreme Court adopted the Model Family Court Initiative. This action by the Court combined all family cases, including dependency, adoption, paternity, dissolution of marriage, and child custody into the jurisdiction of a specially designated family court. The Court noted the need for these cases to have a "system that provide[s] nonadversarial alternatives and flexibility of alternatives; a system that preserve[s] rather than destroy[s] family relationships; ... and a system that facilitate[s] the process chosen by the parties." The court also noted the need to fully staff a mediation program, anticipating that mediation can resolve a high percentage of disputes. <sup>13</sup>

In 2012, the Florida Family Law Rules committee proposed to the Florida Supreme Court a new rule 12.745, to be known as the Collaborative Process Rule.<sup>14</sup> In declining to adopt the rule, the court explained:

Given the possibility of legislative action addressing the use of the collaborative law process and the fact that certain foundations, such as training or certification of attorneys for participation in the process, have not yet been laid, we conclude that the adoption of a court rule on the subject at this time would be premature.<sup>15</sup>

Although the Florida Supreme Court has not adopted rules on collaborative law, at least four judicial circuits in Florida have adopted local court rules on collaborative law through an administrative order. These are the 9th, 11th, 13th, and 18th judicial circuits. Each of the administrative orders includes the requirement that an attorney disqualify himself or herself if the collaborative process is unsuccessful. Other circuits have recognized the collaborative process in the absence of issuing a formal administrative order.

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

## **Collaborative Law Process Act**

This bill establishes the Collaborative Law Process Act as a basic framework for the collaborative law process, for use in dissolution of marriage and paternity cases. The collaborative law process, a type of alternative dispute resolution, is designed to facilitate the out-of-court settlement of dissolution of marriage cases. The process employs collaborative

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Andrew J. Meyer, *The Uniform Collaborative Law Act: Statutory Framework and the Struggle for Approval by the American Bar Association*, 4 Y.B. ON ARB. & MEDIATION 212, 216 (2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> In re Report of Family Court Steering Committee, 794 So. 2d 518, 523 (Fla. 2001).

<sup>13</sup> Id. at 520

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> In Re: Amendments to the Florida Family Law Rules of Procedure, 84 So. 3d 257 (March 15, 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> *Id*.

attorneys, mental health professionals, and financial specialists to help the parties reach agreement.

By placing the Act in law, the bill offers another kind of alternative dispute resolution, besides mediation, to parties involved in dissolution of marriage and parentage cases. However, unlike mediation, which may be court-ordered, participation in the collaborative process is voluntary.<sup>16</sup>

The authority for the collaborative process provided in the bill is limited to issues governed by chapter 61, F.S. (Dissolution of Marriage; Support; Time-sharing) and chapter 742, F.S. (Determination of Parentage). More specifically, the following issues are proper issues for resolution through the collaborative law process:

- Marriage, divorce, dissolution, annulment, and marital property distribution;
- Child custody, visitation, parenting plan, and parenting time;
- Alimony, maintenance, child support;
- Parental relocation with a child;
- Premarital, marital, and postmarital agreements; and
- Paternity.

### **Beginning and End of Collaborative Process**

The bill defines the circumstances in which a collaborative law case begins and ends. The collaborative law process begins when the parties enter into a collaborative law participation agreement. The agreement governs the terms of how the process will proceed. Parties may enter into the agreement before or after petitioning a court for the dissolution of marriage or determination of parentage.

The collaborative law process concludes when issues are resolved and the parties sign the agreement. But the bill also allows for the collaborative law process to partially resolve the issues. If partially resolved, parties agree to reserve remaining issues for the judicial process.

Alternatively, a collaborative law process may terminate before any issues are resolved. The collaborative law process terminates when a party:

- Provides notice to the other parties that the process has ended;
- Begins a court proceeding without consent of the other party, or asks the court to place the proceeding on a court calendar;
- Initiates a pleading, motion, order to show cause, or requests a conference with a court; or
- Discharges a collaborative attorney or a collaborative attorney withdraws as counsel.

The bill allows the process to continue if a party hires a successor collaborative attorney to replace his or her previous attorney. The unrepresented party must hire, and identify in the agreement, a successor collaborative attorney within 30 days after providing notice that the party is unrepresented.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Section 61.183(1), F.S., provides, in part: "In any proceeding in which the issues of parental responsibility, primary residence, access to, visitation with, or support of a child are contested, the court may refer the parties to mediation ...."

In allowing parties to begin the process before or after filing a petition, partially resolve issues, and hire successor collaborative attorneys, parties can customize the process as they see fit.

### **Mandatory Disqualification**

This bill does not provide for mandatory disqualification of the collaborative attorneys if the process does not result in an agreement. Therefore, the primary incentive to encourage resolution is not in the bill. Although the bill conforms to the Uniform Collaborative Law Act in other respects, the failure to include mandatory disqualification is a significant departure from the UCLA. However, the Supreme Court could include the disqualification requirement in its implementing rules.

The bill also departs from local court rules on collaborative divorce. All circuits in which courts have adopted local rules on the collaborative process require counsel to withdraw from further representation if the process breaks down and an agreement is not reached.<sup>17</sup>

### **Confidentiality and Privilege**

The bill generally provides that collaborative law communications are confidential and privileged from disclosure. As such, communications made during the collaborative law process are not subject to discovery or admissible as evidence.

The bill identifies a number of exceptions to the privilege. The privilege does not apply to communications if:

- The parties agree to waive privilege.
- A person makes a prejudicial statement during the collaborative law process. In this instance, preclusion applies to enable the person prejudiced to respond to the statement.
- A participant makes statements available to the public under the state's public records law or made during a meeting of the process that is required to be open to the public.
- A participant makes a threat, or describes a plan to inflict bodily injury.
- A participant makes a statement that is intentionally used to plan, commit, attempt to commit, or conceal a crime.
- A person seeks to introduce the statement in a claim or complaint of professional misconduct or malpractice arising from the collaborative law process.
- A person seeks to introduce the statement to prove or disprove abuse, neglect, abandonment, or exploitation of children or adults unless the Department of Children and Families is involved.
- A court finds that the evidence is not otherwise available, the need for the evidence substantially outweighs the interest in confidentiality, and the communication is sought or offered in a felony proceeding or a proceeding involving contract disputes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Order Authorizing Collaborative Process Dispute Resolution Model in the Ninth Judicial Circuit of Florida, Fla. Admin. Order No. 2008-06 (Mar. 28, 2008); *In re:* Authorizing the Collaborative Process Dispute Resolution Model in the Eleventh Judicial Circuit of Florida, Fla. Admin Order No. 07-08 (Oct. 2007); Collaborative Family Law Practice, Fla. Admin. Order No. S-2012-041 (Jul. 31, 2012); *In re:* Domestic Relations—Collaborative Conflict Resolution in Dissolution of Marriage Cases, Fla. Admin. Order No. 14-04 Amended (Feb. 23, 2014) (on file with the Senate Judiciary Committee).

Other than the discrete categories of exceptions to the privilege, the bill provides a broad level of confidentiality and protection from disclosure to collaborative law communications. Additionally, disclosure is limited to only the part of the communication needed for the purpose of the disclosure. Parties will be encouraged to communicate openly during the collaborative law process.

### **Rule Adoption by the Florida Supreme Court**

Although the bill becomes law July 1, 2016, its provisions do not take effect until 30 days after the Florida Supreme Court adopts rules of procedure and professional responsibility.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

This bill does not contain a mandate because the bill does not affect cities or counties.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

## V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Although some family law attorneys already practice collaborative law in the state, the bill could theoretically expand the use of collaborative law as an alternative to traditional litigation in dissolution of marriage cases. To the extent that collaborative law reduces costs of litigation, parties undergoing divorce could benefit financially from electing to proceed in a collaborative manner.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The Office of the State Courts Administrator (OSCA) indicates that the bill could potentially decrease judicial workload due to fewer filings, hearings, and contested issues. Some judicial workload, however, could result from *in camera* hearings regarding privilege determinations. Due to the unavailability of data needed to quantifiably establish the impact on judicial or court workload, fiscal impact is indeterminate. <sup>18</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Office of the State Courts Administrator, 2016 Judicial Impact Statement (Dec. 21, 2015).

BILL: SB 972 Page 8

# VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

# VII. Related Issues:

None.

# VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill creates the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 61.55, 61.56, 61.57, and 61.58.

# IX. Additional Information:

# A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

#### B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

By Senator Lee

24-00824A-16 2016972

A bill to be entitled An act relating to family law; providing a short title; providing a directive to the Division of Law Revision and Information; providing legislative findings; creating s. 61.55, F.S.; providing a purpose; creating s. 61.56, F.S.; defining terms; creating s. 61.57, F.S.; providing that a collaborative law process begins when the parties enter into a collaborative law participation 10 agreement; prohibiting a tribunal from ordering a 11 party to participate in a collaborative law process 12 over the party's objection; providing the conditions 13 under which a collaborative law process concludes, 14 terminates, or continues; creating s. 61.58, F.S.; 15 providing for confidentiality of communications made 16 during the collaborative law process; providing 17 exceptions; providing that specified provisions do not 18 take effect until 30 days after the Florida Supreme 19 Court adopts rules of procedure and professional 20 responsibility; providing a contingent effective date; 21 providing effective dates.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

232425

26 27

28

22

Section 1. This act may be cited as the "Collaborative Law Process Act."  $\,$ 

Section 2. The Division of Law Revision and Information is directed to create part III of chapter 61, Florida Statutes, consisting of ss. 61.55-61.58, Florida Statutes, to be entitled

Page 1 of 10

CODING: Words  $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$  are deletions; words  $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 972

2016972

24-00824A-16

1	<del></del>
30	the "Collaborative Law Process Act."
31	Section 3. The Legislature finds and declares that the
32	purpose of part III of chapter 61, Florida Statutes, is to:
33	(1) Create a uniform system of practice for a collaborative
34	law process for proceedings under chapters 61 and 742, Florida
35	Statutes.
36	(2) Encourage the peaceful resolution of disputes and the
37	early settlement of pending litigation through voluntary
38	settlement procedures.
39	(3) Preserve the working relationship between parties to a
40	dispute through a nonadversarial method that reduces the
41	emotional and financial toll of litigation.
42	Section 4. Section 61.55, Florida Statutes, is created to
43	read:
44	61.55 Purpose.—The purpose of this part is to create a
45	uniform system of practice for the collaborative law process in
46	this state. It is the policy of this state to encourage the
47	peaceful resolution of disputes and the early resolution of
48	pending litigation through a voluntary settlement process. The
49	collaborative law process is a unique nonadversarial process
50	that preserves a working relationship between the parties and
51	reduces the emotional and financial toll of litigation.
52	Section 5. Section 61.56, Florida Statutes, is created to
53	read:
54	61.56 Definitions.—As used in this part, the term:
55	(1) "Collaborative attorney" means an attorney who
56	represents a party in a collaborative law process.
57	(2) "Collaborative law communication" means an oral or
58	written statement, including a statement made in a record, or

Page 2 of 10

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

SB 972 Florida Senate - 2016

	24-00824A-16 2016972_
59	nonverbal conduct that:
60	(a) Is made in the conduct of or in the course of
61	participating in, continuing, or reconvening for a collaborative
62	law process; and
63	(b) Occurs after the parties sign a collaborative law
64	participation agreement and before the collaborative law process
65	is concluded or terminated.
66	(3) "Collaborative law participation agreement" means an
67	agreement between persons to participate in a collaborative law
68	process.
69	(4) "Collaborative law process" means a process intended to
70	resolve a collaborative matter without intervention by a
71	tribunal and in which persons sign a collaborative law
72	participation agreement and are represented by collaborative
73	attorneys.
74	(5) "Collaborative matter" means a dispute, a transaction,
75	a claim, a problem, or an issue for resolution, including a
76	dispute, a claim, or an issue in a proceeding which is described
77	in a collaborative law participation agreement and arises under
78	<pre>chapter 61 or chapter 742, including, but not limited to:</pre>
79	(a) Marriage, divorce, dissolution, annulment, and marital
30	property distribution.
31	(b) Child custody, visitation, parenting plan, and
32	parenting time.
33	(c) Alimony, maintenance, and child support.
34	(d) Parental relocation with a child.
35	(e) Parentage and paternity.
36	(f) Premarital, marital, and postmarital agreements.

