1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	SENATE REDISTRICTING SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING
L3	MONDAY, OCTOBER 3, 2011
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	Transcribed by:
22	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
23	Court Reporter
24	
25	

1	TAPED PROCEEDINGS
2	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Thank you, members.
3	This meeting of the Senate Redistricting
4	Subcommittee will be called to order.
5	Katie, will you call the roll, please?
6	THE CLERK: Representatives Boyd?
7	REPRESENTATIVE BOYD: Here.
8	THE CLERK: Caldwell?
9	REPRESENTATIVE CALDWELL: Here.
10	THE CLERK: Crisafulli?
11	REPRESENTATIVE CRISAFULLI: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Cruz?
13	REPRESENTATIVE CRUZ: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Ford?
15	REPRESENTATIVE FORD: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Hukill?
17	REPRESENTATIVE HUKILL: Here.
18	THE CLERK: Jenne?
19	REPRESENTATIVE JENNE: Here.
20	THE CLERK: Kiar?
21	REPRESENTATIVE KIAR: Here.
22	THE CLERK: Logan?
23	REPRESENTATIVE LOGAN: Here.
24	THE CLERK: Rouson?
25	Stargel?

1	REPRESENTATIVE ROUSON: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Williams, A.?
3	Williams, T.?
4	Workman?
5	Chair Nehr?
6	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Here.
7	THE CLERK: A quorum is present.
8	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Thank you, Katie.
9	Welcome, members, and much like our last
10	meeting, the bulk of our meeting today will
11	consist of a staff presentation and
12	opportunities for your feedback and input
13	regarding public testimony for redistricting.
14	At our last meeting, staff summarized for
15	us what was heard in the first four regions we
16	visited this summer, including written input
17	about those same four regions and any partial
18	maps that have been submitted which impact
19	those regions.
20	Today's meeting will look similar with
21	staff presenting public input received from the
22	fifth region, which was southwest Florida, and
23	any complete or near complete maps submitted by
24	the public.
25	Now, before we go forward, just a quick

1	administrative note. Also included in your
2	packet is the memo you received from
3	Representative Aubuchon regarding the rules and
4	procedures for redistricting, including special
5	deadlines. This was e-mailed out last week,
6	but we just wanted to make sure that you
7	definitely had a copy of it, and that is in tab
8	one of your packets. Make sure you have it.
9	If you don't, we will get it to you.
10	Now, regarding the packet that you have in
11	front of you, this is the same packet of
12	information that the Redistricting Committee
13	and other subcommittees will receive today, and
14	if you look towards tab two, you will see
15	materials regarding public input from southwest
16	Florida, and that is the subject of what our
17	analyst, Jeff Silver, is presenting today.
18	After Mr. Silver's presentation, our staff
19	director, Alex Kelly, will be presenting the
20	materials in tab three.
21	Now that said, Mr. Silver, you are
22	recognized to begin your presentation.
23	MR. SILVER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24	As Chair said, this afternoon I will be
25	presenting public input from the public

1	hearings that were held in the southwest
2	region. If you would like to use your
3	committee packets to follow this presentation
4	rather than looking at the overhead screen,
5	please note that on the slides you will see in
6	the upper left-hand corner an item number
7	beginning with SW, of course standing for
8	southwest. However, my presentation is only a
9	subset of your committee packet, so if you do
10	want to follow along, paging through your
11	committee packet, I will read the item number
12	as I transition from slide to slide, and then
13	you will just need to page forward to keep pace
14	with the presentation.
15	We will begin with SW-7. Item SW-7, this
16	item comes from the Tampa public hearing. This
17	is a request for the minority districts in
18	Tampa Bay the Tampa Bay region to be
19	preserved. This request impacts Hillsborough
20	County, which is a jurisdiction that is covered
21	under Section 5 of the Federal Voting Rights
22	Act. Senate District 18 is shown in the
23	graphic. Senate District 18 currently has a
24	black voting age population of 39 percent, and
25	it currently elects an African-American to the

1	State Senate. State Senate District 18
2	currently has a population of 404,822, which is
3	65,210 short of the ideal population. So
4	District 18 does need to grow to include more
5	population.
6	Moving to Item number SW-9, a number of
7	people at the Largo public hearing asked for
8	Pinellas County to be kept whole. One person
9	also asked for Pinellas County to be kept
10	separate from Hillsborough County.
11	This visual shows how it would look to
12	keep Pinellas County whole. With a population
13	of 916,542, Pinellas County is too large for
14	the ideal population for a single Senate seat,
15	but too small for two Senate districts. The
16	second Senate district would require an
17	additional 23,522 to meet the ideal population.
18	To keep Pinellas separate from Hillsborough
19	County, as was requested, the additional people
20	for the second Senate district could come from
21	Pasco County. This request and the prior
22	request are an example of a pair of requests
23	that from the public that both
24	simultaneously cannot be implemented. Let me
25	just back up real quickly to this previous

1	item, which was requesting for the minority
2	districts in Tampa Bay to be preserved. If you
3	take a quick look at District 18 and then we go
4	on to the next item, you will see that this
5	suggestion and the previous one cannot both
6	simultaneously literally be achieved.

Moving on to Item SW-13, this item comes from the Sarasota public hearing. This is a request for the barrier islands to be kept together. The person requesting this cited tourism and beach re-nourishment as examples of common interests uniting barrier island communities.

