The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Pr	epared By: The Profession	al Staff of the Comr	mittee on Rules
BILL:	CS/SB 592			
INTRODUCER:	Governmental Oversight and Accountability Committee and Senator Hutson			
SUBJECT:	Public Records/Department of Financial Services			
DATE:	January 26	, 2016 REVISED:		
ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1. Matiyow		Knudson	BI	Favorable
2. Kim		McVaney	GO	Fav/CS
3. Matiyow		Phelps	RC	Pre-meeting

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 592 exempts from public inspection and disclosure the home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current and former nonsworn investigative personnel of the Department of Financial Services. The bill also exempts from public inspection and disclosure the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment, locations of schools and day care facilities of the spouses and children of such personnel.

The bill specifies that the exemptions are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and provides a statement of public necessity for the exemptions.

This bill creates a new exemption and is subject to a two-thirds vote in both the Senate and the House, pursuant to Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution.

The bill goes into effect upon becoming law.

II. Present Situation:

Public Records Law

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business. This applies to the official business of any public body, officer or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities and any person acting on behalf of the government.

In addition to the Florida Constitution, the Florida Statutes provides that the public may access legislative and executive branch records.³ Chapter 119, F.S., constitutes the main body of public records laws, and is known as the Public Records Act.⁴ The Public Records Act states that

it is the policy of this state that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person. Providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.⁵

According to the Public Records Act, a public record includes virtually any document or recording, regardless of its physical form or how it may be transmitted. The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted public records as being "any material prepared in connection with official agency business which is intended to perpetuate, communicate or formalize knowledge of some type." A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.

The Legislature may create an exemption to public records requirements. An exemption must pass by a two-thirds vote of the House and the Senate. In addition, an exemption must explicitly lay out the public necessity justifying the exemption, and the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption. A statutory

¹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

² FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

³ The Public Records Act does not apply to legislative or judicial records. *Locke v. Hawkes*, 595 So. 2d 32 (Fla. 1992). Also see *Times Pub. Co. v. Ake*, 660 So. 2d 255 (Fla. 1995). The Legislature's records are public pursuant to s. 11.0431, F.S. Public records exemptions for the Legislatures are primarily located in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S.

⁴ Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes.

⁵ Section 119.01(1), F.S.

⁶ Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public record" to mean "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency." Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" to mean as "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

⁷ Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc. Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

⁸ Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

⁹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹⁰ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹¹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

exemption which does not meet these criteria may be unconstitutional and may not be judicially saved. 12

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is 'confidential and exempt' or 'exempt.' Records designated as 'confidential and exempt' may be released by the records custodian only under the circumstances defined by the Legislature. Records designated as 'exempt' may be released at the discretion of the records custodian. 14

Open Government Sunset Review Act

In addition to the constitutional requirements relating to the enactment of a public records exemption, the Legislature may subject the new or broadened exemption to the Open Government Sunset Review Act (OGSR).

The OGSR prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public records.¹⁵ The OGSR provides that an exemption automatically repeals on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment; in order to save an exemption from repeal, the Legislature must reenact the exemption.¹⁶ In practice, many exemptions are continued by repealing the sunset date rather than reenacting the exemption.

Under the OGSR the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption are reviewed. The Legislature must consider the following questions during its review of an exemption:¹⁷

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

¹² Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. New-Journal Corp., 724 So.2d 567 (Fla. 1999). In Halifax Hospital, the Florida Supreme Court found that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption. *Id.* at 570. The Florida Supreme Court also declined to narrow the exemption in order to save it. *Id.* In Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc., 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004), the court found that the intent of a statute was to create a public records exemption. The Baker County Press court found that since the law did not contain a public necessity statement, it was unconstitutional. *Id.* at 196. ¹³ If the Legislature designates a record as confidential, such record may not be released to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole, 874 So. 2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

¹⁴ A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So. 2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

¹⁵ Section 119.15, F.S. According to s. 119.15(4)(b), F.S., a substantially amended exemption is one that is expanded to include more information or to include meetings. The OGSR does not apply to an exemption that is required by federal law or that applies solely to the Legislature or the State Court System pursuant to s. 119.15(2), F.S. The OGSR process is currently being followed, however, the Legislature is not required to continue to do so. The Florida Supreme Court has found that one legislature cannot bind a future legislature. *Scott v. Williams*, 107 So. 3d 379 (Fla. 2013).

¹⁶ Section 119.15(3), F.S.

¹⁷ Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S.

