A bill to be entitled 1 2 An act relating to environmental regulation; amending s. 3 120.569, F.S.; providing that a nonapplicant who petitions 4 to challenge an agency's issuance of a license or 5 conceptual approval in certain circumstances has the 6 burden of ultimate persuasion and the burden of going 7 forward with evidence; creating s. 125.0112, F.S.; 8 providing that the construction and operation of a biofuel 9 processing facility or renewable energy generating 10 facility and the cultivation of bioenergy by a local 11 government is a valid and permitted land use; providing an exception; requiring expedited review of such facilities; 12 13 providing that such facilities are eligible for the 14 alternative state review process; amending s. 125.022, 15 F.S.; prohibiting a county from requiring an applicant to 16 obtain a permit or approval from another state or federal agency as a condition of processing a development permit 17 under certain conditions; authorizing a county to attach 18 19 certain disclaimers to the issuance of a development permit; creating s. 161.032, F.S.; requiring that the 20 21 Department of Environmental Protection review an 22 application for certain permits under the Beach and Shore 23 Preservation Act and request additional information within 24 a specified time; requiring that the department proceed to 25 process the application if the applicant believes that a 26 request for additional information is not authorized by 27 law or rule; extending the period for an applicant to 28 timely submit additional information, notwithstanding

Page 1 of 69

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

certain provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act; amending s. 161.041, F.S.; specifying that s. 403.0874, F.S., authorizing expedited permitting, applies to provisions governing coastal construction; prohibiting the Department of Environmental Protection from requiring certain sediment quality specifications or turbidity standards as a permit condition; providing legislative intent with respect to permitting for beach renourishment projects; directing the department to amend specified rules relating to permitting for such projects; amending s. 163.3180, F.S.; providing an exemption to the level-ofservice standards adopted under the Strategic Intermodal System for certain inland multimodal facilities; specifying project criteria; amending s. 166.033, F.S.; prohibiting a municipality from requiring an applicant to obtain a permit or approval from another state or federal agency as a condition of processing a development permit under certain conditions; authorizing a county to attach certain disclaimers to the issuance of a development permit; creating s. 166.0447, F.S.; providing that the construction and operation of a biofuel processing facility or renewable energy generating facility and the cultivation of bioenergy is a valid and permitted land use within the incorporated area of a municipality; providing an exception; prohibiting any requirement that the owner or operator of such a facility obtain comprehensive plan amendments, use permits, waivers, or variances, or pay any fee in excess of a specified amount; amending s. 373.026,

Page 2 of 69

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

6970

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

F.S.; requiring the Department of Environmental Protection to expand its use of Internet-based self-certification services for exemptions and permits issued by the department and water management districts; amending s. 373.413, F.S.; specifying that s. 403.0874, F.S., authorizing expedited permitting, applies to provisions governing surface water management and storage; amending s. 373.4137, F.S.; revising legislative findings with respect to the options for mitigation relating to transportation projects; revising certain requirements for determining the habitat impacts of transportation projects; requiring water management districts to purchase credits from public or private mitigation banks under certain conditions; providing for the release of certain mitigation funds held for the benefit of a water management district if a project is excluded from a mitigation plan; requiring water management districts to use private mitigation banks in developing plans for complying with mitigation requirements; providing an exception; revising the procedure for excluding a project from a mitigation plan; amending s. 373.4141, F.S.; providing a limitation for the request of additional information from an applicant by the department; providing that failure of an applicant to respond to such a request within a specified time period constitutes withdrawal of the application; reducing the time within which the department or district must approve or deny a permit application; prohibiting a state agency or an agency of

Page 3 of 69

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

the state from requiring additional permits or approval from a local, state, or federal agency without explicit authority; amending s. 373.4144, F.S.; providing legislative intent with respect to the coordination of regulatory duties among specified state and federal agencies; requiring that the department report annually to the Legislature on efforts to expand the state programmatic general permit or regional general permits; providing for a voluntary state programmatic general permit for certain dredge and fill activities; amending s. 373.41492, F.S.; authorizing the use of proceeds from the water treatment plant upgrade fee to pay for specified mitigation projects; requiring proceeds from the water treatment plant upgrade fee to be transferred by the Department of Revenue to the South Florida Water Management District and deposited into the Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund for a specified period of time; providing, after that period, for the proceeds of the water treatment plant upgrade fee to return to being transferred by the Department of Revenue to a trust fund established by Miami-Dade County for specified purposes; conforming a term; amending s. 373.441, F.S.; requiring that certain counties or municipalities apply by a specified date to the department or water management district for authority to require certain permits; providing that following such delegation, the department or district may not regulate activities that are subject to the delegation; clarifying the authority of local

Page 4 of 69

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

governments to adopt pollution control programs under certain conditions; amending s. 376.30715, F.S.; providing that the transfer of a contaminated site from an owner to a child of the owner or corporate entity does not disqualify the site from the innocent victim petroleum storage system restoration financial assistance program; authorizing certain applicants to reapply for financial assistance; amending s. 380.06, F.S.; exempting a proposed solid mineral mine or a proposed addition or expansion of an existing solid mineral mine from provisions governing developments of regional impact; providing certain exceptions; amending s. 380.0657, F.S.; authorizing expedited permitting for certain inland multimodal facilities that individually or collectively will create a minimum number of jobs; amending s. 403.061, F.S.; requiring the Department of Environmental Protection to establish reasonable zones of mixing for discharges into specified waters; providing that certain discharges do not create liability for site cleanup; providing that exceedance of soil cleanup target levels is not a basis for enforcement or cleanup; amending s. 403.087, F.S.; revising conditions under which the department is authorized to revoke environmental resource permits; creating s. 403.0874, F.S.; providing a short title; providing legislative findings and intent with respect to the consideration of the compliance history of a permit applicant; providing for applicability; specifying the period of compliance history to be considered is issuing

Page 5 of 69

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163164

165

166

167

168

or renewing a permit; providing criteria to be considered by the Department of Environmental Protection; authorizing expedited review of permit issuance, renewal, modification, and transfer; providing for a reduced number of inspections; providing for extended permit duration; authorizing the department to make additional incentives available under certain circumstances; providing for automatic permit renewal and reduced or waived fees under certain circumstances; requiring the department to adopt rules that are binding on a water management district or local government that has been delegated certain regulatory duties; amending s. 403.703, F.S.; revising the term "solid waste" to exclude sludge from a waste treatment works that is not discarded; amending s. 403.707, F.S.; revising provisions relating to disposal by persons of solid waste resulting from their own activities on their property; clarifying what constitutes "addressed by a groundwater monitoring plan" with regard to certain effects on groundwater and surface waters; authorizing the disposal of solid waste over a zone of discharge; providing that exceedance of soil cleanup target levels is not a basis for enforcement or cleanup; providing that certain disposal of solid waste does not create liability for site cleanup; extending the duration of all permits issued to solid waste management facilities that meet specified criteria; providing an exception; providing for prorated permit fees; providing applicability; amending s. 403.814, F.S.; providing for issuance of general permits

Page 6 of 69

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188 189

190

191

192

193

194

195

for the construction, alteration, and maintenance of certain surface water management systems without the action of the department or a water management district; specifying conditions for the general permits; amending s. 403.973, F.S.; authorizing expedited permitting for certain commercial or industrial development projects that individually or collectively will create a minimum number of jobs; providing for a project-specific memorandum of agreement to apply to a project subject to expedited permitting; clarifying the authority of the Department of Environmental Protection to enter final orders for the issuance of certain licenses; revising criteria for the review of certain sites; amending s. 526.203, F.S.; authorizing the sale of unblended fuels for certain uses; amending s. 604.50, F.S.; exempting farm fences from the Florida Building Code; revising the term "nonresidential farm building"; exempting nonresidential farm buildings and farm fences from county and municipal codes and fees; specifying that the exemptions do not apply to code provisions implementing certain floodplain regulations; revising the deadline for completion of the installation of fuel tank upgrades to secondary containment systems for specified properties; revising rules of the Department of Environmental Protection relating to the uniform mitigation assessment method for activities in surface waters and wetlands; directing the Department of Environmental Protection to make additional changes to

Page 7 of 69

196 conform; providing for reassessment of mitigation banks 197 under certain conditions; providing an effective date. 198 199 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 200 201 Section 1. Paragraph (p) is added to subsection (2) of 202 section 120.569, Florida Statutes, to read: 203 120.569 Decisions which affect substantial interests.-204 (2) 205 (p) For any proceeding arising under chapter 373, chapter 378, or chapter 403, if a nonapplicant petitions as a third 206 207 party to challenge an agency's issuance of a license or 208 conceptual approval, the petitioner initiating the action has 209 the burden of ultimate persuasion and, in the first instance, 210 has the burden of going forward with the evidence. 211 Notwithstanding subsection (1), this paragraph applies to 212 proceedings under s. 120.574. 213 Section 2. Section 125.0112, Florida Statutes, is created 214 to read: 215 125.0112 Biofuels and renewable energy.—The construction 216 and operation of a biofuel processing facility or a renewable 217 energy generating facility, as defined in s. 366.91(2)(d), and 218 the cultivation and production of bioenergy, as defined pursuant 219 to s. 163.3177, except where biomass material derived from municipal solid waste or landfill gases provides the renewable 220 energy for such facilities, shall be considered by a local 221 government to be a valid industrial, agricultural, and 222 223 silvicultural use permitted within those land use categories in

Page 8 of 69

CS/CS/HB 991 2011

224 the local comprehensive land use plan. If the local 225 comprehensive plan does not specifically allow for the 226 construction of a biofuel processing facility or renewable 227 energy facility, the local government shall establish a specific 228 review process that may include expediting local review of any 229 necessary comprehensive plan amendment, zoning change, use permit, waiver, variance, or special exemption. Local expedited review of a proposed biofuel processing facility or a renewable 232 energy facility does not obligate a local government to approve such proposed use. A comprehensive plan amendment necessary to 233 234 accommodate a biofuel processing facility or renewable energy 235 facility shall, if approved by the local government, be eligible 236 for the alternative state review process in s. 163.32465. The 237 construction and operation of a facility and related 238 improvements on a portion of a property under this section does not affect the remainder of the property's classification as 239 240 agricultural under s. 193.461. Section 3. Section 125.022, Florida Statutes, is amended 242 to read: 243 125.022 Development permits.-When a county denies an application for a development permit, the county shall give 244 245 written notice to the applicant. The notice must include a 246 citation to the applicable portions of an ordinance, rule, 247 statute, or other legal authority for the denial of the permit. As used in this section, the term "development permit" has the 248 same meaning as in s. 163.3164. A county may not require as a 249 condition of processing a development permit that an applicant 250

