# The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

	Prepared I	By: The Professional Sta	ff of the Committee	e on Criminal Ju	istice
BILL:	CS/SB 918				
INTRODUCER:	Criminal Justice Committee and Senator Simmons				
SUBJECT: Driving Under the Inf		r the Influence			
DATE:	April 5, 2017	REVISED:			
ANALYST		STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Jones		Hrdlicka	CJ	Fav/CS	
2.			TR		
3.			AP		
4.			RC		

# Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

# I. Summary:

CS/SB 918 amends s. 316.193, F.S., to allow a defendant convicted for the first time of the second degree misdemeanor offense of driving under the influence to agree to the placement of an ignition interlock device.

If the defendant agrees or the court orders placement of an ignition interlock device then the court must withhold adjudication if the defendant does not have a prior withholding of adjudication or adjudication of guilt for any other offense. If the defendant fails to comply with the terms of the ignition interlock device, then the court may order, among other penalties, an adjudication of guilt for the defendant.

The bill also:

- Specifies that a judge may order, *as a condition of probation*, the ignition interlock device for at least six continuous months;
- Defines the term "conviction;
- Specifies discounts of the monthly leasing fee of the ignition interlock device in certain situations; and
- Specifies that defendants who qualify for a reduced leasing fee are not required to pay the costs of installation or removal of the device.

The bill may have a fiscal impact on companies that provide the ignition interlock devices to defendants in Florida and a negative indeterminate fiscal impact on the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles. See Section V. Fiscal Impact Statement.

The bill is effective October 1, 2017.

# II. Present Situation:

### Florida's Driving Under the Influence Laws

A person is guilty of driving under the influence if a person drives or is in actual physical control of a vehicle and the person:

- Is under the influence of alcoholic beverages, any controlled substance set forth in s. 877.111, F.S., or any substance controlled under ch. 893, F.S., to the extent that the person's normal faculties are impaired;
- Has a blood-alcohol level of 0.08 or more grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood; or
- Has a breath-alcohol level of 0.08 or more grams of alcohol per 210 liters of breath.<sup>1</sup>

The criminal penalties for driving under the influence vary depending on numerous factors such as the number of prior convictions, the length of time between convictions, and the defendant's blood alcohol level.<sup>2</sup> The penalties for a first time driving under the influence offense are punishable by:

- A period of probation not exceeding one year;
- A fine of not less than \$500 or more than \$1,000;
- Imprisonment for not more than six months;
- A mandatory 50 hours of community service; and
- A mandatory ten-day vehicle impoundment.<sup>3</sup>

Section 316.656, F.S., prohibits a court from withholding adjudication of guilt for any violation of s. 316.193, F.S., the offense of driving under the influence.

In 2016, there were 44,643 arrests for driving under the influence.<sup>4</sup>

# **Ignition Interlock Device**

An ignition interlock devise is a dashboard-mounted breathalyzer that requires a driver to blow in the breathalyzer in order to operate the motor vehicle.<sup>5</sup> Section 316.193, F.S., requires an ignition interlock device to be installed on the vehicles of persons convicted of certain driving

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section 316.193(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 316.193 F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Section 316.193(2) and (6)(a), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Florida Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles, *Annual Uniform Traffic Citation Report*, available at <u>https://services.flhsmv.gov/SpecialtyPlates/UniformTrafficCitationReport</u> (last visited March 29, 2017).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Office of Program Policy Analysis & Government Accountability, *Ignition Interlock Devices and DUI Recidivism Rates*, Report No. 14-14, (December 2014) available at <u>http://www.oppaga.state.fl.us/MonitorDocs/Reports/pdf/1414rpt.pdf</u> (last visited March 28, 2017).

under the influence offenses. For a first time driving under the influence offense, the court may order the placement of an ignition interlock device for at least six continuous months.

