6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

	COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE ACTION
	ADOPTED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED AS AMENDED (Y/N)
	ADOPTED W/O OBJECTION (Y/N)
	FAILED TO ADOPT (Y/N)
	WITHDRAWN (Y/N)
	OTHER
1	Committee/Subcommittee hearing bill: Local Government Affairs
2	Subcommittee
3	Representative La Rosa offered the following:
4	
5	Amendment (with title amendment)

Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert: Section 1. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2), paragraph (e) of subsection (5), and paragraph (d) of subsection (7) of section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

163.3184 Process for adoption of comprehensive plan or plan amendment.-

- (2) COMPREHENSIVE PLANS AND PLAN AMENDMENTS.-
- (c) Plan amendments that are in an area of critical state concern designated pursuant to s. 380.05; propose a rural land stewardship area pursuant to s. 163.3248; propose a sector plan 193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

(2016)

Amendment No. 1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

pursuant to s. 163.3245 or an amendment to an adopted sector plan; update a comprehensive plan based on an evaluation and appraisal pursuant to s. 163.3191; propose a development that is subject to the state coordinated review process qualifies as a development of regional impact pursuant to s. 380.06; or are new plans for newly incorporated municipalities adopted pursuant to s. 163.3167 must shall follow the state coordinated review process in subsection (4).

- (5) ADMINISTRATIVE CHALLENGES TO PLANS AND PLAN AMENDMENTS.-
- (e) If the administrative law judge recommends that the amendment be found in compliance, the judge shall submit the recommended order to the state land planning agency.
- 1. If the state land planning agency determines that the plan amendment should be found not in compliance, the agency shall make every effort to refer the recommended order and its determination expeditiously to the Administration Commission for final agency action, but at a minimum within the time period provided by s. 120.569.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

- 2. If the state land planning agency determines that the plan amendment should be found in compliance, the agency shall make every effort to enter its final order expeditiously, but at a minimum within the time period provided by s. 120.569.
- 3. The recommended order submitted under this paragraph becomes a final order 90 days after issuance unless the state land planning agency acts as provided in subparagraph 1. or subparagraph 2., or all parties consent in writing to an extension of the 90-day period.
 - (7) MEDIATION AND EXPEDITIOUS RESOLUTION. -
- subsection, absent a showing of extraordinary circumstances or written consent of the parties, if the administrative law judge recommends that the amendment be found not in compliance, the Administration Commission shall issue a final order, in a case proceeding under subsection (5), within 45 days after the issuance of the recommended order, unless the parties agree in writing to a longer time. If the administrative law judge recommends that the amendment be found in compliance, the state land planning agency shall issue a final order within 45 days

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

7.3

after the issuance of the recommended order. If the state land planning agency fails to timely issue a final order, the recommended order finding the amendment to be in compliance immediately becomes final.

Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 163.3245, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3245 Sector plans.-

(1) In recognition of the benefits of long-range planning for specific areas, local governments or combinations of local governments may adopt into their comprehensive plans a sector plan in accordance with this section. This section is intended to promote and encourage long-term planning for conservation, development, and agriculture on a landscape scale; to further support innovative and flexible planning and development strategies, and the purposes of this part and part I of chapter 380; to facilitate protection of regionally significant resources, including, but not limited to, regionally significant water courses and wildlife corridors; and to avoid duplication of effort in terms of the level of data and analysis required for a development of regional impact, while ensuring the

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

adequate mitigation of impacts to applicable regional resources and facilities, including those within the jurisdiction of other local governments, as would otherwise be provided. Sector plans are intended for substantial geographic areas that include at least $5,000 \ 15,000$ acres of one or more local governmental jurisdictions and are to emphasize urban form and protection of regionally significant resources and public facilities. A sector plan may not be adopted in an area of critical state concern.

Section 3. Subsection (2) of section 171.046, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

171.046 Annexation of enclaves.-

- (2) In order to expedite the annexation of enclaves of 110 10 acres or less into the most appropriate incorporated jurisdiction, based upon existing or proposed service provision arrangements, a municipality may:
- (a) Annex an enclave by interlocal agreement with the county having jurisdiction of the enclave; or
- 92 (b) Annex an enclave with fewer than 25 registered voters 93 by municipal ordinance when the annexation is approved in a

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

referendum by at least 60 percent of the registered voters who reside in the enclave.

