HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES FINAL BILL ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 23

COMPANION

FINAL HOUSE FLOOR ACTION:

SPONSOR(S): Rogers and others

SB 160

116 Y's 0 N's

GOVERNOR'S ACTION: Approved

BILLS:

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

HB 23 passed the House on April 11, 2014, and subsequently passed the Senate on April 23, 2014.

Current law provides that donors who give food to a charitable organization are protected from civil and criminal liability related to injury caused by such donated food. The term "donor" is defined in law. The bill adds a specific reference to public schools to the definition of "donor."

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the state or local governments.

The bill was approved by the Governor on May 12, 2014, ch. 2014-26, L.O.F., and will become effective on July 1, 2014.

I. SUBSTANTIVE INFORMATION

A. EFFECT OF CHANGES:

Background

A donor who donates canned or perishable food to a charity enjoys protection from criminal and civil liability.¹ A "donor" is defined as a person,² business, organization,³ or institution which owns, rents, leases, or operates:

- Any building, vehicle, place, or structure, or any room or division in a building, vehicle, place, or structure, that is maintained and operated as a place where food is regularly prepared, served, or sold for immediate consumption on or in the vicinity of the premises; or to be called for or taken out by customers; or to be delivered to factories, construction camps, airlines, locations where catered events are being held, and other similar locations for consumption at any place;
- Any public location with vending machines dispensing prepared meals; or
- Any retail grocery store.⁴

When food is apparently fit for human consumption and donated to a bona fide charitable or nonprofit organization, the donor is not subject to criminal penalties or civil damages arising from the condition of the food unless an injury is caused by the gross negligence, recklessness, or intentional misconduct of the donor.⁵ Likewise, a nonprofit or charitable organization or a representative of such organization which distributes donated food is protected from criminal and civil penalties under the same conditions.

Public schools in Florida participate in school lunch and breakfast programs subsidized by the federal government. Federal law was amended in 2011 to include: "[e]ach school and local educational agency participating in the school lunch program under this chapter may donate any food not consumed under such program to eligible local food banks or charitable organizations."⁶ While public schools are presumably included under the current statutory definition of "donor," the express phrase "public schools" does not appear in the definition's language.

Effect of Changes

The bill expressly includes the phrase "public schools" within the statutory definition of "donor" as it relates to protection from criminal and civil liability for injuries caused by donated food.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
 - 1. Revenues:

⁴ Section 768.136(1), F.S.

¹ Section 768.136, F.S.

² The term "person" is defined in statute to include "individuals, children, firms, associates, joint adventures, partnerships, estates, trusts, business trusts, syndicates, fiduciaries, corporations, and all other groups or combinations. Section 1.01(3), F.S. This broad definition could be construed to include public schools.

³ The term "school" is defined as an "organization of students for instruction purposes of an elementary, middle or junior high school, secondary or high school, or other public school level authorized under rules of the State Board of Education." Section 1003.01, F.S. It thus appears that a public school may also fall under the current definition of "donor" as an organization.

⁵ Section 768.136(2), F.S.

⁶ 42 U.S.C. §1758(I)(1).

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on state expenditures.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
 - 1. Revenues:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government revenues.

2. Expenditures:

The bill does not appear to have any impact on local government expenditures.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill does not appear to have any direct economic impact on the private sector.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.