Page 3 of 10

(6) "Law firm" means:

87

 ${f CODING:}$  Words  ${f stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${f underlined}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 972

	24-00824A-16 2016972
88	(a) One or more attorneys who practice law in a
89	partnership, professional corporation, sole proprietorship,
90	limited liability company, or association; or
91	(b) One or more attorneys employed in a legal services
92	organization, the legal department of a corporation or other
93	organization, or the legal department of a governmental entity,
94	subdivision, agency, or instrumentality.
95	(7) "Nonparty participant" means a person, other than a
96	party and the party's collaborative attorney, who participates
97	in a collaborative law process.
98	(8) "Party" means a person who signs a collaborative law
99	participation agreement and whose consent is necessary to
100	resolve a collaborative matter.
101	(9) "Person" means an individual; a corporation; a business
102	trust; an estate; a trust; a partnership; a limited liability
103	<pre>company; an association; a joint venture; a public corporation;</pre>
104	a government or governmental subdivision, agency, or
105	instrumentality; or any other legal or commercial entity.
106	(10) "Proceeding" means a judicial, an administrative, an
107	arbitral, or any other adjudicative process before a tribunal,
108	including related prehearing and posthearing motions,
109	conferences, and discovery.
110	(11) "Prospective party" means a person who discusses with
111	a prospective collaborative attorney the possibility of signing
112	a collaborative law participation agreement.
113	(12) "Record" means information that is inscribed on a
114	tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other
115	medium and is retrievable in perceivable form.
116	(13) "Related to a collaborative matter" means involving

Page 4 of 10

 ${f CODING:}$  Words  ${f stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${f underlined}$  are additions.

24-00824A-16

2016972\_\_

117	the same parties, transaction or occurrence, nucleus of
118	operative fact, dispute, claim, or issue as the collaborative
119	<pre>matter.</pre>
120	(14) "Sign" means, with present intent to authenticate or
121	adopt a record, to:
122	(a) Execute or adopt a tangible symbol; or
123	(b) Attach to or logically associate with the record an
124	electronic symbol, sound, or process.
125	(15) "Tribunal" means a court, an arbitrator, an
126	administrative agency, or other body acting in an adjudicative
127	capacity which, after presentation of evidence or legal
128	argument, has jurisdiction to render a decision affecting a
129	party's interests in a matter.
130	Section 6. Section 61.57, Florida Statutes, is created to
131	read:
132	61.57 Beginning, concluding, and terminating a
133	collaborative law process
134	(1) The collaborative law process begins, regardless of
135	whether a legal proceeding is pending, when the parties enter
136	into a collaborative law participation agreement.
137	(2) A tribunal may not order a party to participate in a
138	collaborative law process over that party's objection.
139	(3) A collaborative law process is concluded by any of the
140	following:
141	(a) Resolution of a collaborative matter as evidenced by a
142	signed record;
143	(b) Resolution of a part of the collaborative matter,
144	evidenced by a signed record, in which the parties agree that
145	the remaining parts of the collaborative matter will not be

Page 5 of 10

 ${\bf CODING:}$  Words  ${\bf stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 972

	24-00824A-16 2016972
146	resolved in the collaborative law process; or
147	(c) Termination of the collaborative law process.
148	(4) A collaborative law process terminates when a party:
149	(a) Gives notice to the other parties in a record that the
150	collaborative law process is concluded;
151	(b) Begins a proceeding related to a collaborative matter
152	without the consent of all parties;
153	(c) Initiates a pleading, a motion, an order to show cause,
154	or a request for a conference with a tribunal in a pending
155	proceeding related to a collaborative matter;
156	(d) Requests that the proceeding be put on the tribunal's
157	active calendar in a pending proceeding related to a
158	collaborative matter;
159	(e) Takes similar action requiring notice to be sent to the
160	parties in a pending proceeding related to a collaborative
161	<pre>matter; or</pre>
162	(f) Discharges a collaborative attorney or a collaborative
163	attorney withdraws from further representation of a party,
164	except as otherwise provided in subsection (7).
165	(5) A party's collaborative attorney shall give prompt
166	notice to all other parties in a record of a discharge or
167	withdrawal.
168	(6) A party may terminate a collaborative law process with
169	or without cause.
170	(7) Notwithstanding the discharge or withdrawal of a
171	collaborative attorney, the collaborative law process continues
172	if, not later than 30 days after the date that the notice of the
173	discharge or withdrawal of a collaborative attorney required by
174	subsection (5) is sent to the parties:

Page 6 of 10

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

24-00824A-16

2016972\_\_

175	(a) The unrepresented party engages a successor			
176	collaborative attorney;			
177	(b) The parties consent to continue the collaborative law			
178	process by reaffirming the collaborative law participation			
179	agreement in a signed record;			
180	(c) The collaborative law participation agreement is			
181	amended to identify the successor collaborative attorney in a			
182	signed record; and			
183	(d) The successor collaborative attorney confirms his or			
184	her representation of a party in the collaborative law			
185	participation agreement in a signed record.			
186	(8) A collaborative law process does not conclude if, with			
187	the consent of the parties, a party requests a tribunal to			
188	8 approve a resolution of a collaborative matter or any part			
189	thereof as evidenced by a signed record.			
190	0 (9) A collaborative law participation agreement may provide			
191	additional methods for concluding a collaborative law process.			
192	Section 7. Section 61.58, Florida Statutes, is created to			
193	read:			
194	61.58 Confidentiality of a collaborative law			
195	communicationExcept as provided in this section, a			
196	collaborative law communication is confidential to the extent			
197	agreed by the parties in a signed record or as otherwise			
198	provided by law.			
199	(1) PRIVILEGE AGAINST DISCLOSURE FOR COLLABORATIVE LAW			
200	COMMUNICATION; ADMISSIBILITY; DISCOVERY			
201	(a) Subject to subsections (2) and (3), a collaborative law			
202	communication is privileged as provided under paragraph (b), is			
203	not subject to discovery, and is not admissible into evidence.			

Page 7 of 10

 ${\bf CODING:}$  Words  ${\bf stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 972

	24-00824A-16 2016972
204	(b) In a proceeding, the following privileges apply:
205	1. A party may refuse to disclose, and may prevent another
206	person from disclosing, a collaborative law communication.
207	2. A nonparty participant may refuse to disclose, and may
208	prevent another person from disclosing, a collaborative law
209	communication of a nonparty participant.
210	(c) Evidence or information that is otherwise admissible or
211	subject to discovery does not become inadmissible or protected
212	from discovery solely because of its disclosure or use in a
213	collaborative law process.
214	(2) WAIVER AND PRECLUSION OF PRIVILEGE.
215	(a) A privilege under subsection (1) may be waived orally
216	or in a record during a proceeding if it is expressly waived by
217	all parties and, in the case of the privilege of a nonparty
218	participant, if it is expressly waived by the nonparty
219	participant.
220	(b) A person who makes a disclosure or representation about
221	a collaborative law communication that prejudices another person
222	$\underline{\text{in a proceeding may not assert a privilege under subsection (1).}}$
223	This preclusion applies only to the extent necessary for the
224	person prejudiced to respond to the disclosure or
225	representation.
226	(3) LIMITS OF PRIVILEGE.—
227	(a) A privilege under subsection (1) does not apply to a
228	collaborative law communication that is:
229	$\underline{\text{1. Available to the public under chapter 119 or made during}}$
230	a session of a collaborative law process that is open, or is
231	required by law to be open, to the public;
232	2. A threat, or statement of a plan, to inflict bodily

Page 8 of 10

 ${\bf CODING:}$  Words  ${\bf stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

	24-00824A-16 2016972
233	=
	injury or commit a crime of violence;
234	3. Intentionally used to plan a crime, commit or attempt to
235	commit a crime, or conceal an ongoing crime or ongoing criminal
236	activity; or
237	4. In an agreement resulting from the collaborative law
238	process, as evidenced by a record signed by all parties to the
239	agreement.
240	(b) The privilege under subsection (1) for a collaborative
241	law communication does not apply to the extent that such
242	collaborative law communication is:
243	1. Sought or offered to prove or disprove a claim or
244	complaint of professional misconduct or malpractice arising from
245	or relating to a collaborative law process; or
246	2. Sought or offered to prove or disprove abuse, neglect,
247	abandonment, or exploitation of a child or an adult unless the
248	Department of Children and Families is a party to or otherwise
49	participates in the process.
250	(c) A privilege under subsection (1) does not apply if a
251	tribunal finds, after a hearing in camera, that the party
252	seeking discovery or the proponent of the evidence has shown
253	that the evidence is not otherwise available, the need for the
254	evidence substantially outweighs the interest in protecting
255	confidentiality, and the collaborative law communication is
256	sought or offered in:
57	1 A proceeding involving a felony or

Page 9 of 10

(d) If a collaborative law communication is subject to an

2. A proceeding seeking rescission or reformation of a

which a defense is asserted to avoid liability on the contract.

contract arising out of the collaborative law process or in

258

259

260

261

 ${\bf CODING:}$  Words  ${\bf stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 972

2016972

24-00824A-16

262	exception under paragraph (b) or paragraph (c), only the part of
263	the collaborative law communication necessary for the
264	application of the exception may be disclosed or admitted.
265	(e) Disclosure or admission of evidence excepted from the
266	privilege under paragraph (b) or paragraph (c) does not make the
267	evidence or any other collaborative law communication
268	discoverable or admissible for any other purpose.
269	(f) The privilege under subsection (1) does not apply if
270	the parties agree in advance in a signed record, or if a record
271	of a proceeding reflects agreement by the parties, that all or
272	part of a collaborative law process is not privileged. This
273	paragraph does not apply to a collaborative law communication
274	made by a person who did not receive actual notice of the
275	collaborative law participation agreement before the
276	communication was made.
277	Section 8. Sections 61.55-61.58, Florida Statutes, as
278	created by this act, shall not take effect until 30 days after
279	the Florida Supreme Court adopts rules of procedure and
280	professional responsibility consistent with this act.
281	Section 9. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this
282	act, this act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Page 10 of 10

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

# ATE STATE OF THE S

#### THE FLORIDA SENATE

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100



COMMITTEES:
Appropriations, Chair
Appropriations Subcommittee on General
Government
Banking and Insurance
Reapportionment

JOINT COMMITTEE: Joint Legislative Budget Commission, Alternating Chair

#### SENATOR TOM LEE 24th District

December 15, 2015

The Honorable Miguel Diaz de la Portilla Senate Committee on Judiciary, Chair 406 Senate Office Building 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Chairman Diaz de la Portilla,

I respectfully request that SB 972 related to *Family Law*, be placed on the Senate Committee on Judiciary agenda at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Tom Lee

Senator, District 24

Tom Lu

Cc: Tom Cibula, Staff Director

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prep	ared By: T	he Professiona	Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judiciary	
BILL:	SB 996					
INTRODUCER: Senator Negron						
SUBJECT:	Civil Reme	dies for T	Terrorism			
DATE:	January 11,	2016	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFI	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Davis		Cibula	•	JU	<b>Favorable</b>	
2				ACJ		
3.				FP		

## I. Summary:

SB 996 creates a civil cause of action for a person who is injured by an act of terrorism or by a violation of a law that facilitates or furthers an act of terrorism. A successful plaintiff is entitled to three times the actual damages sustained, a minimum of \$1,000, and reasonable attorney fees and court costs at the trial and appellate levels. In contrast, a defendant is entitled to recover reasonable attorney fees and court costs at the trial and appellate levels if it is determined that the claimant raised a claim that is not supported factually or legally.

When a court awards attorney fees and costs under the bill, it may not consider whether the opposing party is able to pay the fees and costs. The bill does not limit any other right to recover attorney fees or costs established in any other provisions of law.

A person who participates in the act of terrorism and is injured may not bring a claim under the cause of action authorized by the bill.