This map shows what barrier islands in

Manatee County look like. This is just one
example of barrier islands, as more of these
islands can be found continuing down the
coastline. In this example, you can see that
these islands cross county lines, in this case,
Manatee and Sarasota, but although they span
counties, there is an element of functional
compactness with the barrier islands. Since
the barrier islands generally are less
populated than the inland areas, you could
potentially create a long, thin district that

1	ran north-south in an effort to keep the
2	barrier islands together, and then add required
3	additional population by adding coastal beach
4	communities to the district.
5	Moving on to the next item, which is
6	SW-15, this is an item from the Sarasota public
7	hearing. This request is to have the Senate
8	seat based to have a Senate seat based in
9	Manatee County and a separate second Senate
10	seat based in Sarasota County. This map shows
11	what this would look like, with Manatee in the
12	light green, Sarasota in the light orange.
13	Manatee County and Sarasota County, they both
14	lack sufficient population to meet the ideal
15	population of a Senate district on their own.
16	Manatee County would need an additional 147,199
17	people to finish the Senate district, and
18	Sarasota would need an additional 90,584 to
19	finish a Senate seat.
20	Moving to the next item, which is SW-20,
21	this also is an item from the Sarasota public
22	hearing. This is a request to connect
23	Charlotte and Sarasota Counties in a Senate
24	district. This map shows what that would look

like. With a population of 539,426, the

```
1
          district above is too large for the ideal
          population for a Senate district. 69,394
 2
          people would need to be removed from this
 3
 4
          district in order to complete it; however, it
          was not identified in this request where you
 5
 6
          would take the population from to complete this
 7
          district.
 8
               The next item we have is SW-25.
                                                This is
 9
          coming from an item from the Naples public
10
          hearing. This request asks for more Hispanic
11
          representation in Collier County. Collier
          County is a covered jurisdiction under Section
12
          5 of the Federal Voting Rights Act. Currently,
13
          Collier County has a Hispanic voting age
14
          population of 21.9 percent. What we are
15
16
          looking at in this graphic is Collier County's
          Voting Tabulation Districts with the Hispanic
17
18
          voting age population of 30 percent or higher
          shaded in. District 37 -- excuse me.
19
```

Currently, part of Collier County is contained in State Senate District 37, and part of it is contained in State District 39. District 37 has a Hispanic voting age population of 16, and does not elect a Hispanic to the State Senate.

20

21

22

23

24

25

District 39 has a Hispanic voting age

1	population of 43 percent and a black voting age
2	population of 29 percent, and currently elects
3	an African-American to the State Senate.
4	Currently, Collier County has one Hispanic
5	Representative in the State House and one
6	Hispanic member of Congress.
7	Moving forward to Item SW-28, this is from
8	the Naples public hearing. This was a request
9	for Bonita Springs to be kept whole. What you
10	are looking at on this map is the area that
11	makes up the City of Bonita Springs. With a
12	population of 43,914, the City of Bonita
13	Springs is too small for the ideal population
14	of a Senate district, so more communities would
15	be needed to add to this to complete a
16	district. Later on in this presentation you
17	are going to see a map that was submitted from
18	the public that shows a Senate district where
19	the City of Bonita Springs is kept whole.
20	We turn to Item number SW-29. This is a

We turn to Item number SW-29. This is a request that came out of the Lehigh Acres public hearing. This request is for Lehigh Acres to be kept whole. What you are looking at on the map here is the location of Lehigh Acres, approximately. Lehigh Acres is an

1	unincorporated community in Lee County located
2	east of Interstate 75 and is a high-growth
3	area. The exact boundaries of Lehigh Acres
4	were undefined in this request, so further
5	clarification may be needed.
6	Moving forward to Item SW-30, this is
7	another request that came out of the Lehigh
8	Acres public hearing. This is a request for
9	the elimination of districts that cross the
10	state. This is something that was heard in
11	more than one meeting in southwest Florida.
12	The map that you are looking at currently shows
13	Senate District 27 as an example of cross-state
14	district. In this example, with a population
15	of 551,555, Senate District 27 is currently
16	over the ideal population for a Senate district
17	and needs to have 81,523 less people. The
18	parameters of what exactly make up a
19	cross-state district or what the threshold is
20	when a district becomes considered cross-state
21	were not clearly defined within this testimony,
22	so further clarification may be needed.
23	The next item is SW-31. This is also
24	another item coming from Lehigh Acres public

24 another item coming from Lehigh Acres public 25 hearing. This is a request for the Estero and

1	Bonita Springs to both be in a single Lee
2	County district. The map that you are looking
3	at shows the City of Bonita Springs shaded in,
4	as well as the location of the Estero
5	community. The people who made this request
6	support a proposed district that we will look
7	at later on in this presentation.
8	The next item is SW-32. This is also an
9	item from the Lehigh Acres public hearing.
10	This is a request for Senate district a
11	Senate district that connects Collier County
12	with Hendry, Glades, Highlands and Highlands
13	Counties. This map shows what a Senate
14	district would look like that included all four
15	of these counties. With a population of
16	472,333, the district above is 2,298 over the
17	ideal population. It is important to keep in
18	mind as well that Collier and Hendry Counties
19	are both covered jurisdictions under Section 5
20	of the Federal Voting Rights Act.
21	This next item, SW-34, is, again, an item
22	that came out of the Lehigh Acres public
23	hearing. This is a request for Lee County to
24	be kept whole in a Senate district. The map
25	that you are looking at shows what a district

```
would look like that was made up of Lee County.

However, with a population of 618,754, Lee

County is too large to meet the ideal

population for a Senate district and would need
```

to be split at least once.

