CS for SB 738

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ the Committee on Environment and Natural Resources; and Senator Burgess

i	592-02015-24 2024738c1
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to environmental management; amending
3	s. 120.595, F.S.; providing that the prevailing party
4	in certain actions against the Department of
5	Environmental Protection or a water management
6	district is entitled to reasonable costs and attorney
7	fees; amending s. 373.4131, F.S.; requiring that
8	nonindustrial stormwater management systems be
9	designed with side slopes that meet certain minimum
10	design requirements; providing an exception;
11	superseding certain side slope rules; amending s.
12	376.313, F.S.; revising construction relating to
13	causes of action for damages to real or personal
14	property directly resulting from certain discharges or
15	other conditions of pollution; providing legislative
16	intent; requiring the department and water management
17	districts to conduct holistic reviews of their
18	respective agency's coastal permitting processes and
19	permit programs; providing the scope and purpose of
20	the reviews; requiring the department and water
21	management districts to submit reports of their
22	findings and proposed solutions to the Governor and
23	the Legislature by a specified date; providing an
24	effective date.
25	
26	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
27	
28	Section 1. Present paragraph (e) of subsection (1) of
29	section 120.595, Florida Statutes, is redesignated as paragraph

Page 1 of 7

30 (f), a new paragraph (e) is added to that subsection, and 31 subsections (2) and (3) and paragraph (d) of subsection (4) of 32 that section are amended, to read: 120.595 Attorney's fees.-33 34 (1) CHALLENGES TO AGENCY ACTION PURSUANT TO SECTION 35 120.57(1).-36 (e) Notwithstanding paragraph (b), the prevailing party in 37 a challenge filed against a Department of Environmental 38 Protection or water management district authorization issued 39 pursuant to chapter 403 or chapter 373, respectively, is 40 entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney fees in 41 challenging or defending such authorization. 42 (2) CHALLENGES TO PROPOSED AGENCY RULES PURSUANT TO SECTION 43 120.56(2).-If the appellate court or administrative law judge 44 declares a proposed rule or portion of a proposed rule invalid pursuant to s. 120.56(2), a judgment or order shall be rendered 45 46 against the agency for reasonable costs and reasonable 47 attorney's fees, unless the agency demonstrates that its actions were substantially justified or special circumstances exist 48 49 which would make the award unjust. An agency's actions are "substantially justified" if there was a reasonable basis in law 50 51 and fact at the time the actions were taken by the agency. If 52 the agency prevails in the proceedings, the appellate court or 53 administrative law judge shall award reasonable costs and

592-02015-24

54

55

56 57

58

2024738c1

Page 2 of 7

reasonable attorney's fees against a party if the appellate court or administrative law judge determines that a party

participated in the proceedings for an improper purpose as

defined by paragraph (1)(f). An paragraph (1)(c). No award of

attorney's fees as provided by this subsection may not shall

592-02015-24

CS for SB 738

2024738c1

59 exceed \$50,000. 60 (3) CHALLENGES TO EXISTING AGENCY RULES PURSUANT TO 61 SECTION 120.56(3) AND (5).-If the appellate court or 62 administrative law judge declares a rule or portion of a rule 63 invalid pursuant to s. 120.56(3) or (5), a judgment or order 64 shall be rendered against the agency for reasonable costs and 65 reasonable attorney's fees, unless the agency demonstrates that its actions were substantially justified or special 66 circumstances exist which would make the award unjust. An 67 68 agency's actions are "substantially justified" if there was a 69 reasonable basis in law and fact at the time the actions were 70 taken by the agency. If the agency prevails in the proceedings, 71 the appellate court or administrative law judge shall award 72 reasonable costs and reasonable attorney's fees against a party 73 if the appellate court or administrative law judge determines that a party participated in the proceedings for an improper 74 75 purpose as defined by paragraph (1)(f). An paragraph (1)(e). No 76 award of attorney's fees as provided by this subsection may not 77 shall exceed \$50,000. 78 (4) CHALLENGES TO AGENCY ACTION PURSUANT TO SECTION

78 (4) CHALLENGES TO AGENCY ACTION PORSOANT TO SECTIO
79 120.56(4).-

80 (d) If the agency prevails in the proceedings, the 81 appellate court or administrative law judge shall award 82 reasonable costs and attorney's fees against a party if the appellate court or administrative law judge determines that the 83 party participated in the proceedings for an improper purpose as 84 85 defined in paragraph (1)(f) paragraph (1)(e) or that the party 86 or the party's attorney knew or should have known that a claim 87 was not supported by the material facts necessary to establish

