The Florida Senate

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

REAPPORTIONMENT
Senator Galvano, Chair
Senator Braynon, Vice Chair

MEETING DATE: Monday, October 19, 2015
TIME: 2:00 p.m.—Until completion
PLACE: *Pat Thomas Committee Room,* 412 Knott Building

MEMBERS: Senator Galvano, Chair; Senator Braynon, Vice Chair; Senators Bradley, Gibson, Lee, Montford, and Simmons

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
		The Senate Committee on Reapportionment and the House Select Committee on Redistricting will meet concurrently in Room 412, Knott Building, for the purpose of:	
1		Presentation of Proposed Remedial Senate Base Maps S000S9070, S000S9072, S000S9074, S000S9076, S000S9078, and S000S9080	Presented
2		Public Comment	Presented
		Other Related Meeting Documents	



Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: 2000 The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: *http://www.flsenate.gov/session/redistricting*

Census and Boundary Stats

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:			Polygon	Length	Perim	Area	Reock	Convex	Polsby-	Counties:		Cities:		Follow political and geographic boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	Hisp.Blk	Rings	(miles)	(miles)	(sq.mi)	Ratio	Hull	Popper	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	County	City	Pol.	Roads	Water	Pol/Geo
	181,441	38.6%	15.1%	21.1%	0.9%	46	71.2	286.8	1,643.9	0.31	0.64	0.21	22	129	284	300	32%	13%	41%	27%	30%	74%
1	-45,577	-9.7%	46.9%	6.1%	0.7%	1	98	414	976	0.13	0.46	0.07	0	5	1	8	15%	10%	21%	33%	13%	58%
2	-20,131	-4.3%	15.9%	3.5%	0.2%	3	137	463	4,779	0.32	0.84	0.28	2	6	18	2	57%	4%	59%	15%	33%	92%
3	25,048	5.3%	11.5%	6.9%	0.4%	1	172	707	7,362	0.31	0.59	0.19	6	7	16	8	68%	4%	69%	28%	29%	95%
4	-36,405	-7.7%	8.8%	5.3%	0.3%	3	130	416	1,633	0.12	0.45	0.12	0	5	12	4	49%	4%	52%	15%	62%	87%
5	45,336	9.6%	12.3%	6.3%	0.4%	1	73	345	1,373	0.33	0.68	0.15	0	4	4	4	53%	15%	54%	17%	39%	78%
6	-18,569	-4.0%	30.4%	5.2%	0.4%	1	149	539	6,846	0.38	0.70	0.30	6	5	30	3	75%	2%	77%	19%	31%	94%
7	-37,479	-8.0%	6.3%	6.2%	0.2%	1	121	579	2,730	0.24	0.53	0.10	0	4	12	10	48%	6%	53%	25%	36%	80%
8	55,641	11.8%	9.2%	6.5%	0.4%	1	109	361	1,116	0.12	0.57	0.11	0	5	6	9	42%	23%	47%	25%	51%	80%
9	57,402	12.2%	11.9%	22.4%	1.1%	1	40	175	525	0.41	0.77	0.22	0	3	7	7	37%	14%	48%	39%	13%	78%
10	95,888	20.4%	11.8%	17.5%	0.8%	1	51	303	851	0.41	0.72	0.12	0	3	2	2	24%	2%	25%	19%	38%	80%
11	-36,372	-7.7%	2.5%	6.7%	0.3%	1	70	206	895	0.23	0.65	0.27	0	4	4	5	49%	6%	52%	19%	44%	75%
12	61,926	13.2%	9.6%	20.8%	1.0%	1	47	182	743	0.43	0.77	0.28	0	2	3	2	31%	4%	34%	39%	5%	66%
13	-75,267	-16.0%	5.1%	6.7%	0.2%	1	34	90	270	0.30	0.81	0.42	0	1	14	7	50%	13%	57%	27%	64%	90%
14	-12,544	-2.7%	18.2%	7.2%	0.4%	1	86	316	3,570	0.61	0.85	0.45	4	4	24	5	47%	3%	49%	41%	12%	87%
15	90,737	19.3%	10.9%	19.1%	1.0%	1	84	382	1,713	0.31	0.53	0.15	0	5	6	10	41%	10%	49%	20%	17%	66%
16	-38,117	-8.1%	6.1%	11.7%	0.5%	1	29	116	272	0.40	0.71	0.26	0	2	0	8	17%	20%	28%	28%	24%	64%
17	-13,073	-2.8%	12.1%	16.1%	0.4%	1	106	423	5,193	0.57	0.82	0.36	2	5	17	8	45%	5%	50%	24%	30%	85%
18	-65,211	-13.9%	39.5%	23.3%	1.8%	1	46	325	365	0.22	0.51	0.04	0	3	0	6	11%	8%	15%	18%	56%	76%
19	7,035	1.5%	33.1%	35.5%	2.4%	1	28	137	184	0.29	0.59	0.12	0	2	0	7	5%	23%	25%	62%	3%	76%
20	106,174	22.6%	8.8%	10.5%	0.5%	1	70	291	1,469	0.39	0.62	0.22	0	5	17	7	48%	9%	53%	23%	28%	76%
21	59,837	12.7%	5.7%	11.3%	0.4%	1	97	422	1,826	0.25	0.51	0.13	0	5	3	8	40%	6%	44%	19%	39%	82%
22	-50,270	-10.7%	11.2%	16.6%	0.9%	1	22	91	186	0.47	0.77	0.28	0	2	2	9	16%	27%	38%	41%	31%	83%
23	-11,703	-2.5%	4.1%	5.7%	0.2%	1	64	172	975	0.31	0.84	0.41	0	3	2	3	62%	9%	67%	10%	53%	83%
24	54,221	11.5%	10.5%	13.3%	0.7%	1	66	298	1,331	0.39	0.66	0.19	0	3	5	6	44%	11%	55%	17%	58%	95%
25	-41,635	-8.9%	7.4%	11.7%	0.4%	1	57	225	385	0.15	0.48	0.10	0	2	12	19	25%	17%	41%	27%	32%	70%
26	11,859	2.5%	11.5%	12.0%	0.6%	1	93	432	2,521	0.36	0.67	0.17	0	4	10	9	49%	12%	61%	16%	54%	94%
27	81,522	17.3%	10.6%	21.4%	0.7%	2	118	502	1,925	0.17	0.41	0.10	0	5	4	12	26%	8%	31%	23%	20%	64%
28	75,052	16.0%	8.4%	11.9%	0.4%	1	83	289	1,716	0.32	0.66	0.26	1	4	10	8	38%	11%	49%	21%	52%	79%
29	-72,889	-15.5%	60.7%	13.8%	1.1%	1	49	163	83	0.04	0.23	0.04	0	2	2	20	1%	16%	16%	36%	6%	52%
30	-11,330	-2.4%	8.4%	15.6%	0.5%	1	27	115	188	0.31	0.59	0.18	0	2	2	11	5%	16%	17%	31%	4%	44%
31	-37,384	-8.0%	15.9%	28.8%	1.2%	1	23	101	142	0.36	0.67	0.18	0	1	2	8	15%	23%	35%	34%	18%	68%
32	-41,135	-8.8%	24.5%	20.9%	1.0%	1	19	67	97	0.35	0.66	0.28	0	1	3	10	6%	32%	33%	41%	3%	65%
33	-65,743	-14.0%	59.2%	36.7%	3.6%	1	14	57	64	0.40	0.74	0.25	0	1	3	6	15%	32%	32%	37%	9%	65%
34	11,132	2.4%	17.7%	46.4%	1.5%	1	57	219	567	0.22	0.61	0.15	0	2	2	7	7%	16%	16%	26%	6%	42%
35	-31,172	-6.6%	18.1%	42.8%	1.7%	1	30	106	220	0.31	0.66	0.25	0	2	12	10	30%	18%	43%	23%	47%	77%
36	-51,407	-10.9%	6.5%	79.2%	2.8%	1	20	81	59	0.19	0.53	0.11	0	1	1	8	0%	13%	13%	41%	6%	47%
37	10,156	2.2%	7.0%	16.2%	0.4%	1	73	306	1,003	0.24	0.52	0.13	0	2	3	5	37%	7%	39%	18%	53%	79%
38	-27,223	-5.8%	4.1%	81.9%	1.4%	1	24	87	109	0.23	0.63	0.18	0	1	0	1	0%	8%	8%	50%	11%	56%
39	13,150	2.8%	29.1%	43.0%	1.7%	2	214	910	9,333	0.26	0.48	0.14	1	5	7	14	57%	4%	61%	9%	55%	72%
40	-21,490	-4.6%	4.4%	90.5%	2.2%	1	17	60	162	0.69	0.93	0.57	0	1	6	4	14%	31%	31%	38%	27%	67%

Overall numbers of county and city splits, relative to benchmark:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	Benchmark Plan (2002)	New/proposed plan and % change from 2002		Benchmark Plan (2002)	New/proposed plan and % change from 2002	
Number of Counties	67	67	0%	410	410	0%
Counties with only one district	22	22	0%	284	284	0%
Counties split into more than one district	45	45	0%	126	126	0%
Aggregate number of county splits	129	129	0%	300	300	0%
Aggregate number of splits with population	126	126	0%	277	277	0%

Split Counties and Cities

Counties included in more than one district

County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Bay	2	16,006	9.5%	331.6	32.1%
Bay	4	137,845	81.6%	604.5	58.5%
Bay	6	15,001	8.9%	97.1	9.4%
Brevard	24	308,964	56.9%	816.6	52.5%
Brevard	26	234,412	43.1%	740.4	47.6%
Broward	25	159,648	9.1%	97.6	7.4%
Broward	29	234,430	13.4%	37.6	2.8%
Broward	30	55,380	3.2%	14.8	1.1%
Broward	31	432,649	24.8%	141.7	10.7%
Broward	32	428,898	24.5%	97.4	7.4%
Broward	34	359,984	20.6%	158.3	12.0%
Broward	35	77,077	4.4%	11.9	0.9%
Broward	39	0	0.0%	763.6	57.7%
Charlotte	21	31,917	20.0%	298.5	34.8%
Charlotte	23	127,713	79.8%	339.8	39.6%
Charlotte	27	348	0.2%	220.0	25.6%
Citrus	3	131,864	93.4%	488.2	63.1%
Citrus	11	9,372	6.6%	285.1	36.9%
Clay	5	155,672	81.6%	235.4	36.6%
Clay	7	35,193	18.4%	408.1	63.4%
Collier	37	278,101	86.5%	730.4	31.7%
Collier	39	43,419	13.5%	1,574.6	68.3%
Columbia	3	17,627	26.1%	438.0	54.7%
Columbia	14	49,904	73.9%	363.4	45.4%
DeSoto	17	31,313	89.8%	537.5	84.1%
DeSoto	21	3,549	10.2%	102.0	15.9%
Duval	1	332,647	38.5%	186.2	20.3%
Duval	5	260,560	30.2%	360.9	39.3%
Duval	8	271,056	31.4%	371.4	40.4%
Escambia	2	202,110	67.9%	588.6	67.3%
Escambia	4	95,509	32.1%	286.1	32.7%
Flagler	1	7,511	7.9%	363.8	63.7%
Flagler	8	88,185	92.2%	207.1	36.3%
Glades	17	7,385	57.3%	593.3	60.1%
Glades	27	5,499	42.7%	393.5	39.9%
Hendry	27	0	0.0%	26.6	2.2%
Hendry	39	39,140	100.0%	1,163.4	97.8%
Hernando	11	74,471	43.1%	275.9	46.8%
Hernando	15	98,307	56.9%	313.1	53.2%
Hillsborough	10	460,768	37.5%	702.6	55.5%
Hillsborough	12	342,123	27.8%	194.3	15.4%
Hillsborough	16	156,881	12.8%	118.5	9.4%
Hillsborough	18	269,454	21.9%	250.4	19.8%
Indian River	26	123,307	89.3%	509.2	82.5%
Indian River	28	14,721	10.7%	107.9	17.5%
Jackson	2	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Jackson	6	49,746	100.0%	954.6	100.0%
Jefferson	3	4,380	29.7%	364.0	57.2%
Jefferson	6	10,381	70.3%	272.5	42.8%
Lake	15	20,080	6.8%	168.8	14.6%
Lake	20	276,972	93.2%	988.2	85.4%
Lee	21	160,116	25.9%	499.0	41.2%
Lee	27	256,550	41.5%	441.1	36.4%
Lee	37	202,088	32.7%	272.3	22.5%
Leon	3	43,810	15.9%	53.6	7.6%

Counties included in more than one district

County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Leon	6	231,677	84.1%	648.2	92.4%
Levy	3	13,144	32.2%	840.3	59.5%
Levy	14	27,657	67.8%	572.5	40.5%
Madison	3	2,132	11.1%	305.6	42.7%
Madison	6	17,092	88.9%	410.1	57.3%
Manatee	18	37,376	11.6%	55.8	6.3%
Manatee	21	283,059	87.7%	816.1	91.4%
Manatee	23	2,398	0.7%	20.8	2.3%
Marion	3	150,797	45.5%	428.4	25.8%
Marion	7	89,946	27.2%	669.1	40.2%
Marion	14	53,813	16.2%	494.3	29.7%
Marion	20	36,742	11.1%	70.8	4.3%
Miami-Dade	33	404,290	16.2%	63.9	2.6%
Miami-Dade	34	121,181	4.9%	408.8	16.8%
Miami-Dade	35	361,784	14.5%	208.2	8.6%
Miami-Dade	36	418,626	16.8%	58.6	2.4%
Miami-Dade	38	442,810	17.7%	109.4	4.5%
Miami-Dade	39	299,201	12.0%	1,420.3	58.4%
Miami-Dade	40	448,543	18.0%	162.1	6.7%
Nassau	5	52,854	72.1%	635.8	87.6%
Nassau	8	20,460	27.9%	90.2	12.4%
Okaloosa	2	63,017	34.9%	870.8	80.5%
Okaloosa	4	117,805	65.2%	211.3	19.5%
Okeechobee	17	32,002	80.0%	818.7	91.8%
Okeechobee	28	7,994	20.0%	73.2	8.2%
Orange	9	447,948	39.1%	420.6	41.9%
Orange	19	420,499	36.7%	168.5	16.8%
Orange	22	116,429	10.2%	43.3	4.3%
Orange	24	161,080	14.1%	370.9	37.0%
Osceola	9	48,588	18.1%	79.9	5.3%
Osceola	15	101,889	37.9%	188.5	12.5%
Osceola	19	56,569	21.1%	15.1	1.0%
Osceola	26	61,639	22.9%	1,222.3	81.2%
Palm Beach	25	268,750	20.4%	287.3	12.1%
Palm Beach	27	289,158	21.9%	843.3	35.4%
Palm Beach	28	167,856	12.7%	359.8	15.1%
Palm Beach	29	162,714	12.3%	45.5	1.9%
Palm Beach	30	403,323	30.6%	173.1	7.3%
Palm Beach	39	28,333	2.2%	674.2	28.3%
Pasco	10	73,792	15.9%	110.4	12.7%
Pasco	11	201,069	43.3%	209.6	24.1%
Pasco	12	189,836	40.9%	548.4	63.1%
Pinellas	11	148,749	16.2%	124.8	20.5%
Pinellas	13	394,766	43.1%	270.4	44.5%
Pinellas	16	275,035	30.0%	154.0	25.3%
Pinellas	18	97,992	10.7%	59.0	9.7%
Polk	10	31,361	5.2%	37.7	1.9%
Polk	15	318,050	52.8%	690.1	34.3%
Polk	17	252,684	42.0%	1,282.8	63.8%
Putnam	1	13,544	18.2%	41.5	5.0%
Putnam	7	43,035	57.9%	519.7	62.9%
Putnam	14	17,785	23.9%	265.8	32.1%
Santa Rosa	2	87,355	57.7%	852.1	72.6%
Santa Rosa	4	64,017	42.3%	321.7	27.4%
Sarasota	21	51,229	13.5%	110.5	15.2%

Counties included in more than one district

County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Sarasota	23	328,219	86.5%	614.8	84.8%
Seminole	9	30,899	7.3%	24.6	7.1%
Seminole	20	34,275	8.1%	34.2	9.9%
Seminole	22	303,334	71.8%	142.6	41.3%
Seminole	24	54,210	12.8%	143.9	41.7%
St. Johns	1	37,925	20.0%	291.5	35.5%
St. Johns	5	46,283	24.4%	140.7	17.1%
St. Johns	8	105,831	55.7%	389.3	47.4%
St. Lucie	17	7,059	2.5%	216.7	31.5%
St. Lucie	26	62,534	22.5%	49.0	7.1%
St. Lucie	28	208,196	75.0%	422.4	61.4%
Sumter	15	22,444	24.0%	352.6	60.8%
Sumter	20	70,976	76.0%	227.2	39.2%
Volusia	1	32,829	6.6%	92.6	6.5%
Volusia	7	264,380	53.5%	1,133.2	79.1%
Volusia	8	40,142	8.1%	57.6	4.0%
Volusia	20	157,242	31.8%	149.0	10.4%
Walton	2	36,591	66.5%	1,030.5	83.1%
Walton	4	18,452	33.5%	209.1	16.9%

Cities included in more than one district

City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Bunnell	1	2,503	93.5%	131.5	94.9%
Bunnell	8	173	6.5%	7.1	5.1%
Cape Coral	21	50,785	32.9%	65.4	54.2%
Cape Coral	37	103,520	67.1%	55.2	45.8%
Chiefland	3	1,923	85.7%	4.0	64.2%
Chiefland	14	322	14.3%	2.2	35.8%
Clearwater	11	7,892	7.3%	2.6	6.6%
Clearwater	13	76,304	70.9%	27.2	69.3%
Clearwater	16	23,489	21.8%	9.4	24.0%
Clermont	15	2,712	9.4%	0.7	4.7%
Clermont	20	26,030	90.6%	15.1	95.4%
Clewiston	27	0	0.0%	0.0	0.3%
Clewiston	39	7,155	100.0%	4.7	99.7%
Coconut Creek	30	13,136	24.8%	3.3	27.4%
Coconut Creek	32	39,773	75.2%	8.7	72.7%
Cooper City	31	27,511	96.4%	7.8	93.0%
Cooper City	34	1,036	3.6%	0.6	7.1%
Coral Gables	35	16,133	34.5%	30.8	82.6%
Coral Gables	36	21,570	46.1%	3.6	9.8%
Coral Gables	39	9,077	19.4%	2.9	7.7%
Coral Springs	30	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Coral Springs	32	121,096	100.0%	23.8	99.1%
Coral Springs	39	0	0.0%	0.2	0.9%
Crystal River	3	1,617	52.0%	2.6	37.8%
Crystal River	11	1,491	48.0%	4.3	62.2%
Cutler Bay	36	25,027	62.1%	5.1	49.4%
Cutler Bay	39	15,259	37.9%	5.2	50.6%
Davie	31	47,497	51.6%	18.3	51.4%
Davie	34	44,495	48.4%	17.4	48.7%
Daytona Beach	1	28,787	47.2%	39.8	62.4%
Daytona Beach	7	27,065	44.4%	14.6	23.0%

Cities included in more than one district

City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Daytona Beach	8	5,153	8.5%	9.3	14.7%
Deerfield Beach	25	14,713	19.6%	2.8	17.2%
Deerfield Beach	29	13,024	17.4%	2.5	15.4%
Deerfield Beach	30	41,684	55.6%	9.5	58.2%
Deerfield Beach	32	5,597	7.5%	1.5	9.2%
DeLand	7	2,189	8.1%	0.8	4.4%
DeLand	20	24,842	91.9%	17.0	95.6%
Delray Beach	25	15,907	26.3%	4.6	28.6%
Delray Beach	29	22,426	37.1%	5.1	31.3%
Delray Beach	30	22,189	36.7%	6.5	40.1%
Deltona	7	19,111	22.4%	12.3	30.0%
Deltona	20	66,071	77.6%	28.7	70.0%
Dunedin	11	19,647	55.6%	10.1	35.5%
Dunedin	13	15,674	44.4%	18.3	64.5%
Eatonville	9	0	0.0%	0.0	2.3%
Eatonville	19	2,124	98.4%	1.1	96.7%
Eatonville	22	35	1.6%	0.0	1.0%
Everglades	37	400	100.0%	1.2	100.0%
Everglades	39	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Fanning Springs	3	355	46.5%	1.6	40.8%
Fanning Springs	14	409	53.5%	2.3	59.2%
Fernandina Beach	5	0	0.0%	0.4	3.2%
Fernandina Beach	8	11,487	100.0%	11.5	96.8%
Flagler Beach	7	60	1.3%	0.0	0.7%
Flagler Beach	8	4,424	98.7%	4.0	99.3%
Fort Lauderdale	25	53,900	32.6%	14.5	37.7%
Fort Lauderdale	29	73,815	44.6%	12.3	32.0%
Fort Lauderdale	31	33,364	20.2%	7.6	19.7%
Fort Lauderdale	32	4,442	2.7%	4.1	10.7%
Fort Myers	21	1,613	2.6%	7.1	14.5%
Fort Myers	27	9,425	15.1%	15.4	31.5%
Fort Myers	37	51,260	82.3%	26.5	54.0%
Fort Pierce	17	146	0.4%	0.7	2.7%
Fort Pierce	26	36,239	87.1%	15.3	57.5%
Fort Pierce	28	5,205	12.5%	10.5	39.8%
Green Cove Springs	5	1,618	23.4%	2.1	21.5%
Green Cove Springs	7	5,290	76.6%	7.8	78.5%
Gulfport	13	5,344	44.4%	1.9	48.0%
Gulfport	18	6,685	55.6%	2.0	52.0%
Haines City	15	20,420	99.4%	13.9	70.5%
Haines City	17	115	0.6%	5.8	29.5%
Hialeah	33	0	0.0%	0.1	0.5%
Hialeah	36	6	0.0%	0.0	0.1%
Hialeah	40	224,663	100.0%	22.7	99.4%
Holly Hill	1	2	0.0%	0.0	0.3%
Holly Hill	7	11,621	99.7%	4.5	97.2%
Holly Hill	8	36	0.3%	0.1	2.5%
Hollywood	31	104,867	74.5%	25.4	82.8%
Hollywood	35	35,901	25.5%	5.3	17.2%
Homestead	34	0	0.0%	0.1	0.4%
Homestead	38	5,745	9.5%	1.4	9.2%
Homestead	39	54,767	90.5%	14.1	90.4%
Hypoluxo	25	2,015	77.9%	0.5	62.0%
Hypoluxo	29	573	22.1%	0.3	38.0%
Indian River Shores	26	0	0.0%	1.4	19.4%

Split Counties and Cities

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Indian River Shores	28	3,901	100.0%	5.9	80.6%
Jacksonville	1	332,647	40.5%	186.2	21.3%
Jacksonville	5	259,135	31.5%	358.8	41.0%
Jacksonville	8	230,002	28.0%	329.6	37.7%
Juno Beach	25	2,474	77.9%	1.7	64.7%
Juno Beach	28	702	22.1%	1.0	35.3%
Kenneth City	13	281	5.6%	0.0	0.2%
Kenneth City	16	4,699	94.4%	0.8	99.8%
Kissimmee	9	22,951	38.5%	7.5	34.1%
Kissimmee	15	10,805	18.1%	6.9	31.6%
Kissimmee	19	24,006	40.2%	5.6	25.4%
Kissimmee	26	1,920	3.2%	2.0	8.9%
Lake Alfred	15	5,004	99.8%	12.2	92.3%
Lake Alfred	17	11	0.2%	1.0	7.7%
Lake City	3	5,030	41.8%	6.0	48.4%
Lake City	14	7,016	58.2%	6.4	51.6%
Lake Mary	20	269	2.0%	1.8	18.2%
Lake Mary	22	13,553	98.1%	8.1	81.8%
Lake Park	25	0	0.0%	0.0	0.2%
Lake Park	29	8,155	100.0%	2.4	99.8%
Lake Worth	25	6,421	18.4%	2.2	32.5%
Lake Worth	29	22,923	65.7%	3.0	44.9%
Lake Worth	30	5,566	15.9%	1.5	22.6%
Lakeland	10	20,064	20.6%	22.2	29.9%
Lakeland	15	62,331	64.0%	45.6	61.3%
Lakeland	17	15,027	15.4%	6.5	8.8%
Lantana	25	1,637	15.7%	1.1	37.0%
Lantana	29	8,786	84.3%	1.8	61.8%
Lantana	30	0	0.0%	0.0	1.2%
Largo	13	72,015	92.8%	16.2	87.3%
Largo	16	5,633	7.3%	2.4	12.7%
Lauderdale Lakes	29	32,593	100.0%	3.5	94.9%
Lauderdale Lakes	32	0	0.0%	0.2	5.1%
Lauderhill	29	40,769	61.0%	4.6	53.6%
Lauderhill	32	26,118	39.1%	4.0	46.4%
Longboat Key	21	0	0.0%	0.5	2.9%
Longboat Key	23	6,888	100.0%	15.5	97.1%
Maitland	9	2,349	14.9%	0.5	7.3%
Maitland	19	4	0.0%	0.0	0.2%
Maitland	22	13,398	85.1%	5.9	92.5%
Melbourne	24	71,627	94.2%	38.1	96.2%
Melbourne	26	4,441	5.8%	1.5	3.8%
Miami	33	50,898	12.7%	4.7	8.4%
Miami	35	56,576	14.2%	27.4	48.8%
Miami	36	233,976	58.6%	18.0	32.1%
Miami	39	49,542	12.4%	5.3	9.5%
Miami	40	8,465	2.1%	0.7	1.2%
Miami Shores	33	8,077	77.0%	2.0	53.5%
Miami Shores	35	2,416	23.0%	1.8	46.5%
Miami Springs	36	2	0.0%	0.1	2.9%
Miami Springs	40	13,807	100.0%	2.9	97.1%
Miramar	31	23,942	19.6%	4.9	15.8%
Miramar	34	81,207	66.5%	24.2	77.3%
Miramar	35	16,892	13.8%	2.2	6.9%
Moore Haven	17	1,629	97.0%	1.1	93.6%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Moore Haven	27	51	3.0%	0.1	6.4%
Mulberry	15	3,816	100.0%	5.6	96.6%
Mulberry	17	1	0.0%	0.2	3.5%
Niceville	2	3,216	25.2%	7.0	58.7%
Niceville	4	9,533	74.8%	4.9	41.3%
North Miami	33	39,701	67.5%	4.1	41.4%
North Miami	35	19,085	32.5%	5.9	58.6%
North Miami Beach	33	4,081	9.8%	0.6	10.7%
North Miami Beach	35	37,442	90.2%	4.8	89.3%
North Palm Beach	25	12,015	100.0%	5.8	99.5%
North Palm Beach	29	0	0.0%	0.0	0.5%
Oakland Park	25	16,316	39.5%	2.8	33.7%
Oakland Park	29	16,074	38.9%	3.6	43.7%
Oakland Park	32	8,973	21.7%	1.8	22.6%
Ocala	3	20,640	36.7%	19.5	43.5%
Ocala	7	25,554	45.4%	15.7	35.1%
Ocala	14	10,121	18.0%	9.6	21.5%
Oldsmar	11	5	0.0%	2.8	29.0%
Oldsmar	16	13,586	100.0%	6.9	71.0%
Opa-locka	33	15,219	100.0%	4.0	89.1%
Opa-locka	40	0	0.0%	0.5	10.9%
Orlando	9	78,563	33.0%	20.8	18.8%
Orlando	19	143,797	60.3%	81.8	73.9%
Orlando	22	15,664	6.6%	7.3	6.6%
Orlando	24	276	0.1%	0.8	0.7%
Ormond Beach	1	130	0.3%	0.7	1.9%
Ormond Beach	7	18,623	48.8%	16.8	43.1%
Ormond Beach	8	19,384	50.8%	21.5	55.1%
Otter Creek	3	127	94.8%	1.3	89.1%
Otter Creek	14	7	5.2%	0.2	10.9%
Oviedo	22	4,942	14.8%	3.6	23.4%
Oviedo	24	28,400	85.2%	11.9	76.7%
Pahokee	27	12	0.2%	0.2	4.0%
Pahokee	39	5,637	99.8%	5.3	96.1%
Palatka	1	7,944	75.2%	6.5	71.9%
Palatka	7	2,614	24.8%	2.6	28.1%
Palm Bay	24	20,311	19.7%	11.8	17.1%
Palm Bay	26	82,879	80.3%	57.1	82.9%
Palm Beach Gardens	25	38,349	79.2%	38.1	68.8%
Palm Beach Gardens	28	10,103	20.9%	17.2	31.1%
Palm Beach Gardens	29	0	0.0%	0.1	0.1%
Palm Coast	1	2	0.0%	29.4	32.3%
Palm Coast	8	75,178	100.0%	61.5	67.7%
Palm Springs	27	26	0.1%	0.1	1.6%
Palm Springs	30	18,902	99.9%	3.3	98.4%
Palmetto	18	4,374	34.7%	1.3	23.1%
Palmetto	21	8,232	65.3%	4.3	76.9%
Palmetto Bay	35	0	0.0%	0.0	0.1%
Palmetto Bay	36	23,004	98.3%	7.0	83.1%
Palmetto Bay	39	406	1.7%	1.4	16.8%
Panama City	4	29,190	80.0%	20.9	58.9%
Panama City	6	7,294	20.0%	14.6	41.1%
Pembroke Pines	31	60,993	39.4%	12.0	34.4%
Pembroke Pines	34	89,731	58.0%	22.3	63.9%
Pembroke Pines	35	4,026	2.6%	0.6	1.7%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Penney Farms	5	19	2.5%	0.2	11.9%
Penney Farms	7	730	97.5%	1.2	88.1%
Pensacola	2	26,225	50.5%	10.8	26.4%
Pensacola	4	25,698	49.5%	30.0	73.6%
Pinecrest	35	2,355	12.9%	1.2	16.5%
Pinecrest	36	13,730	75.3%	5.1	67.8%
Pinecrest	39	2,138	11.7%	1.2	15.8%
Pinellas Park	13	9,551	19.5%	2.9	18.2%
Pinellas Park	16	39,528	80.5%	13.2	81.8%
Plantation	29	7,384	8.7%	1.3	6.0%
Plantation	31	52,513	61.8%	14.2	64.7%
Plantation	34	25,058	29.5%	6.4	29.3%
Pompano Beach	25	53,126	53.2%	11.7	46.0%
Pompano Beach	29	23,385	23.4%	5.9	23.1%
Pompano Beach	32	23,334	23.4%	7.9	31.0%
Punta Gorda	21	1,992	12.0%	1.1	5.3%
Punta Gorda	23	14,649	88.0%	19.9	94.8%
Riviera Beach	25	7,317	22.5%	3.7	36.5%
Riviera Beach	29	25,171	77.5%	6.5	63.5%
Royal Palm Beach	25	10,416	30.5%	4.1	36.3%
Royal Palm Beach	27	2	0.0%	0.1	0.7%
Royal Palm Beach	28	23,722	69.5%	7.2	63.0%
Safety Harbor	11	78	0.5%	0.0	0.4%
Safety Harbor	16	16,806	99.5%	5.1	99.7%
Sanford	20	11,672	21.8%	5.5	20.6%
Sanford	22	41,898	78.2%	21.1	79.4%
Sanibel	21	0	0.0%	0.1	0.2%
Sanibel	37	6,469	100.0%	33.2	99.8%
Sarasota	21	384	0.7%	0.3	1.3%
Sarasota	23	51,533	99.3%	24.9	98.7%
South Bay	27	0	0.0%	1.7	45.9%
South Bay	39	4,876	100.0%	2.0	54.1%
South Miami	36	7,345	63.0%	1.8	76.0%
South Miami	39	4,312	37.0%	0.6	24.1%
Springfield	4	7,236	81.3%	3.4	73.8%
Springfield	6	1,667	18.7%	1.2	26.2%
St. Augustine	1	3,489	26.9%	1.8	13.8%
St. Augustine	8	9,486	73.1%	11.0	86.2%
St. Cloud	15	25,234	71.7%	10.0	56.3%
St. Cloud	26	9,949	28.3%	7.8	43.7%
St. Lucie Village	26	125	21.2%	0.2	28.0%
St. Lucie Village	28	465	78.8%	0.6	72.0%
St. Petersburg	13	31,456	12.9%	21.9	15.9%
St. Petersburg	16	122,006	49.9%	58.8	42.7%
St. Petersburg	18	91,307	37.3%	56.9	41.4%
Sunrise	29	12,094	14.3%	1.3	7.2%
Sunrise	31	6,341	7.5%	1.1	5.9%
Sunrise	32	20,337	24.1%	4.5	24.6%
Sunrise	34	45,667	54.1%	11.4	62.4%
Tallahassee	3	29,348	16.2%	15.7	15.1%
Tallahassee	6	152,028	83.8%	87.8	84.9%
Tamarac	32	60,427	100.0%	11.8	97.4%
Tamarac	39	0	0.0%	0.3	2.6%
Tampa	10	1	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Tampa	12	61,452	18.3%	33.4	19.1%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Tampa	16	88,408	26.3%	67.1	38.3%
Tampa	18	185,848	55.4%	74.8	42.7%
Temple Terrace	12	21,276	86.7%	6.6	92.1%
Temple Terrace	18	3,265	13.3%	0.6	7.9%
Vero Beach	26	10,523	69.1%	9.2	68.8%
Vero Beach	28	4,697	30.9%	4.2	31.2%
Wellington	25	24,953	44.2%	8.3	18.4%
Wellington	27	23,415	41.4%	25.2	55.8%
Wellington	30	8,140	14.4%	11.6	25.8%
West Melbourne	24	5,711	31.1%	3.5	34.2%
West Melbourne	26	12,644	68.9%	6.7	65.8%
West Palm Beach	25	43,268	43.3%	37.4	64.4%
West Palm Beach	27	9,453	9.5%	3.4	5.9%
West Palm Beach	28	3,124	3.1%	3.1	5.3%
West Palm Beach	29	44,074	44.1%	14.1	24.3%
Wildwood	15	0	0.0%	12.0	30.1%
Wildwood	20	6,709	100.0%	27.9	69.9%
Wilton Manors	25	2,626	22.6%	0.5	26.3%
Wilton Manors	29	9,006	77.4%	1.4	73.7%
Winter Haven	15	117	0.4%	0.2	0.4%
Winter Haven	17	33,757	99.7%	39.8	99.6%
Winter Park	19	1,823	6.6%	0.4	3.6%
Winter Park	22	26,029	93.5%	9.8	96.4%
Winter Springs	22	32,722	98.3%	14.1	95.3%
Winter Springs	24	560	1.7%	0.7	4.7%