The next item is Item SW-39. This is an item that came out of the Clewiston public hearing. This is a request to remove Hendry

County from Senate District 39, citing as reasons a lengthy cross-district travel time and a lack of common interest with the other counties in the district. This map shows what

the current Senate District 39 and Hendry
County looks like. With a population of
483,183, State Senate District 39 is too large
for the ideal Senate district population, and
it needs to lose 13,151 people in order to be

complete. According to the 2010 census, the

population figure for Hendry County is 39,140, so just reducing District 39 to the ideal

Senate population could be a start to satisfying this request, but would not be

enough to remove all of Hendry County from

District 39.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The next item is SW-41. This, again, is

1	an item from the Clewiston public hearing.
2	This request is from Donna Storter-Long of the
3	Glades County Commission. The request is to
4	keep Glades County whole in a Senate district.
5	The map shows what keeping Glades County whole
6	in a Senate district would look like. With a
7	population of 12,884, Glades is too small, of
8	course, to meet the ideal population of a

to be added in order to complete it.

The Glades County Commissioner said that Glades County identifies with other rural counties such as Hardee, Hendry, DeSoto and Okeechobee, and members, I don't have a slide for it, but you will see on the next page in your packet what a district would look like putting all those counties together and some of the related policy issues.

Senate district. More communities would need

Moving forward, we turn to Item SW-44.

This was a written submission sent in an e-mail by a Hillsborough County resident asking to keep Brandon, Valrico and Riverview in one district. This map shows an approximation of keeping Brandon, Riverview and Valrico in the same district. These three communities are all

```
1
          census-designated places. The author did not
 2
          identify how these communities should be
          connected. The combined population of these
 3
          communities is 210,000, approximately, which is
 4
          less than half of the required population for a
 5
 6
          Senate district.
               Going forward, we turn to Item SW-47.
 7
 8
          This is an item that came from a written
 9
          submission having several authors that are
10
          residents in Pinellas County, and this item was
11
          submitted at the Largo public hearing.
          item is a request that the representation for
12
          the black communities in St. Petersburg and
13
          Pinellas County be maintained. This map that
14
          you are looking at shows the Voter Tabulation
15
16
          Districts in Pinellas and Hillsborough Counties
          with a black voting age population of
17
          35 percent or higher. Currently, the south
18
          Pinellas black communities are represented by
19
20
          minority office holders in State House District
21
          55 and State Senate District 18.
               The next item is SW-51. This item is a
22
          written submission that a Lee County resident
23
24
          submitted at the Lehigh Acres public hearing.
```

This item is a request for two State Senate

1	districts to be drawn within the boundaries of
2	Lee, Collier and Hendry Counties. This map
3	shows these three counties drawn together. The
4	total population for these three counties as of
5	2010 census is 979,414, which is 39,348 more
6	people than necessary for two State Senate
7	districts. This is a type of suggestion that
8	was made a couple other times in the southwest
9	region where people asked for certain counties
10	to be grouped together to form Senate seats,
11	but don't actually explain how that area should
12	be divided into the respective Senate seats
13	that they are looking for. This particular
14	request, it is important to keep in mind that
15	two of these counties included in the request,
16	Collier and Hendry, are counties that are
17	covered jurisdictions under Section 5 of the
18	Federal Voting Rights Act.
19	Looking at Item SW-56, this is an item
20	that is from a partial Senate map submission
21	submitted by Jeffery Moss on behalf of the City
22	of Bonita Springs of Lee county. This is a
23	City of Bonita Springs Senate district.
24	The map shows a proposed State Senate
25	district which impacts the county. The author

1	of this map carefully considered city
2	boundaries, county lines and roadways; for
3	instance, the author carefully avoided crossing
4	into the City of Cape Coral.
5	The Estero community is wholly included in
6	this map in connecting it to Bonita Springs, as
7	well as other parts of Lee County, and the
8	Estero Council of Community Leaders formally
9	stated their support for the submission in an
10	e-mail that was received by the House
11	Redistricting Committee.
12	This district has a population deviation
13	of minus 12,560 people, so to reach the ideal
14	population, more communities would need to be
15	added; however, clarification was not included
16	with this submission if this population
17	deviation should stay as it is or if additional
18	population should be added and where that would
19	come from.
20	Now we turn to the last item in this

20 Now we turn to the last item in this
21 presentation, Item SW-58. This item is from a
22 partial State Senate map submitted by a Lee
23 County resident. The author of this district
24 tended to use county lines as boundaries on the
25 eastern end of this district. On the other

```
1
          hand, on the western end, the author seemed to
          use more I-75 as a boundary, which caused
 2
          cities such as Ft. Myers and Bonita Springs to
 3
 4
          be divided. So this submission is an
          illustration of sort of a difference of opinion
 5
 6
          on, for example, the area of Bonita Springs.
 7
          We just saw on the previous slide -- I will
 8
          back up real quickly here -- a proposed Senate
 9
          district where Bonita Springs is kept whole,
10
          and we see sort of a competing suggestion for
11
          this area where Bonita Springs is not kept
          whole.
12
               In this district, Item SW-58, the
13
          population -- it has a population deviation of
14
          104 people under the ideal Senate district
15
16
          population. This district impacts Manatee,
          Sarasota, Charlotte, Lee, DeSoto, Glades and
17
          Highlands Counties, and also it is important to
18
          keep in mind that it as well impacts on Hardee
19
          and Hendry, which, again, are Section 5
20
21
          counties.
22
               And, Mr. Chairman, that concludes my
23
          presentation.
```

