



Redistricting Committee

Meeting Packet

Monday, September 19, 2011
12:00 PM
404 HOB

Table of Contents

- Tab 1 – Public Input Regarding Northwest Florida
- Tab 2 – Public Input Regarding Northeast and North Central Florida
- Tab 3 – Public Input Regarding Central Florida
- Tab 4 – Public Input Regarding Southeast Florida
- Tab 5 – Plan District Summary Statistics (through Plan 0063)

* Note: Public Input Regarding Southwest Florida and Public Submission of Statewide Maps will be discussed at the next meeting

NW-1: Placing Lafayette County Within One District

Description: Lafayette County should be kept in one district.¹

Visual:



Policy Issues:


- This would be 115,127 short of a complete House district, 428,482 short of a Senate district and 654,794 short of a Congressional district.

¹ Carolyn Land, a resident of Lafayette County

NW-2: Sync Boundary Lines by Nesting Districts

Description: When possible, sync up the boundary lines for all three maps² and nest three house districts within one Senate district³. Nesting is the concept where multiple districts from State House of Representatives are combined to form one district of State Senate or conversely, one district of the State Senate is divided equally to form multiple State House of Representatives districts.

Visual: Using the illustrations below, a Senate district will be divided into three equal portions so that three House districts are “nested” within the Senate district.



The square dots within it represent an entire State Senate district and its population. Using the concept of nesting, each House district will have an equal amount of population and each House district's boundaries will be nested within the Senate district.

² Chris Moore of the Leon County Supervisor of Elections

³ Paul Harvill, a resident of Tallahassee

NW-3: Connect the City of Havana and northeast Gadsden County to the State House District that represents northern Leon County

Description: Link northeast Gadsden County with north Leon County.⁴ A map was provided as a part of this testimony that defined northeast Gadsden County.

Visual: Recreation of the map submitted by Gadsden County Commissioner Croley.



Based on the map above, linking northeast Gadsden County and north Leon County would look something like this:



Policy Issues:

- “North Leon County” was not completely defined. In this example, Interstate 10 was used mainly as the dividing line between north and south.
- This would be 69,051 to complete a House district.
- This proposal could impact an existing majority-minority Black House district.

⁴ Doug Croley, Gadsden County Commissioner, District 2

NW-4: Remove Jefferson County from the current Congressional District 4

Description: Remove Jefferson County from the current Congressional District 4.⁵ As the current Congressional district extends from Duval County to the Tallahassee area, it was stated that those who live in the western portion of the district feel under represented, as the base of the district is within the Jacksonville area.

Visual: Currently, Congressional District 4 looks like this (in yellow, and the red arrow points to Jefferson County):



To remove the Jefferson County portion of District 4 and keep the district boundary lines contiguous, you would also have to remove the Leon County portion of the district as well. Doing so would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- Even with removing Jefferson and Leon Counties from District 4 it is still 24,245 over the ideal population for a Congressional district.
- With the addition of Leon and Jefferson Counties into District 2, that district's population grows to 65,002 over the ideal population.

⁵ Betsy Barfield, Jefferson County Commission

NW-5: Keep the City of Monticello Whole

Description: Don't split the City of Monticello into multiple districts.⁶ The Monticello area is currently split in two in each of the State House, Senate and Congressional maps.

Visual: Having all of the Monticello's city boundaries within one district would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- Monticello's city boundaries create holes that would need to be filled for a legislative district.
- The population of the City of Monticello is 2,506 and would need to be linked with other communities in the area to complete a House, Senate or Congressional district.

⁶ Matthew Isbell

NW-6: Link Eastern Leon County with Congressional and Senate Districts that are Centered more within the Region

Description: My representatives in Congress and the State Senate are from outside the area. Please fix Congressional District 4 and Senate District 3 so that my representatives live closer to me.⁷
Congressional District 4 was already addressed in Tallahassee Issue 1-4.

Visual:


Currently, State Senate District 3 looks like this (light blue, with red arrow pointing to Leon County):



You will also note that district 3 continues on to the southeast and ends in Citrus County (not completely shown on the graphic above).

A way to achieve the goal that was discussed in the testimony, Leon County could be removed from District 3 and assigned to District 6 (in green), the other Senate district in Leon County. Doing that would look like this (red arrow pointing to Leon County):

⁷ Teri Cariota, resident of Tallahassee



Policy Issues:

- District 3 is now under the ideal population for a Senate district by 18,761.
- District 6 is now over the ideal population for a Senate district by 25,242.

NW-7: Link Wakulla and Leon Counties

Description: Wakulla County is essentially an extension of Leon County and the two should be linked.⁸ The two counties are somewhat linked in House District 7, mostly linked in Senate District 6 and completely linked in Congressional District 2.

Visual: Keeping both counties whole and linking them together within one district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- This district is 149,585 over population for a State House district. Reductions would have to be made to either the Leon or Wakulla portions (or both) of the district in order to reduce the population.
- This district is 163,770 under for a State Senate district and 390,082 for a Congressional district.

⁸ Diane Blackford Wilson

NW-8: Keep Escambia County Whole Within a Senate District

Description: Put Escambia County in one Senate seat.⁹

Visual: Escambia County whole within a Senate district would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 297,619, Escambia County is too small to meet the ideal population for a Senate district. 172,413 more people from other communities would need to be added to it.

⁹ Lynn Laird of Pensacola

NW-9: Horizontal Districts vs. Vertical Districts

Description: Some residents of the area expressed that legislative districts should be built horizontally which would unite the communities of the southern end of the panhandle counties and unite the communities of the northern end of the counties; while others suggested that they should be drawn vertically in an attempt to follow county boundary lines.

Visual:

Horizontal District vs. Vertical Districts	
Reasons Presented in Favor of Horizontal Districts	Reasons Presented in Favor of Vertical Districts
Keep the horizontal districts. The rural areas should have a voice. ¹⁰	I am concerned about horizontal districts for many reasons. Please draw them vertically. ¹¹
If you don't do horizontal districts, you'll leave the rural areas out and they won't have a voice. It's important for us to have a voice, too. ¹²	Keep the counties whole by drawing vertical district lines. ¹³
Vertical district lines don't work in the panhandle. It would create a huge district east of Santa Rosa County. ¹⁴	Vertical districts would encourage the integration of our counties to maximize our potential and build our economy. ¹⁵
Vertical lines may lead to less representation for some of the smaller counties. ¹⁶	Drawing districts where all counties are together would encourage public participation. ¹⁷

Policy Issues:

- If horizontal districts are drawn, districts will have to cross county lines in order to meet the ideal population, and each county in the region would have at least two representatives.
- If vertical districts were drawn along county lines and that county's population did not line up with the ideal population for a district, then the county would either need to be split into at least two districts (if the county's population is too large) or the district would need to be within a part of a second county (if the county's population is too small).
- If vertical districts were drawn along county lines, communities that share similar industries and economic issues such as agricultural lands or beach communities could possibly be split.
- Is there a way to build a compromise map of a hybrid of horizontal districts when appropriate and vertical districts when appropriate?

¹⁰ Mike Godwin of Walnut Hill

¹¹ Diane Mack of Pensacola

¹² Jerry Davis of Perdido Key

¹³ David Nelson of Pensacola

¹⁴ Jeremy Evans of Cantonment

¹⁵ David Nelson of Pensacola


¹⁶ Don Richards of Gulf Breeze

¹⁷ Gail Honea of Pensacola

NW-10: Combine Escambia and Santa Rosa Counties into a Senate district.

Description: Put Escambia and Santa Rosa into a Senate district.¹⁸ Together, Escambia and Santa Rosa counties should have three Representatives (two in Escambia and one in Santa Rosa) and one the majority of one Senate district. We have our own identity and a synergy between the counties.¹⁹

Visual: Combining Escambia and Santa Rosa Counties into a Senate district would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 448,991, the district above is too small for the ideal population for a Senate district. 21,041 more people from another community or communities would be needed for it to be complete.


¹⁸ Janet Delorge of Cantonment

¹⁹ Jeff Bergosh of Pensacola

NW-11: Put the Wedgewood and Lincoln Park Communities into House District 3

Description: 10 years ago, the Wedgewood and Lincoln Park communities were removed from House District 3 and Gulf Breeze was added. Those are two heavily Black communities and they should be a part of that district.²⁰

Visual: Currently, House District 3 looks like this (in blue):



Policy Issues:

- During the testimony that was given, the parameters of the Wedgewood and Lincoln Park communities were not clear. More clarification may be needed.

²⁰ Johnny Blackmol of Pensacola

NW-12: Horizontal Districts vs. Vertical Districts

Description: Similarly to the public hearing in Pensacola, some residents of the area expressed that legislative districts should be built horizontally which would unite the communities of the southern end of the panhandle counties and unite the communities of the northern end of the counties; while others suggested that they should be drawn vertically in an attempt to follow county boundary lines.

Visual:

Horizontal District vs. Vertical Districts	
Reasons Presented in Favor of Horizontal Districts	Reasons Presented in Favor of Vertical Districts
Beaches are the beaches; north end is the north end. ²¹	Our Representatives should be fighting for whole counties, not the beaches. ²²
Keep the beaches and farmland separate. The beaches and the north part of the county have different needs. Keep Communities of interest together. ²³	It's easier to draw maps to boundaries that are locatable and they should be followed. ⁷
Keep the beaches together. We have common issues and problems. ²⁴	Keep it simple; follow county lines as much as possible. ²⁵
Keep the beaches and the north county separate, but keep things less spread out. ²⁶	
The Representatives from the north live in the north and they understand their issues and the Reps in the south live in the south and understand that area. Please keep it that way. ²⁷	

Policy Issues:

- If horizontal districts are drawn, districts will have to cross county lines in order to meet the ideal population, and each county in the region would have at least two representatives.
- If vertical districts were drawn along county lines and that county's population did not line up with the ideal population for a district, then the county would either need to be split into at least two districts (if the county's population is too large) or the district would need to be within a part of a second county (if the county's population is too small).
- If vertical districts were drawn along county lines, communities that share similar industries and economic issues such as agricultural lands or beach communities could possibly be split.

²¹ Al Kauses of Mary Esther

²² Ed Winkelseth of Shalimar

²³ Suzanne Harris of Miramar Beach

²⁴ Mary Neilsan of Santa Rosa Beach

²⁵ Elbert Perez of Latie Lorraine

²⁶ Jeanne Ashley of Destin

²⁷ Ben White of Crestview

NW-13: Keep the City of Destin whole within legislative and Congressional districts.

Description: Keep the City of Destin whole, don't break us up.²⁸ Currently, the city of Destin is divided between House Districts 4 and 7 and between Congressional Districts 1 and 2.

Visual: Keeping the City of Destin whole in a legislative district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- The city boundaries of Destin would create holes within a legislative district that would need to be filled.
- With the population of Destin being 12,305, many more communities would need to be added to a House, Senate or Congressional district in order for the district to meet its ideal population.

²⁸ Sam Seevers, Mayor of Destin

NW-14: Place All of Okaloosa County in Congressional District 1 and Place South Walton County in Congressional District 2.

Description: Congressional District 1 should have as much of Okaloosa as possible and keep South Walton in Congressional District 2.²⁹

Visual: Placing all of Okaloosa County in Congressional District 1 would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- A Congressional district with all of Okaloosa County in it would be 515,523 people short of the ideal population.
- In this example, both Escambia and Santa Rosa counties are unassigned to District 1, but would most likely have to be if you kept Okaloosa County whole within District 1.

Visual: Placing South Walton County into District 2 would look like this (in brown):

²⁹ Brenda Rees of Santa Rosa Beach




Policy Issues:

- “South Walton County” was not defined in the testimony, so for the purposes of this exercise, Interstate 10 was used as the dividing line between north and south.
- The Congressional district 2 shown above would need 663,993 more people in order to be completed.

NW-15: Keep South Walton County in one Legislative District

Description: “Keep South Walton together. It is an important community to keep together.”³⁰ By dividing Walton County along Interstate 10 to create a northern and southern half of the county, “South Walton County” is divided between House Districts 5 and 7, Senate Districts 2 and 4 and Congressional Districts 1 and 2.

Visual: Having south Walton County within one district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- “South Walton County” was not completely defined. For the purposes of this example, Interstate 10 was used as the dividing line between north and south.
- The district drawn above is 124,326 people short of being a complete House district, 437,681 short of being a complete Senate district and 663,993 short of being a complete Congressional district. More communities would need to be link to south Walton County to complete a district.

³⁰ Brenda Rees of Santa Rosa Beach

NW-16: Have Bay County Whole in Legislative Districts vs. Keeping Multiple Representatives in Bay County

Description: “It’s confusing to have Bay County split.”³¹ “Keep Bay County all together”³². “In order to advocate for my issues, I like having multiple representatives for Bay County so that we have more voices.”³³

Visual: Placing all of Bay County into one legislative district would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- The population for the county is 12,174 over the ideal population for state House districts. However, Bay County could be kept whole in the Senate and Congressional maps. 301,181 people would need to be added to a Senate district in order for it to be complete and 527,493 people would need to be added to a Congressional district in order for it to be complete.

³¹ Candis Harbison of Panama City

³² Beth Oltman of the Panama City Beach Chamber of Commerce

³³ Jennifer Jones of the Bay Arts Alliance

NW-17: Sync Up Legislative District Lines With Judicial Circuit Boundary Lines

Description: “It’s important to remember judicial circuits. They are already functioning governmental districts and they bind us together already.”³⁴

Visual: Bay County, where this public hearing was held, is in Florida’s 14th Judicial Circuit, which is made up of Bay, Calhoun, Gulf, Holmes, Jackson and Washington Counties. Placing the 14th judicial circuit into one legislative district would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- In this example, the population of the 14th Judicial Circuit is 293,909, which is 137,231 over the ideal population for a State House district and 176,124 and 402,436 under the ideal population for a Senate and Congressional district, respectively.
- Unlike legislative districts, Judicial Circuits are not based on population. For example, Florida’s 11th Judicial Circuit encompasses all of Miami-Dade County, which has a population of 2,496,435, which is nearly 10 times the population of the 14th Judicial Circuit.

³⁴ Jerry Gerde of Panama City

NW-18: Horizontal Districts vs. Vertical Districts

Description: Similarly to the public hearing in Pensacola and Fort Walton Beach, some residents of the area expressed that legislative districts should be built horizontally which would unite the communities of the southern end of the panhandle counties and unite the communities of the northern end of the counties; while others suggested that they should be drawn vertically in an attempt to follow county boundary lines. Try to make the districts as equal as you can with different groups of people. Not just all beaches or all farmers.³⁵ Keep counties whole.³⁶ It's important to have representation for the south areas and the north areas.³⁷ I would suggest that you consider community borders, not just county or city boundaries.³⁸

Visual:

Horizontal District vs. Vertical Districts	
Reasons Presented in Favor of Horizontal Districts	Reasons Presented in Favor of Vertical Districts
It's important to have representation for the south areas and the north areas. ⁸	Try to make the districts as equal as you can with different groups of people. Not just all beaches or all farmers. ⁶
I would suggest that you consider community borders, not just county or city boundaries. ⁹	Keep counties whole. ⁷
It works well that all of the rural counties are together, please keep it that way so we can group together. ³⁹	It's better to have diverse districts that represent both the rural areas and the beaches. ⁴⁰
Rural areas are a community of interest that is served better when concentrated. ⁴¹	

Policy Issues:

- If horizontal districts are drawn, districts will have to cross county lines in order to meet the ideal population, and each county in the region would have at least two representatives.
- If vertical districts were drawn along county lines and that county's population did not line up with the ideal population for a district, then the county would either need to be split into at least two districts (if the county's population is too large) or the district would need to be within a part of a second county (if the county's population is too small).
- If vertical districts were drawn along county lines, communities that share similar industries and economic issues such as agricultural lands or beach communities could possibly be split.
- Is there a way to build a compromise map of a hybrid of horizontal districts when appropriate and vertical districts when appropriate?

³⁵ Jean Miller of DeFuniak Springs

³⁶ Carolyn Herrera of Panama City

³⁷ Jim Anders of Panama City

³⁸ Richard Blonlis of Panama City

³⁹ Kristy Terry of Blountstown


⁴⁰ John Salak of Panama City

⁴¹ Art Kimbrough of Jackson County Chamber of Commerce

NW -19: Horizontal Districts vs. Vertical Districts⁴²

Description: “This committee should view Northwest Florida as two segments with the southern, coastal portions of our Panhandle counties as one segment and the northern, agricultural portions of our counties as a wholly separate and distinct segment.”

Visual: Florida Panhandle



Policy Issues:


- The author suggested Highway 98 as a guideline.
- The author noted specific cities, like Pensacola, Gulf Breeze, Navarre, Niceville, Fort Walton Beach, Destin and Panama City, in defining coastal. Furthermore, the author noted cities, like Jay, Munson and Milton, in defining Northern.
- How does Eglin Air Force Base factor into northern or coastal districts?
- If you separate coastal from northern, the northern districts will have to traverse through more east-west geography to necessary population numbers for House, Senate and Congressional districts.

⁴² Hering, Ann – Submitted July 22, 2011 via Email

NW-20: First Congressional District in Florida Panhandle⁴³

Description: Use of whole counties, Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton and Holmes, for Congressional District 1.

Visual: Proposed Congressional District 1 in the Florida Panhandle.



Policy Issues:


- 8,438 more people than the ideal population of 696,345 for a Congressional district.

⁴³ Winkelseth, Ed – Submitted June 21, 2011 via Fort Walton Beach Public Hearing

NW-21: Wakulla as an Extension of Leon⁴⁴

Description: "Just wanted to restate Wakulla County is an extension of Leon for all practical purposes and should have the same representatives as Leon County."

Visual: Wakulla County filled in light green and Leon County is outlined with a pink border.



Policy Issues:


- Wakulla County has 30,776 residents. Therefore, Wakulla would need to be linked with 125,901 Leon County residents to complete a House district; or Leon County as a whole and additional communities to complete a Senate or a Congressional district.
- For a House district, the portions of Leon County, with which to align Wakulla County, are not identified.

⁴⁴ Wilson, Diane Blackford – Submitted June 20, 2011 via Facebook

NW-22: Two House Districts for Jackson County⁴⁵

Description: “Jackson County currently benefits from having 2 representatives with a strong understanding of rural needs. Going back to just one representative for one county would further weaken the political influence of rural areas like Jackson County and shift more power to larger markets...We need to maintain coverage by two representatives with mutual focus on rural needs.

Visual: Current House Districts 5 (purple) and 7 (pink) in Jackson County (with an outline).



Policy Issues:

- House District 5 needs to gain 2,663 people.
- House District 7 needs to lose 12,632 people.

⁴⁵ Kimbrough, Art – Jackson County Chamber of Commerce – Submitted June 22, 2011 via Panama City Public Hearing

NW-23: Proposed House Districts 1-7 in Florida Panhandle⁴⁶

Description: Use of whole counties whenever possible to create State House Districts for the Florida Panhandle.

Visual: Table provided by Ed Winkelseth.

District	Counties	Constituents (approx)	Delta
1	Escambia	148,810	-7,868
2	Escambia	148,809	-7,869
3	Santa Rosa	151,372	-5,306
4	Okaloosa	180,822	+24,144
5	Walton Holmes Washington Jackson	55,043 19,927 24,896 49,746	-7,066
6	Bay	168,852	12,174
7	Start with Calhoun & Gulf County and keep working East		

Policy Issues:

- The population deviations for the proposed House Districts are as follows:
 - District 1: 5%
 - District 2: 5%
 - District 3: 3.4%
 - District 4: 15.4%
 - District 5: 4.5%
 - District 6: 7.8%
 - District 7: Unknown
- The author did not specify how he would split Escambia County.
- The author did not specify how he would complete proposed House District 7.

⁴⁶ Winkelseth, Ed – Submitted June 21, 2011 via Fort Walton Beach Public Hearing

NW-24: Let Bay County Have Its Own Representative and Senator⁴⁷

Description: “It is my understanding that Bay County is eligible to have it’s own Representative and Senator based on our size.”

Visual: Placing all of Bay County into one legislative district.



Policy Issues:


- The population for the county is 12,174 over the ideal population for state House districts. However, Bay County could be kept whole in the Senate and Congressional maps. 301,181 people would need to be added to a Senate district in order for it to be complete.

⁴⁷ Hayes, Jackie Lynn – Submitted June 21, 2011 via Email

NW-25: Panhandle Senate Districts 2, 4 and 6 in Florida Panhandle⁴⁸

Description: Use of whole counties, Escambia, Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, Holmes, Washington, Calhoun, Bay, Jackson, Liberty and Gulf, for State Senate Districts 2, 4 and 6. For Senate District 6, the author noted, “Start at Jackson, Liberty & Gulf Counties and keep pressing East.”

Visual: Proposed State Senate Districts 2 (peach), 4 (yellow) and 6 (green) in the Florida Panhandle.



Policy Issues:


- Proposed Senate District 2 is 21,042 (4.5%) people under the ideal population.
- Proposed Senate District 4 is 5,868 (1.2%) people under the ideal population.
- Proposed Senate District 6 would need to account for another 396,058 more people as it moves east.

⁴⁸ Winkelseth, Ed – Submitted June 12, 2011 via Fort Walton Beach Public Hearing

NW-26: Map HPUBC0038 – Congressional District 2 in the Big Bend Region⁴⁹

Description: Partial Congressional redistricting plan with one district drawn.

Visual: Proposed State Senate Districts 2 (peach), 4 (yellow) and 6 (green) in the Florida Panhandle. Note, in the visual below the current Congressional District 2 is shown via the pink bordering.



Policy Issues:

- Proposed Congressional District 2 has a deviation of 574 people above the ideal population.
- The Congressional district that must, by virtue of contiguity requirements, be drawn to the west of this proposed Congressional District 2 would have a deviation of 311 people above the ideal population.

⁴⁹ Cracchiolo, Peter of Pasco County.

NW-27: Including Franklin County with Other Rural Counties⁵⁰

Description: “I am from Franklin County...We would like to be included in a district of other rural counties so that our voices are not smothered by the larger, urban counties.”

Visual: Franklin County in a single district.



Policy Issues:


- This would be 145,129 short of a complete House district, 458,484 short of a Senate district and 684,796 short of a Congressional district.
- To fulfill this request, Franklin County would have to be aligned in districts that did not include nearby Panama City (Bay County) nor Tallahassee (Leon County).

⁵⁰ Pooser, Ned – Submitted June 20, 2011 via Email

NE/NC-1: Make Interstate 295 the Northern Boundary of House District 19 to Keep the Townships of Mandarin and Fleming Island Together

Description: This would be a practical way to keep the similar communities of Fleming Island, Mandarin and others together. Our children play sports together in this community and we all shop and eat within this area together. The district should mirror the community.¹ We live in a big town's small town. It would be disruptive to our neighborhoods to split us up.² When I look at what my community is, I look at where I eat, where I shop, where I worship and where I go to the library. All of which is south of I-295.³

Visual: Currently, House Districts 19 (in brown) and 16 (in green) at I-295 (which is pointed to in red) look like this:




¹ Tammy Hardin of St. Augustine

² Barbara Wisnosky of St. Augustine

³ Mike Kuhn of St. Augustine

Making the northern boundary of House District 19 to be I-295 and assigning the remainder of that population to House District 16 would look like this:




Policy Issues:

- By taking this action, House District 19 now has a population of 148,745, which is roughly 8,000 short of the ideal population, so more communities would need to be added to this district. Conversely, House District 16 is now at a population of 167,311, which is roughly 11,000 over the ideal population, so more population would need to be assigned to another district.
- To have the boundary between the two districts by I-295, at least one VTD would need to be split in order to achieve this.

NE/NC-2: Keep Nassau County Whole

Description: Please keep Nassau County whole and not split into different districts.⁴⁵

Visual: Keeping Nassau County whole would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 73,314, Baker County is too small to be an entire House, Senate or Congressional district, so more communities would need to be added to it in order to meet the district's ideal population.


⁴ Jim Last of Fernandina Beach

⁵ Mary Downey of Fernandina Beach

NE/NC-3: Keep Fleming Island within the Same Congressional District

Description: Keep Fleming Island within the same district, and I would like to vote for the same race as my neighbors.⁶ Where I am in Fleming Island, I am completely divorced from what goes on in Clay County.⁷ We do not have a commonality of interests with Congressional District 3.⁸

Visual: Currently, the Fleming Island area (pointed to with red arrows) of Clay County is split between Congressional Districts 3 (in blue) and 6 (in green) and looks like this:



Policy Issues:

- Under the current Congressional map, if District 6 were to contain all of Fleming Island, then there would be contiguity issues with District 3. Those issues would not exist if District 3 were to contain all of Fleming Island.
- Currently, District 3 is considered to be a minority-access seat under the federal Voting Rights Act.

⁶ Raymond Chute of Fleming Island


⁷ Thomas Watson of Fleming Island

⁸ Lynne Watson of Fleming Island

NE/NC-4: Keep Clay County Whole

Description: Clay County has its own unique personality that contains both urban and rural areas. Our issues could become sublimated with Duval County if we aren't kept whole. Our perspective and outlook should be preserved.⁹ We want to be our own selves.¹⁰ If you have to divide us, "please do not divide us among more than two Representatives."¹¹

Visual: Keeping Clay County whole would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 190,865, Clay County is too large for the ideal population for a State House district and too small for a Senate or Congressional district.

⁹ Frank Farrell of Orange Park


¹⁰ Dee Lansford of Orange Park

¹¹ Wiggins, Ann – Submitted July 11, 2011 via Jacksonville Public Hearing

NE/NC-5: Keep Baker County Whole and Connect It with Other Rural Counties

Description: Keep Baker County whole and connect us with other like-minded, rural counties.¹² It is easier for us to talk to one person.¹³

Visual: Keeping Baker County whole would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 27,115, Baker County is too small to be an entire State House, Senate or Congressional district, and other communities would need to be added to it in order to meet the ideal population for a district. Those who spoke in favor of keeping Baker County whole asked that it be added with other rural communities in the formation of a district.


¹² Darryl Register of Macclenny

¹³ Mike Griffis of Glen St. Mary

NE/NC-6: Remove the One Precinct of Duval County from House District 12

Description: My precinct (11A) is the only precinct in Duval County that belongs to House District 12. We have 315 people in that precinct and I have nothing in common with the people in the other counties that are represented in that district. We all work in Jacksonville and our kids go to school in Jacksonville.¹⁴

Visual: Currently, House District 12 (in orange) looks like this (with a red arrow pointing to the Duval County portion of the district):



Policy Issues:


- The current population for House District 12 is 159,354, which is about 2,700 too many for the ideal population.

¹⁴ Lisa King of Jacksonville

NE/NC-7: Keep St. Johns County Whole, and When that is Not Possible, Link St. Johns and Flagler Counties

Description: Keep St. Johns County whole, but if you must link us with another community, link us with Flagler County. We share beach issues, farming communities and growth issues. Our issues are different than Duval County.¹⁵ St. Johns and Flagler counties have a lot of things in common, like tourism issues.¹⁶ Keep us in St. Johns County whole, but link us with Flagler County when necessary. We share cultural issues as well as beaches.¹⁷ Moreover, if St. Johns County must be broken apart, do not split precincts.¹⁸

Visual: Keeping St. Johns County whole would look like this:



¹⁵ Sandra Parks of St. Augustine

¹⁶ Susan Godby of Elkton


¹⁷ Gail Wadsworth, Flagler County Clerk of the Court

¹⁸ Burrell, Gina – Submitted July 12, 2011 via St. Augustine Public Hearing

Policy Issues:

- With a population of 190,039, St. Johns County is too large for a State House district and would need to be divided amongst at least two districts. St. Johns County could be kept whole in either a State Senate or Congressional district.

Visual: Connecting all of St. Johns and Flagler counties would look like this:




Policy Issues:

- A district with all of St. Johns and Flagler Counties would have a population of 285,735, which is too small for a State Senate or Congressional district. More communities would need to be added in order to meet the ideal population for a district.

NE/NC-8: Make Adjustments to the State House Districts in the Region at the Request of Ms. Susan Godby

Description: “What we would like to see is District 21 come down to the St. Johns County line and go all the way down to Flagler County. Those two areas have a lot in common with tourism. We would then like to see District 19 come below I-295 and into St. Johns County and backed up at the Julieton Creek area. Mandarin and Julieton Creek area have a lot in common.”¹⁹ The portion of Ms. Godby’s request regarding District 21 was also echoed by Ms. Rebecca Johnston of St. Johns County.

Visual: The current House districts for the area look like this. The arrows indicate where Ms. Godby would like to see the lines move according to her testimony:



Policy Issues:


- It is unclear in Ms. Godby’s testimony, but staff speculates that she meant to say that District 20, not 21, should encompass all of Flagler County and portions of St. Johns County and that the portions of District 20 that are in Clay County be absorbed by District 21.
- St. Johns County would continue to be split by three House districts.
- These districts may or may not be the ideal population for a House district depending on how exactly they are drawn.

¹⁹ Susan Godby of Elkton

NE/NC-9: Keep the City of Palm Coast Connected with St. Johns County

Description: I want to see Palm Coast, which is the largest city between Jacksonville and Orlando, linked with St. Johns County. When drawing House districts for the area, start at the southern border of Flagler County and work your way north.²⁰

Visual: The City of Palm Coast looks like this:



Policy Issues:


- The population of the City of Palm Coast is 75,180, which is short of the ideal population for a State House district, so more communities would need to be added to make it a complete district. Since the city borders St. Johns County to the north, areas of St. Johns County could be added to the district without any concerns of contiguity.
- Some holes exist within the city limits of Palm Coast that would have to be filled and added to the district.

²⁰ David Sullivan of Palm Coast

NE/NC-10: Keep the City of Deltona Whole

Description: “Currently the City of Deltona in Volusia County is divided into 4 State House districts, 2 State Senate districts, and 2 Congressional districts.”²¹ None of our representatives here in Deltona are from Deltona. Keep us whole within a Congressional, Senate and House district.²²²³ We are the largest city in Volusia County. The current lines have diluted our citizens’ representation. When a representative has a small part of the city, the residents don’t know who their representative is. This encourages voter apathy.²⁴

Visual: Keeping Deltona whole would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 85,182, Deltona is too small for a State House, State Senate or Congressional district and would need to communities added to it in order to meet the ideal population.
- The city boundaries of Deltona would create some holes that would need to be addressed if it were kept whole within a district.

²¹ Walker, Lisa – Submitted June 18, 2011 via WordPress

²² Lisa Walker of Deltona


²³ Johnson, Judy – Submitted August 7, 2011 via Email

²⁴ Commissioner Heidi Herzberg, City of Deltona

NE/NC-11: Have Majority of a Senate District Based in Volusia County

Description: Please consider having the majority of a State Senate seat based in Volusia County.²⁵ Please make the core of Senate District 7 be in Volusia County.²⁶ The Volusia County Council “requests that the new boundaries that are drawn make Volusia County the core of Senate District 7.”²⁷

Visual: A Senate District that has all of Volusia County in it would look like this:



Policy Issue:

- With a population of 494,593, Volusia County is too large to be contained with one State Senate seat. However, to create a Senate seat with a majority of its population within the county, there would be many ways to create that with a county with such a large population.

²⁵ Ellen Darden of New Smyrna Beach


²⁶ Councilman Andy Kelly, Volusia County

²⁷ Bruno Jr., Frank T. (Volusia County Council) – Submitted July 12, 2011 via Daytona Beach Public Hearing.

NE/NC-12: Remove Volusia County from Congressional District 3 and Place All of the County into Either District 24 or District 7

Description: Years ago, all of Volusia County was in one Congressional district. Over the years, that county has been carved up and we are now in three districts. Rep. Corrine Brown lives too far away from us and Volusia County should be removed from District 3. Then, all of the county should either go into District 24 or District 7, whichever is easier for the Legislature.²⁸

Visual: On the Congressional map, Volusia County currently looks like this (with District 3 in light blue, District 7 in light red and District 24 in beige):



Policy Issues:


- Congressional District 3, which is currently a minority-access seat under the federal Voting Rights Act, needs to gain 37,289 people in order for it to meet the ideal population for a district.
- District 7, which is currently located in St. Johns, Flagler, Volusia, Seminole and Orange Counties, needs to lose 116,098 people in order to meet the ideal population for a district.
- District 24, which is currently located in Volusia, Seminole, Orange and Brevard Counties, needs to lose 102,889 people in order to meet the ideal population.

²⁸ Peter Kouracos of New Smyrna Beach

NE/NC-13: Nest Three House Districts within a Senate District


Description: Volusia County has four State Senators and 6 Representatives, which is 10% of the Senate and 5% of the House. Are we overrepresented in the Senate or underrepresented in the House? With 40 Senators and 120 Representatives, you should nest three House districts in every Senate district. This would eliminate a lot of the problems we have now with overlapping districts.²⁹

Visual: Using the illustrations below, a Senate district will be divided into three equal portions so that three House districts are “nested” within the Senate district.



The square dots within it represent an entire State Senate district and its population. Using the concept of nesting, each House district will have an equal amount of population and each House district’s boundaries will be nested within the Senate district.

²⁹ Harry Rosenthal of Daytona Beach



Policy Issues:

- If a county or municipality were split in a Senate district that would ensure that the municipality would also be split within the House districts as well. VTD's may also need to be split in order to achieve nesting as well.

NE/NC-14: City of Ormond Beach Whole in House District 26³⁰

Description: “The City of Ormond Beach voted unanimously to request that your committee include the entire city limits of Ormond Beach within the boundaries of the revised (House) District 26.”

Visual: The boundary lines of the existing State House District 26, with the addition of those portions of the City of Ormond Beach that are not currently in the district.



Policy Issues:


- House District 26 would need to lose 20,905 people to reach the ideal population.
- The boundary lines of Holly Hill are very close to Ormond Beach, and therefore it is difficult to include Ormond Beach, and exclude Holly Hill without building districts at the block level.

³⁰ Kelley, Ed (City of Ormond Beach) – Submitted July 12, 2011 via Daytona Beach Public Hearing

NE/NC-15: Keep Flagler County Whole

Description: Please keep Flagler County whole. We want one Senator and one Representative.³¹ We are too small to be broken up so much.³² The current Senate seat from Duval through Flagler and Volusia makes no sense. Please give us one Senator and one Representative.³³

Visual: Keeping Flagler County would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 95,696, Flagler County is too small to make up an entire House or Senate district, so other communities would need to be added to it in order to meet the ideal population for a House or Senate district.

