1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to coastal management; amending s.
3	161.101, F.S.; revising the criteria to be considered
4	by the Department of Environmental Protection in
5	determining and assigning annual funding priorities
6	for beach management and erosion control projects;
7	specifying tiers for such criteria; requiring tiers to
8	be given certain weight; requiring the department to
9	update active project lists on its website; redefining
10	the term "significant change"; revising the
11	department's reporting requirements; specifying
12	allowable uses for certain surplus funds; revising the
13	requirements for a specified summary; requiring that
14	funding for certain projects remain available for a
15	specified period; amending s. 161.143, F.S.;
16	specifying the scope of certain projects; revising the
17	list of projects that are included as inlet management
18	projects; requiring that certain projects be
19	considered separate and apart from other specified
20	projects; revising the ranking criteria to be used by
21	the department to establish certain funding priorities
22	for certain inlet-caused beach erosion projects;
23	revising provisions authorizing the department to
24	spend certain appropriated funds for the management of
25	inlets; deleting a provision authorizing the

Page 1 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

26 department to spend certain appropriated funds for 27 specified inlet studies; revising the required 28 elements of the department's report of prioritized 29 inlet management projects; revising the funds that the 30 department must make available to certain inlet 31 management projects; requiring the department to 32 include specified activities on the inlet management 33 project list; deleting provisions requiring the department to make available funding for specified 34 projects; deleting a requirement that the Legislature 35 36 designate a project as an Inlet of the Year; requiring 37 the department to update and maintain a report regarding the progress of certain inlet management 38 39 projects; revising the requirements for the report; deleting certain temporary provisions relating to 40 specified appropriations; amending s. 161.161, F.S.; 41 42 revising requirements for the comprehensive long-term 43 management plan; requiring the plan to include a 44 strategic beach management plan, a critically eroded beaches report, and a statewide long-range budget 45 plan; providing for the development and maintenance of 46 47 such plans; deleting a requirement that the department 48 submit a certain beach management plan on a certain 49 date each year; requiring the department to hold a 50 public meeting before finalization of the strategic

### Page 2 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

beach management plan; requiring the department to 51 52 submit a 3-year work plan and a related forecast for 53 the availability of funding to the Legislature; 54 providing effective dates. 55 56 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 57 58 Section 1. Effective July 1, 2018, subsection (14) of section 161.101, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 59 State and local participation in authorized 60 161.101 projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion 61 62 control.-The intent of the Legislature in preserving and 63 (14)64 protecting Florida's sandy beaches pursuant to this act is to 65 direct beach erosion control appropriations to the state's most 66 severely eroded beaches, and to prevent further adverse impact 67 caused by improved, modified, or altered inlets, coastal 68 armoring, or existing upland development. In establishing annual 69 project funding priorities, the department shall seek formal 70 input from local coastal governments, beach and general government interest groups, and university experts. The 71 72 department shall adopt by rule a scoring system to determine annual project funding priorities. The scoring system must 73 74 consist of the following criteria equally weighted within the following specified tiers criteria to be considered by the 75

Page 3 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2017

76	department in determining annual funding priorities shall
77	include:
78	(a) <u>Tier 1 must account for 20 percent of the total score</u>
79	and consist of the tourism-related return on investment and the
80	severity of erosion conditions, the threat to existing upland
81	development, and recreational and/or economic impact of the
82	project. The return on investment of the project is the ratio of
83	the tourism-related tax revenues for the most recent year to the
84	amount of state funding requested for the proposed project. The
85	economic impact of the project is the ratio of the tourism-
86	related tax revenues for the most recent year to all county tax
87	revenues for the most recent year. The department must calculate
88	these ratios using state sales tax and tourism development tax
89	data of the county having jurisdiction over the project area. If
90	multiple counties have jurisdiction over the project area, the
91	department must assess each county individually using these
92	ratios. The department shall calculate the mean average of these
93	ratios to determine the final overall assessment for the
94	multicounty project benefits.
95	(b) Tier 2 must account for 45 percent of the total score
96	and consist of the following criteria:
97	<u>1.</u> The availability of federal matching dollars <u>,</u>
98	considering federal authorization, the federal cost-share
99	percentage, and the status of the funding award; $\cdot$
100	2. The storm damage reduction benefits of the project