Section 316.1937, F.S., provides that a court must determine the defendant's ability to pay for the installation of the ignition interlock device if he or she claims inability to pay. If the court determines that the defendant is unable to pay for the installation of the device, the court can order that any portion of a fine paid for violating s. 316.193, F.S., be allocated to defray the costs of installing the ignition interlock device.<sup>6</sup>

The table below summarizes when an ignition interlock device is required in Florida.<sup>7</sup>

Driving under the influence conviction	Ignition interlock device required
1st conviction	If court orders for at least 6 continuous months
1st conviction if blood-alcohol level is $\geq 0.15$ , or minor in car	Mandatory for at least 6 continuous months
2nd conviction	Mandatory for at least 1 year
2nd conviction if blood-alcohol level is $\geq$ 0.15, or minor in car	Mandatory for at least 2 continuous years
3rd conviction	Mandatory for at least 2 years

The Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV) contracts with vendors to provide ignition interlock devices for offenders in Florida. The devices must meet or exceed the current standards of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.<sup>8</sup> The DHSMV oversees and monitors the ignition interlock devices and must adopt rules for the implementation of ignition interlock devices.<sup>9</sup>

The Florida Legislature's Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability conducted a study researching ignition interlock devices and driving under the influence recidivism rates. The research showed that ignition interlock devices, while installed, were more effective at reducing re-arrest rates for alcohol-impaired driving when compared to other sanctions, such as license suspensions.<sup>10</sup>

The study also found the six month recidivism rate for first-time driving under the influence offenders that were not required to install an ignition interlock device was 1.74 percent compared to the recidivism rate for first-time offenders required to use the ignition interlock device which was less with a rate of 0.34 percent.<sup>11</sup> However, only 49 percent of Florida's driving under the influence offenders installed an ignition interlock device, as required, after completing their period of license revocation.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 316.1937(2)(d), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Section 316.193, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Section 316.1938, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Sections 316.1938 and 316.193(11), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Supra note 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> *Id*. at 8.

 $<sup>^{12}</sup>$  *Id.* at 4-5.

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill amends s. 316.193, F.S., to specify that a judge may order, *as a condition of probation*, placement of the ignition interlock device for at least six continuous months.

The bill also allows a defendant convicted for the first time of the second degree misdemeanor offense of driving under the influence to agree to the placement of an ignition interlock device.

If the defendant agrees or the court orders placement of an ignition interlock device then the court must withhold adjudication if the defendant does not have a prior withholding of adjudication or adjudication of guilt for any other offense. If the defendant fails to comply with the terms of the ignition interlock device, then the court may order, among other penalties, an adjudication of guilt for the defendant.

The bill defines the term "conviction" to mean a determination of guilt, which is the result of a plea or a trial, regardless of whether adjudication is withheld or a plea of nolo contendere is entered.

The bill also amends s. 316.1937, F.S., to repeal the current method of addressing a defendant's inability to pay for the installation of the ignition interlock device.

The bill instead specifies the following discounts on the monthly leasing fee of the ignition interlock device if a defendant claims the inability to pay for the ignition interlock device:

- The person's family income is at or below 100 percent of the federal poverty level as documented by written order of the court, the regular monthly leasing fee charged to all customers by the interlock provider must be discounted by 50 percent.
- The person's family income is at or below 149 percent of the federal poverty level as documented by written order of the court, the regular monthly leasing fee charged to all customers by the interlock provider must be discounted by 25 percent.

Defendants who qualify for a reduced leasing fee are not required to pay the costs of installation or removal of the ignition interlock device.

The bill is effective October 1, 2017.

# IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

#### Page 5

### V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill allows a defendant to agree or the court to order the placement of an ignition interlock device for a first time driving under the influence offense. This may have a positive fiscal impact on the companies that the DHSMV contracts with to provide the ignition interlock devices to offenders in Florida.

The bill also requires the companies who provide the ignition interlock devices to discount the monthly leasing fees in certain circumstances. If a defendant qualifies for a monthly leasing fee discount, the installation and removal fees for the ignition interlock device are also waived.

### C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill allows a defendant to agree or the court to order the placement of an ignition interlock device for a first time driving under the influence offense. The DHSMV monitors and oversees ignition interlock devices and this bill may have a negative indeterminate fiscal impact on the DHSMV.

#### VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

### VII. Related Issues:

None.

#### VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 316.193 and 316.1937.

#### IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

#### CS by Criminal Justice on April 3, 2017:

The committee substitute:

• Specifies that a judge may order, *as a condition of probation*, placement of the ignition interlock device for at least six continuous months.

- Allows a defendant convicted for the first time of the second degree misdemeanor offense of driving under the influence to agree to the placement of an ignition interlock device.
- Specifies that if a defendant agrees or the court orders placement of an ignition interlock device, the court must withhold adjudication if the defendant does not have a prior withholding of adjudication or adjudication of guilt for any other offense.
- Defines the term "conviction."
- Requires the companies who provide the ignition interlock devices to discount the monthly leasing fees in certain circumstances.
- B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.