2012 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Census			2012 General Election Registered Voters											2012 General Election Voter Turnout										
Dist.	VAP who are:			RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	BlkHisp	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
29	60.7%	13.8%	1.1%	70.3%	10.2%	19.5%	59.5%	6.1%	72.3%	4.6%	16.1%	8.8%	85.4%	53.4%	14.7%	74.8%	9.3%	16.0%	63.7%	5.1%	75.2%	3.8%	14.1%	8.5%	88.3%	56.1%	15.4%
33	59.2%	36.7%	3.6%	70.3%	10.0%	19.7%	60.5%	25.2%	74.2%	15.6%	15.3%	63.2%	86.1%	43.4%	25.2%	74.4%	9.2%	16.3%	65.0%	22.4%	77.2%	13.5%	14.1%	64.5%	88.5%	44.9%	26.6%
1	46.9%	6.1%	0.7%	57.7%	24.6%	17.7%	47.6%	3.1%	71.4%	2.2%	5.5%	3.1%	86.7%	42.1%	24.6%	59.7%	26.3%	14.0%	49.1%	2.6%	73.6%	1.9%	4.3%	2.7%	89.5%	43.6%	27.5%
18	39.5%	23.3%	1.8%	60.6%	16.3%	23.1%	41.0%	13.2%	57.5%	10.9%	7.5%	13.7%	84.8%	50.0%	17.0%	63.8%	17.1%	19.0%	43.5%	11.3%	59.7%	9.4%	6.1%	12.2%	87.6%	53.1%	18.5%
19	33.1%	35.5%	2.4%	54.2%	18.0%	27.7%	28.9%	31.7%	44.3%	29.8%	5.1%	23.2%	83.0%	50.9%	13.2%	57.7%	19.4%	22.9%	31.8%	28.2%	47.5%	26.9%	4.3%	19.8%	86.2%	54.9%	13.6%
6	30.4%	5.2%	0.4%	59.4%	26.1%	14.6%	28.6%	2.4%	43.1%	1.9%	2.5%	2.3%	89.5%	45.8%	25.2%	60.7%	27.4%	11.9%	28.8%	2.1%	43.5%	1.6%	1.8%	2.1%	91.5%	46.1%	26.9%
39	29.1%	43.0%	1.7%	53.5%	22.6%	24.0%	32.2%	26.7%	51.8%	19.9%	4.1%	32.8%	86.1%	40.0%	27.7%	55.1%	24.5%	20.4%	33.3%	23.5%	53.5%	17.2%	2.9%	29.7%	88.7%	40.3%	31.0%
32	24.5%	20.9%	1.0%	52.0%	21.8%	26.2%	20.0%	14.2%	30.7%	13.0%	3.2%	11.5%	79.8%	47.9%	17.6%	54.7%	22.4%	22.9%	22.7%	13.3%	34.2%	11.9%	3.1%	11.2%	82.4%	49.1%	18.9%
		Hisp.																									
40	4.4%	90.5%	2.2%	28.7%	40.7%	30.6%	1.9%	82.3%	4.9%	77.6%	0.3%	85.7%	73.7%	27.0%	42.4%	28.2%	44.6%	27.2%	2.0%	82.7%	5.5%	76.6%	0.3%	86.4%	76.0%	26.1%	46.5%
38	4.1%	81.9%	1.4%	30.0%	40.2%	29.8%	2.9%	71.6%	6.9%	61.7%	0.5%	77.7%	72.4%	25.9%	43.6%	30.0%	43.7%	26.3%	3.0%	71.5%	7.5%	59.5%	0.4%	78.3%	75.9%	25.0%	47.9%
36	6.5%	79.2%	2.8%	34.6%	37.3%	28.0%	4.9%	64.9%	11.3%	54.0%	0.6%	73.9%	80.0%	28.8%	42.5%	34.8%	40.2%	25.1%	4.6%	64.4%	11.0%	51.8%	0.5%	73.9%	82.5%	28.0%	46.1%
34	17.7%	46.4%	1.5%	45.3%	26.3%	28.3%	15.7%	36.0%	27.6%	28.4%	2.3%	40.9%	79.5%	35.7%	29.9%	47.6%	27.4%	25.1%	17.8%	34.5%	30.5%	26.0%	2.2%	40.6%	81.8%	35.9%	32.1%
39	29.1%	43.0%	1.7%	53.5%	22.6%	24.0%	32.2%	26.7%	51.8%	19.9%	4.1%	32.8%	86.1%	40.0%	27.7%	55.1%	24.5%	20.4%	33.3%	23.5%	53.5%	17.2%	2.9%	29.7%	88.7%	40.3%	31.0%
35	18.1%	42.8%	1.7%	49.6%	21.3%	29.1%	16.5%	32.3%	27.1%	25.6%	2.6%	42.2%	81.3%	39.3%	27.9%	51.1%	22.3%	26.6%	17.2%	32.0%	28.2%	24.5%	2.1%	43.4%	83.7%	39.1%	30.3%

2010 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Primary Turnout			2010 General Election Registered Voters											2010 General Election Voter Turnout										
Dist.	Dems who are:		Reps who	RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp	are Hisp.	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
29	74.6%	1.6%	5.0%	70.7%	10.7%	18.7%	59.2%	5.6%	71.5%	4.3%	17.3%	8.2%	85.4%	54.0%	15.5%	76.8%	11.3%	11.9%	62.4%	3.4%	74.1%	2.5%	11.8%	6.3%	91.2%	56.5%	21.0%
33	84.7%	5.5%	61.5%	70.6%	10.8%	18.7%	61.3%	23.7%	74.8%	14.3%	16.4%	61.7%	86.1%	42.5%	28.1%	77.5%	10.9%	11.7%	69.2%	17.6%	81.3%	8.8%	12.7%	64.0%	91.0%	39.0%	39.5%
1	68.2%	0.7%	1.4%	58.6%	24.9%	16.6%	46.4%	2.8%	69.3%	2.0%	5.6%	2.7%	87.5%	42.6%	24.4%	58.4%	31.3%	10.3%	43.5%	1.7%	69.1%	1.2%	3.0%	2.0%	92.7%	39.8%	35.7%
18	56.4%	3.3%	7.2%	61.7%	16.8%	21.5%	40.5%	12.0%	56.2%	9.6%	7.9%	13.4%	85.6%	49.3%	18.7%	65.2%	20.9%	13.9%	40.8%	7.3%	57.1%	5.6%	4.8%	9.8%	91.4%	49.8%	27.9%
19	48.3%	15.3%	10.9%	54.9%	19.3%	25.8%	28.2%	30.2%	43.1%	28.8%	5.0%	23.1%	83.8%	52.5%	14.8%	57.0%	26.5%	16.5%	30.5%	21.1%	47.6%	21.2%	3.5%	15.5%	88.9%	57.3%	19.5%
6	33.9%	0.5%	1.2%	61.7%	25.4%	12.9%	27.6%	2.0%	40.6%	1.6%	2.6%	2.1%	90.8%	48.6%	26.7%	62.8%	28.7%	8.4%	24.9%	1.3%	37.4%	1.0%	1.5%	1.5%	94.2%	46.7%	33.5%
39	55.8%	6.7%	21.1%	54.0%	23.4%	22.6%	32.6%	24.2%	52.1%	17.6%	4.3%	31.2%	86.3%	39.3%	30.2%	54.8%	29.9%	15.2%	32.3%	17.2%	53.8%	11.0%	2.2%	25.0%	91.4%	35.0%	43.5%
32	28.8%	3.8%	6.0%	52.5%	22.5%	25.0%	18.2%	12.8%	27.7%	11.8%	3.2%	10.6%	79.9%	48.5%	18.6%	54.9%	27.0%	18.1%	19.8%	8.2%	30.6%	7.0%	2.5%	8.1%	84.8%	47.0%	26.6%
40	9.0%	60.7%	87.6%	28.5%	43.1%	28.4%	2.2%	79.8%	5.8%	73.1%	0.4%	84.4%	74.9%	26.1%	45.6%	24.0%	56.4%	19.6%	2.3%	80.2%	7.6%	67.0%	0.3%	85.9%	80.8%	20.0%	60.3%
38	9.1%	37.6%	79.2%	30.0%	42.4%	27.7%	2.9%	70.2%	7.0%	58.9%	0.5%	77.1%	72.9%	25.1%	46.6%	28.2%	52.8%	19.0%	3.0%	68.1%	8.4%	48.7%	0.4%	77.7%	79.1%	20.2%	60.3%
36	10.9%	34.1%	77.5%	34.3%	40.0%	25.7%	4.9%	63.8%	11.6%	51.0%	0.6%	74.1%	81.5%	27.4%	46.4%	31.9%	49.8%	18.4%	4.1%	61.8%	11.0%	41.3%	0.3%	74.4%	85.8%	21.3%	59.9%
34	33.4%	11.1%	32.7%	46.2%	27.4%	26.4%	15.3%	33.9%	26.3%	26.4%	2.4%	39.5%	79.9%	36.1%	32.0%	49.1%	32.3%	18.6%	18.0%	26.9%	31.0%	18.1%	2.0%	35.6%	84.3%	32.9%	42.7%
39	55.8%	6.7%	21.1%	54.0%	23.4%	22.6%	32.6%	24.2%	52.1%	17.6%	4.3%	31.2%	86.3%	39.3%	30.2%	54.8%	29.9%	15.2%	32.3%	17.2%	53.8%	11.0%	2.2%	25.0%	91.4%	35.0%	43.5%
35	28.8%	12.5%	46.2%	50.9%	22.3%	26.8%	16.1%	31.0%	25.8%	24.0%	2.8%	42.2%	81.4%	39.4%	30.5%	52.5%	27.4%	20.1%	15.9%	28.2%	26.0%	18.3%	1.9%	43.4%	85.7%	34.2%	42.2%

Election Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

Dist.	2012 US Pres		2012 US Sen		2010 Gov		2010 CFO		2010 Att.Gen		2010 Cm.Ag		2010 US Sen			2008 US Pres		2006 Gov		2006 CFO		2006 Att.Gen		2006 Cm.Ag		2006 US Sen	
Total	D_Oba	R_Rom	D_Nel	R_Mac	D_Sin	R_Sco	D_Aus	R_Atw	D_Gel	R_Bon	D_Mad	R_Put	D_Mee	R_Rub	I_Cri	D_Oba	R_McC	D_Dav	R_Cri	D_Sin	R_Lee	D_Cam	R_McC	D_Cop	R_Bro	D_Nel	R_Har
29	88.6%	11.4%	89.5%	10.5%	87.0%	13.0%	82.6%	17.4%	85.6%	14.4%	84.4%	15.6%	62.2%	12.0%	25.7%	88.5%	11.5%	81.8%	18.2%	84.9%	15.1%	82.3%	17.7%	80.3%	19.7%	87.5%	12.5%
33	89.9%	10.1%	89.7%	10.3%	87.3%	12.7%	86.2%	13.8%	87.0%	13.0%	87.1%	12.9%	69.0%	12.5%	18.6%	87.8%	12.2%	82.4%	17.6%	85.1%	14.9%	81.9%	18.1%	81.8%	18.2%	85.6%	14.4%
1	64.5%	35.5%	68.8%	31.2%	62.1%	37.9%	57.6%	42.4%	58.3%	41.7%	58.7%	41.3%	44.4%	37.9%	17.7%	65.7%	34.3%	55.7%	44.3%	62.5%	37.5%	55.1%	44.9%	54.9%	45.1%	66.8%	33.2%
18	78.4%	21.6%	81.5%	18.5%	75.6%	24.4%	68.2%	31.8%	68.3%	31.7%	67.1%	32.9%	43.9%	21.9%	34.2%	78.6%	21.4%	68.2%	31.8%	72.7%	27.3%	68.7%	31.3%	64.5%	35.5%	79.0%	21.0%
19	76.0%	24.0%	79.5%	20.5%	70.3%	29.7%	64.1%	35.9%	65.5%	34.5%	65.0%	35.0%	45.3%	32.9%	21.8%	74.1%	25.9%	58.4%	41.6%	66.1%	33.9%	57.4%	42.6%	56.1%	43.9%	72.9%	27.1%
6	54.4%	45.6%	62.1%	37.9%	61.5%	38.5%	59.2%	40.8%	53.0%	47.0%	55.0%	45.0%	26.2%	41.5%	32.3%	54.8%	45.2%	54.7%	45.3%	66.6%	33.4%	59.0%	41.0%	43.5%	56.5%	72.6%	27.4%
39	69.3%	30.7%	71.0%	29.0%	65.4%	34.6%	60.2%	39.8%	62.5%	37.5%	62.0%	38.0%	40.0%	32.7%	27.3%	68.5%	31.5%	62.3%	37.7%	67.4%	32.6%	62.2%	37.8%	60.7%	39.3%	70.7%	29.3%
32	68.4%	31.6%	71.6%	28.4%	66.5%	33.5%	59.0%	41.0%	63.5%	36.5%	62.2%	37.8%	29.7%	31.2%	39.1%	68.4%	31.6%	65.6%	34.4%	72.5%	27.5%	67.6%	32.4%	65.1%	34.9%	75.5%	24.5%
40	47.2%	52.8%	49.7%	50.3%	36.4%	63.6%	30.6%	69.4%	32.9%	67.1%	31.9%	68.1%	13.7%	68.0%	18.3%	40.2%	59.8%	32.7%	67.3%	38.5%	61.5%	36.0%	64.0%	34.4%	65.6%	43.8%	56.2%
38	47.2%	52.8%	49.8%	50.2%	43.2%	56.8%	34.3%	65.7%	38.5%	61.5%	35.3%	64.7%	14.2%	60.7%	25.1%	42.1%	57.9%	40.1%	59.9%	46.6%	53.4%	42.4%	57.6%	39.7%	60.3%	50.7%	49.3%
36	52.2%	47.8%	54.7%	45.3%	46.3%	53.7%	37.4%	62.6%	43.1%	56.9%	39.1%	60.9%	15.9%	57.6%	26.5%	46.2%	53.8%	42.3%	57.7%	49.3%	50.7%	45.5%	54.5%	42.6%	57.4%	53.3%	46.7%
34	63.7%	36.3%	66.6%	33.4%	63.0%	37.0%	54.6%	45.4%	58.7%	41.3%	57.1%	42.9%	27.7%	36.7%	35.7%	62.1%	37.9%	60.3%	39.7%	67.0%	33.0%	61.5%	38.5%	59.4%	40.6%	70.2%	29.8%
39	69.3%	30.7%	71.0%	29.0%	65.4%	34.6%	60.2%	39.8%	62.5%	37.5%	62.0%	38.0%	40.0%	32.7%	27.3%	68.5%	31.5%	62.3%	37.7%	67.4%	32.6%	62.2%	37.8%	60.7%	39.3%	70.7%	29.3%
35	66.5%	33.5%	69.8%	30.2%	65.6%	34.4%	58.0%	42.0%	65.9%	34.1%	61.1%	38.9%	29.8%	34.5%	35.6%	67.0%	33.0%	65.3%	34.7%	71.5%	28.5%	67.3%	32.7%	64.9%	35.1%	74.7%	25.3%


Enacted
State Senate Plan
S016S9030
21-Mar-2012
* * * * *
S.J.R. 2-B
(27-Mar-2012)
for elections in
2012 and thereafter
See In re S.J.R. 2-B,
89 So. 3d 872 (Fla. 2012)
Legend
County boundary
Interstate
US Highway
Major road
Sea, lake, or river
District boundary & number
Scale bar for statewide map
Miles
0 5 10 20 30 40 50 60
South Florida
Scale bar for insets
Miles
0 5 10 20
Jacksonville Area
Tampa Bay Area
Orlando Area
Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: Suite 2000, The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: http://www.flsenate.gov/session/redistricting

Census and Boundary Stats

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:			Polygon	Length	Perim	Area	Reock	Convex	Polsby-	Counties:		Cities:		Follow political and geographic boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	Hisp.Blk	Rings	(miles)	(miles)	(sq.mi)	Ratio	Hull	Popper	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	County	City	Pol.	Roads	Water	Pol/Geo
	9,352	2.0%	15.1%	21.1%	0.9%	41	62.4	223.4	1,643.9	0.40	0.76	0.34	43	69	363	103	49%	18%	60%	20%	33%	83%
1	4,170	0.9%	11.5%	4.7%	0.2%	1	118	388	4,975	0.45	0.79	0.42	5	1	39	0	85%	11%	94%	7%	35%	99%
2	4,041	0.9%	15.5%	4.0%	0.3%	1	85	309	2,488	0.43	0.80	0.33	2	1	6	0	81%	12%	92%	8%	42%	99%
3	4,375	0.9%	29.6%	5.3%	0.4%	1	176	543	8,269	0.34	0.76	0.35	11	0	24	0	100%	1%	100%	1%	41%	100%
4	2,007	0.4%	10.2%	6.7%	0.4%	1	59	341	1,310	0.48	0.69	0.14	1	1	7	1	70%	30%	70%	17%	46%	92%
5	-2,051	-0.4%	9.3%	6.1%	0.3%	1	136	556	6,802	0.47	0.75	0.28	9	1	25	0	91%	6%	93%	6%	35%	99%
6	-1,440	-0.3%	10.6%	5.6%	0.3%	1	79	290	2,424	0.49	0.78	0.36	3	1	15	1	89%	15%	90%	5%	50%	94%
7	-3,312	-0.7%	15.3%	7.3%	0.4%	1	74	252	1,913	0.45	0.78	0.38	3	0	17	0	100%	17%	100%	3%	36%	100%
8	3,471	0.7%	10.0%	9.3%	0.4%	1	109	385	2,193	0.23	0.73	0.19	0	3	13	3	50%	17%	66%	11%	33%	79%
9	-4,496	-1.0%	43.0%	6.4%	0.7%	1	31	146	334	0.41	0.64	0.20	0	1	0	1	29%	30%	30%	39%	28%	81%
10	-865	-0.2%	10.8%	15.8%	0.8%	1	32	109	479	0.56	0.92	0.50	1	1	8	2	64%	26%	76%	3%	23%	77%
11	-3,270	-0.7%	8.1%	8.9%	0.4%	1	65	259	1,296	0.39	0.65	0.24	0	4	15	2	51%	23%	67%	13%	24%	81%
12	-3,740	-0.8%	36.9%	20.0%	1.5%	1	29	103	261	0.40	0.74	0.31	0	1	3	6	34%	18%	46%	29%	19%	74%
13	645	0.1%	8.4%	15.5%	0.8%	1	58	224	1,110	0.41	0.79	0.28	0	2	2	4	50%	18%	65%	19%	30%	87%
14	-4,518	-1.0%	14.1%	50.0%	2.9%	1	43	193	385	0.26	0.64	0.13	0	3	1	3	17%	14%	30%	22%	26%	66%
15	4,695	1.0%	11.9%	12.7%	0.6%	1	52	202	875	0.41	0.75	0.27	0	3	7	5	43%	11%	52%	17%	12%	70%
16	-4,445	-0.9%	9.3%	8.2%	0.4%	1	63	191	1,373	0.44	0.84	0.47	0	2	18	0	68%	10%	77%	9%	40%	95%
17	4,537	1.0%	6.9%	19.0%	0.8%	1	41	131	506	0.38	0.71	0.37	0	2	1	1	36%	19%	42%	43%	10%	83%
18	139	0.0%	5.4%	8.6%	0.4%	1	76	252	1,659	0.37	0.69	0.33	1	2	11	1	72%	10%	77%	19%	37%	92%
19	-2,890	-0.6%	37.2%	27.4%	1.9%	1	44	206	365	0.24	0.45	0.11	0	3	0	6	18%	17%	30%	32%	45%	80%
20	1,095	0.2%	5.6%	7.4%	0.3%	1	26	90	294	0.55	0.83	0.45	0	1	8	0	58%	44%	83%	10%	58%	94%
21	4,287	0.9%	11.0%	16.5%	0.6%	1	128	463	4,781	0.37	0.71	0.28	1	5	14	2	59%	5%	64%	10%	29%	83%
22	-1,028	-0.2%	4.9%	7.6%	0.3%	1	31	124	311	0.40	0.66	0.25	0	2	14	3	28%	36%	59%	21%	64%	90%
23	-4,191	-0.9%	6.0%	19.1%	0.5%	1	67	242	1,142	0.32	0.67	0.24	0	2	4	0	38%	1%	39%	25%	38%	70%
24	-2,571	-0.5%	12.4%	17.6%	0.9%	1	38	122	600	0.52	0.84	0.50	0	1	1	2	43%	11%	46%	44%	4%	91%
25	-2,714	-0.6%	11.3%	13.5%	0.4%	1	67	217	1,941	0.55	0.89	0.52	0	1	9	2	61%	12%	71%	13%	23%	85%
26	384	0.1%	6.1%	13.7%	0.3%	1	128	438	4,104	0.32	0.77	0.27	3	4	9	3	61%	6%	63%	12%	35%	84%
27	-1,676	-0.4%	25.7%	27.4%	1.1%	1	23	74	215	0.54	0.89	0.50	0	1	17	2	26%	36%	57%	17%	40%	76%
28	-4,657	-1.0%	4.2%	6.2%	0.2%	1	57	150	991	0.39	0.89	0.56	1	1	3	1	86%	9%	89%	3%	59%	99%
29	2,127	0.5%	13.2%	26.2%	0.9%	1	53	152	952	0.44	0.90	0.52	0	1	4	9	67%	21%	83%	12%	4%	92%
30	-604	-0.1%	6.5%	12.1%	0.5%	1	53	181	1,277	0.59	0.87	0.49	0	2	5	0	43%	6%	49%	11%	50%	72%
31	-4,244	-0.9%	50.1%	16.7%	1.2%	1	17	56	80	0.33	0.70	0.33	0	1	4	10	3%	25%	25%	41%	0%	57%
32	4,621	1.0%	10.4%	10.2%	0.3%	1	65	163	753	0.23	0.82	0.36	0	4	10	2	39%	6%	45%	24%	46%	75%
33	180	0.0%	14.1%	30.7%	1.2%	1	27	93	163	0.30	0.64	0.24	0	1	1	8	28%	45%	58%	24%	26%	81%
34	664	0.1%	9.0%	10.0%	0.3%	1	33	94	248	0.28	0.83	0.36	0	2	11	6	39%	17%	50%	22%	44%	76%
35	3,561	0.8%	9.2%	50.4%	1.4%	1	43	126	397	0.28	0.72	0.32	0	1	14	5	34%	24%	56%	22%	58%	90%
36	1,562	0.3%	58.3%	27.9%	2.9%	1	13	47	78	0.59	0.83	0.44	0	2	5	6	2%	36%	36%	41%	8%	66%
37	4,300	0.9%	5.2%	83.3%	1.5%	1	18	52	108	0.41	0.90	0.49	0	1	1	0	0%	1%	1%	59%	9%	66%
38	-2,901	-0.6%	8.3%	86.9%	2.5%	1	17	58	143	0.59	0.87	0.53	0	1	6	1	20%	47%	47%	34%	25%	79%
39	102	0.0%	35.3%	39.7%	2.0%	2	209	861	8,101	0.23	0.43	0.14	2	2	9	3	72%	3%	74%	12%	61%	90%
40	4,640	1.0%	5.4%	83.7%	2.8%	1	16	50	60	0.29	0.69	0.30	0	1	2	2	0%	25%	25%	49%	15%	69%

Overall numbers of county and city splits, relative to benchmark:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	Benchmark Plan (2002)	New/proposed plan and % change from 2002	
Number of Counties	67	67	
Counties with only one district	22	43	95%
Counties split into more than one district	45	24	-47%
Aggregate number of county splits	129	69	-47%
Aggregate number of splits with population	126	69	-45%
Number of Cities	410	410	
Cities with only one district	284	363	28%
Cities split into more than one district	126	47	-63%
Aggregate number of city splits	300	103	-66%
Aggregate number of splits with population	277	102	-63%

Functional Analysis (Select)