³¹ Sally Sherman of the Flagler County Commission


³² Carolyn Morgan of Flagler Beach

³³ Alan Peterson, Chairman of the Flagler County Commission

NE/NC-16: Keep The Villages Whole

Description: The Villages has grown to 84,000 people. Keep us as a community of interest and do not split us up.³⁴ It's ok to break county lines in order to keep the Villages whole because they are a community of interest.³⁵ The Villages is a unique community with unique interests.³⁶ The Villages is a unique community of common interest and our representation should reflect that. We should all be in one district within each map.³⁷ "The Villages is probably as homogenous a population as exists in Florida. It is a compact population with many common interests. We request that all of The Villages be included in the same state and federal legislative districts."³⁸ "Please strive to avoid such multiple districts in one county."³⁹ "We have two different Congressional districts that bisect our community (and zip code for that matter). I happen to be in the 6th District, but most of The Villages is in the 5th. Our State House is a single district, as is our State Senate. Please consider locating the entire community into a single district that will be more in tune with local issues."⁴⁰

Visual: Keeping The Villages whole would look something like this:



³⁴ Don Hahnfeldt, President of the Villages Homeowner's Association

³⁵ Nancy Hurlbert of Leesburg

³⁶ Gary Davis of The Villages

³⁷ Roger Kass of The Villages

³⁸ Stebbins, Arthur and Carolyn – Submitted July 13, 2011 by Letter

³⁹ Cavanaugh, Marguerite – Submitted July 13, 2011 via The Villages Public Hearing

⁴⁰ Mers, Denny – Submitted June 18, 2011 via Email

It is important to note that for the purposes of for the creation of the map above that the CDP boundaries for the Villages in Sumter and Marion Counties were used, while the entire Town of Lady Lake was used for the Lake County portion of The Villages.


Policy Issues:

- With a population of 65,368, The Villages is too small to make up an entire House, Senate or Congressional district and would need to have other communities added to it to meet the ideal population for a district.
- Parts of The Villages are located within Sumter, Lake and Marion counties. All three of these county boundaries would need to be broken in order to have all of The Villages within a single district.
- The boundaries of The Villages as well as Lady Lake contain holes that would need to be addressed in the creation of a district.

NE/NC-17: Do Not Split the City of Mount Dora like It Is in Congressional District 3

Description: Don't split up cities like the way Mount Dora is split in Congressional District 3. Don't divide cities or communities of interest.⁴¹

Visual: Currently, The City of Mount Dora is divided between Congressional Districts 3 and 8, which looks like this (city boundary illuminated in pink):



Policy Issues:


- A portion of Mount Dora was included into Congressional District 3, which was a minority access seat.
- The population of Mount Dora is 12,370, which would be a small portion of what would make up a Congressional district.
- A district created solely within the city limits of Mount Dora would have holes in it that would need to be filled.

⁴¹ Nancy Hulbert of Leesburg

NE/NC-18: Keep Lake County Whole within a Congressional District

Description: “Keep Lake County in one Congressional District.”⁴²

Visual: Keeping Lake County whole looks like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 297,052, Lake County is too small to be an entire Congressional district, so more counties or communities would need to be added to it to meet the ideal population.
- Currently, portions of Lake County are within Congressional District 3, which was a minority-access seat.

⁴² John Wood of Eustis

NE/NC-19: Keep the Cities of Mount Dora, Eustis and Tavares, Known as the Golden Triangle, Whole within a District

Description: The Golden Triangle of Mount Dora, Eustis and Tavares function as a community just like The Villages does. Please keep us whole and together.⁴³

Visual: Keeping Mount Dora, Eustis and Tavares whole would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- While the city boundaries of each city naturally connect the three cities together, holes and stragglers are created in a district solely create of the city limits of each city that would need to be addressed.
- With a combined population of 44,879, more communities would need to be added to the Mount Dora-Eustis-Tavares district in order for it to meet the ideal population of a State House, State Senate or Congressional district.

⁴³ Ellen Green of Eustis

NE/NC-20: Remove Citrus County from Congressional District 5

Description: Cut Citrus County loose from Congressional District 5. We are more similar to Marion County and North Central Florida.⁴⁴

Visual: Congressional District 5 currently looks like this (in purple, with the arrow pointing to Citrus County):



Policy Issues:


- Because of the way Citrus County is positioned geographically within District 5, removing it would eliminate the contiguity that currently exists within the district. Other counties or communities would need to be added or removed from the district in order for it to become contiguous.
- Currently, District 5 is 233,189 over the ideal population for a Congressional district. Citrus County's population of 141,236, which would mean that more communities would need to be removed from the current district in order for it to meet the ideal population.

⁴⁴ Mike Fahey of Lecanto

NE/NC-21: Keep Marion County Whole

Description: Marion County is too divided. We need a singleness of representation. We need a representative that calls Marion County home and that will stand up for Marion County as a community of interest.⁴⁵ We need a Senator who understands the needs of Marion County. Our Congressmen need to be closer to us as well. We should have a smaller Congressional district that is exclusive to Marion County that touches other communities of necessary.⁴⁶ “Many of we older, concerned citizens and longer in residency, realize Marion County is truly divided in representation. It results in little ‘clout’ in Tallahassee as a group and also in Washington.”⁴⁷ “I would like to ask that Marion County with a population of over 300,000 people, not be further cut like the proverbial chicken!”⁴⁸

Visual: Keeping Marion County whole would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 331,298, Marion County is too large for a single State House district and would need to be split into at least two districts to meet the ideal population. Conversely, Marion County is too small for a State Senate or Congressional district and would have to have other counties or communities added to it in order to meet the ideal population for those districts, 138,735 more people for a Senate district and 365,047 more people for a Congressional district, respectively.

⁴⁵ Sam McConnell of Ocala

⁴⁶ Sandy Trusso of Ocala


⁴⁷ Vuillemot, Jeanne – Submitted July 28, 2011 via Letter

⁴⁸ Penna, James – Submitted July 27, 2011 via Orlando Public Hearing

NE/NC-22: Keep Alachua County Whole

Description: Keep counties whole in the redistricting process, especially Alachua County.⁴⁹

Visual: Keeping Alachua County whole would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 247,336, Alachua County is too large for a State House district and would need to be divided amongst at least two districts. The County could be kept whole in either a State Senate or Congressional district.
- Currently, a State House district containing parts of Alachua County is a minority access seat.

⁴⁹ Fred Pratt of Gainesville

NE/NC-23: Keep Gilchrist and Levy Counties Together and Link Them to Alachua County

Description: If you look at the urban areas of Alachua County and look at Gilchrist County and Levy County, these are the things that could meet the ideal population.⁵⁰

Visual: Connecting Alachua, Gilchrist and Levy Counties would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- Combining these three counties would be a population of 305,076. This population is too large for a House district, but could be included in parts of a Senate or Congressional district.
- Other than population numbers, no other reason was given in the testimony as to why these counties should be linked.

⁵⁰ Gunnar Paulson of Gainesville

NE/NC-24: Keep Marion County Whole

Description: “We implore you to consider the significance of Marion County, and its five municipalities of Ocala, Belleview, Dunnellon, McIntosh and Reddick, as a designated community of interest in your redistricting process.”⁵¹ “To meet the unique and diverse needs of our community, equitable and focused representation is essential to provide a unified legislative voice for the citizens of Marion County. A unified legislative voice is crucial to the future prosperity of Marion County to compliment a healthy business environment and economy as well as contribute to the quality of life for all its citizens.”⁵² “Marion County should be treated as a single entity. Please treat us fairly and as one unit.”⁵³ We would like to ask to keep all of Marion County together.⁵⁴ “We asking that you consider Marion County’s compactness and growth as you go through the redistricting process. Marion County has 331,000 citizens and the fifth largest land mass in Florida, but was split in the last redistricting process into 4 Florida Senate seats and 5 Florida Representative districts. Presently, only 1 of our 9 legislative delegation members resides in our county...We have not had a Senator from Marion County in more than 20 years...”⁵⁵

Visual: Keeping Marion County whole looks like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 331,298, Marion County is too large for a single House district, and would need to be divided into at least two districts. The county potentially could be kept whole and added to other communities to complete a Senate or Congressional district.

⁵¹ Letter signed by Reginald Landers and Roy Abshien. Mr. Abshien of Belleview testified during the meeting.

⁵² Resolution of the Ocala-Marion County Chamber of Commerce, presented by the testimony of Tamara Fleischhaker of Ocala

⁵³ Marcel “Butch” Verrando of Dunnellon


⁵⁴ Whitey Markle of Citra

⁵⁵ McClain, Stan (Marion County Commission) – Submitted July 13, 2011 via Gainesville Public Hearing

NE/NC-25: Keep Columbia County Whole

Description: We would prefer to see Columbia County whole instead of having four Representatives in Tallahassee. If this were done, our Representative would be from around here and would listen to us. It was also minimize voter confusion in our community.⁵⁶

Visual: Keeping Columbia County whole would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 67,531, more communities would need to be added to a House, Senate and Congressional district that kept Columbia County whole.

⁵⁶ Alton Hines of Lake City

NE/NC-26: Combine Alachua, Marion and Columbia Counties to Create a Congressional District

Description: Alachua County is really a part of North Central Florida, and the residents of that county should not have to drive to Orlando or Jacksonville to see their member of Congress.⁵⁷

Visual: Combining Alachua, Marion and Columbia County into a Congressional district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- A Congressional district drawn this way is 50,179 people short of the ideal population and would need to have more communities added to it.

⁵⁷ Terry Martin-Back of Gainesville

NE/NC-27: Make Changes to the Current Congressional District 8 per the Remarks of Commissioner Carl Zalak

Description: Consolidate Congressional District 8 by having all of Marion County connected with all of The Villages, bringing the district north.⁵⁸

Visual: Currently, District 8 looks like this (in gray). The arrows indicate where the lines would be moved according to the testimony:



Policy Issues:


- By adding all of the population of Marion County as well as The Villages, it is not certain what areas of the current district would be removed to do so.
- This change would also significantly impact current Congressional Districts 3 and 6.

⁵⁸ Marion County Commissioner Carl Zalak

NE/NC - 28: North Florida Minority Access Congressional District⁵⁹

Description: The author submitted three hand-drawn maps that attempt to maintain a black minority access seat in North Florida, but in doing so a seat that replaces the existing Congressional District 3 with a new district that extends from Duval County to Gadsden County. The district would maintain whole counties to the greatest extent possible. The different scenarios included options for the district to extend into Alachua and Marion counties.

Visual: The map below illustrates the current portions of Congressional District 3 that are in Duval, Alachua and Marion counties, and then a recreation of the Baker County to Gadsden County portions of the authors different proposals.



Policy Issues:


- This is an attempt to avoid retrogression for a Congressional district that had an approximately 46% Black VAP ten years ago.

⁵⁹ Leuchs, Edward – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Davie Public Meeting

NE/NC - 29: Volusia and Flagler Counties Area Congressional District⁶⁰

Description: “The southern border would be both Brevard and Seminole county lines (Volusia seems to get lost in the shuffle). Volusia and Flagler counties within another line, so as far west as possible without reaching Gainesville and Duval being on its own.”

Visual: A proposed Congressional district with an arrow illustrating approximately where the district would account for the necessary population numbers.



Policy Issues:


- After creating the foundation for the proposed Congressional district, Volusia and Flagler counties, the district needs another 106,055 people to meet the ideal population.

⁶⁰ White, Kevin – Submitted July 18, 2011 via Email

NE/NC - 30: A Gainesville Based Congressional District⁶¹

Description: “We want representation here in Gainesville, not one that is in Ocala or in Jacksonville. Have a Gainesville district!”

Visual: The entire City of Gainesville included in one Congressional district.



Policy Issues:


- To complete the Congressional district, another 571,990 are needed to reach the ideal population for a Congressional district.
- The City of Gainesville has holes and noncontiguous points.

⁶¹ Benny, Ulla – Submitted July 16, 2011 via Gainesville Public Hearing

NE/NC - 31: Ideal Palm Coast Voting District⁶²

Description: “Ideal Palm Coast voting district: Probably all of Flagler County, St. Augustine (St. John’s); Possibly Daytona Beach (Volusia), Palatka (Putnam).

Visual: All of Flagler and St. John’s counties assigned to one Congressional district, and the cities of Daytona Beach and Palatka assigned to the same district.



Policy Issues:


- The author did not specify whether this submission was for a House, Senate or Congressional district.
- As shown above, the district has 200,620 more people than the ideal House district; 112,735 less than the ideal Senate district; and 339,047 less than the ideal Congressional district.
- If this district was just drawn to include the entirety of Flagler and St. Johns counties, the district would have 129,057 more people than the ideal House district; and would still be significantly smaller than the ideal Senate or Congressional district.
- Palatka and Daytona Beach need to be connected to the Flagler and St. Johns counties' portions of the proposed district, in order to make the district contiguous.

⁶² Young, Mary Kudak – Submitted July 15, 2011 by Postcard.

NE/NC - 32: Reduce Lake County's Representation⁶³

Description: “Reduce Lake County’s U.S. Representation from 4 Reps. to 2 Reps. by creating new district boundaries with all of Lake County, except that small NW section that is in The Villages and U.S. D-6.”

Visual: This is Lake County assigned to one Congressional district, excluding the approximate “NW” section that the author is likely referring to.




Policy Issues:

- This proposed Congressional district would need another 414,479 people to meet the ideal population.

Description: “Lake County’s 2010 population would now justify being represented by two (2) state Representatives (down from 4), allowing, again, for The Villages to be kept in another district and within their “city limits.”

Visual: This is an example of how to implement this suggestion for Lake County’s State House districts. The yellow district is 667 people less than the ideal population.

⁶³ Hurlbert, Nancy – Submitted July 13, 2011 via The Villages Public Hearing.




Policy Issues:

- The second district, the purple district, would need another 16,969 people.

Description: “Lake County’s 2010 population does not allow for two (2) State Senators; therefore” Senate District 15 should be removed from Lake County, and the county should be subsequently all included in one State Senate district.

Visual: Lake County assigned to a single State Senate district.




Policy Issues:

- The district would need another 172,980 people.

NE/NC - 33: Partial Proposals for Volusia County and Portions of Northeast Florida⁶⁴

Description: Changes to current House Districts 25, 26, 27 and 28. House District 25 would no longer include DeBary and Deltona. House District 26 would now include all of Deland. House District 27 would now include all of Port Orange, Spruce Creek and Ormond Beach. House District 28 would now include all of Deltona and DeBary.

Visual: Adjusted House Districts 25 (lavender), 26 (light green), 27 (aqua) and 28 (light blue).




Policy Issues:

- House District 25 would be 12,904 people short of the ideal population.
- House District 26 would be 38,900 people short of the ideal population.
- House District 27 would be 46,297 people above the ideal population.
- House District 28 would be 19,566 people above the ideal population.

Description: Changes to current Senate Districts 1, 7 and 8. Senate District 7 would include all of Volusia County. Senate District 1 would include Flagler and St. Johns counties. Senate District 8 would extend to the Florida border, sharing an east-west border with existing Senate District 6.

Visual: Adjusted District 1 (light green in Flagler and St. Johns counties), and District 7 (pink in Volusia County). Additionally, the eastern border of the existing Senate District 6 is shown (Madison County).

⁶⁴ Hoyt, Jack – Submitted July 12, 2011 via Daytona Beach Public Hearing.




Policy Issues:

- There was not enough information to create the proposed Senate District 8.
- Senate District 1 would be 184,297 people short of the ideal population.
- Senate District 7 would be 24,561 people above the ideal population.

Description: Congressional District 24 would include all of Volusia County. Congressional District 3's southern boundary would be Volusia County. Congressional Districts 4, 6 and 7 also shift in order to better align Jacksonville's congressional districts.

Visual: Altered Congressional District 3 (light blue); current District 4 (yellow); current District 6 (green); altered District 7 (pink), altered District 24 (beige).



Policy Issues:

- Congressional Districts 3 and 7 would need 343,015 and 377,669 people, respectively.
- Congressional District 24 would need to lose 408,442 people. The author may have intended to take District 24 out of Brevard and Orange counties.
- Congressional Districts 6 and 4 would accommodate their respective needs to lose 116,383 and 48,074 by moving west.

NE/NC - 34: Daytona Regional Chamber of Commerce Recommendations⁶⁵

Description: 2-3 Congressional districts based around key strategic economic drivers...beaches, airports, speedway, and higher education facilities. No more than 4 Senate districts with at least 1 being Volusia majority base. No more than 6 House districts with at least 3 being Volusia majority base.

Visual: The table compares the request above to what Volusia County has today for its delegation.

	Current	Current	Proposed	Proposed
	Delegation	Majority Volusia Districts	Delegation	Majority Volusia Districts
Congressional	3		2-3	
House	6	3	6 or less	3
Senate	4	1	4 or less	1

Policy Issues:


- Volusia County has 494,593 people. Divided by the ideal district populations, that equates to approximately 3 House districts, 1 Senate district, and less than 1 Congressional district.

⁶⁵ Cameron, Jim (Daytona Regional Chamber of Commerce) – Submitted July 12, 2011 via Daytona Beach Public Hearing.

NE/NC - 35: Three State Senate Seats Rooted from Nassau, Duval and Clay Counties⁶⁶

Description: Nassau, Duval and Clay counties could be split into three State Senate districts.

Visual: Nassau, Duval and Clay counties grouped together into one district.



Policy Issues:

- The combined population of Nassau, Duval and Clay counties is 1,128,442 people. There's approximately enough population for 2.5 Senate districts, so additional people would be needed to complete the district.

Description: One State House seat should have nothing but St. Johns County.

Visual: St. Johns County assigned to a single State House district.



Policy Issues:

- The district would need to lose 33,362 people to meet the ideal population.

⁶⁶ Webber, John – Submitted July 12, 2011 via St. Augustine Public Hearing

NE/NC - 36: Make House District 10 More Squared⁶⁷

Description: “Take out Wakulla and Franklin Counties, put in all of Jefferson County, put in (name missing) County, take out the part of Columbia County, leave Dixie County in but take out Levy County.”

Visual: Making the changes described above, this is what House District 10 would look like.



Policy Issues:


- House District 10 would need 67,177 additional people to meet the ideal population.
- It is possible that the author meant to add either or both of Gilchrist and Suwannee counties. Doing so would mean that the district still needed 16,756 additional people.

⁶⁷ Bass, Jeanne (Madison County) – Submitted June 21, 2011 via Email

NE/NC - 37: Map HPUBC0008 – Congressional District Based in Lake County⁶⁸

Description: Partial Congressional redistricting plan with one district drawn.

Visual: Proposed Congressional district based out of Lake County, also impacting Orange, Seminole and Sumter counties.



Policy Issues:


- The population deviation is 24 people from the ideal population.

⁶⁸ Tom Edmonston from Lake County

NE/NC - 38: Map HPUBC0009 – Congressional District Based in Lake County⁶⁹

Description: Partial Congressional redistricting plan with one district drawn.

Visual: Proposed Congressional district based out of Lake County, also impacting Seminole County.



Policy Issues:


- The population deviation is 10 people from the ideal population.

⁶⁹ Patricia Sullivan from Lake County

NE/NC - 39: Map HPUBC0015 – Congressional District Based in Lake County⁷⁰

Description: Partial Congressional redistricting plan with one district drawn.

Visual: Proposed Congressional district based out of Lake County, also impacting Citrus, Orange and Sumter counties.



Policy Issues:


- The population deviation is 941 people from the ideal population.

⁷⁰ Andy Dubois from Lake County

NE/NC - 40: Maps HPUBC0021 and HPUBC0022 – Congressional Districts Based in Lake County⁷¹⁷²⁷³

Description: Partial Congressional redistricting plans with one district drawn.

Visual: Proposed Congressional districts based out of Lake County, also impacting Marion and Sumter counties. The picture below is specifically HPUBC0021.



Policy Issues:

- HPUBC0021: The population deviation is 495 people from the ideal population.
- HPUBC0022: The population deviation is 259 people from the ideal population.
- Robert Carey submitted a screen shot of a very similar proposed Congressional district for Lake, Marion and Sumter counties. However, he did not submit the electronic files.

⁷¹ Kathy Carrillo from Lake County


⁷² Carol Moore from Lake County

⁷³ Carey, Robert – Submitted July 13, 2011 via The Villages Public Hearing

NE/NC - 42: Map HPUBS0014 – State Senate District Based in Citrus, Lake and Sumter Counties⁷⁵

Description: Partial State Senate redistricting plan with one district.

Visual: Proposed State Senate district based out of Citrus, Lake and Sumter counties.



Policy Issues:


- The population deviation is 749 people from the ideal population.

⁷⁵ Andy Dubois from Lake County

NE/NC - 43: Map HPUBH0011 – State House District Based in Lake County⁷⁶

Description: Partial State House redistricting plan with one district.

Visual: Proposed State House district based out of Lake County.



Policy Issues:


- The population deviation is 377 people from the ideal population.

⁷⁶ Patricia Sullivan from Lake County

NE/NC - 44: Map HPUBH0013 – State House District Based in Lake County⁷⁷

Description: Partial State House redistricting plan with two districts.

Visual: Proposed State House district based out of Lake County, and also impacting Sumter County. District 1 is green. District 2 is Peach.



Policy Issues:


- District 1: The population deviation is 945 people from the ideal population.
- District 2: The population deviation is 276 people from the ideal population.

⁷⁷ Andy Dubois from Lake County

Cent-1: Keep the City of Winter Haven Whole

Description: Currently, the City of Winter Haven is split between two districts (in the House map). This should not be. It does not provide for fair representation.¹

Visual: Keeping the City of Winter Haven whole look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 33,874, more communities would need to be added to Winter Haven in order to meet the ideal population for a House, Senate or Congressional district.
- A district following the boundaries of the City of Winter Haven would have holes within it that would need to be addressed.

¹ Karen Welzel of Winter Haven

Cent-2: Keep the cities of Winter Haven and Lakeland, as well as northeast Polk County, Separate from the Rest of Polk County

Description: Keep Winter Haven, Lakeland, and the northeast portion of Polk County, which is a new population growth area, district from the agricultural areas that make up the rest of the county. Do not dilute the representation of the agricultural community by adding it to other population centers.²

Visual: The area referenced in the testimony would look like this (area around bold red line):



Policy Issues:


- The comments made were not specific enough to define the meaning of “northeast Polk County”. More clarification may need to be done in order to determine the area intended.
- Combining the cities of Lakeland and Winter Haven alone would give a district 131,296, which is roughly 25,000 short of the ideal population for a House district. It is also important to note that the two cities are not contiguous of each other and would need to be connected in some way.
- If a district was drawn to mirror the red line above, then Polk County would be split into at least 3 districts.

² Victor Story of Lakes Wales

Cent-3: Keep Highlands County Whole

Description: Please keep Highlands County whole in one Congressional, State Senate and State House district.³ Please keep it the way that it is, where Highlands is whole in all three maps.⁴

Visual: Keeping Highlands County whole look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 98,786, more communities would need to be added to Highlands County in order to meet the ideal population for a House, Senate or Congressional district.


³ Joe Campbell, Supervisor of Elections, Highlands County

⁴ John Drezinski of Avon Park

Cent-4: Connect Hardee County with Inland Counties Instead of Connecting it with Coastal Counties

Description: Hardee County has been connected to coastal districts and that isn't the best for our residents. Connect us with inland counties such as Polk, Hendry, Highlands, DeSoto and Glades. They are more agricultural-based like we are. We don't have the same interests as a coastal county and we don't have the population to affect elections there.⁵

Visual: The best example of this is current Congressional District 13 (in blue), which looks like this (with a red arrow pointing to Hardee County):



Policy Issues:

- When attempting to achieve the ideal population of 696,344 for a Congressional district in that area of the state, it may be necessary to include all or parts of a county that borders the Gulf of Mexico or the Atlantic Ocean.

⁵ Charles Grimsley of Zolfo Springs

Cent-5: Consider the Agricultural Communities in Southern Central Florida

Description: Please consider the agricultural communities of interest in this area. Starting in Bartow and travelling South on US-17 including the cities of Wauchula and Arcadia, as well as Eastern Hillsborough, Eastern Manatee, extreme Eastern Sarasota and Charlotte County until you get to the metropolitan areas are one agricultural community of interest. We want representation to reflect that and that isn't too diluted by the coastal communities next to us.⁶

Visual: The area of referred to in the testimony looks like this (with red arrows pointing to US-17):



Policy Issues:


- The testimony was not specific enough in the definitions of East Hillsborough, Manatee, Sarasota and Charlotte counties. More clarification would be needed in order to build a district containing those communities. Also, it was not clearly stated as to whether US-17 would be a boundary to the Eastern portion of this community, or is rather the main artery or middle of this community and what communities to the East of US-17 might be included in that, if any.
- All of the municipalities along US-17 from Bartow to Arcadia (Bartow, Fort Meade, Bowling Green, Wauchula, Zolfo Springs and Arcadia) have a combined population of 40,319.

⁶ Casey Welch of Lakeland

Cent-6: Remove the City of Zephyrhills from Senate District 10

Description: The current districts don't adequately serve the people. Zephyrhills is thrown in with Polk and Hillsborough in a Senate seat. It is the only portion of Pasco County that is in the district.⁷

Visual: Senate District 10 (in pink) currently looks like this (with a red arrow pointing to Zephyrhills):



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 565,921, the current Senate District 10 needs to be reduced by 95,889 people in order to meet the ideal population for a district. Reducing the Pasco County portion of the district would still leave the district too large by 22,097 people, so more communities would need to be removed from it.

⁷ Cliff McDuffie, Mayor of Zephyrhills

Cent-7: Communities in Pasco County in Relation to the State House Map

Description: East Pasco and West Pasco are very different. The east is more rural and agricultural, while the west is more urban and has an exploding population.⁸ The Interstate or the Suncoast Parkway could be used to divide East and West Pasco.⁹ The cities of Zephyrhills, Wesley Chapel and Dade City should be kept together in an Eastern Pasco House seat.¹⁰ Pasco County should have an Eastern, Central and Western House seat.¹¹ If divisions need to be made between districts, use the Suncoast Parkway.¹² East and West Pasco are different flavors and we need representatives who understand our issues.¹³ Three different House seats in Pasco is important and they should be West, Central, East.¹⁴ When you look at East and West Pasco, they have nothing in common and no shared transportation corridors. US 19 and US 301 are very different for the economics of the sections of the county.¹⁵

Visual: Dividing Pasco County into two house districts using the Suncoast Parkway would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- Both districts created above are over the ideal population for the House district.
- The western district (District 1 in the example) by 97,005 people and the eastern district (District 2 in the example) by 54,338. With a population of 464,697, Pasco County could be divided between three House districts, two of which could be entirely within the county, while the third could have the vast majority of its population within the county and a portion of the population of another county.
- VTDs would need to be split in order to use the Suncoast Parkway as the dividing line between the two districts.

⁸ Anthony Lister of San Antonio

⁹ Ed Blommel of Dade City

¹⁰ Justina Cracchiolo of Wesley Chapel

¹¹ Steve VanGorch of Dade City


¹² Sandy Graves of Land O'Lakes

¹³ Tom Hanlon of Dade City

¹⁴ Suzanne Fulford of Land O'Lakes

¹⁵ Hutch Brock of Dade City

Visual: Splitting Pasco County into three House districts using the Suncoast Parkway and Interstate 75 as the dividing lines between east, ventral and west, would look like this:




Policy Issues:

- The eastern district (District 1 in both examples) remains the same size as the previous example, which was over the ideal population by 97,005.
- The central district (District 2 in this example) has a population of 83,698 and would need to have more communities added to it in order to meet the ideal population.
- The western district (District 4 in this example) now has a population of 127,317, which is short by 29,360 for the ideal population.
- No other corridors or other geographic boundaries were provided in the testimony as a way to divide Eastern, Central and Western Pasco County. More clarification may be needed in order to adjust the dividing lines in the example above.

Cent-8: House Districts 45 and 61 and their Non-Pasco Populations

Description: We should draw districts to the northern counties of Pasco if more population is needed.¹⁶ Please don't connect us in Pasco with Hillsborough.¹⁷ Move the Corcoran seat up and out of Pinellas and get Weatherford out of Hillsborough.¹⁸ Coastal Citrus, Hernando and Pasco Counties have more in common with each other than with the inland portions of those counties. Same with Tampa.¹⁹ District 61 should move East to Land O'Lakes and out of Hillsborough County.²⁰ House District 61 should be in Eastern and Central Pasco and not in Hillsborough County.²¹ Wesley Chapel is a diverse and growing community. We work a lot of New Tampa and should be linked with them in a district.²² The Eastern side of Pasco is agricultural and has a lot in common with Sumter and Hernando Counties and parts of Hillsborough.²³ The people of Wesley Chapel commute to New Tampa and have a lot in common with them.²⁴

Visual: Currently, House Districts 45 and 61 look like this (with red arrows pointing to their non-Pasco County portions):



¹⁶ Rachel O'Conner of Wesley Chapel

¹⁷ Sandy Graves of Land O'Lakes

¹⁸ Bill Bunting of Hudson

¹⁹ Gary Willner of Trinity

²⁰ Peter Hanzel of Wesley Chapel

²¹ Karen Hanzel of Wesley Chapel

²² Alison Morcno of Wesley Chapel

²³ Robert Nathe of Dade City

²⁴ Jeff Novofny of Wesley Chapel


Policy Issues:

- With a population of 146,618, House District 45 needs to gain 10,059 people in order to meet the ideal population for a district. If it were to lose its Pinellas County portion, it would need to gain 67,880 people to meet the ideal population.
- With a population of 242,396, House District 61 needs to lose 85,719 people in order to meet the ideal population for a district. If it were to lose its Hillsborough County portion, it would still need to lose 62,805 to meet the ideal population.

Cent-9: One or Two Senate Districts in Pasco County

Description: Pasco County has two distinct communities, one in the east and one in the west. We should have two Senate districts in Pasco County, with the Suncoast Parkway being the dividing line between the two.²⁵²⁶ Pasco County needs two Senate seats.²⁷ East and West Pasco are very distinct communities. Use US 41 or the Suncoast Parkway as the dividing line that will give us representatives that will represent our interests.²⁸ Pasco County should have its own Senate seat. If it has to be more than one, make it two and not three.²⁹

Visual: Splitting Pasco County into two Senate districts using the Suncoast Parkway as the dividing line between East and West would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- Both Senate districts created above would need more communities from a neighboring county or counties added to them in order to meet the ideal population for a district. The western district (District 1 in the example) would need 259,008 more people and the eastern district (District 2 in the example) would need 216,359 more people.
- In order for the districts to line up with the Suncoast Parkway as the dividing line, VTDs would have to be split.

²⁵ Ronnie Deese of Dade City


²⁶ Steve Van Gorch of Dade City

²⁷ Jim Mathieu of Port Richey

²⁸ Jarrod Scharber of Dade City

²⁹ Sandy Graves of Land O'Lakes


Visual: Splitting Pasco County into two Senate districts using US-41 as the dividing line between east and west would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- Similarly to the previous example, neither district meets the ideal population for a Senate district. The eastern district is now 192,229 people short while the western district is now 283,138 short.
- VTDs were split again in order to have the districts line up with US-41 as the dividing line.

Visual: Having all of Pasco County in a Senate District would look like this:




Policy Issues:

- With a population of 464,697, Pasco County is just 5,335 people short of the ideal population for a Senate district. That is a 1.1% deviation from the ideal population.

Cent-10: Move Congressional District 9 out of Hillsborough County and More Into East Pasco County

Description: Move Bilirakis out of Hillsborough and into East Pasco.³⁰

Visual: Currently, Congressional District 9 looks like this (in brown):



Policy Issues:


- Currently, Congressional District 9 has a population of 753,549, which is too large for the ideal population of a district. If the Hillsborough County portion of the district was removed, the district is then short of the ideal population by 285,321 people. The district could then include more of Pasco County as it pushes east.
- If all of the Hillsborough County portion of the district were to be removed, another district or districts would need to absorb that population.
- If the district were to push more east into Pasco County, then adjustments would need to be made to Congressional District 5, which is currently 233,189 over the ideal population.

³⁰ Bill Bunting of Hudson

Cent-11: Remove Pasco County from House District 44

Description: There are three Pasco County precincts that are in a legislative seat that is based in Hernando County. Please move that seat out of Pasco County.³¹

Visual: Currently, House District 44 looks like this (in dark green with a red arrow pointing to the Pasco County portion):



Policy Issues:


- In House District 44, there are four precincts from the 2010 elections that are in Pasco County. The district is currently too large for the ideal population of a house district by 14,975 people. By removing the Pasco County portion of the district, it is still too large by 11,097 and more communities would need to be removed from it in order to meet the ideal population.
- If the Pasco County portion were to be removed from House District 44, then District 46 and/or District 61 would need to absorb that population. Currently, District 46 is 13,905 under the ideal population while District 61 is 85,719 people over.

³¹ Bill Bunting of Hudson

Cent-12: Add the City of Zephyrhills to Senate District 12 and remove the Hillsborough County Portion

Description: District 12 should include Zephyrhills. Take the parts of Hillsborough County that are in the district and remove them to make it balanced.³²

Visual: Currently, Senate District 12 looks like this (in brown, with a red arrow pointing to the City of Zephyrhills and a green arrow pointing to the district's Hillsborough County portion):



Policy Issues:


- Currently, Senate District 12 is over the ideal population by 61,927 people. By removing Hillsborough County from the district and adding the City of Zephyrhills, the population of the district goes to being 266,908 under the ideal population, so more communities would need to be added to it.
- By adding the City of Zephyrhills to the district, some contiguity issues would arise that need to be addressed, since the city boundaries do not currently touch the boundary of Senate District 12. Taking this action would also cause the splitting VTDs.

³² Peter Hanzel of Wesley Chapel

Cent-13: Keep Osceola County Whole Within a Congressional District

Description: Osceola County is now divided between three Congressional districts. One of those districts connects us with Brevard and Indian river Counties and we don't have anything in common with them. Keep Osceola whole within a district³³ or connected to Orange County if you have to.³⁴

Visual: Keeping Osceola County whole within a Congressional district would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 268,685, Osceola County is too small to have a Congressional district all to itself and would need to be added to other counties or communities in order to meet the ideal population.


³³ Garber, Steph and Shay, E. Griffin – Submitted June 30, 2011 via Emails

³⁴ Sheri Morton of Kissimmee

Cent-14: Keep Orlovista Whole

Description: Orlovista is cut into pieces within the districts. Please keep us whole.³⁵

Visual: The Orlovista area of Orange County looks like this:



Policy Issues:

- Orlovista is an unincorporated area of Orange County, and the boundaries of the area were not clearly defined in the testimony, more clarification may be needed.