24 REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Thank you,

Mr. Silver. It was an excellent presentation,

```
1
          full of a lot of details.
               I want to welcome the other members of the
 2.
          Committee who came in just a little bit late.
 3
 4
          I see Representative Williams brought the
          Chairman an apple. I certainly appreciate
 5
 6
          that.
 7
               Are there any questions for Mr. Silver?
 8
               Representative Kiar, I believe you had a
 9
          question. You are okay now?
10
               Representative Rouson, you are recognized.
11
               REPRESENTATIVE ROUSON: I just wanted to
12
          acknowledge that Representative Williams was
13
          late.
14
               REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Representative
          Williams, you are recognized.
15
16
               REPRESENTATIVE A. WILLIAMS:
                                            I was working
          with our great co-Chair, Representative
17
          Workman. That is why I was late, since he came
18
          after I did.
19
                        Thank you.
20
               REPRESENTATIVE NEHR:
                                     Thank you, but I am
21
          not quite sure that Representative is co-Chair.
22
          You may have to be really -- I believe he is
          the Vice-Chair, so we just don't want incorrect
23
```

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

Are there any questions of Mr. Silver so

information going on the record.

24

1	we can get back on track? Any questions of
2	Mr. Silver?
3	Hearing no questions of Mr. Silver, thank
4	you, Mr. Silver, we certainly appreciate it.
5	Now, members, Alex Kelly is going to give
6	us a presentation regarding the statewide maps
7	we have received so far, and Mr. Kelly, you are
8	recognized for your presentation.
9	MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10	Members, as Chairman Nehr indicated, I am
11	going to be going through the different
12	statewide proposals for the Senate map that you
13	have received from Florida residents, of which
14	there are only a few to cover. In the
15	presentation, I am going to cover some summary
16	points about each, some points that are common
17	to each, whether they are contiguous, whether
18	they are complete, but in addition to that, if
19	there are any individual or unique
20	characteristics that appear on maybe one Senate
21	map as opposed to the others, and overall I am
22	going to highlight comparisons at the end of
23	the presentation that if you turn to page 39 in
24	tab three, if you turn to page 39, the first

couple pages there include comparisons between

1	the plans, and we included that information
2	because redistricting is often a science of
3	comparison and analysis in taking one plan that
4	may have a certain substance and another plan
5	that has potentially the same substance behind
6	it, but one plan, for example, splits fewer
7	counties, another plan is perhaps more compact,
8	another plan perhaps respects minority
9	communities differently or better. And so
10	redistricting is oftentimes a comparison
11	between plans that sometimes are intended to do
12	similar things. And so, again, I will come
13	back to the charts on page 39 at the end of
14	describing each of the submissions in the
15	PowerPoint.
16	And as a disclaimer, we are covering
17	through today the first 68 maps that were
18	received, partial or complete maps. Since
19	then, there are three more that are on the
20	website already. There were four that we have
21	received over the weekend or as of this
22	morning. So at a later date at some point, if
23	there are any unique characteristics in those

25 find a way in the committee process to bring

24

that you haven't seen up to this point, we will

- 1 those forward.
- 2 And one of the things I want to point out
- 3 when you look at just the first submission --
- 4 REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Mr. Kelly, I'm sorry
- 5 to interrupt you. Can you tell us what page we
- are on, because I don't seem to have 007 in my
- 7 book?
- 8 MR. KELLY: My apology. Mr. Chair, we are
- 9 on page 41.
- 10 REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Thank you, sir.
- MR. KELLY: Yes, tab three.
- When you look at any of the plans, and
- we've probably never explained this before, but
- 14 you see a naming convention before the plan
- that indicates a few different things. And at
- 16 some point in time when -- if some of you or if
- 17 the Committee's filed plans, you are going to
- see this naming convention change. I just want
- 19 to take this opportunity to walk you through it
- 20 real quickly so that you know what the letters
- and numbers symbolize.
- When you see "HPUBS0007," "H" stands for
- 23 that the plan was received by the Florida
- House. So if it had an "S," that means it was
- 25 received by the Florida Senate, and we share

1	everything with each other, all plans equally.
2	The "PUB" means that it was submitted by the
3	public. If it was perhaps submitted by one of
4	you, you would actually see your district
5	number there, or if it was a committee, you
6	would see a different number there. The "S"
7	means that it is a Senate plan, so it could be
8	an "H," it could be a "C." And then the "7"
9	just means that it was the seventh plan
10	received. So if we get to 10,000 plans, we
11	would have to add some new numbers, but for
12	now, there will be four digits.
13	And with each plan, we are noting, not
14	just the plan author, but where they are from,
15	because sometimes that may give you some
16	perspective as to what their intent was or what
17	their just knowledge was about given areas of
18	the state.
19	So regarding the first plan on the screen,
20	plan number seven by Henry Kelly of Okaloosa
21	County, what stands out immediately in this
22	plan is the north-south division, the
23	horizontal division, if you will, of the Senate
24	districts in the Panhandle. Generally

speaking, the coastal communities are the

dividing line with it appears to be Highway 98 acting as an artery for the district in the southern Panhandle district. The Walton County portion of the district does extend, and there was -- further to the north, and if you were in the meetings in Ft. Walton Beach, in that area, there was a lot of testimony that the -- that people wanted the Legislature to make sure that all of Eglin Air Force Base ended up in the same district one way or the other, and presumably that was the intent of this map drawer.