2012 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Census			2012 General Election Registered Voters										2012 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	VAP who are:			RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	BlkHisp	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
36	58.3%	27.9%	2.9%	69.1%	10.2%	20.7%	55.8%	20.1%	68.2%	14.1%	14.4%	39.2%	84.5%	48.3%	20.0%	73.4%	9.2%	17.4%	60.8%	17.9%	72.0%	12.2%	14.0%	40.1%	86.9%	50.3%	20.7%
31	50.1%	16.7%	1.2%	65.6%	13.2%	21.3%	47.1%	10.0%	60.7%	7.9%	9.9%	12.4%	84.6%	51.8%	16.2%	69.6%	12.6%	17.7%	51.2%	9.1%	64.1%	7.0%	8.9%	12.4%	87.1%	54.0%	17.2%
9	43.0%	6.4%	0.7%	54.1%	28.3%	17.6%	43.9%	3.5%	69.9%	2.6%	4.9%	3.2%	86.1%	40.4%	25.7%	55.7%	30.4%	13.9%	45.4%	2.9%	72.3%	2.2%	3.9%	2.7%	88.8%	41.8%	29.0%
19	37.2%	27.4%	1.9%	59.4%	16.8%	23.7%	39.2%	16.0%	55.9%	13.3%	7.0%	16.2%	84.6%	49.4%	17.1%	63.1%	17.7%	19.2%	42.3%	14.0%	58.6%	11.6%	5.8%	14.7%	87.4%	52.5%	18.6%
12	36.9%	20.0%	1.5%	51.6%	23.9%	24.5%	34.5%	13.9%	55.7%	13.5%	4.6%	9.0%	83.3%	50.0%	15.4%	53.3%	26.6%	20.1%	36.4%	11.6%	58.9%	11.4%	3.7%	7.4%	86.2%	52.2%	17.0%
39	35.3%	39.7%	2.0%	57.5%	20.2%	22.3%	38.7%	23.1%	58.4%	17.0%	5.2%	29.7%	86.8%	42.2%	26.0%	59.5%	21.9%	18.6%	40.2%	20.0%	60.3%	14.5%	3.7%	26.2%	89.3%	43.3%	28.8%
		Hisp.																									
38	8.3%	86.9%	2.5%	34.0%	36.4%	29.6%	8.0%	76.2%	19.9%	64.4%	0.7%	84.6%	84.8%	28.7%	40.5%	34.0%	39.9%	26.1%	8.5%	76.4%	21.7%	62.5%	0.6%	85.5%	87.2%	27.8%	44.6%
40	5.4%	83.7%	2.8%	32.3%	39.3%	28.4%	3.4%	71.3%	8.2%	61.8%	0.5%	79.0%	78.0%	28.0%	43.5%	32.2%	42.5%	25.3%	3.2%	71.4%	8.0%	60.1%	0.3%	79.5%	80.7%	27.1%	47.3%
37	5.2%	83.3%	1.5%	30.7%	38.0%	31.4%	3.9%	74.1%	9.2%	65.3%	0.6%	80.3%	72.3%	27.1%	41.2%	30.8%	41.4%	27.8%	4.3%	74.0%	10.5%	63.1%	0.5%	81.0%	76.1%	26.3%	45.3%
14	14.1%	50.0%	2.9%	46.4%	21.7%	31.9%	11.1%	46.4%	18.7%	50.2%	2.1%	28.6%	78.4%	50.2%	13.4%	48.9%	23.9%	27.2%	12.8%	42.5%	21.4%	47.1%	1.9%	24.4%	81.7%	54.3%	13.8%

2010 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Primary Turnout			2010 General Election Registered Voters										2010 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	Dems who are:		Reps who	RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp	are Hisp.	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
36	78.3%	4.3%	31.2%	69.3%	11.0%	19.7%	55.3%	19.2%	67.4%	13.4%	15.1%	38.0%	84.5%	48.5%	21.8%	76.7%	10.8%	12.5%	64.2%	12.6%	74.9%	7.8%	13.2%	35.3%	89.5%	47.3%	30.2%
31	61.3%	2.8%	6.9%	65.3%	14.1%	20.6%	45.4%	9.2%	58.8%	7.3%	10.2%	11.4%	84.6%	51.9%	17.5%	70.3%	16.2%	13.6%	48.5%	6.0%	62.1%	4.5%	7.0%	9.1%	90.0%	53.0%	24.5%
9	66.4%	0.8%	1.5%	54.9%	28.5%	16.6%	42.8%	3.3%	67.6%	2.4%	4.9%	3.0%	86.7%	40.9%	26.7%	54.3%	35.3%	10.4%	40.1%	2.0%	67.5%	1.4%	3.0%	2.1%	91.4%	38.3%	38.2%
19	54.9%	3.7%	7.7%	59.5%	18.4%	22.1%	37.7%	14.4%	54.1%	11.7%	6.7%	14.8%	85.3%	48.4%	19.0%	62.4%	23.6%	14.0%	38.1%	8.6%	55.6%	6.7%	4.0%	10.5%	91.1%	49.0%	28.9%
12	56.3%	5.7%	4.0%	51.9%	25.2%	23.0%	33.5%	12.7%	54.4%	12.4%	4.7%	8.6%	84.1%	50.3%	16.9%	50.4%	34.7%	14.9%	32.4%	7.8%	57.2%	7.8%	2.9%	5.6%	88.9%	50.4%	25.0%
39	62.3%	5.7%	18.1%	57.3%	21.4%	21.3%	38.2%	21.2%	58.0%	15.3%	5.4%	28.5%	86.9%	41.4%	28.8%	58.4%	27.4%	14.3%	38.1%	14.5%	60.0%	9.3%	2.8%	21.8%	91.8%	37.3%	41.1%
38	37.2%	40.2%	86.4%	34.1%	38.6%	27.3%	8.8%	73.3%	21.9%	59.5%	0.8%	83.2%	85.1%	27.7%	43.8%	31.1%	50.4%	18.4%	10.1%	72.4%	29.4%	49.8%	0.5%	84.7%	90.4%	21.4%	59.0%
40	8.3%	41.7%	82.7%	31.9%	42.1%	26.0%	3.5%	70.2%	8.8%	58.3%	0.5%	79.0%	80.1%	26.5%	47.4%	28.6%	53.1%	18.3%	2.8%	69.6%	8.4%	49.4%	0.3%	80.2%	84.4%	20.3%	61.1%
37	14.4%	43.9%	82.3%	30.7%	40.2%	29.2%	4.0%	72.8%	9.5%	63.0%	0.7%	79.7%	72.9%	26.6%	44.0%	28.7%	51.1%	20.2%	4.5%	71.5%	12.5%	54.2%	0.5%	80.6%	79.8%	21.7%	57.6%
14	20.0%	28.6%	12.3%	47.1%	23.8%	29.2%	10.7%	43.4%	18.0%	47.6%	1.9%	27.8%	79.6%	51.6%	15.2%	46.8%	33.7%	19.5%	12.4%	30.5%	22.6%	36.7%	1.5%	18.1%	85.1%	56.3%	20.0%

Election Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

Dist.	2012 US Pres		2012 US Sen		2010 Gov		2010 CFO		2010 Att.Gen		2010 Cm.Ag		2010 US Sen			2008 US Pres		2006 Gov		2006 CFO		2006 Att.Gen		2006 Cm.Ag		2006 US Sen	
Total	D_Oba	R_Rom	D_Nel	R_Mac	D_Sin	R_Sco	D_Aus	R_Atw	D_Gel	R_Bon	D_Mad	R_Put	D_Mee	R_Rub	I_Cri	D_Oba	R_McC	D_Dav	R_Cri	D_Sin	R_Lee	D_Cam	R_McC	D_Cop	R_Bro	D_Nel	R_Har
36	88.4%	11.6%	88.9%	11.1%	86.6%	13.4%	84.4%	15.6%	86.0%	14.0%	86.0%	14.0%	64.3%	12.3%	23.3%	86.2%	13.8%	81.1%	18.9%	84.8%	15.2%	80.8%	19.2%	80.2%	19.8%	85.3%	14.7%
31	83.5%	16.5%	84.9%	15.1%	80.4%	19.6%	76.2%	23.8%	78.9%	21.1%	78.3%	21.7%	53.2%	17.8%	29.0%	82.0%	18.0%	75.3%	24.7%	80.7%	19.3%	76.4%	23.6%	74.8%	25.2%	82.2%	17.8%
9	60.2%	39.8%	64.9%	35.1%	57.0%	43.0%	52.5%	47.5%	53.3%	46.7%	54.2%	45.8%	40.1%	42.9%	17.1%	59.8%	40.2%	48.6%	51.4%	56.5%	43.5%	48.3%	51.7%	48.1%	51.9%	60.7%	39.3%
19	77.4%	22.6%	80.8%	19.2%	72.4%	27.6%	64.9%	35.1%	64.7%	35.3%	63.5%	36.5%	41.4%	25.0%	33.5%	75.8%	24.2%	64.5%	35.5%	68.9%	31.1%	64.8%	35.2%	61.0%	39.0%	76.2%	23.8%
12	67.0%	33.0%	71.4%	28.6%	61.9%	38.1%	54.6%	45.4%	56.5%	43.5%	55.8%	44.2%	39.4%	40.5%	20.0%	66.3%	33.7%	51.5%	48.5%	60.0%	40.0%	50.4%	49.6%	49.0%	51.0%	67.1%	32.9%
39	72.6%	27.4%	73.9%	26.1%	67.9%	32.1%	63.5%	36.5%	65.2%	34.8%	65.1%	34.9%	44.8%	29.8%	25.4%	71.0%	29.0%	64.3%	35.7%	69.1%	30.9%	63.7%	36.3%	62.5%	37.5%	71.7%	28.3%
38	52.6%	47.4%	55.0%	45.0%	43.1%	56.9%	38.2%	61.8%	40.1%	59.9%	39.5%	60.5%	20.7%	60.8%	18.5%	46.5%	53.5%	40.1%	59.9%	45.2%	54.8%	43.0%	57.0%	41.6%	58.4%	50.4%	49.6%
40	50.0%	50.0%	52.3%	47.7%	42.9%	57.1%	34.5%	65.5%	39.5%	60.5%	35.9%	64.1%	14.4%	61.8%	23.7%	43.3%	56.7%	38.9%	61.1%	45.3%	54.7%	42.4%	57.6%	39.4%	60.6%	49.9%	50.1%
37	50.2%	49.8%	52.4%	47.6%	44.6%	55.4%	36.7%	63.3%	39.9%	60.1%	37.5%	62.5%	16.3%	59.4%	24.3%	44.6%	55.4%	41.3%	58.7%	47.4%	52.6%	43.1%	56.9%	41.4%	58.6%	51.1%	48.9%
14	69.9%	30.1%	74.5%	25.5%	61.2%	38.8%	53.9%	46.1%	55.3%	44.7%	54.4%	45.6%	34.4%	42.6%	23.1%	66.5%	33.5%	49.6%	50.4%	58.1%	41.9%	49.6%	50.4%	45.9%	54.1%	65.7%	34.3%

Split Counties and Cities

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Brevard	13	131,873	24.3%	663.8	42.6%
Brevard	16	411,503	75.7%	893.2	57.4%
Broward	29	472,160	27.0%	952.2	72.0%
Broward	31	465,789	26.7%	80.2	6.1%
Broward	33	470,213	26.9%	163.4	12.4%
Broward	34	155,213	8.9%	97.2	7.4%
Broward	36	184,691	10.6%	29.9	2.3%
Charlotte	26	40,947	25.6%	191.4	22.3%
Charlotte	28	85,928	53.7%	265.8	31.0%
Charlotte	30	33,103	20.7%	401.1	46.7%
Collier	23	283,414	88.2%	805.4	34.9%
Collier	39	38,106	11.9%	1,499.6	65.1%
Duval	4	398,726	46.1%	584.3	63.6%
Duval	9	465,537	53.9%	334.1	36.4%
Highlands	21	78,596	79.6%	488.1	44.1%
Highlands	26	20,190	20.4%	617.9	55.9%
Hillsborough	17	260,060	21.2%	167.2	13.2%
Hillsborough	19	348,781	28.4%	238.4	18.8%
Hillsborough	22	101,025	8.2%	66.1	5.2%
Hillsborough	24	467,462	38.0%	600.1	47.4%
Hillsborough	26	51,898	4.2%	194.0	15.3%
Indian River	16	54,085	39.2%	480.2	77.8%
Indian River	32	83,943	60.8%	136.9	22.2%
Lake	8	8,897	3.0%	200.3	17.3%
Lake	11	288,155	97.0%	956.7	82.7%
Lee	23	182,428	29.5%	336.8	27.8%
Lee	30	436,326	70.5%	875.6	72.2%
Manatee	19	40,928	12.7%	56.6	6.3%
Manatee	26	281,905	87.3%	836.1	93.7%
Marion	5	91,982	27.8%	516.7	31.1%
Marion	8	124,958	37.7%	899.2	54.1%
Marion	11	114,358	34.5%	246.8	14.8%
Martin	21	19,537	13.4%	488.0	64.8%
Martin	32	126,781	86.7%	264.8	35.2%
Miami-Dade	35	473,594	19.0%	396.8	16.3%
Miami-Dade	36	286,904	11.5%	48.1	2.0%
Miami-Dade	37	474,333	19.0%	108.2	4.5%
Miami-Dade	38	467,132	18.7%	143.2	5.9%
Miami-Dade	39	319,799	12.8%	1,674.8	68.9%
Miami-Dade	40	474,673	19.0%	60.2	2.5%
Okaloosa	1	155,739	86.1%	643.0	59.4%
Okaloosa	2	25,083	13.9%	439.1	40.6%
Orange	11	18,037	1.6%	53.2	5.3%
Orange	12	466,293	40.7%	261.0	26.0%
Orange	13	338,805	29.6%	446.0	44.5%
Orange	14	238,822	20.8%	118.7	11.8%
Orange	15	83,999	7.3%	124.3	12.4%
Osceola	14	188,660	70.2%	187.8	12.5%
Osceola	15	13,568	5.1%	46.0	3.1%
Osceola	21	66,457	24.7%	1,272.1	84.5%
Palm Beach	25	467,319	35.4%	1,940.5	81.4%
Palm Beach	27	468,357	35.5%	215.3	9.0%
Palm Beach	32	68,974	5.2%	76.2	3.2%
Palm Beach	34	315,484	23.9%	151.0	6.3%
Pasco	17	214,510	46.2%	338.6	39.0%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Pasco	18	250,187	53.8%	529.9	61.0%
Pinellas	19	77,434	8.5%	69.7	11.5%
Pinellas	20	471,128	51.4%	293.6	48.3%
Pinellas	22	367,980	40.2%	244.8	40.3%
Polk	14	38,033	6.3%	78.0	3.9%
Polk	15	377,161	62.6%	704.7	35.1%
Polk	21	186,901	31.0%	1,227.9	61.1%
St. Lucie	21	82,833	29.8%	413.2	60.1%
St. Lucie	32	194,956	70.2%	274.9	40.0%
Sumter	11	46,213	49.5%	39.8	6.9%
Sumter	18	47,207	50.5%	540.1	93.2%
Volusia	6	108,494	21.9%	204.9	14.3%
Volusia	8	339,649	68.7%	1,094.0	76.4%
Volusia	10	46,450	9.4%	133.6	9.3%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Apopka	11	8,855	21.3%	12.7	39.0%
Apopka	12	32,687	78.7%	19.9	61.0%
Boynton Beach	27	13,011	19.1%	2.1	12.5%
Boynton Beach	34	55,206	80.9%	14.5	87.5%
Bradenton	19	13,759	27.8%	4.2	24.8%
Bradenton	26	35,787	72.2%	12.7	75.2%
Coconut Creek	29	49,369	93.3%	10.6	88.6%
Coconut Creek	31	3,540	6.7%	1.4	11.4%
Cooper City	29	1,036	3.6%	0.6	7.1%
Cooper City	33	27,511	96.4%	7.8	93.0%
Coral Gables	35	14,251	30.5%	31.5	84.5%
Coral Gables	40	32,529	69.5%	5.8	15.5%
Davenport	14	313	10.8%	0.2	5.4%
Davenport	15	2,575	89.2%	3.2	94.6%
Davie	29	28,305	30.8%	12.4	34.6%
Davie	33	63,687	69.2%	23.3	65.4%
Daytona Beach	6	29,948	49.1%	45.0	70.6%
Daytona Beach	8	31,057	50.9%	18.8	29.4%
Deerfield Beach	29	35,995	48.0%	9.5	58.7%
Deerfield Beach	31	24,269	32.4%	3.9	23.7%
Deerfield Beach	34	14,754	19.7%	2.9	17.6%
Deltona	8	64,076	75.2%	28.6	69.7%
Deltona	10	21,106	24.8%	12.4	30.3%
Doral	38	45,700	100.0%	12.2	80.2%
Doral	39	4	0.0%	3.0	19.8%
Edgewood	12	1,380	55.1%	0.8	54.6%
Edgewood	13	1,123	44.9%	0.7	45.4%
Fort Lauderdale	31	94,182	56.9%	19.8	51.4%
Fort Lauderdale	33	5,214	3.2%	2.3	5.9%
Fort Lauderdale	34	66,125	40.0%	16.5	42.7%
Gulfport	19	3,341	27.8%	0.8	21.2%
Gulfport	22	8,688	72.2%	3.1	78.8%
Haines City	14	13,347	65.0%	13.5	68.3%
Haines City	15	7,188	35.0%	6.3	31.7%
Hallandale Beach	33	25,370	68.4%	3.0	64.0%
Hallandale Beach	36	11,743	31.6%	1.7	36.0%
Hollywood	33	95,988	68.2%	24.3	79.0%
Hollywood	36	44,780	31.8%	6.5	21.0%
Homestead	35	12,880	21.3%	3.6	23.1%
Homestead	39	47,632	78.7%	12.0	76.9%
Jacksonville	4	356,247	43.4%	540.5	61.8%
Jacksonville	9	465,537	56.7%	334.1	38.2%
Jupiter	25	17,840	32.3%	5.8	25.0%
Jupiter	32	37,316	67.7%	17.4	75.0%
Longboat Key	26	2,398	34.8%	8.3	51.7%
Longboat Key	28	4,490	65.2%	7.7	48.3%
Maitland	12	7,007	44.5%	2.7	41.9%
Maitland	13	8,744	55.5%	3.7	58.1%
Margate	29	36,434	68.4%	6.5	71.7%
Margate	31	16,850	31.6%	2.6	28.3%
Miami	35	99,872	25.0%	31.2	55.7%
Miami	39	72,872	18.2%	8.1	14.4%
Miami	40	226,713	56.8%	16.8	29.9%
Miramar	33	58,246	47.7%	21.1	67.3%
Miramar	36	63,795	52.3%	10.2	32.7%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
North Miami	35	9,175	15.6%	4.8	48.5%
North Miami	36	49,611	84.4%	5.1	51.5%
North Miami Beach	35	21,965	52.9%	2.8	52.4%
North Miami Beach	36	19,558	47.1%	2.6	47.6%
Oakland Park	31	35,688	86.3%	6.7	82.6%
Oakland Park	34	5,675	13.7%	1.4	17.4%
Orange City	8	10,599	100.0%	7.2	100.0%
Orange City	10	0	0.0%	0.0	0.0%
Orlando	12	105,196	44.1%	30.9	27.9%
Orlando	13	73,428	30.8%	37.4	33.8%
Orlando	14	58,728	24.6%	42.0	37.9%
Orlando	15	948	0.4%	0.4	0.3%
Palmetto	19	4,371	34.7%	1.3	23.0%
Palmetto	26	8,235	65.3%	4.3	77.0%
Pembroke Pines	29	32,517	21.0%	11.8	33.8%
Pembroke Pines	33	78,118	50.5%	15.4	44.2%
Pembroke Pines	36	44,115	28.5%	7.7	22.0%
Plantation	31	7,384	8.7%	1.3	6.0%
Plantation	33	77,571	91.3%	20.6	94.0%
Pompano Beach	29	1,447	1.5%	2.0	7.7%
Pompano Beach	31	51,310	51.4%	12.9	50.6%
Pompano Beach	34	47,088	47.2%	10.6	41.8%
Port St. Lucie	21	73,747	44.8%	65.5	56.8%
Port St. Lucie	32	90,856	55.2%	49.8	43.2%
St. Petersburg	19	74,093	30.3%	68.9	50.1%
St. Petersburg	22	170,676	69.7%	68.7	49.9%
Sunrise	29	35,225	41.7%	11.5	63.0%
Sunrise	31	49,214	58.3%	6.8	37.0%
Tamarac	29	33,387	55.3%	7.0	58.1%
Tamarac	31	27,040	44.8%	5.1	41.9%
Tampa	17	8,968	2.7%	24.6	14.1%
Tampa	19	178,585	53.2%	54.9	31.3%
Tampa	22	101,025	30.1%	66.1	37.7%
Tampa	24	47,131	14.0%	29.7	16.9%
Temple Terrace	19	9,405	38.3%	2.6	37.0%
Temple Terrace	24	15,136	61.7%	4.5	63.1%
West Palm Beach	25	3,124	3.1%	5.3	9.2%
West Palm Beach	27	96,795	96.9%	52.7	90.8%
Wildwood	11	340	5.1%	1.6	3.9%
Wildwood	18	6,369	94.9%	38.4	96.1%
Wilton Manors	31	9,006	77.4%	1.4	73.7%
Wilton Manors	34	2,626	22.6%	0.5	26.3%
Winter Garden	12	33,069	95.7%	14.6	93.6%
Winter Garden	15	1,499	4.3%	1.0	6.4%
Winter Haven	15	27,637	81.6%	24.4	61.2%
Winter Haven	21	6,237	18.4%	15.5	38.8%
Winter Park	12	4,735	17.0%	1.5	14.6%
Winter Park	13	23,117	83.0%	8.7	85.4%



Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: Suite 2000, The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: *http://www.flsenate.gov/session/redistricting*

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:			Polygon	Length	Perim	Area	Reock	Convex	Polsby-	Counties:		Cities:		Follow political and geographic boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	Hisp.Blk	Rings	(miles)	(miles)	(sq.mi)	Ratio	Hull	Popper	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	County	City	Pol.	Roads	Water	Pol/Geo
	12,497	2.7%	15.1%	21.1%	0.9%	41	61.2	203.2	1,643.9	0.42	0.78	0.38	52	45	390	46	58%	23%	74%	20%	36%	93%
1	4,099	0.9%	15.9%	4.2%	0.3%	1	75	257	2,368	0.53	0.86	0.45	2	1	5	0	77%	2%	79%	11%	53%	93%
2	4,112	0.9%	11.1%	4.5%	0.2%	1	118	364	5,095	0.46	0.80	0.48	5	1	40	0	84%	3%	85%	9%	40%	95%
3	4,375	0.9%	29.6%	5.3%	0.4%	1	176	543	8,269	0.34	0.76	0.35	11	0	24	0	100%	1%	100%	1%	41%	100%
4	-214	0.0%	17.1%	6.6%	0.3%	1	110	436	5,368	0.57	0.82	0.35	9	0	27	1	100%	3%	100%	1%	33%	100%
5	-3,561	-0.8%	10.1%	6.2%	0.4%	1	59	304	1,400	0.52	0.74	0.19	1	1	7	1	70%	26%	70%	16%	40%	86%
6	1,072	0.2%	42.5%	6.9%	0.7%	1	24	100	244	0.54	0.74	0.31	0	1	0	1	8%	8%	8%	47%	6%	57%
7	6,567	1.4%	8.2%	6.0%	0.3%	1	83	264	2,036	0.37	0.69	0.37	3	0	11	1	100%	29%	100%	3%	40%	100%
8	-1,171	-0.2%	5.4%	6.1%	0.3%	1	106	368	3,390	0.38	0.69	0.31	4	1	17	1	96%	7%	97%	5%	44%	99%
9	-1,648	-0.4%	10.8%	9.1%	0.4%	1	80	330	3,214	0.55	0.83	0.37	2	2	12	0	84%	11%	89%	7%	32%	95%
10	-2,795	-0.6%	10.3%	9.1%	0.5%	1	57	201	1,110	0.44	0.75	0.35	0	1	15	1	85%	17%	88%	6%	63%	95%
11	-5,336	-1.1%	4.3%	9.8%	0.4%	1	56	142	868	0.35	0.92	0.54	1	0	6	0	100%	7%	100%	13%	29%	100%
12	-3,229	-0.7%	11.9%	11.3%	0.5%	1	72	230	1,195	0.29	0.75	0.29	0	2	13	0	53%	27%	72%	16%	20%	91%
13	-2,849	-0.6%	36.1%	19.7%	1.4%	1	29	104	296	0.46	0.81	0.35	0	1	5	1	48%	34%	76%	27%	25%	97%
14	-2,228	-0.5%	10.9%	14.9%	0.8%	1	30	110	363	0.52	0.81	0.38	1	1	9	0	83%	28%	99%	3%	59%	100%
15	-2,722	-0.6%	9.3%	31.1%	1.7%	1	32	121	318	0.39	0.78	0.27	0	1	5	1	36%	36%	64%	42%	7%	97%
16	-1,894	-0.4%	9.5%	13.3%	0.7%	1	55	175	1,349	0.56	0.82	0.55	0	2	8	2	72%	4%	76%	16%	44%	95%
17	4,665	1.0%	5.5%	7.4%	0.3%	1	29	84	307	0.48	0.90	0.54	0	1	13	0	66%	28%	80%	8%	58%	92%
18	6,174	1.3%	10.1%	23.3%	1.2%	1	39	134	405	0.34	0.77	0.28	0	1	1	1	42%	28%	61%	18%	11%	82%
19	-4,266	-0.9%	14.5%	30.0%	1.7%	1	58	229	1,199	0.44	0.74	0.29	0	2	14	0	42%	22%	62%	19%	37%	91%
20	-5,518	-1.2%	9.1%	11.9%	0.6%	1	85	250	2,480	0.43	0.90	0.50	1	2	13	1	75%	4%	78%	4%	54%	95%
21	2,165	0.5%	5.2%	8.1%	0.3%	1	35	119	363	0.37	0.70	0.32	0	2	10	2	28%	30%	53%	25%	65%	90%
22	4,748	1.0%	34.6%	24.2%	1.8%	1	37	129	365	0.33	0.68	0.27	0	2	0	2	12%	23%	33%	44%	31%	84%
23	6,203	1.3%	9.4%	15.0%	0.5%	1	61	192	1,127	0.36	0.73	0.39	0	2	6	0	46%	13%	56%	28%	21%	86%
24	-1,451	-0.3%	9.0%	15.2%	0.5%	1	104	317	2,943	0.35	0.74	0.37	3	2	8	0	67%	25%	83%	9%	20%	91%
25	2,297	0.5%	7.1%	21.9%	0.4%	1	134	408	5,588	0.39	0.82	0.42	4	0	9	0	100%	3%	100%	1%	44%	100%
26	-5,930	-1.3%	12.7%	13.1%	0.5%	1	83	251	2,333	0.43	0.90	0.47	3	0	8	0	100%	2%	100%	0%	62%	100%
27	3,896	0.8%	4.4%	7.5%	0.2%	1	51	134	925	0.45	0.92	0.65	1	1	4	0	65%	23%	68%	26%	43%	97%
28	-2,900	-0.6%	6.2%	13.4%	0.4%	1	54	160	965	0.42	0.89	0.48	0	1	5	0	69%	28%	89%	12%	49%	99%
29	-1,269	-0.3%	22.4%	19.8%	0.8%	1	33	110	305	0.36	0.77	0.32	0	1	23	0	24%	56%	78%	20%	33%	98%
30	645	0.1%	15.3%	20.9%	0.7%	1	69	239	1,909	0.51	0.88	0.42	0	1	12	0	62%	25%	84%	14%	22%	96%
31	124	0.0%	9.1%	11.1%	0.4%	1	26	79	192	0.35	0.78	0.39	0	2	4	1	23%	32%	49%	49%	25%	89%
32	2,077	0.4%	15.4%	29.5%	1.0%	1	48	153	945	0.52	0.90	0.51	0	1	3	7	67%	25%	86%	15%	7%	95%
33	-2,548	-0.5%	50.6%	30.8%	2.7%	1	16	59	83	0.43	0.72	0.30	0	2	5	3	13%	76%	76%	37%	10%	92%
34	3,163	0.7%	10.3%	22.4%	0.9%	1	29	106	227	0.33	0.66	0.25	0	1	10	5	31%	49%	78%	27%	32%	91%
35	1,045	0.2%	14.5%	65.4%	2.8%	1	37	122	564	0.52	0.79	0.48	0	1	6	2	44%	23%	63%	28%	59%	96%
36	-1,716	-0.4%	7.0%	76.7%	1.5%	1	22	58	93	0.25	0.76	0.34	0	1	5	2	0%	48%	48%	77%	19%	97%
37	524	0.1%	6.8%	89.9%	2.6%	1	18	52	80	0.32	0.72	0.38	0	1	5	1	18%	60%	60%	48%	40%	97%
38	-1,812	-0.4%	6.9%	70.4%	1.4%	2	185	573	5,248	0.19	0.46	0.20	1	1	5	0	89%	4%	91%	5%	80%	99%
39	-1,889	-0.4%	32.4%	39.0%	2.2%	1	18	59	143	0.55	0.86	0.51	0	1	13	2	43%	23%	60%	22%	53%	94%
40	-1,015	-0.2%	50.0%	16.7%	1.2%	1	17	61	84	0.39	0.75	0.29	0	1	4	7	3%	38%	38%	55%	0%	73%

Overall numbers of county and city splits, relative to benchmark:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	Benchmark Plan (2002)	New/proposed plan and % change from 2002	
Number of Counties	67	67	
Counties with only one district	22	52	136%
Counties split into more than one district	45	15	-67%
Aggregate number of county splits	129	45	-65%
Aggregate number of splits with population	126	45	-64%
Number of Cities	410	410	
Cities with only one district	284	390	37%
Cities split into more than one district	126	20	-84%
Aggregate number of city splits	300	46	-85%
Aggregate number of splits with population	277	46	-83%