# Page 4 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

101 based on the following considerations: 102 The current conditions of the project area, including a. 103 any recent storm damage impact, as a percentage of volume of 104 sand lost since the most recent beach nourishment event or most 105 recent beach surveys. If the project area has not been 106 previously restored, the department must use the historical 107 background erosion rate; 108 b. The overall potential threat to existing upland 109 development, including public and private structures and 110 infrastructure, based on the percentage of vulnerable shoreline 111 within the project boundaries; and 112 c. The value of upland property benefiting from the 113 protection provided by the project and its subsequent 114 maintenance. A property must be within one-quarter mile of the 115 project boundaries to be considered under the criterion 116 specified in this subparagraph; and 117 3. The cost-effectiveness of the project based on the 118 yearly cost per volume per mile of proposed beach fill 119 placement. The department shall also consider the following when 120 assessing cost-effectiveness pursuant to this subparagraph: 121 a. The existence of projects with proposed structural or 122 design components to extend the beach nourishment interval; 123 b. Existing beach nourishment projects that reduce upland 124 storm damage costs by incorporating new or enhanced dune 125 structures or new or existing dune restoration and revegetation

Page 5 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

126	projects;
127	c. Proposed innovative technologies designed to reduce
128	project costs; and
129	d. Regional sediment management strategies and
130	coordination to conserve sand source resources and reduce
131	project costs.
132	(c) <u>Tier 3 must account for 20 percent of the total score</u>
133	and consist of the following criteria: The extent of local
134	government sponsor financial and administrative commitment to
135	the project, including a long-term financial plan with a
136	designated funding source or sources for initial construction
137	and periodic maintenance.
138	1.(d) Previous state commitment and involvement in the
139	project, considering previously funded phases, the total amount
140	of previous state funding, and previous partial appropriations
141	for the proposed project;
142	2. The recreational benefits of the project based on:
143	a. The accessible beach area added by the project; and
144	b. The percentage of linear footage within the project
145	boundaries that is zoned:
146	(I) As recreational or open space;
147	(II) For commercial use; or
148	(III) To otherwise allow for public lodging
149	establishments;-
150	(e) The anticipated physical performance of the proposed
	Page 6 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

project, including the frequency of periodic planned 151 152 nourishment. 153 3.(f) The extent to which the proposed project mitigates 154 the adverse impact of improved, modified, or altered inlets on 155 adjacent beaches; and. (g) Innovative, cost-effective, and environmentally 156 157 sensitive applications to reduce erosion. 158 (h) Projects that provide enhanced habitat within or 159 adjacent to designated refuges of nesting sea turtles. 160 (i) The extent to which local or regional sponsors of 161 beach erosion control projects agree to coordinate the planning, design, and construction of their projects to take advantage of 162 163 identifiable cost savings. 164 4.(i) The degree to which the project addresses the 165 state's most significant beach erosion problems based on the 166 ratio of the linear footage of the project shoreline to the 167 cubic yards of sand placed per mile per year. 168 Tier 4 must account for 15 percent of the total score (d) 169 and consist of the following criteria: 170 1. Increased prioritization of projects that have been on 171 the department's ranked project list for successive years and 172 that have not previously secured state funding for project 173 implementation; 2. Environmental habitat enhancement, recognizing state or 174 federal critical habitat areas for threatened or endangered 175

Page 7 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2017

176	species which may be subject to extensive shoreline armoring or
177	recognizing areas where extensive shoreline armoring threatens
178	the availability or quality of habitat for such species. Turtle-
179	friendly designs, dune and vegetation projects for areas with
180	redesigned or reduced fill templates, proposed incorporation of
181	best management practices and adaptive management strategies to
182	protect resources, and innovative technologies designed to
183	benefit critical habitat preservation may also be considered;
184	and
185	3. The overall readiness of the project to proceed in a
186	timely manner considering the project's readiness for the
187	construction phase of development, the status of required
188	permits, the status of any needed easement acquisition, the
189	availability of local funding sources, and the establishment of
190	an erosion control line. If the department identifies specific
191	reasonable and documented concerns that the project will not
192	proceed in a timely manner, the department may choose not to
193	include the project in the annual funding priorities submitted
194	to the Legislature.
195	
196	<u>If</u> <del>In the event that</del> more than one project qualifies equally
197	under the provisions of this subsection, the department shall
198	assign funding priority to those projects <u>shown to be most</u> <del>that</del>
199	are ready to proceed.
200	Section 2. Subsection (20) of section 161.101, Florida
	Page 8 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

201 Statutes, is amended to read:

202 161.101 State and local participation in authorized 203 projects and studies relating to beach management and erosion 204 control.-

(20) The department shall maintain active project <u>lists</u>, <u>updated at least quarterly</u>, <del>listings</del> on its website by fiscal year in order to provide transparency regarding those projects receiving funding and the funding amounts  $\tau$  and to facilitate legislative reporting and oversight. In consideration of this intent:

211 The department shall notify the Executive Office of (a) 212 the Governor and the Legislature regarding any significant changes in the funding levels of a given project as initially 213 214 requested in the department's budget submission and subsequently 215 included in approved annual funding allocations. The term "significant change" means a project-specific change or 216 217 cumulative changes that exceed the project's original allocation 218 by \$500,000 or that exceed those changes exceeding 25 percent of 219 the a project's original allocation.