### **II.** Present Situation:

#### **Torts**

A tort is a civil wrong for which the person harmed may seek a remedy, generally in the form of damages. A basic purpose of tort law is to allow the wronged person to be compensated for his or her injury by the person responsible for the wrong. The burden of loss is shifted from the injured person to the one who is at fault. While some acts may, at the same time, be both a crime and a tort, the crime is committed against the public and redress is pursued by the state. The tort, however, is a private injury and redress is pursued by the injured party in a civil suit.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 55 FLA. JUR 2D TORTS s. 1 (2015).

An intentional tort is committed by a person who acts with general or specific intent to harm someone<sup>2</sup> or engages in conduct that is substantially certain to bring about injury or death.<sup>3</sup> Some general examples of intentional torts are assault, battery, false imprisonment, fraud, intentional infliction of emotional distress, and invasion of privacy. Beyond the usual economic and non-economic damages, a defendant may also be held liable for punitive damages if there is a finding that the defendant was personally guilty of intentional misconduct or gross negligence.<sup>4</sup>

While the statutes do not provide a specific cause of action for someone in Florida to recover for injuries sustained by terrorism, it is arguable that a cause of action might be made for battery.

## **Civil Remedies for Criminal Practices in Chapter 772**

Civil remedies are provided as redress for certain criminal practices enumerated in chapter 772, F.S. A civil cause of action is provided for any person who proves by clear and convincing evidence that he or she has been injured by someone who has received proceeds derived from a pattern of criminal activity.<sup>5</sup> The criminal activity referred to includes offenses relating to the manufacture, distribution, and use of explosives, homicide, assault and battery, kidnapping, weapons and firearms, arson, computer-related crimes, bribery, and the obstruction of justice.<sup>6</sup>

While punitive damages are not generally recoverable for claims arising under chapter 772, F.S., a prevailing plaintiff may recover threefold, or treble, the actual damages and a minimum of \$200 in damages, or \$1,000 under the Drug Dealer Liability Act, as well as attorney fees and court costs at trial and on appeal.<sup>7</sup> A defendant, however, is entitled to recover reasonable attorney fees and court costs at the trial and appellate levels if it is determined that the claimant raised a claim that was without substantial fact or legal support. The court is precluded from considering whether the opposing party is able to pay fees and costs.<sup>8</sup>

If a civil remedy is applied under chapter 772, it does not preclude the application of any other remedy, whether civil or criminal, under any other provision of law. Additionally, if a defendant has been found guilty or pled guilty or nolo contendere to the same criminal act that is the basis of the plaintiff's civil cause of action under chapter 772, F.S., the defendant is estopped as if the plaintiff had been a party in the state's criminal action.

#### **Terrorism**

Terrorism is defined in the Florida Criminal Code as an activity that involves a violent act or an act dangerous to human life which is a violation of the criminal laws of the state or of the United

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (14th ed. 2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 55 FLA. JUR 2D TORTS s. 6 (2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Section 768.72(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Sections 772.103(1) and 772.104, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 772.102(1), F.S. By definition, "criminal activity" means to commit, attempt to commit, conspire to commit, or solicit, coerce, or intimidate another person to commit the list of crimes in s. 772.102(1)(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Sections 772.104(1), 772.11(1), and 772.12, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 772.104(3), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Section 772.18, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Section 772.14, F.S.

States or involves a violation of s. 815.06, F.S., relating to offenses against users of computers and electronic devices, and:

- Is intended to intimidate, injure, or coerce a civilian population;
- Influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion; or
- Affect the conduct of government through the destruction of property, assassination, murder, kidnapping, or aircraft piracy. 11

Terrorism is a predicate act for the crime of capital murder, it is not an independent crime in the statutes.<sup>12</sup> If someone is convicted of committing a felony or misdemeanor that facilitated or furthered an act of terrorism, the court is required to reclassify the felony or misdemeanor to the next higher degree.<sup>13</sup> Also, if the underlying crime is a first-degree misdemeanor or greater, the offense severity ranking is increased, thereby increasing the defendant's potential sentence.<sup>14</sup>

#### **Federal Terrorism Statute**

SB 996 is structured similarly to the federal Antiterrorism Act of 1990.<sup>15</sup> The federal legislation also provides for the recovery of treble damages, cost of the suit, and attorney fees, but differs in that the injury sustained by the claimant must be for an act of international terrorism. The international provision requires that the act "occur primarily outside the territorial jurisdiction of the United States, or transcend national boundaries in terms of the means by which they are accomplished . . . ."<sup>16</sup>

#### **Liability for Intentional Torts**

Under the doctrine of joint and several liability, all of the defendants at fault for a plaintiff's damages are responsible for the total of each defendants fault.<sup>17</sup> With a few exceptions, s. 768.81, F.S., generally abolished the application of the doctrine. One of the exceptions allows the doctrine of joint and several liability to apply to "any action based upon an intentional tort." <sup>18</sup>

#### **Attorney Fees and Sanctions for Raising Unsupported Claims or Defenses**

Section 57.105, F.S., generally authorizes a court to award reasonable attorney fees, including prejudgment interest to the prevailing party from the losing party and the losing party's attorney for unsupported claims and defenses presented to the court. The statute further provides that its remedies are supplemental to other sanctions available under law or court rules.<sup>19</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Section 775.30, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Section 782.04(1)(a)2.r., (3)r., and (4)s., F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Section 775.31(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Section 775.31(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 18 U.S.C. s. 2331 et. seq.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> 18 U.S.C. s. 2331(1)(C).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Louisville & N. R. Co. v. Allen, 65 So. 8 (1914).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Section 768.81(4), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Section 57.105(6), F.S.

#### **Similar Legislation in Other States**

Private William "Andy" Long, U.S. Army, was killed in uniform outside of an Arkansas Army recruiting office on June 1, 2009. Another soldier was wounded in the shooting but survived. The defendant in the case claimed to be a terrorist and had traveled to Yemen and Somalia. In 2011, he pleaded guilty to capital murder and attempted capital murder and received a life sentence with no possibility of parole. Because of this incident, legislation has been enacted in Louisiana, Arkansas, Kansas, Tennessee, and North Carolina that permits victims of terrorist acts to recover damages as proposed in this legislation. <sup>21</sup>

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

SB 996 creates a civil cause of action for a person who is injured by an act of terrorism or by a violation of a law that facilitates or furthers an act of terrorism. A successful plaintiff is entitled to three times the actual damages sustained, a minimum of \$1,000, and reasonable attorney fees and court costs at the trial and appellate levels. A person who participates in the act of terrorism and is injured may not bring a claim under this statute.

A defendant is entitled to recover reasonable attorney fees and court costs at the trial and appellate levels if it is determined that the claimant raised a claim that is not supported factually or legally.

When a court awards attorney fees and costs under the bill, it may not consider whether the opposing party is able to pay the fees and costs. This new remedy does not limit any other right to recover attorney fees or costs established in any other provisions of law.

Because terrorism is an intentional tort and because the doctrine of joint and several liability applies to actions based on an intentional tort, a defendant who was a minor participant in an act of terrorism may be liable for all of a plaintiff's damages.<sup>22</sup>

The bill takes effect July 1, 2016.

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Arkansas News, *Senate approves 'Andy's Law'*, April 1, 2013 available at <a href="http://arkansasnews.com/sections/news/senate-approves-%E2%80%98andy%E2%80%99s-law%E2%80%99.html">http://arkansasnews.com/sections/news/senate-approves-%E2%80%98andy%E2%80%99s-law%E2%80%99.html</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Center for Security Policy, *Andy's Law Signed by Governor McCrory in North Carolina*, (Aug. 24, 2015) available at <a href="https://www.centerforsecuritypolicy.org/2015/08/24/andys-law-signed-by-governor-mccrory-in-north-carolina/">https://www.centerforsecuritypolicy.org/2015/08/24/andys-law-signed-by-governor-mccrory-in-north-carolina/</a>.

<sup>22</sup> *See* s. 768.81(4), F.S.

#### C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

#### A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

# B. Private Sector Impact:

This bill may provide a remedy for lawsuits for damages caused by terrorism when an international component does not exist or cannot be proven. An international component is required for lawsuits for damages for terrorism under federal law.

# C. Government Sector Impact:

The impact on the courts will be based on the number of lawsuits filed which cannot be known.

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VII. Related Issues:

None.

#### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill creates s. 772.13 of the Florida Statutes.

#### IX. Additional Information:

# A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

#### B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

By Senator Negron

32-00932A-16 2016996

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to civil remedies for terrorism;
creating s. 772.13, F.S.; creating a cause of action
for acts relating to terrorism; specifying a measure
of damages; prohibiting claims by specified
individuals; providing for attorney fees and costs;
providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Section 1. Section 772.13, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

 $\underline{772.13}$  Civil remedy for terrorism or facilitation or furthering terrorism.—

- (1) Any person who has been injured by reason of an act of terrorism as defined in s. 775.30 or a violation of any law for which the penalty is increased under s. 775.31 for facilitating or furthering terrorism shall have a cause of action for threefold the actual damages sustained and, in any such action, is entitled to minimum damages in the amount of \$1,000, and reasonable attorney fees and court costs in the trial and appellate courts.
- (2) A person injured by reason of his or her participation in the same act or transaction that resulted in the act of terrorism or the defendant's penalty reclassification pursuant to s. 775.31 may not bring a claim under this section.
- (3) The defendant shall be entitled to recover reasonable attorney fees and court costs in the trial and appellate courts upon a finding that the claimant raised a claim which was

Page 1 of 2

CODING: Words  $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$  are deletions; words  $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 996

2016996

30	without support in fact or law. In awarding attorney fees and
31	costs under this section, the court shall not consider the
32	ability of the opposing party to pay such fees and costs.
33	(4) Nothing under this section shall be interpreted as
34	limiting any right to recover attorney fees or costs provided
35	under other provisions of law.
36	Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.
20	beceron 2. Into dee bharr take effect bury 1, 2010.

32-00932A-16

Page 2 of 2

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.



# SENATOR JOE NEGRON 32nd District

#### THE FLORIDA SENATE

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Appropriations Subcommittee on Criminal and Civil Justice, Chair
Appropriations
Banking and Insurance
Ethics and Elections
Higher Education
Regulated Industries
Rules

December 17, 2015

Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Committee on Judiciary 515 Knott Building 404 S Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

Re: Senate Bill 996

Dear Chairman Diaz de la Portilla:

I would like to request Senate Bill 996 relating to civil remedies for terrorism be placed on the agenda for the next scheduled committee meeting.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely yours,

Joe Negron State Senator District 32

JN/hd

c: Tom Cibula, Staff Director

REPLY TO:

□ 3500 SW Corporate Parkway, Suite 204, Palm City, Florida 34990 (772) 219-1665 FAX: (772) 219-1666 □ 412 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5032

Senate's Website: www.fisenate.gov

# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Judiciary										
BILL:	CS/SB 104	CS/SB 1042								
INTRODUCER: Judiciary Committee and Senator Simmons										
SUBJECT:	SUBJECT: Judgment Debts									
DATE:	January 12	, 2016	REVISED:							
ANAL	YST	STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION				
1. Davis		Cibula	-	JU	Fav/CS					
2.				RC						

#### Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

# I. Summary:

CS/SB 1042 revises chapter 56, F.S., titled Final Process, which regulates how a creditor may collect a judgment against a debtor. The chapter also includes a statute governing proceedings supplementary which provides a judgment creditor a mechanism to investigate and discover assets that a judgment debtor may have improperly concealed to third parties.

This bill amends chapter 56, F.S., by:

- Providing a new definitions section at the beginning of the chapter for uniform usage throughout the chapter;
- Moving the discovery provisions in current law into a single section and providing that the
  discovery provisions are in addition to the discovery provisions found in the rules of civil
  procedure;
- Establishing a procedure for bringing non-parties to the original action into proceedings supplementary by a notice to appear that describes the property at issue, notifies the third-party of the right to a jury trial, and requires the third-party to serve an answer within a time set by the court;
- Providing that a claim under the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act which is raised during
  proceedings supplementary must be initiated by a supplemental complaint and that those
  claims are governed by the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act and the rules of civil procedure;
  and
- Providing that a person who asserts a claim or defense in proceedings supplementary for the purpose of delay may be subject to penalties imposed by the court.