But then the districts as a whole after that point take whole counties in frequently once you leave the Panhandle, and in fact, actually, this submission was tied for the fewest number of counties split with 23.

And an important point to make, when we say counties split and being 23 counties is that 11 counties have to be split, 11 counties are larger than a Senate district. So really what you are looking at is 12 counties where the map drawer willingly chose to split them, because 11 times the map drawer had to split them.

1	The deviation for this plan is 2.62
2	percent, which is higher than was used ten
3	years ago, but is not by any means out of any
4	kind of normal balance.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There are a number of non-contiguous points throughout the plan. This plan author generally appeared to use VTDs in the building of the districts.

The plan has the largest perimeter of all the plans submitted. And what I mean by that is that we have just now in this document begun adding a compactness measurement, and in the coming weeks we will be adding additional measurements. A perimeter measurement is one that is fairly commonly used, and it is basically what it sounds, it is just simply measuring the perimeter of the district. the larger, more sprawling the district, the more it would add to the total. If you had a large number of large, sprawling districts, your perimeter measurement would be higher. the lower the number, the more compact your district. But, again, in coming weeks, we are going to be adding new measurements to that to give you some perspective as to what different

1 compactness measurements might tell you.

The plan also does significantly reduce the black voting age population in a few districts, a point to note. And one other item that caught our attention was that the district includes portions of Volusia and Flagler County unlike the rest of the map was split in both -- and in both sides of the district also split municipal boundaries, and it was in stark contrast to the way that the rest of the map was drawn. It could have just been an issue of trying to get the right population, but it stood out in looking at this map.

And moving on to the next page, page 42, map 28, submitted by a Hillsborough County resident, this plan has a sort of north -- also has a north-south division in the Panhandle, but it is more heavily focused in the Escambia County area. This individual chose to wrap all of Pensacola into the district, as opposed to splitting Pensacola like the prior plan author.

This plan also significantly reduces the black voting age population a few districts.

This plan was exclusively drawn with VTDs. The plan splits 29 counties and 201 cities, and it

has a number of non-contiguous pieces of
geography.

Now, part of both of these first two plans having a number of pieces of geography that were not contiguous, splitting of counties, splitting of cities, as we have noticed throughout this process, throughout the summer, into the fall, plans received now more -- now more frequently are complete, contiguous, have refinements to them. It is quite possible that plan authors who were submitting plans in May, June, July, just maybe just simply didn't have as much time to work on their plans. Those who are submitting them now more frequently are submitting more sophisticated plans.

The plan does split Marion County three ways, and if you recall from the Gainesville and The Villages meeting, that was a real point -- in fact, actually, the Orlando meeting as well, that was a point that was heavily made, a request from Marion County to keep the county whole, and just pointing out that this plan author split the county three different ways.

The plan creates a large territory of

1	rural communities, Polk County moving south,
2	and you see this in a lot of the plans,
3	particularly the Senate and Congressional maps,
4	where plan authors seem to struggle with how it
5	is that taking Polk County, moving south, parts
6	of east Hillsborough, some of the rural
7	counties, how it is that you link those
8	counties or don't link those counties with
9	other communities, but this plan chose to
10	create a significantly sized district sort of
11	in the southern middle of the state. This plan
12	also divided Hillsborough County six different
13	ways, and that stood out.
14	Moving on to the next plan, which is plan
15	number 56 by a Broward County resident, and it
16	is on page 43, the plan went the direction of
17	using whole counties in the Panhandle as
18	opposed to the prior couple that we have just
19	discussed. The deviation is 1.76 percent, a
20	relatively usable deviation. There are some
21	non-contiguous points in the plan, and but
22	this actually brings up an interesting point in
23	that this plan was submitted with an
24	application that was neither the Florida
25	House's nor the Florida Senate's. In total,

- plans have been submitted via five different applications, and so they all have their strengths and weaknesses.
- 4 The plan that this gentleman used focuses very much on VTDs. However, we have noticed 5 6 that plans received by this application do tend 7 to miss census blocks every now and then, so 8 they have non-contiguous points in whole. So 9 it is just an observation that we have had 10 that, depending on what application, whether it 11 is My District Builder, the Florida Senate's or 12 another, you see some strengths and weaknesses depending on the applications. 13
- 14 A VOICE: Mr. Chair?
- 15 REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: We are going to hold
 16 off any questions until the end of the
 17 presentation. Is it --
- 18 A VOICE: It's specific to this map. I am

 19 just trying to understand --
- 20 REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: We are going to go
 21 back.
- A VOICE: Okay.
- 23 REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: I really wanted to
 24 get the presentation done, and then we will go
 25 right back to very specific areas.