Page 9 of 69

obtain a permit or approval from any other state or federal

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

230

231

241

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

agency unless the agency has issued a notice of intent to deny the federal or state permit before the county action on the local development permit. Issuance of a development permit by a county does not in any way create any rights on the part of the applicant to obtain a permit from another state or federal agency and does not create any liability on the part of the county for issuance of the permit if the applicant fails to fulfill its legal obligations to obtain requisite approvals or fulfill the obligations imposed by another state or a federal agency. A county may attach such a disclaimer to the issuance of a development permit, and may include a permit condition that all other applicable state or federal permits be obtained before commencement of the development. This section does not prohibit a county from providing information to an applicant regarding what other state or federal permits may apply. Section 4. Section 161.032, Florida Statutes, is created

Section 4. Section 161.032, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

161.032 Application review; request for additional information.—

(1) Within 30 days after receipt of an application for a permit under this part, the department shall review the application and shall request submission of any additional information the department is permitted by law to require. If the applicant believes that a request for additional information is not authorized by law or rule, the applicant may request a hearing pursuant to s. 120.57. Within 30 days after receipt of such additional information, the department shall review such additional information and may request only that information

Page 10 of 69

needed to clarify such additional information or to answer new questions raised by or directly related to such additional information. If the applicant believes that the request for such additional information by the department is not authorized by law or rule, the department, at the applicant's request, shall proceed to process the permit application.

- (2) Notwithstanding s. 120.60, an applicant for a permit under this part has 90 days after the date of a timely request for additional information to submit such information. If an applicant requires more than 90 days in order to respond to a request for additional information, the applicant must notify the agency processing the permit application in writing of the circumstances, at which time the application shall be held in active status for no more than one additional period of up to 90 days. Additional extensions may be granted for good cause shown by the applicant. A showing that the applicant is making a diligent effort to obtain the requested additional information constitutes good cause. Failure of an applicant to provide the timely requested information by the applicable deadline shall result in denial of the application without prejudice.
- Section 5. Subsections (5), (6), and (7) are added to section 161.041, Florida Statutes, to read:
 - 161.041 Permits required.—

- (5) The provisions of s. 403.0874, relating to the incentive-based permitting program, apply to all permits issued under this chapter.
- (6) The department may not require as a permit condition sediment quality specifications or turbidity standards more

Page 11 of 69

stringent than those provided for in this chapter, chapter 373, or the Florida Administrative Code. The department may not issue guidelines that are enforceable as standards without going through the rulemaking process pursuant to chapter 120.

(7) As an incentive for permit applicants, it is the Legislature's intent to simplify the permitting for periodic maintenance of beach renourishment projects previously permitted and restored under the joint coastal permit process pursuant to this section or part IV of chapter 373. The department shall amend chapters 62B-41 and 62B-49 of the Florida Administrative Code to streamline the permitting process for periodic maintenance projects.

Section 6. Subsection (10) of section 163.3180, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3180 Concurrency.-

(10) (a) Except in transportation concurrency exception areas, with regard to roadway facilities on the Strategic Intermodal System designated in accordance with s. 339.63, local governments shall adopt the level-of-service standard established by the Department of Transportation by rule. However, if the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development concurs in writing with the local government that the proposed development is for a qualified job creation project under s. 288.0656 or s. 403.973, the affected local government, after consulting with the Department of Transportation, may provide for a waiver of transportation concurrency for the project. For all other roads on the State Highway System, local governments shall establish an adequate level-of-service

standard that need not be consistent with any level-of-service standard established by the Department of Transportation. In establishing adequate level-of-service standards for any arterial roads, or collector roads as appropriate, which traverse multiple jurisdictions, local governments shall consider compatibility with the roadway facility's adopted level-of-service standards in adjacent jurisdictions. Each local government within a county shall use a professionally accepted methodology for measuring impacts on transportation facilities for the purposes of implementing its concurrency management system. Counties are encouraged to coordinate with adjacent counties, and local governments within a county are encouraged to coordinate, for the purpose of using common methodologies for measuring impacts on transportation facilities for the purpose of implementing their concurrency management systems.

- Intermodal System adopted level-of-service standards for new or redevelopment projects consistent with the local comprehensive plan as inland multimodal facilities receiving or sending cargo for distribution and providing cargo storage, consolidation, repackaging, and transfer of goods, and which may, if developed as proposed, include other intermodal terminals, related transportation facilities, warehousing and distribution facilities, and associated office space, light industrial, manufacturing, and assembly uses. The limited exemption applies if the project meets all of the following criteria:
- 1. The project will not cause the adopted level-of-service standards for the Strategic Intermodal System facilities to be

Page 13 of 69

exceeded by more than 150 percent within the first 5 years of the project's development.

2. The project, upon completion, would result in the creation of at least 50 full-time jobs.

- 3. The project is compatible with existing and planned adjacent land uses.
- 4. The project is consistent with local and regional economic development goals or plans.
- 5. The project is proximate to regionally significant road and rail transportation facilities.
- 6. The project is proximate to a community having an unemployment rate, as of the date of the development order application, which is 10 percent or more above the statewide reported average.
- Section 7. Section 166.033, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

application for a development permits.—When a municipality denies an application for a development permit, the municipality shall give written notice to the applicant. The notice must include a citation to the applicable portions of an ordinance, rule, statute, or other legal authority for the denial of the permit. As used in this section, the term "development permit" has the same meaning as in s. 163.3164. A municipality may not require as a condition of processing a development permit that an applicant obtain a permit or approval from any other state or federal agency unless the agency has issued a notice of intent to deny the federal or state permit before the municipal action on the local development permit. Issuance of a development

Page 14 of 69

permit by a municipality does not in any way create any right on the part of an applicant to obtain a permit from another state or federal agency and does not create any liability on the part of the municipality for issuance of the permit if the applicant fails to fulfill its legal obligations to obtain requisite approvals or fulfill the obligations imposed by another state or federal agency. A municipality may attach such a disclaimer to the issuance of development permits and may include a permit condition that all other applicable state or federal permits be obtained before commencement of the development. This section does not prohibit a municipality from providing information to an applicant regarding what other state or federal permits may apply.

Section 8. Section 166.0447, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

and operation of a biofuel processing facility or a renewable energy generating facility, as defined in s. 366.91(2)(d), and the cultivation and production of bioenergy, as defined pursuant to s. 163.3177, except where biomass material derived from municipal solid waste or landfill gases provides the renewable energy for such facilities, are each a valid industrial, agricultural, and silvicultural use permitted within those land use categories in the local comprehensive land use plan and for purposes of any local zoning regulation within an incorporated area of a municipality. Such comprehensive land use plans and local zoning regulations may not require the owner or operator of a biofuel processing facility or a renewable energy

generating facility to obtain any comprehensive plan amendment, rezoning, special exemption, use permit, waiver, or variance, or to pay any special fee in excess of \$1,000 to operate in an area zoned for or categorized as industrial, agricultural, or silvicultural use. This section does not exempt biofuel processing facilities and renewable energy generating facilities from complying with building code requirements. The construction and operation of a facility and related improvements on a portion of a property pursuant to this section does not affect the remainder of that property's classification as agricultural pursuant to s. 193.461.

Section 9. Subsection (10) is added to section 373.026, Florida Statutes, to read:

373.026 General powers and duties of the department.—The department, or its successor agency, shall be responsible for the administration of this chapter at the state level. However, it is the policy of the state that, to the greatest extent possible, the department may enter into interagency or interlocal agreements with any other state agency, any water management district, or any local government conducting programs related to or materially affecting the water resources of the state. All such agreements shall be subject to the provisions of s. 373.046. In addition to its other powers and duties, the department shall, to the greatest extent possible:

(10) Expand the use of Internet-based self-certification services for appropriate exemptions and general permits issued by the department and the water management districts, if such expansion is economically feasible. In addition to expanding the

Page 16 of 69

use of Internet-based self-certification services for appropriate exemptions and general permits, the department and water management districts shall identify and develop general permits for appropriate activities currently requiring individual review which could be expedited through the use of applicable professional certification.

Section 10. Subsection (6) is added to section 373.413, Florida Statutes, to read:

- 373.413 Permits for construction or alteration.
- (6) The provisions of s. 403.0874, relating to the incentive-based permitting program, apply to permits issued under this section.

Section 11. Subsections (1) and (2), paragraph (c) of subsection (3), and subsection (4) of section 373.4137, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

373.4137 Mitigation requirements for specified transportation projects.—

(1) The Legislature finds that environmental mitigation for the impact of transportation projects proposed by the Department of Transportation or a transportation authority established pursuant to chapter 348 or chapter 349 can be more effectively achieved by regional, long-range mitigation planning rather than on a project-by-project basis. It is the intent of the Legislature that mitigation to offset the adverse effects of these transportation projects be funded by the Department of Transportation and be carried out by the water management districts, through including the use of private mitigation banks if available or, if a private mitigation bank is not available,

through any other mitigation options that satisfy state and federal requirements established pursuant to this part.

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

- (2) Environmental impact inventories for transportation projects proposed by the Department of Transportation or a transportation authority established pursuant to chapter 348 or chapter 349 shall be developed as follows:
- By July 1 of each year, the Department of Transportation or a transportation authority established pursuant to chapter 348 or chapter 349 which chooses to participate in this program shall submit to the water management districts a list copy of its projects in the adopted work program and an environmental impact inventory of habitats addressed in the rules adopted pursuant to this part and s. 404 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. s. 1344, which may be impacted by its plan of construction for transportation projects in the next 3 years of the tentative work program. The Department of Transportation or a transportation authority established pursuant to chapter 348 or chapter 349 may also include in its environmental impact inventory the habitat impacts of any future transportation project. The Department of Transportation and each transportation authority established pursuant to chapter 348 or chapter 349 may fund any mitigation activities for future projects using current year funds.
- (b) The environmental impact inventory shall include a description of these habitat impacts, including their location, acreage, and type; state water quality classification of impacted wetlands and other surface waters; any other state or regional designations for these habitats; and a list survey of

Page 18 of 69

threatened species, endangered species, and species of special concern affected by the proposed project.