³⁵ Mignon Kostamo of Orlando

Cent-15: Create a Legislative District that is Exclusive to East Orange County


Description: I live in House District 32 and Senate District 24 and both of my members of the Legislature live in Brevard County. Please draw a district that is only East Orange County that does not link to Brevard. The district should stop at the swamp at the St. Johns River.³⁶ East Orange County should be drawn together.³⁷

Visual: Currently, House District 32 and Senate District 24 look like this:

House District 32 (in pink)




Senate District 24 (in beige)



³⁶ Diane Rambo of Orlando

³⁷ Doug Head of Orlando

Visual: An East Orange County district could look like this:




Policy Issues:

- It is important to note that the definition of East Orange County was not defined by the testimony. For the purposes of this exercise, the horizontal portions of State Road 417 was used as the dividing line to the west and the county line was used to the east. There are other boundaries or geography that could be used as the dividing line between east and west.
- The district shown above has a population of 183,622, which would make that district too large for the ideal population of a House district and too small for the ideal population of a Senate district.
- In the creation of the district shown above, several VTDs were split in the process.

Cent-16: Keep the Student Population of the University of Central Florida in One District

Description: I go to school with 60,000 voting-aged students. Please don't divide the UCF vote into multiple districts.³⁸

Visual: The campus of the University of Central Florida can be found here (red arrow pointing to it):



Policy Issues:

- The vast majority of the UCF student population does not live in campus, so a district keeping the student population whole would need to encompass more than just the boundaries of campus.

³⁸ Anna Eskamani of Orlando

Cent-17: Keep the Four Corners Region Whole

Description: The Four Corners region, which is the corners of Polk, Orange, Osceola and Lake Counties, should remain whole through this process.³⁹

Visual: The Four Corners region looks like this (with a circle around it):



Policy Issues:


- The Four Corners was not more defined other than the meeting point of the four counties. Based on the testimony, it is unclear as to how far this area stretches, and more clarification may be needed.

³⁹ Allisha Douglas of Winter Garden

Cent-18: Connect the City of Apopka with the City of Winter Garden and Western Orange County.

Description: Apopka should be linked with Winter Garden and West Orange County.⁴⁰

Visual: A legislative district that had both the Cities of Apopka and Winter Garden would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 76,110, the district above is too small to meet the ideal population for a House district and more communities would need to be added to it. During the testimony it was mentioned that this district should include portions of West Orange County. West Orange County was not clearly defined.
- Since the two cities are not contiguous, more geography would need to be added to the district in order to make it so.
- If the district were to connect the cities to the east of Lake Apopka, it would be impossible to do so without including portions of the City of Ocoee.
- Using the city boundaries of Apopka and Winter Garden create holes that would need to be addressed. Also, using these boundaries as the district line would split several VTDs.

⁴⁰ Doug Head of Orlando

Cent-19: Remove Brevard County from House District 80

Description: I would like to be added to another district where the people share our interests.⁴¹ Please try to keep it so that we are represented by someone who lives in Brevard County.⁴²

Visual: Currently, House District 80 looks like this (in light purple, with a red arrow pointing to the Brevard County portion):



Policy Issues:

- Currently, the population of House District 80 is 8,174 short of the ideal population for a district. Removing the Brevard County portion of the district would make it 11,643 short.
- If that portion was removed from the district, it would have to be absorbed by a neighboring district or districts.


⁴¹ Peter Fusscas of Melbourne Beach

⁴² Richard Ware of Titusville

Cent-20: Nest Three House Districts Within a Senate District


Description: “The Rockledge City Council would like to go on record with the Committee with regard to the concept of "nesting", or establishing a Senate District and carrying 3 House Districts from each "nest" or Senate District This would appear to be the simplest and most representative manner in which to approach reapportionment.”⁴³

Visual: Using the illustrations below, a Senate district will be divided into three equal portions so that three House districts are “nested” within the Senate district.



The square dots within it represent an entire State Senate district and its population. Using the concept of nesting, each House district will have an equal amount of population and each House district's boundaries will be nested within the Senate district.

⁴³ Letter from the City of Rockledge as Presented by Councilwoman Colleen Stuart




Policy Issues:

- If a county or municipality were split in a Senate district, that would ensure that the municipality would also be split within the House districts as well. VTDs may also need to be split in order to achieve nesting as well.

Cent-21: Keep Brevard County Whole within a Congressional District

Description: Brevard County should have one member of Congress.⁴⁴ Brevard County should be all within one Congressional district.⁴⁵ Brevard County is about equal for its own Congressional seat.⁴⁶ With issues like seniority and appropriations versus substantive policy, we desperately need two members of Congress in Brevard County.⁴⁷

Visual: Brevard County kept whole in a Congressional District would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 543,376, Brevard County is too small to have a Congressional district all to itself in order to meet the ideal population. More counties or communities would need to be added to it.

⁴⁴ David Gunter of Melbourne

⁴⁵ Stuart Gorin of Melbourne

⁴⁶ Diane Marcun of Cape Canaveral

⁴⁷ Tim Franta of Rockledge

Cent-22: Draw Legislative Districts in Brevard County using Horizontal Lines to Create Northern, Central and Southern Districts

Description: State Senate and House districts should be drawn horizontally to create northern and southern Senate districts and northern, central and southern House districts.⁴⁸ Brevard should be split into north, central and south in the State House.⁴⁹ I would like to see our county broken up into north, central, south and the beaches. We all have different and separate interests.⁵⁰ We need to connect people with similar interests. North, south, central and the beaches is a good idea.⁵¹

Visual: The House districts in Brevard County currently look like this:



Policy Issues:

- During the testimony, it was unclear as to what would be used to divide the county into northern, central and southern districts. More clarification may be needed.
- With a population of 543,376, Brevard County has more than the population needed for three House districts to be solely located within it, so a fourth House district would include portions of the county.
- For the State Senate, Brevard County is 73,345 people shy of having enough having its own seat. With that said, if the county was to have a Senate district that was solely within it, the other district or districts would need to have portions of other counties in them.

⁴⁸ David unter of Melbourne

⁴⁹ Liz Schillo of Merritt Island


⁵⁰ Frank Montelione of Satellite Beach

⁵¹ Karen Dove of Palm Bay

Cent-23: Keep the City of Palm Bay Whole within a House District

Description: Palm Bay is big enough to have one representative and they should be represented.⁵²

Visual: Keeping the City of Palm Bay Whole within a House District would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 103,190, the City of Palm Beach is not big enough to meet the ideal population and other communities would need to be added to it.
- When building a district using the city boundaries of Palm Bay, holes are created that would need to be addressed. Using these same boundaries would also result in several VTDs being split.

⁵² David Gunter of Melbourne

Cent-24: Connect the Cities of Cape Canaveral and Cocoa Beach with Merritt Island

Description: Keep Merritt Island, Cape Canaveral and Cocoa Beach together in this process.⁵³

Visual: A legislative district that connects Cape Canaveral, Cocoa Beach and Merritt Island would look something like this:



Policy Issues:


- For the purposes of this exercise, all of the VTDs from the Northern Brevard County line to just south of the City of Cocoa Beach were used as the parameters of Merritt Island, which is located between the mainland of Brevard County and Cape Canaveral.
- With a population of 65,405, the district shown above is too small to meet the ideal population for a House district. More communities would need to be added to it.

⁵³ Liz Schillo of Merritt Island

Cent-25: Redesign the Pieces of House District 29

Description: “District 29 stretches from Titusville in the north some 80 to 90 miles to Vero on (in) the South. Needles to say the Citizens of Titusville have little in common, legislatively, with the citizens of Vero...I’m asking you to use common sense for the Citizens of North and Central Brevard and redesign the district to encompass the approximately 156,000 people with common needs that would be contained by starting the district at the Volusia County line and going south to the City of Rockledge to about Barnes Road.”⁵⁴ I believe that North Brevard County and Titusville have more in common with West Brevard versus South Brevard. You could even link us with the Orlando area if needed as we have more in common with them⁵⁵. House District 29 should be reconfigured.⁵⁶ We are no longer an agricultural community and should be put into a more compact district.⁵⁷ Additionally, “the large distances and population distributions of a district like 29 place a much greater burden on a representative to properly represent the people that reside their (there)...I would like to point out that a large portion of District 29 is rural...The only real population centers are at one end of the district (the Titusville area), which is at a significant distance from a large portion of the rest of the district.”⁵⁸

Visual: Current view of House District 29 (light brown).



⁵⁴ Johnson, Walt – Submitted July 25, 2011 via Email


⁵⁵ Bob Wille of Titusville

⁵⁶ Maureen Rupe of Cocoa

⁵⁷ Ann Ford of Cocoa

⁵⁸ Campione, Ben – Submitted July 28, 2011 via Melbourne Public Hearing

Visual: An example of a new House District that starts at the Volusia County line and ends in Rockledge.




Policy Issues:

- With a population of 160,290, House District 29 is roughly 1.7% (3,613 people) over the ideal population for a house district.
- During the testimony, West Brevard was not defined and more clarification may be needed.

Cent-26: Remove Congressional District 3 from Orlando

Description: “Please redistrict me into District #8 or at least out of West Jacksonville.” In other words, Orange County and Duval County should not share a Congressperson.⁵⁹

Visual: Orange County and its current Congressional districts, including Congressional District 3 (light blue). Orange County is outlined in a pink border.



Policy Issues:


- Congressional District 3’s 230,416 people in Orange County would need to be redistricted into the adjacent districts.
- Is this viewed as retrogressive if Black voters in the current Congressional District 3 do not retain the ability to elect a candidate of their choice?

⁵⁹ Ireland, Bob – Submitted August 6, 2011 via Email

Cent-27: Combine All of Osceola County with Portions of Orange County for a Congressional District

Description: “Keep Osceola County intact in one Congressional District, combining all of Osceola with Orange County into one Congressional district with similar community interests and populations.”⁶⁰
“Please combine Osceola County with Orange County into one Congressional district. That way, my Congressional Representatives will be near my home and represent the metropolitan Orlando area.”⁶¹
“I urge you to redistricting Osceola County into one congressional district with Orange County, as the two counties are contiguous, compact, and similarly situated as defined by the employment numbers at Disney World, the Lake Nona district, Martin-Martietta, and other employers. We are too fragmented now.”⁶² Osceola and Orange counties “share the same major employers, we share the same environment, we share the same diverse populations, we share the same judicial circuit, we share transportation money, we share tourism as our major industry, we share I-4 and its problems, we share so much that the county line is blurred.”⁶³

Visual: An example of what the Congressional district could look like, with all of Osceola County and portions of Orange County.



Policy Issues:

- It was not specified which portions of Orange County should be associated with this Congressional district.
- Osceola County would only represent little more than one-third of the proposed Congressional district's population. Orange County would need to account for 427,659 of the people in the district.

⁶⁰ Garber, Stephanie and Selfridge, Clay – Submitted July 29, 2011 via Emails

⁶¹ Morton, Sheri, Charles Wooley and Charles Selfridge – Submitted July 27, 2011 via Orlando Public Hearing


⁶² Several Authors – Submitted June 30, 2011 via Emails

⁶³ Smith, Nancy – Submitted July 27, 2011 via Orlando Public Hearing

Cent-28: No More than 2.5 Senate districts, 8 House districts, and 3 Congressional Districts in Orange County

Description: “Orlando needs the 2.5 Senate Districts to which we are entitled, not more. We need the 8 House Districts to which we are entitled, not pieces of eleven. And we need to have not more than three Congressional Districts penetrating the territory of Orange (County).⁶⁴

Visual: Orange County assigned to a single district.



Policy Issues:


- Orange County has a total population of 1,145,956, which is equates to 7.3 House districts, 2.4 Senate districts and 1.6 Congressional districts.

⁶⁴ Head, Douglas – Submitted July 27, 2011 via Orlando Public Meeting


Cent-29: Orange County State House Districts for Blacks and Hispanics

Description: “There is rational space in Orange County to create two State House Districts with a heavy Hispanic voice. And there is plenty of room for two State House Districts with a heavy Black voice.”⁶⁵

Visual: The view in MyDistrictBuilder of Orange County VTDs with equal to or greater than a 30% Hispanic Voting Age Population (VAP).



Visual: The view in MyDistrictBuilder of Orange County VTDs with equal to or greater than a 30% Black Voting Age Population (VAP).




⁶⁵ Head, Douglas – Submitted July 27, 2011 via Orlando Public Meeting

Cent-30: Seminole County with Winter Park Zip Code Wants to Vote in Same Congressional District as Orange County Neighbors

Description: “I live in that Southwestern corner of Seminole County that has a Winter Park mailing address but is represented by an Eastern Florida Congressional District and so on, different from my Winter Park neighbors within walking distance just across the county line in Orange County.”⁶⁶

Visual: This is the approximate area of residence for this individual at the Orange-Seminole county lines. These are the existing Congressional districts.



Policy Issues:


- There are multiple communities along the Orange-Seminole county line that share zip codes and other community characteristics. For example, the Goldenrod community is an unincorporated community that crosses into Orange and Seminole counties, and both sides of the community share a common zip code.

⁶⁶ Hoyt, John – Submitted July 27, 2011 via Orlando Public Hearing

Cent-31: Do Not Connect North Brevard to Districts that Will Likely Produce Candidates from Orlando

Description: Congressional District 24 and State House District 29 produce candidates with greater loyalties to areas other than northern Brevard County, and those candidates have a large district so it is difficult for them to effectively represent their constituents.⁶⁷

Visual: The current Congressional District 24 in beige, with Brevard County outlined in pink.



Policy Issues:


- Brevard County has 543,376 people. That is adequate for the majority of a Congressional District to be in Brevard County, and for 3.5 State House districts to be in Brevard County.

⁶⁷ Forward, Don – Submitted March 18, 2011 via Facebook

Cent-32: Need for Hispanic-American Participation in Seminole County

Description: “A House district comprising of Casselberry, Altamonte Springs, Fern Park and Longwood is needed for Hispanic American Participation.”⁶⁸

Visual: The municipalities of Casselberry, Altamonte Spring and Longwood, and the unincorporated area of Fern Park put into the same State House District.



Policy Issues:


- As defined by the author, the House district would have a 19% Hispanic Voting Age Population, not likely significant enough to consider it a meaningful opportunity for the Hispanic community to elect a candidate of choice.
- The district would need further definition to address holes and noncontiguous geography.
- The district would need 66,051 people to meet the ideal population.

⁶⁸ Denis, Frank – Submitted June 3, 2011 via WordPress

Cent-33: HPUBH0002 – State House Districts Based in Brevard County⁶⁹

Description: Partial State House redistricting plan with four districts drawn.

Visual: Proposed State House Districts based out of Brevard County, also impacting Volusia County.



Policy Issues:


- House District 29 (brown): The population deviation is 575 people from the ideal population.
- House District 30 (light blue): The population deviation is 876 people from the ideal population.
- House District 31 (light pink): The population deviation is 768 people from the ideal population.
- House District 32 (dark pink): The population deviation is 461 people from the ideal population.

⁶⁹ John Alvarez of Brevard County

Cent-34: HPUBH0016 – State House Districts Based in Pasco County⁷⁰

Description: Partial State House redistricting plan with five districts drawn.

Visual: Proposed State House Districts based out of Pasco County, also impacting Hernando, Hillsborough, Pinellas and Sumter counties.



Policy Issues:


- House District 43 (light green): The population deviation is 77 people from the ideal population.
- House District 44 (dark green): The population deviation is 959 people from the ideal population.
- House District 45 (cream): The population deviation is 33 people from the ideal population.
- House District 46 (pink): The population deviation is 496 people from the ideal population.
- House District 61 (light yellow): The population deviation is 399 people from the ideal population.

⁷⁰ Chris Williams of Pasco County

Cent-36: HPUBH0029 – State House District Based in Indian River County⁷²

Description: Partial State House redistricting plan with one district drawn.

Visual: Proposed State House District based out of Indian River County, also impacting Brevard, Okeechobee, Osceola and Polk counties



Policy Issues:


- The population deviation is 251 people from the ideal population.

⁷² Tom Hill of Indian River County

Cent-37: HPUBC0023 – Congressional District Based in Central Florida⁷³

Description: Partial Congressional redistricting plan with one district drawn. “I am writing to recommend that new district lines reflect the growth of this community and give fair representation to the Hispanic and Puerto Rican population.”⁷⁴ “We also ask that this Committee carefully consider the significant growth of Florida’s Hispanic population in the Central Florida area. Specifically, we note that the area encompassing Orange, Osceola, and Polk counties grew by 30 percent in the last decade, and the Hispanic community was responsible for 58 percent of the population increase in these areas. Therefore, we believe that this Committee should consider creating a Congressional district in the area which reflects this growth, and provides the Hispanic community a strong voice in the electoral process. This should be accomplished by creating a district with as close to 50 percent Hispanic voting age population as possible.”⁷⁵ “Add at least a “Minority Majority” Congressional seat to Metro Orlando (no less than 45% Hispanic because they have no Congressional representation).”⁷⁶

Visual: Proposed Congressional district in Orange, Osceola and Polk counties.



Policy Issues:

- The population deviation is 1 person from the ideal population.
- The proposed Congressional District has a 43% Hispanic Voting Age Population

⁷³ Emilio Perez of Goldenrod and Latino Justice PRLDEF

⁷⁴ Silver, Patricia – Submitted September 9, 2011 via Letter


⁷⁵ National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials (NALEO) – Submitted August 11, 2011 via Letter

⁷⁶ Freytes, Dennis – Submitted July 27, 2011 via Orlando Public Hearing

SE-1: Keep Palm City Whole within a House District

Description: I live in Palm City and feel marginalized by being in House District 81. I should be in House District 82. Palm City is a bedroom community to Stuart and has much more in common with it than with Port St. Lucie. Please keep us whole in the House map.¹

Visual: The Palm City area in House Districts currently looks like this (House District 81 in pink, District 82 in green with a circle around the Palm City area):



Policy Issues:


- The Palm City area of Martin County is unincorporated, so the parameters of that community would need to be further defined.
- Both House Districts 81 and 82 need to lose population in order to meet the ideal population for a House district. 81 needs to lose 44,956, while 82 needs to lose 15,588.

¹ David Glener of Palm City

SE-2: Combine all of Martin, St. Lucie, Indian River and Okeechobee Counties to form a Congressional district

Description: If you add the populations of Martin, St. Lucie, Indian River and Okeechobee Counties, it is almost exactly 700,000 people. This would be a compact district. While Okeechobee isn't similar to the other three Treasure Coast counties, those residents often travel to the Treasure Coast for goods and services and are integrated into our community.²

Visual: Combining those four counties into a Congressional district would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 602,131, the district shown above is too small to meet the ideal population of a Congressional district. 94,213 more people would be needed from other counties or communities.

² David Glener of Palm City

SE-3: Keep Indiantown Whole³

Description: Indiantown has 6,408 residents, 2,901 of them are voters. It is the only city in the county that is split between three different (House) districts. They deserve one member of Congress and in the State Legislature. This community works together and should be together.⁴ No area has been redistricted worse than Indiantown.⁵

Visual: The Martin County community of Indiantown looks like this (with a circle around it):



Policy Issues:

- Indiantown is an unincorporated community (located in Western Martin County) so the boundaries of the community are unclear. Further clarification might be needed.

³ David, Vicki (Martin County Supervisor of Elections) – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Stuart Public Meeting


⁴ Carrie Anderson of the Martin County Supervisor of Elections Office

⁵ James Harrell of Stuart

SE-4: Keep Martin County Whole within a House District

Description: When I look at Martin County, it is roughly the same size as the population of a House seat.⁶

Visual: All of Martin County within a House district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 146,318, Martin County is too small to have a House seat all to itself in order to meet the ideal population for a House seat. More communities would need to be added to it in order to gain the additional 10,359 people needed.

⁶ Frank Chicune of Stuart

SE-5: Nest Senate and House Districts within Congressional Districts


Description: Take the Congressional districts and break them up into Senate and House districts.⁷

Visual: Using the illustrations below to demonstrate the concept of “nesting”, a Senate district will be divided into three equal portions so that three House districts are nested within the Senate district.



The square dots within it represent an entire State Senate district and its population. Using the concept of nesting, each House district will have an equal amount of population and each House district’s boundaries will be nested within the Senate district.

⁷ Gary Worth of Palm City




Policy Issues:

- Unlike the concept of nesting House districts into a Senate district or vice-versa, the numbers for the ideal population for a Congressional district do not sync up with the ideal populations for a Senate and House district, which is critical to nesting. The ideal population for a Congressional district is 696,345, while the ideal populations for a Senate and House district are 470,033 and 156,678. This means is that 1.48 Senate districts would be able to “nest” in a Congressional district and 4.44 House districts would be able to. In order for nesting to work, whole districts have to be able to nest within the larger district.

SE-6: Keep the City of Port St. Lucie Whole

Description: I would like to see the City of Port St. Lucie kept intact.⁸

Visual: The City of Port St. Lucie kept whole within a district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 164,603, the population of the City of Port St. Lucie is larger than the ideal population for a House district. That is a deviation of 5.1% over the population. In order for the population of the district above to be closer to the ideal population, the City of Port St. Lucie would need to be split among two districts.
- Using the boundaries of the City of Port St. Lucie as the district, there are holes within the district that would need to be addressed.

⁸ James Rich of Port St. Lucie

SE-7: An Outline Presented on how to have Two House Districts in Martin County

Description: Port St. Lucie has its own population enough for a House seat and does not need to share a seat with Martin County. For two Martin County seats, use the turnpike as the western boundary, the St. Lucie County line as the northern boundary and go south into Hobe Sound somewhere in the vicinity of north of Tequesta. Indiantown has common interests as Okeechobee and Highlands Counties. Add then together to create a rural district of common interest.⁹

Visual: Following the instructions given in the testimony, the districts would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- The population for District 1 (in green, above) is 156,393, which is just 284 people shy of the ideal population for a House district, which is a deviation of .2%.
- In the creation of District 1 above, a pair of VTDs had to be split in order for the Florida Turnpike to be used as the dividing line to the East.
- The population for District 2 (in brown, above) is 128,707 which is too small for the ideal population for a House district. Other communities to the north or south would need to be added in order to obtain the 27,970 needed to complete the district. In the testimony given, it was mentioned to bring the district south of Hobe Sound and stop just north of Tequesta, which was what was attempted here. The district stops at the Martin/Palm Beach County line, as going further south would have taken the district into Tequesta.

⁹ Charles Winn of Stuart

SE-8: Potential Changes to House District 87 if they are needed for Population

Description: “The majority of the City of Boca Raton is within House District 87 with areas in the Northwest located with House District 86. A small portion of Southeastern Boca Raton, which abuts the Palm Beach County/Broward County line, is currently in District 91. These Boca Raton residents are not being adequately represented by a Broward-based Legislator who has limited interaction with the entities serving them...”¹⁰ I believe that the current House District 87 is compact and Boca Raton based. If you have to change it, take one portion of the district that is in House District 91 and bring it up to Boca Raton and remove House District 87 from Broward County.¹¹

Visual: Currently, House District 87 looks like this (in blue/green, with red arrows pointing to the Broward County portion of the district):



Policy Issues:

- Currently, House District 87 has a population of 137,131 which is too small for the ideal population of a house district. If the Broward County portion of the district was removed and substituted for the Palm Beach County portion of House District 91, District 87 actually loses more population than the current district, going to 127,522.


¹⁰ Haynie, Susan – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Boca Raton Public Hearing

¹¹ Thomas Sliney of Boca Raton

SE-9: Keep the City of West Palm Beach Whole

Description: I live in the City of West Palm Beach, which has four representatives, so we are split up. Please try to put the city together.¹²

Visual: The City of West Palm Beach kept whole in a district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 99,919, the City of West Palm Beach is too small to meet the ideal population of a House district. 56,758 more people would be needed from other communities to complete the district.

¹² Gregg Weiss of West Palm Beach

SE-10: Keep the City of Delray Beach Whole

Description: My mother and I love one and a half miles apart in Delray Beach and yet we have two different representatives, even though we have similar interests. We all want to be drawn together.¹³

Visual: The City of Delray Beach kept whole within one district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 60,552, the City of Delray Beach is too small to meet the ideal population of a House district. 96,155 more people would be needed from other communities to complete the district.
- If the city's boundaries are used to create a legislative district, that district would have holes that would need to be addressed.

¹³ Susan Litchfield of Delray Beach

SE-11: Keep the City of Boca Raton Whole within a House District

Description: I live in East Boca. I want to see East Boca and West Boca just one district and I would like to see that the districts drawn according to city boundary lines.¹⁴ East Boca should all be in one district.¹⁵

Visual: The City of Boca Raton kept whole within one district would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 84,392, the City of Boca Raton is too small to meet the ideal population of a House district. 72,285 more people would be needed from other communities to complete the district.
- Regarding East Boca Raton, keeping the entire city whole would accomplish the request of keep East Boca Raton whole. The testimony was unclear as to what would be the dividing line between east and west, so more clarification may be needed.


¹⁴ Silvia Hall of Boca Raton

¹⁵ Carolyn Brih of Boca Raton

SE-12: Have the Area Represented by the Coalition of Boynton West Residential Associations Kept Whole within a District

Description: Our coalition contains residential associations that are west of the City of Boynton Beach, north of the Delray line and west all the way to the Loxahatchee preserve. The compact, sensible area that makes up the coalition is currently divided between four State Reps, two Senators and two members of Congress. Please consider us a community of people with similar interests and make us one district.¹⁶

Visual: Using VTDs, the area described in the testimony kept whole in a single district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 111,004, the district drawn above is too small to meet the ideal population of a House district. 45,673 more people would be needed from other communities to complete the district.
- The district drawn above may not align with the communities within the Coalition, but was the best representation (using VTDs) of what was given from the testimony. More clarification may be needed in order to have them align.

¹⁶ Ken Lassiter of Boynton Beach

SE-13: Potential Changes to House District 95

Description: The community of Wynmoor should be whole within House District 95 and that district should be whole within Broward.¹⁷

Visual: Currently, House District 95 looks like this (in light purple with a circle around the Wynmoor community):



Policy Issues:


- Currently, the population for House District 95 is 134,355, which is too small to meet the ideal population of a House district. 22,322 more people would need to be added to it to complete the district.
- The boundaries for the community of Wynmoor were not specified in the testimony, so more clarification may be needed.

¹⁷ Benjamin Nazario of Coconut Creek

SE-14: Keep the Community of East Gate Whole within House District 94

Description: Our community, East Gate within Lauderdale Lakes, was split 10 years ago between House Districts 93 and 94. Our community is between Oakland Park Blvd, 31st Avenue, State Road 7 and NW 19th Street. We ask to be put back into House District 94.¹⁸

Visual: Placing all of the East Gate community within House District 94 (in gray) would look like this (with a rounded rectangle around the East Gate community referenced in the testimony):



Policy Issues:


- According to the testimony, the eastern boundary of the community was State Road 7. After looking at the map and the other streets reference, we believe that the eastern boundary was supposed to be State Road 9 and that the number seven was given erroneously.
- With a population of 155,371, House District 94 as shown above is just 1,306 people shy of the ideal population for a House district, for a deviation of .9%.
- Removing the East Gate community from House District 93 no longer makes it a contiguous district. Addressing this issue would lead to population changes to House Districts 94 and 93 and possibly others.

¹⁸ Beverly Williams of

SE-15: Keep the Town of Wilton Manors Whole

Description: My town of Wilton Manors is currently split among three Congressional Districts, three Senate Districts and two House districts. We should be whole within each of these maps.¹⁹

Visual: The Town of Wilton Manors kept whole in a district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 11,632, the Town of Wilton Manors is too small to meet the ideal population of a House, Senate or Congressional district. 145,045 more people would be needed from other communities to complete the House district, as an example.
- Using the town's boundaries for a district create holes that would need to be addressed.

¹⁹ Timothy Ross of Wilton Manors

SE-16: Keep the City of Cooper City Whole

Description: My city of Cooper City is divided and I want to see it put into the same district.²⁰

Visual: The City of Cooper City kept whole within one district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 28,547 the City of Cooper City is too small to meet the ideal population of a House, Senate or Congressional district. 128,130 more people would be needed from other communities to complete the House district, as an example.
- If the city's boundaries are used to create a legislative district, that district would have holes that would need to be addressed.

²⁰ David Brundich of Cooper City

SE-17: Combine the cities of Cooper City and Davie Into a District

Description: If you combined Cooper City and Davie, you would have about half of a House district. They share a lot in common, like a Chamber of Commerce and an equestrian lifestyle.²¹

Visual: Combining all of Cooper City and Davie within one district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 120,539, the district above is too small to meet the ideal population of a House district. 36,138 more people would be needed from other communities to complete the district.
- Using both cities' boundaries for the district would lead to several VTDs being split.

²¹ Steve Moyer of

SE-18: Keep The City of Parkland Whole

Description: I would like to see Parkland kept whole like you did 10 years ago.²²

Visual: Keeping the City of Parkland whole within a district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 23,962, the City of Parkland is too small to meet the ideal population of a House district. 132,715 more people would be needed from other communities to complete the district.
- Using the city's boundaries for the district would create two areas of land that would need to be joined together within the district to avoid contiguity issues. Doing this also splits several VTDs.

²² Michael DeGruccio of Parkland

SE-19: Combine the Cities of Parkland and Coral Springs into a District

Description: Parkland should be with Coral Springs. It doesn't have much in common with Cooper City and Davie.²³

Visual: Combining Parkland and Coral Springs into a district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 145,058, the district above is too small to meet the ideal population of a House district. 11,619 more people would need to be added to it to complete the district.
- Using both cities' boundaries for the district result in the splitting of several VTDs.

²³ Nicolas Steffans of Coconut Creek

SE-20: Keep the City of Plantation Whole

Description: Our city is divided. We would like you to respect us and our compactness.²⁴ Some cities are so gerrymandered I feel bad for their residents. Plantation has five House members.²⁵

Visual: Keeping the City of Plantation Whole within a District would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 84,955, the City of Plantation is too small to meet the ideal population for a House district. It would need 71,722 people from other communities added to it in order to be complete.
- Using the city's boundaries as a legislative district would result in the splitting of several VTDs.


²⁴ Peter Tingem

²⁵ Nicolas Steffans of

SE-21: Combine Hialeah, Hialeah Gardens and Miami Lakes into One District

Description: Miami-Dade County's population demands that a sufficient number of districts have the ability to elect the candidate of their choice. Hialeah, Hialeah Gardens, Miami Lakes, or Coral Gables, Coconut Grove and South Miami should make up one district.²⁶

Visual: Placing those communities into one district would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 275,774, the district above would be too large for the ideal population for a House district (by 119,097) and too small for the ideal population for a Senate (by 194,259) or Congressional district (by 420,571).
- Using the city boundaries to create the district above would also create a hole within the district that would need to be addressed. The creation of this district also splits several VTDs.

²⁶ Ira Paul of Hialeah

SE-22: Combine Coral Gables, Coconut Grove and South Miami into a District

Description: Miami-Dade County's population demands that a sufficient number of districts have the ability to elect the candidate of their choice. Coral Gables, Coconut Grove and South Miami should make up one district.²⁷

Visual: Combining those communities would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- In the testimony given, the exact parameters of the Coconut Grove community were not defined, so the VTDs south and east of US-1 just north of the Coral Gables city boundary were selected. More clarification may be needed.
- With a population of 72,115, the district above is too small to meet the ideal population for a House, Senate or Congressional district. As an example, to complete a House district, 84,562 more people from other communities would need to be added to it.
- Using the city boundaries to create a district would also create holes within the district that would need to be addressed. Several VTDs were also split in the creation of this district.

²⁷ Ira Paul of Hialeah

SE-23: Keep the Current Congressional District 17 Intact

Description: Please preserve the 17th Congressional District and the ethnic communities in it. It is currently 34% Haitian-American.²⁸ I am interested in the integrity of District 17. I am happy with the current district, but if changes need to be made, increase the district's population by going north and northwest.²⁹ Maintain the integrity of District 17 as it appears now.³⁰

Visual: Currently, Congressional District 17 looks like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 655,160 the current District 17 is too small to meet the ideal population of a Congressional district. 41,184 more people would need to be added to it in order to meet the ideal population. Several members of the public stated that the district should expand to the north or northwest if it needed to grow.

²⁸ Jonas Georges of Miami Shores


²⁹ Georges Daniel of the Haitian American Coalition

³⁰ Jean-Robert LaFortune of Haitian-American Task Force on Redistricting

SE-24: Add the Port of Miami and Miami International Airport to Congressional District 17

Description: Congressional District 17 needs to add the Port of Miami and the airport. Bringing those institutions into the district would also bring more investment into the district.³¹ Put the port and airport into District 17.³²

Visual: Adding the Port of Miami as well as Miami-International Airport to Congressional District 17 would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- By only adding the VTDs containing the Port of Miami and Miami-International Airport, District 17 has now become non-contiguous. Other geography would need to be added to it in order to correct this.
- Adding both of the VTDs mentioned above makes no change to the population of District 17, which is 41,184 people short of the ideal population for a Congressional district.


³¹ Badili Jones of Miami

³² Gihan Perera of Miami Shores

SE-25: Combine South Miami Beach and Coconut Grove into One District

Description: I would like to see South Miami Beach connected to the Coconut Grove area for tourism and hospitality.³³

Visual: Combining the South Miami Beach area with the Coconut Grove area would look something like this:



Policy Issues:


- During the testimony that was given, the parameters to define South Miami Beach as well as Coconut Grove were unclear. For the purposes of this exercise, the VTDs just shy of the Venetian Causeway were used for South Miami Beach and the area for Coconut Grove that was used in Miami Issue 1-2 of this report were used.
- With a population of 66,889, the district above is too small to meet the ideal population for a House district. 89,788 more people would need to be added from other communities in order to complete the district.

³³ Harold Foster of Miami Beach

SE-26: Remove Immokalee and Naples from Congressional District 25

Description: Currently, District 25 unfairly splits racial minorities and communities of interest. Immokalee and Naples do not share the same issues as Miami and they do not reflect our area.³⁴

Visual: Currently, Congressional District 25 looks like this (with the Immokalee and Naples areas circled):



Policy Issues:


- During the testimony given, it was stated that Naples should be removed from the district. It is important to note that the City of Naples is not in District 25, but rather in District 14. It is possible that the speaker meant to say the Naples area or the Naples and Immokalee communities of Collier County as opposed to municipalities. Speaking of which, the Immokalee community of Collier County is not a municipality and the parameters of that community which they request be removed are unclear. More clarification may be needed.
- With a population of 807,176, the current Congressional District 25 is too large to meet the ideal population of a Congressional district. 110,832 people would need to be removed from it in order to be complete.

³⁴ Lida Rodriguez-Taseff of Miami

SE-27: Keep the Kendall Community Whole

Description: Kendall should be kept together. The people of Kendall do not need three representatives, they need one.³⁵

Visual: The Kendall Community can be found on the map below (with a circle around it):



Policy Issues:


- The parameters of the Kendall community were not expressly defined in the testimony given, so more clarification may be needed.