1	A VOICE: All right.
2	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Go ahead, Mr. Kelly.
3	MR. KELLY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
4	The plan splits 33 counties and 112
5	cities, but, again, it does use VTDs
6	exclusively. So at least at the micro level,
7	it is probably well accounting for keeping
8	smaller communities together.
9	This plan keeps the same number the
10	same number of majority-minority black seats,
11	although it does reduce the number of
12	40 percent plus African-American seats. The
13	reason being is that the plan is adding two
14	Hispanic majority seats by splitting Miami-Dade
15	County six different ways. Now, today,
16	Miami-Dade is actually split seven different
17	ways, so that is a reduction, but what it is
18	doing is it is eliminating the coastal district
19	that extends from Broward into Miami-Dade. And
20	so five Miami-Dade districts would be Hispanic
21	majority seats, which is which is quite
22	unique for the plans submitted.
23	The plan was also able to entirely create
24	a black majority-minority seat out of Broward
25	County a very unique aspect of this plan And

1	it does have a near cross-state district from
2	Palm Beach to Manatee and Sarasota, which is
3	something that Jeff Silver covered earlier, a
4	point of contention at a number of the public
5	meetings.
6	There is a potential Section 5 Voting
7	Rights Act concern with the Tampa-based
8	African-American seat, so this is an
9	interesting plan, because it is a good example
10	of how if the question is asked, well, what
11	value does a plan like this potentially have,

really unique aspects, and then it has some issues that would have to be corrected. So it

it has some real positives and it has some

is a good example of a plan that has many different features to it, some easier to use than others.

Moving on to the next plan, plan 58
submitted by a Polk County resident, the
deviations are very high in this plan at
14.71 percent, so that would have to be
remedied before using a plan like this, at
least in whole. It does split 30 counties, 88
cities. It reduces two black majority-minority
seats to 40 percent plus voting age population

1	seats. It does convert one Hispanic 40 percent
2	seat into a Hispanic majority seat, and that
3	was a trend that occurred in a number of these
4	maps, said that a few times now where it's
5	specifically in and around District 39, Senate
6	District 39, or that area. Sometimes the
7	geography changes enough that it is potentially
8	misleading to call it still the district that
9	it currently is, but, nonetheless, the plans
10	are seemingly taking seats in that area and
11	making them more Hispanic.
12	The plan does group rural counties
13	together fairly significantly. Not entirely
14	sure what the plan author's intent was, except
15	for to keep rural counties away from more
16	larger urban counties. The plan author did
17	note that his goal was to unite similar
18	communities regardless of their boundary lines.
19	The plan does create a three-way split in

The plan does create a three-way split in Marion, a two-way split in Lake County and a two-way split in Volusia County, and the reason why we mention that is not necessarily any one of those points, but that it is interesting just in terms of drawing a map, the combined effect. Generally speaking, when you are

1	working on a map in that part of the state, it
2	is almost very difficult to not make one of
3	those counties whole or near whole and split
4	the others. So if you see all of them made
5	whole or all of them split, it stands out as a
6	unique feature in a plan simply because that
7	part of the state, for the most part, blocks
8	your passageway north or south.
9	The plan does create a three-county rural
10	district for Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm
11	Beach, which stood out as a unique feature, and
12	there are a number of coastal districts in this
13	plan, but, again, this plan author made it
14	clear that his intent was not so much the
15	boundary lines on the map, but more the
16	boundary lines of the communities.
17	Plan number 64 scored the best in terms of
18	a number of the comparisons that you might
19	have. It was in terms of people, the
20	deviation, it was just a deviation of 27
21	people, which was second best. It was entirely
22	contiguous. It had a 23-county split, which
23	was tied for first with plan 7 that we
24	mentioned earlier, 74 cities were split, which

is tied for first, and it had the second

1	smallest perimeter, meaning that the geography
2	of the districts was the smallest, the border
3	the perimeter of the districts was the
4	smallest.
5	Now, that said, there may be a Section
6	5 or Section 5 issues with the districts in
7	both Hillsborough and Collier County, so there
8	are concerns with this map as to how minority
9	communities in those two counties were
10	impacted.
11	There's also a three-way divide of Lake
12	County which stood out that didn't frequently
13	occur in maps, a three-way divide of Lake
14	County. And there's also a district that runs
15	from Port St. Lucie to the west coast of
16	Charlotte County, which is near coast-to-coast
17	or actually may be actually, it is
18	coast-to-coast.
19	Moving on to map 66, the map had a
20	deviation of 15 people. That was the best
21	deviation of all of the maps submitted for the
22	Senate. It was completely contiguous.
23	However, it was last in counties split. This
24	map split 35 counties. So sometimes there can

be a trade-off depending on what the plan

1	author is trying to do. And when you go
2	through this map, what you notice is that the
3	plan author, in many cases, appeared to just
4	cut pieces of the state, move to the next, cut
5	pieces of the state, move to the next, almost
6	slice the map up. And so in some cases, the
7	plan author was going more for potentially
8	maybe compactness, but with sacrificing some of
9	the geographical boundary lines. And, in fact,
10	this map did have the smallest perimeter total,
11	so you could argue that that is what the plan
12	author was trying to accomplish.
13	In thinking about the law and reflecting

In thinking about the law and reflecting back on it, the law in the second clause of Amendment 5 places compactness, political and geographical boundary lines, on an equal playing field, and so it could be the author's interpretation was you can trade one for the other.

And then the author of this plan did reduce by two the number of black majority-minority districts, did increase one Hispanic 40 percent plus district to a majority-minority status, and, again, as seen earlier, particularly north of Orlando, it

seemed the plan author almost took a sort of slice-by-slice approach moving west to east or east to west, whatever it may have been.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The plan author also did something unique with Orange, Osceola and Seminole Counties, something that Jeff touched on earlier in his presentation talking about southwest Florida. The plan author took three counties and essentially made -- if you may be able to call a pod out of them where they share a territory, the districts overlap with the counties, but nonetheless, those counties are together. So it was an interesting concept that we saw in a couple different locations. We heard testimony like that, I believe, at the -- maybe the Boca Raton meeting regarding Palm Beach County and the notion of taking pieces of the county and almost making them into pods and making sure they stick together wherever it is the districts qo.