(3)

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

(C) Except for current mitigation projects in the monitoring and maintenance phase and except as allowed by paragraph (d), the water management districts may request a transfer of funds from an escrow account no sooner than 30 days prior to the date the funds are needed to pay for activities associated with development or implementation of the approved mitigation plan described in subsection (4) for the current fiscal year, including, but not limited to, design, engineering, production, and staff support. Actual conceptual plan preparation costs incurred before plan approval may be submitted to the Department of Transportation or the appropriate transportation authority each year with the plan. The conceptual plan preparation costs of each water management district will be paid from mitigation funds associated with the environmental impact inventory for the current year. The amount transferred to the escrow accounts each year by the Department of Transportation and participating transportation authorities established pursuant to chapter 348 or chapter 349 shall correspond to a cost per acre of \$75,000 multiplied by the projected acres of impact identified in the environmental impact inventory described in subsection (2). However, the \$75,000 cost per acre does not constitute an admission against interest by the state or its subdivisions nor is the cost admissible as evidence of full compensation for any property acquired by eminent domain or through inverse condemnation. Each July 1, the

Page 19 of 69

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

cost per acre shall be adjusted by the percentage change in the average of the Consumer Price Index issued by the United States Department of Labor for the most recent 12-month period ending September 30, compared to the base year average, which is the average for the 12-month period ending September 30, 1996. Each quarter, the projected acreage of impact shall be reconciled with the acreage of impact of projects as permitted, including permit modifications, pursuant to this part and s. 404 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. s. 1344. The subject year's transfer of funds shall be adjusted accordingly to reflect the acreage of impacts as permitted. The Department of Transportation and participating transportation authorities established pursuant to chapter 348 or chapter 349 are authorized to transfer such funds from the escrow accounts to the water management districts to carry out the mitigation programs. Environmental mitigation funds that are identified or maintained in an escrow account for the benefit of a water management district may be released if the associated transportation project is excluded in whole or part from the mitigation plan. For a mitigation project that is in the maintenance and monitoring phase, the water management district may request and receive a one-time payment based on the project's expected future maintenance and monitoring costs. Upon disbursement of the final maintenance and monitoring payment, the department or the participating transportation authorities' obligation will be satisfied, the water management district will have continuing responsibility for the mitigation project, and the escrow account for the project established by the Department of Transportation or the participating transportation authority

Page 20 of 69

may be closed. Any interest earned on these disbursed funds shall remain with the water management district and must be used as authorized under this section.

560

561562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

Prior to March 1 of each year, each water management district, in consultation with the Department of Environmental Protection, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, the Department of Transportation, participating transportation authorities established pursuant to chapter 348 or chapter 349, and other appropriate federal, state, and local governments, and other interested parties, including entities operating mitigation banks, shall develop a plan for the primary purpose of complying with the mitigation requirements adopted pursuant to this part and 33 U.S.C. s. 1344. In developing such plans, private mitigation banks shall be used if available or, if a private mitigation bank is not available, the districts shall use utilize sound ecosystem management practices to address significant water resource needs and shall focus on activities of the Department of Environmental Protection and the water management districts, such as surface water improvement and management (SWIM) projects and lands identified for potential acquisition for preservation, restoration or enhancement, and the control of invasive and exotic plants in wetlands and other surface waters, to the extent that such activities comply with the mitigation requirements adopted under this part and 33 U.S.C. s. 1344. In determining the activities to be included in such plans, the districts shall also consider the purchase of credits from public or private mitigation banks permitted under s. 373.4136 and associated federal authorization and shall

Page 21 of 69

include such purchase as a part of the mitigation plan when such purchase would offset the impact of the transportation project, provide equal benefits to the water resources than other mitigation options being considered, and provide the most cost-effective mitigation option. The mitigation plan shall be submitted to the water management district governing board, or its designee, for review and approval. At least 14 days prior to approval, the water management district shall provide a copy of the draft mitigation plan to any person who has requested a copy.

- (a) For each transportation project with a funding request for the next fiscal year, the mitigation plan must include a brief explanation of why a mitigation bank was or was not chosen as a mitigation option, including an estimation of identifiable costs of the mitigation bank and nonbank options to the extent practicable.
- (b) Specific projects may be excluded from the mitigation plan, in whole or in part, and shall not be subject to this section upon the <u>election</u> <u>agreement</u> of the Department of Transportation, or a transportation authority if applicable, or and the appropriate water management district that the inclusion of such projects would hamper the efficiency or timeliness of the mitigation planning and permitting process. The water management district may choose to exclude a project in whole or in part if the district is unable to identify mitigation that would offset impacts of the project.

Section 12. Section 373.4141, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

373.4141 Permits; processing.—

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642

643

- Within 30 days after receipt of an application for a permit under this part, the department or the water management district shall review the application and shall request submittal of all additional information the department or the water management district is permitted by law to require. If the applicant believes any request for additional information is not authorized by law or rule, the applicant may request a hearing pursuant to s. 120.57. Within 30 days after receipt of such additional information, the department or water management district shall review it and may request only that information needed to clarify such additional information or to answer new questions raised by or directly related to such additional information. If the applicant believes the request of the department or water management district for such additional information is not authorized by law or rule, the department or water management district, at the applicant's request, shall proceed to process the permit application. The department or water management district may request additional information no more than twice unless the applicant waives this limitation in writing. If the applicant does not provide a written response to the second request for additional information within 90 days or another time period mutually agreed upon between the applicant and the department or water management district, the application shall be considered withdrawn.
- (2) A permit shall be approved or denied within $\underline{60}$ $\underline{90}$ days after receipt of the original application, the last item of timely requested additional material, or the applicant's written

Page 23 of 69

request to begin processing the permit application.

- (3) Processing of applications for permits for affordable housing projects shall be expedited to a greater degree than other projects.
- (4) A state agency or an agency of the state may not require as a condition of approval for a permit or as an item to complete a pending permit application that an applicant obtain a permit or approval from any other local, state, or federal agency without explicit statutory authority to require such permit or approval.
- Section 13. Section 373.4144, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 373.4144 Federal environmental permitting.
 - (1) It is the intent of the Legislature to:
- (a) Facilitate coordination and a more efficient process of implementing regulatory duties and functions between the Department of Environmental Protection, the water management districts, the United States Army Corps of Engineers, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the National Marine Fisheries Service, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, and other relevant federal and state agencies.
- (b) Authorize the Department of Environmental Protection to obtain issuance by the United States Army Corps of Engineers, pursuant to state and federal law and as set forth in this section, of an expanded state programmatic general permit, or a series of regional general permits, for categories of activities in waters of the United States governed by the Clean Water Act

Page 24 of 69

and in navigable waters under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899

which are similar in nature, which will cause only minimal

adverse environmental effects when performed separately, and

which will have only minimal cumulative adverse effects on the
environment.

- (c) Use the mechanism of such a state general permit or such regional general permits to eliminate overlapping federal regulations and state rules that seek to protect the same resource and to avoid duplication of permitting between the United States Army Corps of Engineers and the department for minor work located in waters of the United States, including navigable waters, thus eliminating, in appropriate cases, the need for a separate individual approval from the United States Army Corps of Engineers while ensuring the most stringent protection of wetland resources.
- (d) Direct the department not to seek issuance of or take any action pursuant to any such permit or permits unless such conditions are at least as protective of the environment and natural resources as existing state law under this part and federal law under the Clean Water Act and the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. The department is directed to develop, on or before October 1, 2005, a mechanism or plan to consolidate, to the maximum extent practicable, the federal and state wetland permitting programs. It is the intent of the Legislature that all dredge and fill activities impacting 10 acres or less of wetlands or waters, including navigable waters, be processed by the state as part of the environmental resource permitting program implemented by the department and the water management

Page 25 of 69

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

districts. The resulting mechanism or plan shall analyze and propose the development of an expanded state programmatic general permit program in conjunction with the United States Army Corps of Engineers pursuant to s. 404 of the Clean Water Act, Pub. L. No. 92-500, as amended, 33 U.S.C. ss. 1251 et seq., and s. 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Alternatively, or in combination with an expanded state programmatic general permit, the mechanism or plan may propose the creation of a series of regional general permits issued by the United States Army Corps of Engineers pursuant to the referenced statutes. All of the regional general permits must be administered by the department or the water management districts or their designees.

In order to effectuate efficient wetland permitting (2) and avoid duplication, the department and water management districts are authorized to implement a voluntary state programmatic general permit for all dredge and fill activities impacting 3 acres or less of wetlands or other surface waters, including navigable waters, subject to agreement with the United States Army Corps of Engineers, if the general permit is at least as protective of the environment and natural resources as existing state law under this part and federal law under the Clean Water Act and the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. The department is directed to file with the Speaker of the House of Representatives and the President of the Senate a report proposing any required federal and state statutory changes that would be necessary to accomplish the directives listed in this section and to coordinate with the Florida Congressional Delegation on any necessary changes to federal law to implement

the directives.

(3) Nothing in this section shall be construed to preclude the department from pursuing a series of regional general permits for construction activities in wetlands or surface waters or complete assumption of federal permitting programs regulating the discharge of dredged or fill material pursuant to s. 404 of the Clean Water Act, Pub. L. No. 92-500, as amended, 33 U.S.C. ss. 1251 et seq., and s. 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899, so long as the assumption encompasses all dredge and fill activities in, on, or over jurisdictional wetlands or waters, including navigable waters, within the state.

