# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepare	d By: The Profe	ssional St	aff of the Comm	ittee on Environme	ntal Preservation and Conservation				
BILL:	SB 306								
INTRODUCER:	Senator Bullard and others								
SUBJECT:	Disposable Plastic Bags								
DATE:	January 19,	2016	REVISED:						
ANALYST		STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION				
. Istler		Rogers		EP	Pre-meeting				
·•				CA					
				FP					

## I. Summary:

SB 306 authorizes a municipality with a population of fewer than 100,000 people and which qualifies as a coastal community to establish a pilot program to regulate or ban disposable plastic bags. A municipality that establishes a pilot program must enact an ordinance for the regulation or ban of disposable bags, which may not take effect earlier than January 1, 2017, and must expire no later than June 30, 2019. Additionally, a municipality that establishes a pilot program is required to collect data pertaining to the impact of its regulation or ban and submit a report on the impact of such regulation or ban to the governing body of the municipality at a public hearing. A copy of the report must also be provided to the Department of Environmental Protection.

#### II. Present Situation:

# **Plastic Bag Regulation in the United States**

Americans use approximately 100 billion plastic bags every year, each typically discarded after a single use. While plastic bags are more durable and considerably lighter in comparison to other carryout bags, single-use plastic bags harm the environment in several ways. First, as plastic bags are comprised of high-density polyethylene, their production requires both petroleum and natural gas. It takes an estimated twelve million barrels of oil to produce the 100 billion plastic bags that are estimated to be used annually in the United States. Second, these types of plastic bags are non-biodegradable. As litter, a plastic bags life expectancy is more than 1,000 years. Ultraviolet rays weaken the bags and eventually break them down into smaller and smaller

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bridget M. Warner, Sacking the Culture of Convenience: Regulating Plastic Shopping Bags to Prevent Further Environmental Harm, 40 U. MEM L. REV. 645, 646 (Spring, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 648.

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id*. at 649.

particles until they are invisible to the naked eye.<sup>5</sup> Third, because of the plastic bag's lightweight nature, the bags are especially susceptible to being inadvertently transported by wind.<sup>6</sup> Currents of air easily pick up plastic bags from garbage trucks, the tops of landfills, and trash receptacles, resulting in the littering of streets and landscapes.<sup>7</sup> Additionally, the bags end up in in the ocean where marine animals, such as sea birds and turtles, mistake them for food or become entangled in them.<sup>8</sup>

States for the past decade have been considering strategies to reduce the number of plastic bags to mitigate harmful impacts to oceans, rivers, lakes, and wildlife and to relieve pressure on landfills and waste management programs. There are a variety of types of plastic bag regulations that have been enacted to reduce the negative impacts of plastic bags such as bans, consumer-paid fees, in-store recycling programs, and other voluntary measures.

#### Bans

In August 2014, California became the first state to enact legislation imposing a statewide ban on single-use plastic bags at large retail stores. <sup>10</sup> The ban took effect on July 1, 2015. <sup>11</sup> Additionally, many cities and counties throughout the country have banned the use of plastic bags. <sup>12</sup> The city of Austin, Texas implemented a Single Use Bag Ordinance in March 2013. <sup>13</sup> The Austin Resource Recovery conducted a study on the impact of the ordinance and found that while the ordinance effectively reduced the amount of single use plastic bags in the city, it had the unintended consequence of increasing the use of reusable plastic bags. <sup>14</sup> Not all reusable bags are environmentally friendly; some reusable bags are made out of plastic and require a great deal of energy to produce and ship. <sup>15</sup> Compostable bags, another alternative to plastic bags, pose additional problems. They are not recyclable and, while they will eventually biodegrade, they still end up making their way into the environment. <sup>16</sup> A plastic bag ban alone without any secondary measures enacted to address all single-use carryout bags may be replacing one environmental problem with another. <sup>17</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id*. at 650.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> National Conference of State Legislatures (NCSL), *State Plastic and Paper Bag Legislation* (Jan. 22, 2015), http://www.ncsl.org/research/environment-and-natural-resources/plastic-bag-legislation.aspx (last visited Jan. 17, 2016). <sup>10</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Bag the Ban provides an interactive map for researching state and local legislation *available at* http://www.bagtheban.com/in-your-state. Additionally, Surfrider provides a partial list of checkout bag legislation, *available at* http://www.surfrider.org/pages/plastic-bag-bans-fees.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> City of Austin, *Carryout Bags*, Ord. 20120301-078 (March 2, 2012) *available at* https://www.austintexas.gov/department/single-use-carryout-bag-ordinance-documents.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Aaron Waters, For Austin Resource Recovery & The Zero Waste Advisory Commission, *Environmental Effects of the Single Use Bag Ordinance in Austin, Texas*, pg. 28 (June 10, 2015) *available at* http://www.austintexas.gov/edims/document.cfm?id=232679.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Bridget M. Warner, Sacking the Culture of Convenience: Regulating Plastic Shopping Bags to Prevent Further Environmental Harm, 40 U. MEM L. REV. 645, 669 (Spring, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> *Id*. at 658, 659.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> *Id*. at 662.