1. Except as provided in subparagraph 2., if there is surplus funding, the department must provide a notification and supporting justification shall be provided to the Executive Office of the Governor and the Legislature to indicate whether surplus additional dollars are intended to be used for inlet management projects pursuant to s. 161.143 or for beach

Page 9 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

226 restoration and beach nourishment projects, offered for 227 reversion as part of the next appropriations process, or used 228 for other specified priority projects on active project lists. 229 2. For surplus funds for projects that do not have a 230 significant change, the department may use such funds for the 231 same purposes identified in subparagraph 1. The department shall 232 post the uses of such funds on the project listing web page of 233 its website. No other notice or supporting justification is 234 required before the use of surplus funds for a project that does 235 not have a significant change.

(b) <u>The department shall prepare</u> a summary of specific
project activities for the current fiscal year, their funding
status, and changes to annual project lists for the current and
<u>preceding fiscal year</u>. shall be prepared by The department shall
<u>include the summary and included</u> with the department's
submission of its annual legislative budget request.

242 (C) Funding for specific projects on annual project lists approved by the Legislature must remain available for such 243 244 projects for 18 months. A local project sponsor may at any time 245 release, in whole or in part, appropriated project dollars by 246 formal notification to the department. The department, which 247 shall notify the Executive Office of the Governor and the Legislature of such release and. Notification must indicate in 248 the notification how the project dollars are recommended 249 250 intended to be used after such release.

### Page 10 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

251 Section 3. Subsections (2) through (5) of section 161.143, 252 Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 253 161.143 Inlet management; planning, prioritizing, funding, 254 approving, and implementing projects.-255 (2)The department shall establish annual funding 256 priorities for studies, activities, or other projects concerning 257 inlet management. Such inlet management projects constitute the intended scope of this section and s. 161.142 and consist of 258 259 include, but are not limited to, inlet sand bypassing, 260 improvement of infrastructure to facilitate sand bypassing, 261 modifications to channel dredging, jetty redesign, jetty repair, 262 disposal of spoil material, and the development, revision, adoption, or implementation of an inlet management plan. 263 Projects considered for funding pursuant to this section shall 264 265 be considered separate and apart from projects reviewed and 266 prioritized in s. 161.101(14). The funding priorities 267 established by the department under this section must be consistent with the requirements and legislative declaration in 268 ss. 161.101(14), 161.142, and 161.161(1)(b). In establishing 269 270 funding priorities under this subsection and before transmitting 271 the annual inlet project list to the Legislature under 272 subsection (4) (5), the department shall seek formal input from local coastal governments, beach and general government 273 274 associations and other coastal interest groups, and university experts concerning annual funding priorities for inlet 275

# Page 11 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

276 management projects. In order to maximize the benefits of 277 efforts to address the inlet-caused beach erosion problems of 278 this state, the ranking criteria used by the department to 279 establish funding priorities for studies, activities, or other 280 projects concerning inlet management must include <u>equal</u> 281 consideration of:

(a) An estimate of the annual quantity of beach-quality
sand reaching the updrift boundary of the improved jetty or
inlet channel.

(b) The severity of the erosion to the adjacent beaches
caused by the inlet and the extent to which the proposed project
mitigates the erosive effects of the inlet.

(c) The overall significance and anticipated success of
the proposed project in <u>mitigating the erosive effects of the</u>
<u>inlet</u>, balancing the sediment budget of the inlet and adjacent
beaches, and addressing the sand deficit along the inletaffected shorelines.

(d) The extent to which existing bypassing activities at an inlet would benefit from modest, cost-effective improvements when considering the volumetric increases from the proposed project, the availability of beach-quality sand currently not being bypassed to adjacent eroding beaches, and the ease with which such beach-quality sand may be obtained.