³⁵ Lida Rodriguez-Taseff of Miami

SE-28: Keep the Town of Miami Lakes Whole

Description: Our town is divided into House districts. Please put Miami Lakes into one district.³⁶

Visual: Keeping Miami Lakes whole within a district looks like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 29,361, Miami Lakes is too small to meet the ideal population for a House district. 127,316 more people from other communities would need to be added to it in order to be a complete district.
- In the creation in the district above, several VTDs were split in the process.

³⁶ Councilman Nelson Hernandez, Town of Miami Lakes

SE-29: Keep The City of Miami Gardens Whole

Description: Keep Miami Gardens together as a city.³⁷

Visual: Keeping the City of Miami Gardens whole within one district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 107,167, Miami Gardens is too small to meet the ideal population for a House District. 49,510 more people from other communities would need to be added to it in order to complete the district.

³⁷ Councilman Oliver Gilbert, City of Miami Gardens

SE-30: Reconfigure the Congressional Districts in South Florida per the Comments of Mr. Andrew Casademunt

Description: District 20 goes from the West all the way down to Aventura, Sunny Isles Beach and Bay Harbor Island. I think that this district, which has lost 40,000 people, should go more into Broward County and that District 17 should take over those municipalities. District 18 should stay how it is right now because it covers coastal communities from Miami Beach down into the Keys. District 25 has a problem relating to Collier County. Collier has grown significantly and I believe that Southwest Florida should have a new district. District 21 has lost a little bit of population and should move up and get more of the area. We also need to protect the minority communities in all of these districts.³⁸

Visual: Currently, the Congressional Districts 17, 18 and 20 look like this (with arrows demonstrating the direction given in the testimony):



³⁸ Andrew Casademunt of Miami


Policy Issues:

- For the Congressional Districts mentioned in this testimony, the current population deviations for each district are:
 - District 17: 41,184 under
 - District 18: 16,446 over
 - District 20: 4,617 under
 - District 21: 2,843 under
 - District 25: 110,83 over

SE-31: Reconfigure House Districts 101 and 112 per the Comments of Mr. Andrew Casademunt

Description: For House Districts 112 and 101, I think that we need to keep Collier County with Collier and Miami-Dade County with Miami-Dade. We need to combine the two districts and make it a rural area because there are a lot of farmers and a lot of rural communities that need representation.³⁹

Visual: House Districts 101 and 112 currently look like this:



Policy Issues:


- The current population for Districts 101 and 112 are 189,600 and 210,556, respectively. If you were to combine the two districts as they are currently, their population would be 400,156, which would be enough for two and a half House districts, and would be 69,877 people short of the ideal population for a Senate district.

³⁹ Andrew Casademunt of Miami

SE-32: Keep Coral Gables Whole

Description: Currently, House Districts 111 and 117 go through Coral Gables. We could only have one district there.⁴⁰

Visual: Keeping Coral Gables whole within a district would look like this:



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 46,780 the City of Coral Gables is too small to meet the ideal population for a House district. 109,897 people from other communities would need to be added to it in order to complete the district.
- In the process of creating the district above, several VTDs were split in the process.

⁴⁰ Andrew Casademunt of Miami

SE-33: Continue to Have The Village of Palmetto Bay Split Between Two Districts

Description: I think that Palmetto Bay has been better served by having two representatives.⁴¹

Visual: The Village of Palmetto Bay looks like this in the current State Senate map:



Policy Issues:


- It is important to note that the Senate map was chosen for this issue because it splits the Village of Palmetto Bay into two districts, while the House and Congressional maps split it into three districts.
- With a population of 418,626, Senate District 36 is too small for the ideal population while Senate District 39 is too large for the ideal population at 483,183 people.

⁴¹ Gisela Gomar of Miami

SE-34: Keep the Districts within Miami-Dade County Vertical

Description: I think that we should continue to have our Miami-Dade districts run north to south instead of east to west.⁴²

Visual: The current Congressional Districts look like this:



Policy Issues:


- For the Congressional Districts mentioned in this testimony, the current population deviations for each district are:
 - District 17: 41,184 under
 - District 18: 16,446 over
 - District 20: 4,617 under
 - District 21: 2,843 under
 - District 25: 110,83 over

⁴² Gisela Gomar of Miami

SE-35: Keep Cutler Bay Whole Within a District

Description: All lines go through Cutler Bay. House Districts 118,119 and 120 literally split our community apart. We are split three ways in the Senate and in Congress as well. I would like to have one Senator, one Representative and one Congressman.⁴³

Visual: Keep The Town of Cutler Bay whole within a district would look like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 40,286, The Town of Cutler Bay is too small to meet the ideal population of a House, Senate or Congressional district. As an example, 116,391 more people from other communities would need to be added to it in order to complete a House district.
- In the process of creating this district, several VTDs were split.

⁴³ Jaime Reyes of Cutler Bay

SE-36: Keep Monroe County Whole

Description: The Keys are different than most places in Florida and you have recognized this by declaring it an area of critical concern. We need a cohesive representative in the House, Senate and in Congress. We may not be compact, but our issues are unique.⁴⁴ Please recognize that the Keys are designated as an area of critical concern. We have issues such as endangered species and affordable housing in our community. We need a cohesive unit of interest.⁴⁵

Visual: Keeping Monroe County (The Keys) whole looks like this:



Policy Issues:

- With a population of 73,090, Monroe County is not large enough to meet the ideal population of a House, Senate or Congressional district. As an example, 83,587 people from additional communities would need to be added to it.


⁴⁴ Ed Scales of Key West

⁴⁵ Ty Symroski

SE-37: Do Not Dilute Hispanic Representation for the Keys

Description: “The new map boundaries should in no way dilute Hispanic representation, but rather reflect our growing population and its needs.”⁴⁶ “Please keep in mind that future districts cannot be drawn in a way that diminishes the ability of us as minorities to elect representatives of our choice.”⁴⁷

Visual: The current Congressional Districts 18 (Everglades) and 25 (Florida Keys).



Policy Issues:

- Today, Monroe County is represented by two Hispanic members of Congress.
- State Senate District 39, which includes Monroe County, has a 43% Hispanic Voting Age Population (VAP) compared to a 29% Black VAP.
- House District 120, which includes Monroe County, has a 47% Hispanic VAP.


⁴⁶ Perdomo, Everardo – Submitted September 13, 2011 by Fax

⁴⁷ Aguero, Alfredo – Submitted August 18, 2011 via Key West Public Hearing

SE-38: Realign North Miami Beach with Aventura

Description: “I live in North Miami Beach near 10th Ave. I am now in Dist 17. I feel my interests are closer to the voters in Aventura and would like to be in the same district as them.”⁴⁸ “The Jewish community of North Miami Beach and parts of unincorporated Miami-Dade county are neglected and underserved by our representatives...Can something be done to move this area into the district that covers areas to our east rather than to our west. The district that includes Aventura would be a far better match for our population.”⁴⁹

Visual: The current Congressional Districts 17 (Red) and 20 (Purple). The arrow illustrates the shift requested by the author.



Policy Issues:

- Congressional District 17: The district is currently 41,184 people below the ideal population.
- Congressional District 20: The district is currently 4,617 people below the ideal population.
- Congressional District 17 is a majority-minority district with a 57% Black VAP.


⁴⁸ Brenenson, Sorah – Submitted September 2, 2011 via Email

⁴⁹ Katz, Michael – Submitted August 23, 2011 via Email

SE-39: Create Opportunities for Palm Beach Hispanics in the State House

Description: “At the State House level, minorities living in the neighborhoods I mentioned earlier are not empowered because we cannot elect a candidate of our choice with the way the House lines are drawn right now.”⁵⁰

Visual: The view in MyDistrictBuilder of Palm Beach County VTDs with equal to or greater than a 30% Hispanic Voting Age Population (VAP).



Policy Issues:


- Palm Beach County has a 17% Hispanic VAP, and a 15% Black VAP.

⁵⁰ Mercedes-Flores, Yolanda – Submitted August 22, 2011 via Email

SE-40: Create an Opportunity for Southeast Palm Beach County Residents to Elect a Black Candidate to the State House

Description: “In Boynton Beach, the African American community has the ability to elect candidate of our choice to all levels of government except the State House of Representatives...I ask that you fix this when you draw the new lines and allow us to elect a candidate of our choice to the Florida House.”⁵¹

Visual: The view in MyDistrictBuilder of Palm Beach County VTDs with equal to or greater than a 30% Black Voting Age Population (VAP).



Policy Issues:


- Palm Beach County does have a majority-minority Black district, House District 84, with a Black VAP of 53%.

⁵¹ Brown, Hugh – Submitted August 20, 2011 via Email

SE-41: Do Not Dilute Hispanic Representation for Broward County

Description: “The redrawing of the boundaries of state and federal districts should in no way dilute the Hispanic vote.”⁵² “The Hispanic community is growing at a significant pace in Broward County and that the final outcome of the maps should not in any way dilute Hispanic representation but rather reflect our growing population consistent with the parameters of the Voting Rights Act.”⁵³

Visual: The current Congressional District 21 (green) and Broward County outlined.



Policy Issues:

- Today, Monroe County is represented by one Hispanic members of Congress.
- Today, Broward County is represented by two Hispanic members in the State House.
- Current State Senate districts in Broward County with a greater than 25% Hispanic VAP: Senate District 34 (46%); Senate District 39 (43%); Senate District 35 (42%); and Senate District 31 (28%).


⁵² Henao, Oscar – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Davie Public Hearing

⁵³ Andrade, Fabio – Submitted August 16, 2011 via David Public Hearing

SE-42: Maintain Levels of Representation for Coconut Creek

Description: “At a recent City Commission workshop held on August 4th, the consensus of our commission was to voice our preference for having continuing representation by two Members of Congress, two State Senators and two State Representatives.”⁵⁴

Visual: Coconut Creek highlighted.



Policy Issues:



- The population of Coconut Creek is 52,909 people.

⁵⁴ Aronson, Lisa (City of Coconut Creek) – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Davie Public Hearing

SE-43: Maintain Palm Beach County Majority-Minority Black Districts

Description: “The county also has thriving African-American communities, such as Riviera Beach, Pahokee, Belle Glade, and South Bay...Those cities should remain in the ‘majority minority’ House seat...Also, African-Americans in Riviera Beach should remain in the ‘majority minority’ Senate...”⁵⁵⁵⁶⁵⁷

Visual: Senate District 19 and House District 84.



Policy Issues:

- Senate District 19: Needs 72,888 people to meet the ideal population.
- House District 84: Needs 11,743 people to meet the ideal population.

⁵⁵ Smith, John R. – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Boca Raton Public Hearing


⁵⁶ Feaman, Peter – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Boca Raton Public Meeting

⁵⁷ Barnett, Michael – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Boca Raton Public Meeting

SE-44: Maintain Treasure Coast Coastal Communities

Description: “It is imperative that our districting effort reflect the coastal area, since the needs & challenges of western Palm Beach County are totally different from the coastal area...” Preserve the communities of Congressional District 22, Senate District 25 and House District 83.⁵⁸ “I am here today to propose that the legislature make no major changes to Senate District 25 and House District 87 as part of the 2012 redistricting process...As a practical matter, there are close to 20 individual jurisdictions fronting the ocean in Senate District 25, including seven individual jurisdictions within House District 87.”⁶⁰ “My district 91 has special interests in the beaches of our communities.”⁶²

Visual: The coastal communities as defined by the districts referenced above.



Policy Issues:

- Based on public input received, it is not clear how far inland is considered “coastal.” However, there are some common traits to these districts that provide for a minimal level of guidance.

⁵⁸ Kotecki, Robert – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Email

⁵⁹ Several Authors – Submitted August 14-19 via Emails

⁶⁰ Ganger, Robert – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Boca Raton Public Hearing


⁶¹ De Haseth, Kristine – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Boca Raton Public Hearing

⁶² Laine, Stephen – Submitted August 16, 2001 via Boca Raton Public Hearing

SE-45: Palm Beach County Political and Geographical Boundary Lines

Description: Palm Beach County “communities of interest can be rationally divided, for example, geographically among the northern population center (Juno, Jupiter, Lake Park, North Palm Beach, Palm Beach Garden, Tequesta), the central county (Greenacres, Lake Worth, Lantana, Palm Springs, West Palm Beach), the south county (Boca Raton, Boynton Beach, Delray Beach), the western communities (Loxahatchee Groves, Royal Palm Beach, Wellington) and the Lakes-Glades region (Belle Glades, Pahokee and South Bay).” “While the FEC corridor, I-95 and the Turnpike serve as north-south connectors for communities of interest, they also provide natural division boundaries between the east and west.”⁶³

Visual: Palm Beach County with county, city and roadway boundaries visible.



Policy Issues:


- This suggestion did not actually provide specific guidance for where to place district boundary lines, but rather provided a list of options.

⁶³ Jones, Mike – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Stuart and Boca Raton Public Hearings

SE-46: Maintain Levels of Representation for Okeechobee County

Description: “Okeechobee County, Florida supports a redistricting plan which retains inclusion in two State House Districts and two State Senate Districts, to be serve the interests of Okeechobee County.”⁶⁴

Visual: Okeechobee County highlighted.



Policy Issues:


- The population of Okeechobee County is 39,996.

⁶⁴ Aronson, Lisa (City of Coconut Creek) – Submitted August 16, 2011 via Davie Public Hearing

SE-47: House District 78 is a Challenge to Represent

Description: “A real challenge to retain some continuity and to be representative in large geographical areas. As an example and one close to home just west of us is...District #78, really a challenge to cover and serve constituents.”⁶⁵

Visual: The current House District 78, outlined in pink.



Policy Issues:


- House District 78 needs to lose 524 people to meet the ideal population.

⁶⁵ Laine, Stephen – Submitted August 16, 2001 via Boca Raton Public Hearing

SE-48: Indian River County Kept Whole in Congress and Senate, Two Members in House⁶⁶

Description: Maintain one seat in a Congress and one seat in the State Senate for Indian River County, but two seats in the State House.

Visual: Indian River County divided into two State House Districts currently: House District 29 (brown) and 80 (pink).



Policy Issues:

- Indian River County has 138,028 people. Therefore, the County is 18,650 people short of a State House district, 332,005 people short of a State Senate district, and 558,317 people short of a Congressional district.

⁶⁶ Lockwood, Thomas – Submitted August 15, 2011 via Stuart Public Meeting


SE-49: Palm Beach County Commission Redistricting Priorities⁶⁷

Description: “Support the adoption of an apportionment plan in which Palm Beach County residents comprise a majority of the constituencies in the senatorial and representatives districts created such that no less than three Senate districts are created with a majority of their district within Palm Beach County.”

“The northern (Palm Beach County) municipalities maintain shared interests with some of the communities and municipalities to the north along the Treasure Coast.” “So long as the district lines remain compact and follow the municipal and geographic boundaries in the north, it is acceptable that some of the districts traverse county lines when also considering the principal of communities of similar interest.”

“It is our position to focus on the bigger picture of current representation based strictly on the number of representatives currently in the State and Federal Delegation and our desire to keep those numbers.”

Visual: Palm Beach County’s current Senate map, which includes parts of 6 districts.



Policy Issues:


- With a population of 1,320,124, Palm Beach County has population for 1.9 Congressional districts, 2.8 Senate districts, and 8.4 House districts.
- Of Palm Beach County’s existing Senate districts, Districts 25, 27 and 30 have a majority of their population in Palm Beach County.

⁶⁷ Palm Beach County – Submitted August 15, 2011 via Stuart and Boca Raton Public Hearings

SE-50: Move West Kendall into its own Senate District, Separate from Broward County⁶⁸

Description: “Create a district in which the numerous populace of West Kendall has its own Miami-Dade county senator.”

Visual: Senate District 34, with the Broward County portions removed. The pink outline illustrates what was removed in Broward County.



Policy Issues:

- After deleting the Broward County portions of Senate District 34, the population would be 121,181. Therefore, the new district would need to add 348,851 people from Miami-Dade County.

⁶⁸ Robinson, Dale G. – Submitted June 19, 2011 via Email

SE-51: More Concentrated Representation in the House and Senate by David Lewin⁶⁹

Description: “Following (are) simple suggestions for redistricting Palm Beach County (PBC) representation in the Florida State Senate and House of Representatives:

I would propose that after the 2010 redistricting process, in accordance with Fair District amendments 5 and 6. PBC be represented by three (3) Florida State Senators. PBC is currently partly represented by five (5) Senators.

I would propose that after redistricting, there should be nine (9) PBC House of Representatives. There are currently eleven (11) Representatives partly representing PBC.

I base these suggestions on the following facts:

Senate

Florida 2010 census total population of 18,801,310 divided by 40, the total Senate districts, means that each Senate District would consist of a population of 470,033, (current district population 399,559). PBC 2010 census total population of 1,320,134, divided by 470,033 should mean that the County would have 2.81 Senators. If Deerfield Beach, Broward County, population were included, PBC would have three State Senators.

I would suggest that redistricted Senate district boundaries are drawn much along the lines of current boundaries for Districts 25, 29, and 30, and incorporate the PBC areas currently represented by districts 27 and 28.

House

Florida total population 18,801,310 divided by 120 the total House districts, means each House District would represent a population of 156,678, (current district population 133,186). PBC population of 1,320,134, divided by 156,678 should mean that PBC would have 8.43 Representatives. If Deerfield Beach, Broward County, population were included, PBC would have 9 House Representatives.


I would suggest that redistricted House district boundaries are drawn much along the lines of current boundaries for districts 78, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, and 90, and incorporate the PBC areas currently represented by districts 82 and 91.”

⁶⁹ Lewin, David – Submitted August 8, 2011

SE-53: HPUBC0043 – Haitian-American Congressional District Based in Miami-Dade and Broward Counties⁷¹

Description: Partial Congressional redistricting plan with one district drawn.


Visual: Proposed Congressional district based out of Miami-Dade and Broward counties.



Policy Issues:

- Congressional District 17: The population deviation is 573 people from the ideal population.

Visual: The current configuration of Congressional District 17.



⁷¹ Lafortune, Jean-Robert; Monestime, Carmelau; and Metellus, Gepsie (Haitian-American Task Force on Redistricting)

Plan District Summary Statistics

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	---------------	---	--------------	---	---------------	-------------	------------

CONGRESS2002 - Congress

CONGRESS2002 - Congress

1	694,158	-0.314%	539,365	75,027	13.91%	23,952	4.44%	2	2	1
2	737,519	5.913%	585,528	127,109	21.71%	29,330	5.01%	4	4	1
3	659,055	-5.355%	491,662	245,202	49.87%	51,991	10.57%	9	16	16
4	744,418	6.904%	582,846	85,342	14.64%	37,324	6.40%	3	3	0
5	929,533	33.487%	747,759	45,748	6.12%	68,117	9.11%	5	8	0
6	812,727	16.713%	639,915	88,483	13.83%	50,688	7.92%	6	8	6
7	812,442	16.672%	638,211	62,371	9.77%	62,813	9.84%	4	13	32
8	805,608	15.691%	625,562	66,492	10.63%	149,278	23.86%	4	8	0
9	753,549	8.215%	587,622	33,268	5.66%	68,926	11.73%	3	4	9
10	633,889	-8.969%	527,727	27,369	5.19%	34,180	6.48%	1	3	12
11	673,799	-3.238%	520,466	139,363	26.78%	134,469	25.84%	3	6	9
12	842,199	20.946%	635,877	97,408	15.32%	114,845	18.06%	3	7	6
13	757,805	8.826%	622,692	30,640	4.92%	59,992	9.63%	2	2	0
14	858,956	23.352%	702,032	42,700	6.08%	97,223	13.85%	2	0	0
15	813,570	16.834%	640,093	60,619	9.47%	114,119	17.83%	3	4	4
16	797,711	14.557%	637,013	55,614	8.73%	88,104	13.83%	5	7	16
17	655,160	-5.914%	490,232	283,015	57.73%	142,807	29.13%	2	11	16
18	712,790	2.362%	584,589	45,630	7.81%	392,741	67.18%	2	8	23
19	736,419	5.755%	592,390	70,239	11.86%	110,398	18.64%	2	16	15
20	691,727	-0.663%	549,825	67,806	12.33%	166,069	30.20%	2	23	6
21	693,501	-0.408%	542,817	50,066	9.22%	418,636	77.12%	2	11	19
22	694,259	-0.300%	568,645	39,188	6.89%	83,899	14.75%	2	27	30
23	684,107	-1.757%	510,629	275,677	53.99%	91,074	17.84%	5	29	31
24	799,233	14.775%	632,132	55,698	8.81%	92,272	14.60%	4	13	16
25	807,176	15.916%	603,590	62,363	10.33%	435,935	72.22%	3	6	10
18,801,310			14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	83	239	278

HOUSE2002 - House

1	159,402	1.739%	121,897	9,903	8.12%	3,524	2.89%	3	1	1
2	139,453	-10.994%	110,121	15,946	14.48%	5,582	5.07%	1	1	5
3	126,253	-19.419%	98,849	29,144	29.48%	3,899	3.94%	2	1	0
4	144,198	-7.965%	112,396	8,938	7.95%	7,201	6.41%	2	1	1
5	154,014	-1.700%	119,556	17,560	14.69%	4,987	4.17%	3	3	0
6	147,936	-5.580%	116,090	16,033	13.81%	5,160	4.44%	2	3	0
7	169,309	8.062%	133,136	14,488	10.88%	5,654	4.25%	7	9	5
8	152,934	-2.390%	121,816	62,351	51.18%	7,787	6.39%	2	2	17

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HOUSE2002 - House										
9	147,197	-6.051%	118,418	19,038	16.08%	5,740	4.85%	3	1	8
10	151,214	-3.487%	120,649	28,273	23.43%	5,552	4.60%	7	4	5
11	163,223	4.177%	126,753	12,440	9.81%	7,429	5.86%	3	5	0
12	159,354	1.708%	123,706	14,590	11.79%	3,696	2.99%	3	1	0
13	195,431	24.734%	141,630	27,533	19.44%	11,358	8.02%	2	1	1
14	134,417	-14.208%	99,137	59,089	59.60%	3,825	3.86%	1	1	1
15	124,511	-20.531%	94,165	52,434	55.68%	5,468	5.81%	1	1	3
16	140,428	-10.372%	112,102	17,177	15.32%	9,925	8.85%	1	1	4
17	161,943	3.360%	121,005	21,593	17.84%	9,664	7.99%	1	1	0
18	161,190	2.880%	129,176	9,712	7.52%	7,536	5.83%	2	2	2
19	175,628	12.095%	131,289	9,112	6.94%	8,462	6.45%	3	1	1
20	201,953	28.897%	161,156	15,051	9.34%	9,786	6.07%	3	6	3
21	145,063	-7.413%	114,347	9,883	8.64%	7,012	6.13%	5	2	1
22	176,739	12.804%	148,913	14,609	9.81%	14,521	9.75%	3	3	5
23	142,648	-8.955%	116,131	35,927	30.94%	9,058	7.80%	2	3	5
24	166,317	6.152%	128,734	13,121	10.19%	14,421	11.20%	1	1	8
25	179,031	14.267%	140,511	12,469	8.87%	15,983	11.37%	3	6	3
26	165,010	5.318%	132,839	6,543	4.93%	13,913	10.47%	2	9	27
27	131,755	-15.907%	108,773	24,667	22.68%	6,539	6.01%	1	5	26
28	154,175	-1.598%	126,323	6,033	4.78%	8,569	6.78%	1	4	12
29	160,290	2.305%	127,027	14,101	11.10%	10,525	8.29%	2	5	2
30	180,594	15.264%	139,985	15,560	11.12%	13,255	9.47%	1	7	7
31	138,215	-11.784%	113,169	8,963	7.92%	7,952	7.03%	1	5	2
32	177,523	13.304%	140,722	11,344	8.06%	21,036	14.95%	2	5	6
33	196,662	25.520%	147,662	18,468	12.51%	25,321	17.15%	3	3	3
34	144,119	-8.016%	112,075	9,806	8.75%	19,376	17.29%	2	6	1
35	154,735	-1.240%	126,279	12,120	9.60%	29,865	23.65%	1	2	15
36	157,126	0.286%	124,790	33,961	27.21%	33,106	26.53%	1	3	19
37	135,554	-13.482%	106,047	9,865	9.30%	16,894	15.93%	2	5	1
38	162,248	3.555%	121,622	22,787	18.74%	22,762	18.72%	1	5	13
39	132,191	-15.629%	94,159	64,329	68.32%	11,396	12.10%	1	1	4
40	149,664	-4.477%	119,781	9,744	8.13%	28,635	23.91%	1	1	10
41	252,332	61.051%	185,475	23,801	12.83%	44,985	24.25%	3	6	0
42	214,866	37.139%	184,733	16,549	8.96%	13,325	7.21%	3	4	1
43	162,052	3.430%	136,287	3,459	2.54%	5,602	4.11%	2	1	4
44	171,652	9.557%	137,226	7,628	5.56%	12,384	9.02%	3	2	1
45	146,618	-6.421%	119,697	2,751	2.30%	6,938	5.80%	2	4	3
46	142,772	-8.876%	116,463	2,282	1.96%	8,264	7.10%	1	1	3

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HOUSE2002 - House										
47	157,056	0.241%	120,440	10,161	8.44%	29,388	24.40%	1	1	13
48	136,924	-12.608%	110,909	3,509	3.16%	6,814	6.14%	2	3	3
49	172,598	10.161%	128,177	18,090	14.11%	71,918	56.11%	2	2	0
50	131,026	-16.372%	106,977	8,896	8.32%	11,432	10.69%	1	5	4
51	129,144	-17.574%	106,895	2,459	2.30%	5,639	5.28%	1	4	4
52	139,789	-10.779%	116,884	7,806	6.68%	8,673	7.42%	1	4	5
53	133,115	-15.039%	106,892	7,699	7.20%	9,184	8.59%	1	3	6
54	130,417	-16.761%	112,631	5,831	5.18%	5,745	5.10%	1	5	1
55	133,112	-15.041%	98,741	48,776	49.40%	13,454	13.63%	4	5	6
56	192,632	22.948%	146,769	18,890	12.87%	25,609	17.45%	1	1	18
57	148,460	-5.245%	116,645	8,702	7.46%	22,983	19.70%	1	1	10
58	131,897	-15.817%	102,076	18,279	17.91%	50,850	49.82%	1	1	26
59	141,651	-9.591%	102,221	55,208	54.01%	22,154	21.67%	1	2	25
60	162,605	3.783%	128,869	19,003	14.75%	19,440	15.09%	1	2	13
61	242,396	54.710%	184,246	14,052	7.63%	24,993	13.57%	2	1	1
62	162,165	3.502%	119,164	10,880	9.13%	23,635	19.83%	2	0	0
63	156,183	-0.316%	119,187	13,210	11.08%	18,251	15.31%	2	4	23
64	165,492	5.626%	128,198	18,693	14.58%	15,296	11.93%	1	2	9
65	179,502	14.567%	137,974	20,345	14.75%	25,183	18.25%	1	5	21
66	162,026	3.413%	121,078	13,563	11.20%	24,079	19.89%	2	9	21
67	241,034	53.840%	186,739	12,034	6.44%	21,444	11.48%	3	2	0
68	128,684	-17.867%	106,469	4,928	4.63%	10,497	9.86%	2	3	11
69	132,224	-15.608%	110,476	4,153	3.76%	12,482	11.30%	2	2	5
70	150,125	-4.182%	132,338	1,476	1.12%	4,109	3.10%	1	2	7
71	183,147	16.894%	152,647	6,892	4.51%	7,824	5.13%	3	2	5
72	167,184	6.705%	132,724	12,993	9.79%	21,307	16.05%	2	1	1
73	189,406	20.889%	145,618	22,336	15.34%	27,502	18.89%	1	2	2
74	182,460	16.455%	146,698	4,906	3.34%	20,870	14.23%	2	1	2
75	174,874	11.614%	152,173	2,618	1.72%	16,600	10.91%	2	0	2
76	149,992	-4.267%	129,319	4,098	3.17%	13,968	10.80%	1	1	10
77	147,455	-5.887%	115,510	11,665	10.10%	28,776	24.91%	2	1	1
78	156,153	-0.335%	120,770	19,670	16.29%	17,168	14.22%	4	5	7
79	187,203	19.483%	140,624	12,621	8.97%	46,629	33.16%	4	4	5
80	148,503	-5.218%	124,140	10,468	8.43%	8,892	7.16%	3	3	2
81	201,633	28.693%	159,783	17,290	10.82%	20,932	13.10%	2	3	3
82	172,265	9.948%	137,354	9,763	7.11%	16,795	12.23%	3	3	3
83	168,377	7.467%	138,440	6,968	5.03%	11,167	8.07%	1	6	5
84	144,934	-7.496%	107,799	57,683	53.51%	19,044	17.67%	1	6	17

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HOUSE2002 - House										
85	193,827	23.710%	144,638	16,351	11.30%	31,046	21.46%	1	7	12
86	142,110	-9.298%	118,907	27,207	22.88%	11,601	9.76%	1	4	23
87	137,131	-12.476%	115,731	7,515	6.49%	11,608	10.03%	2	6	23
88	164,967	5.290%	128,664	25,332	19.69%	34,229	26.60%	1	4	11
89	140,077	-10.596%	107,781	17,811	16.53%	40,557	37.63%	1	10	13
90	142,553	-9.015%	120,321	8,187	6.80%	14,893	12.38%	2	3	9
91	129,999	-17.028%	114,555	3,809	3.33%	10,712	9.35%	2	7	1
92	133,187	-14.993%	105,683	35,962	34.03%	20,476	19.37%	1	7	0
93	131,283	-16.208%	100,732	51,314	50.94%	13,541	13.44%	1	8	2
94	135,245	-13.680%	100,155	70,195	70.09%	12,114	12.10%	1	8	1
95	134,355	-14.248%	107,208	21,850	20.38%	23,343	21.77%	1	7	1
96	140,377	-10.404%	107,868	21,201	19.65%	23,562	21.84%	1	5	0
97	169,848	8.406%	121,524	9,663	7.95%	33,525	27.59%	1	7	7
98	134,942	-13.873%	106,033	19,255	18.16%	30,087	28.38%	1	3	4
99	137,645	-12.148%	109,530	17,515	15.99%	36,591	33.41%	1	3	1
100	137,630	-12.157%	108,711	15,766	14.50%	31,619	29.09%	1	7	6
101	189,600	21.013%	139,062	16,986	12.21%	51,564	37.08%	2	3	6
102	160,952	2.728%	123,249	6,838	5.55%	111,610	90.56%	2	4	0
103	138,339	-11.705%	101,016	71,402	70.68%	27,840	27.56%	2	3	1
104	137,432	-12.284%	102,971	61,172	59.41%	30,568	29.69%	1	5	3
105	151,273	-3.450%	118,755	40,431	34.05%	37,497	31.58%	1	3	2
106	150,952	-3.655%	124,821	8,868	7.10%	58,669	47.00%	1	4	2
107	156,177	-0.320%	132,561	8,234	6.21%	90,575	68.33%	1	4	7
108	132,251	-15.591%	101,197	58,665	57.97%	27,019	26.70%	1	2	3
109	135,230	-13.689%	104,015	51,514	49.53%	39,725	38.19%	1	2	2
110	132,138	-15.663%	105,750	7,629	7.21%	93,634	88.54%	1	3	3
111	139,430	-11.009%	114,597	5,754	5.02%	93,620	81.69%	1	5	9
112	210,556	34.388%	157,769	18,258	11.57%	112,592	71.37%	3	4	9
113	136,597	-12.817%	110,781	8,842	7.98%	93,309	84.23%	1	4	6
114	133,125	-15.033%	107,790	6,575	6.10%	81,368	75.49%	1	1	10
115	135,054	-13.802%	107,878	3,260	3.02%	82,102	76.11%	1	3	17
116	134,681	-14.040%	105,206	4,789	4.55%	90,053	85.60%	1		10
117	150,960	-3.650%	121,800	5,872	4.82%	89,012	73.08%	1	6	11
118	162,848	3.938%	115,657	41,250	35.67%	62,722	54.23%	1	4	19
119	154,679	-1.276%	117,267	8,441	7.20%	88,677	75.62%	1	3	13
120	170,078	8.553%	131,625	10,935	8.31%	62,924	47.81%	1	2	8
18,801,310			14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	214	413	791

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	---------------	---	--------------	---	---------------	-------------	------------

HPARH0002 - House

HPARH0002 - House

29	156,102	-0.368%	126,867	8,247	6.50%	5,853	4.61%	2	1	0
30	157,553	0.558%	123,962	18,335	14.79%	12,846	10.36%	1	2	0
31	155,909	-0.491%	126,494	4,747	3.75%	7,740	6.12%	1	3	0
32	157,138	0.294%	127,087	13,024	10.25%	7,145	5.62%	1	1	0
626,702			504,410	44,353	8.79%	33,584	6.66%	5	7	0

HPUBC0001 - Congress

1	696,345	0.000%	522,109	72,188	13.83%	368,772	70.63%	2	1	10
2	696,345	0.000%	575,041	34,057	5.92%	416,609	72.45%	1	6	22
3	696,345	0.000%	521,849	293,358	56.22%	143,037	27.41%	2	12	17
4	696,345	0.000%	560,976	43,564	7.77%	109,754	19.56%	5	2	1
5	696,344	0.000%	547,115	41,748	7.63%	423,397	77.39%	4	8	13
6	696,345	0.000%	554,189	52,728	9.51%	171,539	30.95%	2	16	7
7	696,345	0.000%	566,644	52,109	9.20%	87,908	15.51%	2	9	7
8	696,345	0.000%	556,967	53,504	9.61%	109,762	19.71%	1	15	49
9	696,345	0.000%	522,123	274,222	52.52%	86,433	16.55%	3	23	45
10	696,345	0.000%	556,702	60,636	10.89%	67,834	12.18%	4	2	4
11	696,345	0.000%	572,557	28,612	5.00%	60,540	10.57%	5	2	5
12	696,344	0.000%	555,327	47,039	8.47%	77,134	13.89%	2	0	5
13	696,345	0.000%	571,831	64,838	11.34%	40,598	7.10%	1	3	4
14	696,345	0.000%	540,917	101,061	18.68%	137,595	25.44%	1	2	9
15	696,344	0.000%	524,695	73,783	14.06%	106,455	20.29%	3	4	0
16	696,345	0.000%	556,035	49,446	8.89%	50,428	9.07%	3	3	12
17	696,345	0.000%	548,406	51,466	9.38%	101,937	18.59%	2	5	0
18	696,345	0.000%	558,656	52,037	9.31%	37,213	6.66%	4	4	12
19	696,345	0.000%	526,225	60,521	11.50%	175,945	33.44%	2	5	0
20	696,345	0.000%	560,419	19,443	3.47%	44,882	8.01%	3	4	4
21	696,345	0.000%	521,441	54,298	10.41%	83,997	16.11%	6	8	2
22	696,345	0.000%	550,435	73,912	13.43%	36,863	6.70%	6	5	5
23	696,344	0.000%	578,666	45,119	7.80%	38,910	6.72%	5	7	2
24	696,344	0.000%	541,127	68,912	12.73%	38,127	7.05%	2	3	0
25	696,345	0.000%	552,048	133,673	24.21%	26,129	4.73%	5	3	6
26	696,345	0.000%	514,680	258,692	50.26%	52,593	10.22%	8	12	0
27	696,345	0.000%	542,039	71,471	13.19%	24,791	4.57%	3	2	1
18,801,310			14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	87	166	242