Section 4. Subsection (14), paragraph (g) of subsection (15), paragraphs (b) and (e) of subsection (19), and subsection (30) of section 380.06, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

380.06 Developments of regional impact.

- (14) CRITERIA OUTSIDE AREAS OF CRITICAL STATE CONCERN.—

 If the development is not located in an area of critical state concern, in considering whether the development is shall be approved, denied, or approved subject to conditions, restrictions, or limitations, the local government shall consider whether, and the extent to which:
- (a) The development is consistent with the local comprehensive plan and local land development regulations.;
- (b) The development is consistent with the report and recommendations of the regional planning agency submitted pursuant to subsection (12): and
- (c) The development is consistent with the State

 Comprehensive Plan. In consistency determinations, the plan

 shall be construed and applied in accordance with s. 187.101(3).

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

However, a local government may approve a change to a
development authorized as a development of regional impact if
the change has the effect of reducing the originally approved
height, density, or intensity of the development, and if the
revised development would have been consistent with the
comprehensive plan in effect when the development was originally
approved. If the revised development is approved, the developer
may proceed as provided in s. 163.3167(5).

- (15) LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT ORDER.
- (g) A local government \underline{may} shall not issue \underline{a} permit $\underline{permits}$ for \underline{a} development subsequent to the buildout date contained in the development order unless:
- 1. The proposed development has been evaluated cumulatively with existing development under the substantial deviation provisions of subsection (19) after subsequent to the termination or expiration date;
- 2. The proposed development is consistent with an abandonment of development order that has been issued in accordance with the provisions of subsection (26);

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

3. The development of regional impact is essentially
built out, in that all the mitigation requirements in the
development order have been satisfied, all developers are in
compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of the
development order except the buildout date, and the amount of
proposed development that remains to be built is less than 40
percent of any applicable development-of-regional-impact
threshold; or

4. The project has been determined to be an essentially built out built-out development of regional impact through an agreement executed by the developer, the state land planning agency, and the local government, in accordance with s. 380.032, which will establish the terms and conditions under which the development may be continued. If the project is determined to be essentially built out, development may proceed pursuant to the s. 380.032 agreement after the termination or expiration date contained in the development order without further development of-regional-impact review subject to the local government comprehensive plan and land development regulations or subject to a modified development of-regional-impact analysis. The

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

parties may amend the agreement without submission, review, or	or
approval of a notification of proposed change pursuant to	
subsection (19). For the purposes of As used in this paragrap	ph,
<u>a</u> an "essentially built-out" development of regional impact	is
essentially built out, if means:	

- a. The developers are in compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of the development order except the buildout date; and
- b.(I) The amount of development that remains to be built is less than the substantial deviation threshold specified in paragraph (19)(b) for each individual land use category, or, for a multiuse development, the sum total of all unbuilt land uses as a percentage of the applicable substantial deviation threshold is equal to or less than 100 percent; or
- (II) The state land planning agency and the local government have agreed in writing that the amount of development to be built does not create the likelihood of any additional regional impact not previously reviewed.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx
Published On: 1/29/2016 6:05:10 PM

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

The single-family residential portions of a development may be considered "essentially built out" if all of the workforce housing obligations and all of the infrastructure and horizontal development have been completed, at least 50 percent of the dwelling units have been completed, and more than 80 percent of the lots have been conveyed to third-party individual lot owners or to individual builders who own no more than 40 lots at the time of the determination. The mobile home park portions of a development may be considered "essentially built out" if all the infrastructure and horizontal development has been completed, and at least 50 percent of the lots are leased to individual mobile home owners. In order to accommodate changing market demands and achieve maximum land use efficiency in an essentially built out project, when a developer is building out a project, a local government, without the concurrence of the state land planning agency, may adopt a resolution authorizing the developer to exchange one approved land use for another approved land use specified in the agreement. Before issuance of a building permit pursuant to an exchange, the developer must demonstrate to the local government that the exchange ratio will

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

mot result in a net increase in impacts to public facilities and will meet all applicable requirements of the comprehensive plan and land development code. For developments previously determined to impact strategic intermodal facilities as defined in s. 339.63, the local government shall consult with the Department of Transportation in approving this change.

- (19) SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATIONS.-
- (b) Any proposed change to a previously approved development of regional impact or development order condition which, either individually or cumulatively with other changes, exceeds any of the following criteria in subparagraphs 1.-11.

 constitutes shall constitute a substantial deviation and shall cause the development to be subject to further development-of regional-impact review through the notice of proposed change process under this subsection. without the necessity for a finding of same by the local government:
- 1. An increase in the number of parking spaces at an attraction or recreational facility by 15 percent or 500 spaces, whichever is greater, or an increase in the number of spectators

