1

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REAPPORTIONMENT MEETING
11	AUGUST 8, 2014
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Reported by:
22	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
23	Court Reporter
24	
25	
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	2
1	PROCEEDINGS Page 1

	LR-902U-NUU3E-0-0-P I
2	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Call the roll.
3	READING CLERK: Chair Corcoran?
4	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Here.
5	READING CLERK: Vice Chairman McBurney?
6	REPRESENTATIVE MCBURNEY: Here.
7	READING CLERK: Representative Berman?
8	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Here.
9	READING CLERK: Representative Caldwell?
10	REPRESENTATIVE CALDWELL: Here.
11	READING CLERK: Representative Cummings?
12	REPRESENTATIVE CUMMINGS: Here.
13	READING CLERK: Representative Fullwood?
14	REPRESENTATIVE FULLWOOD: Here.
15	READING CLERK: Representative McGhee?
16	REPRESENTATIVE MCGHEE: Here.
17	READING CLERK: Representative Metz?
18	REPRESENTATIVE METZ: Here.
19	READING CLERK: Representative Oliva.
20	REPRESENTATIVE OLIVA: Here.
21	READING CLERK: Representative Passidomo?
22	REPRESENTATIVE PASSIDOMO: Here.
23	READING CLERK: Representative Rodriquez?
24	REPRESENTATI VE RODRI QUEZ: Here.
25	READING CLERK: Democratic Ranking Member
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850, 222, 5491
	TOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 650, 222, 5491
	J
1	Thurston?
2	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Here.

2

3 READING CLERK: Representative Young?

4 REPRESENTATIVE YOUNG: Here. Page 2

5	READING CLERK: We have a quorum.
6	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Thank you. With regard
7	to, I want to have as much opportunity to ask
8	questions as soon as possible. We have been
9	working with Leader Thurston. There if is a member
10	that would like a question to get it to one of the
11	Committee members and we will make sure that we
12	have ample opportunity of all questions asked.
13	Obviously, we are here, we have read the call,
14	we have read the Order and we know the task at
15	hand.
16	I would like to thank my team member and our
17	Leader Thurston and give you an opportunity, Leader
18	Thurston, if you would like to say a few words.
19	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Thank you, Mr.
20	Chairman. We certainly appreciate the opportunity
21	to work with you on this committee. We look
22	forward to the testimony and we look forward to
23	having an opportunity to fully question all of the
24	witnesses regarding the drawing of the proposed
25	map.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

2

l	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Thank you, Leader. With
2	that Representative Rodriquez?
3	REPRESENTATI VE RODRI QUEZ: Thank you,
1	Mr. Chair. You may be because I don't want to
5	get ahead of you, thank you, Mr. Chair, for
ó	recognizing me. But if you could describe a little
7	bit of how, you know, how we will be able to go, Page 3

8	will the maps be presented and will we have ample
9	opportunity for questions, or will a lot of the
10	questions that many of us have, are they going to
11	be addressed in the presentation of the maps?
12	For example, how they were how they were
13	drawn, who was involved in that process, et cetera,
14	et cetera, some of the things that were in the
15	(i naudi bl e).
16	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: What we will do, it will
17	function identically to a regular committee
18	meeting.
19	What we will do is we will present the map.
20	We will have input on from technical analysis
21	and legal analysis in complying with the Order with
22	regard to that map. I would imagine that would
23	answer a lot of the questions that the members
24	might have, both legally and technically.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

25

If there is additional questions that you

1	would like to ask after that you are more than
2	welcome to. But with regard to the presentation of
3	the map, per the memo that I sent out earlier in
4	the week, I will be asking anyone who does have a
5	map to comply with the memo and give the criteria
6	listed in the memo and that will be before the map
7	is even presented.
8	Follow up, one follow up?
9	REPRESENTATIVE RODRIQUEZ: Sure, you know, I
10	guess at this point I also wanted to ask if those Page 4

11	providing information to the Committee would answer
12	any questions will be place the under oath as we
13	have authority to do under the rules?
14	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: No, no, they will not.
15	With that I will yield the Chair to Vice Chair
16	McBurney.
17	REPRESENTATIVE RODRIGUEZ: Mr. Chair, if it is
18	appropriate a moment I would like to be recognized
19	to make a motion that under the rules anyone
20	providing information or answering questions with
21	respect to the drawing of the maps be sworn in by
22	this Select Committee so that the answers they
23	provide be under oath.
24	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Representative Rodriquez
25	has made a motion to have anybody who testifies

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

1	before the Committee be sworn in under oath. A
2	vote for the motion would have people being sworn
3	in under oath, a vote against would not.
4	Ms. Heed, please call the roll on the motion
5	to swear in members.
6	READING CLERK: Representative Berman?
7	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Yes.
8	READING CLERK: Caldwell?
9	REPRESENTATI VE CALDWELL: No.
10	READING CLERK: Cummings?
11	REPRESENTATI VE CUMMI NGS: No.
12	READING CLERK: Fullwood?
13	REPRESENTATI VE FULLWOOD: Yes. Page 5

14	READING CLERK:	McBurney?
15	REPRESENTATI VE	MCBURNEY: No.
16	READING CLERK:	McGhee?
17	REPRESENTATI VE	MCGHEE: Yes.
18	READING CLERK:	Metz?
19	REPRESENTATI VE	METZ: No.
20	READING CLERK:	Ol i va?
21	REPRESENTATI VE	OLI VA: No.
22	READING CLERK:	Passi domo?
23	REPRESENTATI VE	PASSI DOMO: No.
24	READING CLERK:	Rodri quez?
25	REPRESENTATI VE	RODRI QUEZ: Yes.

4

15

16

Bill.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

7

1	READING CLERK: Thurston?
2	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Yes.
3	READI NG CLERK: Young?
4	REPRESENTATI VE YOUNG: Yes.
5	READING CLERK: Chair Corcoran?
6	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: No.
7	READING CLERK: It fails.
8	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: What?
9	READING CLERK: It fails.
10	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Thank you, show the motion
11	fails. With that I will yield the chair to Chair
12	McBurney so that I can present the PCB. Chair
13	McBurney.
14	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Chairman, you are recognized to explain the

Pursuant to your memo of August 5th, 2014 in Page 6

your presentation, I would ask that you respond to

the following. The identity of every person involved in drawing, reviewing, directing or approving a proposal, the criteria used by the map drawers, the sources of any data used in the creation of the map other than the data contained in My District Builder. The nature of any functional analysis performed to ensure that the ability of the

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

minorities to elect the candidates of their choice is not diminished, and how the proposal satisfies all of the Constitutional and statutory criteria applicable to a Congressional Redistricting plan.

Chair Corcoran, you are recognized.

CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Thank you, Chairman. The identity of the people involved in drawing the map, and for the House, it was myself, Jason Preda, who is to my left, Jeff Tackett, who is behind us, the General Counsel for the House, and outside counsel for the House.

For the Senate it was John Guthrie, Jay
Ferring, who works with John, General Counsel of
the Senate and outside counsel of the Senate, in
addition to Chair Galvano.

The criteria we used were basically the law which was Section 24 of the Constitution, Fair District Amendments, the Federal Voting Rights Act. In addition to that we had the Court Order from Page 7

우

20	Judge Terry Lewis, which guided the criteria for
21	what we did regarding this July 10th judgment.
22	The source was all in My District Builder.
23	All of that data and that web application, web
24	application which was available in 2012, is
25	currently available today was what where we got the

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

9

1

2

3

4 5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

data from.

The nature and functional analysis performed to ensure that minorities were able to elect candidates of their choice is not diminished. We did the functional analysis in Congressional District 5 which in this remedy to Judge Lewis' judgment is the only district that is protected by Tier 1 standards in the Florida Constitution that requires one.

The staff at some point here shortly the Chairman will go through a detailed functional analysis of Congressional District 5.

As far as satisfying the Constitutional statutory criteria applicable, Congressional District 5 maintains the minority communities' same ability to elect a candidate of their choice. The map that we will put before you has a BVAP of 48.11, complying with the Tier 1 standard of non di mi ni shment.

The visual and mathematical compactness scores for all of the affected districts, specifically 5 and 10 remained at a similar level with the Page 8

우

25

23	benchmark map, and in most cases we saw significant
24	improvement. We also followed the geographic and
25	political boundaries where feasible.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	10
1	And that is the answer to the preliminarily
2	questions, Mr. Chairman. If it is all right now
3	what I would like to do is just give a quick,
4	pursuant to the call and pursuant to the Order, the
5	map that we will get you all to see and go over
6	here in the next few minutes.
7	We addressed the appendages into Seminole
8	County in Congressional District 5 and 10. Those
9	are no longer in the map before you. We maintained
10	a BVAP of 48.11, which is higher than the maps.
11	Well, in a favorable light by Judge Lewis which was
12	the original House map before it was changed by the
13	Senate, which was less than that.
14	We also, you will see from the map it is
15	visually compact, more compact than both. Again,
16	the map that he spoke of in a favorable light, in
17	addition to the map that was thrown out, and it
18	also does better on the compactness scores.
19	And with that I would ask, Mr. Chairman, that
20	Jason be allowed to go up and give the technical
21	analysis to the changes made.
22	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Preda, you are
23	recogni zed.
24	MR. PREDA: Mr. Chairman. Okay, what I am

going to do now is go through a presentation. I am Page 9 $\,$

FOR	THF	RECORD	REPORTI NG	TALLAHASSEE	FI ORI DA	850, 222, 5491
1 011		KEOOKD		17166711110066	LONIDA	000. 222. 07/1

going to try to take us step by step, kind of the
overall changes in the map first, kind of a general
overview similar of what Chair Corcoran did
bri efl y.

Then I am going to show you where we will put to the maps stay the same compared to the enacted maps, where there are going to be differences and then we are going to go through each of those differences, district by district to be as detailed as I can to tell you where those changes were made. And then go through some of the compactness scores and the functional analysis that the Chairman mentioned for Congressional District 5.

So first, the overall changes, we impacted seven districts on the map, maintaining 20 of the districts that were, as you saw in the enacted map, exactly as they were before. We maintained the county splits at the same level as the enacted map, at 21. We did increase the city splits by one to 28 from 27. We did that to increase visual mathematical compactness, and I will show you where we did that and why.

In Congressional District 5 we improved the impactness, compactness both visually and mathematically. We actually exceeded the level of

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

compactness that was in the 9043 map which was the last map that we here in the House had before we came up with the compromised 9047 map that is the currently enacted map. The Reock score you can see there we will go into more detail later. The same with the convex hull, we improved that on both counts.

Congressional District 5 maintained the ability to elect with 48.11 BVAP, and I will go through the details as to why that maintains the ability to elect later. And the Congressional District 10 compactness was both improved visually and mathematically. Those are the, I highlighted those two districts because those are the only two districts that Judge Lewis invalidated in his Order, but we also managed to improve the visual compactness of the surrounding region in addition to just those two impacted districts.

