LEGISLATIVE ACTION

| Senate | • | House |
|------------|---|-------|
| Comm: FAV | | |
| 04/07/2010 | • | |
| | | |
| | • | |
| | | |

The Committee on Commerce (Detert) recommended the following:

Senate Substitute for Amendment (828726) (with title amendment)

4 Delete everything after the enacting clause 5 and insert: 6 Section 1. Paragraph (b) of subsection (3) of section 7 120.54, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 8 120.54 Rulemaking.-9 (3) ADOPTION PROCEDURES.-10 (b) Special matters to be considered in rule adoption.-11 1. Statement of estimated regulatory costs.-Prior to the 12 adoption, amendment, or repeal of any rule other than an emergency rule, an agency is encouraged to prepare a statement 13

1 2

3

CM.CM.04221

161142

of estimated regulatory costs of the proposed rule, as provided by s. 120.541. However, an agency <u>must shall</u> prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs of the proposed rule, as provided by s. 120.541, if:

a. The proposed rule will have an <u>adverse</u> impact on small
business; or

20 b. The proposed rule may have an adverse economic impact in
 21 excess of \$200,000 on the private-sector in Florida.

22

2. Small businesses, small counties, and small cities.-

23 a. Each agency, before the adoption, amendment, or repeal 24 of a rule, shall consider the impact of the rule on small 25 businesses as defined by s. 288.703 and the impact of the rule 26 on small counties or small cities as defined by s. 120.52. 27 Whenever practicable, an agency shall tier its rules to reduce disproportionate impacts on small businesses, small counties, or 28 29 small cities to avoid regulating small businesses, small 30 counties, or small cities that do not contribute significantly to the problem the rule is designed to address. An agency may 31 32 define "small business" to include businesses employing more than 200 persons, may define "small county" to include those 33 34 with populations of more than 75,000, and may define "small 35 city" to include those with populations of more than 10,000, if it finds that such a definition is necessary to adapt a rule to 36 37 the needs and problems of small businesses, small counties, or 38 small cities. The agency shall consider each of the following methods for reducing the impact of the proposed rule on small 39 40 businesses, small counties, and small cities, or any combination 41 of these entities:

42

(I) Establishing less stringent compliance or reporting



43 requirements in the rule.

(II) Establishing less stringent schedules or deadlines inthe rule for compliance or reporting requirements.

46 (III) Consolidating or simplifying the rule's compliance or 47 reporting requirements.

48 (IV) Establishing performance standards or best management 49 practices to replace design or operational standards in the 50 rule.

51 (V) Exempting small businesses, small counties, or small 52 cities from any or all requirements of the rule.

b.(I) If the agency determines that the proposed action will affect small businesses as defined by the agency as provided in sub-subparagraph a., the agency shall send written notice of the rule to the Small Business Regulatory Advisory Council and the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development not less than 28 days prior to the intended action.

59 (II) Each agency shall adopt those regulatory alternatives offered by the Small Business Regulatory Advisory Council and 60 provided to the agency no later than 21 days after the council's 61 receipt of the written notice of the rule which it finds are 62 63 feasible and consistent with the stated objectives of the 64 proposed rule and which would reduce the impact on small businesses. When regulatory alternatives are offered by the 65 66 Small Business Regulatory Advisory Council, the 90-day period 67 for filing the rule in subparagraph (e)2. is extended for a 68 period of 21 days.

(III) If an agency does not adopt all alternatives offered pursuant to this sub-subparagraph, it shall, prior to rule adoption or amendment and pursuant to subparagraph (d)1., file a

CM.CM.04221



72 detailed written statement with the committee explaining the 73 reasons for failure to adopt such alternatives. Within 3 working 74 days of the filing of such notice, the agency shall send a copy 75 of such notice to the Small Business Regulatory Advisory 76 Council. The Small Business Regulatory Advisory Council may make 77 a request of the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the 78 House of Representatives that the presiding officers direct the 79 Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability 80 to determine whether the rejected alternatives reduce the impact 81 on small business while meeting the stated objectives of the 82 proposed rule. Within 60 days after the date of the directive 83 from the presiding officers, the Office of Program Policy 84 Analysis and Government Accountability shall report to the 85 Administrative Procedures Committee its findings as to whether an alternative reduces the impact on small business while 86 87 meeting the stated objectives of the proposed rule. The Office 88 of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability shall consider the proposed rule, the economic impact statement, the 89 90 written statement of the agency, the proposed alternatives, and 91 any comment submitted during the comment period on the proposed 92 rule. The Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability shall submit a report of its findings and 93 recommendations to the Governor, the President of the Senate, 94 95 and the Speaker of the House of Representatives. The 96 Administrative Procedures Committee shall report such findings 97 to the agency, and the agency shall respond in writing to the 98 Administrative Procedures Committee if the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability found that the 99 100 alternative reduced the impact on small business while meeting

161142

101 the stated objectives of the proposed rule. If the agency will 102 not adopt the alternative, it must also provide a detailed 103 written statement to the committee as to why it will not adopt 104 the alternative.