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

- that may be accommodated at such a facility by 15 percent or 1,500 spectators, whichever is greater.
 - 2. A new runway, a new terminal facility, a 25 percent lengthening of an existing runway, or a 25 percent increase in the number of gates of an existing terminal, but only if the increase adds at least three additional gates.
 - 3. An increase in land area for office development by 15 percent or an increase of gross floor area of office development by 15 percent or 100,000 gross square feet, whichever is greater.
 - 4. An increase in the number of dwelling units by 10 percent or 55 dwelling units, whichever is greater.
 - 5. An increase in the number of dwelling units by 50 percent or 200 units, whichever is greater, provided that 15 percent of the proposed additional dwelling units are dedicated to affordable workforce housing, subject to a recorded land use restriction that shall be for a period of not less than 20 years and that includes resale provisions to ensure long-term affordability for income-eligible homeowners and renters and provisions for the workforce housing to be commenced before

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

prior to the completion of 50 percent of the market rate
dwelling. For purposes of this subparagraph, the term
"affordable workforce housing" means housing that is affordable
to a person who earns less than 120 percent of the area median
income, or less than 140 percent of the area median income if
located in a county in which the median purchase price for a
single-family existing home exceeds the statewide median
purchase price of a single-family existing home. For purposes of
this subparagraph, the term "statewide median purchase price of
a single-family existing home" means the statewide purchase
price as determined in the Florida Sales Report, Single-Family
Existing Homes, released each January by the Florida Association
of Realtors and the University of Florida Real Estate Research
Center.

- 6. An increase in commercial development by 60,000 square feet of gross floor area or of parking spaces provided for customers for 425 cars or a 10 percent increase, whichever is greater.
- 7. An increase in a recreational vehicle park area by 10 percent or 110 vehicle spaces, whichever is less.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

- 8. A decrease in the area set aside for open space of 5 percent or 20 acres, whichever is less.
 - 9. A proposed increase to an approved multiuse development of regional impact where the sum of the increases of each land use as a percentage of the applicable substantial deviation criteria is equal to or exceeds 110 percent. The percentage of any decrease in the amount of open space shall be treated as an increase for purposes of determining when 110 percent has been reached or exceeded.
 - 10. A 15 percent increase in the number of external vehicle trips generated by the development above that which was projected during the original development-of-regional-impact review.
 - 11. Any change that would result in development of any area which was specifically set aside in the application for development approval or in the development order for preservation or special protection of endangered or threatened plants or animals designated as endangered, threatened, or species of special concern and their habitat, any species protected by 16 U.S.C. ss. 668a-668d, primary dunes, or

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

archaeological and historical sites designated as significant by the Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State. The refinement of the boundaries and configuration of such areas shall be considered under sub-subparagraph (e)2.j.

2.72

The substantial deviation numerical standards in subparagraphs 3., 6., and 9., excluding residential uses, and in subparagraph 10., are increased by 100 percent for a project certified under s. 403.973 which creates jobs and meets criteria established by the Department of Economic Opportunity as to its impact on an area's economy, employment, and prevailing wage and skill levels. The substantial deviation numerical standards in subparagraphs 3., 4., 5., 6., 9., and 10. are increased by 50 percent for a project located wholly within an urban infill and redevelopment area designated on the applicable adopted local comprehensive plan future land use map and not located within the coastal high hazard area.

(e)1. Except for a development order rendered pursuant to subsection (22) or subsection (25), a proposed change to a development order which individually or cumulatively with any

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

previous change is less than any numerical criterion contained
in subparagraphs (b)110. and does not exceed any other
criterion, or which involves an extension of the buildout date
of a development, or any phase thereof, of less than 5 years is
not subject to the public hearing requirements of subparagraph
(f)3., and is not subject to a determination pursuant to
subparagraph (f) 5. Notice of the proposed change shall be made
to the regional planning council and the state land planning
agency. Such notice must include a description of previous
individual changes made to the development, including changes
previously approved by the local government, and must include
appropriate amendments to the development order.

- 2. The following changes, individually or cumulatively with any previous changes, are not substantial deviations:
- a. Changes in the name of the project, developer, owner, or monitoring official.
- b. Changes to a setback which do not affect noise buffers, environmental protection or mitigation areas, or archaeological or historical resources.
 - c. Changes to minimum lot sizes.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

311			d.	Chan	ges	in	the	conf	igurat	ion	of	intern	al	roads	which
312	do	not	aff	fect	exte	erna	ıl a	ccess	point	s.					