I am going to begin by showing you kind of an overall statewide map of how, where the maps stayed the same. So you can see this is a statewide image of the 20 districts that remain identical to the enacted map, and the blank space is the area that will change that we will kind of fill in with the changes as we go forward.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

1	So you can see a kind of zoomed in version,
2	Districts 1 through 4, throughout the panhandle and
	Page 11

3	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 into northeast Florida, they remain identical to
4	how they were in the enacted map. They are
5	unaffected.
6	Looking down the Peninsula of Florida, you can
7	see the four to five districts in the Tampa Bay
8	region down into Manatee and Sarasota County,
9	District 16, District 12 and Pasco County, Pinellas
10	and Hillsborough, District 15 in Hillsborough and
11	Polk County and Districts 13 and 14 in Pinellas and
12	·
13	Hillsborough County. They all remain identical as
14	they were in the enacted map, as Judge Lewis objected to challenges to Districts 13 and 14.
15	District 8 and 18 over on the east coast, they
16	also remained identical. Working further south,
17	Districts 19 through 27 are all identical. So all
18	of the districts affected in the Palm Beach,
19	
	Broward, Miami-Dade County, as well as Lee, Collier
20	County, Hendry County and Monroe County, they all
21	remain identical as they are in the enacted.
22	So starting to go through some of the changes
23	now, we will start with the First District, which
24	is Congressional District 5. I will get into the
25	details of the numbers of that district at a later
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	14
1	point. Right now I am just going to talk about how
2	the district physically changes on the map, and I
3	have them side by side there.
4	So the first change I want to point out is

Page 12

5

what we did in Putnam County. You can see that the

LR-9820-H0USE-8-8-P1 boundary changed dramatically within Putnam County. 6 7 The district had previously kept the city of Palatka whole, but then, and I apologize, I have 8 9 added some animations, they are not in the slides that you see in front of you in the packet, but 10 they will be up on the screen, but you can, the 11 12 images are the same minus the animations, and I 13 apologize about that. 14 So in Palatka we kept the city whole within 15 Congressional District 5 and then we kind of ran 16 across the county to Alachua County, and the new 17

Congressional District 5 and then we kind of ran across the county to Alachua County, and the new map you have before you today in the PCB, what we do is we follow, throughout Putnam County we follow the St. Johns River throughout the entire county as the border between District 6 and District 5.

That helped us improve both visual and mathematical compactness, and clearly the serpentine nature of the district that the Judge also found objectionable in his ruling was somewhat mitigated by that change. Definitely visually and

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

15

1	certainly mathematically.
2	The next change that I will point out is the
3	line there in Marion County, and also to improve
4	visual and mathematical compactness, we moved that
5	line slightly down encompassing more area. The
6	majority of that area that we added in Marion
7	County is unpopulated area. It is a range there,
8	but we better followed some of the roadways that

Page 13

18

19

2021

22

23

24

kind of follow that area and were able to improvesome mathematical compactness that way.

The next change, obviously the appendage is the specific thing that Judge Lewis found objectionable about CD 5 that was used to make the district a little over 50 percent. You can see here that that appendage is in the proposed map does not exist and Seminole County is kept whole.

The next district, District 6, which is the district that borders District 5 directly to the east. Its borders were changed in two places. In Putnam County, as I described before, we follow the St. Johns River and actually the entire western border, entire eastern border of CD 5, the entire western border of CD 6 follows the St. Johns River all of the way from Clay County, all the way down into Seminole County where it follows another

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

waterway. So now the border between those two districts is now entirely a geographic boundary that is the St. Johns River that in the area is obviously a very major waterway. So we were able to do that.

By making that change we also were able to improve the visual compactness of CD 6, not having of kind of the arm extending out throughout the remainder of Putnam County, and actually in the convex hull score of the district, it becoming more rectangular was improved greatly. So that was also

Page 14

LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 a benefit of that change.

Because of the change of population, I think

Justice Cantera maybe, as I mentioned yesterday

with special districts we have to maintain equal

population. So if we move two people somewhere we

18 district. So when we added that population in

19 Putnam County to Congressional District 5,

20 Congressional District 6 was under populated.

It was about, I want to say about 9,000 people in that area of Putnam County that we added. In order to find that down in southwest Volusia County there are a number of cities that are all right up against each other. That area that you see right

have to find two people somewhere else in another

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

17

there is where we had to split the city of

Dewberry, which we had previously kept whole but we

had to go find more population to equal out the

population. So the city of Dewberry in southwest

Volusia County is now split.

The next districts, District 7, as I mentioned before, Seminole County is now whole. You can see the appendage right there no longer exists. That is the major change that happened with District 7. Obviously, keeping the city of Sanford whole is an addition to the county of Seminole.

The two other changes that we made with

District 7, since District 6 had to come down into

Dewberry we had to find a little bit more

Page 15

12

17

21

22

23

24

25

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

LR-9820-H0USE-8-8-P1 population for District 7. You can see that the boundary changed a little bit at those arrows where Dewberry was split and it kind of, the boundaries just shifted a little bit in Orange County to kind of equal out population. Also with District 9 there, too. A little bit with District 5 down in Orange County as well which I will talk about. The next district, District 9, you can see this is probably the district that separate from District 5 and 10 where we made probably the most

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850, 222, 5491

dramatic visual change to the district.

previously kept the Osceola County whole. We made -- the decision had to be made to improve visual compactness, and I will kind of go through how that really works with District 9 to split Osceola County, mostly because of how Osceola County interacts with District 10.

You can kind of see in the northwest corner of Osceola County, it kind of extends out into District 10, and if we kept that county whole it would have negatively impacted both the visual and mathematical compactness of District 10. We would not have been able to bring it back to a level of the 9043 map which was the last map that we passed in the House that the Judge referenced many times in his Order as being at least a more acceptable map than the 9047 map.

So you can see we split it, the county twice
Page 16

19

Orange County district, but all of the cities within Osceola County are, remain whole.

And going back real quick so that this idea of splitting Osceola County is not something that we came up with a new idea. That, this is the configuration of District 9 that was in the 9043 map that was the last map that the House passed before we came up to the compromise.

So you can see that the idea for doing what we did, doing is very similar to that of the 9043 map. You can see the boundary within Orange County is slightly different now than it was in 9043, and that was to maintain District 8 without affecting that district, also. But we were able to improve the compactness both visually and mathematically District 9 greatly.

And in District 10, the other district that the Judge invalidated, you can see that the appendage in Orange County, that was removed. can see we went into Osceola County and by doing

Page 17

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

well as the mathematical change.

We also had to make a slight change based on some of the other districts moving around. You can see in Lake County, the district previously District 11 previously went into Lake County in two different places. Now it is just one, but that little chunk that got taken out of District 10 there in the northwest corner got a little bit bigger as a result.

And then District 10 had to come down in Polk County and take the population that used to be in District 9 and take that back. And that little flag that I was talking about earlier, that is actually a county boundary. That is the Osceola County boundary and that little flag right there in us trying to figure out a way to increase both visual and mathematical compactness of District 10 to at least match what we had in 9043, which is something that the Judge found a better configuration for the district, we really struggled with that little flag, what do we do with that.

We had three districts that we could put it into District 17, 9 and 10, and as you can see, we

Page 18

24	chose to put it in District 17. If we had kept it
25	in either District 9 or District 10 it would have
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	21
1	affected the compactness of the area which is part
2	of the reason why we decided to break the county
3	line. But that is actually the boundary of the
4	county, but it follows waterways. There is a lake
5	that kind of sticks out and goes back down and kind
6	of goes up.
7	So the county boundary is very, that is kind
8	of where keeping county boundaries together and
9	compactness kind of conflict. So we were that
10	was the better decision.
11	In District 11 you can see as I mentioned
12	before we changed the border and against District 5
13	a little bit up in the northeast corner. We took
14	out its so now it only goes in it Lake County in
15	one place, but made that little change bigger.
16	Other than that the district remained mostly,
17	mostly the same, but by making those small changes
18	we actually improved the mathematical compactness
19	of District 11 as well. So that was kind of an
20	added benefit to making the other changes in the
21	region. And you can see there are still three
22	whole counties in that district.
23	The last district that we effected is District
24	17, which was mostly made to accommodate visual

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491 Page 19

compactness for District 10 and District 9, but

우

also kind of make the top of the district kind of a
nice straight run across between both of those
counties, and without really negatively effecting
the compactness of District 17 greatly, and
although there are there is 11 counties now in
at least partially or entirely within District 17,
by only two of those 11 were impacted by our
drawing. The other counties will not have to
change their lines or do anything else, but none of
that district was effected as well.

So I am going to go back, I just kind of walked through all of the -- you can see where we changed it right there in those two. So now having gone through all of the districts that we changed and the changes that were there, I am going to go back through and talk about some of the numbers of compactness, and then the functional analysis for CD 5 to kind of show how the numbers changed. That is how the map changed, how did that effect the numbers.

Now really quick, I know Justice Cantero briefly mentioned Reock and convex hull, but those can kind of be abstract terms that we throw around a lot in redistricting, I just wanted to retouch on them briefly.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

1 Reock and convex hull are two scores that the Page 20

2	courts have used widely. Reock, what that means,
3	it is the area of the district divided by the area
4	of the smallest circle that can encompass that
5	district. So it is kind of an imaginary circle
6	that fits around the district and you divide it and
7	it becomes a ratio.
8	The scores are always between zero and one,
9	and just like all compactness scores, they all have
10	added benefits and negatives. The circle is the
11	most compact shape that you have. So that is
12	obviously a really good shape to compare to, but a
13	perfect square in the real world would look very
14	compact, but it would only score a .63 with Reock.
15	So you have to keep in mind that in the real
16	world, it is you can't really achieve one. It
17	is kind of like a batting average, you are never
18	really going to get 1,000, you are never going to
19	bat 1,000, you are going to bat somewhere in two,
20	300, something like that.
21	So the scores with Reock, even one-tenth of a
22	percent can be a very significant improvement in
23	certain districts. So keep that in mind, it being
24	a ratio.
25	The convex hull is similar. As the Justice
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	24
	2-7

7

mentioned yesterday, it is like putting a rubber
band around the district and you take the area of
the district and you divide it by that polygon
shape that that imaginary rubber band fits around
Page 21

5	the district.
6	There is advantages to that. Obviously that
7	will show higher scores, because the white space
8	not in the district is smaller, and it can kind of
9	fit around some more real world shapes, like
10	rectangles and squares better to get higher scores.
11	The negative is that if you had a district
12	that was 100 miles long and one inch tall would be
13	a rectangle, would score perfectly in convex hull,
14	but obviously that would I don't think anyone
15	would consider that a compact district. So with
16	these scores you kind of have to use them to your
17	benefit, but also understand how they have their
18	weaknesses as well.
19	Now, I ooking at the compactness scores, there
20	were, the reproposed map that you have before you
21	compared to the enacted map, which is 9047 and 9043
22	which was the last version that we had here in the
23	House before the compromise that the Judge
24	referenced in his Order, you can see going through
25	the scores we either maintained a very similar
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491
1	level or improved in basically every area.
2	Congressional District 5 is now a Reock .13
3	and a convex hull of .42, which is greater than the
4	district that the Judge found in 9043. He found a
5	little bit better than in 9047, which was a .10 and
6	a .35. So we improved both of these while still

우

7

maintaining a 48 percent black voting age Page 22

8	population district.
9	District 6 you can see maintained about the
10	same level. It went down slightly in Reock but
11	improved a little bit more in convex hull. So that
12	is kind of the trade off in some of the scores that
13	you have. So that was a good improvement.
14	CD seven remained about the same. It remained
15	exactly the same as it was in Reock in the enacted
16	map and went down slightly in convex hull.
17	CD 9 actually improved on both scores from the
18	enacted pap. So that was an added benefit.
19	Congressional District 10 improved in both
20	scores from the enacted and we were able to match
21	the level in 9043 that the Judge referenced.
22	Congressional District 11 improved in both
23	scores from the enacted map, and CD 17 went back to
24	a more similar level in Reock two, the 9043 map,
25	but actually bet the convex hull score for both
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	26
1	maps.
2	So you can see mathematically in addition to
3	all of the visual images that we went through, we
4	maintained the similar level or improved most of
5	the scores across the board.
6	Now, going to city splits, which is something
7	that we used a lot prior and it is something that
8	we still tried to achieve as much as we could, we
9	maintained the amount of county splits that was in

the enacted map, but we had to increase one more $$\operatorname{\textsc{Page}}$23$$

11	city. I mentioned Dewberry. I should have
12	mentioned the other city that we had to ${\sf split}$ was
13	Ocoee in Orange County to increase the visual
14	compactness of the extension of Congressional
15	District 5 down into Orange County. We had to
16	split that city, but we were able to keep all of
17	the cities in Osceola County whole despite having
18	split the county. So that was an added benefit
19	without having to split anymore cities.