Section 14. Subsections (2) and (3), paragraph (a) of subsection (4), and paragraph (a) of subsection (6) of section 373.41492, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

373.41492 Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Mitigation Plan; mitigation for mining activities within the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt.—

(2) To provide for the mitigation of wetland resources lost to mining activities within the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Plan, effective October 1, 1999, a mitigation fee is imposed on each ton of limerock and sand extracted by any person who engages in the business of extracting limerock or sand from within the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area and the east one-half of sections 24 and 25 and all of sections 35 and 36, Township 53 South, Range 39 East. The mitigation fee is imposed for each ton of limerock and sand sold from within the properties where the fee applies in raw, processed, or manufactured form, including, but not limited to, sized

Page 27 of 69

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

aggregate, asphalt, cement, concrete, and other limerock and concrete products. The mitigation fee imposed by this subsection for each ton of limerock and sand sold shall be 12 cents per ton beginning January 1, 2007; 18 cents per ton beginning January 1, 2008; 24 cents per ton beginning January 1, 2009; and 45 cents per ton beginning close of business December 31, 2011. To pay for seepage mitigation projects, including hydrological structures, as authorized in an environmental resource permit issued by the department for mining activities within the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area, and to upgrade a water treatment plant that treats water coming from the Northwest Wellfield in Miami-Dade County, a water treatment plant upgrade fee is imposed within the same Lake Belt Area subject to the mitigation fee and upon the same kind of mined limerock and sand subject to the mitigation fee. The water treatment plant upgrade fee imposed by this subsection for each ton of limerock and sand sold shall be 15 cents per ton beginning on January 1, 2007, and the collection of this fee shall cease once the total amount of proceeds collected for this fee reaches the amount of the actual moneys necessary to design and construct the water treatment plant upgrade, as determined in an open, public solicitation process. Any limerock or sand that is used within the mine from which the limerock or sand is extracted is exempt from the fees. The amount of the mitigation fee and the water treatment plant upgrade fee imposed under this section must be stated separately on the invoice provided to the purchaser of the limerock or sand product from the limerock or sand miner, or its subsidiary or affiliate, for which the fee or fees apply. The limerock or sand

Page 28 of 69

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801

802803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

miner, or its subsidiary or affiliate, who sells the limerock or sand product shall collect the mitigation fee and the water treatment plant upgrade fee and forward the proceeds of the fees to the Department of Revenue on or before the 20th day of the month following the calendar month in which the sale occurs. As used in this section, the term "proceeds of the fee" means all funds collected and received by the Department of Revenue under this section, including interest and penalties on delinquent fees. The amount deducted for administrative costs may not exceed 3 percent of the total revenues collected under this section and may equal only those administrative costs reasonably attributable to the fees.

The mitigation fee and the water treatment plant (3) upgrade fee imposed by this section must be reported to the Department of Revenue. Payment of the mitigation and the water treatment plant upgrade fees must be accompanied by a form prescribed by the Department of Revenue. The proceeds of the mitigation fee, less administrative costs, must be transferred by the Department of Revenue to the South Florida Water Management District and deposited into the Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund. Beginning January 1, 2012, and ending December 31, 2017, or upon issuance of water quality certification by the department for mining activities within Phase II of the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Plan, whichever occurs later, the proceeds of the water treatment plant upgrade fee, less administrative costs, must be transferred by the Department of Revenue to the South Florida Water Management District and deposited into the Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund. Beginning January 1, 2018, the

proceeds of the <u>water</u> treatment plant upgrade fee, less administrative costs, must be transferred by the Department of Revenue to a trust fund established by Miami-Dade County, for the sole purpose authorized by paragraph (6)(a). As used in this section, the term "proceeds of the fee" means all funds collected and received by the Department of Revenue under this section, including interest and penalties on delinquent fees. The amount deducted for administrative costs may not exceed 3 percent of the total revenues collected under this section and may equal only those administrative costs reasonably attributable to the fees.

- (4) (a) The Department of Revenue shall administer, collect, and enforce the mitigation and water treatment plant upgrade fees authorized under this section in accordance with the procedures used to administer, collect, and enforce the general sales tax imposed under chapter 212. The provisions of chapter 212 with respect to the authority of the Department of Revenue to audit and make assessments, the keeping of books and records, and the interest and penalties imposed on delinquent fees apply to this section. The fees may not be included in computing estimated taxes under s. 212.11, and the dealer's credit for collecting taxes or fees provided for in s. 212.12 does not apply to the fees imposed by this section.
- (6)(a) The proceeds of the mitigation fee must be used to conduct mitigation activities that are appropriate to offset the loss of the value and functions of wetlands as a result of mining activities and must be used in a manner consistent with the recommendations contained in the reports submitted to the

Page 30 of 69

CS/CS/HB 991 2011

840

841

844

845

846

847

848

849

850

851

852

853

854

855

856 857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

867

Legislature by the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Plan Implementation Committee and adopted under s. 373.4149. Such 842 mitigation may include the purchase, enhancement, restoration, 843 and management of wetlands and uplands, the purchase of mitigation credit from a permitted mitigation bank, and any structural modifications to the existing drainage system to enhance the hydrology of the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area. Funds may also be used to reimburse other funding sources, including the Save Our Rivers Land Acquisition Program, the Internal Improvement Trust Fund, the South Florida Water Management District, and Miami-Dade County, for the purchase of lands that were acquired in areas appropriate for mitigation due to rock mining and to reimburse governmental agencies that exchanged land under s. 373.4149 for mitigation due to rock mining. The proceeds of the water treatment plant upgrade fee that are deposited into the Lake Belt Mitigation Trust Fund shall be used solely to pay for seepage mitigation projects, including groundwater or surface water management structures, as authorized in an environmental resource permit issued by the department for mining activities within the Miami-Dade County Lake Belt Area. The proceeds of the water treatment plant upgrade fee that are transferred to a trust fund established by Miami-Dade County shall be used to upgrade a water treatment plant that treats water coming from the Northwest Wellfield in Miami-Dade County. As used in this section, the terms "upgrade a water treatment plant" or "water treatment plant upgrade" means 865 866 those works necessary to treat or filter a surface water source or supply or both.

Page 31 of 69

Section 15. Present subsections (3), (4), and (5) of section 373.441, Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (6), (7), and (8), respectively, and new subsections (3), (4), and (5) are added to that section to read:

- 373.441 Role of counties, municipalities, and local pollution control programs in permit processing; delegation.—
- (3) A county having a population of 75,000 or more or a municipality having a population of more than 50,000 that implements a local pollution control program regulating wetlands or surface waters throughout its geographic boundary must apply for delegation of state environmental resource permitting authority on or before June 1, 2012. A county, municipality, or local pollution control program that fails to receive delegation of authority by June 1, 2013, may not require permits that in part or in full are substantially similar to the requirements needed to obtain an environmental resource permit.
- (4) Upon delegation to a qualified local government, the department and water management district may not regulate the activities subject to the delegation within that jurisdiction unless regulation is required pursuant to the terms of the delegation agreement.
- (5) This section does not prohibit or limit a local government from adopting a pollution control program regulating wetlands or surface waters after June 1, 2012, if the local government applies for and receives delegation of state environmental resource permitting authority within 1 year after adopting such a program.

Section 16. Section 376.30715, Florida Statutes, is

Page 32 of 69

amended to read:

376.30715 Innocent victim petroleum storage system restoration.—A contaminated site acquired by the current owner prior to July 1, 1990, which has ceased operating as a petroleum storage or retail business prior to January 1, 1985, is eligible for financial assistance pursuant to s. 376.305(6), notwithstanding s. 376.305(6)(a). For purposes of this section, the term "acquired" means the acquisition of title to the property; however, a subsequent transfer of the property to a spouse or child of the owner, a surviving spouse or child of the owner in trust or free of trust, or a revocable trust created for the benefit of the settlor, or a corporate entity created by the owner to hold title to the site does not disqualify the site from financial assistance pursuant to s. 376.305(6) and applicants previously denied coverage may reapply. Eligible sites shall be ranked in accordance with s. 376.3071(5).

Section 17. Paragraph (u) is added to subsection (24) of section 380.06, Florida Statutes, to read:

380.06 Developments of regional impact.

- (24) STATUTORY EXEMPTIONS.-
- (u) Any proposed solid mineral mine and any proposed addition to, expansion of, or change to an existing solid mineral mine is exempt from the provisions of this section.

 Proposed changes to any previously approved solid mineral mine development-of-regional-impact development orders having vested rights is not subject to further review or approval as a development of regional impact or notice of proposed change review or approval pursuant to subsection (19), except for those

Page 33 of 69

applications pending as of July 1, 2011, which shall be governed by s. 380.115(2). Notwithstanding the foregoing, however, pursuant to s. 380.115(1), previously approved solid mineral mine development-of-regional-impact development orders shall continue to enjoy vested rights and continue to be effective unless rescinded by the developer.

If a use is exempt from review as a development of regional impact under paragraphs (a)-(s), but will be part of a larger project that is subject to review as a development of regional impact, the impact of the exempt use must be included in the review of the larger project, unless such exempt use involves a development of regional impact that includes a landowner, tenant, or user that has entered into a funding agreement with the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development under the Innovation Incentive Program and the agreement contemplates a state award of at least \$50 million.

Section 18. Subsection (1) of section 380.0657, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

380.0657 Expedited permitting process for economic development projects.—

(1) The Department of Environmental Protection and, as appropriate, the water management districts created under chapter 373 shall adopt programs to expedite the processing of wetland resource and environmental resource permits for economic development projects that have been identified by a municipality or county as meeting the definition of target industry businesses under s. 288.106, or any inland multimodal facility,

Page 34 of 69

receiving or sending cargo to or from Florida ports, with the exception of those projects requiring approval by the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund.