Page 3 of 7

CS for SB 738

	592-02015-24 2024738c1
88	the claim or would not be supported by the application of then-
89	existing law to those material facts.
90	Section 2. Subsection (7) is added to section 373.4131,
91	Florida Statutes, to read:
92	373.4131 Statewide environmental resource permitting
93	rules
94	(7) A nonindustrial stormwater management system, in or
95	adjacent to residential or urban areas, side slope must be
96	designed, except as provided in paragraph (a), with a
97	horizontal-to-vertical ratio no steeper than 4:1 to a depth of
98	at least 2 feet below the control elevation and must be
99	stabilized with vegetation to prevent erosion and provide for
100	pollutant removal.
101	(a) A nonindustrial stormwater management system side slope
102	in or adjacent to residential or urban areas may be designed
103	with a steeper than 4:1 horizontal-to-vertical ratio if the
104	slope incorporates adequate temporary and permanent erosion and
105	sediment control best management practices. For purposes of
106	public safety, a system designed or authorized to be steeper
107	than 4:1 must be fenced sufficiently to prevent accidental
108	incursion into the system.
109	(b) All side slope rules adopted by the department, water
110	management districts, or delegated local programs under this
111	part as of July 1, 2024, are superseded by this subsection and
112	may be repealed without further rulemaking pursuant to s. 120.54
113	by publication of a notice of repeal in the Florida
114	Administrative Register and subsequent filing of a list of the
115	rules repealed with the Department of State.
116	Section 3. Subsection (3) of section 376.313, Florida
I	

Page 4 of 7

145

592-02015-24 2024738c1 117 Statutes, is amended to read: 376.313 Nonexclusiveness of remedies and individual cause 118 119 of action for damages under ss. 376.30-376.317.-120 (3) Except as provided in s. 376.3078(3) and (11), nothing 121 contained in ss. 376.30-376.317 do not prohibit a prohibits any person from bringing a cause of action in a court of competent 122 123 jurisdiction for all damages to real or personal property 124 directly resulting from a discharge or other condition of 125 pollution covered by ss. 376.30-376.317 and which was not 126 authorized by any government approval or permit issued pursuant 127 to chapter 373, chapter 376, or chapter 403. Nothing in This chapter does not shall prohibit or diminish a party's right to 128 129 contribution from other parties jointly or severally liable for 130 a prohibited discharge of pollutants or hazardous substances or other pollution conditions. Except as otherwise provided in 131 132 subsection (4) or subsection (5), in any such suit, it is not 133 necessary for such person to plead or prove negligence in any 134 form or manner. Such person need only plead and prove the fact 135 of the prohibited discharge or other pollutive condition and 136 that it has occurred. The only strict-liability exceptions 137 defenses to such cause of action are shall be those specified in 138 s. 376.308 or s. 376.82. Section 4. Holistic review of coastal permitting processes 139 140 and other programs.-141 (1) The Legislature intends to do all of the following: 142 (a) Build a more resilient and responsive government 143 infrastructure to allow for quick recovery after natural 144 disasters, including hurricanes and tropical storms.

(b) Promote efficiency in state government across all

Page 5 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS for SB 738

592-02015-24 2024738c1 146 branches, agencies, and other governmental entities and identify 147 any area of improvement within each entity which allows for a 148 quick and effective delivery of services. 149 (c) Seek out ways to improve the state's administrative 150 procedures in relevant fields to build a streamlined permitting 151 process that withstands disruptions caused by natural disasters, 152 including hurricanes and tropical storms. 153 (2) The Department of Environmental Protection and each 154 water management district shall conduct a holistic review of 155 their respective agency's current coastal permitting processes 156 and other permit programs. The review must, at a minimum, 157 include coastal construction control line permits; joint coastal 158 permits; environmental resource permits; state-administered 159 section 404 permits consistent with the terms of the United States Environmental Protection Agency's approval; and 160 161 permitting processes related to water supply infrastructure, 162 wastewater infrastructure, and onsite sewage treatment and 163 disposal systems. 164 (3) The purpose of the reviews required under subsection 165 (2) is to identify areas of improvement and to increase 166 efficiency within each process and program. Factors that must be 167 considered in the review include all of the following: (a) The requirements to obtain a permit. 168 (b) Time periods for review, including those of commenting 169 170 agencies, and approval of a permit application. 171 (c) Areas for improved efficiency and decision-point 172 consolidation within a single project's purpose. 173 (d) Areas of duplication across one or more permit 174 programs.

Page 6 of 7

	592-02015-24 2024738c1
175	(e) The methods of requesting a permit.
176	(f) Potential modifications to memoranda of agreements
177	between the state and the Federal Government governing delegated
178	or approved federal permitting programs, which modifications
179	would improve the efficiency and predictability of the program's
180	administration, including allowing consistent administration of
181	a permit by a state or federal entity over the lifetime of a
182	permitted project.
183	(g) Any other factors that may increase the efficiency of a
184	permitting process and may allow for improved storm recovery.
185	(4) By December 31, 2024, the department and each water
186	management district shall provide their findings and proposed
187	solutions in a report to the Governor, the President of the
188	Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives.
189	Section 5. This act shall take effect July 1, 2024.