As I mentioned before, the impacted counties are eight and the compacted districts are seven. And now if you go to the functional analysis of proposed District 5. You will see before you a chart. In My District Builder we have more data points available to us, but these are the data

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

points that Justice Pariente went through in her
apportionment one ruling when referring to
Congressional District 6. So I used them in this
chart. Also it helps to display just on the side a
little bit better, but we went through those same
data points that she used in that analysis, and I
put that up there.
So you can see the black voting age population
compared to the benchmark, which is again the 2002
district lines, with the new updated 2010 census
information, was at 49.87 or rounded off, 49.9
percent black voting age population.

Page 24

The district that we drew in map 9057 is

14	48.11, and it is a slight decrease, but we were, if
15	you, and but that still means, we concluded that
16	that maintains the same voting strength and we
17	conclude that, because as we go through the
18	analysis you can see clearly looking at the
19	election results, which is the next four columns
20	down, you see the 2012 Presidential Democratic
21	candidate, which was President Obama, obviously the
22	same with 2008. The 2010, Governor's race for the
23	Democrats and the 2006, Governor's raise Democratic
24	candi date Davis.
25	You can see the Democrats clearly have control

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

28

1	of that district. They have won all of the last
2	four major statewide elections there, and comparing
3	that to the benchmark all of the percentages are
4	slightly less, but they are within about a percent
5	or two, which in that same district that I
6	mentioned before that Justice Pariente, I am sorry,
7	no, no, District 88 that the Justice ruled on in
8	that same apportionment ruling, we decreased the
9	black voting age population by about two percent,
10	and that she found that acceptable in that
11	particular district. We maintained that similar
12	range.
13	When you are doing a functional analysis it
14	isn't a formula you can plug in and it spits out an
15	exact black voting age population that you are

16

supposed to get at. It is an analysis of whether Page 25

17	or not the ability to elect remains the same or
18	lessens. A couple of percent either way
19	statistically you could make the argument, and I
20	believe in this case you do make the argument that
21	it maintains the ability to elect.
22	If you start to go below that level though,
23	because of the non diminishment standard that we
24	have in the Constitution that the lawyers talked

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

about yesterday, any slight decrease in the ability

to elect could be considered a diminishment. So we have to be very careful there.

Looking at the next rows of columns down, that is the Democratic registration. So you can see that Democrats have control of the district. The Democrat registration is about 60 percent on both counts. The -- of those Democrats who are registered to vote in the district we drew 65.28 percent of them are black, and in the benchmark it was 66.41.

Now, of the amount of -- if you look and you kind of do the reverse of that, if you look at the blacks and figure out what parties they are registered in, you can see that both percentages are about 87 percent. So that kind of shows a cohesiveness among the black voters in that district in the connected communities that we connect to, and the percentage remains about the same.

Page 26

20	You can see those percentages are duplicated,
21	a little increased actually when you look at turn
22	out for the 2010 elections, and then when you look
23	at the primary you can see that the blacks have a
24	clear control of the black, of the Democratic
25	primary and the percentages drop about a percent,

2

21

22

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

30

1 but still stay within that range that you can 2 maintain the ability to elect there. So that would be the functional analysis for the proposed 3 District 5. 4 And then real quick, there is a slide, that is 5 kind of how everything of all fits together that I 7 went through. That is the presentation. 8 VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Thank you, Mr. Preda. 9 Chair Corcoran, you are recognized. 10 CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Thank you. At this time, 11 Chairman, I would like to have legal counsel give 12 an analysis of its compliance with the Order. 13 VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, I assume that 14 is you. You are recognized, sir. 15 MR. MEROS: Thank you, Chair, members. 16 -- I can only say that I am impressed and amazed at 17 the quality of the staff on both the House and the Senate side. 18 19 What they did was to comply precisely with 20 what the Court ordered, and in doing so also

Page 27

recognized that, that after a lot of work that they

could improve the metrics of the affected districts

2324

2

and did so in a way that is perfectly compliant in

my view with the requirements that Judge Lewis put

25	out.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	31
1	And that improves the map for all Floridians.
2	It is more legally compliant than perhaps any
3	iteration that has come before. So it is just
4	remarkable effort by staff and a very good one for
5	the people.
6	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran, you are
7	recogni zed.
8	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: I think that is the Bill
9	before us.
10	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Thank you, Chair. Are
11	there any questions of the Bill sponsor?
12	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Yes.
13	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Thurston,
14	Ranking Member Thurston, excuse me, you are
15	recognized for a question.
16	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: Thank you,
17	Mr. Chair. With the proposed map, does it improve
18	the any other districts in terms of opportunity
19	to be impacted by the minority vote?
20	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran, you are
21	recogni zed.
22	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: In the Order and what we
23	are addressing, Leader Thurston, there is only one
24	district that that would involve and that is
25	Congressional District 5. Page 28

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 549	FOR THE	RECORD	REPORTI NG	TALLAHASSEE	FLORI DA	850. 222. 5491
--	---------	--------	------------	-------------	----------	----------------

4

32

1	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Follow up.
2	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Follow up. You are
3	recogni zed.
4	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: I believe that it
5	was said that there was this proposal affected
6	seven districts, in seven districts. Of those
7	seven affects districts other than 5, is there any
8	improvement in the ability of any type of minority
9	access or minority effect of any of the other
10	di stri cts?
11	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: None of the other
12	districts
13	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran, you are
14	recogni zed.
15	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: None of the other, Leader
16	Thurston, none of the other districts affected are
17	Tier 1 protected districts.
18	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Follow up?
19	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You are recognized.
20	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Recognizing that
21	they are in the Tier 1 affected districts, we have
22	had some impact on those other districts, and \boldsymbol{I} am
23	simply asking, will that impact assist in any ways
24	of having any impact on the person who is elected
25	by the minority communities who now would be within

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

1	those districts?
2	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran, you are
3	recogni zed.
4	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: I would say my same answer
5	there, Thurston, and to the extent that that wasn't
6	part of the Order that we had to deal with, it
7	wasn't looked at.
8	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: Thank you,
9	Mr. Chair.
10	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Any other questions of
11	the Bill sponsor? All right, seeing none oh, l
12	looked the wrong way.
13	Representative Berman, you are recognized for
14	a question. And I would request if you do have a
15	question for our analyst, if you will designate
16	that first.
17	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Okay. Thank you.
18	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You are recognized.
19	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
20	I do have questions for the analyst, or actually
21	the Bill sponsor.
22	So was any performance data collected on the
23	districts, the seven districts that are being
24	changed?
25	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran, you are
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	TOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850, 222, 5491
	34
1	recogni zed.
2	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: The only performance data
~	Page 30
	rage 30

3	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 was the functional analysis that was done as
4	required by law on Congressional District 5. No
5	others.
6	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Follow up, you are
7	recogni zed.
8	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: And there was some
9	discussion by staff about the diminishment number
10	having to do with District 5. I would like a
11	little bit more explanation about the diminishment
12	number with regard to District 5.
13	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran.
14	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Can you be more specific,
15	Representative Berman?
16	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Berman,
17	you are recogni zed.
18	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19	Is there a minimum or maximum number that we won't
20	diminish below that number? I guess what I am
21	saying is there a BVAP number that we have to
22	comply with?
23	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran, you are
24	recogni zed.
25	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: This was answered
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	35
1	extensively yesterday by Attorney Meros to a line
2	of questions by Representative Rodriquez, and maybe
3	it would be best if he went back through that for
4	the entire Committee.
5	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are
	Page 31

우

6	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 recogni zed.
7	MR. MEROS: Certainly. I think the answer is
8	no. Black VAP by itself is a measurement to
9	consider, but that is not, it cannot be viewed in
10	isolation. You have to look at the black voting
11	age population, you have to look at turn out in
12	both the primary and general election.
13	You have to look at registration. You have to
14	look at any number of those things, and assess when
15	there is a diminishment in the ability to elect.
16	And it is important, as I said yesterday, to recall
17	that diminishment is not a binary number. It is
18	not a dichotomous value where you can say that at
19	this point there is a 50/50 chance of winning,
20	therefore, it is an ability to elect.
21	If, once you have done the analysis with a
22	real geographic area and the real numbers, a
23	minority community is less able to elect its
24	candidate of choice, that is a diminishment. That
25	is about that is not a clearly determinative
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	36
1	number protty much over. You have to look at all
1	number, pretty much ever. You have to look at all
2	of the variables and make a judgment.
3	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Thank you.
4	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Any other questions?
5	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Ross, do you want
6	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative

Ŷ

7

8

Page 32

REPRESENTATI VE RODRI QUEZ:

Rodri guez, you are recogni zed for a questi on.

Thank you,

LR-9820-H0USE-8-8-P1 9 Mr. Chair, or Mr. Chair, Vice Chair. I guess this 10 question is for house counsel, and it just follows 11 up on exactly this discussion. And it was 12 something in the -- and it relates to something you were helping enlighten us on yesterday with respect 13 14 to how the BVAP operates and how we have to take 15 into account. 16 And the information you presented, you know, 17 clearly shows that as drawn, you know, the ability 18 of African-American voters to affect the outcome of 19 the election is preserved, but in terms of how the 20 BVAP comes into it, I just want to ask, page 20 of 21 the Judge's opinion that brings us here, states 22 that it was undisputed in the proceeding that there 23 was never a majority/minority in that district, and 24 yet it consistently performed to elect an

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

African-American to Congress.

25

4

1	And it said that according to expert testimony
2	that that would probably be true, or that would be
3	statistically true with a BVAP as low as
4	43 percent, 43.6 percent. Could you comment on
5	that?
6	MR. MEROS: I think
7	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are
8	recogni zed.
9	MR. MEROS: I apologize. I think that is a
10	misreading of what Judge Lewis said there. And let
11	me explain the history of that.

In the Summary Judgment phase of this trial there was testimony from an expert, Dr. Tom Brunell, who submitted an affidavit about whether there was a diminishment in the plans submitted by the Plaintiffs in the ability to elect.

But Dr. Brunell said in his affidavit, and what is not, what was not mentioned by the Plaintiffs, is that his first analysis was to try to assess if you took that district and made it a 50/50 district, in other words, it is a toss up whether you can be elected, what might that number look like.

And he said there that you would have a flip of the coin ability if it were at 43 point

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

something percent. He then went on to say that, now, that is not the standard. The standard is whether one makes it, the district makes it less likely to elect, and because it is -- because the district proposes less than 43 percent, I don't even need to get there.

So and let me be clear, the Florida Supreme Court, the Legislature and the Congress in the 2006 reauthorization of the Voting Rights Act, said that it is not a situation where you can take a minority district from 49.9 percent to the benchmark where there is a clear overwhelming chance to win, and take it down to a flip of the coin as to whether you win. That is fundamentally contrary to what

Page 34

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

39

that we have a PCB in front of us.

With respect to District 9, when we were talking yesterday about the Judge's opinion on District 10, that probably the reason why that some of the Tier 1 factors didn't apply was because of the Judge's determination, and I will just literally read it.

"That with respect to District 9 there is no evidence to suggest that a Hispanic majority district could be created in central Florida."

And my question is, would it -- what data is this map relying upon, presuming that that is, that this map we are looking at here assumes that, right, assuming that this map assumes that a Hispanic majority is impossible in central Florida, what data is that based on?