#### II. Present Situation:

#### **Chapter 56, Final Process**

Chapter 56, F.S., titled "Final Process," contains the framework for executing or enforcing the final judgment after a court determines that a creditor is entitled to recover from a debtor. Also contained in that chapter is a statute governing proceedings supplementary, which provides a judgment creditor a procedural mechanism to investigate assets of the judgment debtor that might be available to satisfy the judgment. These proceedings also permit the discovery of assets that may have been transferred improperly by a judgment debtor to third parties. Proceedings supplementary are separate and distinct from other actions under the Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act (UFTA), codified in chapter 726, F.S. A defendant in an action under the UFTA is entitled to greater procedural protections and must be served with an actual complaint as opposed to a notice to appear in a supplementary proceeding.

Proceedings supplementary did not exist at common law. In order for a creditor to discover and execute on a judgment debtor's assets, he or she had to institute a parallel proceeding, a creditor's bill in chancery, to prevent the fraudulent disposal of the debtor's property before the debt was reduced to judgment. In 1919, the Legislature passed the proceedings supplementary statute to streamline the process and avoid the step of requiring a judgment creditor to initiate the completely separate action. In 1935, The Florida Supreme Court noted that the provisions were intended to provide the circuit court with broad discretionary powers to carry out the complete "intent and purpose of the proceedings supplementary to execution" and grant the circuit courts the authority to harness all of a defendant's property or property rights "however fraudulently conveyed, covered up, or concealed," even those held or possessed by third parties. Under proceedings supplementary, a judgment creditor has the right to implead, or bring into the action, third parties in possession of property belonging to the judgment debtor, even though the third party was not involved in the original action.

One court more recently noted that proceedings supplementary afford "speedy and direct proceedings" to be held in the same court where the judgment was recovered to better afford the judgment creditor with the most complete relief possible to satisfy the judgment.<sup>3</sup> Statutory proceedings supplementary are post-judgment proceedings. They allow a creditor to effectuate a judgment lien that already exists and are not separate, independent causes of action.<sup>4</sup>

#### **Proceedings Supplementary Task Force**

Recognizing that the proceedings supplementary section of the Florida Statutes remained virtually unchanged over the last decades, the Proceedings Supplementary Task Force was formed in 2013 by the Business Law Section of The Florida Bar to review and recommend

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Benjamin H. Brodsky, *Caught in the Web of Florida's Statutory Proceedings Supplementary: Procedural and Constitutional Problems Facing Impleaded Third Parties*, The Florida Bar Journal, Dec. 1012, at 28, available at <a href="https://www.floridabar.org/divcom/jn/jnjournal01.nsf/c0d731e03de9828d852574580042ae7a/a29338fa50f7a88085257ac2007494de!OpenDocument&Highlight=0,brodsky\*.">https://www.floridabar.org/divcom/jn/jnjournal01.nsf/c0d731e03de9828d852574580042ae7a/a29338fa50f7a88085257ac2007494de!OpenDocument&Highlight=0,brodsky\*.</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> State ex rel. Phoenix Tax Title Corp. v. Viney, 120 Fla 657, 663, 163 So. 57, 163 So. 57, 60 (1935). As noted in the Brodsky article above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Zureikat v. Shaibani, 944 So. 2d 1019 (Fla. 5th DCA 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.* at 1022.

changes to the statute governing proceedings supplementary and other provisions of chapter 56, F.S.<sup>5</sup> After 2 years of work, the task force has recommended the changes that constitute SB 1042. According to the Business Law Section, these changes are procedural and do not represent substantive changes to any part of chapter 56, F.S.<sup>6</sup>

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill makes organizational changes to chapter 56, F.S., governing final process, while updating and clarifying several definitions for uniformity. The chapter currently does not contain a definitions section, but the bill provides a new section of definitions.

#### **Definitions and Terms**

Section 1 creates a new and separate definitions section to establish uniform definitions of terms and terms used in chapter 56, F.S. This section identifies each party involved in the proceedings supplementary according to terms currently used in case law, and promotes a better understanding of the application of chapter 56.<sup>7</sup> Relevant changes in terminology include:

- When appropriate, "judgment debtor" replaces the terms "defendant" and "defendant in execution." Judgment debtor is defined as a person who is liable for a judgment, an order, or a decree subject to execution in chapter 56, F.S.
- The term "judgment creditor" replaces the terms "plaintiff," and "plaintiff in execution." A judgment creditor is defined as the holder of an unsatisfied judgment, order, or decree for the payment of money, including a transferee or surety, who has the right to control and collect the judgment.
- "Corporate judgment debtor" replaces the term "corporation." The term is defined as a person who is a judgment debtor, not including an individual, an estate, or a trust other than a business trust.
- A "levying creditor" is defined as a judgment creditor who levies on property.
- A definition of "person" is added to include an individual, partnership, corporation, association, or one of several other entities, all of which mirror verbatim the definition of person in s. 726.102(1), F.S. dealing with fraudulent transfers.

#### **Discovery in Proceedings Supplementary**

Under current law, the discovery tools available to a judgment creditor in proceedings supplementary, such as requiring a judgment debtor to be examined before the court, are spread around in s. 56.29, F.S., making it confusing as to whether they are generally available or whether they are a prerequisite to proceedings supplementary. The bill moves the discovery provisions currently in s. 56.29, F.S., to a newly created s. 56.30, F.S, which bears the catch line "Discovery in proceedings supplementary." The new provisions in s. 56.30, F.S., provide clearly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Some provisions were amended in 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Business Law Section of The Florida Bar, *White Paper: Analysis of Proposed Amendments to Chapter 56* (2015) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary). According to this white paper, the task force was formed after the publication of Benjamin Brodsky's article published in The Florida Bar Journal and referenced in footnote 1 above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id*. at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Business Law Section of The Florida Bar, *supra* note 6, at 7.

identifiable discovery procedures for proceedings supplementary. They are the same as current law with the following additions:

- The discovery provisions in s. 56.30, F.S., are in addition to any other discovery allowed under the rules of civil procedure.
- A judgment debtor may be required to appear for examination before the court in the county
  of the judgment debtor's residence or principal place of business.
- A court's examination of a judgment debtor may occur before a notice to appear is issued to third parties.
- A corporate judgment debtor may send a designee having knowledge of the property subject to execution to be examined by the court.

#### **Notification and Examination of Third-Parties**

As discussed earlier, proceeding supplementary permit the discovery of assets that may have been transferred or concealed by a judgment debtor in an attempt to prevent creditors from satisfying a final judgment. These efforts to conceal assets generally involve third-parties who were not involved in the initial underlying case. The current process for bringing these third-parties into the proceeding in s. 56.29(2), F.S., has caused confusion and raised due process concerns among participants.

This bill amends s. 56.29(2), F.S., to create a uniform procedure for bringing non-parties into proceedings supplementary. A judgment creditor, in its motion to initiate proceedings supplementary, must describe any property of the judgment debtor, not exempt from execution, which may be applied toward satisfaction of the judgment. After proceedings supplementary have been initiated, the court then must issue a notice to appear to third-parties informing them that property in their possession or control may be subject to execution and applied to satisfy a judgment. Service of the notice to appear makes them parties to the proceedings supplementary. The new notice to appear must be served by a process server. The notice must describe with reasonable specificity the property at issue, require the third-party to serve an answering affidavit within a specified time to be determined by the court which is not less than 7 business days, unless reduced by the court for good cause, and require the third-party to assert any defenses in the responding affidavit. The notice to appear must also inform the third-party that penalties may be imposed for failure to timely file an affidavit and that he or she has the right to a jury trial. 9

#### **Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act Claims**

Current s. 56.29(5), F.S., permits judgment creditors to file claims under the Uniform Fraudulent Transfers Act<sup>10</sup> (UFTA) in proceedings supplementary. The bill moves this provision from s. 56.29(5), F.S., to the newly-created s. 56.29(9), F.S. To underscore that UFTA claims are distinct from proceedings supplementary, the bill provides that UFTA claims must be initiated by a supplemental complaint and served as provided by the rules of civil procedure. The claims under the supplemental complaint are subject to chapter 726, F.S., and the rules of civil procedure. The bill also requires the clerk of court to provide the parties with a parallel case number that the parties will use for the UFTA action.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See s. 56.29(2), F.S. and note 6 supra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Chapter 726, F.S.

## **Defenses or Claims Raised Solely for Delay**

Current section 56.16, F.S., provides that a person, referred to as a claimant, other than the judgment debtor, who claims any property levied on by the judgment creditor, may file an affidavit stating the claim. Under sections 56.16 and 56.18, F.S., if the court determines that the claimant's asserted claim on the property was brought for the purpose of delay, the judgment creditor may be awarded damages not to exceed 20 percent of the value of the property claimed. Consistently, the bill amends ss. 56.16, 56.18, and 56.29, F.S., to provide that a person served with a notice to appear in proceedings supplementary and who asserts a claim or defense in proceedings supplementary for the purpose of delay may be subject to the penalties provided in ss. 56.16 and 56.18, F.S.

#### Other Effects of the Bill

The bill amends s. 56.021, F.S., to provide that an execution may be issued upon an "order," in addition to a judgment or decree. This is a codification of existing case law<sup>11</sup> and practice.

Newly renumbered s. 56.29(6), F.S., is amended to provide that the procedures and remedies available under ss. 56.16-56.20, F.S., related to third-party claims and executions against third-parties, also apply to orders, judgments, and writs issued pursuant to the proceedings supplementary process.

The bill also makes technical and conforming corrections.

#### **Effective Date**

The bill takes effect July 1, 2016.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A.	Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See Davidson v. Seegar, 15 Fla. 671 (Fla. 1876).

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The Office of the State Courts Administrator stated that the fiscal impact of this legislation cannot be accurately determined because data is unavailable to quantify the effect it will have on judicial time and workload resulting from the changes to chapter 56, F.S. The Office did note that the clarifying language in the bill might assist the courts handling proceedings supplementary and may contribute to a reduction in the expenditure of judicial time.<sup>12</sup>

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

#### VII. Related Issues:

None.

#### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 56.011, 56.021, 56.041, 56.071, 56.09, 56.10, 56.12, 56.15, 56.16, 56.18, 56.19, 56.20, 56.22, 56.26, 56.27, 56.28, 56.29, and 56.30.

This bill creates section 56.001 of the Florida Statutes.

#### IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

# CS by Judiciary on January 12, 2016:

The committee substitute adds a definition of "person" in section 1, the new definitions section for chapter 56, F.S. Language is also added in section 18, s. 56.29(9), F.S., to provide that UFTA claims initiated in a supplemental complaint must be served as provided by the rules of civil procedure and that the UFTA claims are subject to chapter 726, F.S., and the rules of civil procedure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Office of the State Courts Administrator, 2016 Judicial Impact Statement for SB 1042, (Jan 11, 2016) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

The majority of the differences between the committee substitute and the original bill are generally stylistic changes that represent the different drafting styles and rules between House and Senate Bill Drafting. The committee substitute, except for several minor grammatical differences, conforms the Senate bill to CS/HB 503.

#### B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: RCS		
01/12/2016		

The Committee on Judiciary (Simmons) recommended the following:

#### Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

2 3

1

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

5 6

4

Section 1. Section 56.0101, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

8

56.0101 Definitions.—As used in this chapter, the term: (1) "Claimant" means any person other than the judgment debtor who claims any property levied on.

9 10

11

(2) "Corporate judgment debtor" means a judgment debtor other than an individual, an estate, or a trust that is not a



business trust.

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

- (3) "Judgment creditor" means the holder of an unsatisfied judgment, order, or decree for the payment of money, including a transferee or a surety having the right to control and collect the judgment under s. 55.13.
- (4) "Judgment debtor" means each person who is liable on a judgment, an order, or a decree subject to execution under this chapter.
  - (5) "Levying creditor" means the levying judgment creditor.
- (6) "Person" means an individual, partnership, corporation, association, organization, government or governmental subdivision or agency, business trust, estate, trust, or any other legal or commercial entity.
- (7) "Relative" means an individual related by consanguinity within the third degree as determined by the common law, a spouse, or an individual related to a spouse within the third degree as determined by the common law, and includes an individual in an adoptive relationship within the third degree.