Section 19. Subsection (11) of section 403.061, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 403.061 Department; powers and duties.—The department shall have the power and the duty to control and prohibit pollution of air and water in accordance with the law and rules adopted and promulgated by it and, for this purpose, to:
- (11) Establish ambient air quality and water quality standards for the state as a whole or for any part thereof, and also standards for the abatement of excessive and unnecessary noise. The department shall is authorized to establish reasonable zones of mixing for discharges into waters where assimilative capacity in the receiving water is available. Zones of discharge to groundwater are authorized to a facility or owner's property boundary and extending to the base of a specifically designated aquifer or aquifers. Discharges that occur within a zone of discharge or on land that is over a zone of discharge do not create liability under this chapter or chapter 376 for site cleanup and the exceedance of soil cleanup target levels is not a basis for enforcement or site cleanup.
- (a) When a receiving body of water fails to meet a water quality standard for pollutants set forth in department rules, a steam electric generating plant discharge of pollutants that is existing or licensed under this chapter on July 1, 1984, may nevertheless be granted a mixing zone, provided that:
 - 1. The standard would not be met in the water body in the

Page 35 of 69

absence of the discharge;

2. The discharge is in compliance with all applicable technology-based effluent limitations;

- 3. The discharge does not cause a measurable increase in the degree of noncompliance with the standard at the boundary of the mixing zone; and
- 4. The discharge otherwise complies with the mixing zone provisions specified in department rules.
- (b) No mixing zone for point source discharges shall be permitted in Outstanding Florida Waters except for:
- 1. Sources that have received permits from the department prior to April 1, 1982, or the date of designation, whichever is later;
- 2. Blowdown from new power plants certified pursuant to the Florida Electrical Power Plant Siting Act;
- 3. Discharges of water necessary for water management purposes which have been approved by the governing board of a water management district and, if required by law, by the secretary; and
- 4. The discharge of demineralization concentrate which has been determined permittable under s. 403.0882 and which meets the specific provisions of s. 403.0882(4)(a) and (b), if the proposed discharge is clearly in the public interest.
- (c) The department, by rule, shall establish water quality criteria for wetlands which criteria give appropriate recognition to the water quality of such wetlands in their natural state.

Page 36 of 69

Nothing in this act shall be construed to invalidate any existing department rule relating to mixing zones. The department shall cooperate with the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles in the development of regulations required by s. 316.272(1).

- The department shall implement such programs in conjunction with its other powers and duties and shall place special emphasis on reducing and eliminating contamination that presents a threat to humans, animals or plants, or to the environment.
- 1018 Section 20. Subsection (7) of section 403.087, Florida
 1019 Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 403.087 Permits; general issuance; denial; revocation; prohibition; penalty.—
 - (7) A permit issued pursuant to this section shall not become a vested right in the permittee. The department may revoke any permit issued by it if it finds that the permitholder has:
 - (a) Has Submitted false or inaccurate information in the his or her application for such permit;
 - (b) Has Violated law, department orders, rules, or regulations, or permit conditions;
 - (c) Has Failed to submit operational reports or other information required by department rule which directly relate to such permit and has refused to correct or cure such violations when requested to do so or regulation; or
- 1034 (d) Has Refused lawful inspection under s. 403.091 at the 1035 facility authorized by such permit.

Page 37 of 69

Section 21. Section 403.0874, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

- 403.0874 Incentive-based permitting program.-
- (1) SHORT TITLE.—This section may be cited as the "Florida Incentive-based Permitting Act."
 - declares that the department should consider compliance history when deciding whether to issue, renew, amend, or modify a permit by evaluating an applicant's site-specific and program-specific relevant aggregate compliance history. Persons having a history of complying with applicable permits or state environmental laws and rules are eligible for permitting benefits, including, but not limited to, expedited permit application reviews, longer-duration permit periods, decreased announced compliance inspections, and other similar regulatory and compliance incentives to encourage and reward such persons for their environmental performance.
- 1053 (3) APPLICABILITY.—

- (a) This section applies to all persons and regulated activities that are subject to the permitting requirements of chapter 161, chapter 373, or this chapter, and all other applicable state or federal laws that govern activities for the purpose of protecting the environment or the public health from pollution or contamination.
- (b) Notwithstanding paragraph (a), this section does not apply to certain permit actions or environmental permitting laws such as:
 - 1. Environmental permitting or authorization laws that

Page 38 of 69

regulate activities for the purpose of zoning, growth management, or land use; or

- 2. Any federal law or program delegated or assumed by the state to the extent that implementation of this section, or any part of this section, would jeopardize the ability of the state to retain such delegation or assumption.
- (c) As used in this section, the term "regulated activity" means any activity, including, but not limited to, the construction or operation of a facility, installation, system, or project, for which a permit, certification, or authorization is required under chapter 161, chapter 373, or this chapter.
- (4) COMPLIANCE HISTORY.—The compliance history period shall be the 10 years before the date any permit or renewal application is received by the department. Any person is entitled to the incentives under subsection (5) if:
- (a)1. The applicant has conducted the regulated activity at the same site for which the permit or renewal is sought for at least 8 of the 10 years before the date the permit application is received by the department; or
- 2. The applicant has conducted the same regulated activity at a different site within the state for at least 8 of the 10 years before the date the permit or renewal application is received by the department;
- (b) In the 10 years before the date the permit or renewal application is received by the department or water management district, the applicant has not been subject to a formal administrative or civil judgment or criminal conviction whereby an administrative law judge or civil or criminal court found the

Page 39 of 69

applicant violated the applicable law or rule or has been the subject of an administrative settlement or consent order, whether formal or informal, that established a violation of an applicable law or rule; and

- (c) The applicant can demonstrate during a 10-year compliance history period the implementation of activities or practices that resulted in:
- 1. Reductions in actual or permitted discharges or emissions;
- 2. Reductions in the impacts of regulated activities on public lands or natural resources; and
- 3. Implementation of voluntary environmental performance programs, such as environmental management systems.
- (5) COMPLIANCE INCENTIVES.—An applicant shall request all applicable incentives at the time of application submittal.

 Unless otherwise prohibited by state or federal law, rule, or regulation, and if the applicant meets all other applicable criteria for the issuance of a permit or authorization, an applicant is entitled to the following incentives:
- (a) Expedited reviews on permit actions, including, but not limited to, initial permit issuance, renewal, modification, and transfer, if applicable. Expedited review means, at a minimum, that any request for additional information regarding a permit application shall be issued no later than 15 days after the application is filed, and final agency action shall be taken no later than 45 days after the application is deemed complete;
 - (b) Priority review of permit application;
 - (c) Reduced number of routine compliance inspections;

Page 40 of 69

(d) No more than two requests for additional information under s. 120.60; and

(e) Longer permit period durations.

- within 6 months after the effective date of this act. Such rulemaking may identify additional incentives and programs not expressly enumerated under this section, so long as each incentive is consistent with the Legislature's purpose and intent of this section. Any rule adopted by the department to administer this section shall be deemed an invalid exercise of delegated legislative authority if the department cannot demonstrate how such rules will produce the compliance incentives set forth in subsection (5). The department's rules adopted under this section are binding on the water management districts and any local government that has been delegated or assumed a regulatory program to which this section applies.
- Section 22. Subsection (32) of section 403.703, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 403.703 Definitions.—As used in this part, the term:
- (32) "Solid waste" means sludge unregulated under the federal Clean Water Act or Clean Air Act, sludge from a waste treatment works, water supply treatment plant, or air pollution control facility, or garbage, rubbish, refuse, special waste, or other discarded material, including solid, liquid, semisolid, or contained gaseous material resulting from domestic, industrial, commercial, mining, agricultural, or governmental operations.

 Recovered materials as defined in subsection (24) are not solid waste. The term does not include sludge from a waste treatment

Page 41 of 69

works if the sludge is not discarded.

Section 23. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 403.707, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

403.707 Permits.-

- (2) Except as provided in s. 403.722(6), a permit under this section is not required for the following, if the activity does not create a public nuisance or any condition adversely affecting the environment or public health and does not violate other state or local laws, ordinances, rules, regulations, or orders:
- (a) Disposal by persons of solid waste resulting from their own activities on their own property, if such waste is ordinary household waste from their residential property or is rocks, soils, trees, tree remains, and other vegetative matter that normally result from land development operations. Disposal of materials that could create a public nuisance or adversely affect the environment or public health, such as white goods; automotive materials, such as batteries and tires; petroleum products; pesticides; solvents; or hazardous substances, is not covered under this exemption.
- (b) Storage in containers by persons of solid waste resulting from their own activities on their property, leased or rented property, or property subject to a homeowners or maintenance association for which the person contributes association assessments, if the solid waste in such containers is collected at least once a week.
- (c) Disposal by persons of solid waste resulting from their own activities on their property, if:

Page 42 of 69

 $\underline{1.}$ The environmental effects of such disposal on groundwater and surface waters are:

- $\underline{a.1.}$ Addressed or authorized by a site certification order issued under part II or a permit issued by the department under this chapter or rules adopted pursuant to this chapter; or
- <u>b.2.</u> Addressed or authorized by, or exempted from the requirement to obtain, a groundwater monitoring plan approved by the department. As used in this sub-subparagraph, "addressed by a groundwater monitoring plan" means the plan is sufficient to monitor groundwater or surface water for contaminants of concerns associated with the solid waste being disposed. A groundwater monitoring plan can be demonstrated to be sufficient irrespective of whether the groundwater monitoring plan or disposal is referenced in a department permit or other authorization.
- 2. The disposal of solid waste takes place within an area which is over a zone of discharge.

The disposal of solid waste pursuant to this paragraph does not create liability under this chapter or chapter 376 for site cleanup and the exceedance of soil cleanup target levels is not a basis for enforcement or site cleanup.

- (d) Disposal by persons of solid waste resulting from their own activities on their own property, if such disposal occurred prior to October 1, 1988.
- (e) Disposal of solid waste resulting from normal farming operations as defined by department rule. Polyethylene agricultural plastic, damaged, nonsalvageable, untreated wood

Page 43 of 69

pallets, and packing material that cannot be feasibly recycled, which are used in connection with agricultural operations related to the growing, harvesting, or maintenance of crops, may be disposed of by open burning if a public nuisance or any condition adversely affecting the environment or the public health is not created by the open burning and state or federal ambient air quality standards are not violated.

- (f) The use of clean debris as fill material in any area. However, this paragraph does not exempt any person from obtaining any other required permits, and does not affect a person's responsibility to dispose of clean debris appropriately if it is not to be used as fill material.
- (g) Compost operations that produce less than 50 cubic yards of compost per year when the compost produced is used on the property where the compost operation is located.
- (3) All applicable provisions of ss. 403.087 and 403.088, relating to permits, apply to the control of solid waste management facilities. Additionally, any permit issued to a solid waste management facility that is designed with a leachate control system that meets department requirements shall be issued for a term of 20 years unless the applicant requests a lesser permit term. Permit fees for qualifying solid waste management facilities shall be prorated to the permit term authorized by this section. This provision applies to all qualifying solid waste management facilities that apply for an operating or construction permit or renew an existing operating or construction permit on or after July 1, 2012.

