HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/HB 391 Transfer Fee Covenants **SPONSOR(S):** Safety & Security Council; McBurney; Hudson

TIED BILLS: None IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 464

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Committee on Courts	6 Y, 0 N	Webb	Bond
2) Safety & Security Council	15 Y, 0 N, As CS	Webb/Davis	Havlicak
3)			
4)		·	
5)			
3)		Webb/Davis	Havlicak

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The seller of real property may place conditions in the deed that act as restrictions binding upon future owners of the property. These conditions are known as covenants. A transfer fee covenant is a requirement that future owners pay a fee upon every future sale. Current law does not define or regulate transfer fee covenants.

This bill defines transfer fee covenants and provides that transfer fee covenants in general are void and unenforceable. The bill also provides exceptions where transfer fee covenants are valid and enforceable for environmental groups and homeowners' associations.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0391c.SSC.doc 3/5/2008

DATE:

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Safeguard individual liberty -- This bill prohibits private transfer fee covenants on real property.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background:

Covenants and Restrictions - In General

A covenant occurs when the seller of real property places a condition or conditions in the deed that act as restrictions binding upon future owners of the property. A covenant must "run with the land" to be valid and bind future owners. A covenant runs with the land when it relates to the land and binds the successor grantees indefinitely. Under common law, covenants do not run with the title to real property unless the covenants "touch and concern the land." In order for a covenant to "touch and concern the land," the covenant must somehow affect or be bound up in the use of the land.³

A restraint on alienation of property occurs when a property owner is prevented from disposing of all or part of his or her property. Under common law, restraints on alienation were disfavored.⁴ Today, restraints on alienation of property are often found to be against public policy, unless they serve a legal and useful purpose.⁵ If an interest is found to be an unreasonable restraint on alienation, it is held to be void and unenforceable. Therefore, public policy favors the marketability and transferability of real property without restraints on alienation.

Covenants and Restrictions - Transfer Fee Covenants

A transfer fee covenant places restrictions in a deed to real property that requires the payment of a transfer fee every time the property is transferred to a new owner. The fee can be payable to any person or entity. Transfer fee covenants, which are a relatively new phenomenon that began in California and Texas, often require a fee of 1 to 2 percent of the purchase price be paid every time the property is sold. Transfer fee covenants do not appear to be removable under current law. Furthermore, current statutory law does not specifically address transfer fee covenants.

Transfer fee covenants are used in many different ways. They are used to fund homeowners' associations, address environmental concerns associated with new housing developments, and help builders pay for parks, agricultural preservation, affordable housing and other benefits that are often required as conditions for projects approved by local governments. Private property sellers have started to utilize transfer fee covenants as a means of additional future income for themselves. Private transfer fees can be placed on new homes and constitute a private agreement between the homebuyer and either the homebuilder or the home owner and can theoretically fund anything.

DATE:

h0391c.SSC.doc 3/5/2008

PAGE: 2

¹ Armstrong v. Seaboard Air Line Ry., 85 Fla. 126, 140 (1922).

² Hagan v. Sabal Palms, Inc., 186 So. 2d 302 (Fla. App. 1966).

⁴ Iglehart v. Phillips, 383 So.2d 610 (Fla. 1980).

⁵ Peavey v. Reynolds, 946 So.2d 1125, 1126 (Fla. App. 5 Dist. 2006)

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ Timm Herdt, *Realtors, Builders Battle over Property Transfer Fee*, Ventura County Star, May 9, 2007.

⁹ William Jason, Homebuilders, Realtors Differ on Transfer Fees, North Bay Business Journal, July 30, 2007, at http://www.busirnl.com/article/20070730/BUSINESSJOURNAL/70729026/1209. STORAGE NAME:

It is alleged that homebuyers are often not aware of a transfer fee on their property until they appear at closing because the existence of the fee is listed in fine print in the covenants, conditions and restrictions. 10 From an economic standpoint, transfer fee covenants could reduce the future value of a homeowner's property due to the economic burden that such covenants place on future sales. 11

Florida appellate courts have not ruled on whether a private transfer fee covenant violates the common law principle that a covenant must run with the land or public policy against the restraint on alienation of property.

Effect of Bill:

This bill creates s. 689.28, F.S., declaring that transfer fee covenants violate legislative intent and public policy. This bill provides that transfer fee covenants violate public policy by impairing the marketability and transferability of real property, causing unreasonable restraints on alienation, and not touching and concerning the land. This bill further provides that all transfer fee covenants that meet the definition provided in this section are void and unenforceable if entered into after July 1, 2008.