(e) <u>The cost-effectiveness of sand made available by a</u>
 proposed inlet management project or activity relative to other

# Page 12 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

301 sand source opportunities that would be used to address inlet-302 caused beach erosion The interest and commitment of local 303 governments as demonstrated by their willingness to coordinate 304 the planning, design, construction, and maintenance of an inlet 305 management project and their financial plan for funding the 306 local cost share for initial construction, ongoing sand 307 bypassing, channel dredging, and maintenance. The existence of a proposed or recently updated The 308 (f) previous completion or approval of a state-sponsored inlet 309 310 management plan or a local-government-sponsored inlet study 311 addressing concerning the inlet addressed by the proposed 312 project, the ease of updating and revising any such plan or 313 study, and the adequacy and specificity of the plan's or study's 314 recommendations concerning the mitigation of an inlet's erosive 315 effects on adjacent beaches. The degree to which the proposed project will enhance 316 (a) 317 the performance and longevity of proximate beach nourishment projects, thereby reducing the frequency of such periodic 318 319 nourishment projects. 320 The project-ranking criteria in s. 161.101(14) to the (h) 321 extent such criteria are applicable to inlet management studies, 322 projects, and activities and are distinct from, and not duplicative of, the criteria listed in paragraphs (a) - (g). 323 324 The department may pay from legislative appropriations (3) up to 75 percent of the construction costs of an initial major 325

# Page 13 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2017

326	inlet management project component for the purpose of mitigating
327	the erosive effects of the inlet to the shoreline and balancing
328	the sediment budget. The remaining balance of such construction
329	costs must be paid from other funding sources, such as local
330	sponsors. All project costs not associated with an initial major
331	inlet management project component must be shared equally by
332	state and local sponsors in accordance with, pursuant to s.
333	161.101 and notwithstanding s. 161.101(15), pay from legislative
334	appropriations provided for these purposes 75 percent of the
335	total costs, or, if applicable, the nonfederal costs, of a
336	study, activity, or other project concerning the management of
337	an inlet. The balance must be paid by the local governments or
338	special districts having jurisdiction over the property where
339	the inlet is located.
340	(4) Using the legislative appropriation to the statewide
341	beach-management-support category of the department's fixed
342	capital outlay funding request, the department may employ
343	university-based or other contractual sources and pay 100
344	percent of the costs of studies that are consistent with the
345	legislative declaration in s. 161.142 and that:
346	(a) Determine, calculate, refine, and achieve general
347	consensus regarding net annual sediment transport volumes to be
348	used for the purpose of planning and prioritizing inlet
349	management projects; and
350	(b) Appropriate, assign, and apportion responsibilities
	Page 14 of 23

Page 14 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

351	between inlet beneficiaries for the erosion caused by a
352	particular inlet on adjacent beaches.
353	(4) (5) The department shall annually provide an inlet
354	management project list, in priority order, to the Legislature
355	as part of the department's budget request. <del>The list must</del>
356	include studies, projects, or other activities that address the
357	management of at least 10 separate inlets and that are ranked
358	according to the criteria established under subsection (2).
359	(a) The department shall <u>designate for</u> make available at
360	least 10 percent of the total amount that the Legislature
361	appropriates in each fiscal year for statewide beach management
362	for the three highest-ranked projects on the current year's
363	inlet management project list, in priority order, an amount that
364	is at least equal to the greater of:
365	1. Ten percent of the total amount that the Legislature
366	appropriates in the fiscal year for statewide beach management;
367	or
368	2. The percentage of inlet management funding requests
369	from local sponsors as a proportion of the total amount of
370	statewide beach management dollars requested in a given year.
371	(b) The department shall include inlet monitoring
372	activities ranked on the inlet management project list as one
373	aggregated subcategory on the overall inlet management project
374	list make available at least 50 percent of the funds
375	appropriated for the feasibility and design category in the
	Page 15 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

department's fixed capital outlay funding request for projects 376 377 on the current year's inlet management project list which 378 involve the study for, or design or development of, an inlet 379 management project. 380 (c) The department shall make available all statewide 381 beach management funds that remain unencumbered or are allocated 382 to non-project specific activities for projects on legislatively 383 approved inlet management project lists. Funding for localgovernment-specific projects on annual project lists approved by 384 385 the Legislature must remain available for such purposes for a 386 period of 18 months pursuant to s. 216.301(2)(a). Based on an 387 assessment and the department's determination that a project 388 will not be ready to proceed during this 18-month period, such 389 funds shall be used for inlet management projects on 390 legislatively approved lists. 391 (5) (d) The Legislature shall designate one of the three 392 highest projects on the inlet management project list in any 393 year as the Inlet of the Year. The department shall update and 394 maintain an annual annually report on its website to the 395 Legislature concerning the extent to which each inlet project 396 designated by the Legislature as Inlet of the Year has succeeded