HPUBC0003 - Congress

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0003 - Congress										
1	696,345	0.000%	541,711	71,426	13.19%	24,641	4.55%	1	0	0
2	696,344	0.000%	552,630	131,894	23.87%	26,259	4.75%	2	1	0
3	696,344	0.000%	557,020	94,278	16.93%	39,086	7.02%	2	1	0
4	696,345	0.000%	518,774	162,739	31.37%	31,663	6.10%	1	1	0
5	696,346	0.000%	543,716	53,948	9.92%	35,375	6.51%	2	1	1
6	696,345	0.000%	573,558	43,399	7.57%	45,645	7.96%	2	1	3
7	696,344	0.000%	548,354	25,275	4.61%	53,726	9.80%	2	1	3
8	696,344	0.000%	575,399	35,192	6.12%	39,983	6.95%	2	2	1
9	696,344	0.000%	550,294	92,744	16.85%	118,759	21.58%	2	3	1
10	696,345	0.000%	519,825	93,208	17.93%	99,574	19.16%	2	3	1
11	696,345	0.000%	529,449	76,533	14.46%	120,680	22.79%	2	4	4
12	696,344	0.000%	532,822	93,379	17.53%	174,085	32.67%	2	4	8
13	696,344	0.000%	525,347	101,375	19.30%	83,813	15.95%	2	5	0
14	696,347	0.000%	553,713	64,593	11.67%	74,665	13.48%	4	7	3
15	696,345	0.000%	564,872	48,316	8.55%	34,989	6.19%	1	2	3
16	696,344	0.000%	553,624	57,730	10.43%	67,767	12.24%	2	3	6
17	696,346	0.000%	567,404	34,138	6.02%	57,639	10.16%	2	1	2
18	696,346	0.000%	564,646	42,172	7.47%	70,997	12.57%	2	2	2
19	696,346	0.000%	539,889	108,939	20.18%	120,475	22.31%	1	5	6
20	696,344	0.000%	555,067	46,381	8.36%	115,086	20.73%	3	2	0
21	696,346	0.000%	566,034	65,294	11.54%	67,322	11.89%	2	4	5
22	696,345	0.000%	557,775	164,098	29.42%	96,285	17.26%	1	12	11
23	696,344	0.000%	560,721	20,938	3.73%	484,360	86.38%	2	4	3
24	696,346	0.000%	529,719	98,423	18.58%	166,309	31.40%	1	13	8
25	696,344	0.000%	561,446	67,894	12.09%	308,760	54.99%	1	6	3
26	696,344	0.000%	518,900	292,242	56.32%	182,322	35.14%	2	11	3
27	696,344	0.000%	536,510	45,889	8.55%	378,917	70.63%	1	0	3
18,801,310			14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	49	99	80

HPUBC0004 - Congress										
1	697,288	0.135%	524,957	224,235	42.71%	51,957	9.90%	9	10	8
2	695,714	-0.091%	569,517	50,207	8.82%	380,333	66.78%	2	6	10
3	695,904	-0.063%	544,438	64,782	11.90%	396,516	72.83%	2	11	9
4	694,920	-0.205%	520,043	59,415	11.43%	362,431	69.69%	3	3	7
5	696,849	0.072%	572,385	64,787	11.32%	40,472	7.07%	1	2	0
6	696,981	0.091%	535,179	74,438	13.91%	220,178	41.14%	2	14	0
7	697,215	0.125%	552,154	183,725	33.27%	94,062	17.04%	1	7	0
8	696,292	-0.008%	568,184	74,416	13.10%	71,345	12.56%	2	5	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0004 - Congress										
9	695,603	-0.107%	584,190	24,937	4.27%	41,077	7.03%	2	2	0
10	696,695	0.050%	569,970	38,566	6.77%	84,057	14.75%	2	2	0
11	695,435	-0.131%	529,364	93,635	17.69%	139,620	26.38%	2	5	0
12	696,951	0.087%	553,445	84,817	15.33%	66,229	11.97%	2	4	0
13	696,472	0.018%	537,912	70,138	13.04%	79,816	14.84%	1	0	0
14	697,295	0.136%	539,719	55,344	10.25%	90,368	16.74%	2	1	0
15	696,198	-0.021%	534,359	250,964	46.97%	182,001	34.06%	2	10	0
16	697,231	0.127%	555,644	32,418	5.83%	88,676	15.96%	3	3	0
17	696,201	-0.021%	528,000	99,903	18.92%	107,018	20.27%	3	1	0
18	697,146	0.115%	559,715	50,510	9.02%	41,903	7.49%	1	1	0
19	697,196	0.122%	550,950	57,436	10.42%	120,023	21.78%	2	7	0
20	694,527	-0.261%	556,118	39,982	7.19%	55,077	9.90%	7	1	0
21	696,620	0.039%	519,933	67,332	12.95%	183,321	35.26%	2	4	0
22	696,760	0.060%	554,956	54,326	9.79%	57,328	10.33%	4	10	8
23	696,491	0.021%	571,863	60,734	10.62%	46,343	8.10%	6	3	0
24	664,245	-4.610%	521,077	50,693	9.73%	35,965	6.90%	4	7	2
25	695,780	-0.081%	526,517	95,459	18.13%	30,351	5.76%	4	4	0
26	709,773	1.928%	552,293	73,121	13.24%	24,889	4.51%	1	0	0
27	712,668	2.344%	565,600	136,016	24.05%	27,662	4.89%	2	0	0
18,800,450			14,798,482	2,232,336	15.08%	3,119,018	21.08%	74	123	44

HPUBC0005 - Congress										
1	696,274	-0.010%	541,709	71,880	13.27%	24,653	4.55%	2	0	0
2	692,388	-0.568%	549,588	130,244	23.70%	26,196	4.77%	2	0	0
3	695,697	-0.093%	526,959	167,100	31.71%	26,861	5.10%	2	1	0
4	696,851	0.073%	564,336	80,818	14.32%	45,667	8.09%	0	0	0
5	697,164	0.118%	532,445	61,585	11.57%	39,455	7.41%	3	1	0
6	697,336	0.142%	563,669	58,321	10.35%	39,979	7.09%	2	2	0
7	695,522	-0.118%	543,772	56,872	10.46%	71,137	13.08%	2	4	0
8	697,376	0.148%	572,285	38,927	6.80%	44,213	7.73%	1	0	0
9	697,079	0.105%	534,423	126,124	23.60%	111,027	20.78%	1	3	0
10	695,574	-0.111%	524,562	70,097	13.36%	186,233	35.50%	2	3	0
11	696,657	0.045%	528,123	73,055	13.83%	88,044	16.67%	2	1	0
12	696,836	0.071%	556,950	21,789	3.91%	47,793	8.58%	3	2	0
13	695,659	-0.099%	571,493	64,609	11.31%	40,262	7.05%	2	2	0
14	696,173	-0.025%	531,568	101,827	19.16%	139,285	26.20%	1	2	0
15	696,648	0.044%	540,303	62,108	11.50%	89,057	16.48%	2	3	0
16	696,137	-0.030%	577,114	29,457	5.10%	47,993	8.32%	1	2	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0005 - Congress										
17	696,190	-0.022%	552,390	82,547	14.94%	65,000	11.77%	2	5	0
18	696,630	0.041%	539,666	92,513	17.14%	134,965	25.01%	1	5	0
19	695,539	-0.116%	560,408	79,369	14.16%	79,786	14.24%	2	4	0
20	696,430	0.012%	546,868	177,441	32.45%	103,713	18.96%	1	7	0
21	695,858	-0.070%	556,632	60,025	10.78%	57,759	10.38%	3	3	0
22	695,497	-0.122%	532,252	120,458	22.63%	176,106	33.09%	2	8	0
23	696,791	0.064%	562,397	37,531	6.67%	83,323	14.82%	1	0	0
24	696,327	-0.003%	557,482	30,128	5.40%	316,719	56.81%	4	1	0
25	695,952	-0.056%	555,620	170,734	30.73%	262,140	47.18%	1	5	0
26	699,796	0.496%	532,963	73,336	13.76%	342,228	64.21%	2	2	0
27	695,920	-0.061%	542,499	93,426	17.22%	429,245	79.12%	2	4	0
18,800,301			14,798,476	2,232,321	15.08%	3,118,839	21.08%	49	70	0

HPUBC0006 - Congress										
1	707,432	1.592%	586,199	28,998	4.95%	41,583	7.09%	1	2	0
2	695,746	-0.086%	569,453	37,181	6.53%	51,725	9.08%	3	3	0
3	694,069	-0.327%	532,172	129,096	24.26%	90,813	17.06%	2	4	0
4	692,770	-0.513%	545,937	45,959	8.42%	109,028	19.97%	2	2	0
5	695,482	-0.124%	521,702	68,715	13.17%	86,095	16.50%	2	6	0
6	696,104	-0.035%	558,711	39,454	7.06%	58,865	10.54%	3	5	0
7	686,864	-1.362%	536,482	46,023	8.58%	125,055	23.31%	2	1	0
8	696,314	-0.004%	580,882	32,047	5.52%	61,417	10.57%	3	2	0
9	693,776	-0.369%	515,097	93,264	18.11%	244,355	47.44%	3	5	0
10	698,132	0.257%	575,965	34,392	5.97%	422,870	73.42%	1	4	0
11	696,217	-0.018%	539,386	160,744	29.80%	284,640	52.77%	2	9	0
12	695,014	-0.191%	544,755	114,805	21.07%	392,593	72.07%	1	6	0
13	700,681	0.623%	531,433	104,894	19.74%	177,534	33.41%	1	7	0
14	703,386	1.011%	559,151	186,426	33.34%	93,266	16.68%	1	7	0
15	693,298	-0.438%	563,247	71,934	12.77%	73,009	12.96%	2	5	0
16	696,406	0.009%	542,367	107,521	19.82%	119,530	22.04%	1	5	0
17	694,022	-0.334%	553,397	61,807	11.17%	63,763	11.52%	2	2	0
18	699,482	0.450%	545,144	53,868	9.88%	108,524	19.91%	1	2	0
19	693,359	-0.429%	537,079	69,184	12.88%	164,742	30.67%	2	3	0
20	695,639	-0.101%	530,378	128,212	24.17%	75,511	14.24%	2	5	0
21	697,840	0.215%	542,993	51,567	9.50%	78,465	14.45%	2	4	0
22	695,199	-0.165%	555,643	55,731	10.03%	33,003	5.94%	3	2	0
23	697,167	0.118%	569,382	71,119	12.49%	45,576	8.00%	2	0	0
24	690,698	-0.811%	527,880	70,991	13.45%	27,950	5.29%	4	3	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0006 - Congress										
25	697,474	0.162%	542,508	71,511	13.18%	24,651	4.54%	1	1	0
26	696,353	0.001%	552,786	135,583	24.53%	26,953	4.88%	3	2	0
27	696,108	-0.034%	533,803	161,370	30.23%	37,443	7.01%	1	2	0
18,795,032			14,793,932	2,232,396	15.09%	3,118,959	21.08%	53	99	0
HPUBC0008 - Congress										
1	696,368	0.003%	547,988	98,145	17.91%	64,058	11.69%	2	0	0
696,368			547,988	98,145	17.91%	64,058	11.69%	2	0	0
HPUBC0009 - Congress										
8	696,354	0.001%	541,830	56,061	10.35%	71,489	13.19%	2	0	0
696,354			541,830	56,061	10.35%	71,489	13.19%	2	0	0
HPUBC0015 - Congress										
1	697,285	0.135%	560,385	56,574	10.10%	56,256	10.04%	1	0	0
697,285			560,385	56,574	10.10%	56,256	10.04%	1	0	0
HPUBC0017 - Congress										
1	696,538	0.028%	520,720	77,887	14.96%	225,883	43.38%	3	0	40
696,538			520,720	77,887	14.96%	225,883	43.38%	3	0	40
HPUBC0019 - Congress										
1	696,345	0.000%	541,650	71,476	13.20%	24,640	4.55%	1	1	0
2	696,345	0.000%	552,101	133,606	24.20%	26,722	4.84%	2	2	0
3	696,345	0.000%	518,387	249,664	48.16%	53,107	10.24%	8	10	0
4	696,345	0.000%	542,124	60,539	11.17%	36,253	6.69%	2	2	4
5	696,345	0.000%	541,292	79,867	14.75%	35,600	6.58%	5	7	0
6	696,345	0.000%	564,903	45,325	8.02%	44,170	7.82%	4	3	2
7	696,345	0.000%	562,146	53,888	9.59%	48,437	8.62%	4	2	2
8	696,345	0.000%	549,186	59,397	10.82%	96,589	17.59%	3	5	2
9	696,345	0.000%	548,768	52,699	9.60%	103,384	18.84%	3	4	2
10	696,345	0.000%	550,507	50,785	9.23%	84,165	15.29%	2	7	7
11	696,344	0.000%	557,223	22,026	3.95%	47,688	8.56%	1	2	3
12	696,344	0.000%	572,221	64,994	11.36%	40,280	7.04%	3	3	3
13	696,345	0.000%	541,061	98,073	18.13%	137,861	25.48%	1	1	4
14	696,344	0.000%	519,665	71,796	13.82%	90,888	17.49%	2	3	4
15	696,345	0.000%	524,187	66,899	12.76%	138,497	26.42%	2	6	3
16	696,345	0.000%	550,249	75,152	13.66%	68,433	12.44%	2	5	6
17	696,345	0.000%	521,496	295,681	56.70%	164,147	31.48%	2	10	2

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0019 - Congress										
18	696,345	0.000%	571,151	32,932	5.77%	51,315	8.98%	2	2	0
19	696,345	0.000%	565,546	38,741	6.85%	73,863	13.06%	3	6	1
20	696,344	0.000%	555,286	46,792	8.43%	111,839	20.14%	2	1	4
21	696,344	0.000%	555,893	58,205	10.47%	83,715	15.06%	2	12	13
22	696,345	0.000%	563,572	48,555	8.62%	308,443	54.73%	2	15	1
23	696,345	0.000%	531,872	259,887	48.86%	94,286	17.73%	2	21	15
24	696,345	0.000%	573,450	44,627	7.78%	95,707	16.69%	2	17	25
25	696,345	0.000%	530,458	53,602	10.10%	282,180	53.20%	3	13	2
26	696,345	0.000%	566,718	27,899	4.92%	427,904	75.51%	1	3	5
27	696,317	-0.004%	528,086	69,327	13.13%	349,062	66.10%	1	0	2
18,801,282			14,799,198	2,232,434	15.08%	3,119,175	21.08%	67	163	112

HPUBC0020 - Congress										
1	696,344	0.000%	540,633	75,248	13.92%	23,804	4.40%	4	1	0
2	696,344	0.000%	553,732	127,910	23.10%	27,105	4.89%	5	1	0
3	696,345	0.000%	555,968	94,843	17.06%	41,350	7.44%	5	2	0
4	696,345	0.000%	536,176	151,738	28.30%	32,221	6.01%	2	2	0
5	696,345	0.000%	535,434	69,935	13.06%	40,236	7.51%	5	4	3
6	696,345	0.000%	557,631	50,210	9.00%	43,481	7.80%	1	2	6
7	696,345	0.000%	556,288	58,023	10.43%	61,690	11.09%	4	7	13
8	696,344	0.000%	535,440	104,307	19.48%	89,312	16.68%	2	7	4
9	696,344	0.000%	558,643	23,908	4.28%	47,945	8.58%	1	1	5
10	696,344	0.000%	548,023	55,166	10.07%	73,152	13.35%	1	1	5
11	696,345	0.000%	529,658	91,985	17.37%	190,257	35.92%	2	1	0
12	696,345	0.000%	531,077	68,445	12.89%	78,635	14.81%	4	4	1
13	696,345	0.000%	572,425	60,809	10.62%	37,441	6.54%	1	5	6
14	696,345	0.000%	553,055	37,283	6.74%	100,296	18.13%	3	7	10
15	696,345	0.000%	524,276	115,850	22.10%	115,089	21.95%	1	2	13
16	696,345	0.000%	569,780	37,158	6.52%	59,651	10.47%	4	2	0
17	696,345	0.000%	548,690	62,827	11.45%	76,267	13.90%	4	2	0
18	696,345	0.000%	573,138	33,712	5.88%	63,949	11.16%	3	3	0
19	696,345	0.000%	545,021	58,808	10.79%	122,961	22.56%	5	6	1
20	696,345	0.000%	553,706	90,012	16.26%	112,245	20.27%	2	5	4
21	696,345	0.000%	559,880	62,563	11.17%	68,838	12.30%	3	8	6
22	696,345	0.000%	559,054	141,485	25.31%	95,309	17.05%	2	5	3
23	696,345	0.000%	524,377	132,875	25.34%	158,428	30.21%	2	8	1
24	696,345	0.000%	534,825	176,287	32.96%	250,993	46.93%	2	9	2
25	696,345	0.000%	557,518	154,938	27.79%	291,805	52.34%	1	7	2

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0020 - Congress										
26	696,345	0.000%	564,479	23,890	4.23%	453,205	80.29%	1	7	7
27	696,314	-0.004%	520,267	72,219	13.88%	363,507	69.87%	1	1	2
	18,801,279		14,799,194	2,232,434	15.08%	3,119,172	21.08%	71	110	94
HPUBC0021 - Congress										
1	696,839	0.071%	566,846	58,286	10.28%	50,401	8.89%	1	0	0
	696,839		566,846	58,286	10.28%	50,401	8.89%	1	0	0
HPUBC0022 - Congress										
1	696,085	-0.037%	566,381	58,276	10.29%	50,987	9.00%	2	0	0
	696,085		566,381	58,276	10.29%	50,987	9.00%	2	0	0
HPUBC0023 - Congress										
1	696,344	0.000%	520,585	77,880	14.96%	225,854	43.38%	3	0	41
	696,344		520,585	77,880	14.96%	225,854	43.38%	3	0	41
HPUBC0026 - Congress										
1	696,726	0.055%	542,027	72,218	13.32%	24,669	4.55%	2	0	0
2	697,099	0.108%	553,219	131,130	23.70%	26,263	4.75%	3	0	0
3	695,533	-0.117%	528,863	169,640	32.08%	36,081	6.82%	1	2	0
4	695,433	-0.131%	530,615	60,554	11.41%	30,168	5.69%	4	3	0
5	695,391	-0.137%	558,784	83,920	15.02%	45,634	8.17%	3	2	0
6	697,286	0.135%	580,396	29,501	5.08%	38,079	6.56%	3	2	0
7	695,487	-0.123%	529,364	58,366	11.03%	78,685	14.86%	3	10	0
8	695,574	-0.111%	560,749	54,282	9.68%	47,753	8.52%	2	1	0
9	696,401	0.008%	542,004	121,046	22.33%	102,268	18.87%	2	9	0
10	696,019	-0.047%	525,195	74,336	14.15%	197,162	37.54%	2	1	0
11	696,540	0.028%	560,950	50,657	9.03%	41,071	7.32%	4	2	0
12	695,762	-0.084%	524,109	63,375	12.09%	77,409	14.77%	2	4	0
13	696,440	0.014%	540,589	116,352	21.52%	139,846	25.87%	1	1	0
14	695,428	-0.132%	542,553	28,767	5.30%	63,038	11.62%	3	3	0
15	696,021	-0.047%	572,538	64,322	11.23%	39,358	6.87%	1	2	0
16	696,730	0.055%	564,562	40,200	7.12%	66,116	11.71%	3	1	0
17	696,349	0.001%	525,920	76,317	14.51%	114,420	21.76%	10	10	0
18	697,720	0.197%	575,652	34,124	5.93%	56,893	9.88%	4	3	0
19	697,036	0.099%	558,193	96,006	17.20%	68,911	12.35%	4	7	0
20	696,842	0.071%	551,078	95,300	17.29%	95,681	17.36%	2	7	0
21	698,180	0.264%	557,248	75,462	13.54%	109,856	19.71%	2	6	0
22	696,016	-0.047%	535,831	67,946	12.68%	311,549	58.14%	2	14	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0026 - Congress										
23	695,434	-0.131%	542,678	159,752	29.44%	112,122	20.66%	1	9	0
24	696,742	0.057%	527,217	281,639	53.42%	164,501	31.20%	2	8	0
25	695,410	-0.134%	558,497	20,111	3.60%	498,234	89.21%	1	5	0
26	695,709	-0.091%	562,109	54,783	9.75%	358,664	63.81%	1	3	0
27	697,137	0.114%	547,558	52,300	9.55%	174,189	31.81%	3	2	0
18,800,445			14,798,498	2,232,406	15.09%	3,118,620	21.07%	71	117	0

HPUBC0031 - Congress										
1	696,344	0.000%	541,690	71,459	13.19%	24,637	4.55%	1	0	0
2	696,344	0.000%	552,661	131,705	23.83%	26,279	4.75%	2	0	0
3	696,344	0.000%	546,269	86,964	15.92%	35,741	6.54%	2	0	0
4	696,345	0.000%	526,024	164,790	31.33%	32,145	6.11%	1	1	0
5	696,345	0.000%	548,539	55,456	10.11%	36,149	6.59%	5	1	2
6	696,345	0.000%	553,871	61,454	11.10%	61,066	11.03%	3	0	10
7	696,345	0.000%	567,292	53,783	9.48%	50,684	8.93%	3	0	0
8	696,345	0.000%	556,860	23,946	4.30%	48,947	8.79%	2	0	1
9	696,345	0.000%	535,126	74,301	13.88%	100,217	18.73%	2	0	8
10	696,344	0.000%	554,528	54,266	9.79%	61,235	11.04%	2	0	2
11	696,345	0.000%	528,010	119,029	22.54%	178,705	33.85%	2	0	2
12	696,345	0.000%	528,987	73,001	13.80%	91,935	17.38%	1	0	2
13	696,345	0.000%	574,074	27,184	4.74%	41,380	7.21%	2	0	4
14	696,345	0.000%	538,560	93,792	17.42%	72,497	13.46%	2	0	3
15	696,344	0.000%	538,550	96,116	17.85%	137,704	25.57%	1	0	4
16	696,345	0.000%	555,863	61,777	11.11%	69,943	12.58%	1	0	0
17	696,345	0.000%	555,330	117,280	21.12%	343,149	61.79%	1	0	5
18	696,345	0.000%	555,919	110,889	19.95%	103,385	18.60%	1	0	8
19	696,345	0.000%	557,179	56,507	10.14%	82,614	14.83%	2	0	10
20	696,345	0.000%	529,927	139,703	26.36%	120,510	22.74%	1	0	11
21	696,345	0.000%	565,855	69,810	12.34%	402,647	71.16%	1	0	6
22	696,345	0.000%	558,962	146,797	26.26%	128,087	22.92%	2	0	2
23	696,345	0.000%	519,796	160,936	30.96%	254,794	49.02%	4	0	2
24	696,345	0.000%	560,894	36,223	6.46%	63,684	11.35%	3	0	0
25	696,345	0.000%	519,883	71,616	13.78%	368,466	70.87%	1	0	1
26	696,345	0.000%	560,595	42,351	7.55%	72,553	12.94%	3	0	0
27	696,345	0.000%	567,975	31,302	5.51%	110,029	19.37%	2	0	0
18,801,310			14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	53	2	83

HPUBC0035 - Congress

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	---------------	---	--------------	---	---------------	-------------	------------

HPUBC0035 - Congress

17	695,831	-0.074%	520,732	283,320	54.41%	158,197	30.38%	2	0	11
	695,831		520,732	283,320	54.41%	158,197	30.38%	2	0	11

HPUBC0036 - Congress

1	696,535	0.027%	541,847	71,466	13.19%	24,624	4.54%	1	0	0
2	696,485	0.020%	553,009	134,032	24.24%	26,695	4.83%	2	0	0
3	696,240	-0.015%	553,514	88,474	15.98%	33,849	6.12%	2	1	0
4	696,184	-0.023%	522,143	161,282	30.89%	33,357	6.39%	1	1	0
5	696,630	0.041%	547,067	50,446	9.22%	35,639	6.51%	2	3	0
6	696,567	0.032%	560,760	58,007	10.34%	47,251	8.43%	2	2	0
7	696,456	0.016%	552,389	68,641	12.43%	59,488	10.77%	2	2	0
8	696,124	-0.032%	537,487	59,676	11.10%	120,342	22.39%	1	1	0
9	696,483	0.020%	535,492	126,012	23.53%	125,885	23.51%	1	3	0
10	696,112	-0.033%	549,609	70,290	12.79%	59,070	10.75%	2	4	0
11	696,522	0.025%	566,836	37,343	6.59%	51,663	9.11%	3	3	0
12	696,190	-0.022%	525,656	74,810	14.23%	135,798	25.83%	1	3	0
13	696,468	0.018%	557,591	25,513	4.58%	49,293	8.84%	2	3	0
14	696,496	0.022%	570,582	62,929	11.03%	41,179	7.22%	2	4	0
15	696,616	0.039%	533,634	104,158	19.52%	139,209	26.09%	1	2	0
16	696,045	-0.043%	526,101	58,617	11.14%	100,891	19.18%	3	4	0
17	696,666	0.046%	570,970	33,335	5.84%	50,941	8.92%	1	1	0
18	696,178	-0.024%	562,714	45,596	8.10%	76,598	13.61%	3	2	0
19	696,580	0.034%	550,298	50,851	9.24%	91,584	16.64%	4	5	0
20	696,393	0.007%	551,112	36,791	6.68%	296,649	53.83%	3	3	0
21	695,437	-0.130%	536,179	61,792	11.52%	321,701	60.00%	1	4	0
22	695,591	-0.108%	566,086	42,277	7.47%	458,664	81.02%	2	8	0
23	697,035	0.099%	550,773	119,139	21.63%	116,732	21.19%	1	3	0
24	695,850	-0.071%	558,344	79,745	14.28%	83,447	14.95%	2	6	0
25	697,014	0.096%	556,926	181,709	32.63%	101,561	18.24%	1	11	0
26	695,899	-0.064%	523,287	95,786	18.30%	226,979	43.38%	2	11	0
27	696,514	0.024%	538,813	233,720	43.38%	210,093	38.99%	2	5	0
	18,801,310		14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	50	95	0

HPUBC0038 - Congress

2	696,918	0.082%	553,277	129,221	23.36%	26,995	4.88%	5	0	3
	696,918		553,277	129,221	23.36%	26,995	4.88%	5	0	3

HPUBC0039 - Congress

1	697,563	0.175%	542,630	71,469	13.17%	24,645	4.54%	1	0	0
---	---------	--------	---------	--------	--------	--------	-------	---	---	---

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0039 - Congress										
2	695,972	-0.054%	552,369	133,578	24.18%	26,557	4.81%	3	0	0
3	695,667	-0.097%	561,532	82,908	14.76%	47,689	8.49%	4	1	0
4	695,919	-0.061%	524,698	60,488	11.53%	26,701	5.09%	6	3	0
5	695,294	-0.151%	533,540	164,288	30.79%	38,152	7.15%	1	2	0
6	695,297	-0.151%	565,403	52,170	9.23%	43,978	7.78%	4	0	0
7	696,646	0.043%	551,703	61,538	11.15%	59,196	10.73%	2	4	0
8	695,476	-0.125%	558,439	50,983	9.13%	42,740	7.65%	2	0	0
9	696,194	-0.022%	526,353	124,919	23.73%	94,571	17.97%	2	5	0
10	696,147	-0.028%	540,007	67,989	12.59%	166,903	30.91%	3	7	0
11	696,993	0.093%	528,237	76,555	14.49%	125,651	23.79%	3	5	0
12	697,386	0.149%	559,884	23,877	4.26%	47,997	8.57%	1	1	0
13	697,002	0.094%	573,216	63,515	11.08%	38,075	6.64%	1	5	0
14	696,976	0.091%	553,744	45,268	8.17%	114,642	20.70%	3	7	0
15	697,201	0.123%	525,694	106,770	20.31%	99,993	19.02%	1	2	0
16	696,130	-0.031%	540,511	44,741	8.28%	80,244	14.85%	5	5	0
17	695,837	-0.073%	576,543	33,523	5.81%	57,668	10.00%	3	1	0
18	696,597	0.036%	563,356	35,730	6.34%	84,394	14.98%	2	0	0
19	696,188	-0.023%	559,816	28,648	5.12%	344,693	61.57%	3	0	0
20	695,654	-0.099%	529,072	84,629	16.00%	317,083	59.93%	1	4	0
21	697,300	0.137%	554,458	27,390	4.94%	492,680	88.86%	2	5	0
22	696,867	0.075%	522,594	290,020	55.50%	169,911	32.51%	2	9	0
23	696,199	-0.021%	575,792	112,046	19.46%	143,740	24.96%	2	16	0
24	695,864	-0.069%	528,735	122,055	23.08%	157,602	29.81%	1	9	0
25	695,421	-0.133%	558,143	84,620	15.16%	80,806	14.48%	2	4	0
26	695,817	-0.076%	537,197	94,624	17.61%	129,044	24.02%	2	4	0
27	696,203	-0.020%	554,371	87,931	15.86%	63,077	11.38%	2	3	0
18,799,810			14,798,037	2,232,272	15.08%	3,118,432	21.07%	64	102	0

HPUBC0041 - Congress										
1	696,446	0.015%	541,725	71,508	13.20%	24,630	4.55%	1	1	0
2	696,067	-0.040%	552,296	133,686	24.21%	26,890	4.87%	2	1	0
3	696,418	0.010%	536,157	67,605	12.61%	29,163	5.44%	2	1	0
4	696,286	-0.008%	577,764	75,838	13.13%	45,902	7.94%	2	5	0
5	696,495	0.022%	559,409	23,843	4.26%	47,858	8.56%	1	1	0
6	696,103	-0.035%	575,115	29,109	5.06%	39,543	6.88%	2	7	0
7	696,245	-0.014%	547,156	96,982	17.72%	77,816	14.22%	2	7	0
8	696,360	0.002%	531,434	87,832	16.53%	135,837	25.56%	1	1	0
9	696,329	-0.002%	522,140	70,169	13.44%	80,184	15.36%	3	3	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0041 - Congress										
10	696,522	0.025%	529,346	58,901	11.13%	143,618	27.13%	4	10	0
11	696,649	0.044%	531,220	162,879	30.66%	38,314	7.21%	2	1	0
12	696,115	-0.033%	554,136	61,182	11.04%	30,640	5.53%	3	4	0
13	696,709	0.052%	542,388	52,907	9.75%	79,238	14.61%	3	4	0
14	696,105	-0.034%	531,039	135,770	25.57%	118,428	22.30%	1	4	0
15	696,396	0.007%	553,393	53,868	9.73%	90,169	16.29%	2	4	0
16	696,397	0.007%	554,693	64,541	11.64%	64,302	11.59%	2	3	0
17	696,468	0.018%	559,565	48,324	8.64%	107,100	19.14%	5	4	0
18	696,666	0.046%	570,970	33,335	5.84%	50,941	8.92%	1	1	0
19	696,151	-0.028%	561,798	37,347	6.65%	82,895	14.76%	1	0	0
20	695,843	-0.072%	522,791	73,829	14.12%	307,602	58.84%	1	2	0
21	696,277	-0.010%	570,435	26,710	4.68%	468,291	82.09%	1	7	0
22	696,116	-0.033%	554,092	109,104	19.69%	378,537	68.32%	1	9	0
23	696,950	0.087%	524,455	217,578	41.49%	211,220	40.27%	2	7	0
24	695,956	-0.056%	528,235	136,569	25.85%	139,166	26.35%	1	12	0
25	696,414	0.010%	537,320	103,190	19.20%	114,728	21.35%	1	6	0
26	696,712	0.053%	585,729	74,529	12.72%	74,515	12.72%	2	10	0
27	696,115	-0.033%	544,418	125,302	23.02%	111,655	20.51%	2	15	0
18,801,310			14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	51	130	0

HPUBC0042 - Congress

1	697,563	0.175%	542,630	71,469	13.17%	24,645	4.54%	1	0	0
2	695,972	-0.054%	552,369	133,578	24.18%	26,557	4.81%	3	0	0
3	695,667	-0.097%	561,532	82,908	14.76%	47,689	8.49%	4	1	0
4	695,923	-0.061%	524,700	60,488	11.53%	26,703	5.09%	5	3	0
5	695,294	-0.151%	533,540	164,288	30.79%	38,152	7.15%	1	2	0
6	695,305	-0.149%	565,411	52,172	9.23%	43,978	7.78%	4	0	0
7	696,685	0.049%	551,734	61,540	11.15%	59,199	10.73%	2	4	0
8	695,488	-0.123%	558,448	50,983	9.13%	42,740	7.65%	1	0	0
9	696,194	-0.022%	526,353	124,919	23.73%	94,571	17.97%	2	5	0
10	695,594	-0.108%	539,648	67,953	12.59%	166,647	30.88%	3	7	0
11	697,581	0.177%	528,622	76,593	14.49%	125,916	23.82%	3	5	0
12	697,390	0.150%	559,888	23,878	4.26%	47,997	8.57%	1	1	0
13	697,002	0.094%	573,216	63,515	11.08%	38,075	6.64%	1	5	0
14	696,976	0.091%	553,744	45,268	8.17%	114,642	20.70%	3	7	0
15	697,216	0.125%	525,707	106,770	20.31%	99,993	19.02%	1	2	0
16	696,130	-0.031%	540,511	44,741	8.28%	80,244	14.85%	5	5	0
17	695,837	-0.073%	576,543	33,523	5.81%	57,668	10.00%	3	1	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0042 - Congress										
18	696,597	0.036%	563,356	35,730	6.34%	84,394	14.98%	2	0	0
19	696,453	0.016%	560,006	28,658	5.12%	344,862	61.58%	3	0	0
20	695,664	-0.098%	529,080	84,629	16.00%	317,091	59.93%	1	4	0
21	697,796	0.208%	554,907	27,405	4.94%	493,053	88.85%	2	4	0
22	697,131	0.113%	522,784	290,093	55.49%	169,981	32.51%	2	9	0
23	696,272	-0.010%	575,852	112,047	19.46%	143,757	24.96%	2	16	0
24	695,949	-0.057%	528,789	122,064	23.08%	157,645	29.81%	1	9	0
25	695,586	-0.109%	558,259	84,669	15.17%	80,849	14.48%	2	4	0
26	695,817	-0.076%	537,197	94,624	17.61%	129,044	24.02%	2	4	0
27	696,203	-0.020%	554,371	87,931	15.86%	63,077	11.38%	2	3	0
18,801,285			14,799,197	2,232,436	15.08%	3,119,169	21.08%	62	101	0