Section 2. Section 56.011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.011 Executions; capias ad satisfaciendum abolished.—In no case shall A capias ad satisfaciendum may not be issued upon a judgment, nor may shall the body of any person defendant be subject to arrest or confinement for the payment of money, except it be for fines imposed by lawful authority.

Section 3. Section 56.021, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.021 Executions; issuance and return, alias, etc.-When issued, an execution is valid and effective during the life of

43 44

45

46 47

48 49

50 51

52

53

54

55 56

57

58 59

60

61 62

63

64

65 66

67

68 69



the judgment, order, or decree on which it is issued. When fully paid, the officer executing it shall make his or her return and file it in the court which issued the execution. If the execution is lost or destroyed, the party entitled thereto may have an alias, pluries or other copies on making proof of such loss or destruction by affidavit and filing it in the court issuing the execution.

Section 4. Subsection (1) of section 56.041, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.041 Executions; collection and return.

(1) All executions shall be returnable when satisfied, and the officers to whom they are delivered shall collect the amounts thereof as soon as possible and shall furnish the judgment debtor defendant with a satisfaction of judgment. All receipts shall be endorsed on the execution.

Section 5. Section 56.071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.071 Executions on equities of redemption; discovery of value. - On motion made by the person party causing a levy to be made on an equity of redemption, the court from which the execution issued shall order the mortgagor, mortgagee, and all other persons interested in the mortgaged property levied on to appear and be examined about the amount remaining due on the mortgage, the amount that has been paid, the person party to whom that amount has been paid, and the date when that amount was paid so that the value of the equity of redemption may be ascertained before the property is sold. The court may appoint a general or special magistrate to conduct the examination. This section shall also apply to the interest of and personal

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86 87

88

89 90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98



property in possession of a vendee under a retained title contract or conditional sales contract.

Section 6. Section 56.09, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.09 Executions against corporate judgment debtors corporations; generally. - On any judgment against a corporate judgment debtor, the judgment creditor corporation plaintiff may have an execution levied on the current money as well as on the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of the corporate judgment debtor said corporation.

Section 7. Section 56.10, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.10 Executions against corporate judgment debtors corporations; receivership.-If an execution cannot be satisfied in whole or in part for lack of property of the corporate judgment debtor defendant corporation subject to levy and sale, on motion of the judgment creditor the circuit court in chancery within whose circuit such corporate judgment debtor corporation is or has been doing business, or in which any of its effects are found, may sequestrate the property, things in action, goods and chattels of the corporate judgment debtor corporation for the purpose of enforcing the judgment, and may appoint a receiver for the corporate judgment debtor corporation. A receiver so appointed is subject to the rules prescribed by law for receivers of the property of other judgment debtors. His or her power shall extend throughout the state.

Section 8. Section 56.12, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.12 Executions; levy, forthcoming bond.—If a judgment

100

101

102

103

104

105 106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114 115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127



debtor defendant in execution wants to retake possession of any property levied on, the judgment debtor he or she may do so by executing a bond with surety to be approved by the officer in favor of the judgment creditor plaintiff in a sum double the value of the property retaken as fixed by the officer holding the execution and conditioned that the property will be forthcoming on the day of sale stated in the bond.

Section 9. Section 56.15, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.15 Executions; stay of illegal writs.—If any execution issues illegally, the judgment debtor defendant in execution may obtain a stay by making and delivering an affidavit to the officer having the execution, stating the illegality and whether any part of the execution is due, with a bond with surety payable to the judgment creditor plaintiff in double the amount of the execution or the part of which a stay is sought conditioned to pay the execution or part claimed to be illegal and any damages for delay if the affidavit is not well founded. On receipt of such affidavit and bond the officer shall stay proceedings on the execution and return the bond and affidavit to the court from which the execution issued. The court shall pass on the question of illegality as soon as possible. If the execution is adjudged illegal in any part, the court shall stay it as to the part but if it is adjudged legal in whole or in part, the court shall enter judgment against the principal and surety on such bond for the amount of so much of the execution as is adjudged to be legal and execution shall issue thereon.

Section 10. Section 56.16, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

129

130 131

132

133

134 135

136

137 138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149 150

151 152

153

154

155

156



56.16 Executions; claims of third parties to property levied on.—If any person, including a person to whom a Notice to Appear has been issued pursuant to s. 56.29(2), other than the judgment debtor defendant in execution claims any property levied on, he or she may obtain possession of the property by filing with the officer having the execution an affidavit by the claimant, or the claimant's himself or herself, his or her agent or attorney, that the property claimed belongs to the claimant him or her and by furnishing the officer a bond with surety to be approved by the officer in favor of the judgment creditor plaintiff in double the value of the goods claimed as the value is fixed by the officer and conditioned to deliver said property on demand of said officer if it is adjudged to be the property of the judgment debtor defendant in execution and to pay the judgment creditor plaintiff all damages found against the claimant him or her if it appears that the claim was interposed for the purpose of delay.

Section 11. Section 56.18, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.18 Executions; trial of claims of third persons.—As soon as possible after the return, or after service of a Notice to Appear pursuant to s. 56.29(2), a jury, if not waived, shall be impaneled to try the right of property. If the verdict is in favor of the judgment creditor plaintiff and it appears that the claim brought pursuant to s. 56.16 was interposed for delay, the judgment creditor plaintiff may be awarded reasonable damages, not exceeding 20 percent of the value of the property claimed. If the claimant denies in writing under oath filed at least 3 days before the trial, the correctness of the appraisement of

158

159

160

161 162

163 164

165

166

167

168

169 170

171

172 173

174

175

176

177

178 179

180

181

182

183

184

185



the value of the property by the officer levying the execution, and the verdict is in favor of the judgment creditor plaintiff, the jury if not waived, shall fix the value of each item thereof, or of the items covered by such denial.

Section 12. Section 56.19, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.19 Judgments upon claims of third persons.—Upon the verdict of the jury, the court shall enter judgment deciding the right of property, and if the verdict is for the judgment creditor plaintiff, awarding a recovery by the judgment creditor plaintiff from the claimant defendant and the claimant's his or her sureties, of the value (as fixed by the officer, or as fixed by the jury if fixed by it) of such parts of the property as the jury may have found subject to execution that were delivered to the claimant, and awarding separately such damages as the jury may be have awarded under s. 56.18, and of all costs attending the presentation and trial of the claim.

Section 13. Section 56.20, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.20 Executions on judgments against third person claimants.-If the execution issued on the judgment is not paid, it shall be satisfied in the usual manner unless on demand of the officer holding it, the principal and surety in the claim bond deliver the property released under the claim bond to the officer and pay him or her the damages and costs awarded to the judgment creditor plaintiff. If the property is returned to the officer but damages and costs are not paid, execution shall be enforced for the damages and costs. If part of the property is returned to the officer, the execution shall be enforced for the

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214



value, fixed as aforesaid, of that not returned. All property returned shall be sold under the original execution against the judgment debtor original defendant.

Section 14. Section 56.22, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 56.22 Execution sales; time, date, and place of sale.
- (1) All sales of property under legal process shall take place at the time, date, and place advertised in the notice of the sheriff's sale on any day of the week except Saturday and Sunday and shall continue from day to day until such property is disposed of.
- (2) Property not effectively disposed of at the initial sheriff's sale may be readvertised, as provided in s. 56.21, upon receipt of an additional deposit to cover costs incurred in connection with the maintenance of the property under legal process. If In the event no additional deposit is received by the sheriff, the property may be returned to the judgment debtor defendant; if the judgment debtor defendant refuses to accept such property, the property may be returned to a third party, such as a lienholder, upon presentation of a proper court order directing such return. If the property cannot be returned as described in this subsection none of the above can be accomplished, such property shall be disposed of as unclaimed or abandoned.

Section 15. Section 56.26, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.26 Executions; mandamus to force levy and sale. - When an officer holds an unsatisfied execution and refuses to levy on property liable thereunder and on which it is his or her duty to

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233 234

235

236

237

238 239

240

241

242

243



levy or having levied, refuses to advertise and sell the property levied on, the judgment creditor plaintiff in execution is entitled to an alternative writ of mandamus requiring the officer to levy such execution or advertise and sell the property levied on, or both, as the case may be.

Section 16. Subsection (1) and paragraph (a) of subsection (4) of section 56.27, Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 56.27 Executions; payment of money collected.-

- (1) All money received under executions shall be paid, in the order prescribed, to the following: the sheriff, for costs; the levying creditor in the amount of \$500 as liquidated expenses; and the priority lienholder under s. 55.10(1) and (2), s. 55.202, s. 55.204(3), or s. 55.208(2), as set forth in an affidavit required by subsection (4), or the levying creditor's his or her attorney, in satisfaction of the judgment lien, if the judgment lien has not lapsed at the time of the levy. The receipt of the attorney shall be a release of the officer paying the money to him or her. If the name of more than one attorney appears in the court file, the money shall be paid to the attorney who originally commenced the action or who made the original defense unless the file shows that another attorney has been substituted.
- (4) Before the date of the first publication or posting of the notice of sale provided for under s. 56.21, at the time of the levy request to the sheriff, the levying creditor shall deliver to the sheriff an affidavit setting forth all of the following as to the judgment debtor:
- (a) For a personal property levy, an attestation by the levying creditor or the levying creditor's attorney of record

245

246 247

248

249

250

251

252 253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

2.67

268

269

270

271

272



that he or she has reviewed the database or judgment lien records established in accordance with ss. 55.201-55.209 and that the information contained in the affidavit based on that review is true and correct. For a real property levy in accordance with s. 55.10(1) and (2), an attestation by the levying creditor or the levying creditor's his or her attorney of record that he or she has reviewed the records of the clerk of the court of the county where the property is situated, or that he or she has performed or reviewed a title search, and that the information contained in the affidavit, including a disclosure of all judgment liens, mortgages, financing statements, tax warrants, and other liens against the real property, based on that review or title search is true and correct.

Section 17. Section 56.28, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.28 Executions; failure of officer to pay over moneys collected.-If any officer collecting money under execution fails or refuses to pay it over within 30 days after it has been received by him or her, or within 10 days after demand by the levying creditor or the levying creditor's plaintiff or his or her attorney of record made in writing and delivered during regular business hours to the civil process bureau, the officer is liable to pay the same and 20 percent damages, to be recovered by motion in court.

Section 18. Section 56.29, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 56.29 Proceedings supplementary.
- (1) When any judgment creditor person or entity holds an

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

2.81

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291 292

293 294

295

296

297

298 299

300

301



unsatisfied judgment or judgment lien obtained under chapter 55, the judgment creditor holder or judgment lienholder may file a motion and an affidavit so stating, identifying, if applicable, the issuing court, the case number, and the unsatisfied amount of the judgment or judgment lien, including accrued costs and interest, and stating that the execution is valid and outstanding, and thereupon the judgment creditor holder or <del>judgment lienholder</del> is entitled to these proceedings supplementary to execution.

(2) The judgment creditor shall, in the motion described in subsection (1) or in a supplemental affidavit, describe any property of the judgment debtor not exempt from execution in the hands of any person or any property, debt, or other obligation due to the judgment debtor which may be applied toward the satisfaction of the judgment. Upon filing of the motion and affidavits that property of the judgment debtor, or any debt, or other obligation due to the judgment debtor in the custody or control of any other person may be applied to satisfy the judgment, then the court shall issue a Notice to Appear. The Notice to Appear shall direct such person to file an affidavit, as provided in s. 56.16, with the court by a date certain, which date shall not be less than 7 business days from the date of service of the Notice to Appear, stating why the property, debt, or other obligation should not be applied to satisfy the judgment. For good cause shown, the court may shorten the time for serving an affidavit. The Notice to Appear must describe with reasonable particularity the property, debt, or other obligation that may be available to satisfy the judgment, must provide such person with the opportunity to present defenses,

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329 330



and must indicate that discovery as provided under the rules of civil procedure is available and that there is a right to a jury trial as provided in s. 56.18. The Notice to Appear must be served as provided for in chapter 48. A responding affidavit must raise any fact or defense opposing application of the property described in the Notice to Appear to satisfy the judgment, including legal defenses, such as lack of personal jurisdiction. Legal defenses need not be filed under oath but must be served contemporaneously with the affidavit On such plaintiff's motion the court shall require the defendant in execution to appear before it or a general or special magistrate at a time and place specified by the order in the county of the defendant's residence to be examined concerning his or her property.