105 Section 2. Section 120.541, Florida Statutes, is amended to 106 read:

107

120.541 Statement of estimated regulatory costs.-

(1) (a) A substantially affected person, Within 21 days 108 109 after publication of the notice required provided under s. 110 120.54(3)(a), a substantially affected person may submit to an 111 agency a good faith written proposal for a lower cost regulatory 112 alternative to a proposed rule which substantially accomplishes the objectives of the law being implemented. The proposal may 113 114 include the alternative of not adopting any rule if, so long as the proposal explains how the lower costs and objectives of the 115 116 law will be achieved by not adopting any rule. If such a 117 proposal is submitted, the time period for filing the rule under s. 120.54(3)(e)2. 90-day period for filing the rule is extended 118 90 21 days. 119

(b) Upon the submission of the lower cost regulatory 120 121 alternative, the agency shall prepare a statement of estimated 122 regulatory costs as provided in subsection (2), or shall revise 123 its prior statement of estimated regulatory costs, and either 124 adopt the alternative or provide give a statement of the reasons 125 for rejecting the alternative in favor of the proposed rule. The failure of the agency to prepare or revise the statement of 126 127 estimated regulatory costs as provided in this paragraph is a material failure to follow the applicable rulemaking procedures 128 129 or requirements set forth in this chapter. An agency required to

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Florida Senate - 2010 Bill No. SB 1844

161142

| 130 | prepare or revise a statement of estimated regulatory costs as |
|-----|--|
| 131 | provided in this paragraph shall make it available to the person |
| 132 | who submits the lower cost regulatory alternative and to the |
| 133 | public prior to filing the rule for adoption. |
| 134 | (b) If a proposed rule will have an adverse impact on small |
| 135 | businesses or if the proposed rule may have an adverse economic |
| 136 | impact in excess of \$200,000 on the private-sector, the agency |
| 137 | shall prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs as |
| 138 | required by s. 120.54(3)(b). |
| 139 | (c) The agency shall revise a statement of estimated |
| 140 | regulatory costs if any change to the rule made under s. |
| 141 | 120.54(3)(d) increases the regulatory costs of the rule. |
| 142 | (d) At least 45 days before filing the rule for adoption, |
| 143 | an agency that is required to revise a statement of estimated |
| 144 | regulatory costs shall provide the statement to the person who |
| 145 | submitted the lower cost regulatory alternative and to the |
| 146 | committee, and provide notice on the agency's website that it is |
| 147 | available to the public. |
| 148 | (e) The failure of the agency to prepare or revise the |
| 149 | statement of estimated regulatory costs as provided in this |
| 150 | subsection is a material failure to follow the applicable |
| 151 | rulemaking procedures or requirements set forth in this chapter. |
| 152 | (f) (c) A rule that is challenged pursuant to s. |
| 153 | 120.52(8)(a) because of the failure to prepare or revise the No |
| 154 | rule shall be declared invalid because it imposes regulatory |
| 155 | costs on the regulated person, county, or city which could be |
| 156 | reduced by the adoption of less costly alternatives that |
| 157 | substantially accomplish the statutory objectives, and no rule |
| 158 | shall be declared invalid based upon a challenge to the agency's |
| | |



| 159 | statement of estimated regulatory costs <u>may not be declared</u> |
|-----|--|
| 160 | <u>invalid</u> , unless: |
| 161 | 1. The issue is raised in an administrative proceeding |
| 162 | within 1 year after the effective date of the rule; and |
| 163 | 2. The agency's failure to prepare or revise the statement |
| 164 | of estimated regulatory costs materially affects the substantial |
| 165 | interests of the person challenging the agency. The substantial |
| 166 | interests of the person challenging the agency's rejection of, |
| 167 | or failure to consider, the lower cost regulatory alternative |
| 168 | are materially affected by the rejection; and |
| 169 | 3.a. The agency has failed to prepare or revise the |
| 170 | statement of estimated regulatory costs; or |
| 171 | b. The challenge is to the agency's rejection of a lower |
| 172 | cost regulatory alternative submitted under paragraph (a). |
| 173 | (g) A rule that is challenged by a substantially affected |
| 174 | person pursuant to s. 120.52(8)(f) because the rule imposes |
| 175 | regulatory costs on the regulated person, county, or city which |
| 176 | could be reduced by the adoption of less costly alternatives |
| 177 | that substantially accomplish the statutory objectives may not |
| 178 | be declared invalid unless: |
| 179 | 1. The issue is raised in an administrative proceeding |
| 180 | within 1 year after the effective date of the rule; |
| 181 | 2. The challenge is to the agency's rejection of a lower |
| 182 | cost regulatory alternative offered under paragraph (a) or s. |
| 183 | 120.54(3)(b)2.b.; and |
| 184 | 3. The substantial interests of the person challenging the |
| 185 | agency are materially affected by the rejection. |
| 186 | (2) A statement of estimated regulatory costs shall |
| 187 | include: |
| | |