Split Counties and Cities

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Brevard	16	301,765	55.5%	977.3	62.8%
Brevard	20	241,611	44.5%	579.7	37.2%
Broward	31	89,465	5.1%	23.0	1.7%
Broward	32	472,110	27.0%	945.0	71.4%
Broward	33	244,277	14.0%	43.5	3.3%
Broward	34	473,196	27.1%	227.3	17.2%
Broward	40	469,018	26.8%	84.1	6.4%
Duval	5	393,158	45.5%	674.0	73.4%
Duval	6	471,105	54.5%	244.5	26.6%
Hillsborough	18	476,207	38.7%	404.7	32.0%
Hillsborough	21	108,061	8.8%	123.9	9.8%
Hillsborough	22	397,074	32.3%	303.2	24.0%
Hillsborough	23	247,884	20.2%	434.0	34.3%
Lake	8	20,627	6.9%	35.5	3.1%
Lake	9	35,368	11.9%	402.2	34.8%
Lake	12	241,057	81.2%	719.3	62.2%
Lee	24	151,621	24.5%	247.6	20.4%
Lee	28	467,133	75.5%	964.9	79.6%
Manatee	23	228,352	70.7%	693.3	77.7%
Manatee	27	94,481	29.3%	199.4	22.3%
Miami-Dade	33	223,208	8.9%	40.0	1.6%
Miami-Dade	35	471,078	18.9%	564.1	23.2%
Miami-Dade	36	468,317	18.8%	93.3	3.8%
Miami-Dade	37	470,557	18.9%	80.2	3.3%
Miami-Dade	38	395,131	15.8%	1,511.2	62.2%
Miami-Dade	39	468,144	18.8%	142.5	5.9%
Okaloosa	1	25,141	13.9%	319.2	29.5%
Okaloosa	2	155,681	86.1%	762.9	70.5%
Orange	13	467,184	40.8%	296.3	29.5%
Orange	14	45,087	3.9%	17.5	1.7%
Orange	15	467,311	40.8%	318.0	31.7%
Orange	16	166,374	14.5%	371.5	37.0%
Osceola	19	183,809	68.4%	223.0	14.8%
Osceola	20	84,876	31.6%	1,282.9	85.2%
Palm Beach	29	468,764	35.5%	304.7	12.8%
Palm Beach	30	470,678	35.7%	1,909.2	80.1%
Palm Beach	31	380,692	28.8%	169.2	7.1%
Pinellas	17	474,698	51.8%	307.4	50.6%
Pinellas	21	364,137	39.7%	238.6	39.2%
Pinellas	22	77,707	8.5%	62.1	10.2%
Polk	12	225,747	37.5%	475.3	23.6%
Polk	19	281,958	46.8%	975.9	48.5%
Polk	24	94,390	15.7%	559.4	27.8%
Volusia	9	27,355	5.5%	322.6	22.5%
Volusia	10	467,238	94.5%	1,109.9	77.5%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Coral Gables	35	14,251	30.5%	31.5	84.5%
Coral Gables	36	32,529	69.5%	5.8	15.5%
Deerfield Beach	31	35,995	48.0%	9.5	58.7%
Deerfield Beach	34	11,939	15.9%	2.4	14.5%
Deerfield Beach	40	27,084	36.1%	4.4	26.8%
Fanning Springs	4	278	36.4%	1.7	44.4%
Fanning Springs	8	486	63.6%	2.2	55.6%
Flagler Beach	7	4,424	98.7%	4.0	99.3%
Flagler Beach	10	60	1.3%	0.0	0.7%
Fort Lauderdale	34	93,094	56.2%	23.0	59.6%
Fort Lauderdale	40	72,427	43.8%	15.6	40.4%
Jacksonville	5	350,679	42.7%	630.2	72.1%
Jacksonville	6	471,105	57.3%	244.5	28.0%
Margate	32	38,270	71.8%	6.8	74.8%
Margate	40	15,014	28.2%	2.3	25.3%
Melbourne	16	7,689	10.1%	4.1	10.3%
Melbourne	20	68,379	89.9%	35.5	89.7%
Miami	35	185,016	46.3%	27.7	49.4%
Miami	36	44,661	11.2%	3.5	6.3%
Miami	37	60,804	15.2%	5.2	9.3%
Miami	39	108,976	27.3%	19.6	35.0%
Miramar	32	33,661	27.6%	14.2	45.3%
Miramar	33	88,380	72.4%	17.1	54.7%
North Miami	33	31,301	53.3%	3.3	33.1%
North Miami	39	27,485	46.8%	6.7	66.9%
Orlando	13	113,189	47.5%	33.8	30.6%
Orlando	15	124,835	52.4%	76.1	68.8%
Orlando	16	276	0.1%	0.8	0.7%
Pembroke Pines	32	56,224	36.3%	17.0	48.8%
Pembroke Pines	33	98,526	63.7%	17.8	51.2%
Plantation	32	56,474	66.5%	13.7	62.3%
Plantation	34	15,100	17.8%	5.6	25.4%
Plantation	40	13,381	15.8%	2.7	12.3%
Pompano Beach	34	20,589	20.6%	4.3	16.9%
Pompano Beach	40	79,256	79.4%	21.1	83.1%
Southwest Ranches	32	5,287	72.0%	8.8	67.1%
Southwest Ranches	34	2,058	28.0%	4.3	33.0%
St. Petersburg	21	167,062	68.3%	75.6	54.9%
St. Petersburg	22	77,707	31.8%	62.1	45.1%
Sunrise	32	44,235	52.4%	12.8	69.9%
Sunrise	40	40,204	47.6%	5.5	30.1%
Tamarac	32	27,420	45.4%	6.0	49.8%
Tamarac	40	33,007	54.6%	6.1	50.2%
Tampa	18	49,588	14.8%	37.8	21.5%
Tampa	21	108,061	32.2%	84.5	48.2%
Tampa	22	178,060	53.0%	53.0	30.2%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

2012 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Census			2012 General Election Registered Voters											2012 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	VAP who are:			RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	BlkHisp	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
33	50.6%	30.8%	2.7%	65.4%	13.3%	21.3%	48.4%	22.8%	62.6%	15.5%	9.7%	41.5%	84.5%	44.4%	24.1%	69.4%	12.6%	18.0%	53.2%	20.8%	66.6%	13.7%	9.2%	42.6%	86.9%	45.7%	25.8%
40	50.0%	16.7%	1.2%	65.0%	13.6%	21.4%	47.2%	10.0%	61.4%	8.0%	9.7%	11.6%	84.6%	51.8%	15.7%	69.0%	13.2%	17.8%	51.5%	9.0%	65.1%	7.0%	8.6%	11.3%	87.1%	53.9%	16.7%
6	42.5%	6.9%	0.7%	54.6%	27.5%	17.9%	44.2%	3.7%	69.5%	2.8%	5.0%	3.3%	85.9%	41.3%	24.5%	56.6%	29.4%	14.1%	46.0%	3.0%	72.1%	2.3%	4.0%	2.9%	88.7%	42.9%	27.7%
13	36.1%	19.7%	1.4%	51.0%	24.8%	24.2%	33.6%	13.6%	54.9%	13.4%	4.3%	8.5%	83.3%	50.2%	15.5%	52.5%	27.6%	19.9%	35.3%	11.2%	58.0%	11.2%	3.5%	6.9%	86.2%	52.3%	17.0%
22	34.6%	24.2%	1.8%	56.5%	19.1%	24.5%	36.1%	14.7%	53.7%	12.8%	5.8%	13.3%	84.1%	49.1%	17.2%	59.5%	20.3%	20.2%	38.7%	12.7%	56.4%	11.1%	4.7%	11.8%	86.7%	51.9%	18.8%
39	32.4%	39.0%	2.2%	59.2%	15.3%	25.5%	33.7%	27.6%	48.9%	20.3%	6.1%	43.3%	85.8%	43.5%	24.0%	61.2%	15.8%	23.1%	34.4%	27.0%	49.5%	19.4%	4.8%	43.8%	88.0%	43.9%	25.5%
		Hisp.																									
37	6.8%	89.9%	2.6%	32.5%	39.1%	28.5%	6.2%	79.0%	16.2%	68.4%	0.6%	86.1%	84.5%	28.1%	42.6%	32.3%	42.8%	24.9%	6.6%	79.3%	17.8%	66.6%	0.4%	87.0%	87.3%	27.1%	46.9%
36	7.0%	76.7%	1.5%	33.5%	37.8%	28.7%	7.0%	65.3%	17.1%	50.8%	0.7%	76.1%	82.0%	26.1%	44.0%	33.8%	40.6%	25.6%	7.1%	65.4%	17.8%	49.0%	0.5%	77.0%	84.7%	25.3%	47.8%
38	6.9%	70.4%	1.4%	32.3%	36.6%	31.1%	4.8%	60.3%	11.0%	52.6%	0.7%	65.2%	74.9%	28.2%	39.6%	32.8%	39.9%	27.3%	5.1%	58.6%	12.1%	49.0%	0.6%	64.1%	78.6%	27.4%	43.6%

2010 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Primary Turnout			2010 General Election Registered Voters											2010 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	Dems who are:		Reps who	RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp	are Hisp.	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
33	72.5%	4.7%	34.5%	65.8%	14.1%	20.1%	47.9%	21.5%	61.6%	14.6%	10.3%	40.2%	84.5%	44.4%	26.3%	72.2%	14.7%	13.0%	55.5%	15.1%	68.7%	8.6%	8.3%	38.1%	89.4%	41.3%	37.1%
40	63.5%	2.7%	6.1%	64.7%	14.6%	20.7%	45.5%	9.3%	59.4%	7.5%	9.8%	10.7%	84.6%	52.0%	16.9%	69.2%	17.1%	13.7%	48.6%	5.9%	63.3%	4.5%	6.6%	8.2%	90.0%	53.0%	23.7%
6	66.5%	0.8%	1.6%	55.8%	27.5%	16.7%	43.6%	3.4%	67.7%	2.5%	5.2%	3.2%	86.8%	41.4%	25.9%	55.7%	34.0%	10.3%	41.2%	2.0%	67.6%	1.4%	3.1%	2.3%	91.6%	38.4%	37.8%
13	54.0%	5.5%	3.7%	51.2%	25.9%	22.8%	32.5%	12.5%	53.2%	12.3%	4.4%	8.1%	84.1%	50.4%	16.9%	49.6%	35.7%	14.7%	31.0%	7.5%	55.6%	7.7%	2.7%	5.3%	88.9%	50.8%	25.0%
22	52.7%	3.6%	6.3%	57.2%	20.1%	22.7%	35.3%	13.3%	52.4%	11.3%	5.9%	12.5%	84.9%	48.5%	18.9%	59.2%	25.9%	14.9%	35.1%	7.8%	53.7%	6.4%	3.5%	8.6%	90.4%	48.5%	28.6%
39	56.0%	9.3%	47.9%	60.5%	16.0%	23.5%	34.2%	26.1%	48.8%	18.6%	6.7%	43.6%	86.2%	43.2%	26.7%	63.4%	19.1%	17.5%	34.6%	23.1%	49.3%	14.4%	4.2%	44.7%	90.5%	39.4%	36.8%
37	31.8%	45.7%	88.4%	32.2%	41.4%	26.4%	7.1%	77.1%	18.8%	64.2%	0.7%	85.5%	85.3%	26.8%	45.9%	28.4%	54.1%	17.4%	8.0%	76.6%	25.5%	54.5%	0.4%	86.9%	90.6%	20.2%	61.5%
36	21.6%	30.1%	79.1%	33.9%	39.8%	26.4%	7.2%	63.4%	17.6%	47.3%	0.8%	75.4%	82.5%	25.3%	47.3%	32.4%	49.2%	18.4%	7.3%	62.3%	19.9%	38.6%	0.5%	76.8%	88.1%	20.1%	60.6%
38	13.1%	27.0%	60.3%	32.6%	38.2%	29.2%	4.9%	58.3%	11.2%	49.6%	0.8%	64.4%	75.2%	27.7%	42.2%	31.7%	48.0%	20.4%	5.0%	53.4%	13.1%	39.0%	0.5%	61.9%	82.0%	23.2%	55.6%

Election Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

Dist.	2012 US Pres		2012 US Sen		2010 Gov		2010 CFO		2010 Att.Gen		2010 Cm.Ag		2010 US Sen			2008 US Pres		2006 Gov		2006 CFO		2006 Att.Gen		2006 Cm.Ag		2006 US Sen	
Total	D_Oba	R_Rom	D_Nel	R_Mac	D_Sin	R_Sco	D_Aus	R_Atw	D_Gel	R_Bon	D_Mad	R_Put	D_Mee	R_Rub	I_Cri	D_Oba	R_McC	D_Dav	R_Cri	D_Sin	R_Lee	D_Cam	R_McC	D_Cop	R_Bro	D_Nel	R_Har
33	83.8%	16.2%	84.8%	15.2%	82.0%	18.0%	78.9%	21.1%	80.8%	19.2%	80.8%	19.2%	57.6%	17.0%	25.4%	81.9%	18.1%	77.8%	22.2%	82.0%	18.0%	77.9%	22.1%	77.1%	22.9%	82.6%	17.4%
40	82.8%	17.2%	84.2%	15.8%	79.4%	20.6%	74.9%	25.1%	77.7%	22.3%	77.2%	22.8%	52.9%	18.7%	28.4%	81.3%	18.7%	74.3%	25.7%	79.6%	20.4%	75.2%	24.8%	73.8%	26.2%	81.3%	18.7%
6	61.1%	38.9%	65.7%	34.3%	58.0%	42.0%	53.7%	46.3%	54.4%	45.6%	55.4%	44.6%	41.2%	41.7%	17.1%	60.8%	39.2%	49.7%	50.3%	57.3%	42.7%	49.3%	50.7%	49.2%	50.8%	61.6%	38.4%
13	65.8%	34.2%	70.5%	29.5%	61.1%	38.9%	53.5%	46.5%	55.6%	44.4%	54.7%	45.3%	38.3%	41.4%	20.3%	65.3%	34.7%	50.7%	49.3%	59.4%	40.6%	49.6%	50.4%	47.8%	52.2%	66.8%	33.2%
22	73.9%	26.1%	77.7%	22.3%	69.7%	30.3%	61.6%	38.4%	61.6%	38.4%	60.0%	40.0%	38.6%	27.6%	33.9%	73.2%	26.8%	62.2%	37.8%	66.4%	33.6%	62.2%	37.8%	58.5%	41.5%	74.7%	25.3%
39	76.2%	23.8%	78.3%	21.7%	74.7%	25.3%	70.0%	30.0%	75.5%	24.5%	72.5%	27.5%	45.1%	24.9%	30.0%	76.2%	23.8%	73.3%	26.7%	78.0%	22.0%	74.2%	25.8%	72.7%	27.3%	79.8%	20.2%
37	50.2%	49.8%	52.7%	47.3%	39.8%	60.2%	34.8%	65.2%	37.0%	63.0%	36.0%	64.0%	18.0%	64.7%	17.4%	43.1%	56.9%	37.0%	63.0%	42.1%	57.9%	40.2%	59.8%	38.7%	61.3%	47.5%	52.5%
36	51.0%	49.0%	53.6%	46.4%	47.1%	52.9%	38.4%	61.6%	43.2%	56.8%	39.9%	60.1%	18.2%	56.8%	25.0%	46.4%	53.6%	43.5%	56.5%	49.9%	50.1%	46.0%	54.0%	43.3%	56.7%	53.8%	46.2%
38	51.4%	48.6%	53.8%	46.2%	47.2%	52.8%	39.5%	60.5%	42.3%	57.7%	40.9%	59.1%	17.5%	53.7%	28.9%	47.8%	52.2%	44.8%	55.2%	51.4%	48.6%	46.3%	53.7%	44.7%	55.3%	55.4%	44.6%



Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: Suite 2000, The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: *http://www.flsenate.gov/session/redistricting*

Census and Boundary Stats

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:			Polygon	Length	Perim	Area	Reock	Convex	Polsby-	Counties:		Cities:		Follow political and geographic boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	Hisp.Blk	Rings	(miles)	(miles)	(sq.mi)	Ratio	Hull	Popper	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	County	City	Pol.	Roads	Water	Pol/Geo
	13,210	2.8%	15.1%	21.1%	0.9%	41	61.0	202.9	1,643.9	0.43	0.79	0.39	53	43	395	36	57%	20%	72%	21%	39%	92%
1	4,099	0.9%	15.9%	4.2%	0.3%	1	75	257	2,368	0.53	0.86	0.45	2	1	5	0	77%	2%	79%	11%	53%	93%
2	4,112	0.9%	11.1%	4.5%	0.2%	1	118	364	5,095	0.46	0.80	0.48	5	1	40	0	84%	3%	85%	9%	40%	95%
3	4,375	0.9%	29.6%	5.3%	0.4%	1	176	543	8,269	0.34	0.76	0.35	11	0	24	0	100%	1%	100%	1%	41%	100%
4	-214	0.0%	17.1%	6.6%	0.3%	1	110	436	5,368	0.57	0.82	0.35	9	0	27	1	100%	3%	100%	1%	33%	100%
5	-1,387	-0.3%	10.0%	6.4%	0.4%	1	59	323	1,349	0.50	0.71	0.16	1	1	7	1	73%	31%	73%	19%	43%	91%
6	-1,102	-0.2%	42.7%	6.7%	0.7%	1	29	118	296	0.44	0.73	0.27	0	1	0	1	25%	25%	25%	50%	19%	76%
7	-7,019	-1.5%	11.2%	7.5%	0.4%	1	88	273	2,494	0.41	0.80	0.42	2	1	11	0	77%	21%	85%	16%	29%	97%
8	-14	0.0%	10.4%	5.8%	0.3%	1	86	271	2,012	0.35	0.75	0.34	2	1	14	0	82%	24%	92%	8%	67%	99%
9	-7,168	-1.5%	6.5%	7.6%	0.4%	1	93	360	3,374	0.49	0.78	0.33	3	1	14	1	86%	6%	91%	11%	53%	99%
10	-5,336	-1.1%	4.3%	9.8%	0.4%	1	56	142	868	0.35	0.92	0.54	1	0	6	0	100%	7%	100%	13%	29%	100%
11	-6,769	-1.4%	8.8%	9.3%	0.4%	1	83	319	2,087	0.38	0.67	0.26	2	2	19	0	78%	10%	85%	17%	37%	94%
12	1,361	0.3%	35.9%	19.8%	1.4%	1	29	104	310	0.47	0.79	0.36	0	1	5	1	49%	25%	68%	28%	23%	92%
13	-5,994	-1.3%	10.5%	15.2%	0.8%	1	34	122	402	0.45	0.80	0.34	1	1	9	0	80%	21%	87%	9%	60%	98%
14	4,891	1.0%	8.9%	9.0%	0.5%	1	73	261	1,570	0.38	0.78	0.29	0	2	12	0	55%	19%	75%	17%	65%	96%
15	1,852	0.4%	10.1%	27.9%	1.6%	1	35	102	322	0.34	0.87	0.39	0	1	4	1	51%	17%	61%	41%	24%	99%
16	3,238	0.7%	5.5%	7.4%	0.3%	1	29	85	306	0.48	0.90	0.53	0	1	13	0	65%	29%	81%	7%	59%	93%
17	5,946	1.3%	10.2%	23.3%	1.0%	1	40	129	445	0.35	0.79	0.33	0	1	2	1	52%	20%	59%	27%	13%	90%
18	-6,277	-1.3%	14.4%	14.4%	0.7%	1	58	217	878	0.34	0.72	0.23	0	1	12	0	43%	18%	59%	14%	27%	73%
19	1,329	0.3%	10.7%	37.5%	2.1%	1	75	256	1,877	0.42	0.86	0.36	1	1	5	1	76%	5%	81%	18%	34%	97%
20	5,435	1.2%	8.3%	8.2%	0.4%	1	62	188	1,360	0.45	0.86	0.48	1	1	17	0	77%	8%	85%	7%	45%	97%
21	3,069	0.7%	5.2%	8.1%	0.3%	1	35	119	361	0.37	0.70	0.32	0	2	10	2	28%	31%	53%	23%	67%	90%
22	5,212	1.1%	34.5%	24.7%	1.8%	1	37	129	368	0.33	0.69	0.28	0	2	0	2	12%	23%	32%	43%	32%	84%
23	4,344	0.9%	9.5%	14.6%	0.6%	1	54	170	933	0.41	0.70	0.41	0	2	5	0	41%	7%	47%	37%	26%	85%
24	6,042	1.3%	4.4%	7.4%	0.2%	1	51	155	1,079	0.53	0.90	0.57	1	1	4	0	67%	25%	73%	20%	42%	96%
25	-5,642	-1.2%	8.9%	15.8%	0.4%	1	137	467	6,241	0.43	0.76	0.36	6	1	16	0	84%	9%	87%	4%	36%	91%
26	-5,930	-1.3%	12.7%	13.1%	0.5%	1	83	251	2,333	0.43	0.90	0.47	3	0	8	0	100%	2%	100%	0%	62%	100%
27	-173	0.0%	9.2%	16.7%	0.6%	1	51	146	866	0.42	0.81	0.51	0	1	3	0	73%	2%	74%	22%	50%	99%
28	381	0.1%	4.4%	18.3%	0.3%	1	85	235	2,651	0.47	0.87	0.60	1	1	5	0	83%	1%	84%	14%	37%	100%
29	1,808	0.4%	18.2%	18.8%	0.7%	1	37	107	374	0.34	0.83	0.41	0	1	21	0	49%	36%	79%	19%	37%	95%
30	-857	-0.2%	16.8%	21.7%	0.7%	1	61	185	1,834	0.64	0.96	0.68	0	1	9	0	64%	14%	77%	20%	19%	95%
31	1,213	0.3%	10.9%	10.6%	0.4%	1	25	84	217	0.45	0.84	0.38	0	2	10	2	29%	29%	54%	30%	39%	81%
32	841	0.2%	13.2%	28.1%	0.9%	1	50	167	942	0.48	0.85	0.42	0	1	6	6	59%	25%	82%	13%	4%	90%
33	1,314	0.3%	35.0%	42.3%	2.4%	1	21	59	86	0.26	0.80	0.31	0	2	6	2	10%	55%	55%	47%	25%	90%
34	-3,580	-0.8%	12.1%	23.4%	1.0%	1	25	95	202	0.40	0.72	0.28	0	1	4	5	24%	46%	71%	21%	35%	86%
35	-1,514	-0.3%	5.7%	71.6%	2.2%	1	22	72	177	0.46	0.81	0.43	0	1	5	1	22%	48%	69%	18%	67%	92%
36	-2,428	-0.5%	5.3%	77.5%	1.2%	1	15	43	89	0.53	0.90	0.59	0	1	2	0	0%	30%	30%	82%	19%	93%
37	877	0.2%	4.1%	91.3%	2.1%	1	16	57	163	0.76	0.92	0.62	0	1	8	0	9%	35%	35%	59%	35%	92%
38	2,116	0.5%	17.5%	53.8%	1.8%	2	196	577	5,610	0.19	0.48	0.21	1	1	9	0	91%	3%	93%	6%	82%	100%
39	20	0.0%	52.5%	35.1%	3.4%	1	17	60	100	0.43	0.72	0.35	0	1	11	2	19%	36%	54%	29%	46%	86%
40	-2,481	-0.5%	50.3%	17.0%	1.2%	1	17	67	82	0.36	0.65	0.23	0	1	7	6	3%	42%	42%	26%	0%	57%

Overall numbers of county and city splits, relative to benchmark:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	New/proposed plan and % change from 2002		Benchmark Plan (2002)	
Number of Counties	67		67	
Counties with only one district	53	141%	22	
Counties split into more than one district	14	-69%	45	
Aggregate number of county splits	43	-67%	129	
Aggregate number of splits with population	43	-66%	126	
Number of Cities	410		410	
Cities with only one district	395	39%	284	
Cities split into more than one district	15	-88%	126	
Aggregate number of city splits	36	-88%	300	
Aggregate number of splits with population	36	-87%	277	

Split Counties and Cities

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Brevard	14	205,936	37.9%	813.5	52.3%
Brevard	20	337,440	62.1%	743.5	47.8%
Broward	31	92,129	5.3%	40.6	3.1%
Broward	32	470,874	26.9%	942.4	71.2%
Broward	33	251,058	14.4%	56.0	4.2%
Broward	34	466,453	26.7%	201.7	15.2%
Broward	40	467,552	26.8%	82.1	6.2%
Duval	5	395,332	45.7%	622.7	67.8%
Duval	6	468,931	54.3%	295.8	32.2%
Hillsborough	17	475,979	38.7%	445.0	35.2%
Hillsborough	21	107,538	8.8%	121.2	9.6%
Hillsborough	22	397,538	32.3%	305.5	24.1%
Hillsborough	23	248,171	20.2%	394.2	31.1%
Lee	27	469,860	75.9%	866.1	71.4%
Lee	28	148,894	24.1%	346.4	28.6%
Manatee	23	226,206	70.1%	538.9	60.4%
Manatee	24	96,627	29.9%	353.8	39.6%
Marion	7	197,785	59.7%	1,023.9	61.6%
Marion	9	108,050	32.6%	598.9	36.0%
Marion	11	25,463	7.7%	39.8	2.4%
Miami-Dade	33	220,289	8.8%	30.0	1.2%
Miami-Dade	35	468,519	18.8%	177.2	7.3%
Miami-Dade	36	467,605	18.7%	89.1	3.7%
Miami-Dade	37	470,910	18.9%	162.8	6.7%
Miami-Dade	38	399,059	16.0%	1,872.5	77.0%
Miami-Dade	39	470,053	18.8%	99.7	4.1%
Okaloosa	1	25,141	13.9%	319.2	29.5%
Okaloosa	2	155,681	86.1%	762.9	70.5%
Orange	12	471,394	41.1%	310.1	30.9%
Orange	15	471,885	41.2%	322.4	32.1%
Orange	19	202,677	17.7%	370.7	37.0%
Palm Beach	29	471,841	35.7%	373.5	15.7%
Palm Beach	30	469,176	35.5%	1,833.6	76.9%
Palm Beach	31	379,117	28.7%	175.9	7.4%
Pinellas	16	473,271	51.6%	306.3	50.4%
Pinellas	21	365,564	39.9%	239.8	39.4%
Pinellas	22	77,707	8.5%	62.1	10.2%
Polk	11	47,329	7.9%	310.0	15.4%
Polk	18	463,756	77.0%	878.2	43.7%
Polk	25	91,010	15.1%	822.4	40.9%
Volusia	8	184,284	37.3%	620.0	43.3%
Volusia	13	41,321	8.4%	56.3	3.9%
Volusia	14	268,988	54.4%	756.2	52.8%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Davie	32	13,191	14.3%	4.2	11.8%
Davie	34	78,801	85.7%	31.5	88.2%
Deerfield Beach	31	47,534	63.4%	11.2	68.9%
Deerfield Beach	40	27,484	36.6%	5.1	31.1%
Fanning Springs	4	278	36.4%	1.7	44.4%
Fanning Springs	9	486	63.6%	2.2	55.6%
Fort Lauderdale	32	3,260	2.0%	1.1	2.8%
Fort Lauderdale	34	99,435	60.1%	24.2	62.7%
Fort Lauderdale	40	62,826	38.0%	13.3	34.5%
Jacksonville	5	352,853	42.9%	578.8	66.2%
Jacksonville	6	468,931	57.1%	295.8	33.8%
Margate	32	36,434	68.4%	6.5	71.7%
Margate	40	16,850	31.6%	2.6	28.3%
Miami	35	286,174	71.6%	44.7	79.7%
Miami	39	113,283	28.4%	11.4	20.3%
Miami Gardens	33	77,457	72.3%	12.3	64.8%
Miami Gardens	39	29,710	27.7%	6.7	35.2%
Orlando	12	116,986	49.1%	35.6	32.1%
Orlando	15	109,541	46.0%	34.7	31.4%
Orlando	19	11,773	4.9%	40.4	36.5%
Pembroke Pines	32	83,104	53.7%	18.7	53.6%
Pembroke Pines	33	71,646	46.3%	16.2	46.4%
Plantation	34	77,571	91.3%	20.6	94.0%
Plantation	40	7,384	8.7%	1.3	6.0%
Pompano Beach	31	32,376	32.4%	7.7	30.3%
Pompano Beach	32	13,757	13.8%	3.6	14.3%
Pompano Beach	34	15,722	15.8%	3.2	12.4%
Pompano Beach	40	37,990	38.1%	10.9	43.0%
St. Petersburg	21	167,062	68.3%	75.6	54.9%
St. Petersburg	22	77,707	31.8%	62.1	45.1%
Sunrise	32	21,228	25.1%	8.8	47.9%
Sunrise	34	8,922	10.6%	1.2	6.3%
Sunrise	40	54,289	64.3%	8.4	45.8%
Tampa	17	49,588	14.8%	37.8	21.5%
Tampa	21	107,538	32.0%	81.8	46.7%
Tampa	22	178,583	53.2%	55.7	31.8%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