Page 44 of 69

Section 24. Subsection (12) is added to section 403.814,

1232	Florida Statutes, to read:
1233	403.814 General permits; delegation.—
1234	(12) A general permit shall be granted for the
1235	construction, alteration, and maintenance of a surface water
1236	management system serving a total project area of up to 10
1237	acres. The construction of such a system may proceed without any
1238	agency action by the department or water management district if:
1239	(a) The total project area is less than 10 acres;
1240	(b) The total project area involves less than 2 acres of
1241	<pre>impervious surface;</pre>
1242	(c) No activities will impact wetlands or other surface
1243	waters;
1244	(d) No activities are conducted in, on, or over wetlands
1245	or other surface waters;
1246	(e) Drainage facilities will not include pipes having
1247	diameters greater than 24 inches, or the hydraulic equivalent,
1248	and will not use pumps in any manner;
1249	(f) The project is not part of a larger common plan of
1250	development or sale;
1251	(g) The project does not:
1252	1. Cause adverse water quantity or flooding impacts to
1253	receiving water and adjacent lands;
1254	2. Cause adverse impacts to existing surface water storage
1255	and conveyance capabilities;
1256	3. Cause a violation of state water quality standards; and
1257	4. Cause an adverse impact to the maintenance of surface
1258	or ground water levels or surface water flows established
1259	pursuant to s. 373.042 or a work of the district established

Page 45 of 69

CODING: Words $\underline{\text{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\text{underlined}}$ are additions.

pursuant to s. 373.086; and

- (h) The surface water management system design plans must be signed and sealed by a registered professional and must be capable, based on generally accepted engineering and scientific principles, of being performed and functioning as proposed.
- Section 25. Paragraph (a) of subsection (3) and subsections (4), (5), (10), (11), (14), (15), and (18) of section 403.973, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
- 403.973 Expedited permitting; amendments to comprehensive plans.—
- (3) (a) The secretary shall direct the creation of regional permit action teams for the purpose of expediting review of permit applications and local comprehensive plan amendments submitted by:
- 1. Businesses creating at least 50 jobs or a commercial or industrial development project that will be occupied by businesses that would individually or collectively create at least 50 jobs; or
- 2. Businesses creating at least 25 jobs if the project is located in an enterprise zone, or in a county having a population of fewer than 75,000 or in a county having a population of fewer than 125,000 which is contiguous to a county having a population of fewer than 75,000, as determined by the most recent decennial census, residing in incorporated and unincorporated areas of the county.
- (4) The regional teams shall be established through the execution of <u>a project-specific</u> memoranda of agreement developed and executed by the applicant and the secretary, with input

Page 46 of 69

solicited from the office and the respective heads of the Department of Community Affairs, the Department of Transportation and its district offices, the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, appropriate regional planning councils, appropriate water management districts, and voluntarily participating municipalities and counties. The memoranda of agreement should also accommodate participation in this expedited process by other local governments and federal agencies as circumstances warrant.

- (5) In order to facilitate local government's option to participate in this expedited review process, the secretary shall, in cooperation with local governments and participating state agencies, create a standard form memorandum of agreement. The standard form of the memorandum of agreement shall be used only if the local government participates in the expedited review process. In the absence of local government participation, only the project-specific memorandum of agreement executed pursuant to subsection (4) applies. A local government shall hold a duly noticed public workshop to review and explain to the public the expedited permitting process and the terms and conditions of the standard form memorandum of agreement.
- (10) The memoranda of agreement may provide for the waiver or modification of procedural rules prescribing forms, fees, procedures, or time limits for the review or processing of permit applications under the jurisdiction of those agencies that are members of the regional permit action team party to the memoranda of agreement. Notwithstanding any other provision of

Page 47 of 69

law to the contrary, a memorandum of agreement must to the extent feasible provide for proceedings and hearings otherwise held separately by the parties to the memorandum of agreement to be combined into one proceeding or held jointly and at one location. Such waivers or modifications shall not be available for permit applications governed by federally delegated or approved permitting programs, the requirements of which would prohibit, or be inconsistent with, such a waiver or modification.

- (11) The standard form for memoranda of agreement shall include guidelines to be used in working with state, regional, and local permitting authorities. Guidelines may include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (a) A central contact point for filing permit applications and local comprehensive plan amendments and for obtaining information on permit and local comprehensive plan amendment requirements;
- (b) Identification of the individual or individuals within each respective agency who will be responsible for processing the expedited permit application or local comprehensive plan amendment for that agency;
- (c) A mandatory preapplication review process to reduce permitting conflicts by providing guidance to applicants regarding the permits needed from each agency and governmental entity, site planning and development, site suitability and limitations, facility design, and steps the applicant can take to ensure expeditious permit application and local comprehensive plan amendment review. As a part of this process, the first

Page 48 of 69

interagency meeting to discuss a project shall be held within 14 days after the secretary's determination that the project is eligible for expedited review. Subsequent interagency meetings may be scheduled to accommodate the needs of participating local governments that are unable to meet public notice requirements for executing a memorandum of agreement within this timeframe. This accommodation may not exceed 45 days from the secretary's determination that the project is eligible for expedited review;

- (d) The preparation of a single coordinated project description form and checklist and an agreement by state and regional agencies to reduce the burden on an applicant to provide duplicate information to multiple agencies;
- (e) Establishment of a process for the adoption and review of any comprehensive plan amendment needed by any certified project within 90 days after the submission of an application for a comprehensive plan amendment. However, the memorandum of agreement may not prevent affected persons as defined in s. 163.3184 from appealing or participating in this expedited plan amendment process and any review or appeals of decisions made under this paragraph; and
- (f) Additional incentives for an applicant who proposes a project that provides a net ecosystem benefit.
- (14) (a) Challenges to state agency action in the expedited permitting process for projects processed under this section are subject to the summary hearing provisions of s. 120.574, except that the administrative law judge's decision, as provided in s. 120.574(2)(f), shall be in the form of a recommended order and shall not constitute the final action of the state agency. In

Page 49 of 69

1372

1373

1374

1375

1376

1377

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

1383

1384

1385

1386

1387

1388

1389

1390

1391

1392

1393

1394

1395

1396

1397

1398

1399

those proceedings where the action of only one agency of the state other than the Department of Environmental Protection is challenged, the agency of the state shall issue the final order within 45 working days after receipt of the administrative law judge's recommended order, and the recommended order shall inform the parties of their right to file exceptions or responses to the recommended order in accordance with the uniform rules of procedure pursuant to s. 120.54. In those proceedings where the actions of more than one agency of the state are challenged, the Governor shall issue the final order within 45 working days after receipt of the administrative law judge's recommended order, and the recommended order shall inform the parties of their right to file exceptions or responses to the recommended order in accordance with the uniform rules of procedure pursuant to s. 120.54. For This paragraph does not apply to the issuance of department licenses required under any federally delegated or approved permit program. In such instances, the department, and not the Governor, shall enter the final order. The participating agencies of the state may opt at the preliminary hearing conference to allow the administrative law judge's decision to constitute the final agency action. If a participating local government agrees to participate in the summary hearing provisions of s. 120.574 for purposes of review of local government comprehensive plan amendments, s. 163.3184(9) and (10) apply. Projects identified in paragraph (3)(f) or challenges

Page 50 of 69

to state agency action in the expedited permitting process for

establishment of a state-of-the-art biomedical research institution and campus in this state by the grantee under s. 288.955 are subject to the same requirements as challenges brought under paragraph (a), except that, notwithstanding s. 120.574, summary proceedings must be conducted within 30 days after a party files the motion for summary hearing, regardless of whether the parties agree to the summary proceeding.

- (15) The office, working with the agencies providing cooperative assistance and input regarding the memoranda of agreement, shall review sites proposed for the location of facilities that the office has certified to be eligible for the Innovation Incentive Program under s. 288.1089. Within 20 days after the request for the review by the office, the agencies shall provide to the office a statement as to each site's necessary permits under local, state, and federal law and an identification of significant permitting issues, which if unresolved, may result in the denial of an agency permit or approval or any significant delay caused by the permitting process.
- Development Initiative and the agencies participating in the memoranda of agreement, shall provide technical assistance in preparing permit applications and local comprehensive plan amendments for counties having a population of fewer than 75,000 residents, or counties having fewer than 125,000 residents which are contiguous to counties having fewer than 75,000 residents. Additional assistance may include, but not be limited to, quidance in land development regulations and permitting

Page 51 of 69

processes, working cooperatively with state, regional, and local entities to identify areas within these counties which may be suitable or adaptable for preclearance review of specified types of land uses and other activities requiring permits.

Section 26. Subsection (5) is added to section 526.203, Florida Statutes, to read:

526.203 Renewable fuel standard.-

(5) This section does not prohibit the sale of unblended fuels for the uses exempted under subsection (3).

Section 27. Section 604.50, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

- 604.50 Nonresidential farm buildings.-
- (1) Notwithstanding any other law to the contrary, any nonresidential farm building or farm fence is exempt from the Florida Building Code and any county or municipal building code or fee, except for code provisions implementing local, state, or federal floodplain management regulations.
 - (2) As used in For purposes of this section, the term:
- (a) "Nonresidential farm building" means any temporary or permanent building or support structure that is classified as a nonresidential farm building on a farm under s. 553.73(9)(c) or that is used primarily for agricultural purposes, is located on a farm that is not used as a residential dwelling, and is located on land that is an integral part of a farm operation or is classified as agricultural land under s. 193.461, and is not intended to be used as a residential dwelling. The term may include, but is not limited to, a barn, greenhouse, shade house, farm office, storage building, or poultry house.

Page 52 of 69

(b) The term "Farm" has the same meaning is as provided defined in s. 823.14.

Section 28. The installation of fuel tank upgrades to secondary containment systems shall be completed by the deadlines specified in Rule 62-761.510, Florida Administrative Code, Table UST. However, notwithstanding any agreements to the contrary, any fuel service station that changed ownership interest through a bona fide sale of the property between January 1, 2009, and December 31, 2009, is not required to complete the upgrades described in Rule 62-761.510, Florida Administrative Code, Table UST, until December 31, 2012.