HPUBC0043 - Congress

17	695,771	-0.082%	520,693	283,316	54.41%	158,176	30.38%	2	0	11
695,771			520,693	283,316	54.41%	158,176	30.38%	2	0	11

HPUBC0044 - Congress

1	696,257	-0.013%	541,212	75,054	13.87%	23,990	4.43%	1	0	0
2	696,310	-0.005%	552,928	127,308	23.02%	27,224	4.92%	2	0	0
3	696,486	0.020%	527,495	97,356	18.46%	28,016	5.31%	4	5	0
4	696,429	0.012%	537,841	141,757	26.36%	37,773	7.02%	3	3	0
5	696,172	-0.025%	578,896	56,581	9.77%	40,914	7.07%	2	3	0
6	696,435	0.013%	551,041	62,538	11.35%	58,392	10.60%	2	3	0
7	696,519	0.025%	533,026	132,583	24.87%	105,384	19.77%	2	5	0
8	696,329	-0.002%	539,911	59,726	11.06%	124,024	22.97%	3	3	0
9	696,249	-0.014%	549,657	57,797	10.52%	48,958	8.91%	3	4	0
10	696,228	-0.017%	563,989	47,830	8.48%	34,773	6.17%	1	2	0
11	696,406	0.009%	523,445	69,582	13.29%	155,171	29.64%	3	6	0
12	696,446	0.015%	528,843	78,232	14.79%	102,518	19.39%	2	2	0
13	696,267	-0.011%	554,373	25,045	4.52%	47,891	8.64%	2	2	0
14	696,313	-0.005%	573,791	61,421	10.70%	40,000	6.97%	1	2	0
15	696,285	-0.009%	530,534	89,852	16.94%	127,727	24.08%	2	1	0
16	696,354	0.001%	571,740	33,294	5.82%	50,276	8.79%	1	0	0
17	696,428	0.012%	564,798	38,937	6.89%	78,483	13.90%	1	1	0
18	696,324	-0.003%	555,886	46,036	8.28%	111,718	20.10%	2	4	0
19	696,225	-0.017%	551,467	58,527	10.61%	69,221	12.55%	2	2	0
20	696,407	0.009%	543,024	107,949	19.88%	94,958	17.49%	1	4	0
21	696,319	-0.004%	564,805	73,858	13.08%	94,422	16.72%	2	7	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0044 - Congress										
22	696,386	0.006%	547,056	173,199	31.66%	97,758	17.87%	1	8	0
23	696,330	-0.002%	534,792	97,289	18.19%	162,329	30.35%	2	8	0
24	696,393	0.007%	533,030	143,885	26.99%	317,364	59.54%	2	7	0
25	696,326	-0.003%	553,106	176,408	31.89%	259,799	46.97%	1	4	0
26	696,252	-0.013%	570,352	24,448	4.29%	475,985	83.45%	1	5	0
27	696,435	0.013%	522,181	75,945	14.54%	304,114	58.24%	1	3	0
18,801,310			14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	50	94	0

HPUBC0046 - Congress										
1	704,783	1.212%	548,420	72,423	13.21%	24,821	4.53%	0	0	0
2	703,103	0.970%	557,914	135,279	24.25%	26,678	4.78%	0	0	0
3	696,447	0.015%	542,676	67,266	12.40%	38,461	7.09%	2	1	0
4	703,476	1.024%	525,476	151,136	28.76%	28,750	5.47%	3	3	0
5	690,925	-0.778%	572,164	31,082	5.43%	51,224	8.95%	4	1	0
6	700,297	0.568%	562,751	91,050	16.18%	43,455	7.72%	2	4	0
7	699,235	0.415%	556,382	62,842	11.29%	59,612	10.71%	2	4	0
8	697,388	0.150%	531,597	124,968	23.51%	143,492	26.99%	1	4	0
9	673,044	-3.346%	546,203	32,152	5.89%	70,096	12.83%	4	3	0
10	697,532	0.170%	573,502	64,766	11.29%	40,214	7.01%	2	2	0
11	697,502	0.166%	541,735	101,541	18.74%	137,756	25.43%	1	1	4
12	685,240	-1.595%	516,108	58,216	11.28%	82,159	15.92%	3	1	0
13	693,187	-0.454%	526,896	69,122	13.12%	83,884	15.92%	2	0	0
14	741,892	6.541%	606,019	17,252	2.85%	40,015	6.60%	3	2	0
15	702,630	0.903%	546,608	57,430	10.51%	111,144	20.33%	1	1	0
16	699,720	0.485%	565,331	55,900	9.89%	47,723	8.44%	1	1	0
17	711,865	2.229%	553,104	63,486	11.48%	104,916	18.97%	1	2	0
18	703,129	0.974%	557,087	48,259	8.66%	118,727	21.31%	1	2	0
19	696,392	0.007%	557,722	55,869	10.02%	62,657	11.23%	1	2	0
20	696,481	0.020%	567,250	80,515	14.19%	109,099	19.23%	1	1	1
21	691,651	-0.674%	536,241	196,743	36.69%	107,733	20.09%	1	16	21
22	696,501	0.022%	575,092	122,249	21.26%	173,317	30.14%	2	9	1
23	696,388	0.006%	534,991	205,117	38.34%	295,492	55.23%	2	8	4
24	647,837	-6.966%	485,484	60,173	12.39%	250,326	51.56%	2	9	1
25	676,257	-2.885%	514,266	108,107	21.02%	91,295	17.75%	3	8	2
26	691,267	-0.729%	567,458	24,567	4.33%	467,621	82.41%	1	3	7
27	697,010	0.095%	522,279	74,487	14.26%	307,804	58.93%	1	2	5
18,791,179			14,790,756	2,231,997	15.09%	3,118,471	21.08%	47	90	46

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	---------------	---	--------------	---	---------------	-------------	------------

HPUBC0049 - Congress

HPUBC0049 - Congress

1	697,563	0.175%	542,630	71,469	13.17%	24,645	4.54%	1	0	0
2	696,397	0.007%	552,088	134,204	24.31%	26,242	4.75%	3	1	0
3	696,471	0.018%	564,437	77,332	13.70%	34,019	6.03%	4	1	0
4	696,321	-0.003%	536,056	151,971	28.35%	31,869	5.95%	1	1	0
5	695,821	-0.075%	526,387	64,003	12.16%	34,933	6.64%	2	1	0
6	696,214	-0.019%	562,523	55,834	9.93%	47,624	8.47%	1	1	0
7	697,095	0.108%	550,116	71,456	12.99%	59,277	10.78%	3	6	0
8	697,113	0.110%	557,443	34,981	6.28%	57,432	10.30%	4	4	0
9	696,849	0.072%	572,385	64,787	11.32%	40,472	7.07%	1	2	0
10	689,681	-0.957%	549,869	45,327	8.24%	197,827	35.98%	9	2	0
11	694,031	-0.332%	526,824	62,599	11.88%	149,535	28.38%	7	6	0
12	696,593	0.036%	553,334	38,578	6.97%	97,164	17.56%	3	3	0
13	695,523	-0.118%	537,793	60,069	11.17%	116,126	21.59%	1	1	0
14	697,334	0.142%	534,832	125,354	23.44%	130,863	24.47%	1	3	0
15	697,299	0.137%	523,073	67,244	12.86%	80,287	15.35%	2	3	1
16	696,674	0.047%	559,794	62,561	11.18%	48,743	8.71%	3	3	0
17	695,674	-0.096%	562,930	42,715	7.59%	73,803	13.11%	2	0	0
18	696,577	0.033%	533,731	109,691	20.55%	107,108	20.07%	1	1	1
19	696,060	-0.041%	572,594	33,202	5.80%	49,801	8.70%	2	0	0
20	696,404	0.008%	551,615	86,167	15.62%	91,551	16.60%	2	5	0
21	696,186	-0.023%	566,054	68,544	12.11%	86,994	15.37%	1	5	0
22	696,661	0.045%	549,250	126,091	22.96%	99,714	18.15%	2	5	0
23	696,163	-0.026%	527,172	72,654	13.78%	327,978	62.21%	1	1	0
24	696,372	0.004%	540,916	142,390	26.32%	128,076	23.68%	1	8	1
25	696,311	-0.005%	525,037	176,417	33.60%	207,691	39.56%	2	3	1
26	696,312	-0.005%	563,452	142,377	25.27%	323,361	57.39%	1	3	1
27	695,996	-0.050%	552,527	43,688	7.91%	445,748	80.67%	1	3	0
18,795,695			14,794,862	2,231,705	15.08%	3,118,883	21.08%	62	72	5

HPUBC0050 - Congress

1	535,810	-23.054%	424,349	30,114	7.10%	97,562	22.99%	1	2	0
2	678,987	-2.493%	555,249	38,700	6.97%	70,595	12.71%	1	2	0
3	694,509	-0.264%	570,581	33,057	5.79%	50,211	8.80%	1	0	0
4	101,969	-85.357%	75,445	21,534	28.54%	15,679	20.78%	3	0	0
5	696,170	-0.025%	556,252	47,574	8.55%	336,861	60.56%	1	4	0
6	646,535	-7.153%	520,422	25,723	4.94%	446,802	85.85%	1	2	0
7	458,884	-34.101%	349,374	31,396	8.99%	272,846	78.10%	2	2	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBC0050 - Congress										
	3,812,864		3,051,672	228,098	7.47%	1,290,556	42.29%	10	12	0
HPUBC0054 - Congress										
15	698,923	0.370%	548,357	49,512	9.03%	103,425	18.86%	3	0	0
26	713,350	2.442%	543,094	102,948	18.96%	138,382	25.48%	4	0	0
	1,412,273		1,091,451	152,460	13.97%	241,807	22.15%	7	0	0
HPUBC0057 - Congress										
1	697,324	0.141%	542,394	71,466	13.18%	24,640	4.54%	2	0	0
2	696,737	0.056%	553,108	133,268	24.09%	26,534	4.80%	5	1	0
3	696,658	0.045%	524,968	173,643	33.08%	36,876	7.02%	2	1	0
4	695,900	-0.064%	562,781	77,665	13.80%	33,562	5.96%	4	3	0
5	696,572	0.033%	537,944	43,175	8.03%	30,217	5.62%	4	3	0
6	696,589	0.035%	563,772	77,586	13.76%	51,462	9.13%	5	9	0
7	695,100	-0.179%	557,134	62,187	11.16%	50,557	9.07%	5	9	0
8	697,439	0.157%	547,128	58,086	10.62%	89,994	16.45%	2	3	0
9	695,837	-0.073%	548,755	59,505	10.84%	102,900	18.75%	3	5	0
10	696,306	-0.006%	571,976	64,801	11.33%	40,466	7.07%	1	2	0
11	700,985	0.666%	529,166	94,121	17.79%	116,542	22.02%	1	2	0
12	698,112	0.254%	547,674	28,896	5.28%	56,278	10.28%	4	6	0
13	696,862	0.074%	554,968	52,757	9.51%	79,345	14.30%	5	7	0
14	697,392	0.150%	527,472	83,195	15.77%	78,127	14.81%	5	10	0
15	696,855	0.073%	577,234	31,989	5.54%	51,074	8.85%	3	3	0
16	696,680	0.048%	556,258	59,262	10.65%	64,606	11.61%	4	3	0
17	697,147	0.115%	542,023	52,664	9.72%	444,576	82.02%	2	5	0
18	696,128	-0.031%	525,598	284,445	54.12%	162,527	30.92%	2	10	0
19	696,024	-0.046%	565,310	63,736	11.27%	68,618	12.14%	2	6	0
20	697,340	0.143%	557,887	51,840	9.29%	151,986	27.24%	2	11	0
21	696,311	-0.005%	576,843	24,216	4.20%	453,310	78.58%	1	6	0
22	695,798	-0.079%	537,149	113,264	21.09%	124,026	23.09%	1	3	0
23	687,514	-1.268%	532,735	208,772	39.19%	98,364	18.46%	1	9	0
24	695,298	-0.150%	546,353	51,455	9.42%	64,840	11.87%	5	5	0
25	693,710	-0.378%	520,707	74,500	14.31%	302,875	58.17%	1	3	0
26	695,741	-0.087%	558,976	33,877	6.06%	110,208	19.72%	1	2	0
27	696,699	0.051%	531,043	101,651	19.14%	203,935	38.40%	2	3	0
	18,799,058		14,797,356	2,232,022	15.08%	3,118,445	21.07%	75	130	0
HPUBH0011 - House										
25	157,054	0.240%	126,884	9,277	7.31%	7,909	6.23%	1	0	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0011 - House										
	157,054		126,884	9,277	7.31%	7,909	6.23%	1	0	0
HPUBH0012 - House										
33	156,872	0.124%	120,246	12,289	10.22%	26,753	22.25%	2	0	0
	156,872		120,246	12,289	10.22%	26,753	22.25%	2	0	0
HPUBH0013 - House										
1	157,622	0.603%	130,696	9,191	7.03%	6,823	5.22%	2	4	0
2	156,953	0.176%	121,940	12,258	10.05%	16,925	13.88%	1	4	0
	314,575		252,636	21,449	8.49%	23,748	9.40%	3	8	0
HPUBH0016 - House										
43	156,754	0.049%	125,140	7,366	5.89%	11,719	9.36%	2	0	0
44	157,636	0.611%	118,366	7,317	6.18%	16,406	13.86%	2	1	0
45	156,644	-0.022%	125,451	3,751	2.99%	7,872	6.27%	2	1	0
46	157,173	0.316%	127,705	2,484	1.95%	9,001	7.05%	1	1	0
61	157,076	0.254%	120,307	10,164	8.45%	15,600	12.97%	3	1	0
	785,283		616,969	31,082	5.04%	60,598	9.82%	10	4	0
HPUBH0018 - House										
1	156,382	-0.189%	122,800	23,109	18.82%	4,727	3.85%	1	1	0
2	155,853	-0.527%	121,894	26,298	21.57%	5,702	4.68%	2	1	0
3	157,396	0.458%	119,777	10,126	8.45%	4,298	3.59%	2	1	0
4	157,008	0.211%	122,009	9,359	7.67%	7,667	6.28%	2	2	0
5	156,674	-0.003%	123,530	23,067	18.67%	5,044	4.08%	2	0	0
6	155,042	-1.044%	122,161	9,503	7.78%	5,181	4.24%	3	4	0
7	156,620	-0.037%	121,974	31,235	25.61%	5,818	4.77%	3	3	0
8	156,021	-0.419%	130,484	48,724	37.34%	8,241	6.32%	1	1	0
9	156,890	0.135%	121,705	26,281	21.59%	4,821	3.96%	1	1	0
10	156,982	0.194%	121,387	20,641	17.00%	6,548	5.39%	1	1	0
11	155,956	-0.461%	118,235	23,309	19.71%	4,141	3.50%	2	2	0
12	156,574	-0.066%	129,032	16,430	12.73%	11,138	8.63%	1	1	0
13	156,945	0.170%	126,868	30,104	23.73%	7,725	6.09%	2	1	0
14	156,956	0.177%	123,077	13,968	11.35%	9,257	7.52%	1	1	0
15	156,471	-0.132%	114,622	33,146	28.92%	9,152	7.98%	1	1	0
16	157,010	0.212%	119,553	73,213	61.24%	3,421	2.86%	1	1	0
17	156,922	0.156%	120,031	27,618	23.01%	9,171	7.64%	1	1	0
18	157,146	0.299%	124,718	15,927	12.77%	11,864	9.51%	1	1	0
19	156,152	-0.336%	119,177	6,345	5.32%	5,426	4.55%	1	0	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0018 - House										
20	156,634	-0.028%	114,244	11,908	10.42%	8,282	7.25%	1	0	0
21	157,502	0.526%	123,168	13,064	10.61%	6,730	5.46%	5	0	0
	3,289,136		2,560,446	493,375	19.27%	144,354	5.64%	35	24	0
HPUBH0024 - House										
61	157,071	0.251%	120,234	8,669	7.21%	15,985	13.29%	2	0	0
	157,071		120,234	8,669	7.21%	15,985	13.29%	2	0	0
HPUBH0027 - House										
1	156,817	0.089%	121,982	8,995	7.37%	7,525	6.17%	3	1	0
2	157,522	0.539%	119,661	17,512	14.63%	4,501	3.76%	2	0	0
3	156,759	0.052%	125,417	30,070	23.98%	6,036	4.81%	1	0	0
4	158,476	1.148%	121,766	12,339	10.13%	4,422	3.63%	3	1	0
5	154,811	-1.192%	122,900	12,380	10.07%	4,579	3.73%	3	4	0
6	153,193	-2.224%	119,533	25,299	21.16%	4,288	3.59%	1	1	0
7	156,487	-0.122%	122,503	13,646	11.14%	5,076	4.14%	2	2	0
8	156,956	0.177%	124,779	48,113	38.56%	8,246	6.61%	3	3	0
9	156,624	-0.034%	127,555	39,345	30.85%	6,821	5.35%	1	1	0
10	156,672	-0.004%	122,404	20,432	16.69%	6,908	5.64%	2	2	0
11	154,839	-1.174%	120,512	17,012	14.12%	4,395	3.65%	3	2	0
12	157,004	0.208%	132,805	25,960	19.55%	13,218	9.95%	1	1	0
13	179,284	14.428%	139,839	17,220	12.31%	9,980	7.14%	3	2	0
14	159,126	1.562%	125,317	12,674	10.11%	9,017	7.20%	3	4	0
15	160,983	2.748%	125,946	22,867	18.16%	14,350	11.39%	1	1	0
16	158,371	1.081%	134,232	3,862	2.88%	5,423	4.04%	1	0	0
17	155,887	-0.505%	124,769	7,830	6.28%	7,839	6.28%	2	3	0
18	155,150	-0.975%	136,593	5,665	4.15%	5,921	4.33%	3	2	0
19	155,853	-0.527%	113,870	14,577	12.80%	21,429	18.82%	2	6	0
20	154,985	-1.081%	125,134	18,017	14.40%	14,671	11.72%	3	7	0
21	158,497	1.161%	115,697	58,186	50.29%	19,361	16.73%	1	3	0
22	159,950	2.088%	123,612	11,867	9.60%	28,457	23.02%	1	4	0
23	156,463	-0.137%	121,731	34,330	28.20%	32,291	26.53%	1	2	0
24	154,974	-1.088%	126,326	12,861	10.18%	22,845	18.08%	1	1	0
25	156,538	-0.089%	116,039	13,119	11.31%	54,865	47.28%	1	2	0
26	171,866	9.694%	138,505	13,019	9.40%	31,645	22.85%	2	3	0
27	146,746	-6.339%	109,174	10,292	9.43%	22,728	20.82%	3	5	0
28	153,687	-1.909%	117,171	26,897	22.96%	23,404	19.97%	2	6	0
29	155,868	-0.517%	117,828	19,595	16.63%	24,125	20.47%	2	4	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0027 - House										
30	155,792	-0.565%	119,685	8,302	6.94%	15,711	13.13%	1	5	0
31	165,325	5.519%	133,873	8,338	6.23%	5,076	3.79%	2	1	0
32	158,358	1.072%	119,538	18,359	15.36%	5,990	5.01%	2	1	0
33	156,094	-0.373%	124,686	10,369	8.32%	7,760	6.22%	2	1	0
34	152,255	-2.823%	112,889	53,602	47.48%	5,249	4.65%	1	1	0
35	157,539	0.550%	119,238	60,292	50.56%	5,004	4.20%	1	1	0
36	156,996	0.203%	121,564	24,392	20.07%	12,212	10.05%	1	1	0
37	158,421	1.112%	116,261	18,541	15.95%	9,850	8.47%	2	1	0
38	154,908	-1.130%	118,968	7,503	6.31%	5,726	4.81%	1	0	0
39	151,855	-3.078%	117,949	12,673	10.74%	9,018	7.65%	2	1	0
40	151,595	-3.244%	127,381	19,518	15.32%	5,509	4.32%	1	4	0
41	146,366	-6.582%	119,064	9,972	8.38%	10,304	8.65%	2	7	0
42	157,428	0.479%	115,764	12,108	10.46%	47,771	41.27%	1	1	0
43	158,080	0.895%	118,880	14,795	12.45%	46,056	38.74%	2	2	0
44	165,668	5.738%	127,544	20,363	15.97%	15,123	11.86%	1	2	0
45	156,237	-0.281%	120,407	17,517	14.55%	14,184	11.78%	1	4	0
46	151,613	-3.233%	112,166	11,791	10.51%	19,403	17.30%	2	3	0
47	157,710	0.659%	123,485	16,878	13.67%	23,565	19.08%	3	4	0
48	138,208	-11.789%	104,012	19,097	18.36%	22,443	21.58%	1	0	0
49	162,067	3.440%	124,286	11,145	8.97%	17,642	14.19%	2	2	0
50	157,085	0.260%	113,232	11,239	9.93%	19,663	17.37%	1	1	0
51	155,461	-0.777%	117,020	52,258	44.66%	21,453	18.33%	1	1	0
52	148,665	-5.114%	119,647	15,229	12.73%	23,529	19.67%	1	1	0
53	157,139	0.294%	124,393	4,415	3.55%	9,124	7.33%	3	3	0
54	157,046	0.235%	120,550	24,154	20.04%	27,382	22.71%	4	1	0
55	156,935	0.164%	123,939	7,490	6.04%	12,296	9.92%	2	0	0
56	156,208	-0.300%	127,226	3,048	2.40%	9,530	7.49%	2	0	0
57	154,440	-1.428%	123,895	3,175	2.56%	9,153	7.39%	2	1	0
58	156,763	0.054%	130,607	5,866	4.49%	10,808	8.28%	2	3	0
59	160,160	2.222%	117,859	8,928	7.58%	18,134	15.39%	2		0
60	157,659	0.626%	125,592	14,969	11.92%	25,256	20.11%	1	1	0
61	178,841	14.146%	138,612	17,606	12.70%	62,246	44.91%	1	1	0
62	156,844	0.106%	129,626	10,055	7.76%	9,459	7.30%	1	4	0
63	156,012	-0.425%	124,725	39,279	31.49%	6,956	5.58%	1	1	0
64	157,135	0.292%	132,728	3,620	2.73%	6,712	5.06%	1	4	0
65	156,858	0.115%	128,099	8,256	6.45%	10,910	8.52%	1	4	0
66	155,782	-0.572%	119,138	10,575	8.88%	21,287	17.87%	2		0
67	157,895	0.777%	125,587	13,099	10.43%	17,259	13.74%	1	1	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0027 - House										
68	158,537	1.187%	130,952	11,829	9.03%	16,794	12.82%	2	1	0
69	155,676	-0.640%	122,598	7,579	6.18%	13,419	10.95%	4	2	0
70	156,590	-0.056%	135,872	1,615	1.19%	6,276	4.62%	1	2	0
71	155,160	-0.969%	129,843	4,340	3.34%	5,630	4.34%	3	0	0
72	142,285	-9.186%	120,570	5,576	4.62%	8,532	7.08%	2	2	0
73	161,358	2.987%	129,037	4,324	3.35%	18,910	14.65%	1	1	0
74	174,815	11.576%	126,200	16,619	13.17%	42,863	33.96%	3	1	0
75	157,742	0.679%	129,833	16,727	12.88%	17,991	13.86%	1	1	0
76	169,420	8.133%	142,878	2,119	1.48%	16,641	11.65%	2	0	0
77	161,967	3.376%	133,159	8,263	6.21%	27,038	20.31%	1	0	0
78	77,185	-50.737%	61,455	3,009	4.90%	13,275	21.60%	3	0	0
79	156,357	-0.205%	119,115	16,113	13.53%	46,224	38.81%	2	0	0
80	160,067	2.163%	114,465	29,581	25.84%	69,801	60.98%	1	0	0
81	157,115	0.279%	119,050	10,280	8.64%	85,160	71.53%	1		0
82	153,581	-1.977%	118,587	15,664	13.21%	60,717	51.20%	1	2	0
83	156,716	0.024%	120,663	4,576	3.79%	106,342	88.13%	1		0
84	155,456	-0.780%	129,999	14,062	10.82%	84,633	65.10%	1	2	0
85	153,909	-1.767%	125,973	2,909	2.31%	112,379	89.21%	1	0	0
86	157,958	0.817%	130,682	5,382	4.12%	99,406	76.07%	1	4	0
87	156,697	0.012%	126,707	7,865	6.21%	117,074	92.40%	1	2	0
88	156,805	0.081%	118,725	66,015	55.60%	42,174	35.52%	1	2	0
89	157,330	0.416%	134,886	7,351	5.45%	65,739	48.74%	1	6	0
90	160,666	2.545%	120,433	72,665	60.34%	32,082	26.64%	1	3	0
91	150,733	-3.794%	122,907	9,463	7.70%	112,700	91.70%	1	1	0
92	158,614	1.236%	117,037	78,193	66.81%	38,180	32.62%	1	0	0
93	155,984	-0.443%	127,643	24,320	19.05%	39,391	30.86%	2	5	0
94	156,739	0.039%	115,087	54,276	47.16%	39,077	33.95%	1	4	0
95	158,987	1.474%	124,145	16,250	13.09%	40,714	32.80%	1	6	0
96	156,220	-0.292%	122,202	6,227	5.10%	108,229	88.57%	1	2	0
97	156,496	-0.116%	119,988	7,984	6.65%	104,229	86.87%	1	1	0
98	157,680	0.640%	111,507	14,092	12.64%	52,656	47.22%	2	6	0
99	155,787	-0.569%	120,072	12,320	10.26%	36,949	30.77%	1	4	0
100	152,561	-2.628%	111,923	90,385	80.76%	7,499	6.70%	1	5	0
101	156,245	-0.276%	123,154	28,169	22.87%	27,686	22.48%	1	3	0
102	156,063	-0.393%	133,829	17,363	12.97%	20,279	15.15%	1	2	0
103	155,783	-0.571%	118,472	20,692	17.47%	27,297	23.04%	1	2	0
104	168,232	7.374%	133,320	38,240	28.68%	27,663	20.75%	1	5	0
105	156,966	0.184%	127,988	32,888	25.70%	17,998	14.06%	1	3	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0027 - House										
106	156,470	-0.133%	122,172	8,952	7.33%	18,967	15.52%	2	3	0
107	157,255	0.368%	132,054	15,669	11.87%	12,970	9.82%	1	2	0
108	156,836	0.101%	133,261	7,693	5.77%	10,539	7.91%	1	1	0
109	156,745	0.043%	126,244	25,716	20.37%	21,955	17.39%	1	5	0
110	157,830	0.735%	120,930	14,240	11.78%	17,804	14.72%	1	5	0
111	156,099	-0.370%	116,205	16,447	14.15%	28,730	24.72%	1	5	0
112	157,617	0.599%	119,507	20,910	17.50%	49,391	41.33%	1	6	0
113	164,634	5.078%	132,697	45,377	34.20%	14,163	10.67%	1	3	0
114	157,193	0.329%	129,576	3,758	2.90%	11,140	8.60%	2	2	0
115	156,081	-0.381%	116,954	20,771	17.76%	21,087	18.03%	1	2	0
116	157,674	0.636%	128,962	9,093	7.05%	12,693	9.84%	2	2	0
117	155,615	-0.678%	126,232	18,876	14.95%	8,579	6.80%	2	3	0
118	146,451	-6.527%	114,519	15,153	13.23%	14,343	12.52%	2	4	0
119	175,507	12.018%	141,131	11,903	8.43%	9,760	6.92%	1	4	0
120	156,343	-0.214%	128,523	9,081	7.07%	6,616	5.15%	1	3	0
18,794,663			14,793,638	2,231,761	15.09%	3,117,503	21.07%	190	272	0

HPUBH0029 - House

79	156,426	-0.161%	122,097	7,434	6.09%	21,629	17.71%	4	0	0
156,426			122,097	7,434	6.09%	21,629	17.71%	4	0	0

HPUBH0037 - House

1	157,206	0.337%	120,313	9,857	8.19%	3,418	2.84%	3	1	1
3	157,080	0.257%	122,277	32,916	26.92%	4,922	4.03%	2	1	0
5	157,225	0.349%	122,147	17,593	14.40%	5,202	4.26%	3	1	0
34	156,689	0.007%	121,431	11,224	9.24%	20,848	17.17%	2	5	1
35	156,690	0.008%	127,687	12,402	9.71%	31,055	24.32%	1	2	12
36	157,171	0.315%	125,133	31,773	25.39%	32,337	25.84%	1	3	9
37	156,821	0.091%	122,123	10,803	8.85%	18,478	15.13%	3	5	1
38	156,835	0.100%	116,885	22,967	19.65%	22,774	19.48%	2	4	5
39	157,485	0.515%	114,319	67,644	59.17%	16,078	14.06%	1	1	2
40	157,355	0.432%	124,868	11,358	9.10%	33,902	27.15%	1	2	4
41	156,880	0.129%	114,354	15,400	13.47%	30,027	26.26%	2	4	0
45	157,462	0.500%	125,496	3,569	2.84%	8,868	7.07%	2	3	2
46	156,951	0.174%	127,039	3,203	2.52%	10,783	8.49%	1	1	1
48	157,156	0.305%	126,554	4,103	3.24%	7,886	6.23%	3	4	1
49	157,281	0.385%	116,793	15,797	13.53%	64,083	54.87%	2	3	0
50	157,104	0.272%	128,899	9,510	7.38%	12,869	9.98%	1	5	3

