HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES FINAL BILL ANALYSIS

BILL #:	CS/CS/HB 657 (CS/SB 1856)	FINAL HOUSE FLOOR ACTION:	
SPONSOR(S):	Health & Human Services Committee; Health & Human Services Access Subcommittee; Coley (Health Regulation; Flores)	115 Y's	0 N's
COMPANION BILLS:	CS/SB 1856	GOVERNOR'S ACTION:	Approved

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

CS/SB1856 passed the Senate on February 14, 2012, and subsequently passed the House on March 7, 2012. The bill creates a public meeting exemption for a portion of a meeting of a peer review panel, in which, applications for biomedical research grants are discussed. Additionally, the bill provides that any records generated relating to the review of research applications, except final recommendations, are confidential and exempt from public records requirements.

Current law provides that when peer review panels convene to evaluate grant or fellowship applications submitted to the James and Esther King Biomedical Research Program or to the William G. "Bill" Bankhead, Jr., and David Coley Cancer Research Program the meetings are open and noticed to the public and any records generated, including the grant applications that are being reviewed, are considered public and must be made available for public viewing.

Moreover, the bill provides that information held confidential and exempt may be disclosed with the express written consent of the individual to whom the information pertains or the individuals legal guardian or by court order. The bill provides that public record and public meeting exemptions granted to a peer review panel are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will be repealed on October, 2, 2017, unless saved from repeal by reenactment by the Legislature. The bill provides a public necessity statement as required by the State Constitution.

The bill has no fiscal impact on state or local governments.

The bill was approved by the Governor on March 23, 2012, ch. 2012-15, Laws of Florida. The effective date of the bill is July 1, 2012.

I. SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION

A. EFFECT OF CHANGES:

Present Situation

Public Records and Open Meetings Laws

Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government records. The section guarantees every person a right to inspect or copy any public record of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government. Article I, s. 24(b) of the State Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government meetings. The section requires that all meetings of the executive branch and local government be open and noticed to the public.

The Legislature may, however, provide by general law for the exemption of records and meetings from the requirements of Article I, s. 24 of the State Constitution. The general law must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption (public necessity statement) and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish its stated purpose. In addition, the State Constitution requires enactment of the exemption by a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting.¹

Public policy regarding access to government records and meetings also is addressed in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07(1), F.S., guarantees every person a right to inspect, examine, and copy any state, county, or municipal record. Section 286.011, F.S., requires that all state, county, or municipal meetings be open and noticed to the public.

Furthermore, the Open Government Sunset Review Act² provides that a public record or public meeting exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose. In addition, it may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption.
- Protects sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted under this provision.
- Protects trade or business secrets.

Public record and public meeting exemptions are subject to a scheduled repeal on October 2nd in the fifth year after enactment, unless the Legislature acts to reenact the exemption.³

James and Esther King and Bankhead-Coley Research Programs

The James and Esther King Biomedical Research Program (King Program) is established within the Florida Department of Health (DOH) and is funded by the proceeds of the Lawton Chiles Endowment Fund, cigarette surcharge, and the General Revenue Fund.⁴ The purpose of the King Program is to provide an annual and perpetual source of funding in order to support research initiatives that address the health care problems of Floridians in the areas of tobacco-related cancer, cardiovascular disease, stroke, and pulmonary disease.⁵ The funds appropriated to the King Program are to be used to award research grants and fellowships.⁶

⁴ Sections 215.5602(1) and (12), F.S.

¹ FLA CONST., article I, s. 24(c)

² See s. 119.15, F.S.

³ Section 119.15(3), F.S.

⁵ Section 215.5602, F.S.

⁶ Section 215.5602(2), F.S.

The William G. "Bill" Bankhead, Jr., and David Coley Cancer Research Program (Bankhead-Coley Program) is established within DOH and is funded by an annual appropriation from the General Revenue Fund.⁷ The purpose of the Bankhead-Coley Program is to advance progress towards cures for cancer and cancer-related illnesses through grants awarded through a peer-reviewed process.

The research grants and fellowships are awarded based on criteria and standards developed by the Biomedical Research Advisory Council (Council) created within DOH and subject to review by independent peer review panels.⁸ The Council is directed to award grants for the King Program and the Bankhead-Coley Program.

The peer review panel is required to evaluate grant or fellowship applications on the basis of scientific merit as determined by an open competitive peer review panel to ensure that all proposals for research funding are appropriate and are evaluated fairly on the basis of scientific merit.⁹ The peer review panel process reviews the content of each proposal and establishes a scientific priority score. The priority score is considered in the review process by the Council who makes recommendations to the State Surgeon General as to what grants or fellowships should be awarded. The Council and peer review panels are directed to establish and follow rigorous guidelines for ethical conduct and adhere to a strict policy with regard to conflict of interest.¹⁰

Sections 215.5602(7) and 381.922(3)(c), F.S., provides that the meetings of the Council and the peer review panels are subject to public records and public meetings requirements.

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill creates a public meeting exemption for a portion of a meeting of a peer review panel in which applications for biomedical research grants are discussed. Additionally, the bill provides that any records generated relating to the review of research applications, except final recommendations, are confidential and exempt¹¹ from public records requirements. The bill provides that information held confidential and exempt may be disclosed with the express written consent of the individual to whom the information pertains or the individuals legal guardian or by court order. The bill provides that the public record and public meeting exemptions are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will repeal on October, 2, 2017, unless saved from repeal by reenactment by the Legislature. Finally, it provides a public necessity statement as required by the State Constitution.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
 - 1. Revenues:

None identified.

⁷ Section 215.5602(12), F.S.

⁸ Sections 215.5602(3) and 381.922(3)(b), F.S.

⁹ Sections 215.5602(6) and 381.922(3)(b), F.S.

⁰ Sections 215.5602(7) and 381.922(3)(c), F.S.

¹¹ There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. (*See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review denied 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); *City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield*, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991). If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released, by the custodian of public records, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. (*See* Attorney General Opinion 85-62, August 1, 1985).

2. Expenditures:

None identified.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
 - 1. Revenues:

None identified.

2. Expenditures:

None identified.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None identified.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.