#### Consumer-paid Fees

Charging a consumer-paid fee on a per-bag basis is an increasingly popular method to regulate plastic bag consumption. In 2009, the City Council of the District of Columbia passed legislation imposing a 5 cent fee for every carryout paper or plastic disposable bag at all businesses that sell food or alcohol. In this type of measure is aimed at changing consumer behavior. The business retains 1 cent (or 2 cents if the business offers a rebate when customers bring their own bag), and the remaining 3 or 4 cents goes to the Anacostia River Clean Up and Protection Fund. The revenue from the bag fee generated 2.1 million dollars in the 2014 fiscal year. Not only do consumer-paid fees help reduce plastic bag use, they generate revenue to support pollution cleanups and other types of waste management programs.

# **In-store Recycling Programs**

Plastic bags are usually recycled into products such as railroad ties, parking lot curbs, signs, and composite lumber.<sup>24</sup> Some states have mandated in-store plastic bag recycling programs. For example, Delaware requires certain retail stores to set up a plastic carry-out bag recycling program for customers.<sup>25</sup> The program aims to encourage Delaware citizens to increase their recycling of plastic carryout bags obtained at retail stores.<sup>26</sup> Additionally, affected stores are required to maintain records describing the collection and recycling of plastic bags and to offer reusable bags to their customers for purchase.<sup>27</sup> While overall regulations that mandate the recycling of plastic carryout bags help reduce the negative environmental effects of the bags, they are unlikely to change consumer behavior.<sup>28</sup>

#### Voluntary Measures

A trend has been for large retailers to give consumers incentives to bring their own bags, usually in the form of a small rebate.<sup>29</sup> Whole Foods Market has a company-wide plastic bag ban.<sup>30</sup> Most Whole Foods stores offer customers a refund of up to 10 cents for bringing their own bags.<sup>31</sup> Additionally, after witnessing the success of its "Bag the Plastic Bag" program, IKEA, in 2008, banned all single-use carryout bags.<sup>32</sup>

<sup>29</sup> *Id*. 670.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> *Id*. 662.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> D.C. Code Ann. § 8-102.02.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> District of Columbia, Department of Energy & the Environment, *Bag Law FAQs*, http://doee.dc.gov/page/bag-law-faqs (last visited Jan. 15, 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> D.C. Code Ann. § 8-102.02.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Washington Post https://www.washingtonpost.com/investigations/nickel-by-nickel-is-the-dc-bag-fee-actually-saving-the-anacostia-river/2015/05/09/d63868d2-8a18-11e4-8ff4-fb93129c9c8b story.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Warner at 663.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Warner at 653.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> State of Delaware, Division of Waste and Hazards, *Delaware's Plastic Carryout Bag Recycling Act*, http://www.dnrec.delaware.gov/dwhs/recycling/Pages/Plastic\_Bag\_Recycling.aspx (last visited Jan. 15, 2016). <sup>26</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Bridget M. Warner, Sacking the Culture of Convenience: Regulating Plastic Shopping Bags to Prevent Further Environmental Harm, 40 U. MEM L. REV. 645, 653, 656 (Spring, 2010).

 $<sup>^{30}</sup>$  *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Whole Foods Market, *Green Mission Report* (2012), *available at* http://www.wholefoodsmarket.com/sites/default/files/media/Global/PDFs/2012GreenMissionReport.pdf. <sup>32</sup> Warner at 671.