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0037 - House										
53	157,500	0.525%	126,971	8,313	6.55%	10,291	8.11%	1	3	2
54	157,151	0.302%	135,396	6,269	4.63%	6,694	4.94%	1	5	1
55	157,142	0.296%	118,103	45,350	38.40%	15,440	13.07%	3	4	3
56	157,566	0.567%	118,421	16,708	14.11%	21,448	18.11%	1	1	9
57	156,885	0.132%	126,252	8,988	7.12%	24,210	19.18%	2	2	0
58	156,777	0.063%	122,056	19,930	16.33%	54,583	44.72%	1	1	15
59	157,017	0.216%	115,732	57,948	50.07%	24,821	21.45%	1	1	19
62	157,426	0.477%	115,851	11,116	9.60%	23,182	20.01%	2	0	0
63	157,368	0.440%	120,004	12,877	10.73%	18,288	15.24%	2	0	23
67	156,873	0.124%	117,467	9,938	8.46%	16,745	14.26%	3		0
68	157,539	0.550%	131,451	5,497	4.18%	12,144	9.24%	3	3	0
69	156,767	0.057%	129,744	9,689	7.47%	13,458	10.37%	2	1	0
70	157,059	0.243%	137,689	1,578	1.15%	4,463	3.24%	1	0	5
72	157,651	0.621%	124,736	11,780	9.44%	19,476	15.61%	3	0	1
	4,714,112		3,696,191	506,100	13.69%	588,773	15.93%	56	66	120
HPUBH0040 - House										
2	156,688	0.006%	124,447	23,934	19.23%	15,610	12.54%	1	0	1
	156,688		124,447	23,934	19.23%	15,610	12.54%	1	0	1
HPUBH0045 - House										
1	157,938	0.804%	122,675	9,063	7.39%	7,587	6.18%	3	1	0
2	157,522	0.539%	119,661	17,512	14.63%	4,501	3.76%	2	0	0
3	156,759	0.052%	125,417	30,070	23.98%	6,036	4.81%	1	0	0
4	157,594	0.585%	121,309	12,274	10.12%	4,365	3.60%	3	1	0
5	154,811	-1.192%	122,900	12,380	10.07%	4,579	3.73%	3	4	0
6	153,193	-2.224%	119,533	25,299	21.16%	4,288	3.59%	1	1	0
7	156,487	-0.122%	122,503	13,646	11.14%	5,076	4.14%	2	2	0
8	156,957	0.178%	124,780	48,113	38.56%	8,246	6.61%	2	3	0
9	156,625	-0.034%	127,556	39,345	30.85%	6,821	5.35%	1	1	0
10	156,672	-0.004%	122,404	20,432	16.69%	6,908	5.64%	2	2	0
11	159,774	1.976%	123,901	16,865	13.61%	4,542	3.67%	3	1	0
12	163,035	4.057%	137,801	26,302	19.09%	13,408	9.73%	1	2	0
13	167,553	6.941%	130,867	17,036	13.02%	9,592	7.33%	3	2	0
14	159,126	1.562%	125,317	12,674	10.11%	9,017	7.20%	3	4	0
15	160,983	2.748%	125,946	22,867	18.16%	14,350	11.39%	1	1	0
16	158,371	1.081%	134,232	3,862	2.88%	5,423	4.04%	1	0	0
17	155,887	-0.505%	124,769	7,830	6.28%	7,839	6.28%	2	3	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0045 - House										
18	155,150	-0.975%	136,593	5,665	4.15%	5,921	4.33%	3	2	0
19	157,553	0.558%	115,335	14,596	12.66%	21,549	18.68%	2	6	0
20	155,581	-0.700%	125,730	18,035	14.34%	14,675	11.67%	3	7	0
21	150,815	-3.742%	110,312	54,729	49.61%	18,343	16.63%	1	2	0
22	158,250	1.003%	122,147	11,848	9.70%	28,337	23.20%	1	4	0
23	156,463	-0.137%	121,731	34,330	28.20%	32,291	26.53%	1	2	0
24	158,839	1.379%	129,171	13,225	10.24%	24,136	18.69%	1	1	0
25	156,538	-0.089%	116,039	13,119	11.31%	54,865	47.28%	1	2	0
26	168,001	7.227%	135,660	12,655	9.33%	30,354	22.38%	2	3	0
27	153,472	-2.046%	114,171	10,891	9.54%	23,877	20.91%	3	5	9
28	161,369	2.994%	122,556	30,354	24.77%	24,422	19.93%	2	6	0
29	153,431	-2.072%	115,970	19,435	16.76%	23,728	20.46%	2	3	6
30	155,687	-0.633%	119,616	8,304	6.94%	15,712	13.14%	1	5	0
31	165,519	5.643%	134,039	8,346	6.23%	5,081	3.79%	2	1	0
32	158,358	1.072%	119,538	18,359	15.36%	5,990	5.01%	1	1	0
33	156,094	-0.373%	124,686	10,369	8.32%	7,760	6.22%	2	1	0
34	152,255	-2.823%	112,889	53,602	47.48%	5,249	4.65%	1	1	0
35	157,539	0.550%	119,238	60,292	50.56%	5,004	4.20%	1	1	0
36	156,996	0.203%	121,564	24,392	20.07%	12,212	10.05%	1	1	0
37	158,455	1.134%	116,286	18,546	15.95%	9,850	8.47%	2	1	0
38	154,908	-1.130%	118,968	7,503	6.31%	5,726	4.81%	1	0	0
39	151,855	-3.078%	117,949	12,673	10.74%	9,018	7.65%	2	1	0
40	151,162	-3.521%	126,996	19,385	15.26%	5,498	4.33%	1	4	1
41	142,664	-8.944%	116,411	9,669	8.31%	9,566	8.22%	2	7	5
42	160,570	2.484%	119,448	11,985	10.03%	48,774	40.83%	1	1	1
43	152,334	-2.773%	111,040	16,524	14.88%	47,199	42.51%	2	1	1
44	168,380	7.469%	129,824	20,567	15.84%	14,842	11.43%	1	2	0
45	163,967	4.652%	127,733	16,243	12.72%	17,125	13.41%	1	4	0
46	156,284	-0.251%	116,650	11,919	10.22%	18,382	15.76%	2	4	0
47	144,263	-7.924%	112,815	16,213	14.37%	19,750	17.51%	3	6	0
48	142,907	-8.789%	107,855	20,794	19.28%	23,198	21.51%	1	0	0
49	150,006	-4.258%	114,199	10,917	9.56%	17,051	14.93%	2	3	0
50	157,087	0.261%	113,234	11,239	9.93%	19,663	17.36%	1	1	0
51	158,171	0.953%	119,045	51,515	43.27%	21,737	18.26%	1	1	0
52	148,665	-5.114%	119,647	15,229	12.73%	23,529	19.67%	1	1	0
53	157,139	0.294%	124,393	4,415	3.55%	9,124	7.33%	3	3	0
54	157,062	0.245%	120,566	24,154	20.03%	27,382	22.71%	4	1	0
55	169,034	7.886%	134,054	7,718	5.76%	12,892	9.62%	2	1	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0045 - House										
56	155,122	-0.993%	126,347	3,036	2.40%	9,493	7.51%	2	0	0
57	155,526	-0.735%	124,774	3,187	2.55%	9,190	7.37%	2	1	0
58	156,763	0.054%	130,607	5,866	4.49%	10,808	8.28%	1	3	0
59	160,160	2.222%	117,859	8,928	7.58%	18,134	15.39%	2		0
60	157,670	0.633%	125,601	14,969	11.92%	25,256	20.11%	1	1	0
61	169,995	8.500%	131,848	16,254	12.33%	60,882	46.18%	1	1	0
62	156,844	0.106%	129,626	10,055	7.76%	9,459	7.30%	1	4	0
63	156,012	-0.425%	124,725	39,279	31.49%	6,956	5.58%	1	1	0
64	157,135	0.292%	132,728	3,620	2.73%	6,712	5.06%	1	4	0
65	156,858	0.115%	128,099	8,256	6.45%	10,910	8.52%	1	4	0
66	155,784	-0.571%	119,140	10,575	8.88%	21,287	17.87%	2		0
67	157,954	0.814%	125,628	13,106	10.43%	17,270	13.75%	1	1	0
68	158,537	1.187%	130,952	11,829	9.03%	16,794	12.82%	2	1	0
69	155,676	-0.640%	122,598	7,579	6.18%	13,419	10.95%	4	2	0
70	156,590	-0.056%	135,872	1,615	1.19%	6,276	4.62%	1	2	0
71	155,160	-0.969%	129,843	4,340	3.34%	5,630	4.34%	3	0	0
72	142,285	-9.186%	120,570	5,576	4.62%	8,532	7.08%	2	2	0
73	161,358	2.987%	129,037	4,324	3.35%	18,910	14.65%	1	1	0
74	150,390	-4.013%	110,007	13,239	12.03%	30,993	28.17%	2	1	0
75	157,742	0.679%	129,833	16,727	12.88%	17,991	13.86%	1	1	0
76	145,128	-7.372%	123,436	1,622	1.31%	14,518	11.76%	2	1	0
77	156,084	-0.379%	128,251	8,204	6.40%	26,722	20.84%	1	0	0
78	133,280	-14.934%	103,099	7,037	6.83%	28,415	27.56%	5	1	0
79	157,436	0.484%	120,234	16,140	13.42%	45,891	38.17%	1	0	0
80	160,362	2.351%	114,621	29,588	25.81%	69,946	61.02%	1	0	0
81	157,115	0.279%	119,050	10,280	8.64%	85,160	71.53%	1		0
82	153,581	-1.977%	118,587	15,664	13.21%	60,717	51.20%	1	2	0
83	156,716	0.024%	120,663	4,576	3.79%	106,342	88.13%	1		0
84	155,456	-0.780%	129,999	14,062	10.82%	84,633	65.10%	1	2	0
85	153,909	-1.767%	125,973	2,909	2.31%	112,379	89.21%	1	0	0
86	158,117	0.918%	130,818	5,386	4.12%	99,494	76.06%	1	3	0
87	156,971	0.187%	126,921	7,870	6.20%	117,240	92.37%	1	2	0
88	156,805	0.081%	118,725	66,015	55.60%	42,174	35.52%	1	2	0
89	157,343	0.424%	134,898	7,352	5.45%	65,749	48.74%	1	6	0
90	161,069	2.803%	120,732	72,848	60.34%	32,152	26.63%	1	3	0
91	150,963	-3.648%	123,115	9,478	7.70%	112,907	91.71%	1	1	0
92	158,614	1.236%	117,037	78,193	66.81%	38,180	32.62%	1	0	0
93	155,984	-0.443%	127,643	24,320	19.05%	39,391	30.86%	2	5	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0045 - House										
94	156,739	0.039%	115,087	54,276	47.16%	39,077	33.95%	1	4	0
95	158,987	1.474%	124,145	16,250	13.09%	40,714	32.80%	1	6	0
96	156,220	-0.292%	122,202	6,227	5.10%	108,229	88.57%	1	2	0
97	156,618	-0.038%	120,088	7,987	6.65%	104,325	86.87%	1	1	0
98	157,660	0.627%	111,492	14,092	12.64%	52,655	47.23%	2	6	0
99	155,787	-0.569%	120,072	12,320	10.26%	36,949	30.77%	1	4	0
100	152,561	-2.628%	111,923	90,385	80.76%	7,499	6.70%	1	5	0
101	156,245	-0.276%	123,154	28,169	22.87%	27,686	22.48%	1	3	0
102	156,063	-0.393%	133,829	17,363	12.97%	20,279	15.15%	1	2	0
103	155,783	-0.571%	118,472	20,692	17.47%	27,297	23.04%	1	2	0
104	168,232	7.374%	133,320	38,240	28.68%	27,663	20.75%	1	5	0
105	156,966	0.184%	127,988	32,888	25.70%	17,998	14.06%	1	3	0
106	156,470	-0.133%	122,172	8,952	7.33%	18,967	15.52%	2	3	0
107	155,486	-0.761%	130,722	15,479	11.84%	12,859	9.84%	1	2	0
108	158,607	1.231%	134,595	7,883	5.86%	10,650	7.91%	1	1	0
109	154,841	-1.172%	124,642	25,578	20.52%	21,657	17.38%	1	4	0
110	157,830	0.735%	120,930	14,240	11.78%	17,804	14.72%	1	5	0
111	156,063	-0.393%	116,181	16,444	14.15%	28,720	24.72%	1	4	0
112	159,555	1.836%	121,131	21,051	17.38%	49,699	41.03%	1	5	0
113	164,634	5.078%	132,697	45,377	34.20%	14,163	10.67%	1	3	0
114	157,193	0.329%	129,576	3,758	2.90%	11,140	8.60%	2	2	0
115	156,081	-0.381%	116,954	20,771	17.76%	21,087	18.03%	1	2	0
116	157,674	0.636%	128,962	9,093	7.05%	12,693	9.84%	2	2	0
117	155,615	-0.678%	126,232	18,876	14.95%	8,579	6.80%	2	3	0
118	161,071	2.804%	126,934	16,051	12.65%	15,256	12.02%	3	4	0
119	161,942	3.360%	129,548	11,010	8.50%	8,898	6.87%	1	3	0
120	156,404	-0.175%	128,575	9,081	7.06%	6,617	5.15%	1	2	0
18,797,931			14,796,788	2,231,761	15.08%	3,118,462	21.08%	188	270	23

HPUBH0047 - House										
1	156,696	0.011%	121,831	19,571	16.06%	10,762	8.83%	1	1	0
2	156,677	-0.001%	116,379	28,152	24.19%	7,948	6.83%	2	1	0
3	156,677	-0.001%	117,115	81,655	69.72%	2,907	2.48%	1	1	0
4	156,669	-0.006%	119,181	15,363	12.89%	3,817	3.20%	2	1	0
5	156,686	0.005%	120,784	22,368	18.52%	9,093	7.53%	1	2	0
6	156,699	0.013%	125,355	13,241	10.56%	9,941	7.93%	2	2	0
7	156,691	0.008%	118,554	6,971	5.88%	6,301	5.31%	3	1	1
8	156,677	-0.001%	126,333	14,080	11.15%	7,745	6.13%	4	3	2

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0047 - House										
9	156,683	0.003%	122,379	11,409	9.32%	7,136	5.83%	6	4	0
10	156,679	0.001%	122,071	9,951	8.15%	16,654	13.64%	3	3	5
11	156,663	-0.010%	118,602	16,495	13.91%	21,097	17.79%	2	4	5
12	156,681	0.002%	121,303	11,355	9.36%	17,945	14.79%	3	6	2
13	156,676	-0.001%	119,509	11,834	9.90%	18,119	15.16%	1	3	1
14	156,691	0.008%	123,863	15,095	12.19%	20,834	16.82%	2	5	1
15	156,688	0.006%	127,948	13,727	10.73%	36,073	28.19%	2	3	1
16	156,662	-0.010%	113,142	46,272	40.90%	19,417	17.16%	1	4	2
17	156,664	-0.009%	123,331	42,227	34.24%	19,452	15.77%	1	2	5
18	156,700	0.014%	117,185	13,663	11.66%	47,116	40.21%	1	1	6
19	156,675	-0.002%	122,382	25,977	21.23%	36,217	29.59%	1	1	6
20	156,668	-0.006%	123,904	11,992	9.68%	8,227	6.64%	4	3	1
21	156,679	0.001%	131,426	22,249	16.93%	6,181	4.70%	1	4	4
22	156,695	0.011%	131,299	4,363	3.32%	4,694	3.58%	2	3	1
23	156,673	-0.003%	125,513	9,424	7.51%	7,768	6.19%	1	3	4
24	156,689	0.007%	124,671	15,432	12.38%	11,812	9.47%	1	2	3
25	156,667	-0.007%	127,106	11,402	8.97%	9,353	7.36%	2	2	1
26	156,687	0.006%	122,668	24,687	20.13%	19,713	16.07%	3	2	1
27	156,660	-0.011%	121,744	16,149	13.26%	17,659	14.51%	2	1	4
28	156,680	0.001%	129,107	4,779	3.70%	10,365	8.03%	3	2	4
29	156,693	0.010%	127,825	7,922	6.20%	11,588	9.07%	2	4	3
30	156,699	0.013%	124,232	37,680	30.33%	26,883	21.64%	1	5	7
31	156,681	0.002%	128,440	30,825	24.00%	13,767	10.72%	1	1	2
32	156,672	-0.004%	114,586	11,367	9.92%	41,769	36.45%	3	2	1
33	156,658	-0.013%	116,997	20,204	17.27%	33,904	28.98%	2	4	2
34	156,674	-0.003%	119,879	18,854	15.73%	15,603	13.02%	1	6	3
35	156,678	0.000%	119,385	18,481	15.48%	13,497	11.31%	1	4	8
36	156,694	0.010%	117,233	13,513	11.53%	58,212	49.65%	1	1	0
37	156,715	0.024%	116,373	14,832	12.75%	20,537	17.65%	3	3	0
38	156,681	0.002%	125,768	13,119	10.43%	12,229	9.72%	2	7	1
39	156,696	0.011%	136,450	8,454	6.20%	6,273	4.60%	3	3	6
40	156,683	0.003%	127,579	9,112	7.14%	13,360	10.47%	3	3	1
41	156,700	0.014%	132,571	8,218	6.20%	7,255	5.47%	3	2	8
42	157,642	0.615%	122,383	9,829	8.03%	16,765	13.70%	5	7	1
43	156,677	-0.001%	119,570	8,529	7.13%	15,957	13.35%	1	2	11
44	156,612	-0.042%	126,022	6,328	5.02%	11,203	8.89%	2	0	1
45	156,669	-0.006%	126,338	2,581	2.04%	8,870	7.02%	2	2	6
46	156,673	-0.003%	128,372	3,803	2.96%	8,107	6.32%	2	5	7

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0047 - House										
47	156,752	0.047%	129,248	9,309	7.20%	12,265	9.49%	1	2	4
48	156,652	-0.017%	130,932	8,772	6.70%	9,642	7.36%	1	4	4
49	156,631	-0.030%	130,119	4,638	3.56%	8,225	6.32%	1	4	5
50	156,724	0.029%	119,910	5,590	4.66%	17,104	14.26%	3	2	1
51	156,697	0.012%	121,788	8,768	7.20%	25,627	21.04%	2	1	1
52	156,660	-0.011%	120,988	30,222	24.98%	36,559	30.22%	1	1	15
53	156,692	0.009%	119,108	37,987	31.89%	40,330	33.86%	1	1	10
54	156,646	-0.020%	119,396	16,424	13.76%	18,186	15.23%	2	3	0
55	156,702	0.015%	113,967	12,909	11.33%	25,495	22.37%	2	2	4
56	156,672	-0.004%	115,429	13,858	12.01%	18,149	15.72%	1		5
57	156,726	0.031%	125,212	20,574	16.43%	23,710	18.94%	1	1	8
58	156,606	-0.046%	118,030	13,261	11.24%	23,602	20.00%	3	1	3
59	156,672	-0.004%	126,723	6,469	5.10%	9,235	7.29%	1	3	6
60	156,681	0.002%	128,425	37,897	29.51%	5,557	4.33%	2	1	3
61	156,675	-0.002%	124,273	12,425	10.00%	15,385	12.38%	1	1	3
62	156,699	0.013%	126,097	6,805	5.40%	13,252	10.51%	2	2	0
63	156,660	-0.011%	129,279	9,592	7.42%	14,227	11.00%	3	1	4
64	156,686	0.005%	137,496	1,384	1.01%	4,692	3.41%	1	1	5
65	156,673	-0.003%	130,424	6,930	5.31%	6,878	5.27%	3	1	1
66	156,668	-0.006%	124,310	10,434	8.39%	21,114	16.98%	5	4	1
67	156,658	-0.013%	125,001	10,442	8.35%	21,812	17.45%	2	2	0
68	156,692	0.009%	126,441	3,845	3.04%	16,688	13.20%	1	1	5
69	156,678	0.000%	121,166	17,722	14.63%	22,740	18.77%	1	2	14
70	156,688	0.006%	132,135	5,600	4.24%	13,276	10.05%	1	1	6
71	156,675	-0.002%	132,075	2,378	1.80%	15,625	11.83%	2	1	1
72	156,675	-0.002%	129,024	8,125	6.30%	26,302	20.39%	1	0	4
73	156,682	0.003%	115,696	13,832	11.96%	38,523	33.30%	3	1	4
74	156,668	-0.006%	113,961	25,607	22.47%	27,659	24.27%	3	6	1
75	156,666	-0.008%	119,898	30,766	25.66%	25,321	21.12%	1	8	6
76	156,674	-0.003%	119,626	15,234	12.73%	47,185	39.44%	1	6	6
77	156,680	0.001%	130,858	11,680	8.93%	12,644	9.66%	1	3	3
78	156,685	0.004%	127,023	5,267	4.15%	15,370	12.10%	1	2	5
79	156,665	-0.008%	130,329	17,747	13.62%	16,360	12.55%	2	4	4
80	156,687	0.006%	130,365	24,498	18.79%	20,767	15.93%	1	4	2
81	156,670	-0.005%	128,635	34,001	26.43%	19,417	15.09%	1	5	3
82	156,665	-0.008%	118,114	64,064	54.24%	16,223	13.74%	1	6	4
83	156,684	0.004%	122,995	41,982	34.13%	26,585	21.61%	1	7	4
84	156,671	-0.004%	122,230	36,600	29.94%	25,235	20.65%	1	9	5

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0047 - House										
85	156,678	0.000%	114,090	18,386	16.12%	23,819	20.88%	1	4	6
86	156,691	0.008%	116,427	9,193	7.90%	37,954	32.60%	2	5	0
87	156,661	-0.011%	120,344	15,679	13.03%	42,590	35.39%	1	5	4
88	156,659	-0.012%	128,432	25,530	19.88%	34,101	26.55%	1	4	3
89	156,673	-0.003%	116,484	46,714	40.10%	40,537	34.80%	1	4	4
90	156,685	0.004%	113,712	28,787	25.32%	61,493	54.08%	2	5	3
91	156,663	-0.010%	116,206	65,029	55.96%	44,898	38.64%	1	2	4
92	156,693	0.010%	114,663	83,389	72.73%	29,739	25.94%	1	5	6
93	156,691	0.008%	129,632	10,106	7.80%	61,040	47.09%	1	5	3
94	156,676	-0.001%	119,654	64,694	54.07%	37,715	31.52%	1	4	9
95	156,668	-0.006%	126,431	12,596	9.96%	111,304	88.04%	1	3	5
96	156,649	-0.019%	125,570	5,912	4.71%	115,629	92.08%	1	3	5
97	156,651	-0.017%	123,225	5,381	4.37%	113,156	91.83%	1	4	1
98	156,683	0.003%	126,593	5,471	4.32%	114,519	90.46%	1	4	4
99	156,669	-0.006%	134,243	12,120	9.03%	64,600	48.12%	1	3	6
100	156,699	0.013%	121,173	17,140	14.15%	59,525	49.12%	1	3	4
101	156,674	-0.003%	114,623	25,236	22.02%	65,739	57.35%	1	2	2
102	156,664	-0.009%	109,127	22,876	20.96%	70,501	64.60%	1	1	3
103	156,687	0.006%	121,631	6,853	5.63%	93,682	77.02%	1		3
104	156,659	-0.012%	129,991	4,299	3.31%	117,072	90.06%	1	2	2
105	156,692	0.009%	126,426	2,171	1.72%	108,593	85.89%	1	2	5
106	155,786	-0.569%	126,141	6,368	5.05%	67,191	53.27%	1	0	4
107	156,692	0.009%	116,056	18,811	16.21%	9,153	7.89%	2	1	0
108	156,683	0.003%	120,440	20,116	16.70%	5,993	4.98%	4	3	0
109	156,728	0.032%	122,374	22,810	18.64%	6,793	5.55%	6	5	0
110	156,672	-0.004%	121,343	37,025	30.51%	6,420	5.29%	4	6	2
111	156,691	0.008%	120,576	13,421	11.13%	3,865	3.21%	6	3	0
112	156,679	0.001%	124,113	19,658	15.84%	4,817	3.88%	1	1	2
113	156,678	0.000%	121,283	27,595	22.75%	5,890	4.86%	2	1	1
114	156,676	-0.001%	119,724	10,405	8.69%	7,110	5.94%	2	1	1
115	156,696	0.011%	125,384	7,246	5.78%	5,316	4.24%	6	5	0
116	156,627	-0.033%	121,894	17,087	14.02%	4,997	4.10%	4	2	1
117	156,681	0.002%	127,755	37,651	29.47%	7,434	5.82%	3	1	0
118	156,677	-0.001%	126,483	30,941	24.46%	6,521	5.16%	6	5	0
119	156,672	-0.004%	128,597	24,405	18.98%	10,926	8.50%	1	2	5
120	155,724	-0.609%	126,320	24,079	19.06%	11,403	9.03%	2	2	2
18,800,493			14,798,574	2,232,352	15.08%	3,119,126	21.08%	231	343	388

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	---------------	---	--------------	---	---------------	-------------	------------

HPUBH0048 - House

HPUBH0048 - House

1	156,681	0.002%	123,078	22,857	18.57%	4,711	3.83%	1	1	0
2	156,693	0.010%	122,548	27,075	22.09%	5,690	4.64%	2	1	0
3	156,645	-0.021%	118,808	7,265	6.11%	4,395	3.70%	2	1	0
4	155,821	-0.547%	120,533	19,673	16.32%	11,707	9.71%	1	0	0
5	156,620	-0.037%	122,046	11,695	9.58%	7,598	6.23%	1	2	0
6	156,001	-0.432%	124,091	17,345	13.98%	4,730	3.81%	2	2	0
7	156,467	-0.135%	122,156	13,465	11.02%	5,103	4.18%	1	1	0
8	157,497	0.523%	122,906	33,382	27.16%	6,500	5.29%	2	1	0
9	156,174	-0.322%	131,092	48,851	37.26%	8,397	6.41%	1	1	0
10	156,628	-0.032%	120,778	25,801	21.36%	4,454	3.69%	2	1	0
11	157,337	0.421%	123,379	18,200	14.75%	6,996	5.67%	2	2	0
12	156,656	-0.014%	122,143	16,270	13.32%	3,599	2.95%	2	2	0
13	156,430	-0.158%	118,558	76,056	64.15%	3,105	2.62%	1	1	0
14	157,231	0.353%	115,553	35,265	30.52%	6,644	5.75%	1	1	0
15	156,262	-0.266%	120,668	29,022	24.05%	11,052	9.16%	1	1	0
16	156,236	-0.282%	123,705	13,656	11.04%	10,320	8.34%	1	1	0
17	156,953	0.176%	122,521	13,734	11.21%	9,094	7.42%	1	1	0
18	156,231	-0.285%	114,778	23,088	20.12%	9,666	8.42%	2	1	0
19	157,249	0.364%	124,044	14,409	11.62%	43,444	35.02%	1	3	0
20	156,807	0.082%	113,797	6,960	6.12%	6,231	5.48%	2	0	0
21	156,551	-0.081%	124,105	7,774	6.26%	5,520	4.45%	2	0	0
22	157,293	0.393%	133,717	27,861	20.84%	12,920	9.66%	1	2	0
23	156,868	0.121%	121,233	17,455	14.40%	7,562	6.24%	3	2	0
24	156,783	0.067%	129,607	6,213	4.79%	6,487	5.01%	2	2	0
25	157,303	0.399%	130,902	9,895	7.56%	12,055	9.21%	1	0	0
26	157,585	0.579%	129,531	6,601	5.10%	5,726	4.42%	1	3	0
27	155,818	-0.549%	127,279	4,206	3.30%	10,589	8.32%	2	1	0
28	155,770	-0.580%	126,987	10,156	8.00%	7,672	6.04%	1	2	0
29	156,420	-0.165%	125,790	24,632	19.58%	11,135	8.85%	1	5	0
30	156,142	-0.342%	131,410	5,153	3.92%	4,458	3.39%	1	3	0
31	157,368	0.440%	119,565	10,039	8.40%	23,111	19.33%	2	5	0
32	157,262	0.373%	118,647	50,508	42.57%	26,163	22.05%	1	2	0
33	157,493	0.520%	120,008	10,980	9.15%	24,097	20.08%	2	4	0
34	156,864	0.119%	128,065	12,623	9.86%	20,490	16.00%	1	1	0
35	156,147	-0.339%	112,079	50,444	45.01%	18,068	16.12%	1	4	0
36	157,297	0.395%	119,025	16,536	13.89%	22,601	18.99%	2	3	0
37	157,128	0.287%	118,826	19,052	16.03%	17,954	15.11%	1	4	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0048 - House										
38	155,483	-0.763%	123,400	10,206	8.27%	19,975	16.19%	1	5	0
39	157,665	0.630%	120,247	13,802	11.48%	23,971	19.93%	2	7	0
40	155,654	-0.654%	120,240	18,179	15.12%	12,246	10.18%	1	4	0
41	156,152	-0.336%	117,782	10,301	8.75%	14,382	12.21%	1	5	0
42	156,498	-0.115%	120,110	16,598	13.82%	15,709	13.08%	3	2	0
43	156,816	0.088%	119,005	10,360	8.71%	15,667	13.16%	2	0	0
44	156,210	-0.299%	122,227	3,992	3.27%	11,217	9.18%	1		0
45	155,748	-0.594%	125,542	3,047	2.43%	9,285	7.40%	1	0	0
46	155,948	-0.466%	136,262	11,924	8.75%	9,443	6.93%	1	4	0
47	156,666	-0.008%	126,359	10,777	8.53%	7,922	6.27%	1	2	0
48	157,231	0.353%	117,988	19,030	16.13%	26,054	22.08%	1	1	0
49	156,409	-0.172%	112,218	11,663	10.39%	17,533	15.62%	1		0
50	157,238	0.357%	125,921	15,532	12.33%	27,093	21.52%	1	1	0
51	155,746	-0.595%	115,463	47,395	41.05%	26,427	22.89%	1	2	0
52	156,757	0.050%	120,465	12,493	10.37%	51,503	42.75%	1	1	0
53	156,328	-0.223%	124,124	25,120	20.24%	26,684	21.50%	1	2	0
54	157,345	0.426%	120,298	11,616	9.66%	18,244	15.17%	2	1	0
55	156,951	0.174%	125,499	4,146	3.30%	8,534	6.80%	2	4	0
56	157,487	0.516%	130,058	8,017	6.16%	12,534	9.64%	1	4	0
57	157,161	0.308%	127,773	5,755	4.50%	8,910	6.97%	1	4	0
58	156,368	-0.198%	129,461	16,000	12.36%	9,719	7.51%	1	5	0
59	156,614	-0.041%	123,872	32,205	26.00%	7,572	6.11%	1	2	0
60	156,433	-0.156%	134,645	5,106	3.79%	6,012	4.47%	1	7	0
61	159,291	1.668%	120,937	15,601	12.90%	25,592	21.16%	2	4	0
62	156,383	-0.188%	124,508	12,885	10.35%	27,355	21.97%	1	0	0
63	155,960	-0.458%	121,915	9,518	7.81%	19,922	16.34%	2	1	0
64	156,277	-0.256%	125,260	10,714	8.55%	17,097	13.65%	1	3	0
65	157,434	0.483%	121,925	6,342	5.20%	9,339	7.66%	2	3	0
66	157,011	0.213%	132,572	3,727	2.81%	11,323	8.54%	2	1	0
67	156,510	-0.107%	138,461	6,998	5.05%	6,147	4.44%	2	4	0
68	156,937	0.165%	134,385	7,466	5.56%	6,346	4.72%	1	0	0
69	156,912	0.149%	117,890	11,354	9.63%	24,468	20.75%	1	1	0
70	156,741	0.040%	128,099	3,923	3.06%	16,510	12.89%	1	2	0
71	156,824	0.093%	135,704	2,171	1.60%	14,230	10.49%	2	0	0
72	155,888	-0.504%	121,491	18,957	15.60%	21,807	17.95%	1	1	0
73	157,264	0.374%	136,088	5,082	3.73%	16,296	11.97%	1	0	0
74	156,645	-0.021%	117,486	9,587	8.16%	39,403	33.54%	1	0	0
75	156,831	0.098%	122,123	14,068	11.52%	33,659	27.56%	1	1	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0048 - House										
76	155,259	-0.906%	116,320	13,843	11.90%	57,760	49.66%	2	2	0
77	156,254	-0.271%	113,848	16,429	14.43%	40,479	35.56%	2	3	0
78	156,720	0.027%	124,730	12,065	9.67%	8,463	6.79%	1	4	0
79	156,835	0.100%	117,117	14,250	12.17%	39,984	34.14%	2	6	0
80	155,680	-0.637%	122,808	16,986	13.83%	12,583	10.25%	1	2	0
81	156,602	-0.049%	127,949	10,818	8.45%	8,874	6.94%	2	2	0
82	156,608	-0.045%	118,192	15,932	13.48%	22,225	18.80%	2	3	0
83	156,708	0.019%	121,758	24,639	20.24%	16,832	13.82%	1	3	0
84	157,219	0.345%	131,230	6,292	4.79%	12,125	9.24%	2	2	0
85	156,828	0.096%	122,468	31,124	25.41%	13,742	11.22%	2	6	0
86	156,629	-0.031%	120,225	26,450	22.00%	44,346	36.89%	1	4	0
87	156,603	-0.048%	118,637	17,609	14.84%	29,912	25.21%	1	4	0
88	156,433	-0.156%	119,171	27,015	22.67%	18,586	15.60%	1	5	0
89	157,279	0.384%	120,196	15,088	12.55%	24,325	20.24%	1	4	0
90	156,693	0.010%	127,966	31,528	24.64%	14,273	11.15%	1	3	0
91	156,799	0.077%	135,395	8,278	6.11%	15,569	11.50%	1	10	0
92	156,599	-0.050%	134,593	5,777	4.29%	11,604	8.62%	1	2	0
93	156,917	0.153%	117,948	11,675	9.90%	19,332	16.39%	2	4	0
94	156,586	-0.059%	120,500	20,883	17.33%	26,716	22.17%	1	5	0
95	156,553	-0.080%	124,004	40,722	32.84%	25,877	20.87%	1	8	0
96	157,089	0.262%	125,453	32,035	25.54%	23,390	18.64%	1	4	0
97	156,623	-0.035%	120,201	68,418	56.92%	16,646	13.85%	1	7	0
98	156,682	0.003%	122,467	53,896	44.01%	18,775	15.33%	1	8	0
99	156,754	0.049%	116,001	10,991	9.47%	38,992	33.61%	1	3	0
100	156,887	0.133%	120,679	11,538	9.56%	31,405	26.02%	1	5	0
101	156,162	-0.329%	118,260	21,049	17.80%	46,131	39.01%	1	4	0
102	156,739	0.039%	118,438	54,469	45.99%	36,180	30.55%	1	4	0
103	156,178	-0.319%	138,954	4,921	3.54%	19,581	14.09%	1	7	0
104	156,499	-0.114%	115,785	24,346	21.03%	69,726	60.22%	2	3	0
105	156,801	0.079%	116,836	68,579	58.70%	47,975	41.06%	1	3	0
106	158,000	0.844%	123,013	3,950	3.21%	113,253	92.07%	1	3	0
107	156,791	0.072%	126,056	5,336	4.23%	115,833	91.89%	1	4	0
108	156,213	-0.297%	125,396	6,697	5.34%	112,051	89.36%	1	3	0
109	156,645	-0.021%	127,930	4,035	3.15%	116,754	91.26%	1	2	0
110	156,226	-0.288%	126,050	3,258	2.58%	107,118	84.98%	1		0
111	156,126	-0.352%	118,394	7,419	6.27%	92,563	78.18%	1		0
112	156,701	0.015%	132,815	5,706	4.30%	66,437	50.02%	1	2	0
113	156,971	0.187%	120,289	51,428	42.75%	38,002	31.59%	1	4	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBH0048 - House										
114	156,860	0.116%	119,211	68,925	57.82%	35,183	29.51%	1	2	0
115	156,748	0.045%	131,200	5,562	4.24%	112,324	85.61%	1	2	0
116	156,415	-0.168%	127,715	7,741	6.06%	76,235	59.69%	1	3	0
117	156,526	-0.097%	122,220	54,698	44.75%	59,386	48.59%	1	1	0
118	157,147	0.299%	117,950	23,553	19.97%	63,213	53.59%	1	2	0
119	156,593	-0.054%	111,132	24,477	22.03%	66,333	59.69%	1	1	0
120	156,603	-0.048%	119,958	16,153	13.47%	45,915	38.28%	1	1	0
	18,801,310		14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	158	311	0
HPUBH0052 - Other										
0		0.000%								3
1	156,812	0.086%	134,257	5,134	3.82%	16,559	12.33%	1	0	1
2	156,922	0.156%	132,012	2,115	1.60%	16,428	12.44%	2	0	1
3	155,010	-1.065%	111,469	11,206	10.05%	66,146	59.34%	2	0	1
	468,744		377,738	18,455	4.89%	99,133	26.24%	5	0	6
HPUBH0055 - House										
73	156,725	0.030%	117,597	13,043	11.09%	25,708	21.86%	1	0	0
	156,725		117,597	13,043	11.09%	25,708	21.86%	1	0	0
HPUBH0059 - House										
75	155,202	-0.942%	132,851	2,355	1.77%	15,229	11.46%	1	0	1
	155,202		132,851	2,355	1.77%	15,229	11.46%	1	0	1
HPUBH0061 - House										
1	156,538	-0.089%	122,174	18,300	14.98%	60,775	49.74%	1	0	15
	156,538		122,174	18,300	14.98%	60,775	49.74%	1	0	15
HPUBS0007 - Senate										
1	469,293	-0.157%	366,584	51,366	14.01%	12,646	3.45%	5	2	0
2	469,944	-0.019%	365,794	47,466	12.98%	19,454	5.32%	5	5	0
3	468,649	-0.294%	373,956	108,870	29.11%	19,399	5.19%	1	3	0
4	468,196	-0.391%	375,248	67,507	17.99%	25,313	6.75%	0	1	0
5	469,238	-0.169%	379,883	34,690	9.13%	29,094	7.66%	1	1	0
6	467,176	-0.608%	351,268	129,473	36.86%	16,309	4.64%	1	1	0
7	470,401	0.078%	366,876	57,470	15.66%	30,641	8.35%	1	1	0
8	469,066	-0.206%	348,367	107,972	30.99%	54,061	15.52%	1	2	0
9	469,124	-0.193%	355,222	31,111	8.76%	20,740	5.84%	1	2	0
10	469,202	-0.177%	361,728	38,426	10.62%	55,729	15.41%	1	3	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBS0007 - Senate										
11	470,664	0.134%	382,555	43,464	11.36%	34,856	9.11%	2	7	0
12	470,612	0.123%	387,028	32,357	8.36%	33,085	8.55%	2	1	0
13	470,438	0.086%	381,156	30,003	7.87%	22,047	5.78%	2	3	0
14	470,857	0.175%	374,627	51,123	13.65%	111,126	29.66%	1	2	0
15	474,718	0.997%	351,084	41,367	11.78%	139,973	39.87%	1	1	0
16	469,632	-0.085%	384,786	50,038	13.00%	24,173	6.28%	1	3	0
17	469,991	-0.009%	370,816	19,090	5.15%	37,930	10.23%	2	0	0
18	471,674	0.349%	388,550	21,007	5.41%	28,395	7.31%	2	3	0
19	469,299	-0.156%	365,117	25,825	7.07%	83,979	23.00%	2	1	0
20	469,656	-0.080%	362,365	52,316	14.44%	53,807	14.85%	1	4	0
21	470,677	0.137%	359,455	56,424	15.70%	75,139	20.90%	1	1	0
22	470,972	0.200%	364,780	33,638	9.22%	56,122	15.39%	2	4	0
23	469,955	-0.017%	353,324	74,619	21.12%	67,389	19.07%	2	2	0
24	469,236	-0.170%	373,998	47,451	12.69%	45,595	12.19%	2	3	0
25	469,347	-0.146%	396,789	16,855	4.25%	24,294	6.12%	3	0	0
26	469,482	-0.117%	383,983	27,956	7.28%	46,581	12.13%	2	2	0
27	471,240	0.257%	377,139	30,735	8.15%	44,710	11.86%	2	4	0
28	468,633	-0.298%	364,187	48,798	13.40%	63,850	17.53%	2	7	0
29	468,827	-0.257%	363,550	82,283	22.63%	82,156	22.60%	1	7	0
30	477,304	1.547%	386,839	57,662	14.91%	60,255	15.58%	1	7	0
31	469,807	-0.048%	373,105	23,338	6.26%	77,984	20.90%	2	3	0
32	469,370	-0.141%	374,897	87,810	23.42%	72,008	19.21%	1	8	0
33	470,874	0.179%	364,607	56,756	15.57%	114,882	31.51%	2	10	0
34	470,385	0.075%	365,925	128,294	35.06%	70,054	19.14%	1	9	9
35	470,404	0.079%	347,735	157,549	45.31%	145,387	41.81%	2	9	6
36	470,964	0.198%	375,929	124,994	33.25%	151,002	40.17%	1	5	0
37	470,406	0.079%	371,610	35,154	9.46%	323,264	86.99%	1	5	11
38	464,984	-1.074%	352,940	51,869	14.70%	167,648	47.50%	2	2	10
39	470,661	0.134%	379,393	13,061	3.44%	325,638	85.83%	1	4	12
40	469,952	-0.017%	376,024	36,250	9.64%	252,467	67.14%	1	4	3
18,801,310			14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	65	142	51