2012 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Census			2012 General Election Registered Voters										2012 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	VAP who are:			RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	BlkHisp	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
39	52.5%	35.1%	3.4%	68.1%	11.0%	20.9%	53.5%	23.9%	67.7%	16.2%	12.7%	48.9%	86.3%	46.2%	22.5%	71.5%	10.6%	17.9%	56.5%	22.0%	70.0%	14.6%	10.9%	48.9%	88.5%	47.5%	23.5%
40	50.3%	17.0%	1.2%	66.8%	12.2%	20.9%	47.2%	10.5%	59.7%	8.3%	10.8%	13.5%	84.5%	52.5%	15.7%	70.9%	11.5%	17.5%	51.3%	9.5%	63.0%	7.3%	9.8%	13.3%	87.1%	54.7%	16.2%
6	42.7%	6.7%	0.7%	55.0%	27.4%	17.6%	44.3%	3.5%	69.3%	2.6%	5.0%	3.1%	86.1%	41.6%	24.5%	56.9%	29.3%	13.8%	46.0%	2.9%	71.8%	2.2%	4.0%	2.7%	88.8%	43.3%	27.8%
12	35.9%	19.8%	1.4%	50.9%	24.8%	24.3%	33.4%	13.6%	54.7%	13.5%	4.3%	8.5%	83.2%	50.2%	15.4%	52.4%	27.7%	20.0%	35.1%	11.3%	57.8%	11.3%	3.4%	6.9%	86.1%	52.2%	17.0%
33	35.0%	42.3%	2.4%	57.5%	17.7%	24.8%	35.3%	32.4%	51.3%	22.2%	5.7%	51.3%	83.7%	39.3%	28.0%	60.8%	17.6%	21.6%	39.2%	30.5%	55.5%	19.9%	5.3%	52.5%	86.0%	39.7%	30.3%
22	34.5%	24.7%	1.8%	56.5%	19.0%	24.5%	36.0%	15.0%	53.6%	13.0%	5.8%	13.7%	84.1%	49.0%	17.4%	59.6%	20.2%	20.3%	38.6%	13.0%	56.3%	11.3%	4.7%	12.3%	86.7%	51.8%	19.1%
		Hisp.																									
37	4.1%	91.3%	2.1%	28.6%	40.8%	30.7%	1.9%	83.1%	5.1%	78.5%	0.3%	86.5%	76.8%	27.0%	42.5%	28.1%	44.6%	27.3%	1.9%	83.6%	5.4%	77.8%	0.3%	87.2%	78.9%	26.1%	46.5%
36	5.3%	77.5%	1.2%	31.7%	39.4%	28.9%	4.7%	66.9%	11.7%	53.3%	0.6%	75.8%	79.2%	25.3%	44.7%	32.0%	42.6%	25.4%	4.9%	66.5%	12.5%	50.6%	0.5%	76.4%	82.4%	24.3%	49.0%
35	5.7%	71.6%	2.2%	36.3%	33.3%	30.4%	4.1%	58.2%	8.5%	48.7%	0.6%	70.0%	75.8%	30.3%	40.0%	36.7%	35.5%	27.8%	3.8%	58.1%	8.2%	47.2%	0.5%	70.5%	78.0%	29.7%	43.1%

2010 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Primary Turnout			2010 General Election Registered Voters										2010 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	Dems who are:		Reps who	RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp	are Hisp.	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
39	76.4%	6.2%	48.3%	68.8%	11.6%	19.6%	54.1%	22.4%	68.0%	14.8%	13.8%	48.4%	86.4%	45.5%	25.1%	73.9%	12.7%	13.4%	58.5%	17.8%	72.0%	10.2%	9.7%	48.3%	90.9%	42.6%	34.5%
40	59.0%	2.8%	7.4%	66.7%	13.1%	20.2%	45.5%	9.7%	57.7%	7.7%	10.9%	12.5%	84.5%	52.7%	16.8%	72.1%	14.5%	13.4%	48.4%	6.2%	60.4%	4.6%	7.8%	10.0%	90.0%	53.9%	23.3%
6	65.5%	0.7%	1.4%	56.1%	27.5%	16.4%	43.3%	3.2%	67.1%	2.4%	5.1%	3.0%	86.8%	41.7%	25.7%	55.9%	34.0%	10.1%	40.8%	1.9%	66.8%	1.3%	3.1%	2.1%	91.6%	39.0%	37.7%
12	54.2%	5.5%	3.7%	51.2%	25.9%	22.9%	32.4%	12.4%	53.2%	12.3%	4.4%	8.1%	84.1%	50.4%	16.9%	49.6%	35.6%	14.8%	31.0%	7.5%	55.6%	7.7%	2.7%	5.3%	88.9%	50.7%	25.0%
33	62.5%	7.3%	46.7%	58.3%	18.6%	23.1%	34.9%	30.7%	50.2%	20.7%	6.0%	49.9%	83.8%	39.4%	30.3%	63.8%	20.6%	15.6%	42.0%	23.4%	58.5%	12.8%	4.9%	48.5%	88.8%	34.8%	42.7%
22	52.8%	3.7%	6.5%	57.2%	20.1%	22.7%	35.3%	13.5%	52.3%	11.5%	5.9%	12.9%	84.9%	48.4%	19.0%	59.2%	25.9%	14.9%	35.1%	8.0%	53.6%	6.5%	3.5%	8.9%	90.5%	48.4%	29.0%
37	9.5%	61.9%	88.6%	28.1%	43.4%	28.5%	2.2%	80.8%	6.0%	74.1%	0.3%	85.3%	77.8%	25.8%	45.8%	23.4%	56.8%	19.7%	2.1%	81.5%	7.5%	68.7%	0.2%	86.9%	83.9%	19.8%	60.6%
36	15.5%	29.4%	77.1%	32.0%	41.3%	26.8%	4.8%	65.4%	11.9%	50.6%	0.6%	75.3%	79.6%	24.7%	47.5%	31.2%	50.5%	18.3%	5.0%	62.8%	13.7%	40.1%	0.4%	75.7%	85.9%	19.9%	60.8%
35	8.7%	34.3%	78.3%	36.4%	36.0%	27.6%	4.1%	57.8%	8.8%	46.0%	0.7%	70.8%	78.4%	29.0%	44.0%	34.6%	45.1%	20.3%	3.4%	57.6%	7.9%	38.7%	0.4%	72.8%	81.6%	23.2%	57.0%

Election Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

Dist.	2012 US Pres		2012 US Sen		2010 Gov		2010 CFO		2010 Att.Gen		2010 Cm.Ag		2010 US Sen			2008 US Pres		2006 Gov		2006 CFO		2006 Att.Gen		2006 Cm.Ag		2006 US Sen	
Total	D_Oba	R_Rom	D_Nel	R_Mac	D_Sin	R_Sco	D_Aus	R_Atw	D_Gel	R_Bon	D_Mad	R_Put	D_Mee	R_Rub	I_Cri	D_Oba	R_McC	D_Dav	R_Cri	D_Sin	R_Lee	D_Cam	R_McC	D_Cop	R_Bro	D_Nel	R_Har
39	86.1%	13.9%	86.7%	13.3%	83.6%	16.4%	81.5%	18.5%	83.8%	16.2%	82.9%	17.1%	61.7%	15.8%	22.5%	84.8%	15.2%	79.6%	20.4%	83.0%	17.0%	79.5%	20.5%	78.8%	21.2%	83.8%	16.2%
40	84.6%	15.4%	86.0%	14.0%	81.9%	18.1%	77.9%	22.1%	80.7%	19.3%	80.1%	19.9%	53.5%	16.4%	30.1%	83.1%	16.9%	77.1%	22.9%	82.5%	17.5%	78.3%	21.7%	76.8%	23.2%	83.8%	16.2%
6	61.2%	38.8%	65.9%	34.1%	58.0%	42.0%	53.6%	46.4%	54.5%	45.5%	55.3%	44.7%	41.0%	41.8%	17.2%	60.7%	39.3%	49.7%	50.3%	57.3%	42.7%	49.3%	50.7%	49.1%	50.9%	61.7%	38.3%
12	65.8%	34.2%	70.4%	29.6%	61.1%	38.9%	53.5%	46.5%	55.6%	44.4%	54.7%	45.3%	38.3%	41.4%	20.3%	65.3%	34.7%	50.7%	49.3%	59.4%	40.6%	49.7%	50.3%	47.9%	52.1%	66.8%	33.2%
33	75.9%	24.1%	77.9%	22.1%	74.7%	25.3%	70.4%	29.6%	73.5%	26.5%	72.5%	27.5%	47.3%	24.8%	27.9%	74.2%	25.8%	72.1%	27.9%	76.7%	23.3%	72.6%	27.4%	71.6%	28.4%	78.3%	21.7%
22	74.1%	25.9%	77.9%	22.1%	69.7%	30.3%	61.7%	38.3%	61.6%	38.4%	60.1%	39.9%	38.7%	27.5%	33.8%	73.3%	26.7%	62.2%	37.8%	66.5%	33.5%	62.2%	37.8%	58.6%	41.4%	74.8%	25.2%
37	47.5%	52.5%	49.8%	50.2%	36.1%	63.9%	30.3%	69.7%	32.6%	67.4%	31.4%	68.6%	13.7%	68.6%	17.8%	39.6%	60.4%	32.2%	67.8%	37.8%	62.2%	35.6%	64.4%	34.0%	66.0%	43.2%	56.8%
36	48.4%	51.6%	51.0%	49.0%	46.3%	53.7%	36.8%	63.2%	41.8%	58.2%	38.2%	61.8%	16.1%	57.6%	26.4%	44.6%	55.4%	44.0%	56.0%	50.5%	49.5%	46.0%	54.0%	43.3%	56.7%	54.5%	45.5%
35	55.6%	44.4%	58.3%	41.7%	49.9%	50.1%	41.4%	58.6%	48.6%	51.4%	43.5%	56.5%	17.3%	53.8%	28.9%	51.5%	48.5%	46.5%	53.5%	53.3%	46.7%	50.4%	49.6%	47.4%	52.6%	57.9%	42.1%



Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: Suite 2000, The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: *http://www.flsenate.gov/session/redistricting*

Census and Boundary Stats

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:			Polygon	Length	Perim	Area	Reock	Convex	Polsby-	Counties:		Cities:		Follow political and geographic boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	Hisp.Blk	Rings	(miles)	(miles)	(sq.mi)	Ratio	Hull	Popper	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	County	City	Pol.	Roads	Water	Pol/Geo
	13,404	2.9%	15.1%	21.1%	0.9%	41	60.3	204.5	1,643.9	0.44	0.78	0.39	52	47	395	34	59%	23%	75%	19%	37%	93%
1	2,326	0.5%	15.5%	4.0%	0.3%	1	85	294	2,478	0.43	0.80	0.36	2	1	6	0	85%	6%	90%	7%	46%	99%
2	5,885	1.3%	11.5%	4.7%	0.2%	1	118	372	4,984	0.45	0.79	0.45	5	1	39	0	88%	6%	92%	6%	38%	99%
3	4,375	0.9%	29.6%	5.3%	0.4%	1	176	543	8,269	0.34	0.76	0.35	11	0	24	0	100%	1%	100%	1%	41%	100%
4	-214	0.0%	17.1%	6.6%	0.3%	1	110	436	5,368	0.57	0.82	0.35	9	0	27	1	100%	3%	100%	1%	33%	100%
5	-1,387	-0.3%	10.0%	6.4%	0.4%	1	59	323	1,349	0.50	0.71	0.16	1	1	7	1	73%	31%	73%	19%	43%	91%
6	-1,102	-0.2%	42.7%	6.7%	0.7%	1	29	118	296	0.44	0.73	0.27	0	1	0	1	25%	25%	25%	50%	19%	76%
7	6,567	1.4%	8.2%	6.0%	0.3%	1	83	264	2,036	0.37	0.69	0.37	3	0	11	1	100%	29%	100%	3%	40%	100%
8	-1,171	-0.2%	5.4%	6.1%	0.3%	1	106	368	3,390	0.38	0.69	0.31	4	1	17	1	96%	7%	97%	5%	44%	99%
9	-6,011	-1.3%	10.8%	9.1%	0.4%	1	80	334	3,179	0.54	0.81	0.36	2	2	11	0	82%	11%	88%	8%	27%	92%
10	-6,837	-1.5%	10.4%	9.1%	0.5%	1	57	201	1,099	0.43	0.75	0.34	0	1	15	1	81%	20%	87%	7%	59%	93%
11	-5,336	-1.1%	4.3%	9.8%	0.4%	1	56	142	868	0.35	0.92	0.54	1	0	6	0	100%	7%	100%	13%	29%	100%
12	-2,786	-0.6%	9.1%	15.1%	0.7%	1	67	263	1,253	0.36	0.69	0.23	0	3	17	0	50%	31%	75%	17%	16%	90%
13	-4,819	-1.0%	35.9%	18.5%	1.4%	1	29	103	311	0.49	0.81	0.37	0	1	5	1	50%	28%	72%	22%	27%	94%
14	3,753	0.8%	10.9%	14.9%	0.8%	1	30	109	364	0.52	0.81	0.38	1	1	9	0	83%	26%	97%	5%	60%	100%
15	-280	-0.1%	11.5%	23.7%	1.3%	1	52	174	961	0.45	0.76	0.40	0	2	4	1	54%	17%	71%	29%	44%	100%
16	5,490	1.2%	5.8%	9.8%	0.4%	1	37	109	355	0.33	0.72	0.38	0	2	6	2	47%	33%	65%	21%	60%	97%
17	5,667	1.2%	10.1%	24.7%	1.1%	1	40	122	440	0.35	0.84	0.37	0	1	2	1	51%	19%	60%	34%	6%	94%
18	-3,036	-0.6%	14.6%	15.2%	0.7%	1	64	255	1,220	0.38	0.79	0.24	0	1	13	0	51%	27%	77%	16%	31%	90%
19	-5,252	-1.1%	11.0%	37.7%	2.2%	1	72	258	1,773	0.44	0.81	0.34	1	1	4	1	78%	6%	82%	22%	33%	100%
20	-3,334	-0.7%	8.2%	8.1%	0.4%	1	61	203	1,415	0.48	0.87	0.43	0	2	17	0	67%	17%	84%	10%	41%	98%
21	5,147	1.1%	5.0%	6.5%	0.2%	1	25	86	284	0.52	0.82	0.48	0	1	16	2	49%	27%	61%	15%	77%	95%
22	1,926	0.4%	34.0%	22.6%	1.7%	1	36	119	364	0.36	0.70	0.32	0	2	0	2	9%	27%	32%	45%	32%	90%
23	4,481	1.0%	9.9%	14.7%	0.6%	1	56	201	1,230	0.50	0.68	0.38	0	2	5	0	56%	4%	57%	32%	21%	92%
24	-2,682	-0.6%	8.9%	15.9%	0.4%	1	136	449	6,761	0.47	0.73	0.42	7	1	15	0	85%	12%	91%	5%	20%	95%
25	-4,057	-0.9%	12.7%	12.1%	0.5%	1	60	186	1,499	0.48	0.86	0.54	2	1	7	0	88%	7%	93%	4%	52%	98%
26	5,140	1.1%	4.4%	7.5%	0.2%	1	51	141	819	0.40	0.84	0.52	1	1	4	0	76%	21%	77%	17%	40%	97%
27	-897	-0.2%	7.0%	13.4%	0.5%	1	44	128	795	0.52	0.91	0.61	0	1	4	0	58%	13%	70%	22%	56%	95%
28	1,105	0.2%	6.5%	21.7%	0.5%	1	91	281	2,722	0.42	0.79	0.43	1	1	4	0	81%	6%	86%	10%	32%	98%
29	-1,052	-0.2%	22.9%	26.9%	1.1%	1	22	83	215	0.52	0.83	0.40	0	1	15	0	19%	53%	72%	26%	33%	95%
30	2,368	0.5%	11.5%	13.9%	0.4%	1	69	218	1,990	0.53	0.89	0.53	0	1	13	0	71%	20%	87%	15%	26%	100%
31	-887	-0.2%	11.4%	10.1%	0.3%	1	29	84	230	0.35	0.85	0.41	0	2	14	3	37%	29%	57%	24%	47%	85%
32	-5,657	-1.2%	13.8%	24.5%	0.8%	1	53	166	927	0.43	0.85	0.42	0	1	4	4	61%	33%	89%	11%	3%	95%
33	3,429	0.7%	31.2%	32.6%	1.9%	1	18	70	137	0.52	0.78	0.35	0	2	7	1	21%	91%	91%	24%	10%	97%
34	-27	0.0%	10.0%	33.0%	1.1%	1	32	106	216	0.27	0.72	0.24	0	2	14	1	31%	51%	81%	20%	59%	98%
35	-2,776	-0.6%	6.6%	74.9%	2.7%	1	22	76	232	0.61	0.79	0.50	0	1	6	1	27%	27%	55%	24%	70%	99%
36	2,310	0.5%	3.5%	85.3%	1.4%	1	14	41	79	0.53	0.89	0.60	0	1	1	0	0%	3%	3%	56%	24%	71%
37	-970	-0.2%	6.2%	88.8%	2.4%	1	20	62	168	0.54	0.88	0.55	0	1	7	0	18%	43%	43%	32%	31%	70%
38	2,974	0.6%	18.7%	53.4%	1.9%	2	191	571	5,513	0.19	0.48	0.21	1	1	8	0	89%	2%	91%	2%	82%	96%
39	2,965	0.6%	55.8%	31.6%	2.9%	1	17	57	84	0.38	0.71	0.32	0	1	6	2	7%	61%	61%	29%	42%	90%
40	-5,348	-1.1%	50.1%	16.5%	1.2%	1	17	63	83	0.38	0.72	0.26	0	1	5	6	3%	49%	49%	39%	0%	72%

Overall numbers of county and city splits, relative to benchmark:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	Benchmark Plan (2002)	New/proposed plan and % change from 2002	
Number of Counties	67	67	
Counties with only one district	22	52	136%
Counties split into more than one district	45	15	-67%
Aggregate number of county splits	129	47	-64%
Aggregate number of splits with population	126	47	-63%
Number of Cities	410	410	
Cities with only one district	284	395	39%
Cities split into more than one district	126	15	-88%
Aggregate number of city splits	300	34	-89%
Aggregate number of splits with population	277	34	-88%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Brevard	15	172,836	31.8%	700.8	45.0%
Brevard	20	370,540	68.2%	856.2	55.0%
Broward	31	90,394	5.2%	51.2	3.9%
Broward	32	464,376	26.6%	927.3	70.1%
Broward	33	425,005	24.3%	128.9	9.7%
Broward	34	303,606	17.4%	132.2	10.0%
Broward	40	464,685	26.6%	83.3	6.3%
Duval	5	395,332	45.7%	622.7	67.8%
Duval	6	468,931	54.3%	295.8	32.2%
Hillsborough	16	116,661	9.5%	101.9	8.1%
Hillsborough	17	475,700	38.7%	440.2	34.8%
Hillsborough	22	389,459	31.7%	292.2	23.1%
Hillsborough	23	247,406	20.1%	431.4	34.1%
Indian River	20	96,159	69.7%	559.0	90.6%
Indian River	25	41,869	30.3%	58.0	9.4%
Lake	8	20,627	6.9%	35.5	3.1%
Lake	9	26,963	9.1%	355.8	30.8%
Lake	12	249,462	84.0%	765.7	66.2%
Lee	27	469,136	75.8%	795.3	65.6%
Lee	28	149,618	24.2%	417.2	34.4%
Manatee	23	227,108	70.4%	799.1	89.5%
Manatee	26	95,725	29.7%	93.6	10.5%
Miami-Dade	33	48,457	1.9%	8.2	0.3%
Miami-Dade	34	166,400	6.7%	84.2	3.5%
Miami-Dade	35	467,257	18.7%	231.9	9.5%
Miami-Dade	36	472,343	18.9%	79.1	3.3%
Miami-Dade	37	469,063	18.8%	168.4	6.9%
Miami-Dade	38	399,917	16.0%	1,775.7	73.0%
Miami-Dade	39	472,998	19.0%	83.7	3.4%
Okaloosa	1	23,368	12.9%	429.9	39.7%
Okaloosa	2	157,454	87.1%	652.2	60.3%
Orange	12	136,661	11.9%	147.0	14.7%
Orange	13	465,214	40.6%	310.9	31.0%
Orange	14	51,068	4.5%	18.4	1.8%
Orange	15	296,917	25.9%	259.9	25.9%
Orange	19	196,096	17.1%	267.1	26.6%
Palm Beach	29	468,981	35.5%	214.5	9.0%
Palm Beach	30	472,401	35.8%	1,990.1	83.5%
Palm Beach	31	378,752	28.7%	178.4	7.5%
Pinellas	16	358,862	39.2%	252.8	41.6%
Pinellas	21	475,180	51.8%	283.6	46.6%
Pinellas	22	82,500	9.0%	71.8	11.8%
Polk	12	81,124	13.5%	340.3	16.9%
Polk	18	466,997	77.6%	1,220.4	60.7%
Polk	24	53,974	9.0%	449.8	22.4%
Volusia	9	31,397	6.4%	333.8	23.3%
Volusia	10	463,196	93.7%	1,098.6	76.7%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Deerfield Beach	31	14,754	19.7%	2.9	17.6%
Deerfield Beach	32	35,142	46.8%	8.6	52.7%
Deerfield Beach	40	25,122	33.5%	4.8	29.7%
Fanning Springs	4	278	36.4%	1.7	44.4%
Fanning Springs	8	486	63.6%	2.2	55.6%
Flagler Beach	7	4,424	98.7%	4.0	99.3%
Flagler Beach	10	60	1.3%	0.0	0.7%
Fort Lauderdale	31	7,544	4.6%	1.2	3.2%
Fort Lauderdale	34	82,686	50.0%	21.5	55.7%
Fort Lauderdale	40	75,291	45.5%	15.9	41.1%
Jacksonville	5	352,853	42.9%	578.8	66.2%
Jacksonville	6	468,931	57.1%	295.8	33.8%
Largo	16	24,183	31.1%	5.7	30.4%
Largo	21	53,465	68.9%	12.9	69.6%
Miami	35	296,996	74.4%	37.5	66.9%
Miami	39	102,461	25.7%	18.6	33.1%
Miami Gardens	33	48,457	45.2%	8.2	42.9%
Miami Gardens	39	58,710	54.8%	10.9	57.1%
Orlando	13	118,099	49.6%	36.0	32.5%
Orlando	15	31,719	13.3%	9.6	8.7%
Orlando	19	88,482	37.1%	65.1	58.8%
Plantation	32	74,505	87.7%	19.1	86.9%
Plantation	40	10,450	12.3%	2.9	13.1%
Pompano Beach	31	49,151	49.2%	11.1	43.8%
Pompano Beach	40	50,694	50.8%	14.3	56.2%
St. Petersburg	21	162,269	66.3%	65.8	47.8%
St. Petersburg	22	82,500	33.7%	71.8	52.2%
Sunrise	32	56,248	66.6%	14.5	79.2%
Sunrise	40	28,191	33.4%	3.8	20.8%
Tamarac	32	27,420	45.4%	6.0	49.8%
Tamarac	40	33,007	54.6%	6.1	50.2%
Tampa	16	95,372	28.4%	92.0	52.5%
Tampa	17	49,524	14.8%	30.9	17.6%
Tampa	22	190,813	56.8%	52.3	29.9%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

2012 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Census			2012 General Election Registered Voters										2012 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	VAP who are:			RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	BlkHisp	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
39	55.8%	31.6%	2.9%	69.7%	9.7%	20.5%	56.3%	21.6%	69.5%	14.6%	14.8%	46.2%	86.2%	47.1%	20.9%	73.2%	9.3%	17.5%	59.3%	19.8%	71.6%	13.1%	12.7%	46.5%	88.4%	48.6%	21.8%
40	50.1%	16.5%	1.2%	65.2%	13.4%	21.4%	47.1%	10.0%	61.0%	8.0%	9.8%	11.8%	84.5%	51.8%	15.7%	69.0%	13.1%	17.9%	51.2%	9.1%	64.5%	7.1%	8.7%	11.5%	87.1%	54.0%	16.6%
6	42.7%	6.7%	0.7%	55.0%	27.4%	17.6%	44.3%	3.5%	69.3%	2.6%	5.0%	3.1%	86.1%	41.6%	24.5%	56.9%	29.3%	13.8%	46.0%	2.9%	71.8%	2.2%	4.0%	2.7%	88.8%	43.3%	27.8%
13	35.9%	18.5%	1.4%	50.4%	25.5%	24.1%	33.2%	12.6%	55.0%	12.5%	4.2%	7.9%	83.3%	50.0%	16.0%	51.6%	28.5%	19.9%	34.7%	10.5%	57.8%	10.5%	3.3%	6.5%	86.1%	51.7%	17.7%
22	34.0%	22.6%	1.7%	55.6%	20.0%	24.4%	35.1%	13.5%	53.1%	11.9%	5.3%	11.6%	84.2%	49.2%	17.1%	58.2%	21.4%	20.4%	37.2%	11.6%	55.4%	10.3%	4.3%	10.1%	86.8%	52.1%	18.6%
33	31.2%	32.6%	1.9%	53.8%	21.7%	24.4%	28.1%	25.0%	43.0%	18.6%	4.2%	32.4%	82.5%	39.9%	28.1%	56.7%	22.2%	21.0%	31.2%	23.7%	46.6%	16.8%	3.9%	32.7%	84.8%	40.1%	30.6%
		Hisp.																									
37	6.2%	88.8%	2.4%	31.2%	38.6%	30.3%	4.4%	79.6%	11.4%	71.6%	0.5%	85.1%	80.9%	28.0%	41.2%	31.0%	42.2%	26.8%	4.8%	79.8%	12.9%	69.9%	0.4%	85.9%	83.7%	27.1%	45.4%
36	3.5%	85.3%	1.4%	28.8%	40.7%	30.5%	1.9%	76.3%	4.5%	68.4%	0.4%	81.4%	67.8%	25.9%	43.4%	28.7%	44.4%	26.9%	2.0%	76.6%	4.8%	66.8%	0.3%	82.2%	71.0%	25.1%	47.7%
35	6.6%	74.9%	2.7%	35.1%	36.2%	28.7%	5.1%	60.3%	11.7%	49.3%	0.7%	70.2%	80.7%	28.8%	42.2%	35.4%	38.7%	25.9%	4.8%	59.4%	11.3%	46.9%	0.5%	70.0%	83.3%	27.9%	45.6%

2010 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Primary Turnout			2010 General Election Registered Voters										2010 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	Dems who are:		Reps who	RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp	are Hisp.	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
39	77.9%	5.4%	41.2%	70.4%	10.3%	19.3%	56.8%	20.2%	69.6%	13.5%	15.9%	45.5%	86.3%	46.9%	23.2%	76.4%	10.8%	12.8%	62.0%	15.1%	73.8%	9.0%	11.7%	43.9%	90.9%	45.4%	31.5%
40	62.9%	2.7%	6.3%	64.8%	14.4%	20.7%	45.1%	9.3%	58.8%	7.5%	9.9%	10.9%	84.5%	52.0%	16.8%	69.4%	16.8%	13.8%	48.3%	6.1%	62.6%	4.6%	6.8%	8.5%	90.0%	53.0%	23.6%
6	65.5%	0.7%	1.4%	56.1%	27.5%	16.4%	43.3%	3.2%	67.1%	2.4%	5.1%	3.0%	86.8%	41.7%	25.7%	55.9%	34.0%	10.1%	40.8%	1.9%	66.8%	1.3%	3.1%	2.1%	91.6%	39.0%	37.7%
13	54.3%	5.1%	3.4%	50.7%	26.5%	22.7%	32.3%	11.6%	53.6%	11.4%	4.3%	7.6%	84.1%	50.2%	17.4%	48.9%	36.4%	14.8%	30.6%	7.0%	55.7%	7.1%	2.6%	5.0%	88.9%	49.8%	25.8%
22	50.9%	3.4%	5.2%	56.2%	21.2%	22.6%	34.3%	12.2%	51.8%	10.5%	5.4%	10.7%	84.9%	48.6%	18.7%	57.4%	27.5%	15.1%	33.2%	7.0%	52.3%	6.0%	3.1%	7.2%	90.5%	49.1%	28.1%
33	51.3%	6.1%	22.0%	54.5%	22.7%	22.8%	27.3%	23.4%	41.4%	17.3%	4.3%	31.0%	82.7%	40.3%	30.0%	58.5%	26.1%	15.4%	31.7%	17.1%	47.4%	10.7%	3.4%	27.1%	87.4%	36.5%	41.3%
37	22.6%	50.2%	86.8%	31.1%	40.8%	28.1%	4.9%	76.9%	12.9%	66.9%	0.6%	83.7%	81.5%	27.1%	44.4%	27.3%	53.5%	19.3%	5.7%	76.6%	18.1%	58.5%	0.4%	85.2%	87.2%	20.8%	59.5%
36	4.7%	46.3%	83.5%	28.6%	43.1%	28.3%	1.9%	75.1%	4.4%	65.9%	0.4%	80.9%	67.7%	25.1%	46.4%	26.0%	54.6%	19.4%	1.8%	74.3%	5.1%	57.2%	0.3%	82.0%	73.0%	20.0%	60.4%
35	12.4%	31.0%	74.9%	35.2%	38.8%	26.0%	5.3%	59.4%	12.4%	46.1%	0.7%	70.6%	82.5%	27.3%	46.2%	33.3%	47.9%	18.9%	4.4%	57.3%	11.4%	36.9%	0.4%	70.8%	86.7%	21.4%	59.2%