#### Plastic Bag Regulation in Florida

In response to a growing regarding the impact of retail bags on the environment, in 2008 the Legislature enacted s. 403.7033, F.S., to require the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) to analyze "the need for new or different regulation of auxiliary containers, wrappings, or disposable plastic bags used by consumers to carry products from retail establishments." Section 403.7033, F.S., required the DEP to submit a report with its conclusions and recommendations to the Legislature by February 1, 2010.<sup>33</sup>

Additionally, s. 403.7033, F.S. includes a prohibition on local governments, local governmental agencies, and state government agencies from enacting any rule, regulation, or ordinance regarding the use, disposition, sale, prohibition, restriction, or tax of such auxiliary containers, wrappings, or disposable plastic bags until the Legislature adopts the recommendations of the DEP.<sup>34</sup> To date, the Legislature has not adopted any recommendations contained in the report and the prohibition on any rule, regulation, or ordinance regarding use, disposition, sale, prohibition, restriction, or tax of such auxiliary containers, wrappings, or disposable plastic bags remains in effect.

In the *Retail Bags Report*, the DEP found that improperly discarded plastic bags, besides being unsightly litter, can harm land and marine life, interfere with landfill operations, clog flood control systems, and breed mosquitos.<sup>35</sup> The DEP provided options, ranging from educational campaigns to complete bans, for discouraging and reducing the use of single-use paper and plastic retail bags and the pros and cons associated with each option.<sup>36</sup> The report concluded that some strategies were more effective than others, with bans producing the fastest results closely followed by user fees and taxes.<sup>37</sup> Voluntary efforts were found to be helpful in changing consumer behavior patterns, but their effectiveness was found to be dependent upon the number of retailers participating.<sup>38</sup> Additionally, the report concluded that public education is crucial to any approach to bring awareness to the damages caused by single-use bags and the costs of undoing such damage.<sup>39</sup>

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Notwithstanding the prohibition on local governments and state agencies as provided in s. 403.7033, F.S., SB 306 would authorize municipalities with populations of fewer than 100,000 people and which qualify as coastal communities to establish pilot programs to regulate or ban disposable plastic bags within their boundaries. The bill defines the term "coastal community" as a "municipality that abuts or borders the Gulf of Mexico, the Atlantic Ocean, or a bay".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Section 403.7033, F.S.

 $<sup>^{34}</sup>$  *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> DEP, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Retail Bags Report, pg. 1 (Feb. 1, 2010), available at https://www.dep.state.fl.us/waste/quick\_topics/publications/shw/recycling/retailbags/Retail-Bag-Report\_01Feb10.pdf (last visited Jan. 11, 2016).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> *Id*. at 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> *Id*. at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> *Id*. at 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> *Id*.

A municipality that establishes a pilot program is required to enact an ordinance for the regulation or ban of disposable plastic bags. Such ordinance may not take effect earlier than January 1, 2017, and must expire no later than June 30, 2019. Under the pilot program, a municipality may enact any type of regulation, except an ordinance that includes a new tax or fee on the use or distribution of plastic bags.

A municipality that establishes a pilot program is required to:

- Collect data pertaining to the impact of its regulation or ban;
- Submit a report on the impact of its regulation or ban to the governing body of the municipality at a public hearing by April 1, 2019; and
- Provide a copy of the report to the DEP.

The bill will take effect upon becoming a law.

#### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

# V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Any ban or restriction on the use of disposable bags will result in an indeterminate negative fiscal impact on businesses, consumers, or both, depending on the structure of the ordinance enacted under the pilot program.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Local governments incur costs to clean up disposable plastic bans that are discarded and become litter or end up in stormwater drainage systems. Ordinances that have the effect of limiting the number of plastic bags that are improperly discarded may provide an indeterminate positive fiscal impact on a local government that enacts any such ordinance.

V		I ACh	nical	l I lati	ICIAL	icies:
v	-		IIIIGa	ı Deli		ILIES.

None.

# VII. Related Issues:

None.

# VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill creates section 403.70325 of the Florida Statutes.

This bill republishes section 403.7033 of the Florida Statutes.

#### IX. Additional Information:

## A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

#### B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.