Is that based on 2010 census? Is it on

Page 35

1

2

3

4

5

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

First of all, historically the population in District 9 largely did not exist 10 years ago. Yes, there was Hispanic population in that geographic area, but it was very substantially less than it is now. So all of a sudden you have a population that may or may not have minority protections that didn't exist in the benchmark.

And so what Judge Lewis there was saying is we said to him, we thought a laudable goal to take a minority, a now a minority population and raise the population as much as reasonably possible from 39 percent and what the House recently did to approximately 42 percent, because that would hasten the ability of that district ultimately to elect a Hispanic candidate.

It was conceded that as of this moment there is not sufficient population to do so. He said in response, well, that may be a laudable goal, but it is not constitutionally protected under Tier 1, and so under Tier 1 you cannot allow non-compactness to

Page 36

So there is going to be a Hispanic, a performing district there relatively soon dispite the fact it is not presently protected under Tier

what the House had originally had been producing.

Page 37

20

21

22

24	1.			
25		VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY:	I	am going to recognize

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

42

1	Mr. Preda to answer that, that part of the
2	questi on.
3	MR. PREDA: Just real quick. The voting age
4	population, the Hispanic voting age population for
5	Congressional District 9 is now 38.37 percent.
6	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Further questions?
7	Representative Berman, you are recognized for a
8	questi on.
9	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Thank you,
10	Mr. Speaker. I believe at the beginning of the
11	presentation there was a list of who was involved
12	in actually drafting the maps.
13	My question is, were the maps shared with
14	either the Senate President or the House Speaker
15	before they were probably filed?
16	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: This question is for
17	who?
18	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: I would assume Chair
19	Corcoran.
20	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran, you are
21	recogni zed.
22	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: I mean, I did report back
23	to the Speaker and give him conceptually where we
24	were and what concepts or changes we were making,
25	Representative Berman, but I don't think I ever

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491 Page 38

44

4

1	showed him a final map until it was filed.
2	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Follow up?
3	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: And just to clarify, I did
4	not show him a final map until it was filed.
5	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Was there any
6	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Follow up question?
7	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Thank you,
8	Mr. Speaker, Mr. Chair. Were there any other
9	people beside the list that you gave us at the very
10	beginning who saw the maps prior to their being
11	filed?
12	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You are recognized,
13	Chair Corcoran.
14	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: No, none that I am aware
15	of.
16	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Thank you.
17	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative McGhee,
18	you are recognized for a question.
19	REPRESENTATIVE MCGHEE: Thank you, Mr.
20	Chairman. Representative Corcoran, the question is
21	directed to you.
22	Are you aware of whether or not the data used
23	to create this map that sits in front of us was the
24	same data that was used prior to the Order that was
25	issued by Judge Lewis?
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	TOR THE REGORD RELORITION THELMINGSEL FLORIDA GOO. 222. 3471

1 VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran, you are Page 39

2	recognized to respond.
3	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: As I said in my opening
4	remarks, all of the data was the same out of My
5	District Builder that existed in 2012.
6	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Is there a follow up
7	question? You are recognized.
8	REPRESENTATIVE MCGHEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
9	Vice Chair. Chair Corcoran, the is that no
10	question, Mr. Chair, thank you.
11	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Are there any other
12	further questions?
13	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: Yes.
14	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Ranking Member Thurston,
15	you are recognized for a question.
16	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: I have a question of
17	the counsel.
18	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros.
19	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: Thank you again,
20	Mr. Meros. When you were explaining the BVAP
21	requirement you talked about the Order on page 20
22	of Judge Lewis' Order.
23	You are essentially saying there is no minimum
24	in which the BVAP can go to because it is a sliding
25	scale of a number of factors.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	45
1	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are
2	recogni zed.
3	MR. MEROS: Standing alone one can't simply
4	use black VAP as the only measurement. So whether Page 40

우

	ER 7626 116662 6 6 1 1
5	it is 99 percent black VAP or 40 percent, one still
6	has to look at other factors to make that
7	assessment.
8	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Follow up.
9	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Ranking Member, you are
10	recogni zed.
11	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: And so in your
12	estimation there is no floor, there is no level of
13	BVAP that we can go to where we say, well, that is
14	not enough. That is insufficient, we need to have
15	more?
16	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are
17	recogni zed.
18	MR. MEROS: Well, standing alone assessment,
19	looking at black voting age population will not
20	determine whether one is less able to elect. Now,
21	there is a point at which one says that you are
22	less likely to elect, but you you have to take
23	another plan.
24	So let's say this plan is a 48.11, and you
25	were to take it to whatever you take it, 47.5
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	46
1	percent. Then you have to look at that one and
2	when you do that, once you have a black VAP and
3	then you look at turn out, you look at
4	registration, you look at turn out in a primary
5	election. And one can say at that point or some
6	other point, yes, now there is a less of an ability
7	to elect. It is less likely. Page 41

우

	/6266362 6 6
8	And so once you look at all of that you can
9	say, I now know that in this district at 47.5
10	percent and considering all of these other factors
11	there is a diminishment. You just can't take a
12	single number. That certainly doesn't mean you can
13	take black VAP to 30 percent. I mean, there is
14	certain obvious things.
15	If it is 99 percent or 30 or 40 percent in
16	this area, clearly, clearly, clearly that is
17	diminishment. At what point it becomes a
18	diminishment has to be based on a on a on a
19	new district to assess.
20	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: Thank you,
21	Mr. Chair.
22	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Any additional
23	questions? Representative McGhee, you are
24	recognized for a question.
25	REPRESENTATIVE MCGHEE: Thank you, Mr. Vice
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAUROSEE FLORIDA. OF C. CO. F. LO.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	47
_	
1	Chair. My question, and you can answer this

11	of the data was the same as 2012, but they did add
12	in the 2012 election data, which was not there in
13	2012.
14	And so Representative Berman, staff has
15	informed me that staff did show the Speaker the
16	final version of the map prior to it being
17	rel eased.
18	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Any further questions?
19	Being no further questions are there amendments on
20	the desk? Is there an amendment? I have been
21	handed an amendment. There is an amendment.
22	This is by Representative Thurston, Ranking
23	Member Thurston, Representative, Ranking Member
24	Thurston, you are recognized to explain, to explain
25	the amendment.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

1	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: Thank you,
2	Mr. Chair. The amendment that we have presented is
3	first of all, let me say it is a great
4	amendment, and I want to address the Chairman's
5	criteria for the
6	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: And I apologize, I meant
7	to do the same with you as I did with the Chairman,
8	and ask in your presentation if again you would
9	identify every person involved in drawing,
10	reviewing, directing or approving the proposal, the
11	criteria used by the map drawers, the sources of
12	any data used in the creation of the map other than
13	the data contained in My District Builder. The Page 43

14	nature of any functional analysis performed to
15	ensure that the ability of minorities to elect the
16	candidates of their choice is not diminished. And
17	how the proposed, the proposal satisfies all of the
18	Constitutional and statutory criteria applicable to
19	a Congressional Redistricting plan, and with that
20	you are recognized.
21	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Thank you again,
22	Mr. Chair, and I appreciate that, and let me begin
23	by addressing those concerns.
24	First would be the identity of those drawings,
25	reviewing, directing or approving the amendment,

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

and that would be non other than Senator Dan Soto, who is present here with us today, and his aid who would be Kristen Byron.

As to the criteria used by the map drawers, the criteria would be Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution, Fair District Amendment, and Judge Terry Lewis' Final Order.

The sources of data for the map would be My District Builder. The nature and the functional analysis as has been explained would only be directed to District 5, which is only Tier 1 district that is affected, and to assure the minority ability to elect a representative. That is the criteria.

However, this map maintains a BVAP of 43.71, which is how we believe that the minority ability Page 44

17	is still protected. That is the geographic and the
18	political concerns for any technical aspect of the
19	drawing of the map.
20	We have with us Senator Darren Soto who will
21	address those concerns.
22	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: All right, Senator Soto,
23	you are recognized. Welcome back.
24	SENATOR SOTO: I was going to say that. It is
25	great to be back. Thank you, Chairman. A couple
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA OFO 222 FA01
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	50

of geographic concerns. It affects only three districts, Districts 5, 10 and 7. It affects only three counties which are Lake, Orange and Seminole County.

It addresses in the Judge's Order the packing issue that the Judge found with District 5, and it also addresses the finger issues as they were described in Judge Lewis' Order with regard to both Sanford and going into Orange County, and the net result is that we have the district that was just explained for District 5, as well as two new competitive districts with regard to District 10 since part of the ruling was that this district was drawn to benefit a party and incumbent.

And then as a result of addressing District 5, District 7 also became competitive. They are both equally split between Democrats and Republicans which was a top tier analysis under the Fair District Amendments.

Page 45

20	And with that I would be glad to answer any
21	further questions that anybody has.
22	REPRESENTATIVE MCBURNEY: We will go back to
23	Ranking Member Thurston for your presentation.
24	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Well, thank you, Mr.
25	Chairman. Senator Soto.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

51

1 VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Are you completed with 2 your --3 REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: I am completed with 4 mi ne. 5 VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Okay. Before we get to questions of the Bill sponsor, I am going to ask 7 Mr. Preda to give a technical analysis of the 8 amendment. 9 MR. PREDA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, this will 10 be very brief. Staff has gotten a chance to review 11 the amendment and do kind of a facial technical 12 analysis similar to what we would do to the maps 13 that we would draw ourselves. 14

This map, as Senator Soto said, changes three districts and three counties. The black voting age population as he mentioned is 43.71 percent.

Having done the same basic functional analysis, I don't have the chart with me, but I looked at the same data points that I looked at for the proposed PCB and in my opinion there would be a clear diminishment in the opportunity to elect in that district, but I will let Mr. Meros elaborate more Page 46

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

on that.

24	The next thing that I would look at would be
25	visual compactness. Looking at the three districts
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	52
1	that are affected, it would be my personal opinion
2	that visual compactness is not improved. The
3	appendage in District 10, which was a key point in
4	the Judge's Order is not entirely gone, and there
5	is still kind of a bump that goes out further that
6	kind of mirrors where the appendage was, so there
7	is that.
8	The compactness measures in Congressional
9	District 7 decreased from the enacted map to .55 in
10	Reock, .6, which it was .60 in the enacted map. It
11	did improve slightly in convex hull, from .77 to
12	.81. Congressional District 10 remained the same
13	in Reock, .39, and improved very slightly in convex
14	hull of .76, but the Reock number of .39 which
15	remains the same as the enacted map was something
16	that Judge Lewis found unacceptable in his ruling.
17	The Reock score for Congressional District 5
18	is .10, which is one one hundredth more than the
19	enacted map, similar to what it was in 9043 and the
20	Reock score is .33, which is four-tenths higher
21	than it was in the enacted map. And that is my
22	anal ysi s.
23	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: And I am also going to
24	recognize Mr. Meros to give the legal analysis.
25	MR. MEROS: Thank you, Chair. I certainly Page 47

FOR	THE	RECORD	REPORTI NG	TALLAHASSEE	FLORI DA	850. 222. 5491

agree with Mr. Preda that this is this is a
clear diminishment of the ability to elect. And
Leader, this is an example of how one would look at
this, not just black VAP, but also some of the
other elements.

And I can tell you if one goes all the way down the elements that one looks like, in every element this is a diminishment. And just a couple of examples and we have only had, you know, a relatively small amount of time to look at this.

But this map as compared to the benchmark decreases Kendrick Meek's margin of victory in that prior election from 16.2 percent to 1.9 percent. It decreases the black share of 2012 general election turn out, from 51.8 percent to 43.4 percent.

It is in an area where there is acknowledged racially polarized voting and white block voting that tends to defeat the African-American's candidate, either in a primary or in a general. It takes 45,000 African-American residents from the enacted CD 5, places them in districts that would not elect an African-American.

