## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1387 Sale of Surplus State-owned Lands

SPONSOR(S): Grant, J.

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1714

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR or BUDGET/POLICY CHIEF
Government Operations & Technology     Appropriations Subcommittee	12 Y, 0 N	Keith	Торр
2) State Affairs Committee	20 Y, 0 N	Etheridge	Williamson
3) Appropriations Committee			

#### **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

The Board of Trustees (board) of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund is tasked with determining which state-owned lands, the title to which is vested in the board, may be surplused. Before a building or parcel of land is offered for lease or sale to a local or federal unit of government or a private party, it must first be offered for lease to state agencies, state universities, and Florida College System institutions, with priority consideration given to state universities and Florida College System institutions. Funds received from the sale of surplus nonconservation lands or lands that were acquired by gift, donation, or for no consideration must be deposited into the Internal Improvement Trust Fund.

The Architects Incidental Trust Fund was created for the purpose of providing sufficient funds for the operation of the facilities development activities of the Department of Management Services (department). The department may levy and assess an amount necessary to cover the cost of administration by the department of fixed capital outlay projects on which it serves as owner representative on behalf of the state. The fund is used to preserve the integrity of funds collected from fixed capital outlay projects and to document the expenditure and utilization of such funds. The primary sources of revenue for the fund comes from construction fees from other state agencies, assessments on state fixed capital outlay projects, direct supplemental contracts, and interest earnings.

The bill removes the requirement that a building or parcel of land must be offered to state universities or Florida College System institutions prior to being offered for lease or sale. The bill also provides requirements for determining the value of surplus lands to be based on the highest and best use of the property considering all applicable developmental rights to ensure the highest value to the state.

The bill clarifies that only funds received from the sale of surplus state-owned office buildings and the nonconservation lands associated with such buildings must be deposited into the Architects Incidental Trust Fund and that the funds may only be used for specific operational and facilities development activities of the department.

The bill may have a neutral, yet indeterminate fiscal impact to state government revenues and no fiscal impact to local government. See Fiscal Comments.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h1387c.SAC

## **FULL ANALYSIS**

## I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

## **Background**

# **Architects Incidental Trust Fund**

The Architects Incidental Trust Fund was created for the purpose of providing sufficient funds for the operation of the facilities development activities of the Department of Management Services (department). The department may levy and assess an amount necessary to cover the cost of administration by the department of fixed capital outlay projects on which it serves as owner representative on behalf of the state. The assessment rate is provided in the General Appropriations Act based on estimated operating cost projections for the services to be rendered. The total assessment of funds collected from various fixed capital outlay projects is transferred into the Architects Incidental Trust Fund at the beginning of each fiscal year.<sup>2</sup>

The trust fund is used to preserve the integrity of funds collected from fixed capital outlay projects and to document the expenditures and utilization of such funds. The primary sources of revenue for the fund comes from construction fees from other state agencies, assessments on state fixed capital outlay projects, direct supplemental contracts, and interest earnings.

# Surplus of State-Owned Lands

The Board of Trustees (board) of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund<sup>3</sup> is tasked with determining which state-owned lands, the title to which is vested in the board, may be surplused.<sup>4</sup> Before a building or parcel of land is offered for lease or sale to a local or federal unit of government or a private party, it must first be offered for lease to state agencies, state universities, and Florida College System institutions, with priority consideration given to state universities and Florida College System institutions.<sup>5</sup>

Within 60 days after an offer for lease of a surplus building or parcel, a state university or Florida College System institution requesting the lease must submit a plan for review and approval by the Board regarding the intended use, including any future use, of the building or parcel of land before approval of any lease.<sup>6</sup> State agencies that request the lease of such facilities or parcels must also submit a plan within 60 days for review and approval by the Board.<sup>7</sup> The state agency plan must include the intended use, including at a minimum, the proposed use of the facility or parcel, the estimated cost of renovation, a capital improvement plan for the building, evidence that the building or parcel meets an existing need that cannot otherwise be met, and other criteria required by board rules.<sup>8</sup>