(3) The order shall be served in a reasonable time before the date of the examination in the manner provided for service of summons or may be served on such defendant or his or her attorney as provided for service of papers in the rules of civil procedure.

(4) Testimony shall be under oath, shall be comprehensive and cover all matters and things pertaining to the business and financial interests of defendant which may tend to show what property he or she has and its location. Any testimony tending directly or indirectly to aid in satisfying the execution is admissible. A corporation must attend and answer by an officer who may be specified in the order. Examination of witnesses shall be as at trial and any party may call other witnesses.

(5) The court may order any property of the judgment debtor, not exempt from execution, in the hands of any person,

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352 353

354

355

356

357

358

359



any property, debt, or other obligation due to the judgment debtor, to be applied toward the satisfaction of the judgment debt. The court may entertain claims concerning the judgment debtor's assets brought under chapter 726 and enter any order or judgment, including a money judgment against any initial or subsequent transferee, in connection therewith, irrespective of whether the transferee has retained the property. Claims under chapter 726 are subject to the provisions of chapter 726 and applicable rules of civil procedure.

(3) (6) (a) When, within 1 year before the service of process on the judgment debtor in the original proceeding or action him or her, the judgment debtor defendant has had title to, or paid the purchase price of, any personal property to which the judgment debtor's defendant's spouse, any relative, or any person on confidential terms with the judgment debtor defendant claims title and right of possession at the time of examination, the judgment debtor defendant has the burden of proof to establish that such transfer or gift from him or her was not made to delay, hinder, or defraud creditors.

(b) When any gift, transfer, assignment or other conveyance of personal property has been made or contrived by the judgment debtor to delay, hinder, or defraud creditors, the court shall order the gift, transfer, assignment or other conveyance to be void and direct the sheriff to take the property to satisfy the execution. This does not authorize seizure of property exempted from levy and sale under execution or property which has passed to a bona fide purchaser for value and without notice. Any person aggrieved by the levy or Notice to Appear may proceed under ss. 56.16-56.20.

361 362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388



(4) At any time the court may refer the proceeding to a general or special magistrate who may be directed to report findings of law or fact, or both. The general or special magistrate has all the powers thereof, including the power to issue subpoena, and shall be paid the fees provided by the court <del>law</del>.

(5) (8) A party or a witness examined under these provisions is not excused from answering a question on the ground that the answer will tend to show him or her guilty of the commission of a fraud, or prove that he or she has been a party or privy to, or knowing of a conveyance, assignment, transfer, or other disposition of property for any purpose, or that the party or witness or another person claims to have title as against the judgment debtor <del>defendant</del> or to hold property derived from or through the judgment debtor defendant, or to be discharged from the payment of a debt which was due to the judgment debtor defendant or to a person on in his or her behalf of the judgment debtor. An answer cannot be used as evidence against the person so answering in any criminal proceeding.

(6) (9) The court may order any property of the judgment debtor, not exempt from execution, or any property, debt, or other obligation due to the judgment debtor, in the hands of or under the control of any person subject to the Notice to Appear, to be levied upon and applied toward the satisfaction of the judgment debt. The court may enter any orders, judgments, or writs required to carry out the purpose of this section, including those orders necessary or proper to subject property or property rights of any judgment debtor to execution, and including entry of money judgments as provided in ss. 56.16-

391

392

393

394

395

396

397 398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417



56.19 against any person to whom a Notice to Appear has been directed and over whom the court obtained personal jurisdiction impleaded defendant irrespective of whether such person defendant has retained the property, subject to ss. 56.18 and 56.19 and applicable principles of equity, and in accordance with chapters 76 and 77 and all applicable rules of civil procedure. Sections 56.16-56.20 apply to any order issued under this subsection.

(7) (10) Any person failing to obey any order issued under this section by a judge or general or special magistrate or failing to attend in response to a subpoena served on him or her may be held in contempt.

(8) (11) Costs for proceedings supplementary shall be taxed against the judgment debtor <del>defendant</del> as well as all other incidental costs determined to be reasonable and just by the court including, but not limited to, docketing the execution, sheriff's service fees, and court reporter's fees. Reasonable attorney attorney's fees may be taxed against the judgment debtor <del>defendant</del>.

(9) The court may entertain claims concerning the judgment debtor's assets brought under chapter 726 and enter any order or judgment, including a money judgment against any initial or subsequent transferee, in connection therewith, irrespective of whether the transferee has retained the property. Claims under chapter 726 brought under this section shall be initiated by a supplemental complaint and served as provided by the rules of civil procedure, and the claims under the supplemental complaint are subject to chapter 726 and the rules of civil procedure. The clerk of the court shall docket a supplemental proceeding under

418

419

420

421 422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433 434

435 436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446



the same case number assigned to the original complaint filed by the judgment creditor or the case number assigned to a judgment domesticated pursuant to s. 55.01, shall assign a separate supplemental proceeding number, and shall assign such supplemental proceeding to the same division and judge assigned to the main case or domesticated judgment.

Section 19. Section 56.30, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

### 56.30 Discovery in proceedings supplementary.

- (1) In addition to any other discovery permitted under the rules of civil procedure, on the judgment creditor's motion the court shall require the judgment debtor to appear before it or a general or special magistrate at a time and place specified by the order in the county of the judgment debtor's residence or principal place of business to be examined concerning property subject to execution. This examination may occur before issuance of a Notice to Appear.
- (2) The order shall be served in a reasonable time before the date of the examination in the manner provided for service of summons or may be served on the judgment debtor or the judgment debtor's attorney of record as provided for service of papers in the rules of civil procedure.
- (3) Testimony shall be under oath, shall be comprehensive, and cover all matters and things pertaining to the business and financial interests of the judgment debtor which may tend to show what property the judgment debtor has and its location. Any testimony tending directly or indirectly to aid in satisfying the execution is admissible. A corporate judgment debtor must attend and answer by a designee with knowledge or an identified



447 officer or manager who may be specified in the order. Examination of witnesses shall be as at trial and any party may 448 449 call other witnesses to be examined concerning property that may 450 be subject to execution.

Section 20. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

452 453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465 466

467

468

469

470

471 472

473

474

475

451

======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========

And the title is amended as follows:

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to judgments; creating s. 56.0101, F.S.; providing definitions for purposes of ch. 56, F.S.; amending s. 56.011, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 56.021, F.S.; providing that an execution is valid and effective during the life of the order on which it is issued; amending ss. 56.041, 56.071, 56.09, 56.10, 56.12, and 56.15, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 56.16, F.S.; specifying that persons to whom a Notice to Appear has been issued may obtain possession of property levied on by complying with certain procedures; revising terminology; amending s. 56.18, F.S.; specifying that a jury, if not waived, should be empaneled as soon as possible after service of a Notice to Appear; revising terminology; amending ss. 56.19, 56.20, 56.22, 56.26, 56.27, and 56.28, F.S.; revising terminology; amending s. 56.29, F.S.; revising terminology; providing for the issuance of a Notice to Appear; providing

476

477

478

479

480 481

482

483



requirements for such a notice; providing for service; providing for requirements for a responding affidavit; deleting provisions relating to examinations concerning property; providing for fraudulent transfer claims; creating s. 56.30, F.S.; providing for discovery in proceedings supplementary; providing an effective date.

By Senator Simmons

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

10-00660-16 20161042

A bill to be entitled An act relating to judgment debts; creating s. 56.001, F.S.; defining terms; amending ss. 56.011, 56.021, 56.041, 56.071, 56.09, 56.10, 56.12, 56.15, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; making technical changes; amending s. 56.16, F.S.; specifying the parties who may obtain possession of property that is being levied on; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; making technical changes; amending s. 56.18, F.S.; revising the circumstances under which third-party claims must be taken to trial; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending ss. 56.19, 56.20, 56.22, 56.26, 56.27, and 56.28, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; making technical changes; amending s. 56.29, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; making technical changes; revising the legal procedure for proceedings supplementary to execution; requiring the judgment creditor to describe certain property in a motion and supplemental affidavit; requiring the court to issue a notice to appear by a certain date; providing requirements for such notice and responding affidavit; specifying the persons against whom a court may enter an order, a judgment, or a writ under certain circumstances; providing applicability; authorizing the court to preside over claims concerning the judgment debtor's assets and to enter certain orders and judgments; prescribing certain duties to the clerk

Page 1 of 18

CODING: Words  $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$  are deletions; words  $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1042

	10-00660-16 20161042					
30	of the court relating to supplemental proceedings;					
31	creating s. 56.30, F.S.; providing additional					
32	discovery in proceedings supplementary; specifying the					
33	procedure for additional discovery; providing an					
34	effective date.					
35						
36	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:					
37						
38	Section 1. Section 56.001, Florida Statutes, is created to					
39	9 read:					
40	56.001 Definitions.—As used in this chapter, the term:					
41	(1) "Claimant" means a person, other than a judgment					
42	debtor, who makes a claim against property that is subject to a					
43	levy.					
44	(2) "Corporate judgment debtor" means a person who is a					
45	judgment debtor, not including an individual, an estate, or a					
46	trust other than a business trust.					
47	(3) "Judgment creditor" means the holder of an unsatisfied					
48	judgment, order, or decree for the payment of money, including a					
49	9 transferee or surety, who has the right to control and collect					
50	the judgment under s. 55.13.					
51	(4) "Judgment debtor" means a person who is liable for a					
52	judgment, an order, or a decree subject to execution under this					
53	chapter.					
54	(5) "Levying creditor" means a judgment creditor who levies					
55	on property.					
56	(6) "Relative" means an individual related within the third					
57	degree of consanguinity, a spouse or an individual related to					
58	the spouse within the third degree of consanguinity, or an					

Page 2 of 18

10-00660-16 20161042

individual adopted within the third degree of consanguinity.
 Section 2. Section 56.011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

59

60

61

62

63

64

6.5

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

8.3

84

85

86

56.011 Executions; capias ad satisfaciendum abolished.—In no case shall A capias ad satisfaciendum may not be issued upon a judgment, and a person may not nor shall the body of any defendant be subject to arrest or confinement for the payment of money, except it be for the payment of fines imposed by a lawful authority.

Section 3. Section 56.021, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.021 Executions; issuance and return, alias, etc. When issued, An execution is valid and effective during the life of the judgment, order, or decree on which it is issued. When the execution is fully paid, the executing officer executing it shall make his or her return and file it in the court that which issued the execution. If the execution is lost or destroyed, the party entitled to the execution thereto may have an alias, pluries, or other copies made after proving on making proof of such loss or destruction by affidavit and filing the affidavit in the court that issued issuing the execution.

Section 4. Subsection (1) of section 56.041, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.041 Executions; collection and return.-

(1) An execution is All executions shall be returnable after satisfaction when satisfied, and the officer officers to whom a satisfaction is they are delivered shall collect the amount of the satisfaction amounts thereof as soon as possible and shall furnish the judgment debtor defendant with a

Page 3 of 18

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1042

20161042

10-00660-16

satisfaction of judgment. All receipts must shall be endorsed on the execution. 90 Section 5. Section 56.071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 92 56.071 Executions on equities of redemption; discovery of value.—On motion made by the person party causing a levy to be 93 made on an equity of redemption, the court from which the execution issued shall order the mortgagor, the mortgagee, and 96 all other persons interested in the mortgaged property levied on 97 to appear and be examined about the amount remaining due on the mortgage, the amount that has been paid, the person party to whom payment has been made that amount has been paid, and the date on which payment was made when that amount was paid so that 100 101 the value of the equity of redemption may be ascertained before the property is sold. The court may appoint a general or special 103 magistrate to conduct the examination. This section applies shall also apply to interests in personal property the interest 104 of and personal property in the possession of a vendee under a 105 106 retained title contract or conditional sales contract. 107 Section 6. Section 56.09, Florida Statutes, is amended to 108 read: 109 56.09 Executions against corporate judgment debtors 110 corporations; generally.-A judgment creditor On any judgment 111 against a corporation plaintiff may have an execution levied on 112 the current money, as well as on the goods and chattels, and lands and tenements of a corporate judgment debtor said 114 corporation. 115 Section 7. Section 56.10, Florida Statutes, is amended to 116 read:

Page 4 of 18

10-00660-16 20161042

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

56.10 Executions against corporate judgment debtors corporations; receivership.-If an execution cannot be satisfied in whole or in part due to the corporate judgment debtor's for lack of property of the defendant corporation subject to levy and sale, on motion of the judgment creditor the circuit court with jurisdiction over the corporate judgment debtor in chancery within whose circuit such corporation is or has been doing business, or in which any of its effects are found, may, on motion of the judgment creditor, seize sequestrate the property, things in action, or goods and chattels of the corporate judgment debtor <del>corporation</del> for the purpose of enforcing the judgment, and may appoint a receiver for the corporate judgment debtor corporation. An appointed A receiver so appointed is subject to the rules prescribed by law for receivers of the property of other judgment debtors, and- his or her authority extends statewide power shall extend throughout the state.