The plan author kept The Villages whole, but yet Hillsborough County was split five ways, Polk was split four ways; again, stuck out compared to the average plan. And then, as we mentioned before in other plans, there was a

1	large south of Polk rural district from Manatee
2	County over to the coastline in St. Lucie
3	County, so it was a large spanning district.
4	And in south Florida, it is also again in
5	slices, but they are sliced north to south, so
6	they are made differently. And that is not
7	uncommon in terms of thinking about the
8	suggestions that were made in a lot of the
9	meetings where the Panhandle, for instance,
LO	the suggestion may have been horizontal. You
L1	would actually hear in Miami-Dade and in
L2	Broward to draw the districts vertically. So
L3	they are sliced, but they are sliced north to
L4	south.
L5	With that said, I am just going to rewind
L6	a little bit back to, in your packets, page 39,
L7	just to, again, take a look at the comparisons
L8	of the plans. When you look at population
L9	deviation, the first four plans there that are
20	between a zero percent deviation and 2.62
21	percent by no means have normal percentages for
22	population deviation. The fifth plan, eight

exceeds -- well exceeds what you would want to

23

24

25

probably too high. And then the last plan

percent, is getting to the point where that is

- 1 pass.
- In terms of contiguity, one thing to point
- out is that as you look down the page on 39,
- 4 you see that three of the districts have a "1"
- 5 listed for non-contiguous territory. That is
- 6 the Dry Tortugas and Key West. So mapping
- 7 software often has difficulty identifying that
- 8 the Dry Tortugas actually is a contiguous -- is
- 9 legally considered a contiguous part of the
- 10 Keys, so it thinks that it is a non-contiguous
- 11 territory. So if it is a "1," it is perfectly
- 12 contiquous.
- The counties split, again, what I
- mentioned before, you are going to split 11
- 15 counties in the Senate maps. So really, if you
- have a map that splits 18, 19, 20 counties, you
- 17 need to deduct 11 from that, and the best that
- 18 achieved here was 23.
- 19 Cities split, there is one city in the
- state of Florida, which is Jacksonville, which
- 21 is larger than a Senate district, so you'll
- have to split one city in your map.
- 23 In terms of the VTDs, you can tell that a
- couple of the plan authors, because they didn't
- 25 split any VTDs, they were either using a

```
software or just had the intent of only using

VTDs in their drawing.
```

The perimeter test -- and in most of these, we have also included for your comparison the current Senate map. So when you look at the different splits between cities, counties, when you look at the perimeter test, you can see how these plans stack up against the current map as well.

And then in terms of the voting age
population numbers, we have sorted them by
50 percent majority-minority districts, but
just to give you an idea as to how the
districts faired in those categories as well.
And I would just point out that, generally
speaking, when evaluating an African-American
district versus a Hispanic district, there are
different standards and statistical thresholds
you'd probably want to consider because,
generally speaking, in a Hispanic district, you
need a higher voting age population to ensure
that the community can elect a candidate of its
choice as compared to an African-American
community.

25 And with that, Mr. Chair, I would be happy

- 1 to answer any questions.
- 2 REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Thank you, Mr.
- 3 Kelly.
- 4 Members, do you have any questions?
- 5 Seeing no questions, Mr. Kelly, thank you
- 6 so much.
- 7 Now, members, I think we've got a really
- 8 big task in front of us. Chair Weatherford has
- 9 asked that we approve three Senate maps for the
- 10 Redistricting Committee to consider, and to do
- 11 that, we will probably have to go through about
- four or five, maybe even six maps. I think we
- have to get to the point of knowing what we are
- qoing to do and what we have to vet very, very
- soon, if not immediately, because after the
- 16 November 14 Chair deadline Chair Weatherford
- 17 set for members to file redistricting bills, we
- 18 need to get ahead with what we are going to do.
- 19 I would like to open up to hear your ideas
- and how we are going to get there and what we
- are going to do to get our six maps to look at.
- Representative Rouson, you are recognized.
- 23 REPRESENTATIVE ROUSON: Thank you very
- 24 much, Mr. Chair.
- I have a couple of questions for the

1	Chair. Last week it was sort of set out this
2	November 1st deadline by which members must
3	submit by November 14th, the public by
4	November 1st. Does this mean that the public
5	is shut out after the 1st?
6	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: The public is not
7	shut out at all. They will still be very well
8	considered.
9	REPRESENTATIVE ROUSON: All right. So
LO	that Mr. Chair?
L1	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: You are recognized
L2	for an additional question.
L3	REPRESENTATIVE ROUSON: So if the NAACP or
L4	similar organizations were to submit something
L5	by the 1st of November, can they also resubmit
L6	another map after that November 1 date?
L7	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Representative, we
L8	will consider all maps that are sent in to us.
L9	REPRESENTATIVE ROUSON: All right, Mr.
20	Chair.
21	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Do you have an
22	additional question?
23	REPRESENTATIVE ROUSON: One more, one
24	more. Just work with me, work with me.
25	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Representative

