The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared	By: The Pro	fessional Sta	aff of the Approp	riations Subcommit	ttee on Health and Human Services
BILL:	SB 592				
INTRODUCER:	Senator A	lbritton			
SUBJECT:	Prescription	on Drug M	onitoring Prog	gram	
DATE:	March 5, 2	2019	REVISED:		
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
. Looke	Looke			HP	Favorable
Loe		Kidd	_	AHS	Pre-meeting
•				AP	

I. Summary:

SB 592 amends s. 893.055, F.S., to exempt prescribers and dispensers from the requirement to check the prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) database before prescribing or dispensing controlled substances to a patient:

- For the alleviation of pain related to a terminal condition; or
- Receiving palliative care for the relief of symptoms related to an incurable, progressive illness or injury.

The bill has no impact on state revenues or expenditures.

The bill is effective July 1, 2019.

II. Present Situation:

Florida's Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP)

Chapter 2009-197, Laws of Florida, established the PDMP in s. 893.055, F.S. The PDMP uses a comprehensive electronic database to monitor the prescribing and dispensing of certain controlled substances. The PDMP became operational on September 1, 2011, when it began receiving prescription data from pharmacies and dispensing practitioners. Health care practitioners began accessing the PDMP on October 17, 2011.

¹ Section 893.055(2)(a), F.S.

² Florida Dept. of Health, *2012-2013 Prescription Drug Monitoring Program Annual Report* (Dec. 1, 2013), *available at* http://www.floridahealth.gov/reports-and-data/e-forcse/news-reports/documents/2012-2013pdmp-annual-report.pdf (last visited on Jan. 7, 2018).

³ Id.

BILL: SB 592 Page 2

Section 893.055, F.S., requires a dispensing practitioner to report specified information⁴ by the close of the next business day for each controlled substance⁵ dispensed to a patient in Florida. All acts of administration, the dispensing of a controlled substance to a person under the age of 16, and the dispensing of a controlled substance in a health care system of the Department of Corrections are exempt from the requirement to report. During the 2017-2018 reporting period, there were approximately 33 million controlled substances prescribed to Florida patients. This is a decline of 4.64 percent over the previous reporting period.⁶

Prior to the enactment of HB 21⁷ in 2018, a dispensing or prescribing health care practitioner was authorized, but not required, to check the PDMP prior to dispensing or prescribing a controlled substance. HB 21 created a new requirement that all prescribing⁸ and dispensing⁹ practitioners, or a designee of the prescriber or dispenser, must consult the PDMP to review a patient's controlled substance dispensing history before prescribing or dispensing a controlled substance to the patient. This requirement does not apply when prescribing or dispensing to a patient under the age of 16, when prescribing or dispensing a non-opioid Schedule V controlled substance, or when the PDMP is not operational or cannot be accessed due to a technological or electrical failure. Between 2017 and 2018, the number of PDMP queries by health care practitioners increased by 26.6 percent – from approximately 35.8 million to approximately 45 million.¹⁰

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 893.055, F.S., to exempt prescribers and dispensers from the requirement to check the prescription drug monitoring program (PDMP) database before prescribing or dispensing a controlled substance to a patient:

- For the alleviation of pain related to a terminal condition; or
- Receiving palliative care for the relief of symptoms related to an incurable, progressive illness or injury.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2019.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

⁴ For the information required to be reported, see s. 893.055(3)(a)1.-8., F.S.

⁵ Section 893.055, F.S., defines "controlled substance" as "a controlled substance listed in Schedule II, Schedule III, Schedule IV, or Schedule V of s. 893.03 or 21 U.S.C. s. 812." Prior to the passage of HB 21 in 2018, controlled substances listed in Schedule V were exempt from reporting. *See* ch. 2018-13, Laws of Fla.

⁶ Florida Dept. of Health, 2017-2018 Prescription Drug Monitoring Program Annual Report (Dec. 1, 2018), available at http://www.floridahealth.gov/statistics-and-data/e-forcse/health_care_practitioners/_documents/2018-pdmp-annual-report.pdf (last visited on Feb. 13, 2018).

⁷ Chapter 2018-13, Laws of Fla.

⁸ "Prescriber" means a prescribing physician, prescribing practitioner, or other prescribing health care practitioner authorized by the laws of this state to order controlled substances. (*see* s. 893.055(j), F.S.)

⁹ "Dispenser" means a dispensing health care practitioner, pharmacy, or pharmacist licensed to dispense controlled substances in or into this state. (*see* s. 893.055(e), F.S.)

¹⁰ Supra note 7

BILL: SB 592 Page 3

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill may have a positive fiscal impact, accompanied by a reduction in workload, for health care providers who treat terminally ill patients and who are providing palliative care since these practitioners will no longer be required to check the PDMP for their patients.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill has no impact on state revenues or expenditures.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 893.055 of the Florida Statutes.

BILL: SB 592 Page 4

IX. **Additional Information:**

Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.) A.

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.