- e. Changes to the building design or orientation which stay approximately within the approved area designated for such building and parking lot, and which do not affect historical buildings designated as significant by the Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State.
- f. Changes to increase the acreage in the development, if no development is proposed on the acreage to be added.
- g. Changes to eliminate an approved land use, if there are no additional regional impacts.
- h. Changes required to conform to permits approved by any federal, state, or regional permitting agency, if these changes do not create additional regional impacts.
- i. Any renovation or redevelopment of development within a previously approved development of regional impact which does not change land use or increase density or intensity of use.
- j. Changes that modify boundaries and configuration of areas described in subparagraph (b)11. due to science-based refinement of such areas by survey, by habitat evaluation, by

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

other recognized assessment methodology, or by an environmental assessment. In order for changes to qualify under this sub subparagraph, the survey, habitat evaluation, or assessment must occur before the time that a conservation easement protecting such lands is recorded and must not result in any net decrease in the total acreage of the lands specifically set aside for permanent preservation in the final development order.

- k. Changes that do not increase the number of external peak hour trips and do not reduce open space and conserved areas within the project except as otherwise permitted by subsubparagraph j.
- 1. A phase date extension, if the state land planning agency, in consultation with the regional planning council and subject to the written concurrence of the Department of Transportation, agrees that the traffic impact is not significant and adverse under applicable state agency rules.

 $\underline{\text{m.l.}}$ Any other change that the state land planning agency, in consultation with the regional planning council, agrees in writing is similar in nature, impact, or character to the changes enumerated in sub-subparagraphs $\underline{\text{a.-l.}}$ $\underline{\text{a.-k.}}$ and that

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

does not create the likelihood of any additional regional impact.

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

This subsection does not require the filing of a notice of proposed change but requires an application to the local government to amend the development order in accordance with the local government's procedures for amendment of a development order. In accordance with the local government's procedures, including requirements for notice to the applicant and the public, the local government shall either deny the application for amendment or adopt an amendment to the development order which approves the application with or without conditions. Following adoption, the local government shall render to the state land planning agency the amendment to the development order. The state land planning agency may appeal, pursuant to s. 380.07(3), the amendment to the development order if the amendment involves sub-subparagraph g., sub-subparagraph h., subsubparagraph j., sub-subparagraph k., or sub-subparagraph m. 1. and if the agency believes that the change creates a reasonable likelihood of new or additional regional impacts.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

- 3. Except for the change authorized by sub-subparagraph 2.f., any addition of land not previously reviewed or any change not specified in paragraph (b) or paragraph (c) shall be presumed to create a substantial deviation. This presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.
- 4. Any submittal of a proposed change to a previously approved development must include a description of individual changes previously made to the development, including changes previously approved by the local government. The local government shall consider the previous and current proposed changes in deciding whether such changes cumulatively constitute a substantial deviation requiring further development-of regional-impact review.
- 5. The following changes to an approved development of regional impact shall be presumed to create a substantial deviation. Such presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence:
- a. A change proposed for 15 percent or more of the acreage to a land use not previously approved in the development

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

order. Changes of less than 15 percent shall be presumed not to create a substantial deviation.

- b. Notwithstanding any provision of paragraph (b) to the contrary, a proposed change consisting of simultaneous increases and decreases of at least two of the uses within an authorized multiuse development of regional impact which was originally approved with three or more uses specified in s. 380.0651(3)(c) and (d) and residential use.
- 6. If a local government agrees to a proposed change, a change in the transportation proportionate share calculation and mitigation plan in an adopted development order as a result of recalculation of the proportionate share contribution meeting the requirements of s. 163.3180(5)(h) in effect as of the date of such change shall be presumed not to create a substantial deviation. For purposes of this subsection, the proposed change in the proportionate share calculation or mitigation plan may not be considered an additional regional transportation impact.
- (30) NEW PROPOSED DEVELOPMENTS.— A new proposed development otherwise subject to the review requirements of this section shall be approved by a local government pursuant to s.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

410	163.3184(4) in lieu of proceeding in accordance with this
411	section. However, if the proposed development is consistent with
412	the comprehensive plan as provided in s. 163.3194(3)(b), the
413	development is not required to undergo review pursuant to s.
414	163.3184(4) or this section. This subsection does not apply to
415	amendments to a development order governing an existing
416	development of regional impact.

Section 5. Paragraph (c) of subsection (4) of section 380.0651, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

380.0651 Statewide guidelines and standards.-

- (4) Two or more developments, represented by their owners or developers to be separate developments, shall be aggregated and treated as a single development under this chapter when they are determined to be part of a unified plan of development and are physically proximate to one other.
- (c) Aggregation is not applicable when the following circumstances and provisions of this chapter apply are applicable:
- 1. Developments $\underline{\text{that}}$ which are otherwise subject to aggregation with a development of regional impact which has

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

received approval through the issuance of a final development order may shall not be aggregated with the approved development of regional impact. However, nothing contained in this subparagraph does not shall preclude the state land planning agency from evaluating an allegedly separate development as a substantial deviation pursuant to s. 380.06(19) or as an independent development of regional impact.