161142

188 (a) An economic analysis showing whether the rule directly 189 or indirectly: 190 1. Is likely to have an adverse impact in excess of \$1 191 million in the aggregate on economic growth, private-sector job 192 creation or employment, business competitiveness, private-sector 193 investment, productivity, innovation or the ability of persons 194 doing business in Florida to compete with persons doing business 195 in other states or domestic markets; 196 2. Expands the growth of state government, where not 197 expressly recognized by the enabling statute; or 198 3. Increases regulatory costs, including any costs incurred 199 to comply with proposed regulations, to small business by \$1 200 million or more in the aggregate. 201 (b) A good faith estimate of the number of individuals and 202 entities likely to be required to comply with the rule, together 203 with a general description of the types of individuals likely to 204 be affected by the rule. 205 (c) (b) A good faith estimate of the cost to the agency, and 206 to any other state and local government entities, of 207 implementing and enforcing the proposed rule, and any 208 anticipated effect on state or local revenues. 209 (d) (e) A good faith estimate of the transactional costs 210 likely to be incurred by individuals and entities, including local government entities, required to comply with the 211 212 requirements of the rule. As used in this paragraph, 213 "transactional costs" are direct costs that are readily 214 ascertainable based upon standard business practices, and include filing fees, the cost of obtaining a license, the cost 215 216 of equipment required to be installed or used or procedures Page 8 of 10 4/6/2010 4:55:59 PM CM.CM.04221

161142

217 required to be employed in complying with the rule, additional operating costs incurred, and the cost of monitoring and 218 219 reporting. 220 (e) (d) An analysis of the impact on small businesses as 221 defined by s. 288.703, and an analysis of the impact on small 222 counties and small cities as defined by s. 120.52. 223 (f) (e) Any additional information that the agency 224 determines may be useful. 225 (q) (f) In the statement or revised statement, whichever 226 applies, a description of any good faith written proposal 227 submitted under paragraph (1) (a) and either a statement adopting 228 the alternative or a statement of the reasons for rejecting the 229 alternative in favor of the proposed rule. 230 (3) If the adverse impact or regulatory costs of the rule 231 exceed any of the criteria established in subsection (2)(a), 232 then the rule may not take effect until it is submitted to the 233 Legislature for review at the next regularly scheduled session. 234 The Legislature may reject, modify, or take no action relative 235 to the rule. If the Legislature takes no action, the rule will 236 take effect upon sine die. 237 (4) Subsection (2) (a) shall not apply to the adoption of 238 emergency rules pursuant to s. 120.54(4) or the adoption of 239 federal standards pursuant to s. 120.54(6). 240 Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law. 241 242 243 244 And the title is amended as follows:

245

Page 9 of 10

Delete everything before the enacting clause

CM.CM.04221



| 246 | and | insert: |
|-----|-----|---------|
|-----|-----|---------|

Т

247

A bill to be entitled

248 An act relating to rulemaking; amending s. 249 120.54, F.S., requiring each agency, before adopting, 250 amending, or repealing certain rules, to prepare a 251 statement of estimated regulatory costs of the 252 proposed rule if the proposed rule has certain adverse 253 impacts on small business or the private sector; 254 amending s. 120.541, F.S.; requiring each agency, 255 before adopting, amending, or repealing certain rules, 256 to prepare a statement of estimated regulatory costs 257 of the proposed rule; specifying the conditions under 258 which a challenged rule may not be declared invalid; 259 specifying the requirements of an economic analysis on 260 proposed rule or rule changes; prohibiting a rule from 261 taking effect until it is submitted to the Legislature 262 for review under certain circumstances; providing a 263 time certain for a rule to take effect if the 264 Legislature take no action; providing that the act is 265 not applicable to certain specified rules; providing 266 an effective date.

267