Section 29. The uniform mitigation assessment rules adopted by the Department of Environmental Protection in chapter 62-345, Florida Administrative Code, as of January 1, 2011, to fulfill the mandate of s. 373.414(18), Florida Statutes, are changed as follows:

(1) Rule 62-345.100(11), Florida Administrative Code, is added to read: "(11) The Department of Environmental Protection shall be responsible for ensuring statewide coordination and consistency in the application of this rule by providing training and guidance to other relevant state agencies, water management districts, and local governments. Not less than every two years, the Department of Environmental Protection shall coordinate with the water management districts to verify consistent application of the methodology. To ensure that this rule is interpreted and applied uniformly, any interpretation or application of this rule by any agency or local government that differs from the Department of Environmental Protection's

interpretation or application of this rule is incorrect and
invalid. The Department of Environmental Protection's
interpretation, application, and implementation of this rule
shall be the only acceptable method."

- changed to read: "(12) "Without preservation assessment" means a reasonably anticipated use of the assessment area, and the temporary or permanent effects of those uses on the assessment area, considering the protection provided by existing easements, regulations, and land use restrictions. Reasonably anticipated uses include those activities that have been previously implemented within the assessment area or adjacent to the assessment area, or are considered to be common uses in the region without the need for additional authorizations or zoning, land use code, or comprehensive plan changes."
- (3) Rule 62-345.300(1), Florida Administrative Code, is changed to read: "(1) When an applicant proposes mitigation for impacts to wetlands and surface waters as part of an environmental resource permit or wetland resource permit application, the applicant will be responsible for preparing and submitting the necessary supporting information for the application of Rules 62-345.400-62-345.600, F.A.C., of this chapter and the reviewing agency will be responsible for verifying this information, contacting the applicant to address any insufficiencies or need for clarification, and approving the amount of mitigation necessary to offset the proposed impacts.

 When an applicant submits a mitigation bank or regional mitigation permit application, the applicant will be responsible

1512	for preparing and submitting the necessary supporting
1513	information for the application of Rules 62-345.400600,
1514	F.A.C., of this chapter and the reviewing agency will be
1515	responsible for verifying this information, contacting the
1516	applicant to address any insufficiencies or need for
1517	clarification, and approving the potential amount of mitigation
1518	to be provided by the bank or regional mitigation area. If an
1519	applicant submits either Part I or Part II or both, the
1520	reviewing agency shall notify the applicant of any inadequacy in
1521	the submittal or disagreement with the information provided.
1522	(4) Rule 62-345.300(3)(a), Florida Administrative Code, is
1523	changed to read: "(a) Conduct qualitative characterization of
1524	both the impact and mitigation assessment areas (Part I) that
1525	identifies the assessment area's native community type and the
1526	functions to fish and wildlife and their habitat, describes the
1527	current condition and functions provided by the assessment area,
1528	and summarizes the project condition of the assessment area. The
1529	purpose of Part I is to provide a framework for comparison of
1530	the assessment area to the optimal condition and
1531	location/landscape setting of that native community type.
1532	Another purpose of this part is to note any relevant factors of
1533	the assessment area that are discovered by site inspectors,
1534	including use by listed species."
1535	(5) Rule 62-345.300(3)(c), Florida Administrative Code, is
1536	changed to read: "(c) Adjust the gain in ecological value from
1537	either upland or wetland preservation in accordance with
1538	subsection $62-345.500(3)$, F.A.C. when preservation is the only
1539	mitigation activity proposed (absent creation, restoration, or

Page 55 of 69

CS/CS/HB 991 2011

1540

1541

1544

1545

1548

1551

1552

1554

1560

1561

1567

enhancement activities) at a specified assessment area." The introductory paragraph of rule 62-345.400, Florida 1542 Administrative Code, is changed to read: "An impact or 1543 mitigation assessment area must be described with sufficient detail to provide a frame of reference for the type of community being evaluated and to identify the functions that will be 1546 evaluated. When an assessment area is an upland proposed as 1547 mitigation, functions must be related to the benefits provided by that upland to fish and wildlife of associated wetlands or other surface waters. Information for each assessment area must 1549 1550 be sufficient to identify the functions beneficial to fish and wildlife and their habitat that are characteristic of the assessment area's native community type, based on currently 1553 available information, such as current and historic aerial photographs, topographic maps, geographic information system 1555 data and maps, site visits, scientific articles, journals, other 1556 professional reports, field verification when needed, and 1557 reasonable scientific judgment. For wetlands and other surface 1558 waters, other than those created for mitigation, that have been 1559 created on sites where such did not exist before the creation, such as borrow pits, ditches, and canals, refer to the native community type or surface water body to which it is most 1562 analogous in function for the given landscape position. For 1563 altered natural communities or surface waterbodies, refer to the 1564 native community type or surface water body present in the 1565 earliest available aerial photography except that if the 1566 alteration has been of such a degree and extent that a clearly defined different native community type is now present and self-

Page 56 of 69

1568

15691570

1571

1572

1573

1574

1575

1576

1577

1578

1579

1580

1581

1582

1583

1584

1585

1586

1587

1588

1589

1590

1591

1592

1593

1594

1595

sustaining, in which case the native community type shall be identified as the one the present community most closely resembles. In determining the historic native community type, all currently available information shall be used to ensure the highest degree of accuracy. The information provided by the applicant for each assessment area must address the following, as applicable:"

(7) Rule 62-345.500(1)(a), Florida Administrative Code, is changed to read: "(a) Current condition or, in the case of preservation only mitigation, without preservation - The current condition of an assessment area is scored using the information in this part to determine the degree to which the assessment area currently provides the relative value of functions identified in Part I for the native community type. In the case of preservation-only mitigation, the "without preservation" assessment utilizes the information in this part to determine the degree to which the assessment area could provide the relative value of functions identified in Part I for the native community type assuming the area is not preserved. For assessment areas where previous impacts that affect the current condition are temporary in nature, consideration will be given to the inherent functions of these areas relative to seasonal hydrologic changes, and expected vegetation regeneration and projected habitat functions if the use of the area were to remain unchanged. When evaluating impacts to a previously permitted mitigation site that has not achieved its intended function, the reviewing agency shall consider the functions the mitigation site was intended to offset and any delay or

1596 reduction in offsetting those functions that may be caused by 1597 the project. Previous construction or alteration undertaken in violation of Part IV, Chapter 373, F.S., or Sections 403.91-1598 1599 .929, F.S. (1984 Supp.), as amended, or rule, order or permit 1600 adopted or issued thereunder, will not be considered as having 1601 diminished the condition and relative value of a wetland or 1602 surface water, when assigning a score under this part. When 1603 evaluating wetlands or other surface waters that are within an area that is subject to a recovery strategy pursuant to Chapter 1604 40D-80, F.A.C., impacts from water withdrawals will not be 1605 1606 considered when assigning a score under this part." 1607 (8) Rule 62-345.500(1)(b), Florida Administrative Code, is changed to read: "(b) "With mitigation" or "with impact" - The 1608 1609 "with mitigation" and "with impact" assessments are based on the reasonably expected outcome, which may represent an increase, 1610 1611 decrease, or no change in value relative to current conditions. For the "with impact" and "with mitigation" assessments, the 1612 1613 evaluator will assume that all other necessary regulatory 1614 authorizations required for the proposed project have been 1615 obtained and that construction will be consistent with such 1616 authorizations. The "with mitigation" assessment will be scored 1617 only when reasonable assurance has been provided that the 1618 proposed plan can be conducted. When scoring the "with 1619 mitigation" assessment for assessment areas involving enhancement, restoration, or creation activities and that are 1620 1621 proposed to be placed under a conservation easement or other similar land protection mechanism, the with mitigation score 1622 1623 shall reflect the combined preservation and

Page 58 of 69

enhancement/restoration/creation value of the specified
assessment area, and the Preservation Adjustment Factor shall
not apply to these mitigation assessments."

- changed to read: "(2) Uplands function as the contributing watershed to wetlands and are necessary to maintain the ecological value of associated wetlands or other surface waters. Upland mitigation assessment areas shall be scored using the landscape support/location and community structure indicators listed in subsection 62-345.500(6), F.A.C. Scoring of these indicators for the upland assessment areas shall be based on the degree to which the relative value of functions of the upland assessment area provide benefits to the fish and wildlife of the associated wetlands or other surface waters, considering the native community type, current condition, and anticipated ecological value of the uplands and associated wetlands and other surface waters.
- (a) For upland preservation, the without preservation assessment utilizes the information in this part to determine the degree to which the assessment area could provide the relative value of functions identified in Part I for the native community type (to include benefits to fish and wildlife of the associated wetlands or other surface waters) assuming the upland area is not preserved. The gain in ecological value is determined by the mathematical difference between the score of the upland assessment area with the proposed preservation measure and the upland assessment area without the proposed preservation measure. When the community structure is scored as

Page 59 of 69

"zero", then the location and landscape support shall also be "zero". However, a gain in ecological value for the location and landscape support score can also occur when the community structure is scored other than "zero". The resulting delta is then multiplied by the preservation adjustment factor contained in subsection 62-345.500(3), F.A.C.

- (b) For upland enhancement or restoration, the current condition of an assessment area is scored using the information in this part to determine the degree to which the assessment area currently provides the relative value of functions identified in Part I for the native community type (to include benefits to fish and wildlife of the associated wetlands or other surface waters). The value provided shall be determined by the mathematical difference between the score of the upland assessment area with the proposed restoration or enhancement measure and the current condition of the upland assessment area.
- (c) For uplands proposed to be converted to wetlands or other surface waters through creation or restoration measures, the upland areas shall be scored as "zero" in their current condition. Only the "with mitigation" assessment shall be scored in accordance with the indicators listed in subsection 62-345.500(6), F.A.C."
- (10) Rule 62-345.500(3), Florida Administrative Code, is changed to read: "(3)(a) When an assessment area's mitigation plan consists of preservation only (absent creation, restoration, or enhancement activities), the "with mitigation" assessment shall consider the potential of the assessment area to perform current functions in the long term, considering the

Page 60 of 69

protection mechanism proposed, and the "without preservation"
assessment shall evaluate the assessment area's functions
considering the reasonably anticipated use of the assessment
area and the temporary or permanent effects of those uses in the
assessment area considering the protection provided by existing
easements, regulations, and land use restrictions. The gain in
ecological value is determined by the mathematical difference
between the Part II scores for the "with mitigation" and
"without preservation" (the delta) multiplied by a preservation
adjustment factor. The preservation adjustment factor shall be
scored on a scale from 0.2 (minimum preservation value) to 1
(optimal preservation value), on one-tenth increments. The score
shall be calculated by evaluating the scoring method set forth
in the "Preservation Adjustment Factor Worksheet" for each of
the following considerations:

- 1. The extent to which proposed management activities within the preserve area promote natural ecological conditions such as fire patterns or the exclusion of invasive exotic species.
- 2. The ecological and hydrological relationship between wetlands, other surface waters, and uplands to be preserved.
- 3. The scarcity of the habitat provided by the proposed preservation area and the degree to which listed species use the area.
- 4. The proximity of the area to be preserved to areas of national, state, or regional ecological significance, such as national or state parks, Outstanding Florida Waters, and other regionally significant ecological resources or habitats, such as

Page 61 of 69

lands acquired or to be acquired through governmental or nonprofit land acquisition programs for environmental conservation,
and whether the areas to be preserved include corridors between
these habitats.