It takes a district that has elected an

African-American candidate of choice in 11 straight

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

1
 2
 3

1	elections, going back to the time when no
2	African-American had been elected since
3	reconstruction and takes it to a near certainty
4	that an African-American will be elected and takes
5	that down to a flip of the coin at the very best.
6	That is a that is clearly in violation of
7	the Tier 1 standard of non diminishment.
8	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Now, members, are there
9	and again, I would ask that you direct the
10	question if it is to the analyst or to the
11	amendment sponsor.
12	So I think Ranking Member Thurston has a
13	question. You are recognized.
14	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: I have a question of
15	the attorney, Mr. Chair.
16	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You are recognized.
17	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Mr. Chair, counsel,
18	when you say that Kendrick Meek's position, now, he
19	was running in a statewide election, correct?
20	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You are recognized.
21	MR. MEROS: Yes, sir, but one can evaluate in
22	that district what his percentage, what his
23	electoral results were. We are not talking about
24	statewide, we are talking about that district.
25	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Follow up,
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	55
1	Mr. Chair?
2	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Follow up, you are

Page 49

	LR-9820-H0USE-8-8-P1
3	recogni zed.
4	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: So in a statewide
5	election those individuals may have been shifted to
6	another district, but you gave a percentage that I
7	didn't understand. Explain that to me again.
8	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are
9	recogni zed.
10	MR. MEROS: If one takes the comparative
11	districts, District 5, and the enacted, the
12	benchmark map, and in this amendment, you and
13	you impose on that Kendrick Meek election results
14	in the other map, the benchmark map, his margin of
15	victory was over 16 percent. If you now impose
16	that same election in the amendment, that goes down
17	to 1.9 percent.
18	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Follow up,
19	Mr. Chair.
20	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You are recognized for a
21	follow up, Ranking Member.
22	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: Thank you,
23	Mr. Chair. When we asked the question about the
24	benchmark map, we asked the individuals who would

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

be moved to those other districts, but the other

25

56

1	districts, the other seven districts that were
2	affected by the benchmark map, would the
3	African-Americans in those districts who would now
4	be in those seven districts, would they have the
5	same consequences?

Page 50

6	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are
7	recogni zed.
8	MR. MEROS: I am not sure I understand the
9	question. Are you talking about the 45,000
10	residents that I was referencing or
11	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Ranking Member Thurston,
12	you are recogni zed.
13	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: Thank you, thank
14	you, Mr. Chair. I am not sure about the actual
15	number, but in order to make the changes to the
16	benchmark map there is certain individuals who
17	would have been in Congressional District 5 who are
18	no longer in Congressional District 5.
19	Would they have the same consequences now in
20	the district that they have been moved to such that
21	they would not have any impact on the electing of
22	their representatives?
23	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are
24	recogni zed.
25	MR. MEROS: I am not sure I understand, but I
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	57
1	can tell you that the the change in population
2	that came about from the 2010 census, did not
3	result in any, any movement of thousands of
4	African-Americans from CD 5 to another district.
5	So, in other words, and the seven affected
6	districts that the proposal that staff has done did
7	not do that. There were 38.1 percent
8	African-Americans in this proposal. The House had
	Page 51

Ŷ

1	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: One Last.
2	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You are recognized for
3	one last follow up.
4	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: Thank you.
5	Representative, when Senator Soto says that it
6	improves the ability and makes competitive two
7	other districts, is that not accurate?
8	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You are recognized.
9	MR. MEROS: I don't know the answer to that
10	because we have not assessed that, but I am glad
11	you asked that question, because I need to counsel
	Page 52

LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 12 the Committee that with due respect to Senator Soto, the notion that a map drawer can consistently 13 14 with the amendments make districts more competitive 15 is clearly illegal under the Florida Supreme Court 16 decision interpreting the amendments and what the 17 amendments say. 18 If one is drawing districts to make them more 19 competitive, whether more competitive for 20 Republicans or more competitive for Democrats, that 21 is an intent to favor an incumbent or a political 22 The Florida Supreme Court made that very party. 23 cl ear. 24 There is nothing in the amendments that says, 25 make districts more competitive. What the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491 59 1 amendments do is constrain the Legislature to use

우

2 specific standards with the hope that that will 3 make the districts fairer. Whether they are competitive or not is entirely dependent upon voter 5 preference. But geographic boundaries, compactness, Tier 1 standards are nothing about 6 7 making something more competitive. I would suggest that if I got up here and 8 9 argued that the 9057 makes the districts more 10 competitive for five Republicans, it would be 11 immediately stricken down by the courts. 12 VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Young, 13 you are recognized for a question. REPRESENTATI VE YOUNG: 14 Thank you, Mr.

Page 53

And this question is for counsel.

15

Chai rman.

Page 54

LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 voters who are black, registered voters who are Hispanic, registered Democrats who are black, registered Hispanics who are Democrats, registered Hispanics who are Republicans, registered voters who are black, registered voters who are Hispanic,

23 registered Democrats who are black, registered

24 Hi spanics who are Democrats, registered Hi spanics

who are Republicans.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

The next area is turn out data. That is general election voters who are black, general election voters who are Hispanic, Democratic voters at the general election who are black, Hispanic voters at the general election who are Democrats, Hispanic voters at the general election who are Republicans, general election voters who are black, Democratic voters who are black, Hispanic voters at the general election who are Democrats, Hispanic voters of the general election who are Republicans. Democratic primary voters who are black, Hispanic voters at the primary who are Democrats, Hispanic voters at the primary who are Republicans.

Now, that with then be applied to various elections, and those elections would be presidential, gubinatorial, from 2012 to 2008. And in addition I can tell you and Mr. Preda can talk more specifically about this, even this list is not inclusive of all characteristics that My District Builder includes, and I may be wrong, Mr. Preda,

Page 55

21	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 but I believe that to be the case, so it is it
22	is a detailed analysis.
23	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Follow up, you are
24	recogni zed.
25	REPRESENTATIVE YOUNG: Thank you, Mr.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	62
1	Chairman. And counsel, is it an appropriate
2	expectation that any map that is brought before
3	this Committee would have prepared this detailed
4	analysis of every one of these factors to be able
5	to ensure that we could consider diminishment in an
6	effective way? Is that a fair statement?
7	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are
8	recogni zed.
9	MR. MEROS: It it certainly would be
10	important to have any any real ability to try to
11	determine whether there is diminishment.
12	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Any additional
13	Representative Fullwood, you are recognized for a
14	questi on.
15	REPRESENTATI VE FULLWOOD: Thank you. Thank
16	you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Chair, I would like to ask
17	Senator Soto to come up and respond to the
18	counselor's, to the House attorney's statements
19	regarding, I guess regarding the validity of the
20	map, and the process that was used to create the
21	map.
22	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Senator Soto, you are
23	recogni zed to respond.

우

Page 56

24	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 SENATOR SOTO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I
25	have great respect for Mr. Meros. This isn't the
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491
1	first time we have actually put in an election
2	lawsuit on the other side of each other, right, and
3	it probably won't be the last.
4	The first test of the detailed analysis, I
5	wish I had the resources to be able to do that. It
6	was my aid and I who put this together. So I am
7	thankful for staff to start looking at a lot of
8	this, but I just didn't have the resources to put
9	it altogether when this map was created over the
10	last three days, and so for that I do apologize. I
11	wish I had more information for you.
12	But there were strict guidelines about who to
13	be able to work with and what resources to use, and
14	so that did limit the kind of nice analysis that
15	was done in the other map.
16	I did want to talk briefly about the word
17	competitiveness. I use that as a general term.
18	What I was referring to is the top tier analysis to
19	not make districts in favor of any party or any
20	incumbent. Under Judge Lewis' Order he found that
21	District 10 was created to benefit an incumbent and
22	so necessarily I had to address that and make sure
23	it wasn't benefiting a particular incumbent or a
24	party as a result.
25	And so part the of the map that I fashi oned

우

Exh. J at 00211

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491 Page 57

65

(Э	
-	H	

1	along with Ms. Byron was to address that specific
2	point that it was drafted to favor a party and an
3	incumbent and change it back to something that was
4	equal that did not favor either party or either
5	incumbent.
6	With regard to diminishment, I looked at what
7	Dr. Brunell had said, who was the House's expert,
8	and he said that, and I thought it was a
9	conservative estimate, but that 43.6 percent would
10	still allow a 50/50, and if you go above that for
11	African-Americans in a district to be able to elect
12	the representative of their choice.
13	The reason why I think it is a conservative
14	estimate is when you look at the primary, you are
15	going to have to have staff look at the exact
16	percentage, but African-Americans represented well
17	into the 60s as far as a percentage of the primary
18	and then the general election is 50 something
19	percent Democrat to 20 something percent
20	Republ i can.
21	So the chances of under the map as drawn by
22	the first map or the second map of someone coming
23	out of that primary not winning are slim to nil,
24	but I also wanted to deal with the concept of

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

diminishment in general.

District 9 under the standards that are being Page 58

2

	2 75255562 6 6 1 1
2	critiqued of District 5 in the Thurston map would
3	also find that District 9 has been diminished in
4	the first map, because there has been a reduction
5	numerically in Hispanics in District 9 from the
6	original map that was ruled upon by Judge Lewis and
7	the map proposed here today.
8	So if we were just to take a strict numerical
9	interpretation of diminishment, both maps would be
10	in violation, but I believe and I believe also
11	staff had talked about that a numerical
12	diminishment could, but is not an actual
13	di mi ni shment.
14	You have to look at many factors and that was
15	what what House counsel had discussed as well.
16	So I think at best we are looking at two maps where
17	the Judge will have to decide whether District 9 in
18	the first map or District 5 in the second map was a
19	di mi ni shment.
20	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Coble,
21	you are recognized for a question.
22	REPRESENTATIVE COBLE: Thank you, Mr.
23	Chairman, I will probably have a series for Senator
24	Soto.
25	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You want to direct your
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	66
1	questions to Senator Soto. Let's go ahead and
2	continue working through the Chair so we remain
3	consi stent.
4	REPRESENTATIVE COBLE: Thank you, Chairman. Page 59

	EK-7020-11003E-0-0-1 1
5	Senator Soto, thank you for this. I had a couple
6	of just fundamental questions. You were talking a
7	moment ago about your view of whether or not your
8	proposal reflects diminishment in the ability.
9	So first I want to, I want to set up, would
10	you submit that you agree or disagree with the way
11	in which House counsel views Section II and how
12	diminishment works in the abstract?
13	SENATOR SOTO: I
14	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Senator Soto, you are
15	recogni zed.
16	SENATOR SOTO: Sorry, Mr. Chair. I would
17	agree with what staff counsel or what House staff
18	stated already, which is that a numerical
19	diminishment could be a diminishment, but you have
20	to look at many factors and I believe that was kind
21	of a hybrid of what both staff and what Mr. Meros
22	sai d.
23	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Coble,
24	you are recogni zed.
25	REPRESENTATIVE COBLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	67
1	I may want to come back to that. But the follow up
2	that I already had, if I heard the way you
3	described your response a moment ago then, do you
4	then disagree with the expert testimony that was
5	provided in the case that 43 percent would reflect
6	a 50/50 coin toss?
7	SENATOR SOTO: I believe Page 60