If the Legislature expands an exemption, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required. ¹⁸ If the exemption is reenacted without substantive changes or if the exemption is narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are not required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless otherwise provided for by law. ¹⁹

Department of Financial Services

In 2002 the Florida Legislature merged the Department of Insurance, Treasury and State Fire Marshal and the Department of Banking and Finance into the Department of Financial Services (DFS). The Chief Financial Officer (CFO) is a statewide elected official who is a member of the Cabinet²⁰ and serves as the agency head of the DFS.²¹ The DFS is organized in fourteen divisions and some specialized offices. The divisions are:

- The Division of Accounting and Auditing, which includes the Bureau of Unclaimed Property and the Office of Fiscal Integrity;
- The Division of State Fire Marshal;
- The Division of Risk Management;
- The Division of Treasury;
- The Division of Insurance Fraud;
- The Division of Rehabilitation and Liquidation;
- The Division of Insurance Agent and Agency Services;
- The Division of Consumer Services;
- The Division of Workers' Compensation;
- The Division of Administration:
- The Division of Legal Services;
- The Division of Information Systems;
- The Division of Funeral, Cemetery, and Consumer Services; and
- The Division of Public Assistance Fraud. 22

According to the DFS, a total of 206 positions within five divisions are nonsworn investigative personnel. These positions are located in the following divisions:

- Five positions in the Division of Accounting and Auditing who investigate suspicion of theft, attempted theft, or the misappropriation of state funds.
- 59 positions in the Division of Agent and Agency Services who investigate misconduct of licensees. Investigators often go into the offices and homes of licensees and consumers to gather evidence and take sworn statements.
- 74 positions in the Division of Workers Compensation who investigate enforcement of
 workers compensation requirements of employers. Investigators often visit employer
 worksites and have the power to issue Stop-Work Orders that immediately cease all the
 business operations of the employer.

¹⁸ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹⁹ Section 119.15(7), F.S.

²⁰ See Art. IV, s. 4, Fla. Const.

²¹ See s. 20.121(1), F.S.

²² See s. 20.121(2), F.S.

• 15 positions in the Division of Funeral, Cemetery, and Consumer Services who investigate fraudulent activities of licensees as well as improper handling of human remains. Investigators often go to the business address of the license to conduct much of their investigation.

• 53 positions in the Division of Public Assistance Fraud who investigate suspected fraud within the various public assistant programs administered by the state. Such investigations can result in prosecution by the state attorney's office.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill amends s. 119.071, F.S., to exempt from public inspection and disclosure the home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of certain current and former nonsworn investigative DFS personnel. The exemption applies to DFS personnel who investigate fraud, theft, workers' compensation cases, related criminal cases or regulatory violations.

The bill also exempts from public inspection and disclosure the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel, as well as the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by their children.

The exemption is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15, F.S., and provides that the public-records exemptions will stand repealed on October 2, 2021, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

Justification of public necessity for the exemptions is also provided, stating that release of the information might place the investigators or the family members of these investigators in danger of physical and emotional harm from disgruntled individuals who have contentious reactions to actions taken by such personnel, or whose business or professional practices have come under the scrutiny of such personnel. The efforts of such personnel can lead to criminal prosecution, the loss of commerce and property, fines, and the loss of professional licensure. DFS has documented examples of personnel having weapons brandished at them, verbal threats made against them and their families, as well as personnel being subject to harassment and intimidation. Therefore, the harm that would result from the release of the information outweighs any public benefit that might result from the disclosure.

The bill is effective upon becoming law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The mandate restrictions do not apply because the bill does not require counties and municipalities to spend funds, reduce counties' or municipalities' ability to raise revenue, or reduce the percentage of a state tax shares with counties and municipalities.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Pursuant to Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution all public records exemptions requires a two-thirds vote by both the Senate and the House.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill protects nonsworn investigative personnel and their families from potential harassment or harm when fulfilling their duties while working for DFS.

Governmental entities will have to redact the information outlined in this bill, however, this costs will probably be absorbed within current resources.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 119.071 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Governmental Oversight and Accountability on January 19, 2016:

- Removes social security numbers from the exemption since social security number are already exempt from public records.
- Removes the reference to the photographs of the families of DFS personnel since the DFS does not keep family members' photographs as public records.

• The public necessity statement was enhanced to include specific examples of the dangers DFS personnel have experienced in the course of carrying out their duties.

- Includes former personnel, to clarify that the exemption is applicable to former personnel as well as current personnel who leave DFS in the future.
- Narrows and clarifies that investigation of "other criminal activities" are related to investigations of fraud, theft and workers compensation cases, rather than any type of criminal activity.
- Changes 'child care' to 'day care' in order to conform with the rest of the section.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.