- 2. Two or more developments, each of which is independently a development of regional impact that has or will obtain a development order pursuant to s. 380.06.
- 3. Completion of any development that has been vested pursuant to s. 380.05 or s. 380.06, including vested rights arising out of agreements entered into with the state land planning agency for purposes of resolving vested rights issues. Development-of-regional-impact review of additions to vested developments of regional impact shall not include review of the impacts resulting from the vested portions of the development.
- 4. The developments sought to be aggregated were authorized to commence development <u>before</u> prior to September 1,

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

- 1988, and could not have been required to be aggregated under the law existing before prior to that date.
- 5. Any development that qualifies for an exemption under s. 380.06(29).
 - 6. Newly acquired lands intended for development in coordination with a developed and existing development of regional impact are not subject to aggregation if such newly acquired lands comprise an area equal to, or less than, 10 percent of the total acreage subject to an existing development-of-regional impact development order.

Section 6. Subsection (1) of section 380.115, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

380.115 Vested rights and duties; effect of size reduction, changes in guidelines and standards.

(1) A change in a development-of-regional-impact guideline and standard does not abridge or modify any vested or other right or any duty or obligation pursuant to any development order or agreement that is applicable to a development of regional impact. A development that has received a development of-regional-impact development order pursuant to

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

s. 380.06_{7} but is no longer required to undergo development-of-
regional-impact review by operation of a change in the
guidelines and standards, a development that or has reduced its
size below the thresholds $\underline{\text{specified}}$ in s. 380.0651, $\underline{\text{or}}$ a
development that is exempt pursuant to s. 380.06(24) or (29), or
a development that elects to rescind the development order are
shall be governed by the following procedures:

(a) The development shall continue to be governed by the development-of-regional-impact development order and may be completed in reliance upon and pursuant to the development order unless the developer or landowner has followed the procedures for rescission in paragraph (b). Any proposed changes to those developments which continue to be governed by a development order <u>must shall</u> be approved pursuant to s. 380.06(19) as it existed before a change in the development-of-regional-impact guidelines and standards, except that all percentage criteria <u>are shall be</u> doubled and all other criteria <u>are shall be</u> increased by 10 percent. The development-of-regional-impact development order may be enforced by the local government as provided in by ss. 380.06(17) and 380.11.

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

COMMITTEE/SUBCOMMITTEE AMENDMENT Bill No. HB 1361 (2016)

Amendment No. 1

(b) If requested by the developer or landowner, the
development-of-regional-impact development order shall be
rescinded by the local government having jurisdiction upon a
showing that all required mitigation related to the amount of
development that existed on the date of rescission has been
completed or will be completed under an existing permit or
equivalent authorization issued by a governmental agency as
defined in s. 380.031(6), $\underline{\text{if}}$ provided such permit or
authorization is subject to enforcement through administrative
or judicial remedies.

Section 7. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Remove

Remove everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled

TITLE AMENDMENT

An act relating to growth management; amending s. 163.3184, F.S.; specifying that certain developments must follow the state coordinated review process; providing timeframes within which the Division of Administrative Hearings must transmit certain recommended orders to the Administration Commission;

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx

Bill No. HB 1361

(2016)

Amendment No. 1

511 establishing deadlines for the state land planning agency to 512 take action on recommended orders relating to certain plan 513 amendments; providing a procedure for issuing a final order if 514 the state land planning agency fails to take action; amending s. 515 163.3245, F.S.; revising the acreage thresholds for sector 516 plans; amending s. 171.046, F.S.; revising the size of an 517 enclave that a municipality may annex on an expedited basis; 518 amending s. 380.06, F.S.; authorizing certain changes to 519 approved developments of regional impact; authorizing parties to 520 amend certain development agreements without submittal, review, 521 or approval of a notification of proposed change; providing 522 criteria under which one approved land use may be submitted for 523 another approved land use in certain land development agreements 524 under certain circumstances; specifying that certain proposed 525 changes to certain developments are a substantial deviation; 526 specifying that such developments must undergo further 527 development-of-regional-impact review; providing that certain phase date extensions to amend a development order are not 528 529 substantial deviations under certain circumstances; specifying 530 conditions under which certain proposed developments are not 531 required to undergo the state-coordinated review process; amending s. 380.0651, F.S.; providing that lands acquired for 532 533 development are not subject to aggregation under certain 534 circumstances; amending s. 380.115, F.S.; providing the 535 procedures to be used by a development that elects to rescind a development order; providing an effective date. 536

193329 - HB 1361 Amendment 1.docx