- 1 Rouson, we'll always work together.
- 2 REPRESENTATIVE ROUSON: I am concerned. I
- 3 have received a number of inquiries from my
- 4 constituents and from the public. We heard at
- 5 public hearings, although it was explained ad
- 6 nauseam, but the public still continues to
- 7 inquire about a pool or pot of funds to fight
- 8 Amendment 6. To my understanding, with all due
- 9 respect, that the Speaker has elected that the
- 10 ruling on 6 would be appealed, and that has
- prompted a new set of inquiries from my
- constituents. And so the question is, is there
- a specific budgeted amount of money set aside
- to do the appeal of the ruling on Amendment 6?
- 15 REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Representative
- Rouson, that is an excellent question, but as
- 17 you know, this is the Senate Redistricting
- 18 Committee, not the Congressional Redistricting
- 19 Committee, and as the lawsuit has to do with
- 20 Amendment 6, which has to do with
- 21 Congressional, I would ask you to direct your
- 22 questions to the Speaker.
- 23 REPRESENTATIVE ROUSON: Thank you, Mr.
- 24 Chair.
- 25 REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Thank you, sir.

1	Any additional questions from members?
2	Chair Hukill, you are recognized.
3	REPRESENTATIVE HUKILL: Thank you very
4	much, Mr. Chair.
5	Going back to your original statement that
6	you would like to hear some ideas, can I
7	address that?
8	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: You are recognized
9	to address.
10	REPRESENTATIVE HUKILL: Thank you very
11	much, Mr. Chair.
12	Mr. Chair, what I am seeing is that a
13	theme is emerging in these presentations in
14	that there are differences by region for the
15	Senate maps. I think that what we could
16	benefit from is a look by region, but in more
17	detail, in more detail than we have seen. And
18	I appreciate all the hard work, I am not saying
19	that our staff has not done hard work, but in
20	more detail regarding the basic decision
21	points, you know, the big picture themes that
22	will determine how each region is eventually
23	shaped.
24	If you take a look at the Panhandle,
25	because it is an easy example when you are

1	talking about vertical versus horizontal, maybe
2	both are valid, I don't know, but I would
3	really like to dive into those details. I
4	would like to know that if we make a decision
5	like that, what really happens, what is the
6	real effect of that happening? If we focus on
7	keeping small to moderate-sized counties whole
8	in southwest Florida, how does that impact
9	rural Florida? And I think that would be it
LO	would be a helpful approach of looking at clear
L1	choices of themes by region, you know, this
12	versus that, but then what are the clear
L3	consequences of each choice.
L4	Those are just suggestions that's emerged
L5	from the last couple of meetings that we have
L6	had.
L7	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Thank you,
L8	Representative Hukill, and I am sure staff will
L9	take all those under consideration.
20	Are there any other comments regarding how
21	we should get there? Representative Kiar.
22	REPRESENTATIVE KIAR: Thank you, Mr.
23	Chair. I just actually have a suggestion.
24	I was thinking about when it comes to
25	drawing the maps, it appears that you know,

1	I mean, we have to comply with the Voting
2	Rights Act and also Amendments 5 and 6, so it
3	is probably I would think it may be easiest
4	to draw first the districts that have to comply
5	with the Voting Rights Act, for example, the
6	minority-majority districts first throughout
7	the state, and then work from there, because,
8	you know, it appears that you know, for
9	example, if you look at some of the
LO	Congressional maps, it appears that a you
L1	know, a number of folks, they'd start in their
L2	region and they'd work down or they would work
L3	up, and then there would have been areas that
L4	should have been majority-minority that were
L5	not, because that is the way they worked. So I
L6	think maybe it could be practical to start
L7	there first and then build around that, and
L8	that is probably, I think, the best way to
L9	comply.
20	REPRESENTATIVE NEHR: Thank you,
21	Representative.
22	Any other suggestions about how we need to
23	get to the maps before they are actually drawn
24	that we can look in and start vetting them?
25	Hearing none of that, let me make let

me just say that I think the suggestion of
coming forward with decisions pointing one way
or the other is the right thing to do per
Representative Hukill, and Representative Kiar,
I also really appreciate the suggestion that
you had, and I am sure staff will look at that
as well.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So unless anyone has a different suggestion, I think what we should do is that our staff should come up forward with a more detailed presentation that really boils down each segment of the state down to the big picture issues, choice A, choice B, or maybe a combination of the two, and I think that will start us on a meaningful discussion. course, members, if you have your own ideas what those choices are after the meeting today, we want you to come forward with the options as you see fit as well. Please don't hesitate to contact staff if you think about something later on, give them your suggestions so they can start working on those. And, as always, everyone is encouraged to work with the staff, and if you need assistance in developing your own options in the next few meetings, just,

1	again, let us know, let staff know. We are
2	willing to work with you in any which way,
3	manner or form to make sure that this works.
4	Are there any additional comments that
5	anyone would like to make?
6	Hearing none, I would like to thank staff
7	and all of you again for your hard work so far
8	in this process. I look forward to continuing
9	this work with all of you over the coming
10	months, and with that, Representative Workman
11	moves we rise.
12	(Whereupon, the proceedings were
13	concluded.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript
5	is of a tape-recording taken down by the undersigned,
6	and the contents thereof were reduced to typewriting
7	under my direction;
8	That the foregoing pages 2 through 47 represent
9	a true, correct, and complete transcript of the tape-
10	recording;
11	And I further certify that I am not of kin or
12	counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the
13	regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor
14	am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.
15	Dated this 20th day of February, 2012.
16	
17	
18	·
19	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
20	Notary Public
21	State of Florida at Large
22	Commission Expires:
23	November 13, 2014
24	
25	