Election Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

Dist.	2012 US Pres		2012 US Sen		2010 Gov		2010 CFO		2010 Att.Gen		2010 Cm.Ag		2010 US Sen			2008 US Pres		2006 Gov		2006 CFO		2006 Att.Gen		2006 Cm.Ag		2006 US Sen	
Total	D_Oba	R_Rom	D_Nel	R_Mac	D_Sin	R_Sco	D_Aus	R_Atw	D_Gel	R_Bon	D_Mad	R_Put	D_Mee	R_Rub	I_Cri	D_Oba	R_McC	D_Dav	R_Cri	D_Sin	R_Lee	D_Cam	R_McC	D_Cop	R_Bro	D_Nel	R_Har
39	88.0%	12.0%	88.4%	11.6%	86.3%	13.7%	84.0%	16.0%	86.1%	13.9%	85.5%	14.5%	64.1%	13.0%	23.0%	87.0%	13.0%	82.0%	18.0%	85.1%	14.9%	81.4%	18.6%	80.8%	19.2%	85.7%	14.3%
40	82.6%	17.4%	84.1%	15.9%	79.3%	20.7%	75.2%	24.8%	77.7%	22.3%	77.3%	22.7%	52.6%	18.8%	28.6%	81.2%	18.8%	74.6%	25.4%	80.0%	20.0%	75.7%	24.3%	74.1%	25.9%	81.5%	18.5%
6	61.2%	38.8%	65.9%	34.1%	58.0%	42.0%	53.6%	46.4%	54.5%	45.5%	55.3%	44.7%	41.0%	41.8%	17.2%	60.7%	39.3%	49.7%	50.3%	57.3%	42.7%	49.3%	50.7%	49.1%	50.9%	61.7%	38.3%
13	64.8%	35.2%	69.5%	30.5%	60.5%	39.5%	52.6%	47.4%	54.8%	45.2%	53.9%	46.1%	37.7%	42.1%	20.3%	64.6%	35.4%	50.1%	49.9%	59.0%	41.0%	49.1%	50.9%	47.3%	52.7%	66.3%	33.7%
22	72.7%	27.3%	76.7%	23.3%	68.6%	31.4%	60.0%	40.0%	60.3%	39.7%	58.4%	41.6%	37.0%	28.5%	34.5%	72.0%	28.0%	61.0%	39.0%	65.3%	34.7%	60.9%	39.1%	57.1%	42.9%	74.0%	26.0%
33	70.5%	29.5%	73.1%	26.9%	69.9%	30.1%	63.1%	36.9%	66.7%	33.3%	65.6%	34.4%	37.7%	28.6%	33.7%	69.3%	30.7%	66.6%	33.4%	72.9%	27.1%	67.4%	32.6%	65.2%	34.8%	75.0%	25.0%
37	49.8%	50.2%	52.3%	47.7%	39.8%	60.2%	34.4%	65.6%	36.5%	63.5%	35.6%	64.4%	16.9%	64.3%	18.8%	43.3%	56.7%	36.7%	63.3%	42.1%	57.9%	39.8%	60.2%	38.3%	61.7%	47.4%	52.6%
36	46.9%	53.1%	49.3%	50.7%	41.3%	58.7%	32.8%	67.2%	36.5%	63.5%	33.6%	66.4%	13.4%	63.3%	23.3%	41.0%	59.0%	37.7%	62.3%	43.9%	56.1%	40.1%	59.9%	37.7%	62.3%	48.2%	51.8%
35	53.0%	47.0%	55.7%	44.3%	48.5%	51.5%	38.9%	61.1%	45.9%	54.1%	40.9%	59.1%	16.4%	55.4%	28.2%	48.7%	51.3%	44.4%	55.6%	51.7%	48.3%	47.9%	52.1%	44.6%	55.4%	56.0%	44.0%



Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: Suite 2000, The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: *http://www.flsenate.gov/session/redistricting*

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:			Polygon	Length	Perim	Area	Reock	Convex	Polsby-	Counties:		Cities:		Follow political and geographic boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	Hisp.Blk	Rings	(miles)	(miles)	(sq.mi)	Ratio	Hull	Popper	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	County	City	Pol.	Roads	Water	Pol/Geo
	12,880	2.7%	15.1%	21.1%	0.9%	41	61.2	203.3	1,643.9	0.44	0.80	0.40	50	48	393	39	57%	20%	72%	20%	36%	92%
1	2,326	0.5%	15.5%	4.0%	0.3%	1	85	294	2,478	0.43	0.80	0.36	2	1	6	0	85%	6%	90%	7%	46%	99%
2	5,885	1.3%	11.5%	4.7%	0.2%	1	118	372	4,984	0.45	0.79	0.45	5	1	39	0	88%	6%	92%	6%	38%	99%
3	4,375	0.9%	29.6%	5.3%	0.4%	1	176	543	8,269	0.34	0.76	0.35	11	0	24	0	100%	1%	100%	1%	41%	100%
4	-3,468	-0.7%	15.9%	6.8%	0.3%	1	120	530	6,231	0.55	0.80	0.28	8	0	28	0	100%	4%	100%	2%	36%	100%
5	-3,561	-0.8%	10.1%	6.2%	0.4%	1	59	304	1,400	0.52	0.74	0.19	1	1	7	1	70%	26%	70%	16%	40%	86%
6	1,072	0.2%	42.5%	6.9%	0.7%	1	24	100	244	0.54	0.74	0.31	0	1	0	1	8%	8%	8%	47%	6%	57%
7	4,444	0.9%	9.8%	5.4%	0.3%	1	88	343	2,605	0.42	0.74	0.28	4	1	16	1	86%	21%	87%	1%	48%	100%
8	2,501	0.5%	8.6%	7.6%	0.4%	1	82	302	2,436	0.46	0.77	0.34	2	0	7	0	100%	4%	100%	2%	43%	100%
9	-3,535	-0.8%	12.3%	11.2%	0.6%	1	70	254	1,591	0.42	0.80	0.31	1	2	16	1	57%	25%	74%	11%	67%	99%
10	4,694	1.0%	6.2%	9.1%	0.4%	1	68	247	1,736	0.48	0.74	0.36	1	2	11	0	76%	9%	79%	17%	32%	94%
11	5,719	1.2%	8.0%	13.2%	0.6%	1	67	264	1,334	0.36	0.65	0.24	1	2	17	0	79%	21%	90%	10%	32%	97%
12	4,568	1.0%	35.8%	19.8%	1.4%	1	29	112	308	0.47	0.80	0.31	0	1	5	1	44%	37%	75%	23%	22%	94%
13	4,072	0.9%	10.9%	14.9%	0.8%	1	30	109	364	0.52	0.81	0.39	1	1	9	0	84%	25%	97%	5%	60%	100%
14	-5,831	-1.2%	6.9%	5.0%	0.2%	1	81	263	1,679	0.33	0.78	0.31	0	2	13	1	63%	20%	84%	18%	61%	99%
15	4,788	1.0%	10.4%	32.7%	1.8%	1	35	118	521	0.54	0.94	0.47	0	1	2	1	74%	10%	81%	18%	28%	97%
16	4,252	0.9%	5.5%	7.4%	0.3%	1	29	84	308	0.48	0.90	0.55	0	1	13	0	66%	28%	80%	6%	58%	92%
17	2,307	0.5%	6.1%	20.7%	0.9%	1	37	115	406	0.38	0.75	0.39	0	2	2	0	36%	13%	42%	43%	24%	89%
18	4,736	1.0%	5.2%	8.0%	0.3%	1	35	123	372	0.39	0.67	0.31	0	2	10	2	31%	33%	55%	24%	63%	91%
19	2,082	0.4%	34.3%	23.5%	1.7%	1	37	126	362	0.33	0.69	0.29	0	2	0	2	13%	26%	36%	44%	33%	89%
20	4,841	1.0%	11.5%	17.6%	0.9%	1	44	138	706	0.47	0.79	0.46	0	1	2	1	62%	14%	68%	25%	6%	94%
21	-3,315	-0.7%	12.4%	15.1%	0.5%	1	94	266	2,328	0.34	0.88	0.41	2	1	11	1	71%	15%	82%	13%	3%	91%
22	-3,378	-0.7%	13.7%	32.5%	1.9%	1	76	226	2,466	0.54	0.97	0.61	1	1	11	1	66%	17%	79%	14%	4%	89%
23	-6,995	-1.5%	12.5%	9.5%	0.4%	1	72	205	1,468	0.36	0.87	0.44	1	2	16	1	65%	9%	74%	24%	44%	100%
24	-6,889	-1.5%	7.8%	11.4%	0.3%	1	53	144	1,103	0.49	0.95	0.67	1	1	7	0	80%	4%	84%	9%	34%	95%
25	-4,343	-0.9%	9.5%	13.7%	0.5%	1	105	306	3,116	0.36	0.86	0.42	3	1	9	0	87%	4%	89%	11%	25%	100%
26	-6,956	-1.5%	4.4%	6.5%	0.3%	1	80	212	1,541	0.31	0.80	0.43	1	2	3	0	72%	10%	79%	11%	34%	93%
27	-4,969	-1.1%	6.8%	13.0%	0.5%	1	44	130	796	0.52	0.92	0.59	0	1	4	0	57%	14%	70%	15%	55%	89%
28	-6,761	-1.4%	6.9%	23.9%	0.4%	1	109	330	4,730	0.50	0.89	0.55	3	1	7	0	86%	3%	89%	7%	37%	97%
29	1,808	0.4%	18.2%	18.8%	0.7%	1	37	107	374	0.34	0.83	0.41	0	1	21	0	49%	36%	79%	19%	37%	95%
30	-857	-0.2%	16.8%	21.7%	0.7%	1	61	185	1,834	0.64	0.96	0.68	0	1	9	0	64%	14%	77%	20%	19%	95%
31	1,213	0.3%	10.9%	10.6%	0.4%	1	25	84	217	0.45	0.84	0.38	0	2	10	2	29%	29%	54%	30%	39%	81%
32	841	0.2%	13.2%	28.1%	0.9%	1	50	167	942	0.48	0.85	0.42	0	1	6	6	59%	25%	82%	13%	4%	90%
33	1,314	0.3%	35.0%	42.3%	2.4%	1	21	59	86	0.26	0.80	0.31	0	2	6	2	10%	55%	55%	47%	25%	90%
34	-3,580	-0.8%	12.1%	23.4%	1.0%	1	25	95	202	0.40	0.72	0.28	0	1	4	5	24%	46%	71%	21%	35%	86%
35	-1,514	-0.3%	5.7%	71.6%	2.2%	1	22	72	177	0.46	0.81	0.43	0	1	5	1	22%	48%	69%	18%	67%	92%
36	-2,428	-0.5%	5.3%	77.5%	1.2%	1	15	43	89	0.53	0.90	0.59	0	1	2	0	0%	30%	30%	82%	19%	93%
37	877	0.2%	4.1%	91.3%	2.1%	1	16	57	163	0.76	0.92	0.62	0	1	8	0	9%	35%	35%	59%	35%	92%
38	2,116	0.5%	17.5%	53.8%	1.8%	2	196	577	5,610	0.19	0.48	0.21	1	1	9	0	91%	3%	93%	6%	82%	100%
39	20	0.0%	52.5%	35.1%	3.4%	1	17	60	100	0.43	0.72	0.35	0	1	11	2	19%	36%	54%	29%	46%	86%
40	-2,481	-0.5%	50.3%	17.0%	1.2%	1	17	67	82	0.36	0.65	0.23	0	1	7	6	3%	42%	42%	26%	0%	57%

Overall numbers of county and city splits, relative to benchmark:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	Benchmark Plan (2002)	New/proposed plan and % change from 2002	
Number of Counties	67	67	
Counties with only one district	22	50	127%
Counties split into more than one district	45	17	-62%
Aggregate number of county splits	129	48	-63%
Aggregate number of splits with population	126	48	-62%
Number of Cities	410	410	
Cities with only one district	284	393	38%
Cities split into more than one district	126	17	-87%
Aggregate number of city splits	300	39	-87%
Aggregate number of splits with population	277	38	-86%

Split Counties and Cities

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Brevard	14	315,565	58.1%	1,029.3	66.1%
Brevard	23	227,811	41.9%	527.7	33.9%
Broward	31	92,129	5.3%	40.6	3.1%
Broward	32	470,874	26.9%	942.4	71.2%
Broward	33	251,058	14.4%	56.0	4.2%
Broward	34	466,453	26.7%	201.7	15.2%
Broward	40	467,552	26.8%	82.1	6.2%
Duval	5	393,158	45.5%	674.0	73.4%
Duval	6	471,105	54.5%	244.5	26.6%
Hillsborough	17	249,725	20.3%	126.3	10.0%
Hillsborough	18	110,219	9.0%	133.5	10.5%
Hillsborough	19	394,408	32.1%	299.7	23.7%
Hillsborough	20	474,874	38.6%	706.3	55.8%
Lee	26	63,962	10.3%	167.8	13.8%
Lee	27	465,064	75.2%	796.0	65.7%
Lee	28	89,728	14.5%	248.7	20.5%
Miami-Dade	33	220,289	8.8%	30.0	1.2%
Miami-Dade	35	468,519	18.8%	177.2	7.3%
Miami-Dade	36	467,605	18.7%	89.1	3.7%
Miami-Dade	37	470,910	18.9%	162.8	6.7%
Miami-Dade	38	399,059	16.0%	1,872.5	77.0%
Miami-Dade	39	470,053	18.8%	99.7	4.1%
Okaloosa	1	23,368	12.9%	429.9	39.7%
Okaloosa	2	157,454	87.1%	652.2	60.3%
Orange	11	145,147	12.7%	155.6	15.5%
Orange	12	474,601	41.4%	308.1	30.7%
Orange	13	51,387	4.5%	18.5	1.8%
Orange	15	474,821	41.4%	521.2	52.0%
Palm Beach	29	471,841	35.7%	373.5	15.7%
Palm Beach	30	469,176	35.5%	1,833.6	76.9%
Palm Beach	31	379,117	28.7%	175.9	7.4%
Pasco	10	242,082	52.1%	589.1	67.8%
Pasco	17	222,615	47.9%	279.3	32.2%
Pinellas	16	474,285	51.8%	307.6	50.6%
Pinellas	18	364,550	39.8%	238.5	39.2%
Pinellas	19	77,707	8.5%	62.1	10.2%
Polk	21	404,125	67.1%	1,050.4	52.2%
Polk	22	197,970	32.9%	960.2	47.8%
Putnam	7	49,518	66.6%	589.4	71.3%
Putnam	9	24,846	33.4%	237.6	28.7%
Sarasota	24	140,311	37.0%	209.9	28.9%
Sarasota	26	239,137	63.0%	515.5	71.1%
St. Lucie	23	97,199	35.0%	323.3	47.0%
St. Lucie	25	180,590	65.0%	364.9	53.0%
Sumter	10	59,867	64.1%	558.3	96.3%
Sumter	11	33,553	35.9%	21.6	3.7%
Volusia	9	345,956	70.0%	783.0	54.7%
Volusia	14	148,637	30.1%	649.4	45.3%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Davie	32	13,191	14.3%	4.2	11.8%
Davie	34	78,801	85.7%	31.5	88.2%
Deerfield Beach	31	47,534	63.4%	11.2	68.9%
Deerfield Beach	40	27,484	36.6%	5.1	31.1%
Fort Lauderdale	32	3,260	2.0%	1.1	2.8%
Fort Lauderdale	34	99,435	60.1%	24.2	62.7%
Fort Lauderdale	40	62,826	38.0%	13.3	34.5%
Jacksonville	5	350,679	42.7%	630.2	72.1%
Jacksonville	6	471,105	57.3%	244.5	28.0%
Margate	32	36,434	68.4%	6.5	71.7%
Margate	40	16,850	31.6%	2.6	28.3%
Marineland	7	0	0.0%	0.0	5.5%
Marineland	9	16	100.0%	0.3	94.5%
Melbourne	14	33,880	44.5%	14.7	37.1%
Melbourne	23	42,188	55.5%	24.9	63.0%
Miami	35	286,174	71.6%	44.7	79.7%
Miami	39	113,283	28.4%	11.4	20.3%
Miami Gardens	33	77,457	72.3%	12.3	64.8%
Miami Gardens	39	29,710	27.7%	6.7	35.2%
Orlando	12	118,273	49.6%	36.6	33.1%
Orlando	15	120,027	50.4%	74.1	66.9%
Pembroke Pines	32	83,104	53.7%	18.7	53.6%
Pembroke Pines	33	71,646	46.3%	16.2	46.4%
Plantation	34	77,571	91.3%	20.6	94.0%
Plantation	40	7,384	8.7%	1.3	6.0%
Pompano Beach	31	32,376	32.4%	7.7	30.3%
Pompano Beach	32	13,757	13.8%	3.6	14.3%
Pompano Beach	34	15,722	15.8%	3.2	12.4%
Pompano Beach	40	37,990	38.1%	10.9	43.0%
St. Petersburg	18	167,062	68.3%	75.6	54.9%
St. Petersburg	19	77,707	31.8%	62.1	45.1%
Sunrise	32	21,228	25.1%	8.8	47.9%
Sunrise	34	8,922	10.6%	1.2	6.3%
Sunrise	40	54,289	64.3%	8.4	45.8%
Tampa	18	97,113	28.9%	86.6	49.4%
Tampa	19	196,625	58.6%	60.5	34.5%
Tampa	20	41,971	12.5%	28.2	16.1%
Winter Haven	21	16,852	49.8%	19.8	49.6%
Winter Haven	22	17,022	50.3%	20.1	50.4%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

2012 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Census			2012 General Election Registered Voters										2012 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	VAP who are:			RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	BlkHisp	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
39	52.5%	35.1%	3.4%	68.1%	11.0%	20.9%	53.5%	23.9%	67.7%	16.2%	12.7%	48.9%	86.3%	46.2%	22.5%	71.5%	10.6%	17.9%	56.5%	22.0%	70.0%	14.6%	10.9%	48.9%	88.5%	47.5%	23.5%
40	50.3%	17.0%	1.2%	66.8%	12.2%	20.9%	47.2%	10.5%	59.7%	8.3%	10.8%	13.5%	84.5%	52.5%	15.7%	70.9%	11.5%	17.5%	51.3%	9.5%	63.0%	7.3%	9.8%	13.3%	87.1%	54.7%	16.2%
6	42.5%	6.9%	0.7%	54.6%	27.5%	17.9%	44.2%	3.7%	69.5%	2.8%	5.0%	3.3%	85.9%	41.3%	24.5%	56.6%	29.4%	14.1%	46.0%	3.0%	72.1%	2.3%	4.0%	2.9%	88.7%	42.9%	27.7%
12	35.8%	19.8%	1.4%	50.8%	24.9%	24.3%	33.3%	13.6%	54.5%	13.5%	4.3%	8.5%	83.2%	50.2%	15.4%	52.3%	27.7%	20.0%	34.9%	11.3%	57.5%	11.3%	3.4%	6.9%	86.1%	52.2%	17.0%
33	35.0%	42.3%	2.4%	57.5%	17.7%	24.8%	35.3%	32.4%	51.3%	22.2%	5.7%	51.3%	83.7%	39.3%	28.0%	60.8%	17.6%	21.6%	39.2%	30.5%	55.5%	19.9%	5.3%	52.5%	86.0%	39.7%	30.3%
19	34.3%	23.5%	1.7%	56.5%	19.1%	24.4%	35.6%	14.1%	53.0%	12.3%	5.7%	12.5%	84.1%	49.3%	16.9%	59.5%	20.3%	20.2%	38.0%	12.2%	55.5%	10.7%	4.6%	11.0%	86.8%	52.3%	18.4%
		Hisp.																									
37	4.1%	91.3%	2.1%	28.6%	40.8%	30.7%	1.9%	83.1%	5.1%	78.5%	0.3%	86.5%	76.8%	27.0%	42.5%	28.1%	44.6%	27.3%	1.9%	83.6%	5.4%	77.8%	0.3%	87.2%	78.9%	26.1%	46.5%
36	5.3%	77.5%	1.2%	31.7%	39.4%	28.9%	4.7%	66.9%	11.7%	53.3%	0.6%	75.8%	79.2%	25.3%	44.7%	32.0%	42.6%	25.4%	4.9%	66.5%	12.5%	50.6%	0.5%	76.4%	82.4%	24.3%	49.0%
35	5.7%	71.6%	2.2%	36.3%	33.3%	30.4%	4.1%	58.2%	8.5%	48.7%	0.6%	70.0%	75.8%	30.3%	40.0%	36.7%	35.5%	27.8%	3.8%	58.1%	8.2%	47.2%	0.5%	70.5%	78.0%	29.7%	43.1%

2010 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Primary Turnout			2010 General Election Registered Voters										2010 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	Dems who are:		Reps who	RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp	are Hisp.	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
39	76.4%	6.2%	48.3%	68.8%	11.6%	19.6%	54.1%	22.4%	68.0%	14.8%	13.8%	48.4%	86.4%	45.5%	25.1%	73.9%	12.7%	13.4%	58.5%	17.8%	72.0%	10.2%	9.7%	48.3%	90.9%	42.6%	34.5%
40	59.0%	2.8%	7.4%	66.7%	13.1%	20.2%	45.5%	9.7%	57.7%	7.7%	10.9%	12.5%	84.5%	52.7%	16.8%	72.1%	14.5%	13.4%	48.4%	6.2%	60.4%	4.6%	7.8%	10.0%	90.0%	53.9%	23.3%
6	66.5%	0.8%	1.6%	55.8%	27.5%	16.7%	43.6%	3.4%	67.7%	2.5%	5.2%	3.2%	86.8%	41.4%	25.9%	55.7%	34.0%	10.3%	41.2%	2.0%	67.6%	1.4%	3.1%	2.3%	91.6%	38.4%	37.8%
12	53.9%	5.5%	3.7%	51.2%	25.9%	22.9%	32.3%	12.4%	53.1%	12.2%	4.4%	8.1%	84.1%	50.5%	16.9%	49.6%	35.6%	14.8%	30.9%	7.5%	55.4%	7.7%	2.7%	5.2%	88.9%	50.8%	24.9%
33	62.5%	7.3%	46.7%	58.3%	18.6%	23.1%	34.9%	30.7%	50.2%	20.7%	6.0%	49.9%	83.8%	39.4%	30.3%	63.8%	20.6%	15.6%	42.0%	23.4%	58.5%	12.8%	4.9%	48.5%	88.8%	34.8%	42.7%
19	51.5%	3.6%	6.1%	57.3%	20.1%	22.6%	35.0%	12.8%	51.8%	10.9%	5.9%	11.9%	84.9%	48.8%	18.6%	59.6%	25.7%	14.7%	34.7%	7.6%	52.7%	6.3%	3.5%	8.2%	90.5%	49.2%	27.7%
37	9.5%	61.9%	88.6%	28.1%	43.4%	28.5%	2.2%	80.8%	6.0%	74.1%	0.3%	85.3%	77.8%	25.8%	45.8%	23.4%	56.8%	19.7%	2.1%	81.5%	7.5%	68.7%	0.2%	86.9%	83.9%	19.8%	60.6%
36	15.5%	29.4%	77.1%	32.0%	41.3%	26.8%	4.8%	65.4%	11.9%	50.6%	0.6%	75.3%	79.6%	24.7%	47.5%	31.2%	50.5%	18.3%	5.0%	62.8%	13.7%	40.1%	0.4%	75.7%	85.9%	19.9%	60.8%
35	8.7%	34.3%	78.3%	36.4%	36.0%	27.6%	4.1%	57.8%	8.8%	46.0%	0.7%	70.8%	78.4%	29.0%	44.0%	34.6%	45.1%	20.3%	3.4%	57.6%	7.9%	38.7%	0.4%	72.8%	81.6%	23.2%	57.0%

Election Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

Dist.	2012 US Pres		2012 US Sen		2010 Gov		2010 CFO		2010 Att.Gen		2010 Cm.Ag		2010 US Sen			2008 US Pres		2006 Gov		2006 CFO		2006 Att.Gen		2006 Cm.Ag		2006 US Sen	
Total	D_Oba	R_Rom	D_Nel	R_Mac	D_Sin	R_Sco	D_Aus	R_Atw	D_Gel	R_Bon	D_Mad	R_Put	D_Mee	R_Rub	I_Cri	D_Oba	R_McC	D_Dav	R_Cri	D_Sin	R_Lee	D_Cam	R_McC	D_Cop	R_Bro	D_Nel	R_Har
39	86.1%	13.9%	86.7%	13.3%	83.6%	16.4%	81.5%	18.5%	83.8%	16.2%	82.9%	17.1%	61.7%	15.8%	22.5%	84.8%	15.2%	79.6%	20.4%	83.0%	17.0%	79.5%	20.5%	78.8%	21.2%	83.8%	16.2%
40	84.6%	15.4%	86.0%	14.0%	81.9%	18.1%	77.9%	22.1%	80.7%	19.3%	80.1%	19.9%	53.5%	16.4%	30.1%	83.1%	16.9%	77.1%	22.9%	82.5%	17.5%	78.3%	21.7%	76.8%	23.2%	83.8%	16.2%
6	61.1%	38.9%	65.7%	34.3%	58.0%	42.0%	53.7%	46.3%	54.4%	45.6%	55.4%	44.6%	41.2%	41.7%	17.1%	60.8%	39.2%	49.7%	50.3%	57.3%	42.7%	49.3%	50.7%	49.2%	50.8%	61.6%	38.4%
12	65.7%	34.3%	70.3%	29.7%	61.2%	38.8%	53.5%	46.5%	55.6%	44.4%	54.7%	45.3%	38.3%	41.3%	20.4%	65.3%	34.7%	50.8%	49.2%	59.5%	40.5%	49.7%	50.3%	47.9%	52.1%	66.8%	33.2%
33	75.9%	24.1%	77.9%	22.1%	74.7%	25.3%	70.4%	29.6%	73.5%	26.5%	72.5%	27.5%	47.3%	24.8%	27.9%	74.2%	25.8%	72.1%	27.9%	76.7%	23.3%	72.6%	27.4%	71.6%	28.4%	78.3%	21.7%
19	73.8%	26.2%	77.7%	22.3%	69.8%	30.2%	61.8%	38.2%	61.6%	38.4%	60.2%	39.8%	38.5%	27.4%	34.0%	73.1%	26.9%	62.6%	37.4%	66.9%	33.1%	62.5%	37.5%	58.9%	41.1%	75.0%	25.0%
37	47.5%	52.5%	49.8%	50.2%	36.1%	63.9%	30.3%	69.7%	32.6%	67.4%	31.4%	68.6%	13.7%	68.6%	17.8%	39.6%	60.4%	32.2%	67.8%	37.8%	62.2%	35.6%	64.4%	34.0%	66.0%	43.2%	56.8%
36	48.4%	51.6%	51.0%	49.0%	46.3%	53.7%	36.8%	63.2%	41.8%	58.2%	38.2%	61.8%	16.1%	57.6%	26.4%	44.6%	55.4%	44.0%	56.0%	50.5%	49.5%	46.0%	54.0%	43.3%	56.7%	54.5%	45.5%
35	55.6%	44.4%	58.3%	41.7%	49.9%	50.1%	41.4%	58.6%	48.6%	51.4%	43.5%	56.5%	17.3%	53.8%	28.9%	51.5%	48.5%	46.5%	53.5%	53.3%	46.7%	50.4%	49.6%	47.4%	52.6%	57.9%	42.1%





Proposed State Senate Districts

S000S9078

* * * * *

14-Oct-15

Methodology II

Base Map for Consideration during Special Session 2015C

See LWV v. Detzner, Case No. 2012-CA-2842 in the Circuit Court of the Second Judicial Circuit, Leon County, Florida

Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: Suite 2000, The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: *http://www.flsenate.gov/session/redistricting*

