By the Committees on Governmental Oversight and Accountability; and Education; and Senator Montford

	585-04022-13 20131276c2
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to public meetings; amending s.
3	1004.28, F.S.; providing an exemption from public
4	meeting requirements for certain portions of meetings
5	of a university direct-support organization or of the
6	executive committee or other committees of the board
7	of directors of such organization; providing for
8	legislative review and repeal of the exemption;
9	providing a statement of public necessity; providing
10	an effective date.
11	
12	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
13	
14	Section 1. Subsection (5) of section 1004.28, Florida
15	Statutes, is amended to read:
16	1004.28 Direct-support organizations; use of property;
17	board of directors; activities; audit; facilities
18	(5) ANNUAL AUDIT; PUBLIC RECORDS AND MEETINGS EXEMPTION
19	(a) Each direct-support organization shall provide for an
20	annual financial audit of its accounts and records to be
21	conducted by an independent certified public accountant in
22	accordance with rules adopted by the Auditor General pursuant to
23	s. 11.45(8) and by the university board of trustees. The annual
24	audit report shall be submitted, within 9 months after the end
25	of the fiscal year, to the Auditor General and the Board of
26	Governors for review. The Board of Governors, the university
27	board of trustees, the Auditor General, and the Office of
28	Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability shall have
29	the authority to require and receive from the organization or

Page 1 of 4

585-04022-13 20131276c2 30 from its independent auditor any records relative to the 31 operation of the organization. 32 (b) The identity of donors who desire to remain anonymous 33 shall be protected, and that anonymity shall be maintained in 34 the auditor's report. All records of the organization other than 35 the auditor's report, management letter, and any supplemental 36 data requested by the Board of Governors, the university board 37 of trustees, the Auditor General, and the Office of Program 38 Policy Analysis and Government Accountability are shall be 39 confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1). 40 (c) Any portion of a meeting of the board of directors of 41 the organization, or of the executive committee or other committees of such board, at which the identity of a donor or 42 43 prospective donor, a proposal seeking research funding from the 44 organization, or a plan or program for either initiating or 45 supporting research is discussed, is exempt from s. 286.011 and 46 s. 24(b), Art. I of the State Constitution. This paragraph is 47 subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance 48 with s. 119.15, and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2018, 49 unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature. 50 51 Section 2. The Legislature finds that it is a public 52 necessity that meetings of the board of directors of a direct-53 support organization established under s. 1004.28, Florida Statutes, or of the executive committee or other committees of 54 55 such board, at which the identity of a donor or prospective 56 donor, any proposal seeking research funding from the organization, or a plan or program for either initiating or 57 58 supporting research is discussed should be held exempt from s.

Page 2 of 4

585-04022-13 20131276c2 59 286.011, Florida Statutes, and s. 24(b), Art. I of the State 60 Constitution. For the benefit of our state universities, and ultimately all the people of Florida, direct-support 61 62 organizations serve a vital role in raising donations from 63 private sources. This undertaking demands great sensitivity and 64 discretion, as donors frequently seek anonymity and are 65 concerned about the potential release of sensitive financial 66 information. If direct-support organizations cannot honor those requests and protect such information from public disclosure, 67 68 potential donors may decline to contribute, thus hampering the 69 ability of the direct-support organization to carry out its 70 activities. The state has recognized these realities by making 71 most of the records of direct-support organizations confidential 72 and exempt from the state's public records requirements, 73 including the identity of donors and prospective donors. 74 However, without the exemption from public meeting requirements, 75 release of the identity of donors or prospective donors via a 76 public meeting would defeat the purpose of the public records 77 exemption. It is therefore the finding of the Legislature that 78 the exemption from public meeting requirements is a public 79 necessity. Additionally, the resources raised by direct-support 80 organizations are frequently used to initiate, develop, and fund 81 plans and programs for research that routinely contain sensitive proprietary information, including university-connected research 82 83 projects, which provide valuable opportunities for faculty and 84 students and may lead to future commercial applications. This 85 activity requires the direct-support organization to develop 86 research strategies and evaluate proposals for research grants 87 that routinely contain sensitive or proprietary information,

Page 3 of 4

	585-04022-13 20131276c2
88	including specific research approaches and targets of
89	investigation, the disclosure of which could injure those
90	conducting the research. Maintaining the confidentiality of
91	research strategies, plans, and proposals is a hallmark of a
92	responsible funding process, is practiced by the National
93	Science Foundation and the National Institutes of Health, and
94	allows for candid exchanges among reviewers. The state has
95	recognized these realities by expressly making most of the
96	records of direct-support organizations confidential and exempt
97	from the state's public records requirements, including
98	proposals seeking research funding. Failure to close meetings in
99	which these activities are discussed would significantly
100	undermine the confidentiality of the strategies, plans, and
101	proposals themselves. Without the exemption from public meeting
102	requirements, the release during a public meeting of a proposal
103	seeking research funding from the direct-support organization or
104	a plan or program for either initiating or supporting research
105	would defeat the purpose of the public records exemption. It is
106	therefore the finding of the Legislature that the exemption from
107	public meeting requirements is a public necessity.
100	Question 2 This ast shall take offerst Ostahan 1 2012

Section 3. This act shall take effect October 1, 2013.