8	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Senator Soto, you are						
9	recogni zed.						
10	SENATOR SOTO: I apologize.						
11	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Remember we have a						
12	Chair.						
13	SENATOR SOTO: I am so sorry about that. I						
14	did look at Dr. Brunell's opinion. I am not an						
15	expert, but I tried to use an expert's opinion as						
16	far as what would be a 50/50 flip, at least in						
17	consideration of it. But I would also argue that						
18	you have to look at other factors just like what						
19	was discussed.						
20	And I find the fact that it is an						
21	overwhelmingly African-American primary for an						
22	overwhelmingly Democratic district is something						
23	that the Court may eventually consider in the						
24	ability of an African-American community to elect a						
25	candidate of their choice in the ultimate review of						
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491						
	68						
1	the map.						
2	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Coble,						
3	you are recognized.						
4	REPRESENTATIVE COBLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair,						
5	and thank you, Senator Soto. A different line of						
6	questi oni ng.						
7	I am looking at the map that we are currently						
8	operating under and then comparing it to the						
9	submission we have before us. I know you didn't						
10	have time to prepare a Power Point with fancy Page 61						

11	animations like staff had, but if you could, I
12	would expect since you have worked diligently on
13	this, you could kind of walk us through the actual
14	geographic areas in District 5 where there is a
15	substantial change.
16	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Senator Soto, you are
17	recogni zed.
18	SENATOR SOTO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I had
19	my my assistant help me prepare that. The only
20	counties affected by the district lines in this map
21	are Orange, Lake and Seminole. The northeast
22	Seminole County line is followed along the eastern
23	border of Congressional District 5 to Highway 46
24	where the district heads east until Orange
25	Boulevard just west of I-4.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

69

1 The district continues southwest and this 2 discusses the natural boundaries, neighborhoods and 3 streets, and I could also provide the Committee 4 with a copy of this analysis prepared by my 5 assistant, Christine Byron, after the Committee meeting, but I will go on. The district continues southwest until State 7 Road 434 where the district continues south to 8 9 Edgewater Drive and then follows Lee Road to Highway 50, which is a major artery in Orlando, 10 11 also known as Colonial Ave. Senate District -- I 12 am sorry, Congressional District 5 then goes east a long Highway 50 to I-4 where it continues south to 13 Page 62

14	L. B. McLeod Road, encompassing the downtown
15	Orlando neighborhood of Paramore.
16	The district then heads north along Kirkman
17	Road to State Road 408, which is a highway, it is
18	our east/west expressway highway, also a major
19	street, well, highway in the area.
20	Encompassing neighborhoods, I am sorry, the
21	district then heads north along State Road 435,
22	Clarcona Ocoee Road, another major State Road in
23	west Orange County encompassing the neighborhood of
24	Pine Hills, until reaching Apopka.
25	Congressional District 5 then heads west along
	FOR THE DECORD DEPORTING TALLAUROSEE FLORIDA OFO COO FACA
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	70

441, a State Road through Zellwood and north around
 Lake Road to Sorrento. The district then heads

west to Mt. Dora along State Road 46 to 19A, and

4 then turns north encompassing Umatilla to the

5 northern Lake County border, and then east along

6 the county border along Highway 42 until reaching

7 439 heading north. The northern parts of

8 Congressional District 5 were unchanged.

And just to go by neighborhood so everybody can visualize it, Congressional District 5 kept the traditional African-American neighborhoods of Pine Hills and Paramore, which were part of the -- are part of the city of Orlando and Orange County.

Congressional District 7 now contains both Sanford and Eatonville, Sanford in particular was discussed

by Judge Lewis as one of the fingers and it was Page 63

3

9

10

11 12

1314

15

17	also removed on your map as, or sorry, the first
18	map as well.
19	And then Webster's district, excuse me,
20	Congressional District 10 now contains Tangelo
21	Park, Holdens Heights and South Apopka, those being
22	far south and encompassing part of the other finger
23	that was discussed by staff and by Judge Lewis, as
24	well as the fact that they are to the west. And so
25	they more naturally go into Congressional District
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	71
1	10.
2	And that is a rough geography of the districts
3	and I will be able to help we have a copy here
4	that I can present to staff if the Sergeant and
5	Arms wouldn't mind bringing it over.
6	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Caldwell,
7	you are recognized for a question.
8	REPRESENTATIVE CALDWELL: Thank you, thank
9	you, Mr. Chairman and thank you, Senator Soto.
10	Could you, I guess you have described yourself
11	and your aid were the primary people working on
12	this.
13	SENATOR SOTO: The only people working on it.
14	REPRESENTATIVE CALDWELL: Okay, could you
15	describe to us kind of the thought process in
16	adding these, these communities, Umatilla, Eustis,
17	Mt. Dora, why those and not for example going
18	deeper to the east into Seminole County and into

Ŷ

Page 64

Sanford?

20	I also see that your map would add Maitland
21	and parts of, well, not as part of Mountain
22	Springs, but those areas, what why was the thought
23	process that you had in those choices?
24	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Senator Soto, you are
25	recogni zed.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

1	SENATOR SOTO: I am sorry.
2	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You remember how to do
3	that.
4	SENATOR SOTO: I know, I am sorry. I applied
5	the second tier factors as far as using natural
6	boundaries that I just described, and then also
7	looking at what districts were contiguous to to
8	make sure that it wasn't disruptive.
9	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Caldwell,
10	you are recogni zed.
11	REPRESENTATIVE CALDWELL: I am all right now,
12	Chairman. I will think for a few minutes.
13	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Okay, Representative
14	Oliva, you are recognized for a question.
15	REPRESENTATIVE OLIVA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16	Senator Soto, I am still and I can fully appreciate
17	your lack of staff on this. I can understand the
18	complexity of all of this.
19	What I am still trying to understand is if you
20	contend, and it sounds like you do, that both the
21	visual compactness of your proposed map, and also
22	that there is a clear diminishment in ability to Page 65

23	elect, if you refute those can you walk us through,
24	you said there were several factors, can you walk
25	us through why there would not be a clear
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	73
	, •
1	diminishment and ability to elect in your proposed
2	map?
3	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Senator Soto, you are
4	recogni zed.
5	SENATOR SOTO: Thank you. Let's talk about
6	visual compactness. I wasn't able to do any of the
7	analysis that staff has done, both the both the
8	Reock and the other one.
9	So there has been no analysis done because I
10	didn't have those type of resources, but I am sure
11	staff will be able to work on that for you. So I
12	wasn't able to do any analysis on compactness,
13	admittedly.
14	With regard to diminishment, my belief is that
15	this is not a diminishment because
16	A VOICE: Thank you.
17	SENATOR SOTO: Because first, the House's
18	expert testified that they even believed that it
19	would be a 50/50 chance under 43.6 percent at trial
20	which was included in the opinion. No other
21	percentage was included in the in Judge Lewis'
22	opinion. So we can't infer that it is any other
23	percentage because there hasn't been any.
24	And I believe that that is a conservative
25	estimate because of the fact that African-Americans Page 66

FOR	THE	RECORD	REPORTI NG	TALLAHASSEE	FLORI DA	850. 222.	5491
							74

우

1	represent a large portion going into the 60s of the
2	Democratic primary, and that the district is
3	overwhelmingly Democratic as drawn in both the
4	original and post map, thus making it making it
5	not a diminishment for African-Americans to elect a
6	candidate of their choice, both the primary and
7	ultimately in the general election.
8	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: All right, any other
9	questions? All right, seeing no further questions.
10	Okay. Just one follow up?
11	REPRESENTATIVE OLIVA: Thank you, Mr.
12	Chairman, and I guess this would be, this question
13	would be for Mr. Meros. Because again, I am
14	seeking clarity here. It seems like we have an
15	opinion on whether there is a diminishment on the
16	proposed map or not, and Senator Soto seems to
17	believe there isn't and staff and legal believes
18	there is. Can you give some clarity on that?
19	MR. MEROS: Certainly.
20	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are
21	recogni zed.
22	MR. MEROS: I apologize. To be very clear as
23	to what Judge Lewis said and did not say about a
24	district at 43 percent and above. What he said was
25	iust what I said before and that is Dr. Brunell

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

1	said that one could have a 50/50 chance, a flip of
2	the coin at 43.6 or 43.7 percent.
3	He never said and did not hint that going from
4	a certainty of an African-American being able, the
5	population being able to elect its candidate of
6	choice to a coin toss is not a diminishment. As a
7	matter of law, as a matter of common sense, it is.
8	You might recall that the supporters of the
9	original Amendment VI to this body said with,
10	because the legislators had a concern about what
11	that might mean and whether that might mean that
12	there would be a deterioration or wiping out
13	minority districts. They assured the Legislature
14	that diminishment means diminishment, not fancy, it
15	is simple terms, diminishment.
16	What does diminishment mean? Does it lessen?
17	Does it lessen the ability to elect? I think as a
18	matter of common sense, as a matter of law, if you
19	go from 100 percent to tossing a coin you have
20	lessened the ability.
21	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Further questions?
22	REPRESENTATIVE FULLWOOD: Yes.
23	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Fullwood,
24	you are recognized for a question.
25	REPRESENTATIVE FULLWOOD: Thank you,
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	76
1	Mr. Chair. I do have a question for either Senator
2	Soto or Representative Thurston, Leader Thurston,
	Page 68

3	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 regarding this map. It appears, how many counties
4	are affected or how many congressional seats are
5	affected, and how many special elections would it
6	call for versus
7	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: I am sorry, go
8	ahead.
9	REPRESENTATIVE FULLWOOD: Versus the PCB that
10	is before us?
11	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Thank you, Leader
12	Thurston, you are recognized.
13	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Yes, as counties
14	affected is three, districts affected is three as
15	well. As far as how many special elections, I am
16	not sure about that.
17	REPRESENTATIVE FULLWOOD: So is it suffice to
18	say that
19	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Fullwood,
20	do you have a follow up?
21	REPRESENTATIVE FULLWOOD: Yes, Mr. Chair.
22	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Okay. You are
23	recogni zed.
24	REPRESENTATIVE FULLWOOD: You run a great
25	meeting, Mr. Chairman. I want to say that.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	77
1	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Is that your question?
2	REPRESENTATIVE FULLWOOD: No, no, that was
3	just a statement. So my question to whomever would
4	be, since it only affects three districts, would
5	this equate to only needing three special elections

9

Page 69

6	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 versus potentially seven special elections with the
7	committee piece?
8	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Thank you, Member
9	Thurston, you are recognized.
10	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: I would defer to
11	staff to that question.
12	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Preda, do you have
13	an answer then, you are recognized?
14	MR. PEREN: Well, as of right now there
15	wouldn't be any special elections. That is up for
16	Judge Lewis to decide.
17	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: All right, seeing no
18	further questions.
19	REPRESENTATIVE FULLWOOD: Follow up, thank
20	you, Mr. Chair. Hypothetically if the Judge
21	decides to to have special elections for all of
22	the districts that were affected, would this
23	hypothetically only cause three special elections
24	versus the seven special elections if we adopt the
25	Bill that is before us, the primary Bill, the
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	78
1	Committee Bill?
2	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Who is your question
3	directed to, Representative?
4	REPRESENTATIVE FULLWOOD: To staff.
5	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Preda, you are
6	recogni zed?
7	MR. PREDA: I suppose that would be correct

우

Page 70

hypotheti cal I y.

9	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative Berman,
10	you are recognized for a question.
11	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12	When Mr. Preda did his analysis there was a piece
13	called, Proposed District 5 Functional Analysis
14	Chart, and it references the presidential and
15	gubi natori al campai gns.
16	Was any I know this is all very recent, but
17	was any type of similar analysis done for the
18	amendment proposed by Representative Thurston?
19	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Preda, you are
20	recogni zed.
21	MR. PREDA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As I
22	mentioned before, yes, we looked at the same data
23	points, we did the same basic functional analysis.
24	I didn't have time to prepare a chart, but I could
25	certainly prepare those numbers for you. They are
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	79
	all and label at Ma Dishairt Dillan hat I had a
1	all available in My District Builder, but I looked
2	at those same data points as well as any others
3	that are available in the program.
4	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Do you have a follow up?
5	REPRESENTATI VE BERMAN: Yes.
6	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You are recognized.
7	REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
8	When Attorney Meros just did his presentation he
9	referenced the Kendrick Meek race which is a
10	Senatorial race, and these two on this chart are

4

11

Page 71

the President and Governor race.