- 5. The extent and likelihood of potential adverse impacts if the assessment area were not preserved.
- (b) Each of these considerations shall be scored on a relative scale of zero (0) to two-tenths (0.2) based on the value provided [optimal (0.2), low to moderate (0.1), and no value (0)] and summed together to calculate the preservation adjustment factor. The minimum value to be assigned to a specified assessment area will be 0.2. The preservation adjustment factor is multiplied by the mitigation delta assigned to the preservation proposal to yield an adjusted mitigation delta for preservation."
- is changed to read: "(6) Three categories of indicators of wetland function (landscape support, water environment and community structure) listed below are to be scored to the extent that they affect the ecological value of the assessment area. Upland mitigation assessment areas shall be scored for landscape support/location and community structure only.
- (a) Landscape Support/Location The value of functions provided by an assessment area to fish and wildlife are influenced by the landscape attributes of the assessment area and its relationship with surrounding areas. While the geographic location of the assessment area does not change, the ecological relationship between the assessment area and

Page 62 of 69

1736 surrounding landscape may vary from the current condition to the "with impact" and "with mitigation" conditions. Additionally, a 1737 1738 mitigation assessment area may be located within a regional 1739 corridor or in proximity to areas of national, state, or 1740 regional significance, and the "with mitigation" condition may 1741 serve to complement the regional ecological value identified for 1742 these areas. Many species that nest, feed, or find cover in a 1743 specific habitat or habitat type are also dependent in varying degrees upon other habitats, including upland, wetland, and 1744 other surface waters, that are present in the regional 1745 1746 landscape. For example, many amphibian species require small 1747 isolated wetlands for breeding pools and for juvenile life 1748 stages, but may spend the remainder of their adult lives in 1749 uplands or other wetland habitats. If these habitats are 1750 unavailable or poorly connected in the landscape or are 1751 degraded, then the value of functions provided by the assessment 1752 area to the fish and wildlife identified in Part I is reduced. 1753 The assessment area shall also be considered to the extent that 1754 fish and wildlife utilizing the area have the opportunity to 1755 access other habitats necessary to fulfill their life history 1756 requirements. The availability, connectivity, and quality of 1757 offsite habitats, and offsite land uses which might adversely impact fish and wildlife utilizing these habitats, are factors 1758 1759 to be considered in assessing the landscape support of the 1760 assessment area. The location of the assessment area shall be 1761 considered relative to offsite and upstream hydrologic 1762 contributing areas and to downstream and other connected waters 1763 to the extent that the diversity and abundance of fish and

Page 63 of 69

wildlife and their habitats is affected in these areas. The opportunity for the assessment area to provide offsite water quantity and quality benefits to fish and wildlife and their habitats downstream and in connected waters is assessed based on the degree of hydrologic connectivity between these habitats and the extent to which offsite habitats are affected by discharges from the assessment area. It is recognized that isolated wetlands lack surface water connections to downstream waters and as a result, do not perform certain functions (e.g., detrital transport) to benefit downstream fish and wildlife; for such wetlands, this consideration does not apply.

- 1. A score of (10) means the assessment area, in combination with the surrounding landscape, provides full opportunity for the assessment area to perform beneficial functions at an optimal level. The score is based on reasonable scientific judgment and characterized by a predominance of the following, as applicable:
- a. Habitats outside the assessment area represent the full range of habitats needed to fulfill the life history requirements of all wildlife listed in Part I and are available in sufficient quantity to provide optimal support for these wildlife.
- b. Invasive exotic or other invasive plant species are not present in the proximity of the assessment area.
- c. Wildlife access to and from habitats outside the assessment area is not limited by distance to these habitats and is unobstructed by landscape barriers.
 - d. Functions of the assessment area that benefit

Page 64 of 69

downstream fish and wildlife are not limited by distance or barriers that reduce the opportunity for the assessment area to provide these benefits.

- e. Land uses outside the assessment area have no adverse impacts on wildlife in the assessment area as listed in Part I.
- f. The opportunity for the assessment area to provide benefits to downstream or other hydrologically connected areas is not limited by hydrologic impediments or flow restrictions.
- g. Downstream or other hydrologically connected habitats are critically or solely dependent on discharges from the assessment area and could suffer severe adverse impacts if the quality or quantity of these discharges were altered.
- h. For upland mitigation assessment areas, the uplands provide a full suite of ecological values so as to provide optimal protection and support of wetland functions.
- 2. A score of (7) means that, compared to the optimal condition of the native community type, the opportunity for the assessment area to perform beneficial functions in combination with the surrounding landscape is limited to 70% of the optimal ecological value. The score is based on reasonable scientific judgment and characterized by a predominance of the following, as applicable:
- a. Habitats outside the assessment area are available in sufficient quantity and variety to provide optimal support for most, but not all, of the wildlife listed in Part I, or certain wildlife populations may be limited due to the reduced availability of habitats needed to fulfill their life history requirements.

Page 65 of 69

b. Some of the plant community composition in the proximity of the assessment area consists of invasive exotic or other invasive plant species, but cover is minimal and has minimal adverse effect on the functions provided by the assessment area.

- c. Wildlife access to and from habitats outside the assessment area is partially limited, either by distance or by the presence of barriers that impede wildlife movement.
- d. Functions of the assessment area that benefit fish and wildlife downstream are somewhat limited by distance or barriers that reduce the opportunity for the assessment area to provide these benefits.
- e. Land uses outside the assessment area have minimal adverse impacts on fish and wildlife identified in Part I.
- f. The opportunity for the assessment area to provide benefits to downstream or other hydrologically connected areas is limited by hydrologic impediments or flow restrictions such that these benefits are provided with lesser frequency or lesser magnitude than would occur under optimal conditions.
- g. Downstream or other hydrologically connected habitats

 derive significant benefits from discharges from the assessment

 area and could suffer substantial adverse impacts if the quality

 or quantity of these discharges were altered.
- h. For upland mitigation assessment areas, the uplands provide significant, but suboptimal ecological values and protection of wetland functions.
- 3. A score of (4) means that, compared to the optimal condition of the native community type, the opportunity for the

Page 66 of 69

assessment area to perform beneficial functions in combination with the surrounding landscape is limited to 40% of the optimal ecological value. The score is based on reasonable scientific judgment and characterized by a predominance of the following, as applicable:

- a. Availability of habitats outside the assessment area is fair, but fails to provide support for some species of wildlife listed in Part I, or provides minimal support for many of the species listed in Part I.
- b. The majority of the plant community composition in the proximity of the assessment area consists of invasive exotic or other invasive plant species that adversely affect the functions provided by the assessment area.
- c. Wildlife access to and from habitats outside the assessment area is substantially limited, either by distance or by the presence of barriers which impede wildlife movement.
- d. Functions of the assessment area that benefit fish and wildlife downstream are limited by distance or barriers that substantially reduce the opportunity for the assessment area to provide these benefits.
- <u>e. Land uses outside the assessment area have significant</u> adverse impacts on fish and wildlife identified in Part I.
- f. The opportunity for the assessment area to provide benefits to downstream or other hydrologically connected areas is limited by hydrologic impediments or flow restrictions, such that these benefits are rarely provided or are provided at greatly reduced levels compared to optimal conditions.
 - g. Downstream or other hydrologically connected habitats

Page 67 of 69

derive minimal benefits from discharges from the assessment area but could be adversely impacted if the quality or quantity of these discharges were altered.

h. For upland mitigation assessment areas, the uplands provide minimal ecological values and protection of wetland functions.

- 4. A score of (0) means that the assessment area, in combination with the surrounding landscape, provides no habitat support for wildlife utilizing the assessment area and no opportunity for the assessment area to provide benefits to fish and wildlife outside the assessment area. The score is based on reasonable scientific judgment and characterized by a predominance of the following, as applicable:
- a. No habitats are available outside the assessment area to provide any support for the species of wildlife listed in Part I.
- b. The plant community composition in the proximity of the assessment area consists predominantly of invasive exotic or other invasive plant species such that little or no function is provided by the assessment area.
- c. Wildlife access to and from habitats outside the assessment area is precluded by barriers or distance.
- d. Functions of the assessment area that would be expected to benefit fish and wildlife downstream are not present.
- e. Land uses outside the assessment area have a severe

 adverse impact on wildlife in the assessment area as listed in

 Part I.
 - f. There is negligible or no opportunity for the

Page 68 of 69

assessment area to provide benefits to downstream or other

hydrologically connected areas due to hydrologic impediments or

flow restrictions that preclude provision of these benefits.

- g. Discharges from the assessment area provide negligible or no benefits to downstream or hydrologically connected areas and these areas would likely be unaffected if the quantity or quality of these discharges were altered.
- h. For upland mitigation assessment areas, the uplands provide no ecological value or protection of wetland functions."
- (12) The Department of Environmental Protection is directed to make additional changes to the worksheet portions of chapter 62-345, Florida Administrative Code, as needed to conform to the changes set forth in this section.
- evaluated under chapter 62-345, Florida Administrative Code, may apply to the relevant agency to have such mitigation bank reassessed pursuant to the changes to chapter 62-345, Florida Administrative Code, set forth in this section, if such application is filed with that agency no later than September 30, 2011.
 - Section 30. This act shall take effect July 1, 2011.

Page 69 of 69