HPUBS0010 - Senate

20	470,318	0.061%	379,264	41,629	10.98%	38,700	10.20%	2	0	0
470,318			379,264	41,629	10.98%	38,700	10.20%	2	0	0

HPUBS0014 - Senate

1	469,283	-0.160%	390,563	28,522	7.30%	28,552	7.31%	1	0	0
---	---------	---------	---------	--------	-------	--------	-------	---	---	---

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBS0014 - Senate										
	469,283		390,563	28,522	7.30%	28,552	7.31%	1	0	0
HPUBS0025 - Senate										
1	470,441	0.087%	354,825	152,551	42.99%	22,100	6.23%	5	0	11
2	470,522	0.104%	365,606	60,982	16.68%	13,612	3.72%	9	5	1
3	470,483	0.096%	377,705	33,336	8.83%	26,766	7.09%	8	6	0
4	470,572	0.115%	369,497	35,050	9.49%	18,741	5.07%	7	3	1
6	470,807	0.165%	374,787	113,448	30.27%	19,902	5.31%	6	2	0
9	470,182	0.032%	359,995	42,462	11.80%	83,775	23.27%	3	3	0
10	470,042	0.002%	349,305	39,127	11.20%	66,079	18.92%	2	0	0
11	470,583	0.117%	383,662	9,413	2.45%	25,456	6.64%	4	4	6
12	470,269	0.050%	361,700	32,273	8.92%	70,056	19.37%	2	2	4
14	470,369	0.071%	380,030	68,751	18.09%	28,526	7.51%	4	5	0
16	470,335	0.064%	375,081	23,701	6.32%	48,514	12.93%	2	5	4
18	470,894	0.183%	360,573	127,693	35.41%	85,199	23.63%	3	3	1
19	470,550	0.110%	349,937	114,155	32.62%	125,343	35.82%	2	5	13
22	470,433	0.085%	369,398	45,004	12.18%	61,102	16.54%	2	5	0
25	470,151	0.025%	395,032	27,450	6.95%	44,295	11.21%	2	18	20
26	470,194	0.034%	370,678	41,145	11.10%	42,839	11.56%	4	4	2
27	470,540	0.108%	371,002	35,020	9.44%	75,205	20.27%	8	7	7
28	470,130	0.021%	375,408	34,074	9.08%	44,517	11.86%	4	9	1
29	470,607	0.122%	354,774	191,072	53.86%	62,601	17.65%	2	17	17
30	470,248	0.046%	384,827	32,487	8.44%	56,753	14.75%	2	9	4
32	470,049	0.003%	364,427	86,246	23.67%	74,770	20.52%	1	7	0
	9,878,401		7,748,249	1,345,440	17.36%	1,096,151	14.15%	82	119	92
HPUBS0028 - Senate										
1	470,957	0.197%	351,138	55,308	15.75%	199,036	56.68%	1	1	0
2	460,582	-2.011%	372,221	29,588	7.95%	252,524	67.84%	1	2	0
3	468,189	-0.392%	373,906	11,479	3.07%	334,299	89.41%	1	3	0
4	466,104	-0.836%	364,320	78,505	21.55%	282,850	77.64%	1	6	0
5	466,009	-0.856%	350,729	139,214	39.69%	148,008	42.20%	2	8	0
6	470,014	-0.004%	380,350	107,140	28.17%	143,973	37.85%	2	6	0
7	489,387	4.118%	397,106	112,490	28.33%	60,332	15.19%	1	8	0
8	475,377	1.137%	356,329	54,279	15.23%	125,182	35.13%	1	7	0
9	466,268	-0.801%	382,552	16,946	4.43%	70,824	18.51%	1	1	0
10	481,195	2.375%	369,658	123,733	33.47%	78,358	21.20%	3	11	0
11	468,516	-0.323%	382,645	37,842	9.89%	46,806	12.23%	2	5	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBS0028 - Senate										
12	468,143	-0.402%	362,824	54,827	15.11%	83,555	23.03%	1	3	0
13	467,826	-0.470%	371,780	71,389	19.20%	58,833	15.82%	2	2	0
14	474,006	0.845%	374,206	34,128	9.12%	61,890	16.54%	1	1	0
15	476,906	1.462%	378,362	36,533	9.66%	66,670	17.62%	8	4	0
16	465,570	-0.950%	394,476	15,607	3.96%	24,685	6.26%	3	0	0
17	472,616	0.550%	361,583	31,622	8.75%	53,175	14.71%	2	0	0
18	468,918	-0.237%	384,552	49,084	12.76%	23,078	6.00%	1	2	0
19	472,989	0.629%	385,471	22,749	5.90%	33,666	8.73%	2	2	0
20	469,772	-0.056%	361,993	22,606	6.24%	52,875	14.61%	3	1	0
21	470,118	0.018%	382,504	15,430	4.03%	29,034	7.59%	2	0	0
22	468,337	-0.361%	392,096	33,034	8.42%	29,489	7.52%	4	2	0
23	469,632	-0.085%	368,103	81,674	22.19%	100,907	27.41%	1	2	0
24	469,298	-0.156%	353,987	60,676	17.14%	64,023	18.09%	2	3	0
25	476,869	1.454%	362,278	44,970	12.41%	57,863	15.97%	2	5	0
26	470,649	0.131%	380,305	61,200	16.09%	29,703	7.81%	2	2	0
27	471,133	0.234%	352,398	110,401	31.33%	57,930	16.44%	3	8	0
28	472,174	0.455%	352,601	39,804	11.29%	131,567	37.31%	2	4	0
29	463,982	-1.287%	370,964	49,801	13.42%	102,487	27.63%	2	4	0
30	451,404	-3.963%	365,592	43,780	11.98%	26,397	7.22%	2	3	0
31	479,568	2.029%	362,656	40,006	11.03%	72,616	20.02%	3	7	0
32	472,269	0.476%	379,205	32,026	8.45%	30,746	8.11%	3	3	0
33	469,739	-0.063%	373,631	45,089	12.07%	42,266	11.31%	3	3	0
34	467,817	-0.471%	373,429	32,007	8.57%	25,089	6.72%	6	3	0
35	474,552	0.961%	365,635	44,311	12.12%	27,542	7.53%	4	3	0
36	475,912	1.251%	358,681	141,037	39.32%	21,740	6.06%	1	1	0
37	468,949	-0.231%	356,908	49,967	14.00%	17,748	4.97%	3	3	0
38	462,719	-1.556%	368,607	108,989	29.57%	19,137	5.19%	0	0	0
39	462,290	-1.647%	359,911	36,446	10.13%	13,470	3.74%	4	0	0
40	463,653	-1.357%	362,779	56,689	15.63%	18,242	5.03%	3	0	0
18,800,408			14,798,471	2,232,406	15.09%	3,118,615	21.07%	91	129	0

HPUBS0034 - Senate										
1	470,957	0.197%	351,138	55,308	15.75%	199,036	56.68%	2	1	0
2	460,727	-1.980%	372,346	29,590	7.95%	252,605	67.84%	1	2	0
3	469,540	-0.105%	374,990	11,521	3.07%	335,318	89.42%	1	3	1
4	465,379	-0.990%	363,758	78,486	21.58%	282,300	77.61%	1	4	1
5	479,827	2.084%	360,266	141,688	39.33%	153,196	42.52%	2	6	0
6	470,092	0.013%	380,414	107,181	28.17%	143,981	37.85%	2	4	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBS0034 - Senate										
7	489,387	4.118%	397,106	112,490	28.33%	60,332	15.19%	1	8	0
8	461,481	-1.819%	346,728	51,764	14.93%	119,986	34.61%	1	7	0
9	466,268	-0.801%	382,552	16,946	4.43%	70,824	18.51%	1	1	0
10	481,195	2.375%	369,658	123,733	33.47%	78,358	21.20%	3	11	0
11	468,516	-0.323%	382,645	37,842	9.89%	46,806	12.23%	2	5	0
12	468,143	-0.402%	362,824	54,827	15.11%	83,555	23.03%	1	3	0
13	467,826	-0.470%	371,780	71,389	19.20%	58,833	15.82%	2	2	0
14	474,006	0.845%	374,206	34,128	9.12%	61,890	16.54%	1	1	0
15	476,906	1.462%	378,362	36,533	9.66%	66,670	17.62%	8	4	0
16	465,570	-0.950%	394,476	15,607	3.96%	24,685	6.26%	3	0	0
17	472,620	0.550%	361,587	31,622	8.75%	53,175	14.71%	2	0	0
18	468,918	-0.237%	384,552	49,084	12.76%	23,078	6.00%	1	2	0
19	472,989	0.629%	385,471	22,749	5.90%	33,666	8.73%	2	2	0
20	470,231	0.042%	371,108	15,944	4.30%	46,059	12.41%	3	1	0
21	469,692	-0.073%	373,412	22,091	5.92%	35,855	9.60%	3	1	0
22	467,484	-0.542%	391,437	33,018	8.44%	29,451	7.52%	4	2	0
23	469,632	-0.085%	368,103	81,674	22.19%	100,907	27.41%	1	2	0
24	472,780	0.584%	356,727	52,824	14.81%	65,492	18.36%	4	7	0
25	478,443	1.789%	361,472	56,814	15.72%	67,124	18.57%	2	4	0
26	470,632	0.127%	380,291	61,200	16.09%	29,703	7.81%	1	1	0
27	481,136	2.362%	359,479	111,219	30.94%	58,845	16.37%	3	7	0
28	448,819	-4.513%	336,451	34,871	10.36%	118,171	35.12%	3	4	0
29	471,901	0.397%	377,524	49,871	13.21%	104,186	27.60%	2	4	0
30	451,443	-3.955%	365,623	43,782	11.97%	26,400	7.22%	2	3	0
31	492,028	4.679%	372,011	40,171	10.80%	73,487	19.75%	4	8	0
32	459,812	-2.175%	369,853	31,861	8.61%	29,870	8.08%	3	2	0
33	469,739	-0.063%	373,631	45,089	12.07%	42,266	11.31%	3	3	0
34	468,166	-0.397%	373,691	32,085	8.59%	25,094	6.72%	5	2	0
35	474,456	0.941%	365,563	44,281	12.11%	27,540	7.53%	3	2	0
36	475,912	1.251%	358,681	141,037	39.32%	21,740	6.06%	1	1	0
37	468,721	-0.279%	356,740	49,921	13.99%	17,745	4.97%	2	2	0
38	462,719	-1.556%	368,607	108,989	29.57%	19,137	5.19%	0	0	0
39	462,290	-1.647%	359,911	36,446	10.13%	13,470	3.74%	3	0	0
40	463,653	-1.357%	362,779	56,689	15.63%	18,242	5.03%	3	0	0
18,800,036			14,797,953	2,232,365	15.09%	3,119,078	21.08%	92	122	2

HPUBS0053 - Senate

9	443,430	-5.660%	332,374	57,779	17.38%	91,785	27.61%	3	2	0
---	---------	---------	---------	--------	--------	--------	--------	---	---	---

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBS0053 - Senate										
15	458,099	-2.539%	353,281	36,370	10.29%	49,755	14.08%	4	0	0
19	447,576	-4.778%	341,483	74,191	21.73%	128,727	37.70%	2	2	0
26	495,296	5.375%	389,301	42,525	10.92%	47,956	12.32%	4	1	0
1,844,401			1,416,439	210,865	14.89%	318,223	22.47%	13	5	0

HPUBS0056 - Senate										
1	472,136	0.447%	359,968	133,452	37.07%	22,509	6.25%	1	1	0
2	469,827	-0.044%	365,066	58,451	16.01%	15,565	4.26%	1	1	0
3	469,888	-0.031%	375,204	39,378	10.50%	32,927	8.78%	6	4	0
4	470,502	0.100%	368,881	36,362	9.86%	16,693	4.53%	1	1	0
5	468,166	-0.397%	360,199	53,944	14.98%	24,626	6.84%	4	2	0
6	469,328	-0.150%	373,360	115,282	30.88%	19,627	5.26%	3	1	0
7	470,720	0.146%	382,501	40,097	10.48%	29,650	7.75%	2	4	0
8	471,363	0.283%	359,134	31,334	8.72%	20,402	5.68%	3	3	0
9	468,503	-0.326%	355,020	35,960	10.13%	83,232	23.44%	1	1	0
10	470,020	-0.003%	385,495	48,992	12.71%	22,937	5.95%	1	2	0
11	469,689	-0.073%	394,227	23,069	5.85%	23,650	6.00%	3	1	0
12	464,659	-1.143%	366,096	15,785	4.31%	36,020	9.84%	1	0	0
13	472,136	0.447%	386,802	22,397	5.79%	30,681	7.93%	2	2	0
14	469,183	-0.181%	376,435	65,109	17.30%	24,954	6.63%	3	2	0
15	471,842	0.385%	364,785	97,442	26.71%	53,635	14.70%	2	5	0
16	469,490	-0.116%	363,273	93,809	25.82%	93,418	25.72%	1	1	0
17	472,104	0.441%	350,026	55,915	15.97%	173,235	49.49%	3	4	0
18	472,239	0.469%	354,667	43,284	12.20%	54,744	15.44%	2	3	0
19	468,263	-0.377%	361,902	50,649	14.00%	57,656	15.93%	3	6	0
20	468,120	-0.407%	357,933	43,510	12.16%	59,906	16.74%	5	5	0
21	471,726	0.360%	392,687	20,583	5.24%	37,737	9.61%	2	4	0
22	466,607	-0.729%	360,260	41,044	11.39%	69,117	19.19%	3	4	0
23	467,686	-0.499%	383,114	28,699	7.49%	42,060	10.98%	3	0	0
24	469,823	-0.045%	369,853	30,579	8.27%	43,681	11.81%	4	5	0
25	467,484	-0.542%	387,445	50,417	13.01%	44,617	11.52%	2	4	0
26	470,433	0.085%	377,235	48,694	12.91%	37,341	9.90%	2	4	0
27	471,879	0.393%	398,272	19,195	4.82%	44,337	11.13%	2	3	0
28	470,465	0.092%	363,391	41,032	11.29%	52,151	14.35%	5	9	0
29	470,621	0.125%	374,419	28,844	7.70%	46,078	12.31%	2	4	0
30	466,846	-0.678%	362,981	82,439	22.71%	91,502	25.21%	1	7	0
31	471,065	0.220%	381,140	68,495	17.97%	91,535	24.02%	2	12	0
32	469,936	-0.021%	372,492	40,840	10.96%	62,837	16.87%	2	7	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBS0056 - Senate										
33	469,062	-0.207%	360,848	181,365	50.26%	60,041	16.64%	1	8	0
34	471,788	0.373%	349,380	63,425	18.15%	132,124	37.82%	1	5	0
35	470,974	0.200%	391,150	43,445	11.11%	242,560	62.01%	1	7	0
36	470,847	0.173%	351,203	212,007	60.37%	125,245	35.66%	1	4	0
37	472,929	0.616%	384,657	17,012	4.42%	286,881	74.58%	1	4	0
38	469,432	-0.128%	348,391	64,516	18.52%	186,483	53.53%	2	1	0
39	471,309	0.271%	356,389	29,637	8.32%	189,169	53.08%	4	2	0
40	469,435	-0.127%	370,672	15,513	4.19%	336,819	90.87%	1	4	0
18,798,525			14,796,953	2,232,002	15.08%	3,118,382	21.07%	90	147	0

HPUBS0058 - Senate										
1	470,957	0.197%	351,138	55,308	15.75%	199,036	56.68%	1	1	0
2	460,727	-1.980%	372,346	29,590	7.95%	252,605	67.84%	1	2	0
3	469,540	-0.105%	374,990	11,521	3.07%	335,318	89.42%	1	3	1
4	465,379	-0.990%	363,758	78,486	21.58%	282,300	77.61%	1	4	1
5	479,827	2.084%	360,266	141,688	39.33%	153,196	42.52%	2	6	0
6	470,092	0.013%	380,414	107,181	28.17%	143,981	37.85%	2	4	0
7	489,387	4.118%	397,106	112,490	28.33%	60,332	15.19%	1	8	0
8	461,481	-1.819%	346,728	51,764	14.93%	119,986	34.61%	1	7	0
9	466,268	-0.801%	382,552	16,946	4.43%	70,824	18.51%	1	1	0
10	481,195	2.375%	369,658	123,733	33.47%	78,358	21.20%	3	11	0
11	468,516	-0.323%	382,645	37,842	9.89%	46,806	12.23%	2	5	0
12	468,143	-0.402%	362,824	54,827	15.11%	83,555	23.03%	1	3	0
13	467,826	-0.470%	371,780	71,389	19.20%	58,833	15.82%	2	2	0
14	474,006	0.845%	374,206	34,128	9.12%	61,890	16.54%	1	1	0
15	437,623	-6.895%	349,812	32,233	9.21%	62,000	17.72%	8	3	0
16	465,570	-0.950%	394,476	15,607	3.96%	24,685	6.26%	3	0	0
17	472,620	0.550%	361,587	31,622	8.75%	53,175	14.71%	2	0	0
18	468,918	-0.237%	384,552	49,084	12.76%	23,078	6.00%	1	2	0
19	472,989	0.629%	385,471	22,749	5.90%	33,666	8.73%	2	2	0
20	470,231	0.042%	371,108	15,944	4.30%	46,059	12.41%	3	1	0
21	469,692	-0.073%	373,412	22,091	5.92%	35,855	9.60%	3	1	0
22	493,710	5.037%	412,727	33,696	8.16%	29,772	7.21%	4	4	0
23	469,632	-0.085%	368,103	81,674	22.19%	100,907	27.41%	1	2	0
24	506,770	7.816%	379,904	55,822	14.69%	70,672	18.60%	4	7	0
25	465,290	-1.009%	355,542	50,818	14.29%	50,904	14.32%	1	3	0
26	470,632	0.127%	380,291	61,200	16.09%	29,703	7.81%	1	1	0
27	476,427	1.360%	355,795	111,107	31.23%	58,524	16.45%	2	6	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
HPUBS0058 - Senate										
28	473,048	0.641%	351,476	42,240	12.02%	135,464	38.54%	3	3	0
29	471,901	0.397%	377,524	49,871	13.21%	104,186	27.60%	2	4	0
30	451,443	-3.955%	365,623	43,782	11.97%	26,400	7.22%	2	3	0
31	479,559	2.027%	362,650	40,006	11.03%	72,611	20.02%	3	7	0
32	472,281	0.478%	379,214	32,026	8.45%	30,746	8.11%	3	3	0
33	469,739	-0.063%	373,631	45,089	12.07%	42,266	11.31%	3	3	0
34	442,127	-5.937%	353,619	31,519	8.91%	23,610	6.68%	4	2	0
35	474,456	0.941%	365,563	44,281	12.11%	27,540	7.53%	3	2	0
36	475,912	1.251%	358,681	141,037	39.32%	21,740	6.06%	1	1	0
37	468,721	-0.279%	356,740	49,921	13.99%	17,745	4.97%	2	2	0
38	462,687	-1.563%	368,585	108,989	29.57%	19,137	5.19%	1	0	0
39	462,859	-1.526%	360,317	36,479	10.12%	13,498	3.75%	4	0	0
40	463,055	-1.485%	362,351	56,636	15.63%	18,210	5.03%	3	0	0
18,801,236			14,799,165	2,232,416	15.08%	3,119,173	21.08%	89	120	2

HPUBS0060 - Senate

27	457,472	-2.672%	371,412	31,234	8.41%	55,139	14.85%	1	0	8
457,472			371,412	31,234	8.41%	55,139	14.85%	1	0	8

HPUBS0063 - Senate

27	470,136	0.022%	363,744	33,013	9.08%	69,535	19.12%	4	0	0
470,136			363,744	33,013	9.08%	69,535	19.12%	4	0	0

SENATE2002 - Senate

1	424,456	-9.697%	318,665	149,413	46.89%	19,583	6.15%	5	8	12
2	449,902	-4.283%	347,459	55,123	15.86%	12,328	3.55%	6	2	1
3	495,081	5.329%	397,932	45,780	11.50%	27,616	6.94%	7	8	0
4	433,628	-7.745%	340,737	29,850	8.76%	17,913	5.26%	5	4	1
5	515,369	9.645%	385,668	47,358	12.28%	24,170	6.27%	4	3	1
6	451,464	-3.951%	359,662	109,349	30.40%	18,618	5.18%	5	3	0
7	432,554	-7.974%	349,936	21,999	6.29%	21,660	6.19%	4	10	24
8	525,674	11.838%	420,533	38,823	9.23%	27,445	6.53%	5	8	11
9	527,435	12.212%	403,767	48,104	11.91%	90,392	22.39%	3	5	1
10	565,921	20.400%	424,371	50,027	11.79%	74,354	17.52%	3	2	10
11	433,661	-7.738%	354,417	9,010	2.54%	23,880	6.74%	4	5	9
12	531,959	13.175%	409,268	39,351	9.61%	85,080	20.79%	2	2	9
13	394,766	-16.013%	331,189	16,831	5.08%	22,272	6.72%	1	7	4
14	457,489	-2.669%	370,127	67,316	18.19%	26,756	7.23%	4	5	0
15	560,770	19.304%	428,457	46,842	10.93%	81,731	19.08%	5	8	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
SENATE2002 - Senate										
16	431,916	-8.109%	346,792	21,017	6.06%	40,703	11.74%	2	8	4
17	456,960	-2.781%	354,939	42,934	12.10%	57,185	16.11%	5	7	19
18	404,822	-13.874%	307,429	121,326	39.46%	71,688	23.32%	3	6	2
19	477,068	1.497%	354,034	117,163	33.09%	125,679	35.50%	2	6	17
20	576,207	22.589%	468,068	41,119	8.78%	48,918	10.45%	5	6	11
21	529,870	12.730%	423,937	24,318	5.74%	48,061	11.34%	5	6	6
22	419,763	-10.695%	331,359	37,261	11.24%	55,031	16.61%	2	9	1
23	458,330	-2.490%	390,131	15,911	4.08%	22,218	5.70%	3	2	6
24	524,254	11.536%	412,804	43,363	10.50%	55,068	13.34%	3	6	0
25	428,398	-8.858%	361,261	26,874	7.44%	42,147	11.67%	2	17	22
26	481,892	2.523%	379,029	43,570	11.50%	45,635	12.04%	4	8	2
27	551,555	17.344%	436,085	46,330	10.62%	93,193	21.37%	5	10	16
28	545,085	15.967%	434,514	36,635	8.43%	51,513	11.86%	4	7	4
29	397,144	-15.507%	298,895	181,526	60.73%	41,288	13.81%	2	16	23
30	458,703	-2.410%	375,510	31,587	8.41%	58,439	15.56%	2	9	8
31	432,649	-7.953%	344,516	54,664	15.87%	99,090	28.76%	1	8	4
32	428,898	-8.752%	330,782	81,122	24.52%	69,059	20.88%	1	7	0
33	404,290	-13.987%	303,343	179,660	59.23%	111,274	36.68%	1	4	14
34	481,165	2.368%	356,505	63,230	17.74%	165,298	46.37%	2	6	3
35	438,861	-6.632%	356,532	64,471	18.08%	152,772	42.85%	2	9	12
36	418,626	-10.937%	337,611	22,086	6.54%	267,285	79.17%	1	8	30
37	480,189	2.161%	392,439	27,316	6.96%	63,575	16.20%	2	3	3
38	442,810	-5.792%	349,904	14,403	4.12%	286,481	81.87%	1	1	15
39	483,183	2.798%	356,387	103,883	29.15%	153,368	43.03%	5	9	3
40	448,419	-4.598%	354,120	15,485	4.37%	320,320	90.46%	1	3	9
18,801,186			14,799,114	2,232,430	15.08%	3,119,086	21.08%	129	261	317

SPUBC0030 - Congress

1	696,371	0.004%	541,182	104,656	19.34%	114,510	21.16%	1	5	9
2	696,553	0.030%	566,821	68,372	12.06%	73,494	12.97%	2	5	9
1,392,924			1,108,003	173,028	15.62%	188,004	16.97%	3	10	18

SPUBC0032 - Congress

1	696,510	0.024%	547,152	67,317	12.30%	94,450	17.26%	2	6	3
2	696,597	0.036%	563,833	101,866	18.07%	92,161	16.35%	2	6	3
1,393,107			1,110,985	169,183	15.23%	186,611	16.80%	4	12	6

SPUBC0033 - Congress

1	729,679	4.787%	568,046	75,472	13.29%	25,331	4.46%	0	0	0
---	---------	--------	---------	--------	--------	--------	-------	---	---	---

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
SPUBC0033 - Congress										
2	703,499	1.027%	558,651	134,704	24.11%	27,291	4.89%	0	0	0
3	662,959	-4.794%	498,200	158,354	31.79%	28,668	5.75%	2	1	0
4	660,815	-5.102%	520,859	82,169	15.78%	33,966	6.52%	1	0	0
5	739,537	6.203%	601,410	60,040	9.98%	53,234	8.85%	1	1	0
6	728,423	4.607%	568,688	53,813	9.46%	149,763	26.33%	2	9	0
7	664,894	-4.517%	520,542	53,459	10.27%	36,851	7.08%	1	2	0
8	729,884	4.816%	549,581	143,062	26.03%	110,276	20.07%	2	7	0
9	727,719	4.506%	594,586	17,778	2.99%	38,481	6.47%	3	4	0
10	729,317	4.735%	599,410	66,091	11.03%	42,501	7.09%	2	3	0
11	679,184	-2.464%	524,314	107,489	20.50%	141,110	26.91%	1	1	4
12	664,641	-4.553%	506,866	71,384	14.08%	82,750	16.33%	2	1	0
13	688,152	-1.177%	568,581	31,741	5.58%	55,063	9.68%	2	1	0
14	661,570	-4.994%	535,122	32,029	5.99%	98,631	18.43%	1	1	6
15	678,376	-2.580%	530,419	52,439	9.89%	100,826	19.01%	2	0	0
16	717,501	3.038%	572,430	57,577	10.06%	67,216	11.74%	1	2	3
17	659,709	-5.261%	511,417	226,930	44.37%	156,557	30.61%	2	7	3
18	712,567	2.330%	586,757	32,635	5.56%	436,598	74.41%	1	3	2
19	665,460	-4.435%	515,860	151,786	29.42%	98,576	19.11%	1	6	5
20	662,648	-4.839%	503,267	126,498	25.14%	139,401	27.70%	1	10	9
21	725,432	4.177%	559,769	87,574	15.64%	425,192	75.96%	2	5	3
22	710,656	2.055%	577,141	80,760	13.99%	88,224	15.29%	2	17	18
23	663,045	-4.782%	526,601	96,666	18.36%	101,532	19.28%	1	8	15
24	700,269	0.564%	560,540	63,678	11.36%	52,848	9.43%	2	4	0
25	722,838	3.805%	546,362	70,294	12.87%	358,841	65.68%	1	1	0
26	729,025	4.693%	545,557	54,469	9.98%	88,660	16.25%	2	1	0
27	683,511	-1.843%	548,243	43,546	7.94%	80,795	14.74%	3	3	0
18,801,310			14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	41	98	68

SPUBC0051 - Congress										
1	704,783	1.212%	548,420	72,423	13.21%	24,821	4.53%	0	0	0
2	703,103	0.970%	557,914	135,279	24.25%	26,678	4.78%	0	0	0
3	687,821	-1.224%	513,944	156,200	30.39%	28,478	5.54%	2	1	0
4	681,039	-2.198%	543,204	85,004	15.65%	36,273	6.68%	2	0	0
5	707,138	1.550%	573,936	58,706	10.23%	52,038	9.07%	3	2	0
6	728,423	4.607%	568,688	53,813	9.46%	149,763	26.33%	2	9	0
7	696,975	0.090%	545,037	58,942	10.81%	39,388	7.23%	1	2	0
8	710,408	2.020%	534,834	140,706	26.31%	107,941	20.18%	2	8	0
9	701,626	0.758%	574,428	17,404	3.03%	37,074	6.45%	3	4	0

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
SPUBC0051 - Congress										
10	729,317	4.735%	599,410	66,091	11.03%	42,501	7.09%	2	3	0
11	695,258	-0.156%	538,412	106,834	19.84%	141,763	26.33%	1	1	2
12	683,741	-1.810%	523,888	67,989	12.98%	82,202	15.69%	1	0	0
13	702,281	0.852%	576,263	33,361	5.79%	51,022	8.85%	0	0	0
14	656,192	-5.766%	530,769	31,752	5.98%	98,235	18.51%	1	1	4
15	716,752	2.931%	557,816	57,680	10.34%	111,603	20.01%	1	0	0
16	717,501	3.038%	572,430	57,577	10.06%	67,216	11.74%	1	2	3
17	659,709	-5.261%	511,417	226,930	44.37%	156,557	30.61%	2	7	3
18	712,567	2.330%	586,757	32,635	5.56%	436,598	74.41%	1	3	2
19	665,460	-4.435%	515,860	151,786	29.42%	98,576	19.11%	1	6	5
20	662,648	-4.839%	503,267	126,498	25.14%	139,401	27.70%	1	10	9
21	725,432	4.177%	559,769	87,574	15.64%	425,192	75.96%	2	5	3
22	700,230	0.558%	569,327	79,234	13.92%	87,205	15.32%	2	17	15
23	673,471	-3.285%	534,415	98,192	18.37%	102,551	19.19%	1	8	12
24	700,269	0.564%	560,540	63,678	11.36%	52,848	9.43%	2	4	0
25	722,838	3.805%	546,362	70,294	12.87%	358,841	65.68%	1	1	0
26	698,865	0.362%	522,405	52,132	9.98%	82,824	15.85%	2	1	0
27	657,463	-5.584%	529,707	43,723	8.25%	81,593	15.40%	1	1	4
18,801,310			14,799,219	2,232,437	15.08%	3,119,182	21.08%	38	96	62

SPUBC0062 - Congress										
1	696,345	0.000%	541,650	71,476	13.20%	24,640	4.55%	1	1	0
2	696,345	0.000%	552,101	133,606	24.20%	26,722	4.84%	2	2	0
3	696,345	0.000%	518,387	249,664	48.16%	53,107	10.24%	8	10	0
4	696,345	0.000%	542,124	60,539	11.17%	36,253	6.69%	2	2	4
5	696,345	0.000%	541,292	79,867	14.75%	35,600	6.58%	5	7	0
6	696,345	0.000%	564,903	45,325	8.02%	44,170	7.82%	4	3	2
7	696,345	0.000%	562,146	53,888	9.59%	48,437	8.62%	4	2	2
8	696,345	0.000%	549,186	59,397	10.82%	96,589	17.59%	3	5	2
9	696,345	0.000%	548,768	52,699	9.60%	103,384	18.84%	3	4	2
10	696,345	0.000%	550,507	50,785	9.23%	84,165	15.29%	2	7	7
11	696,344	0.000%	557,223	22,026	3.95%	47,688	8.56%	1	2	3
12	696,344	0.000%	572,221	64,994	11.36%	40,280	7.04%	3	3	3
13	696,345	0.000%	541,061	98,073	18.13%	137,861	25.48%	1	1	4
14	696,344	0.000%	519,665	71,796	13.82%	90,888	17.49%	2	3	4
15	696,345	0.000%	524,187	66,899	12.76%	138,497	26.42%	2	6	3
16	696,345	0.000%	550,249	75,152	13.66%	68,433	12.44%	2	5	6
17	696,345	0.000%	521,496	295,681	56.70%	164,147	31.48%	2	10	2

District	Total Pop	Deviation	Total VAP	All Black VAP	%	All Hisp VAP	%	County Splits	City Splits	VTD Splits
SPUBC0062 - Congress										
18	696,345	0.000%	571,151	32,932	5.77%	51,315	8.98%	2	2	0
19	696,345	0.000%	565,546	38,741	6.85%	73,863	13.06%	3	6	1
20	696,344	0.000%	555,286	46,792	8.43%	111,839	20.14%	2	1	4
21	696,344	0.000%	555,893	58,205	10.47%	83,715	15.06%	2	12	13
22	696,345	0.000%	563,572	48,555	8.62%	308,443	54.73%	2	15	1
23	696,345	0.000%	531,872	259,887	48.86%	94,286	17.73%	2	21	15
24	696,345	0.000%	573,450	44,627	7.78%	95,707	16.69%	2	17	25
25	696,345	0.000%	530,458	53,602	10.10%	282,180	53.20%	3	13	2
26	696,345	0.000%	566,718	27,899	4.92%	427,904	75.51%	1	3	5
27	696,317	-0.004%	528,086	69,327	13.13%	349,062	66.10%	1	0	2
18,801,282			14,799,198	2,232,434	15.08%	3,119,175	21.08%	67	163	112