397 in balancing the sediment budget of the inlet and adjacent 398 beaches <u>and in</u>, mitigating the inlet's erosive effects on 399 adjacent beaches. The report must provide an estimate of the

400

### Page 16 of 23

quantity of sediment bypassed, transferred, and transferring or

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

401 otherwise <u>placed</u> <u>placing beach-quality sand</u> on adjacent eroding 402 beaches, or in such beaches' nearshore area, for the purpose of 403 <u>offsetting the erosive effects of inlets on the beaches of this</u> 404 <u>state</u>. 405 <u>(e) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a) and (b), and for the</u>

405 (e) Notwithstanding paragraphs (a) and (b), and for the
406 2016-2017 fiscal year only, the amount allocated for inlet
407 management funding is provided in the 2016-2017 General
408 Appropriations Act. This paragraph expires July 1, 2017.

Section 4. Effective July 1, 2018, subsections (1) and (2) of section 161.161, Florida Statutes, are amended, and present subsections (3) through (7) are renumbered as subsections (4) through (8), respectively, to read:

413

161.161 Procedure for approval of projects.-

(1) The department shall develop and maintain a
comprehensive long-term <u>beach</u> management plan for the
restoration and maintenance of the state's critically eroded
beaches fronting the Atlantic Ocean, Gulf of Mexico, and Straits
of Florida. <u>In developing and maintaining this</u> the beach
management plan the department shall:

420 (a) Address long-term solutions to the problem of421 critically eroded beaches in this state.

(b) Evaluate each improved, modified, or altered inlet and
determine whether the inlet is a significant cause of beach
erosion. With respect to each inlet determined to be a
significant cause of beach erosion, the plan shall include:

### Page 17 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

426 1. the extent to which such inlet causes beach erosion and 427 recommendations to mitigate the erosive impact of the inlet, 428 including, but not limited to, recommendations regarding inlet 429 sediment bypassing; improvement of infrastructure to facilitate 430 sand bypassing; modifications to channel dredging, jetty design, 431 and disposal of spoil material; establishment of feeder beaches; and beach restoration and beach nourishment; and 432 433 2. Cost estimates necessary to take inlet corrective measures and recommendations regarding cost sharing among the 434 435 beneficiaries of such inlet. 436 Evaluate Design criteria for beach restoration and (C) beach nourishment projects, including, but not limited to, + 437 1. dune elevation and width and revegetation and 438 439 stabilization requirements, + and 440 2. beach profiles profile. 441 (d) Consider Evaluate the establishment of regional 442 sediment management alternatives for one or more individual 443 beach and inlet sand bypassing projects feeder beaches as an 444 alternative to direct beach restoration when appropriate and 445 cost effective, and recommend the location of such regional 446 sediment management alternatives feeder beaches and the source 447 of beach-compatible sand. Identify causes of shoreline erosion and change, 448 (e) 449 determine <del>calculate</del> erosion rates, and maintain an updated list 450 of critically eroded sandy beaches based on data, analyses, and

# Page 18 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

451 <u>investigations of shoreline conditions</u> and project long-term
452 erosion for all major beach and dune systems by surveys and
453 profiles.

454 (f) Identify shoreline development and degree of density
455 and Assess impacts of development and <u>coastal protection</u>
456 shoreline protective structures on shoreline change and erosion.

(g) Identify short-term and long-term economic costs and
benefits of beaches to the state of Florida and individual beach
<u>communities</u>, including recreational value to user groups, tax
base, revenues generated, and beach acquisition and maintenance
<del>costs</del>.

(h) Study dune and vegetation conditions, identify
existing beach projects without dune features or with dunes
without adequate elevations, and encourage dune restoration and
revegetation to be incorporated as part of storm damage recovery
projects or future dune maintenance events.

467 (i) Identify beach areas used by marine turtles and
468 develop strategies for protection of the turtles and their nests
469 and nesting locations.