Section 8. Section 56.12, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

debtor defendant in execution wishes wants to retake possession of any property levied on, the judgment debtor he or she may do so by executing a bond with surety, subject to approval to be approved by an the officer, in favor of the judgment creditor for plaintiff in a sum double the value of the property retaken, as determined fixed by the officer holding the execution, and conditioned on that the availability of the property will be forthcoming on the day of sale stated in the bond.

Section 9. Section 56.15, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Page 5 of 18

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1042

10-00660-16 20161042

146 56.15 Executions; stay of illegal writs.-If an any 147 execution is issued issues illegally, the judgment debtor 148 defendant in execution may obtain a stay by making and 149 delivering an affidavit to the officer who has having the 150 execution which states, stating the illegality and whether any 151 part of the execution is due, with a bond with surety payable to 152 the judgment creditor for plaintiff in double the amount of the 153 execution or the part of which a stay is sought conditioned to 154 pay the execution or part claimed to be illegal and any damages 155 for delay if the affidavit is not well founded. On receipt of 156 such affidavit and bond the officer shall stay proceedings on 157 the execution and return the bond and affidavit to the court that issued from which the execution issued. The court shall 158 159 pass on the question of illegality as soon as possible. If the execution is adjudged illegal in any part, the court shall stay 161 it as to the part but if it is adjudged legal in whole or in part, the court shall enter judgment against the principal and 162 surety on such bond for the amount of so much of the execution 163 164 as is adjudged to be legal and execution shall issue thereon. 165

Section 10. Section 56.16, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

56.16 Executions; claims of third parties to property levied on.—If <u>a</u> <u>any person</u>, including the person issued a notice to appear but excluding other than the judgment debtor, defendant in execution claims any property levied on, <u>the claimant</u> he or she may obtain possession of the property by:

(1) Filing with the officer who has having the execution an affidavit, made by the claimant or the claimant's himself or herself, his or her agent or attorney, which states that the

Page 6 of 18

10-00660-16 20161042

property elaimed belongs to the claimant; him or her and

(2) by Furnishing the officer who has the execution a bond with surety, subject to approval be approved by the officer, in favor of the judgment creditor for plaintiff in double the value of the goods claimed, as determined the value is fixed by the officer, which is and conditioned on delivery of to deliver said property on the demand of the said officer if the property it is adjudged to be the property of the judgment debtor defendant in execution and payment to the judgment creditor of pay plaintiff all damages assessed found against the claimant, him or her if it appears that the claim was made interposed for the purpose of

Section 11. Section 56.18, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.18 Executions; trial of claims of third persons.—As soon as possible after the return, or after service of a notice to appear, a jury, if not waived, shall be impaneled to try the right of property. If a the verdict is issued in favor of the judgment creditor plaintiff and it is found appears that the claim brought pursuant to s. 56.16 was made interposed for the purpose of delay, the judgment creditor plaintiff may be awarded reasonable damages, not to exceed exceeding 20 percent of the value of the property claimed. If the claimant files a sworn affidavit denies in writing under oath filed at least 3 days before the trial which denies, the correctness of the appraisal appraisement of the value of the property by the officer levying the execution, and a the verdict is issued in favor of the judgment creditor plaintiff, the jury, if not waived, shall fix the value of the individual items that are the subject of the

Page 7 of 18

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1042

10-00660-16 20161042\_

204 <u>denial</u> each item thereof, or the total value of the items 205 covered by such denial.

Section 12. Section 56.19, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.19 Judgments upon claims of third persons.-Upon the verdict of the jury, the court shall enter a judgment deciding the right of property. 7 and If the verdict is for the judgment creditor plaintiff, the court shall award awarding a recovery by the judgment creditor plaintiff from the claimant defendant and the claimant's his or her sureties, of the value (as fixed by the officer, or as fixed by the jury if fixed by it) of the such parts of the property that as the jury determined was may have found subject to the execution which was delivered to the claimant, any and awarding separately such damages as the jury may have awarded by the jury under s. 56.18, and of all costs associated with attending the presentation and trial of the claim. The value of such property shall be determined by the jury, if the jury makes a determination of value, or, in the absence of such a determination, by the officer who has the execution.

Section 13. Section 56.20, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.20 Executions on judgments against third-party third person claimants.—If the execution issued on the judgment is not paid, it shall be satisfied in the usual manner unless, on demand of the officer holding it, the principal and surety in the claim bond deliver the property released under the claim bond to the officer and pay him or her the damages and costs awarded to the judgment creditor plaintiff. If the property is

Page 8 of 18

10-00660-16 20161042

returned to the officer but damages and costs are not paid, execution shall be enforced for the damages and costs. If part of the property is returned to the officer, the execution shall be enforced for the value, fixed as aforesaid, of that not returned. All property returned shall be sold under the original execution against the judgment debtor original defendant.

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

2.57

258

259

260

261

Section 14. Section 56.22, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.22 Execution sales; time, date, and place of sale.—All sales of property under legal process must shall take place at the time, date, and place advertised in the notice of the sheriff's sale on any day of the week except Saturday and Sunday and must shall continue from day to day until such property is disposed of. Property not effectively disposed of at the initial sheriff's sale may be readvertised, as provided in s. 56.21, upon receipt of an additional deposit to cover costs incurred in connection with the maintenance of the property under legal process. If In the event no additional deposit is received by the sheriff, the property may be returned to the judgment debtor defendant; if the judgment debtor defendant refuses to accept such property, the property may be returned to a third party, such as a lienholder, upon presentation of a proper court order directing such return. If none of the above can be accomplished, such property shall be disposed of as unclaimed or abandoned.

Section 15. Section 56.26, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

56.26 Executions; mandamus to force levy and sale.—When an officer holds an unsatisfied execution and refuses to levy on property liable thereunder and on which it is his or her duty to

Page 9 of 18

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1042

10-00660-16 20161042 262 levy or having levied, refuses to advertise and sell the 263 property levied on, the judgment creditor plaintiff in execution 264 is entitled to an alternative writ of mandamus requiring the officer to levy such execution or advertise and sell the 266 property levied on, or both, as the case may be. 267 Section 16. Subsections (1) and (4) of section 56.27, 2.68 Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 269 56.27 Executions; payment of money collected.-270 (1) All money received under executions shall be paid, in 271 the order prescribed, to the following: the sheriff, for costs; the levying creditor in the amount of \$500 as liquidated 273 expenses; and the priority lienholder under s. 55.10(1) and (2), s. 55.202, s. 55.204(3), or s. 55.208(2), as set forth in an 274 275 affidavit required by subsection (4), or the levying creditor's his or her attorney, in satisfaction of the judgment lien, if the judgment lien has not lapsed at the time of the levy. The 277 278 receipt of the attorney is shall be a release of the officer 279 paying the money to him or her. If the name of more than one 280 attorney appears in the court file, the money shall be paid to 281 the attorney who originally commenced the action or who made the 282 original defense unless the file shows that another attorney has 283 been substituted. 284 (4) At the time of the levy request to the sheriff, before 285 the date of the first publication or posting of the notice of

request to the sheriff, the levying creditor shall deliver to the sheriff an affidavit setting forth all of the following as to the judgment debtor:

sale provided for under s. 56.21, at the time of the levy

286

287

288

289

290

(a) For a personal property levy, an attestation by the

Page 10 of 18

10-00660-16 20161042

291

292

293

294

295

296

2.97

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

levying creditor or the <u>levying</u> creditor's attorney of record that he or she has reviewed the database or judgment lien records established in accordance with ss. 55.201-55.209 and that the information contained in the affidavit based on that review is true and correct. For a real property levy in accordance with s. 55.10(1) and (2), an attestation by the levying creditor or <u>the levying creditor's</u> his or her attorney of record that he or she has reviewed the records of the clerk of the court of the county where the property is situated, or that he or she has performed or reviewed a title search, and that the information contained in the affidavit, including a disclosure of all judgment liens, mortgages, financing statements, tax warrants, and other liens against the real property, based on <u>the that</u> review or title search is true and correct.

- (b) The information required under s. 55.203(1) and (2) for each judgment lien certificate indexed under the name of the judgment debtor as to each judgment creditor; the file number assigned to the record of the original and, if any, the second judgment lien; and the date of filing for each judgment lien certificate under s. 55.202 or s. 55.204(3). For each judgment lien recorded on real property, the information contained in the certified copy of recordation of lien under s. 55.10(1) and (2), and for each other lien recorded on real property, the name and address of the lienholder as shown in the copy of the recorded lien disclosed by the title search.
- (c) A statement that the levying creditor either does not have any other levy in process or, if another levy is in process, the levying creditor believes in good faith that the

#### Page 11 of 18

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1042

10-00660-16 20161042 320 total value of the property under execution does not exceed the 321 amount of outstanding judgments. 322 Section 17. Section 56.28, Florida Statutes, is amended to 323 read: 324 56.28 Executions; failure of officer to pay over moneys 325 collected.-If an any officer collecting money under execution 326 fails or refuses to pay it to the levying creditor or the 327 levying creditor's attorney of record over within 30 days after 328 it has been received by him or her, or within 10 days after a 329 demand by the levying creditor or the levying creditor's 330 plaintiff or his or her attorney of record made in writing and 331 delivered during regular business hours to the civil process 332 bureau, the officer is liable to pay the same and 20 percent 333 damages, to be recovered by motion in court. 334 Section 18. Section 56.29, Florida Statutes, is amended to 335 read: 336 56.29 Proceedings supplementary.-337 (1) When a judgment creditor any person or entity holds an 338 unsatisfied judgment or judgment lien obtained under chapter 55, 339 the judgment holder or judgment lienholder may file a motion and 340 an affidavit so stating, identifying, if applicable, the issuing court, the case number, and the unsatisfied amount of the 342 judgment or judgment lien, including accrued costs and interest, 343 and stating that the execution is valid and outstanding, and 344 thereupon the judgment creditor holder or judgment lienholder is 345 entitled to these proceedings supplementary to execution. 346 (2) The judgment creditor must describe in a motion and 347 supplemental affidavit any property of the judgment debtor which

Page 12 of 18

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

is not exempt from execution, and any property, debt, or other

348

10-00660-16 20161042 349 obligation due to the judgment debtor which may be applied 350 toward the satisfaction of the judgment. Such property of the 351 judgment debtor, debt, or other obligation due to the judgment 352 debtor in the custody or control of another person may be 353 applied to satisfy the judgment. The court shall issue a notice to appear to direct such person to file an affidavit pursuant to 354 355 s. 56.16 by a date certain, which date may not be less than 7 356 business days after the date of service of the notice to appear, 357 stating why the property, debt, or other obligation in his or 358 her control should not be applied to satisfy the judgment. The 359 court may shorten the time for serving an affidavit for good 360 cause. The notice to appear must describe with reasonable specificity the property, debt, or other obligation that may be 361 362 available to satisfy the judgment and must provide the person in 363 control of the judgment debtor's property, debt, or other 364 obligation the opportunity to present defenses, to pursue 365 discovery as provided under the rules of civil procedure, and to 366 have a jury trial, as provided in s. 56.18. A responding 367 affidavit must raise any fact or defense opposing application of 368 the property described in the notice to appear to satisfy the 369 judgment, including legal defenses, such as lack of personal 370 jurisdiction. Legal defenses need not be filed under oath but 371 must be served contemporaneously with the affidavit On such 372 plaintiff's motion the court shall require the defendant in 373 execution to appear before it or a general or special magistrate 374 at a time and place specified by the order in the county of the 375 defendant's residence to be examined concerning his or her 376 property. 377 (3) The order shall be served in a reasonable time before