In current practice, the lease can be executed for up to 50 years. Pursuant to Rule 18-2.020(8), F.A.C., an annual administrative fee of \$300 to occupy state-owned nonconservation land is assessed in July of each year.<sup>9</sup> There are no other fees, such as lease fees, assessed to a state university or Florida College System institution if a state-owned building and associated non-conservation land is determined to be suited for sale, and a state agency, state university, or Florida College System institution claims the first right of lease.<sup>10</sup>

STORAGE NAME: h1387c.SAC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> S. 215.196(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S. 215.196(2), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> S. 253.001, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> S. 253.0341(1), F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> S. 253.0341(7), F.S.

<sup>6</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> R. 18-2.020(8), F.A.C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Department of Management Services, Agency Analysis of 2020 House Bill 1387, p. 2 (Jan. 29, 2020).

Funds received from the sale of surplus nonconservation lands or lands that were acquired by gift, donation, or for no consideration are deposited into the Internal Improvement Trust Fund.<sup>11</sup>

## **Effect of the Bill**

The bill amends s. 215.196, F.S., requiring that funds received from the sale of surplus state-owned office buildings, as defined in s. 255.248, F.S., and the nonconservation lands associated with such buildings, must be deposited into the Architects Incidental Trust Fund. The bill also requires that the trust fund deposits are for providing sufficient funds for the operation of the facilities development activities of the department and to acquire, lease, plan, entitle, design, permit, construct, or maintain state-owned office buildings as defined in s. 255.248(9), F.S., and nonconservation lands associated with such buildings.

The bill amends s. 253.0341, F.S., removing a requirement that a state-owned building or parcel of land be offered to state universities or Florida College System institutions prior to being offered for lease or sale. The bill also provides requirements for determining the value of surplus lands to be based on the highest and best use of the property considering all applicable developmental rights to ensure the highest value to the state as provided in s. 253.03(7)(a), F.S. The bill defines the term "highest and best use" as the reasonable, probable, and legal use of vacant land or an improved property that is physically possible, appropriately supported, financially feasible, and results in the highest value.

The bill clarifies that only funds received from the sale of surplus state-owned office buildings, and the nonconservation lands associated with such buildings must be deposited into the Architects Incidental Trust Fund. The funds that would be received from the sale of surplus nonconservation lands or lands that were acquired by gift, donation, or for no consideration will continue to be deposited into the Internal Improvement Trust Fund as required by current law.<sup>12</sup>

# **B. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

Section 1. Amends s. 215.196, F.S., relating to the deposit of funds into the Architects Incidental Trust Fund within the department.

Section 2. Amends s. 253.0341, F.S., relating to sale of surplus state-owned buildings and lands.

Section 3. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2020.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

## A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

See Fiscal Comments.

2. Expenditures:

None.

# B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

<sup>11</sup> S. 253.0341(14), F.S.

<sup>12</sup> *Id*.

STORAGE NAME: h1387c.SAC

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

## D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The bill may have a neutral, yet indeterminate fiscal impact to state government revenues. There could be an increase in state revenues if a state-owned office building and the associated nonconservation land is sold, and the funds are deposited into the Architects Incidental Trust Fund. Conversely, there could be a negative impact to the Internal Improvement Trust Fund related to funds that would have otherwise been received prior to provisions in the bill redirecting them to the Architects Incidental Trust Fund. Any proceeds from the sale of state-owned buildings and nonconservation lands would remain in the Architects Incidental Trust Fund until an appropriation is provided by the Legislature.

#### III. COMMENTS

## A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

- Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:
   Not applicable. This bill does not appear to affect county or municipal governments.
- 2. Other:

None.

## B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not grant rulemaking authority, nor does it require a grant of rulemaking authority.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

# IV. AMENDMENTS/ COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

None.

STORAGE NAME: h1387c.SAC

PAGE: 4