(j) Identify alternative management responses to preserve
undeveloped beach and dune systems <u>and</u>, to restore damaged beach
and dune systems. In identifying such management responses, the
<u>department shall consider</u>, at minimum, <del>and to prevent</del>
<del>inappropriate development and redevelopment on migrating</del>

475 beaches, and consider beach restoration and nourishment,

### Page 19 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

476 armoring, relocation and abandonment, dune and vegetation 477 restoration, and acquisition. 478 (k) Document procedures and policies for preparing poststorm damage assessments and corresponding recovery plans, 479 480 including repair cost estimates. Establish criteria, including 481 costs and specific implementation actions, for alternative 482 management techniques. 483 (1) Identify and assess Select and recommend appropriate 484 management measures for all of the state's critically eroded 485 sandy beaches in a beach management program. 486 (m) Establish a list of beach restoration and beach 487 nourishment projects, arranged in order of priority, and the 488 funding levels needed for such projects. 489 (2) The comprehensive long-term management plan developed 490 and maintained by the department pursuant to subsection (1) must 491 include, at a minimum, a strategic beach management plan, a 492 critically eroded beaches report, and a statewide long-range 493 budget plan. The long-range budget plan must include a 3-year 494 work plan for beach restoration, beach nourishment, and inlet 495 management projects that lists planned projects for each of the 3 fiscal years addressed in the work plan. 496 497 The strategic beach management plan must identify and (a) recommend appropriate measures for all of the state's critically 498 499 eroded sandy beaches and may incorporate plans be prepared at 500 the regional level taking into account based upon areas of

# Page 20 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

501 greatest need and probable federal and local funding. Upon 502 approval in accordance with this section, such regional plans, 503 along with the 3-year work plan identified in subparagraph 504 (c)1., shall be components of the statewide beach management 505 plan and shall serve as the basis for state funding decisions 506 upon approval in accordance with chapter 86-138, Laws of 507 Florida. In accordance with a schedule established for the submission of regional plans by the department, any completed 508 plan must be submitted to the secretary of the department for 509 510 approval no later than March 1 of each year. These regional 511 plans shall include, but shall not be limited to, 512 recommendations of appropriate funding mechanisms for 513 implementing projects in the beach management plan, giving 514 consideration to the use of single-county and multicounty taxing 515 districts or other revenue generation measures by state and 516 local governments and the private sector. Before finalizing the 517 strategic beach management Prior to presenting the plan to the 518 secretary of the department, the department shall hold a public 519 meeting in the region areas for which the plan is prepared or 520 through a publicly noticed webinar. The plan submission schedule 521 shall be submitted to the secretary for approval. Any revisions 522 to such schedule must be approved in like manner.

523 (b) The critically eroded beaches report must be developed 524 and maintained based primarily on the requirements specified in 525 paragraph (1)(e).

Page 21 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

526 The statewide long-range budget plan must include at (C) 527 least 5 years of planned beach restoration, beach nourishment, 528 and inlet management project funding needs as identified, and 529 subsequently refined, by local government sponsors. This plan 530 shall consist of two components: 531 1. A 3-year work plan that identifies beach restoration, 532 beach nourishment, and inlet management projects viable for 533 implementation during the next 3 ensuing fiscal years, as determined by available cost-sharing, local sponsor support, 534 535 regulatory considerations, and the ability of the project to 536 proceed as scheduled. The 3-year work plan must, for each fiscal 537 year, identify proposed projects and their current development 538 status, listing them in priority order based on the applicable 539 criteria established in ss. 161.101(14) and 161.143(2). Specific 540 funding requests and criteria ranking, pursuant to ss. 541 161.101(14) and 161.143(2), may be modified as warranted in each 542 successive fiscal year, and such modifications must be 543 documented and submitted to the Legislature with each 3-year 544 work plan. Year one projects shall consist of those projects identified for funding consideration in the ensuing fiscal year. 545 2. A long-range plan that identifies projects for 546 547 inclusion in the fourth and fifth ensuing fiscal years. These projects may be presented by region and do not need to be 548 549 presented in priority order; however, the department should 550 identify issues that may prevent successful completion of such

Page 22 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

FLORI	DA HO	USE OF	REPRES	ENTATIVES
-------	-------	--------	--------	-----------

2017

551	projects and recommend solutions that would allow the projects
552	to progress into the 3-year work plan.
553	(3) <del>(2)</del> Annually, The secretary shall <u>annually</u> present <u>the</u>
554	<u>3-year work plan</u> to the Legislature. The work plan must be
555	accompanied by a 3-year financial forecast for the availability
556	of funding for the projects recommendations for funding beach
557	erosion control projects prioritized according to the criteria
558	established in s. 161.101(14).
559	Section 5. Except as otherwise provided in this act, this
560	act shall take effect July 1, 2017.

Page 23 of 23

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.