Page 13 of 18

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1042

20161042 10-00660-16 378 the date of the examination in the manner provided for service 379 of summons or may be served on such defendant or his or her 380 attorney as provided for service of papers in the rules of civil 381 procedure. 382 (4) Testimony shall be under oath, shall be comprehensive and cover all matters and things pertaining to the business and 383 financial interests of defendant which may tend to show what 384 385 property he or she has and its location. Any testimony tending directly or indirectly to aid in satisfying the execution is 386 387 admissible. A corporation must attend and answer by an officer 388 who may be specified in the order. Examination of witnesses shall be as at trial and any party may call other witnesses. 389 390 (5) The court may order any property of the judgment 391 debtor, not exempt from execution, in the hands of any person, 392 or any property, debt, or other obligation due to the judgment 393 debtor, to be applied toward the satisfaction of the judgment debt. The court may entertain claims concerning the judgment 394 debtor's assets brought under chapter 726 and enter any order or 395 396 judgment, including a money judgment against any initial or 397 subsequent transferce, in connection therewith, irrespective of whether the transferee has retained the property. Claims under 398 chapter 726 are subject to the provisions of chapter 726 and 399 400 applicable rules of civil procedure. 401 (6) (a) When, within 1 year before the service of process on 402 the judgment debtor him or her, the judgment debtor defendant 403 has had title to, or paid the purchase price of, any personal 404 property to which the judgment debtor's defendant's spouse, any

Page 14 of 18

relative, or any person on confidential terms with the judgment

debtor <del>defendant</del> claims title and right of possession at the

405

406

10-00660-16 20161042

time of examination, the judgment debtor defendant has the burden of proof to establish that such transfer or gift from him or her was not made to delay, hinder, or defraud creditors.

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

42.7

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

(b) When a any gift, transfer, assignment, or other conveyance of personal property has been made or contrived by the judgment debtor to delay, hinder, or defraud creditors, the court shall declare order the gift, transfer, assignment, or other conveyance to be void and direct the sheriff to take the property to satisfy the execution. However, This does not authorize seizure of property exempted from levy and sale under execution or property that which has passed to a bona fide purchaser for value and without notice may not be seized under this paragraph. Any person aggrieved by the levy or notice to appear may proceed under ss. 56.16-56.20.

(4) (7) At any time, the court may refer the proceeding to a general or special magistrate who may be directed to report findings of law or fact, or both. The general or special magistrate has all the powers of the court thereof, including the power to issue a subpoena, and shall be paid the fees provided by the court law.

(5) (8) A party or a witness examined under this section these provisions is not excused from answering a question on the ground that the answer will tend to show him or her guilty of the commission of a fraud, or prove that he or she has been a party or privy to, or knowing of a conveyance, assignment, transfer, or other disposition of property for any purpose, or that the party or witness or another person claims to have title as against the judgment debtor defendant or to hold property derived from or through the judgment debtor defendant, or to be

Page 15 of 18

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1042

discharged from the payment of a debt that which was due to the judgment debtor defendant or to a person on in his or her behalf of the judgment debtor. An answer cannot be used as evidence against such the person so answering in any criminal proceeding.

20161042

10-00660-16

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

(6) (9) The court may order any property of the judgment debtor which is not exempt from execution, or any property, debt, or other obligation due to the judgment debtor, which is in the possession or under the control of any person subject to the notice to appear, to be levied on and applied toward the satisfaction of the judgment debt. The court may enter any orders, judgments, or writs required to carry out the purpose of this section, including those orders necessary or proper to subject property or property rights of any judgment debtor to execution, and including entry of money judgments as provided in ss. 56.16-56.19 against any person to whom a notice to appear has been directed and over whom the court has obtained personal jurisdiction, impleaded defendant irrespective of whether such person defendant has retained the property, subject to ss. 56.18 and 56.19 and applicable principles of equity, and in accordance with chapters 76 and 77 and applicable rules of civil procedure. Sections 56.16-56.20 apply to any order issued under this subsection.

(8)(11) Costs for proceedings supplementary shall be taxed against the <u>judgment debtor</u> defendant as well as all other incidental costs determined to be reasonable and just by the

Page 16 of 18

10-00660-16 20161042\_
court including, but not limited to, docketing the execution,
sheriff's service fees, and court reporter's fees. Reasonable
attorney attorney's fees may be taxed against the judgment
debtor defendant.

(9) The court may preside over claims concerning the judgment debtor's assets brought under chapter 726 and enter any order or judgment, including a money judgment against an initial or subsequent transferee, in connection therewith, irrespective of whether the transferee has retained the property. Claims under chapter 726 brought under this section shall be initiated by a supplemental complaint. The clerk of the court shall docket a supplemental proceeding under the same case number assigned to the original complaint filed by the judgment creditor, the case number assigned to a domesticated judgment, and a distinct supplemental proceeding number and shall assign it to the same division and judge assigned to the original case or domesticated judgment.

Section 19. Section 56.30, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

56.30 Discovery in proceedings supplementary.-

(1) In addition to any other discovery allowed under the rules of civil procedure, on the motion of the judgment creditor, the court shall require the judgment debtor to appear to be examined concerning property subject to execution by the court or a general or special magistrate at a specified time and place in the county of the judgment debtor's residence or principal place of business. This examination may occur before the issuance of a notice to appear.

(2) The order must be served within a reasonable time

Page 17 of 18

 ${\tt CODING:}$  Words  ${\tt stricken}$  are deletions; words  ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$  are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1042

195	service of summons or may be served on the judgment debtor or
96	the judgment debtor's attorney of record.
97	(3) Testimony must be under oath and cover all matters
98	pertaining to the business and financial interests of the
199	judgment debtor which may identify property owned by the
00	judgment debtor and the location of such property. Any testimony
01	that directly or indirectly aids in satisfying the execution is
02	admissible. Testimony of a corporate judgment debtor must be
03	from a designee with knowledge or an identified officer or a
04	manager specified in the order. Examination of witnesses is as
05	at trial, and any party may call other witnesses to be examined
06	concerning property that may be subject to execution.
07	Section 20. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

before the date of the examination in the manner provided for

10-00660-16

Page 18 of 18



### The Florida Senate

## **Committee Agenda Request**

То:	Senator Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Committee on Judiciary Committee Agenda Request					
Subject:						
Date:	January 5, 2016					
I respectfully request that <b>Senate Bill 1042</b> , relating to Judgement Debts, be placed on the:						
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.					
$\boxtimes$	next committee agenda.					

Senator David Simmons Florida Senate, District 10

### THE FLORIDA SENATE

# **APPEARANCE RECORD**

1/12/16  Meeting Date		(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)				1042	
		-				Bill Number (if applicable)	
Topic	Judgment Debts	<u> </u>			Amen	dment Barcode (if applicable)	
Name .	Michel Weisz		****				
Job Tit	le Attorney						
Addres	s 1450 Brickell	Ave Ste 190	00		Phone 305-714-	4362	
	Miami		FL	33131-3453	Email MWeisz@	bergersingerman.com	
Speakin	city ng: ✓For	Against	State Information		eaking: In Si	upport Against pation into the record.)	
Rep	resenting The	Business L	aw Section of The Fl	orida Bar			
Appear	ing at request o	of Chair:	Yes ✓ No	Lobbyist registe	red with Legislat	ure: Yes No	
While it is meeting.	s a Senate traditio Those who do sp	n to encourage eak may be a	ge public testimony, tin asked to limit their rema	ne may not permit all p	persons wishing to s	peak to be heard at this	
This fori	n is part of the p	ublic record	for this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)	

## **CourtSmart Tag Report**

**Room: EL 110** Case No.: Type: Caption: Senate Judiciary Committee Judge: Started: 1/12/2016 3:02:32 PM Ends: 1/12/2016 3:36:30 PM Length: 00:33:59 3:02:31 PM Meeting called to order by Chair Diaz de la Portilla 3:02:37 PM Roll call by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler 3:02:49 PM Quorum present 3:03:02 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla 3:03:10 PM Tab 1 - CS/SB 590 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla 3:03:24 PM Explanation of CS/SB 590, Adoption by Senator Detert 3:04:36 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla regarding Amendment 560534 3:04:56 PM Explanation of Strike-all amendment 560534 by Senator Detert 3:05:52 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla 3:06:09 PM Question from Senator Soto 3:06:22 PM Response from Senator Detert **3:07:38 PM** Follow-up question from Senator Soto 3:07:51 PM Response from Barbara Crosier 3:08:03 PM Question from Senator Joyner 3:08:08 PM Response from Senator Detert 3:08:33 PM Response from Ms. Barbara Crosier 3:08:52 PM Alan Abramowitz, Statewide Guardian Ad Litem Program waives in support 3:08:58 PM Nikki Fried, Attorney, Florida Children First waives in support 3:09:05 PM Thomas Crooms waives in support 3:09:13 PM Melissa Maddox waives in support 3:09:18 PM Robert Holroyd, Governmental Affairs Manager, Children's Services Council of Broward County waives in support 3:09:29 PM Diana Ragbeer, Director, Public Policy, The Children's Trust waives in support 3:09:36 PM Colleen Mackin, The Children's Campaign waives in support 3:09:46 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla 3:09:53 PM Question from Senator Joyner 3:10:18 PM Response from Cindy Brown 3:10:37 PM Comments Chair Diaz de la Portilla 3:10:43 PM Closure waived on Amendment 560534 by Senator Detert **3:10:50 PM** Amendment 560534 adopted without objection 3:11:00 PM Closure on S/CS/SB 590 by Senator Detert 3:11:20 PM Roll call on CS/CS/SB 590 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler 3:11:37 PM CS/CS/SB 590 reported favorably **3:11:48 PM** Tab 3, SB 996 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla 3:12:06 PM Explanation of SB 996, Civil Remedies for Terrorism by Senator Negron 3:12:15 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla 3:12:20 PM Question from Senator Bean 3:12:26 PM Response from Senator Negron 3:13:25 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla 3:13:33 PM Question from Senator Soto

3:14:00 PM Closure waived by Senator Negron

3:14:04 PM Roll call on SB 996 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler

```
3:14:10 PM SB 996 reported favorably
3:14:23 PM Tab 4, SB 1042 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
3:14:43 PM Explanation of SB 142, Judgment Debts by Senator Simmons
3:16:24 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
3:16:28 PM Response from Senator Simmons regarding Amendment 753766
3:16:59 PM Comments from Chair regarding Amendment 753766
3:17:12 PM Question from Senator Soto
3:17:17 PM Response from Senator Simmons
3:18:44 PM Follow-up question from Senator Soto
3:18:53 PM Response from Senator Simmons
3:19:39 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
3:19:53 PM Michel Weisz, Attorney, The Business Law Section of the Florida Bar waives in support
3:20:13 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
3:20:23 PM Closure on Amendment 753766 waived by Senator Simmons
3:20:30 PM Amendment 753766 adopted
3:20:52 PM Closure waived on bill as amended by Senator Simmons
3:20:58 PM Roll call on CS/SB 1042 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
3:21:10 PM CS/SB 1042 reported favorably
3:21:22 PM Tab 2, SB 972 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
3:21:32 PM Explanation of SB 972 by Senator Lee
3:23:40 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
3:23:45 PM Question from Senator Ring
3:23:51 PM Response from Senator Lee
3:24:50 PM Question from Senator Joyner
3:24:55 PM Response from Senator Lee
3:25:03 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
3:25:25 PM Question from Senator Simmons
3:25:44 PM Response from Senator Lee
3:26:51 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
3:27:04 PM Question from Senator Ring
3:29:02 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
3:29:28 PM Question/comments from Senator Joyner
3:31:44 PM Comments from Senator Simmons
3:32:34 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
3:33:23 PM Closure by Senator Lee
3:35:45 PM Roll call on SB972 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
3:35:54 PM SB 972 reported favorably
3:36:09 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
```

3:36:12 PM Senator Bean moves to adjourn