우

1 One can also look at local elections for 2 polarization, so. 3 REPRESENTATIVE BERMAN: Any question? 4 MR. MEROS: So there is no one subset of 5 election data that is perfect. VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Ranking Member Thurston, 6 7 you are recognized for a question. REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: 8 Thank you, 9 Mr. Chair. Counsel, I think it goes to our question about earlier that I asked you why were 10 11 you infusing the Kendrick Meek's numbers, because that election, I remember it was, the Kendrick Meek 12 13 race was when I guess Governor Crist was running as 14 an Independent and you had a Democrat, an

2

aspendense apar tass, major that mean a se a						
run off election, but it is very probative for this						
sort of analysis in my opinion.						
REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Follow up,						
Mr. Chair?						
VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: I am going to let Chair						
Corcoran, the Bill sponsor, he wants to respond to						
that as well.						
FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491						
CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: No, I think, Leader, I						
think what you are asking and in agreement with						
counsel, but the comparison is, it is the two						
districts with the outcome of that race with the						
Independent involved in both evaluations. So when						
you see Kendrick's numbers significantly drop in						
comparison to the two races and the two districts						
is identical with the Independent being involved in						
both analysis.						
REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: That						
VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Do you have a follow up						
questi on?						
REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: To the Chair, my						
thank you, Mr. Chairman. I just was wondering						
though, when you do that type of comparison, I						
don't know how you can determine, you know, whether						
the white Democratic voted for Kendrick Meek						
because he was a Democrat or the white Independent						
didn't vote for him.						

 $$\operatorname{LR}-9820-\operatorname{HOUSE}-8-8-\operatorname{P1}$$ Independence apart from race, maybe that would be a

18

7

21	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 become so convoluted that I don't know what type of					
22	probative value I would give that or, I guess that					
23	is why we were wondering why all of the other					
24	analysis that race wasn't used, but here it is					
25	being used as some significant factor.					
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491					
	83					
1	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran, you are					
2	recognized to respond.					
3	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: I think Attorney Meros has					
4	gone over all of it. It is a multitude of factors,					
5	but in that particular race it is probative that					
6	looking at the two districts side by side in the					
7	exact same identical race, that Kendrick Meek would					
8	go from a 16 point margin of victory to less than					
9	two.					
10	That is the probative value that clearly					
11	suggests something in the difference between the					
12	district's ability to elect a candidate of their					
13	choosi ng.					
14	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Are there any other					
15	questions? Mr. Meros, I am sorry, you are					
16	recogni zed.					
17	MR. MEROS: Yes, sir, thank you. If I may add					
18	one thing so that the Leader can understand					
19	something that I have not yet said, and that is in					
20	the trial the expert witness on behalf of the					
21	NAACP, Dr. Richard Instagram, who is the preeminent					
22	voting rights expert over the past 30 years,					
23	testified that that election, the Meek election,					

4

25	voting in the northeast area and to assess whether
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	84
1	an alternative configuration of CD 5 was a
2	di mi ni shment.
3	And so this is not just George Meros talking,
4	this is an expert on whom many have relied for
5	many, many years on careful Voting Rights Act
6	anal ysi s.
7	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Representative
8	Rodriguez, you are recognized for a question.
9	REPRESENTATI VE RODRI QUEZ: Thank you,
10	Mr. Chair. So to continue along this line, I don't
11	think, I don't think any of this line of
12	questioning is it questions what the Judge
13	determined that there is racially polarized voting
14	in northeast Florida. I don't think that is the
15	questi on.
16	But when we look at the the Meek, Crist,
17	Rubio race, the question I have is, was there any
18	analysis done of the Democratic primary, because in
19	that, in that race you did have a white candidate
20	and a black candidate in the Democratic primary?
21	Did you look at any of that, and the reason I
22	am asking the question is because what seems
23	troubling with the analysis that you present is it
24	seems, it makes an assumption that every single non
25	African-American Democrat would have not voted for

 $$\operatorname{LR}-9820-\operatorname{HOUSE}-8-8-\operatorname{P1}$$ was the most probative election to assess polarized

24

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491 Page 76

(Э	
-	H	

4

ı	meek. It sort of seems to be implication of the					
2	data you presented, which intuitively doesn't make					
3	any sense.					
4	So if you could talk about did you look at the					
5	primary election in that year?					
6	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are					
7	recogni zed.					
8	MR. MEROS: Representative, I can tell you					
9	that Dr. Instagram and with regard to the					
10	diminishment standard I believe did look at all of					
11	that. I would be happy to provide that from the					
12	testimony at trial and he has a report. So I					
13	cannot recount exactly what was analyzed there, but					
14	I feel confident that something like that was					
15	eval uated.					
16	Now, certainly this does not suggest that					
17	there is no crossover voting, but there is a					
18	substantially less crossover voting in this area					
19	than there is in many others. So it may not be					
20	zero crossover, but it is much, much less					
21	crossover.					
22	And so that very much factors into the					
23	diminishment issue, and also the issue of whether a					
24	black Democrat can win a primary versus a white,					
25	but I will be happy to provide that, the transcript					
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 549					
	86					

1 and the exhibits.

	LK-982U-NUUSE-8-8-1
2	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: You are recognized for a
3	follow up.
4	REPRESENTATIVE RODRIQUEZ: Thank you Mr. Chair
5	on follow up. So the answer to the question is
6	that you did not look at that from the primary?
7	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros.
8	MR. MEROS: At trial the NAACP presented
9	Dr. Instagram's testimony. That was we were
10	not, he was not a co-expert, but we relied on his
11	expert testimony in support of the fact that an
12	east/west configuration would be a diminishment.
13	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Any further questions?
14	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: One last question.
15	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: One last question,
16	Ranking Member Thurston, you are recognized.
17	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: On the map that is
18	proposed today, can what is the break down of
19	the Kendrick Meek race on that map?
20	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are
21	recogni zed.
22	MR. MEROS: I don't have that in front of me.
23	I don't know if Mr. Preda does, but we can provide
24	it and perhaps Mr. Bardos. Mr. Bardos does, my
25	law partner.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	87
	0 ,
1	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: All right, seeing no
2	further questions. We do? Okay, he does have it.
3	MR. MEROS: If I may, Mr. Chair, if I may just
4	Mr. Bardos to answer that question.
	Page 78

	EN-7020-11003E-0-0-1 1				
5	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Okay.				
6	MR. MEROS: Okay, I apologize, I am ready.				
7	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: All right.				
8	MR. BARDOS: With regard to this 2010, Senate				
9	Kendrick Meek, 46.7 percent, Rubio, 35 percent,				
10	Crist, 18.3 percent.				
11	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: All right, follow				
12	up.				
13	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Follow up, you are				
14	recogni zed.				
15	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: What is the				
16	diminishment there, if any?				
17	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Mr. Meros, you are				
18	recogni zed.				
19	MR. MEROS: That has to be compared to the				
20	other map, and I don't I don't have that number				
21	right here.				
22	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: All right, seeing no				
23	further questions we will go on to public testimony				
24	on the amendment.				
25	Is there any public testimony on the				
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491				
	88				
1	amendment, on the amendment alone? All right,				
2	seeing no public testimony we will go into debate.				
3	Does anybody wish to debate the amendment?				
4	All right, seeing no one wishing to Chair				
5	Corcoran, you are recognized in debate on the				
6	amendment.				
7	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: First, thank you, Senator, Page 79				

우

I appreciate your effort, I appreciate your concern for the state. More than anything I appreciate that it was not a rhyme and we had to sit through a poem or something along those lines, but it was probably hard to get all of those BVAPs and make them rhyme, but maybe you could put it in a Rap song or something.

But anyway, I just want to say that, but to his map and to the map that -- the underlying map, the underlying map, basically the only thing as Representative Fullwood pointed out, is it affects three Congressional Districts and three counties, but nowhere in the opinion was that an issue.

The whole reason we are here is because the Judge said, find and tender and validated it, and would those be invalidated, fix those in relation to the compactness, maintaining Tier 1 standards, and only those that are necessary to fix 5 and 10.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

And so when you look at the legal requirements of why we are here, both in the call and both in the Order, when it comes to compactness both visual compactness, our plan is more visually compact than theirs. Under all of the measurements and all of our affected districts compared to Senator Soto's map, in all of them we have at least one of the Reock or convex hull performance measures that are better than his map, and four of the seven we are better in both measurements than in his map, and in Page 80

11	both 5 and 10, which is why we are here and what						
12	was ruled as invalid, we are better in both						
13	measurements in Reock and convex hull.						
14	On the BVAP, our BVAP again is 48.11. His I						
15	think from counsel and from reading the case as was						
16	described by the expert that Judge Lewis even						
17	mentioned about it being a 50/50 coin toss, it is a						
18	clear diminishment and a clear Tier 1 violation of						
19	the Constitution.						
20	His still goes into Seminole County which the						
21	Court went to great lengths to talk about the						
22	finger that went into Seminole County with relation						
23	to Congressional District 5. And in addition they						
24	split one more city than we do.						
25	So, so all of the legal aspects of why we are						
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491						
	90						

1 here, our map is superior on every single 2 measurement and the only thing that you could say is that his affects three Congressional Districts 3 where our affects seven, but nowhere did the Judge 4 5 say that was something that needed to be addressed. He said fix 5, fix 10 and those Congressional Districts necessary to do that, which our map does. 7 And finally I would say as counsel pointed 8 out, I also believe given the testimony there 9 10 certainly appears to be a clear Tier 1 violation in 11 terms of intent. 12 All of that being said I would ask you to vote

down the amendment.

14	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Any further debate? All
15	right, seeing no further debate, Ranking Member
16	Thurston, you are recognized to close on your
17	amendment.
18	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: Thank you,
19	Mr. Chair, and thank you for providing a tight
20	meeting as well.
21	I just want to say that I, too, want to thank
22	Senator Soto for all of the effort that he and his
23	staff put in putting this map together, and, you
24	know, certainly, certainly he didn't have all of
25	the bells and whistles of the staff and didn't

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

certainly didn't have thousands of pounds of resources that you all had to put the map together as well, but not withstanding that, I think this gets to the heart of the concern of some in the state with regards to his process or with regards to drawing these maps.

We all had a limited amount of time to review. In fact, your Bill, your map that we received yesterday, we only had a couple hours to review before we were asked to come here and make a decision on that, but I would say this.

We received hundreds of calls about other districts that if we are coming in here for a session that individuals want us to address and they think that, even some of our members have made some suggestions to me about their districts and we Page 82

understand that the Judge has limited us to 5 and
10.
But if 5 and 10 inadvertently gives us the

But if 5 and 10 inadvertently gives us the ability to have impact on others, not with the intent of making it more competitive, but if we are going to move people around and we are, then certainly I think that is a factor that we should consider when we look at Senator Soto's Bill, because one of the things he talked about was that

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

while his intent was to focus on 5 and 10, it had to affect evening up the lines a little bit in some other districts. And, you know, I think if you ask the general public that is probably what they want to see us do.

I find it interesting that there was an analysis done on the map that Senator Soto drafted as it relates to Kendrick Meek's race, but not as it relates to the map that we are here to vote on that we don't have an analysis of that. That is just kind of a little strange to me if that was going to be a line of defense to say why we shouldn't go with this map.

But in all due respect, the fact that there is impact only on three counties as opposed to seven, the fact that we are going to have potentially have a special election for three as opposed to seven, I think those are factors that we should actually give some consideration to.