This bill defines transfer fee covenants as the payment of a transfer fee to the person declared in the covenant or their successors or assigns upon a transfer of interest in real property. This bill lists nine circumstances in which a fee is not considered a transfer fee covenant for purposes of this bill. It is not considered a transfer fee covenant under this bill when it is:

- An obligation between the grantor and grantee for payment of additional subsequent consideration.
- A commission payable to a licensed real estate broker.
- An amount payable by a borrower to a lender in connection with a loan secured by a mortgage on the real property, including assumption fees or fees for estoppel letters or certificates issued by an association or its authorized agent and any shared appreciation interest payable to the lender in connection with the loan.
- An amount payable by a lessee to a lessor under a lease including those for consenting to an assignment encumbrance or transfer of the lease.
- A payment to the holder of an option to purchase.
- A fee or other charge payable or imposed by a governmental authority.
- A fee or other charge payable to a homeowners' association or other property owners' association.
- A fee or due payable under community association documents to nonprofit organizations or charitable organizations to support cultural, educational, charitable, recreational, environmental, conservation or other similar activities for the benefit of the community.
- A payment required pursuant to an environmental covenant.
- A fee or due regarding the purchase or transfer of club membership related to the property.

This bill only applies to transfer fee covenants that are recorded by owners on or after the effective date of the bill. Since courts have not ruled in Florida whether existing transfer fee covenants are voidable under existing common law principles, this bill disclaims that existing transfer fee covenants are valid simply because they were recorded before the effective date of this bill.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 creates s. 689.28, F.S., relating to a prohibition against transfer fee covenants.

Section 2 amends s. 692.01, F.S., relating to conveyances by corporations.

STORAGE NAME: h0391c.SSC.doc PAGE: 3 DATE: 3/5/2008

¹⁰ Dinah Eng, New Borrower, Buyer Protections in '08 - Greater disclosure about mortgage products and transfer fees are among laws about to take effect, Los Angeles Times, December 30, 2007. ¹¹ *Id*.

Section 3 amends s. 689.01, F.S., relating to how real estate is conveyed. Section 4 provides an effective date of July 1, 2008.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

		1.66,42,40,4216.6 & 26646
A.	FIS	SCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
	1.	Revenues:
		None.
	2.	Expenditures:
		None.
В.	FIS	SCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
	1.	Revenues:
		None.
	2.	Expenditures:
		None.
C.	DI	RECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:
	No	one.
D.	FIS	SCAL COMMENTS:
		nis bill may decrease the cost of real estate transactions to future homebuyers by declaring transfer e covenants invalid and unenforceable.
		III. COMMENTS
A.	CC	ONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
	1.	Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
		This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties of municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.
	2.	Other:
		None.
В.	Rl	JLE-MAKING AUTHORITY:
	Νc	one

STORAGE NAME: h0391c.SSC.doc PAGE: 4 3/5/2008

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

D. STATEMENT OF THE SPONSOR

No statement submitted.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COUNCIL SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

On February 20, 2008, the Committee on Courts adopted one amendment to this bill. The amendment made the following revisions to the bill:

- Added that any fee or dues regarding the purchase or transfer of club membership related to the property is not a transfer fee under the bill.
- Added that it is not a transfer fee under the bill when a fee or charge is made to a charitable organization for the purposes listed in the section.
- Added that a fee to a nonprofit or charitable organization for environmental or conservation purposes is not a transfer fee under the bill.
- Added that it is not a transfer fee when an association's authorized agent collects a fee or charge for an estoppel letter or certificate.
- Revised s. 692.01, F.S., to conform to other statutes and provide for conveyances executed by corporations.
- Revised s. 689.01, F.S., to provide that corporations may execute conveyances instead of just convey.

The bill was then reported favorably with an amendment.

On March 5, 2008, the Safety & Security Council adopted one amendment to the amendment adopted by the Committee on Courts. The amendment provides that for purposes of s. 689.28, F.S., a fee or charge is only a transfer fee if it is required under a transfer fee covenant. The amendment also provides that a transfer fee covenant is a declaration or covenant recorded against the title to real property. The bill was then reported favorably with a council substitute.

STORAGE NAME: h0391c.SSC.doc PAGE: 5 3/5/2008