183722

LEGISLATIVE ACTION

Senate House

Comm: RCS 02/18/2009

The Committee on Criminal Justice (Deutch) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete lines 60 - 102 and insert:

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

(2) For purposes of this section, the "sanctioning authority of an independent youth athletic team" includes the independent organization with authority to sanction an independent youth athletic team, any local office of that organization, and any office or entity that is authorized by that organization to perform any of its functions or represent its interests.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40



- (3) (a) Prior to a person in this state being hired as a sports coach of an independent youth athletic team, the sanctioning authority of the independent youth athletic team must screen the person through the public website on sexual offenders and sexual predators maintained by the Department of Law Enforcement and the Dru Sjodin National Sex Offender Public Website maintained by the United States Department of Justice.
- (b) The sanctioning authority shall disqualify an applicant for sports coach from being a sports coach if the applicant appears on either registry.
- (c) The sanctioning authority must notify in writing each applicant for sports coach of his or her right to obtain a copy of the screening report. An applicant disqualified to be a sports coach based on the screening may appeal to the sanctioning authority the accuracy and completeness of any information contained in the screening report. Unless otherwise prohibited by state or federal law, an applicant appealing his or her disqualification as a sports coach may be placed on probationary status pending resolution of the appeal.
- (4) Each sanctioning authority must sign an affidavit annually, under penalty of perjury, stating that all persons who have applied for a position as a sports coach of an independent youth athletic team under its jurisdiction have been screened in compliance with subsection (3).
- (5) In any civil action brought against a sanctioning authority in which it is alleged that the sanctioning authority was negligent in the hiring of a sports coach because of sexual misconduct committed by the sports coach, a rebuttable presumption is created that the sanctioning authority was:



(a) Not negligent in the hiring of the sports coach if the sanctioning authority conducted a screening of the sports coach in compliance with subsection (3).

(b) Negligent in hiring of the sports coach if the sanctioning authority did not conduct a screening of the sports coach in compliance with subsection (3).

47

46

41

42 43

44 45

48

49

50

51

52

53

54 55 56

57 58

59 60 61

62 63

64 65

> 66 67

68 69

======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========== And the title is amended as follows:

Delete lines 3 - 30

and insert:

defining the terms "independent youth athletic team," "minor," and "sports coach"; specifying what is included as a "sanctioning authority of an independent youth athletic team"; requiring the sanctioning authority of an independent youth athletic team to an applicant for sports coach through designated public websites maintained by the Department of Law Enforcement and the United States Department of Justice; requiring the sanctioning authority to disqualify any applicant from being a sports coach if that applicant appears on either registry; requiring the sanctioning authority to notify the applicant of his or her right to obtain a copy of the screening report; providing that an applicant disqualified from being a sports coach based on the screening may appeal to the sanctioning authority the accuracy and completeness of the screening report; providing that the sanctioning authority may place an applicant appealing his or her disqualification as a sports coach on probationary status pending resolution of the

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78



appeal; requiring each sanctioning authority to sign an affidavit annually, under penalty of perjury, stating that all persons who have applied for a position as a sports coach of an independent youth athletic team under its jurisdiction have been screened; creating rebuttable presumptions in a civil action brought against a sanctioning authority in which it is alleged that the sanctioning authority was negligent in the hiring of a sports coach because of sexual misconduct committed by the sports coach; providing legislative intent encouraging