Page 83

우

20

7

Again, I do want to thank the Senator for his

_•	and the second of the second o						
21	technical expertise that he brought to this						
22	process, and I would ask that you let's consider						
23	this map seriously and vote up on the Thurston/Soto						
24	amendment.						
25	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Ranking Member Thurston						
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491						
	93						
1	having closed on his amendment.						
2	All those in favor of the amendment signify by						
3	saying yes.						
4	(Yes.)						
5	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: All those opposed						
6	signify by saying no.						
7	(Chorus of nays.)						
8	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: The no's have it.						
9	Seeing two hands, Missy, will you call the roll?						
10	READING CLERK: Representatives Berman?						
11	REPRESENTATI VE BERMAN: Yes.						
12	READING CLERK: Caldwell?						
13	REPRESENTATI VE CALDWELL: No.						
14	READING CLERK: Corcoran?						
15	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: No.						
16	READING CLERK: Cummings?						
17	REPRESENTATI VE CUMMI NGS: No.						
18	READING CLERK: Fullwood?						
19	REPRESENTATI VE FULLWOOD: Yes.						
20	READING CLERK: McBurney.						
21	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: No.						
22	READING CLERK: And McGhee? Page 84						

REPRESENTATI VE MCGHEE: No.

23

우

24	READING CLERK: Metz?
25	REPRESENTATI VE METZ: No.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
1	READING CLERK: Oliva?
2	REPRESENTATI VE OLI VA: No.
3	READING CLERK: Passidomo.
4	REPRESENTATIVE PASSIDOMO: Yes.
5	READING CLERK: Rodri guez?
6	REPRESENTATI VE RODRI QUEZ: Yes.
7	READING CLERK: Thurston?
8	REPRESENTATIVE THURSTON: Yes.
9	READING CLERK: And Young.
10	REPRESENTATI VE YOUNG: No.
11	READING CLERK: It fails.
12	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: The amendment having
13	been defeated we are back on the Bill, and I
14	understand there is public testimony.
15	The first appearance card, Evelyn Fox with the
16	NAACP. Hello. Ms. Fox, you are recognized.
17	MS. FOX: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning,
18	Mr. Chair, members of this Committee. My name is
19	Evelyn Fox and I am a resident of Gainesville,
20	Alachua County, and Congressional District number
21	5.
22	I am the Vice Chair of the Alachua County
23	Democratic Party. I currently serve as President
24	of the Alachua County Branch of the NAACP.
25	l testified in the Romo-Detzner trial recently Page 85

FOR	THE	RECORD	REPORTI NG	TALLAHASSEE	FLORI DA	850. 222. 5491
-----	-----	--------	------------	-------------	----------	----------------

l	held in the Second Circuit Court. I have reviewed
2	the Judge's ruling concerning Congressional
3	District number 5 being unconstitutional, and
1	understand that the responsibility that has been
5	placed upon this body to create a legally
5	enforceable Congressional Redistricting Plan.
7	Alachua County has benefited from being a par

Alachua County has benefited from being a part of Congressional District 5. Our Congressional Representative has been a hands on and accessible. She has brought millions of dollars to our community. She was responsible for the renovating of our VA Hospital, and most recently our transit system has been completely revamped and is being named in her honor.

African-American voters in Alachua County will be harmed if Gainesville is not a part of the Fifth District. Minority voters already face challenges to their full participation of the political process. We share the common concerns of all of the communities in District 5. Our history and continuing needs are the same.

I am familiar with the Plaintiffs' proposal to remedy the issues found in the Court with the current Congressional Plan. The plan calls for District 5 to run along the Florida/Georgia border

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

1	between Jacksonville and Tallahassee. Alachua
2	County will be left out of the district.
3	So ladies an gentlemen of this Committee,
4	after sitting here and listening to all of the
5	testimonies, I strongly urge you to support House
6	Bill 50, excuse me, 9057.
7	Thank you so much. I appreciate that.
8	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Thank you. The next
9	appearance card is Dave Landry, Vice President of
10	Florida State Conference, NAACP. Mr. Landry.
11	Dale, I am sorry, Dale. Mr. Landry, in any event
12	you are recogni zed, thank you.
13	MR. LANDRY: Mr. Chair, thank you, and
14	members, my name is Dale Landry. I am here today
15	to speak on behalf of the Florida State Conference
16	of the NAACP Branches on the Congressional
17	Redistricting process on the way here, and I am
18	asking that this be read into the record as I read.
19	I am the fourth Vice President of the Florida
20	State Conference under the Leadership of our State
21	Conference President, Adora Obi Nweze, the Florida
22	NAACP has been involved in the recent redistricting
23	process and litigation in an effort to ensure that
24	the voting rights of black voters in the state are
25	not diminished or diluted.
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	97
	<i>,</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Because I will not have time to cover all of
the information that I would like to cover with
Page 87

우

3	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 you, I have brought copies of a letter the Florida
4	NAACP sent to the members of the Senate
5	Reapportionment Committee and the House Select
6	Committee and submit those as my additional written
7	testi mony.
8	The Florida NAACP formally and publicly
9	supported Amendment V and VI because of the
10	minority voting protection that those amendments
11	would enshrine in the State Constitution. We
12	certainly did not support an interpretation of the
13	amendments that would undermine the electoral
14	successes achieved by black voters in recent years.
15	We are being dismayed that parties in recent
16	litigation have adopted such an interpretation and
17	have vigorously argued that Congressional District
18	5 still serves as a much needed remedy in north
19	central Florida. A remedy that offers black voters
20	a fair opportunity to participate in the political
21	process.
22	We understand the ruling of Judge Lewis and
23	what you all are tasked with doing during this
24	Special Session. We are here to convey our demand
25	that the district remain oriented in a north/south
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	98
1	direction and that it continue to offer to black
2	voters in the existing district the opportunity
2	they have onloyed since 1002

voters in the existing district the opportunity
they have enjoyed since 1992.

Judge Lewis did not rule that Congressional
District 5 was unconstitutional because it went
Page 88

우

6	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 north and south, that much is clear. The
7	Legislature did the right thing in 2012, when it
8	maintained the districts general orientation that
9	way.
10	I am a resident of Leon County. I know what
11	taking district, Congressional District 5 out to
12	the west that some people have urged would mean. A
13	Congressional District that goes from Jacksonville
14	to Tallahassee cannot be a replacement for a
15	Congressional District that runs from Jacksonville
16	to Orlando.
17	First, a dramatic change to the district like
18	that will leave out thousands and thousands of
19	black voters used to being in Congressional
20	district 5. They would no longer be able to elect
21	a candidate of their choice. This is not an
22	acceptable or constitutional option.
23	Second, an east/west configuration of the
24	district will create the illusion of a black
25	opportunity district, but it will be just that, an
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	99
1	illusion. There are a number of prisons in
2	northern Florida counties and those people cannot
3	vote.
4	Additionally, black voter turn out in this
5	region of the state isn't as strong or reliable as
6	it is down south of here.
7	Finally, during litigation the Florida NAACP
8	commissioned Dr. Richard Instagram to analyze the

Ŷ

9	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 effect of taking a district in a westward direction
10	from Jacksonville. He found that would diminish
11	the ability of black voters to elect their
12	candidates of choice. As such, you simply cannot
13	trade off these districts like some have suggested.
14	The district must be maintained in its current
15	confi gurati on.
16	The Florida NAACP strongly urges the
17	Legislature to maintain the current configuration
18	of District 5 to the extent possible. The counties
19	in the current district have been have benefited
20	greatly from being in the district and voters there
21	would be irreparably harmed if they were excluded
22	from the district when the Legislature redraws it.
23	When the redistricting amendments were adopted
24	in 2010, we celebrated the fact that Florida was
25	going to be a leader in the country by offering
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	100
	100
1	state constitutional protection to minority voters.
2	The action that this body is about to take needs to
3	adopt that spirit and remember that black voters

O are not just numbers on a map.

The NAACP and its members have fought long and hard to ensure that the electoral gains we have made are not lost, and we ask you to join in that fight with us.

Again, we want to thank you for your time and we want to thank this Committee for giving us the opportunity to speak.

Page 90

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

12	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Thank you, Mr. Landry.
13	Is there any other public testimony?
14	Seeing none, is there any debate?
15	Seeing no debate, Chair Corcoran, you are
16	recognized to close on your Bill.
17	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Members, I would just like
18	to say thank you for everyone's participation in
19	the questions. Obviously we are here, it is always
20	difficult to be called back in having been told by
21	a court that something we did was impartially
22	invalid. But I think that the Court Order was
23	clear, come and fix 5 and 10 and only those
24	districts that were directly related to the fix in
25	5 and 10, I think we have done that.

I P_0820_H0USF_8_8_D1

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491

101

1 The plan that he had issue with, again, if you 2 compare it to the plan that we have put before you 3 today, again, in the compactness test in all seven districts, this plan is superior to the one that 4 was thrown out in at least one measurement. 5 four of seven of the measurements it is better in 6 7 both the Reock and the convex hull score. 8 again, in 5 and 10, it is significantly better in 5 9 and 10. 10 In addition to that, we, I believe split one 11 less city, we split one more city and one less county, city and counties. 12 So in addition to that 13 we took care of the appendages that were in 47. one other point comparison throughout the Order the 14

15	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 Judge spoke in a favorable light in 9043.
16	If you compare this plan to 9043, again on
17	visual compactness, we score higher. In most of
18	the Congressional Districts we score higher on 5
19	and 10. We are better in 5 and identical in 10
20	that he spoke favorable of.
21	In addition to that, we have a higher BVAP of
22	48.11 where the Judge clearly spoke favorably of a
23	BVAP of 48.03 and 43. So I think that we have
24	clearly addressed his issue, we have done it with
25	affecting as minimal districts as possible, keeping
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491 102
1	20 of the districts that he held valid completely
2	intact, and I would ask for your favorable support.
3	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Chair Corcoran having
4	closed on his Bill, Missy, will you call the roll?
5	READING CLERK: Representatives Berman?
6	REPRESENTATI VE BERMAN: Yes.
7	READING CLERK: Caldwell?
8	REPRESENTATI VE CALDWELL: Yes.
9	READING CLERK: Corcoran?
10	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Yes.
11	READING CLERK: Cummi ngs?
12	REPRESENTATI VE CUMMI NGS: Yes.
13	READING CLERK: Fullwood?
14	REPRESENTATI VE FULLWOOD: No.
15	READING CLERK: McGhee?
16	REPRESENTATI VE MCGHEE: No.
17	READING CLERK: Metz?

18	LR-9820-HOUSE-8-8-P1 REPRESENTATI VE METZ: Yes.
19	READING CLERK: Oliva?
20	REPRESENTATIVE OLIVA: Yes.
21	READING CLERK: Passi domo?
22	REPRESENTATI VE PASSI DOMO: Yes.
23	READING CLERK: Rodri guez?
24	REPRESENTATI VE RODRI QUEZ: No.
25	READING CLERK: Thurston?
	FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491
	103
1	REPRESENTATI VE THURSTON: No.
2	READING CLERK: Young?
3	REPRESENTATI VE YOUNG: Yes.
4	READING CLERK: Chair McBurney?
5	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: Yes.
6	READING CLERK: It passes.
7	VICE CHAIR MCBURNEY: By your vote we have
8	adopted the Bill.
9	And at this time I would return the Gavel to
10	Chair Corcoran.
11	CHAIRMAN CORCORAN: Thank you, Chair McBurney,
12	and thank you for doing a wonderful job.
13	And with that, members, Representative
14	Caldwell moves we rise. Without objection, the
15	meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
16	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)
17	
18	
19	
20	

우

24

25

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850. 222. 5491