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:			Polygon	Length	Perim	Area	Reock	Convex	Polsby-	Counties:		Cities:		Follow political and geographic boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	Hisp.Blk	Rings	(miles)	(miles)	(sq.mi)	Ratio	Hull	Popper	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	County	City	Pol.	Roads	Water	Pol/Geo
	14,629	3.1%	15.1%	21.1%	0.9%	41	62.3	209.6	1,643.9	0.42	0.78	0.38	51	45	391	44	60%	21%	74%	21%	38%	94%
1	2,326	0.5%	15.5%	4.0%	0.3%	1	85	294	2,478	0.43	0.80	0.36	2	1	6	0	85%	6%	90%	7%	46%	99%
2	5,885	1.3%	11.5%	4.7%	0.2%	1	118	372	4,984	0.45	0.79	0.45	5	1	39	0	88%	6%	92%	6%	38%	99%
3	4,375	0.9%	29.6%	5.3%	0.4%	1	176	543	8,269	0.34	0.76	0.35	11	0	24	0	100%	1%	100%	1%	41%	100%
4	-2,414	-0.5%	16.3%	5.7%	0.3%	1	111	447	4,486	0.47	0.73	0.28	7	1	24	1	90%	12%	92%	6%	20%	97%
5	-1,387	-0.3%	10.0%	6.4%	0.4%	1	59	323	1,349	0.50	0.71	0.16	1	1	7	1	73%	31%	73%	19%	43%	91%
6	-1,102	-0.2%	42.7%	6.7%	0.7%	1	29	118	296	0.44	0.73	0.27	0	1	0	1	25%	25%	25%	50%	19%	76%
7	-1,511	-0.3%	8.5%	6.3%	0.3%	1	133	434	4,292	0.31	0.71	0.29	5	1	21	1	90%	7%	92%	10%	37%	97%
8	7,695	1.6%	10.6%	8.3%	0.4%	1	80	354	2,982	0.51	0.79	0.30	2	1	13	0	88%	11%	94%	4%	44%	97%
9	-14	0.0%	10.4%	5.8%	0.3%	1	86	271	2,012	0.35	0.75	0.34	2	1	14	0	82%	24%	92%	8%	67%	99%
10	-6,670	-1.4%	5.0%	9.9%	0.4%	1	54	171	1,273	0.55	0.89	0.55	1	1	6	0	79%	4%	80%	18%	34%	88%
11	6,078	1.3%	11.9%	11.7%	0.6%	1	70	215	1,180	0.31	0.76	0.32	0	2	14	0	56%	29%	77%	13%	20%	91%
12	1,361	0.3%	35.9%	19.8%	1.4%	1	29	104	310	0.47	0.79	0.36	0	1	5	1	49%	25%	68%	28%	23%	92%
13	-5,994	-1.3%	10.5%	15.2%	0.8%	1	34	122	402	0.45	0.80	0.34	1	1	9	0	80%	21%	87%	9%	60%	98%
14	4,891	1.0%	8.9%	9.0%	0.5%	1	73	261	1,570	0.38	0.78	0.29	0	2	12	0	55%	19%	75%	17%	65%	96%
15	1,852	0.4%	10.1%	27.9%	1.6%	1	35	102	322	0.34	0.87	0.39	0	1	4	1	51%	17%	61%	41%	24%	99%
16	1,329	0.3%	10.7%	37.5%	2.1%	1	75	256	1,877	0.42	0.86	0.36	1	1	5	1	76%	5%	81%	18%	34%	97%
17	5,435	1.2%	8.3%	8.2%	0.4%	1	62	188	1,360	0.45	0.86	0.48	1	1	17	0	77%	8%	85%	7%	45%	97%
18	-4,726	-1.0%	4.8%	7.8%	0.3%	1	32	97	356	0.45	0.87	0.47	0	2	12	1	54%	17%	63%	12%	58%	86%
19	-5,961	-1.3%	6.8%	21.9%	1.0%	1	35	113	340	0.36	0.73	0.33	0	2	0	1	28%	30%	40%	30%	32%	75%
20	-6,934	-1.5%	34.8%	23.4%	1.7%	1	45	148	391	0.25	0.64	0.23	0	2	0	2	30%	21%	47%	38%	44%	91%
21	-6,295	-1.3%	11.7%	17.8%	0.9%	1	44	131	692	0.46	0.82	0.51	0	1	2	1	62%	14%	69%	24%	6%	93%
22	7,454	1.6%	12.7%	17.6%	0.6%	1	87	365	3,239	0.54	0.81	0.31	2	1	20	0	85%	7%	93%	6%	38%	97%
23	-6,369	-1.4%	5.1%	6.5%	0.2%	1	25	90	279	0.55	0.77	0.44	0	1	12	2	52%	36%	63%	20%	71%	94%
24	6,946	1.5%	7.6%	11.1%	0.3%	1	53	145	1,114	0.49	0.95	0.66	1	1	7	0	80%	0%	80%	12%	36%	97%
25	-5,930	-1.3%	12.7%	13.1%	0.5%	1	83	251	2,333	0.43	0.90	0.47	3	0	8	0	100%	2%	100%	0%	62%	100%
26	2,133	0.5%	5.2%	9.2%	0.2%	1	128	391	4,179	0.32	0.74	0.34	4	1	7	0	92%	0%	92%	6%	28%	99%
27	-897	-0.2%	7.0%	13.4%	0.5%	1	44	128	795	0.52	0.91	0.61	0	1	4	0	58%	13%	70%	22%	56%	95%
28	1,105	0.2%	6.5%	21.7%	0.5%	1	91	281	2,722	0.42	0.79	0.43	1	1	4	0	81%	6%	86%	10%	32%	98%
29	-1,269	-0.3%	22.4%	19.8%	0.8%	1	33	110	305	0.36	0.77	0.32	0	1	23	0	24%	56%	78%	20%	33%	98%
30	645	0.1%	15.3%	20.9%	0.7%	1	69	239	1,909	0.51	0.88	0.42	0	1	12	0	62%	25%	84%	14%	22%	96%
31	124	0.0%	9.1%	11.1%	0.4%	1	26	79	192	0.35	0.78	0.39	0	2	4	1	23%	32%	49%	49%	25%	89%
32	2,077	0.4%	15.4%	29.5%	1.0%	1	48	153	945	0.52	0.90	0.51	0	1	3	7	67%	25%	86%	15%	7%	95%
33	-2,548	-0.5%	50.6%	30.8%	2.7%	1	16	59	83	0.43	0.72	0.30	0	2	5	3	13%	76%	76%	37%	10%	92%
34	3,163	0.7%	10.3%	22.4%	0.9%	1	29	106	227	0.33	0.66	0.25	0	1	10	5	31%	49%	78%	27%	32%	91%
35	1,045	0.2%	14.5%	65.4%	2.8%	1	37	122	564	0.52	0.79	0.48	0	1	6	2	44%	23%	63%	28%	59%	96%
36	-1,716	-0.4%	7.0%	76.7%	1.5%	1	22	58	93	0.25	0.76	0.34	0	1	5	2	0%	48%	48%	77%	19%	97%
37	524	0.1%	6.8%	89.9%	2.6%	1	18	52	80	0.32	0.72	0.38	0	1	5	1	18%	60%	60%	48%	40%	97%
38	-1,812	-0.4%	6.9%	70.4%	1.4%	2	185	573	5,248	0.19	0.46	0.20	1	1	5	0	89%	4%	91%	5%	80%	99%
39	-1,889	-0.4%	32.4%	39.0%	2.2%	1	18	59	143	0.55	0.86	0.51	0	1	13	2	43%	23%	60%	22%	53%	94%
40	-1,015	-0.2%	50.0%	16.7%	1.2%	1	17	61	84	0.39	0.75	0.29	0	1	4	7	3%	38%	38%	55%	0%	73%

Overall numbers of county and city splits, relative to benchmark:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	New/proposed plan and % change from 2002		Benchmark Plan (2002)	
Number of Counties	67		67	
Counties with only one district	51	132%	22	
Counties split into more than one district	16	-64%	45	
Aggregate number of county splits	45	-65%	129	
Aggregate number of splits with population	45	-64%	126	
Number of Cities	410		410	
Cities with only one district	391	38%	284	
Cities split into more than one district	19	-85%	126	
Aggregate number of city splits	44	-85%	300	
Aggregate number of splits with population	44	-84%	277	

Split Counties and Cities

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Alachua	4	87,632	35.4%	661.8	68.3%
Alachua	7	159,704	64.6%	307.0	31.7%
Brevard	14	205,936	37.9%	813.5	52.3%
Brevard	17	337,440	62.1%	743.5	47.8%
Broward	31	89,465	5.1%	23.0	1.7%
Broward	32	472,110	27.0%	945.0	71.4%
Broward	33	244,277	14.0%	43.5	3.3%
Broward	34	473,196	27.1%	227.3	17.2%
Broward	40	469,018	26.8%	84.1	6.4%
Duval	5	395,332	45.7%	622.7	67.8%
Duval	6	468,931	54.3%	295.8	32.2%
Hillsborough	19	380,096	30.9%	244.1	19.3%
Hillsborough	20	385,392	31.4%	329.4	26.0%
Hillsborough	21	463,738	37.7%	692.2	54.7%
Lake	8	72,066	24.3%	492.9	42.6%
Lake	11	224,986	75.7%	664.1	57.4%
Lee	27	469,136	75.8%	795.3	65.6%
Lee	28	149,618	24.2%	417.2	34.4%
Miami-Dade	33	223,208	8.9%	40.0	1.6%
Miami-Dade	35	471,078	18.9%	564.1	23.2%
Miami-Dade	36	468,317	18.8%	93.3	3.8%
Miami-Dade	37	470,557	18.9%	80.2	3.3%
Miami-Dade	38	395,131	15.8%	1,511.2	62.2%
Miami-Dade	39	468,144	18.8%	142.5	5.9%
Okaloosa	1	23,368	12.9%	429.9	39.7%
Okaloosa	2	157,454	87.1%	652.2	60.3%
Orange	12	471,394	41.1%	310.1	30.9%
Orange	15	471,885	41.2%	322.4	32.1%
Orange	16	202,677	17.7%	370.7	37.0%
Palm Beach	29	468,764	35.5%	304.7	12.8%
Palm Beach	30	470,678	35.7%	1,909.2	80.1%
Palm Beach	31	380,692	28.8%	169.2	7.1%
Pasco	10	290,585	62.5%	684.2	78.8%
Pasco	18	90,136	19.4%	88.2	10.2%
Pasco	19	83,976	18.1%	96.1	11.1%
Pinellas	18	375,171	40.9%	267.4	44.0%
Pinellas	20	77,707	8.5%	62.1	10.2%
Pinellas	23	463,664	50.6%	278.7	45.8%
Polk	11	251,125	41.7%	516.0	25.7%
Polk	22	350,970	58.3%	1,494.5	74.3%
Sarasota	24	154,146	40.6%	221.3	30.5%
Sarasota	26	225,302	59.4%	504.1	69.5%
Volusia	9	184,284	37.3%	620.0	43.3%
Volusia	13	41,321	8.4%	56.3	3.9%
Volusia	14	268,988	54.4%	756.2	52.8%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Coral Gables	35	14,251	30.5%	31.5	84.5%
Coral Gables	36	32,529	69.5%	5.8	15.5%
Deerfield Beach	31	35,995	48.0%	9.5	58.7%
Deerfield Beach	34	11,939	15.9%	2.4	14.5%
Deerfield Beach	40	27,084	36.1%	4.4	26.8%
Fort Lauderdale	34	93,094	56.2%	23.0	59.6%
Fort Lauderdale	40	72,427	43.8%	15.6	40.4%
Gainesville	4	41,880	33.7%	34.0	54.5%
Gainesville	7	82,474	66.3%	28.4	45.5%
Jacksonville	5	352,853	42.9%	578.8	66.2%
Jacksonville	6	468,931	57.1%	295.8	33.8%
Largo	18	30,135	38.8%	7.4	39.5%
Largo	23	47,513	61.2%	11.2	60.5%
Margate	32	38,270	71.8%	6.8	74.8%
Margate	40	15,014	28.2%	2.3	25.3%
Miami	35	185,016	46.3%	27.7	49.4%
Miami	36	44,661	11.2%	3.5	6.3%
Miami	37	60,804	15.2%	5.2	9.3%
Miami	39	108,976	27.3%	19.6	35.0%
Miramar	32	33,661	27.6%	14.2	45.3%
Miramar	33	88,380	72.4%	17.1	54.7%
North Miami	33	31,301	53.3%	3.3	33.1%
North Miami	39	27,485	46.8%	6.7	66.9%
Orlando	12	116,986	49.1%	35.6	32.1%
Orlando	15	109,541	46.0%	34.7	31.4%
Orlando	16	11,773	4.9%	40.4	36.5%
Pembroke Pines	32	56,224	36.3%	17.0	48.8%
Pembroke Pines	33	98,526	63.7%	17.8	51.2%
Plantation	32	56,474	66.5%	13.7	62.3%
Plantation	34	15,100	17.8%	5.6	25.4%
Plantation	40	13,381	15.8%	2.7	12.3%
Pompano Beach	34	20,589	20.6%	4.3	16.9%
Pompano Beach	40	79,256	79.4%	21.1	83.1%
Southwest Ranches	32	5,287	72.0%	8.8	67.1%
Southwest Ranches	34	2,058	28.0%	4.3	33.0%
St. Petersburg	20	77,707	31.8%	62.1	45.1%
St. Petersburg	23	167,062	68.3%	75.6	54.9%
Sunrise	32	44,235	52.4%	12.8	69.9%
Sunrise	40	40,204	47.6%	5.5	30.1%
Tamarac	32	27,420	45.4%	6.0	49.8%
Tamarac	40	33,007	54.6%	6.1	50.2%
Tampa	19	101,964	30.4%	94.3	53.8%
Tampa	20	191,774	57.1%	52.7	30.1%
Tampa	21	41,971	12.5%	28.2	16.1%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

2012 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Census			2012 General Election Registered Voters										2012 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	VAP who are:			RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	BlkHisp	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
33	50.6%	30.8%	2.7%	65.4%	13.3%	21.3%	48.4%	22.8%	62.6%	15.5%	9.7%	41.5%	84.5%	44.4%	24.1%	69.4%	12.6%	18.0%	53.2%	20.8%	66.6%	13.7%	9.2%	42.6%	86.9%	45.7%	25.8%
40	50.0%	16.7%	1.2%	65.0%	13.6%	21.4%	47.2%	10.0%	61.4%	8.0%	9.7%	11.6%	84.6%	51.8%	15.7%	69.0%	13.2%	17.8%	51.5%	9.0%	65.1%	7.0%	8.6%	11.3%	87.1%	53.9%	16.7%
6	42.7%	6.7%	0.7%	55.0%	27.4%	17.6%	44.3%	3.5%	69.3%	2.6%	5.0%	3.1%	86.1%	41.6%	24.5%	56.9%	29.3%	13.8%	46.0%	2.9%	71.8%	2.2%	4.0%	2.7%	88.8%	43.3%	27.8%
12	35.9%	19.8%	1.4%	50.9%	24.8%	24.3%	33.4%	13.6%	54.7%	13.5%	4.3%	8.5%	83.2%	50.2%	15.4%	52.4%	27.7%	20.0%	35.1%	11.3%	57.8%	11.3%	3.4%	6.9%	86.1%	52.2%	17.0%
20	34.8%	23.4%	1.7%	56.6%	19.0%	24.4%	36.1%	14.1%	53.7%	12.2%	5.8%	12.5%	84.1%	49.3%	17.0%	59.5%	20.3%	20.2%	38.6%	12.1%	56.3%	10.6%	4.7%	11.0%	86.8%	52.3%	18.5%
39	32.4%	39.0%	2.2%	59.2%	15.3%	25.5%	33.7%	27.6%	48.9%	20.3%	6.1%	43.3%	85.8%	43.5%	24.0%	61.2%	15.8%	23.1%	34.4%	27.0%	49.5%	19.4%	4.8%	43.8%	88.0%	43.9%	25.5%
		Hisp.																									
37	6.8%	89.9%	2.6%	32.5%	39.1%	28.5%	6.2%	79.0%	16.2%	68.4%	0.6%	86.1%	84.5%	28.1%	42.6%	32.3%	42.8%	24.9%	6.6%	79.3%	17.8%	66.6%	0.4%	87.0%	87.3%	27.1%	46.9%
36	7.0%	76.7%	1.5%	33.5%	37.8%	28.7%	7.0%	65.3%	17.1%	50.8%	0.7%	76.1%	82.0%	26.1%	44.0%	33.8%	40.6%	25.6%	7.1%	65.4%	17.8%	49.0%	0.5%	77.0%	84.7%	25.3%	47.8%
38	6.9%	70.4%	1.4%	32.3%	36.6%	31.1%	4.8%	60.3%	11.0%	52.6%	0.7%	65.2%	74.9%	28.2%	39.6%	32.8%	39.9%	27.3%	5.1%	58.6%	12.1%	49.0%	0.6%	64.1%	78.6%	27.4%	43.6%

2010 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Primary Turnout			2010 General Election Registered Voters										2010 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	Dems who are:		Reps who	RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp	are Hisp.	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
33	72.5%	4.7%	34.5%	65.8%	14.1%	20.1%	47.9%	21.5%	61.6%	14.6%	10.3%	40.2%	84.5%	44.4%	26.3%	72.2%	14.7%	13.0%	55.5%	15.1%	68.7%	8.6%	8.3%	38.1%	89.4%	41.3%	37.1%
40	63.5%	2.7%	6.1%	64.7%	14.6%	20.7%	45.5%	9.3%	59.4%	7.5%	9.8%	10.7%	84.6%	52.0%	16.9%	69.2%	17.1%	13.7%	48.6%	5.9%	63.3%	4.5%	6.6%	8.2%	90.0%	53.0%	23.7%
6	65.5%	0.7%	1.4%	56.1%	27.5%	16.4%	43.3%	3.2%	67.1%	2.4%	5.1%	3.0%	86.8%	41.7%	25.7%	55.9%	34.0%	10.1%	40.8%	1.9%	66.8%	1.3%	3.1%	2.1%	91.6%	39.0%	37.7%
12	54.2%	5.5%	3.7%	51.2%	25.9%	22.9%	32.4%	12.4%	53.2%	12.3%	4.4%	8.1%	84.1%	50.4%	16.9%	49.6%	35.6%	14.8%	31.0%	7.5%	55.6%	7.7%	2.7%	5.3%	88.9%	50.7%	25.0%
20	52.7%	3.6%	6.1%	57.4%	20.0%	22.6%	35.6%	12.7%	52.6%	10.8%	6.0%	11.8%	84.9%	48.7%	18.6%	59.7%	25.6%	14.8%	35.4%	7.5%	53.7%	6.2%	3.6%	8.2%	90.5%	49.3%	27.7%
39	56.0%	9.3%	47.9%	60.5%	16.0%	23.5%	34.2%	26.1%	48.8%	18.6%	6.7%	43.6%	86.2%	43.2%	26.7%	63.4%	19.1%	17.5%	34.6%	23.1%	49.3%	14.4%	4.2%	44.7%	90.5%	39.4%	36.8%
37	31.8%	45.7%	88.4%	32.2%	41.4%	26.4%	7.1%	77.1%	18.8%	64.2%	0.7%	85.5%	85.3%	26.8%	45.9%	28.4%	54.1%	17.4%	8.0%	76.6%	25.5%	54.5%	0.4%	86.9%	90.6%	20.2%	61.5%
36	21.6%	30.1%	79.1%	33.9%	39.8%	26.4%	7.2%	63.4%	17.6%	47.3%	0.8%	75.4%	82.5%	25.3%	47.3%	32.4%	49.2%	18.4%	7.3%	62.3%	19.9%	38.6%	0.5%	76.8%	88.1%	20.1%	60.6%
38	13.1%	27.0%	60.3%	32.6%	38.2%	29.2%	4.9%	58.3%	11.2%	49.6%	0.8%	64.4%	75.2%	27.7%	42.2%	31.7%	48.0%	20.4%	5.0%	53.4%	13.1%	39.0%	0.5%	61.9%	82.0%	23.2%	55.6%

Election Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

Dist.	2012 US Pres		2012 US Sen		2010 Gov		2010 CFO		2010 Att.Gen		2010 Cm.Ag		2010 US Sen			2008 US Pres		2006 Gov		2006 CFO		2006 Att.Gen		2006 Cm.Ag		2006 US Sen	
Total	D_Oba	R_Rom	D_Nel	R_Mac	D_Sin	R_Sco	D_Aus	R_Atw	D_Gel	R_Bon	D_Mad	R_Put	D_Mee	R_Rub	I_Cri	D_Oba	R_McC	D_Dav	R_Cri	D_Sin	R_Lee	D_Cam	R_McC	D_Cop	R_Bro	D_Nel	R_Har
33	83.8%	16.2%	84.8%	15.2%	82.0%	18.0%	78.9%	21.1%	80.8%	19.2%	80.8%	19.2%	57.6%	17.0%	25.4%	81.9%	18.1%	77.8%	22.2%	82.0%	18.0%	77.9%	22.1%	77.1%	22.9%	82.6%	17.4%
40	82.8%	17.2%	84.2%	15.8%	79.4%	20.6%	74.9%	25.1%	77.7%	22.3%	77.2%	22.8%	52.9%	18.7%	28.4%	81.3%	18.7%	74.3%	25.7%	79.6%	20.4%	75.2%	24.8%	73.8%	26.2%	81.3%	18.7%
6	61.2%	38.8%	65.9%	34.1%	58.0%	42.0%	53.6%	46.4%	54.5%	45.5%	55.3%	44.7%	41.0%	41.8%	17.2%	60.7%	39.3%	49.7%	50.3%	57.3%	42.7%	49.3%	50.7%	49.1%	50.9%	61.7%	38.3%
12	65.8%	34.2%	70.4%	29.6%	61.1%	38.9%	53.5%	46.5%	55.6%	44.4%	54.7%	45.3%	38.3%	41.4%	20.3%	65.3%	34.7%	50.7%	49.3%	59.4%	40.6%	49.7%	50.3%	47.9%	52.1%	66.8%	33.2%
20	74.0%	26.0%	77.8%	22.2%	70.1%	29.9%	62.2%	37.8%	62.0%	38.0%	60.7%	39.3%	39.0%	27.2%	33.9%	73.5%	26.5%	62.9%	37.1%	67.1%	32.9%	62.9%	37.1%	59.3%	40.7%	75.2%	24.8%
39	76.2%	23.8%	78.3%	21.7%	74.7%	25.3%	70.0%	30.0%	75.5%	24.5%	72.5%	27.5%	45.1%	24.9%	30.0%	76.2%	23.8%	73.3%	26.7%	78.0%	22.0%	74.2%	25.8%	72.7%	27.3%	79.8%	20.2%
37	50.2%	49.8%	52.7%	47.3%	39.8%	60.2%	34.8%	65.2%	37.0%	63.0%	36.0%	64.0%	18.0%	64.7%	17.4%	43.1%	56.9%	37.0%	63.0%	42.1%	57.9%	40.2%	59.8%	38.7%	61.3%	47.5%	52.5%
36	51.0%	49.0%	53.6%	46.4%	47.1%	52.9%	38.4%	61.6%	43.2%	56.8%	39.9%	60.1%	18.2%	56.8%	25.0%	46.4%	53.6%	43.5%	56.5%	49.9%	50.1%	46.0%	54.0%	43.3%	56.7%	53.8%	46.2%
38	51.4%	48.6%	53.8%	46.2%	47.2%	52.8%	39.5%	60.5%	42.3%	57.7%	40.9%	59.1%	17.5%	53.7%	28.9%	47.8%	52.2%	44.8%	55.2%	51.4%	48.6%	46.3%	53.7%	44.7%	55.3%	55.4%	44.6%



Map produced by professional staff:
Florida Senate Committee on Reapportionment
404 S. Monroe St., Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100
Office: Suite 2000, The Capitol; Phone: (850) 487-5855;
Website: *http://www.flsenate.gov/session/redistricting*

Census and Boundary Stats

Dist.	Deviation		Voting Age Population:			Polygon	Length	Perim	Area	Reock	Convex	Polsby-	Counties:		Cities:		Follow political and geographic boundaries:					
	Total	%	Black	Hisp.	Hisp.Blk	Rings	(miles)	(miles)	(sq.mi)	Ratio	Hull	Popper	Whole	Parts	Whole	Parts	County	City	Pol.	Roads	Water	Pol/Geo
	14,937	3.2%	15.1%	21.1%	0.9%	41	59.9	200.3	1,643.9	0.46	0.80	0.39	47	54	392	39	54%	22%	71%	20%	35%	91%
1	4,099	0.9%	15.9%	4.2%	0.3%	1	75	257	2,368	0.53	0.86	0.45	2	1	5	0	77%	2%	79%	11%	53%	93%
2	4,112	0.9%	11.1%	4.5%	0.2%	1	118	364	5,095	0.46	0.80	0.48	5	1	40	0	84%	3%	85%	9%	40%	95%
3	4,375	0.9%	29.6%	5.3%	0.4%	1	176	543	8,269	0.34	0.76	0.35	11	0	24	0	100%	1%	100%	1%	41%	100%
4	-214	0.0%	17.1%	6.6%	0.3%	1	110	436	5,368	0.57	0.82	0.35	9	0	27	1	100%	3%	100%	1%	33%	100%
5	-3,561	-0.8%	10.1%	6.2%	0.4%	1	59	304	1,400	0.52	0.74	0.19	1	1	7	1	70%	26%	70%	16%	40%	86%
6	1,072	0.2%	42.5%	6.9%	0.7%	1	24	100	244	0.54	0.74	0.31	0	1	0	1	8%	8%	8%	47%	6%	57%
7	-5,684	-1.2%	9.1%	6.7%	0.3%	1	88	286	2,789	0.45	0.85	0.43	2	2	11	0	73%	18%	74%	22%	21%	95%
8	297	0.1%	10.9%	6.2%	0.3%	1	93	287	1,709	0.25	0.70	0.26	1	2	14	1	70%	19%	78%	22%	55%	99%
9	-2,487	-0.5%	8.1%	7.7%	0.4%	1	82	322	3,259	0.60	0.82	0.39	2	2	14	1	76%	4%	78%	12%	56%	90%
10	3,595	0.8%	9.1%	9.3%	0.4%	1	89	322	2,082	0.34	0.66	0.25	2	1	20	0	82%	16%	95%	12%	39%	100%
11	-4,010	-0.9%	11.1%	17.4%	1.0%	1	31	144	416	0.56	0.82	0.25	0	2	9	0	46%	54%	89%	6%	32%	93%
12	-777	-0.2%	6.7%	5.0%	0.2%	1	81	250	1,693	0.33	0.79	0.34	0	2	13	2	63%	15%	78%	17%	59%	96%
13	1,907	0.4%	11.3%	39.8%	2.3%	1	30	122	445	0.60	0.77	0.37	0	2	5	1	29%	24%	53%	42%	11%	86%
14	687	0.1%	35.9%	18.7%	1.4%	1	28	100	301	0.50	0.83	0.38	0	1	6	1	50%	28%	71%	21%	26%	87%
15	4,052	0.9%	9.6%	24.9%	1.3%	1	39	140	491	0.42	0.83	0.31	0	2	3	1	54%	32%	79%	25%	26%	97%
16	-2,425	-0.5%	5.0%	10.1%	0.4%	1	50	160	1,039	0.54	0.89	0.51	0	2	6	0	65%	12%	74%	22%	22%	86%
17	5,590	1.2%	13.3%	17.6%	0.8%	1	79	278	2,703	0.55	0.92	0.44	0	2	15	1	55%	21%	76%	17%	8%	91%
18	87	0.0%	13.3%	10.3%	0.5%	1	72	192	1,382	0.34	0.87	0.47	1	2	14	2	64%	7%	70%	23%	39%	93%
19	4	0.0%	4.7%	7.6%	0.3%	1	30	98	360	0.49	0.84	0.47	0	2	11	1	53%	25%	67%	10%	59%	90%
20	-2,781	-0.6%	5.4%	6.5%	0.3%	1	24	89	274	0.60	0.78	0.43	0	1	12	2	48%	28%	56%	20%	69%	92%
21	2,385	0.5%	34.3%	23.5%	1.7%	1	45	152	400	0.25	0.64	0.22	0	2	0	2	30%	20%	46%	36%	44%	89%
22	1,326	0.3%	9.4%	23.0%	1.1%	1	33	118	327	0.37	0.71	0.30	0	1	1	1	46%	40%	65%	29%	34%	98%
23	6,732	1.4%	11.4%	17.0%	0.7%	1	44	162	870	0.56	0.84	0.41	0	2	2	1	32%	18%	50%	31%	7%	80%
24	-7,009	-1.5%	8.2%	13.0%	0.3%	1	79	218	1,662	0.34	0.86	0.44	2	1	10	0	84%	5%	89%	7%	24%	97%
25	-8,205	-1.7%	4.0%	6.1%	0.2%	1	74	220	2,092	0.49	0.93	0.54	2	1	4	0	85%	5%	89%	7%	29%	97%
26	-714	-0.2%	9.8%	16.2%	0.5%	1	105	371	5,326	0.52	0.77	0.49	5	1	11	1	90%	2%	91%	8%	20%	98%
27	-173	0.0%	9.2%	16.7%	0.6%	1	51	146	866	0.42	0.81	0.51	0	1	3	0	73%	2%	74%	22%	50%	99%
28	381	0.1%	4.4%	18.3%	0.3%	1	85	235	2,651	0.47	0.87	0.60	1	1	5	0	83%	1%	84%	14%	37%	100%
29	-1,052	-0.2%	22.9%	26.9%	1.1%	1	22	83	215	0.52	0.83	0.40	0	1	15	0	19%	53%	72%	26%	33%	95%
30	2,368	0.5%	11.5%	13.9%	0.4%	1	69	218	1,990	0.53	0.89	0.53	0	1	13	0	71%	20%	87%	15%	26%	100%
31	-887	-0.2%	11.4%	10.1%	0.3%	1	29	84	230	0.35	0.85	0.41	0	2	14	3	37%	29%	57%	24%	47%	85%
32	-5,657	-1.2%	13.8%	24.5%	0.8%	1	53	166	927	0.43	0.85	0.42	0	1	4	4	61%	33%	89%	11%	3%	95%
33	3,429	0.7%	31.2%	32.6%	1.9%	1	18	70	137	0.52	0.78	0.35	0	2	7	1	21%	91%	91%	24%	10%	97%
34	-27	0.0%	10.0%	33.0%	1.1%	1	32	106	216	0.27	0.72	0.24	0	2	14	1	31%	51%	81%	20%	59%	98%
35	-2,776	-0.6%	6.6%	74.9%	2.7%	1	22	76	232	0.61	0.79	0.50	0	1	6	1	27%	27%	55%	24%	70%	99%
36	2,310	0.5%	3.5%	85.3%	1.4%	1	14	41	79	0.53	0.89	0.60	0	1	1	0	0%	3%	3%	56%	24%	71%
37	-970	-0.2%	6.2%	88.8%	2.4%	1	20	62	168	0.54	0.88	0.55	0	1	7	0	18%	43%	43%	32%	31%	70%
38	2,974	0.6%	18.7%	53.4%	1.9%	2	191	571	5,513	0.19	0.48	0.21	1	1	8	0	89%	2%	91%	2%	82%	96%
39	2,965	0.6%	55.8%	31.6%	2.9%	1	17	57	84	0.38	0.71	0.32	0	1	6	2	7%	61%	61%	29%	42%	90%
40	-5,348	-1.1%	50.1%	16.5%	1.2%	1	17	63	83	0.38	0.72	0.26	0	1	5	6	3%	49%	49%	39%	0%	72%

Overall numbers of county and city splits, relative to benchmark:

District lines and City and County Boundaries	New/proposed plan and % change from 2002		Benchmark Plan (2002)	
Number of Counties	67		67	
Counties with only one district	47	114%	22	
Counties split into more than one district	20	-56%	45	
Aggregate number of county splits	54	-58%	129	
Aggregate number of splits with population	54	-57%	126	
Number of Cities	410		410	
Cities with only one district	392	38%	284	
Cities split into more than one district	18	-86%	126	
Aggregate number of city splits	39	-87%	300	
Aggregate number of splits with population	39	-86%	277	

Split Counties and Cities

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Brevard	12	356,878	65.7%	1,080.7	69.4%
Brevard	18	186,498	34.3%	476.3	30.6%
Broward	31	90,394	5.2%	51.2	3.9%
Broward	32	464,376	26.6%	927.3	70.1%
Broward	33	425,005	24.3%	128.9	9.7%
Broward	34	303,606	17.4%	132.2	10.0%
Broward	40	464,685	26.6%	83.3	6.3%
Duval	5	393,158	45.5%	674.0	73.4%
Duval	6	471,105	54.5%	244.5	26.6%
Hernando	9	78,956	45.7%	335.3	56.9%
Hernando	16	93,822	54.3%	253.7	43.1%
Hillsborough	21	402,254	32.7%	341.8	27.0%
Hillsborough	22	471,359	38.4%	326.9	25.8%
Hillsborough	23	355,613	28.9%	597.0	47.2%
Lee	27	469,860	75.9%	866.1	71.4%
Lee	28	148,894	24.1%	346.4	28.6%
Marion	7	124,745	37.7%	925.5	55.7%
Marion	9	206,553	62.4%	737.1	44.3%
Miami-Dade	33	48,457	1.9%	8.2	0.3%
Miami-Dade	34	166,400	6.7%	84.2	3.5%
Miami-Dade	35	467,257	18.7%	231.9	9.5%
Miami-Dade	36	472,343	18.9%	79.1	3.3%
Miami-Dade	37	469,063	18.8%	168.4	6.9%
Miami-Dade	38	399,917	16.0%	1,775.7	73.0%
Miami-Dade	39	472,998	19.0%	83.7	3.4%
Okaloosa	1	25,141	13.9%	319.2	29.5%
Okaloosa	2	155,681	86.1%	762.9	70.5%
Orange	13	281,091	24.5%	250.1	24.9%
Orange	14	470,720	41.1%	301.5	30.1%
Orange	15	394,145	34.4%	451.7	45.0%
Osceola	13	190,849	71.0%	194.7	12.9%
Osceola	17	77,836	29.0%	1,311.2	87.1%
Palm Beach	29	468,981	35.5%	214.5	9.0%
Palm Beach	30	472,401	35.8%	1,990.1	83.5%
Palm Beach	31	378,752	28.7%	178.4	7.5%
Pasco	16	373,786	80.4%	785.0	90.4%
Pasco	19	90,911	19.6%	83.4	9.6%
Pinellas	19	379,126	41.4%	276.1	45.4%
Pinellas	20	467,252	51.0%	273.9	45.0%
Pinellas	21	70,164	7.7%	58.1	9.6%
Polk	10	83,156	13.8%	345.3	17.2%
Polk	17	397,787	66.1%	1,392.2	69.2%
Polk	23	121,152	20.1%	273.1	13.6%
Sarasota	24	112,460	29.6%	131.2	18.1%
Sarasota	25	266,988	70.4%	594.1	81.9%
Seminole	11	342,778	81.1%	305.7	88.5%
Seminole	15	79,940	18.9%	39.7	11.5%
St. Johns	7	74,375	39.1%	392.6	47.8%
St. Johns	8	115,664	60.9%	428.9	52.2%
St. Lucie	18	145,594	52.4%	289.2	42.0%
St. Lucie	26	132,195	47.6%	398.9	58.0%
Volusia	8	258,970	52.4%	709.3	49.5%
Volusia	11	123,245	24.9%	110.5	7.7%
Volusia	12	112,378	22.7%	612.6	42.8%

Counties included in more than one district					
County	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%
Deerfield Beach	31	14,754	19.7%	2.9	17.6%
Deerfield Beach	32	35,142	46.8%	8.6	52.7%
Deerfield Beach	40	25,122	33.5%	4.8	29.7%
Fanning Springs	4	278	36.4%	1.7	44.4%
Fanning Springs	9	486	63.6%	2.2	55.6%
Fort Lauderdale	31	7,544	4.6%	1.2	3.2%
Fort Lauderdale	34	82,686	50.0%	21.5	55.7%
Fort Lauderdale	40	75,291	45.5%	15.9	41.1%
Jacksonville	5	350,679	42.7%	630.2	72.1%
Jacksonville	6	471,105	57.3%	244.5	28.0%
Lakeland	17	46,711	48.0%	38.3	51.6%
Lakeland	23	50,711	52.1%	36.0	48.4%
Largo	19	30,220	38.9%	7.4	39.7%
Largo	20	47,428	61.1%	11.2	60.3%
Melbourne	12	49,122	64.6%	25.2	63.6%
Melbourne	18	26,946	35.4%	14.4	36.4%
Miami	35	296,996	74.4%	37.5	66.9%
Miami	39	102,461	25.7%	18.6	33.1%
Miami Gardens	33	48,457	45.2%	8.2	42.9%
Miami Gardens	39	58,710	54.8%	10.9	57.1%
Orlando	13	65,103	27.3%	52.0	46.9%
Orlando	14	107,077	44.9%	31.6	28.6%
Orlando	15	66,120	27.8%	27.1	24.5%
Plantation	32	74,505	87.7%	19.1	86.9%
Plantation	40	10,450	12.3%	2.9	13.1%
Pompano Beach	31	49,151	49.2%	11.1	43.8%
Pompano Beach	40	50,694	50.8%	14.3	56.2%
Port Orange	8	19,371	34.6%	7.4	25.7%
Port Orange	12	36,677	65.4%	21.3	74.3%
Port St. Lucie	18	40,743	24.8%	18.4	16.0%
Port St. Lucie	26	123,860	75.3%	96.8	84.0%
St. Petersburg	20	174,605	71.3%	79.5	57.8%
St. Petersburg	21	70,164	28.7%	58.1	42.2%
Sunrise	32	56,248	66.6%	14.5	79.2%
Sunrise	40	28,191	33.4%	3.8	20.8%
Tamarac	32	27,420	45.4%	6.0	49.8%
Tamarac	40	33,007	54.6%	6.1	50.2%
Tampa	21	196,278	58.5%	55.8	31.8%
Tampa	22	139,431	41.5%	119.5	68.2%

Cities included in more than one district					
City	Dist.	Total Pop	Pop%	Total Area	Area%

2012 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Census			2012 General Election Registered Voters										2012 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	VAP who are:			RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp.	BlkHisp	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
39	55.8%	31.6%	2.9%	69.7%	9.7%	20.5%	56.3%	21.6%	69.5%	14.6%	14.8%	46.2%	86.2%	47.1%	20.9%	73.2%	9.3%	17.5%	59.3%	19.8%	71.6%	13.1%	12.7%	46.5%	88.4%	48.6%	21.8%
40	50.1%	16.5%	1.2%	65.2%	13.4%	21.4%	47.1%	10.0%	61.0%	8.0%	9.8%	11.8%	84.5%	51.8%	15.7%	69.0%	13.1%	17.9%	51.2%	9.1%	64.5%	7.1%	8.7%	11.5%	87.1%	54.0%	16.6%
6	42.5%	6.9%	0.7%	54.6%	27.5%	17.9%	44.2%	3.7%	69.5%	2.8%	5.0%	3.3%	85.9%	41.3%	24.5%	56.6%	29.4%	14.1%	46.0%	3.0%	72.1%	2.3%	4.0%	2.9%	88.7%	42.9%	27.7%
14	35.9%	18.7%	1.4%	50.1%	25.5%	24.3%	33.2%	12.8%	55.1%	12.7%	4.2%	8.2%	83.2%	49.7%	16.3%	51.4%	28.5%	20.1%	34.7%	10.8%	58.1%	10.7%	3.3%	6.9%	86.1%	51.3%	18.2%
21	34.3%	23.5%	1.7%	56.0%	19.6%	24.4%	35.7%	14.2%	53.5%	12.4%	5.6%	12.4%	84.1%	49.0%	17.2%	58.8%	20.9%	20.3%	38.0%	12.2%	56.0%	10.8%	4.5%	10.9%	86.7%	51.9%	18.7%
33	31.2%	32.6%	1.9%	53.8%	21.7%	24.4%	28.1%	25.0%	43.0%	18.6%	4.2%	32.4%	82.5%	39.9%	28.1%	56.7%	22.2%	21.0%	31.2%	23.7%	46.6%	16.8%	3.9%	32.7%	84.8%	40.1%	30.6%
		Hisp.																									
37	6.2%	88.8%	2.4%	31.2%	38.6%	30.3%	4.4%	79.6%	11.4%	71.6%	0.5%	85.1%	80.9%	28.0%	41.2%	31.0%	42.2%	26.8%	4.8%	79.8%	12.9%	69.9%	0.4%	85.9%	83.7%	27.1%	45.4%
36	3.5%	85.3%	1.4%	28.8%	40.7%	30.5%	1.9%	76.3%	4.5%	68.4%	0.4%	81.4%	67.8%	25.9%	43.4%	28.7%	44.4%	26.9%	2.0%	76.6%	4.8%	66.8%	0.3%	82.2%	71.0%	25.1%	47.7%
35	6.6%	74.9%	2.7%	35.1%	36.2%	28.7%	5.1%	60.3%	11.7%	49.3%	0.7%	70.2%	80.7%	28.8%	42.2%	35.4%	38.7%	25.9%	4.8%	59.4%	11.3%	46.9%	0.5%	70.0%	83.3%	27.9%	45.6%

2010 Voter Registration and Turnout Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

	2010 Primary Turnout			2010 General Election Registered Voters										2010 General Election Voter Turnout									
Dist.	Dems who are:		Reps who	RV who are:			RV who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:		Voters who are:			Voters who are:		Dems who are:		Reps who are:		Blks who	Hisp. who are:	
	Black	Hisp	are Hisp.	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps	Dems	Reps	NPA-Oth	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	Black	Hisp.	are Dems	Dems	Reps
39	77.9%	5.4%	41.2%	70.4%	10.3%	19.3%	56.8%	20.2%	69.6%	13.5%	15.9%	45.5%	86.3%	46.9%	23.2%	76.4%	10.8%	12.8%	62.0%	15.1%	73.8%	9.0%	11.7%	43.9%	90.9%	45.4%	31.5%
40	62.9%	2.7%	6.3%	64.8%	14.4%	20.7%	45.1%	9.3%	58.8%	7.5%	9.9%	10.9%	84.5%	52.0%	16.8%	69.4%	16.8%	13.8%	48.3%	6.1%	62.6%	4.6%	6.8%	8.5%	90.0%	53.0%	23.6%
6	66.5%	0.8%	1.6%	55.8%	27.5%	16.7%	43.6%	3.4%	67.7%	2.5%	5.2%	3.2%	86.8%	41.4%	25.9%	55.7%	34.0%	10.3%	41.2%	2.0%	67.6%	1.4%	3.1%	2.3%	91.6%	38.4%	37.8%
14	54.8%	5.5%	3.7%	50.3%	26.7%	22.9%	32.1%	11.7%	53.5%	11.6%	4.2%	7.8%	84.0%	49.8%	17.9%	48.2%	36.7%	15.0%	30.4%	7.2%	55.9%	7.4%	2.6%	5.2%	88.7%	49.3%	26.5%
21	51.9%	3.6%	5.9%	56.6%	20.7%	22.6%	34.8%	12.8%	52.1%	10.9%	5.7%	11.6%	84.8%	48.4%	18.8%	58.5%	26.7%	14.9%	34.3%	7.5%	53.0%	6.3%	3.4%	7.9%	90.4%	48.8%	28.1%
33	51.3%	6.1%	22.0%	54.5%	22.7%	22.8%	27.3%	23.4%	41.4%	17.3%	4.3%	31.0%	82.7%	40.3%	30.0%	58.5%	26.1%	15.4%	31.7%	17.1%	47.4%	10.7%	3.4%	27.1%	87.4%	36.5%	41.3%
37	22.6%	50.2%	86.8%	31.1%	40.8%	28.1%	4.9%	76.9%	12.9%	66.9%	0.6%	83.7%	81.5%	27.1%	44.4%	27.3%	53.5%	19.3%	5.7%	76.6%	18.1%	58.5%	0.4%	85.2%	87.2%	20.8%	59.5%
36	4.7%	46.3%	83.5%	28.6%	43.1%	28.3%	1.9%	75.1%	4.4%	65.9%	0.4%	80.9%	67.7%	25.1%	46.4%	26.0%	54.6%	19.4%	1.8%	74.3%	5.1%	57.2%	0.3%	82.0%	73.0%	20.0%	60.4%
35	12.4%	31.0%	74.9%	35.2%	38.8%	26.0%	5.3%	59.4%	12.4%	46.1%	0.7%	70.6%	82.5%	27.3%	46.2%	33.3%	47.9%	18.9%	4.4%	57.3%	11.4%	36.9%	0.4%	70.8%	86.7%	21.4%	59.2%

Election Attributes for Functional Analysis of Districts with highest shares of Black or Hispanic VAP

Dist.	2012 US Pres		2012 US Sen		2010 Gov		2010 CFO		2010 Att.Gen		2010 Cm.Ag		2010 US Sen			2008 US Pres		2006 Gov		2006 CFO		2006 Att.Gen		2006 Cm.Ag		2006 US Sen	
Total	D_Oba	R_Rom	D_Nel	R_Mac	D_Sin	R_Sco	D_Aus	R_Atw	D_Gel	R_Bon	D_Mad	R_Put	D_Mee	R_Rub	I_Cri	D_Oba	R_McC	D_Dav	R_Cri	D_Sin	R_Lee	D_Cam	R_McC	D_Cop	R_Bro	D_Nel	R_Har
39	88.0%	12.0%	88.4%	11.6%	86.3%	13.7%	84.0%	16.0%	86.1%	13.9%	85.5%	14.5%	64.1%	13.0%	23.0%	87.0%	13.0%	82.0%	18.0%	85.1%	14.9%	81.4%	18.6%	80.8%	19.2%	85.7%	14.3%
40	82.6%	17.4%	84.1%	15.9%	79.3%	20.7%	75.2%	24.8%	77.7%	22.3%	77.3%	22.7%	52.6%	18.8%	28.6%	81.2%	18.8%	74.6%	25.4%	80.0%	20.0%	75.7%	24.3%	74.1%	25.9%	81.5%	18.5%
6	61.1%	38.9%	65.7%	34.3%	58.0%	42.0%	53.7%	46.3%	54.4%	45.6%	55.4%	44.6%	41.2%	41.7%	17.1%	60.8%	39.2%	49.7%	50.3%	57.3%	42.7%	49.3%	50.7%	49.2%	50.8%	61.6%	38.4%
14	64.6%	35.4%	69.3%	30.7%	59.9%	40.1%	52.2%	47.8%	54.2%	45.8%	53.4%	46.6%	37.3%	42.6%	20.0%	64.2%	35.8%	49.6%	50.4%	58.4%	41.6%	48.6%	51.4%	46.9%	53.1%	65.7%	34.3%
21	73.1%	26.9%	77.0%	23.0%	69.1%	30.9%	60.8%	39.2%	60.7%	39.3%	59.2%	40.8%	37.9%	28.4%	33.7%	72.4%	27.6%	61.9%	38.1%	65.8%	34.2%	61.6%	38.4%	58.0%	42.0%	74.4%	25.6%
33	70.5%	29.5%	73.1%	26.9%	69.9%	30.1%	63.1%	36.9%	66.7%	33.3%	65.6%	34.4%	37.7%	28.6%	33.7%	69.3%	30.7%	66.6%	33.4%	72.9%	27.1%	67.4%	32.6%	65.2%	34.8%	75.0%	25.0%
37	49.8%	50.2%	52.3%	47.7%	39.8%	60.2%	34.4%	65.6%	36.5%	63.5%	35.6%	64.4%	16.9%	64.3%	18.8%	43.3%	56.7%	36.7%	63.3%	42.1%	57.9%	39.8%	60.2%	38.3%	61.7%	47.4%	52.6%
36	46.9%	53.1%	49.3%	50.7%	41.3%	58.7%	32.8%	67.2%	36.5%	63.5%	33.6%	66.4%	13.4%	63.3%	23.3%	41.0%	59.0%	37.7%	62.3%	43.9%	56.1%	40.1%	59.9%	37.7%	62.3%	48.2%	51.8%
35	53.0%	47.0%	55.7%	44.3%	48.5%	51.5%	38.9%	61.1%	45.9%	54.1%	40.9%	59.1%	16.4%	55.4%	28.2%	48.7%	51.3%	44.4%	55.6%	51.7%	48.3%	47.9%	52.1%	44.6%	55.4%	56.0%	44.0%

Senate Base Maps Presentation

Monday, October 19, 2015

Senate Committee on Reapportionment

Jay Ferrin, Staff Director

House Select Committee on Redistricting

Jason Poreda, Staff Director
Jeff Takacs, Special Advisor

Base Map Procedures

- In a July 28 memo, the President and Speaker instructed House and Senate professional staff to work collaboratively with legal counsel to develop a Senate base map that complies with the Florida Supreme Court's recent ruling and all of the relevant legal standards.

- Staff was instructed to develop multiple base maps applying two different methodologies in a memo from counsel dated September 22.

- The base maps were drafted solely by staff in collaboration with counsel, and were provided simultaneously to all legislators and the public on Wednesday, October 14.

- Except where consideration of political data is required to assess compliance with state and federal minority voting-rights provisions, staff avoided any assessment of the political implications of any map either before or during drafting the base maps, and has been instructed to continue do so through the end of the Special Session.

- During the drafting process, staff had no interactions with any member of the Legislature, a member's staff or aide, political consultants, or others concerning their work on the base maps.

- All of the draft maps, reports, and audio records of the base map drawing sessions were maintained and placed on the House and Senate redistricting web pages for public review.

Methodologies for Drawing of Senate Base Maps

Methodology #1: Keep Counties Whole and Districts Entirely Within Counties

Tier 1 Principles:

- In drawing districts, avoid dilution or retrogression of voting strength in minority districts.
- In drawing districts, give no regard to partisanship or incumbency.

Tier 2 Principles: Should be adhered to except where Tier 1 principles require otherwise.

- In drawing districts, consistently respect county boundaries by keeping counties whole and keeping districts entirely within counties, where feasible.
- Where county lines cannot serve as the boundary of a district:
  - Consistently respect municipal boundary lines and keep municipalities whole, where feasible.
  - Consistently follow other easily ascertainable and commonly understood geographic boundaries, such as railways, major roads, interstates, rivers and other major bodies of water, where feasible.
- Make districts as nearly as equal in population as practicable, with a maximum overall range of 4%.
- Districts shall be compact.

Methodologies for Drawing of Senate Base Maps

Methodology #2: Minimize the Number of Times Each County is Split into More Than One District

Tier 1 Principles:

- In drawing districts, avoid dilution or retrogression of voting strength in minority districts.
- In drawing districts, give no regard to partisanship or incumbency.

Tier 2 Principles: Should be adhered to except where Tier 1 principles require otherwise.

- In drawing districts, consistently respect county boundaries by minimizing the number of times each county is split into more than one district, as well as aggregate number of county splits statewide, where feasible.
- Where county lines cannot serve as the boundary of a district:
  - Consistently respect municipal boundary lines and minimize the number of times a municipality is split into more than one district.
  - Consistently follow other easily ascertainable and commonly understood geographic boundaries, such as railways, major roads, interstates, rivers and other major bodies of water, where feasible.
- Make districts as nearly as equal in population as practicable, with a maximum overall range of 4%.
- Districts shall be compact.

Identifying Whole County Districts and “Sandboxes”

- A “whole county district” is a district made up entirely of one or more adjoining whole counties within the overall maximum range of 4%.
- A “Sandbox” is a set of adjoining counties with a total population that equals multiple districts within the overall maximum range of 4%, creating a new ideal population for a district within that “sandbox”.
- Examples:
  - Whole County District
    - Pasco County = 464,697
    - 464,697 – 470,033 = -5,336 (-1.14%) = 1 District
  - “Sandbox”
    - Monroe, Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach Counties = 5,637,725
    - 5,637,725 / 12 = 469,810
    - 469,810 – 470,033 = -223 (-0.047%) = 12 Districts

Whole County District Common to All Base Maps

- District 3 – 11 Counties = 1 District
  - Calhoun, Franklin, Gadsden, Gulf, Hamilton, Jefferson, Leon, Liberty, Madison, Taylor and Wakulla.

Common Whole County District	District 3
Total Population	474,408
Population Deviation	4,375
Population Deviation %	0.931%


Whole County District Common to All Senate Base Maps
JACKSON
GADSDEN
LEON
JEFFERSON
MADISON
HAMILTON
CALHOUN
LIBERTY
BAY
WAKULLA
TAYLOR
SUWANNEE
COLUMBIA
LAFAYETTE
GULF
FRANKLIN
GILCHRIST
DIXIE
LEVY

“Sandboxes” Common to All Base Maps

- West Panhandle – 8 Counties = 2 Districts
  - Bay, Escambia, Holmes, Jackson, Okaloosa, Santa Rosa, Walton and Washington.
- Nassau/Duval – 2 Counties = 2 Districts
  - Duval and Nassau
- South Florida – 4 Counties = 12 Districts
  - Broward, Miami-Dade, Monroe and Palm Beach

Common Sandboxes	West Panhandle	Nassau/ Duval	South Florida
Total SB Population	948,277	937,577	5,637,725
Districts within Sandbox	2	2	12
District Population within SB	474,139	468,789	469,810
Ideal Population Deviation	4,106	-1,245	-223
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.873%	-0.265%	-0.047%


"Sandboxes" Common to All
Senate Base Maps

Presentation of the Six Base Maps

- Three that apply Methodology I
  - S000S9070
  - S000S9072
  - S000S9074
- Three that apply Methodology II
  - S000S9076
  - S000S9078
  - S000S9080

- Three different configurations of South Florida
  - S000S9070 and S000S9078
  - S000S9072 and S000S9076
  - S000S9074 and S000S9080
- Two different configurations of the Western Panhandle
  - S000S9070, S000S9072, and S000S9080
  - S000S9074, S000S9076, and S000S9078
- Two different configurations of the Nassau/Duval districts
  - S000S9070, S000S9076, and S000S9080
  - S000S9072, S000S9074, and S000S9078


Base Map S000S9070

S000S9070

Tier 1 Districts

- African-American Opportunity Districts
  - District 6
  - District 13
  - District 22
  - District 39
- African-American Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 33
  - District 40
- Hispanic Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 36
  - District 37
  - District 38

S000S9070

Methodology 1

Overall Population Deviation – 2.70%

Tier 2 Metrics: Compactness, Political Subdivisions, and Boundaries

9070	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Median	Standard Deviation
Reock	0.42	0.19	0.57	0.43	0.09
Convex Hull	0.78	0.46	0.92	0.78	0.09
Posby-Popper	0.38	0.19	0.65	0.37	0.10
Pol/Geo	93%	57%	100%	95%	8.10%

9070	Whole	Split	with 2 Districts	with 3 Distircts	with 4 Districts	more than 4 Districts	Aggregate Splits
Counties	52	15	7	4	2	2	45
Cities	390	20	15	4	1	0	46

S000S9070

Whole County Districts in S000S9070

Whole County Districts in 9070	District 3	District 4	District 7
Total Population	474,408	469,819	476,600
Population Deviation	4,375	-214	6,567
Population Deviation %	0.931%	-0.046%	1.397%
Whole County Districts in 9070	**District 25**	**District 26**	**District 11**
Total Population	472,330	464,103	464,697
Population Deviation	2,297	-5,930	-5,336
Population Deviation %	0.489%	-1.262%	-1.135%


Whole County Districts in S000S9070

S000S9070

“Sandboxes” in S000S9070

Sandboxes in 9070	Tampa Bay	Remaining Counties
Total SB Population	2,848,049	5,607,725
Districts within Sandbox	6	12
District Population within SB	474,675	467,310
Ideal Population Deviation	4,642	-2,723
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.988%	-0.579%

Sandboxes in 9070	West Panhandle	Nassau/ Duval	South Florida
Total SB Population	948,277	937,577	5,637,725
Districts within Sandbox	2	2	12
District Population within SB	474,139	468,789	469,810
Ideal Population Deviation	4,106	-1,245	-223
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.873%	-0.265%	-0.047%


"Sandboxes" in S000S9070

S000S9070 – Panhandle



S000S9070 – North Central



S000S9070 – Central



S000S9070 – South



S000S9070 – Southeast




Base Map S000S9078

S000S9078

Tier 1 Districts

- African-American Opportunity Districts
  - District 6
  - District 12
  - District 20
  - District 39
- African-American Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 33
  - District 40
- Hispanic Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 36
  - District 37
  - District 38

S000S9078

Methodology 2

Overall Population Deviation – 3.1%

Tier 2 Metrics: Compactness, Political Subdivisions, and Boundaries

9078	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Median	Standard Deviation
Reock	0.42	0.19	0.55	0.43	0.09
Convex Hull	0.78	0.46	0.95	0.78	0.09
Posby-Popper	0.38	0.16	0.66	0.36	0.11
Pol/Geo	94%	73%	100%	96%	6.40%

9078	Whole	Split	with 2 Districts	with 3 Distircts	with 4 Districts	more than 4 Districts	Aggregate Splits
Counties	51	16	8	6	0	2	45
Cities	391	19	14	4	1	0	44

S000S9078

Whole County Districts in S000S9078

Whole County Districts in 9078	District 3	District 25
Total Population	474,408	472,330
Population Deviation	4,375	2,297
Population Deviation %	0.931%	0.489%


Whole County Districts in S000S9078

S000S9078

“Sandboxes” in S000S9078

Sandobxes in 9078	West Panhandle	Districts 4 & 7	East Coast	Orlando	South Florida
Total SB Population	948,277	936,141	1,884,450	1,414,641	5,637,725
Districts within Sandbox	2	2	4	3	12
District Population within SB	474,139	468,071	471,113	471,547	469,810
Ideal Population Deviation	4,106	-1,963	1,080	1,514	-223
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.873%	-0.418%	0.230%	0.322%	-0.047%
Sandobxes in 9078	**Nassau/ Duval**	**Tampa Bay North**	**Districts 24 & 26**	**Lee/ Collier**	**Remaining Counties**
Total SB Population	937,577	2,783,243	949,145	940,274	1,431,326
Districts within Sandbox	2	6	2	2	3
District Population within SB	468,789	463,874	474,573	470,137	477,109
Ideal Population Deviation	-1,245	-6,159	4,540	104	7,076
Ideal Population Deviation %	-0.265%	-1.310%	0.966%	0.022%	1.505%


"Sandboxes" in S000S9078


S000S9078 – Panhandle
SANTA ROSA
ESCAMBIA
OKALOOSA
WALTON
HOLMES
WASHINGTON
JACKSON
BAY
CALHOUN
GADSDEN
LEON
JEFFERSON
MADISON
HAMILTON
SUWANNEE
LAFAYETTE
LIBERTY
WAKULLA
TAYLOR
GULF
FRANKLIN
DIXIE
GILCHRIST
LEVY
CITRUS

S000S9078 – North Central



S000S9078 – Central



S000S9078 – South



S000S9078 – Southeast




Base Map S000S9072

S000S9072

Tier 1 Districts

- African-American Opportunity Districts
  - District 6
  - District 12
  - District 22
  - District 33
- African-American Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 39
  - District 40
- Hispanic Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 35
  - District 36
  - District 37

S000S9072

Methodology 1

Overall Population Deviation – 2.8%

Tier 2 Metrics: Compactness, Political Subdivisions, and Boundaries

9072	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Median	Standard Deviation
Reock	0.43	0.19	0.76	0.43	0.10
Convex Hull	0.79	0.48	0.96	0.80	0.09
Posby-Popper	0.39	0.16	0.68	0.36	0.12
Pol/Geo	92%	57%	100%	93%	8.50%

9072	Whole	Split	with 2 Districts	with 3 Distircts	with 4 Districts	more than 4 Districts	Aggregate Splits
Counties	53	14	5	6	1	2	43
Cities	395	15	10	4	1	0	36

S000S9072

Whole County Districts in S000S9072

Whole County Districts in 9072	District 4	District 11
Total Population	469,819	464,697
Population Deviation	-214	-5,336
Population Deviation %	-0.046%	-1.135%
Whole County Districts in 9072	**District 3**	**District 26**
Total Population	474,408	464,103
Population Deviation	4,375	-5,930
Population Deviation %	0.931%	-1.262%


Whole County Districts in S000S9072

S000S9072

“Sandboxes” in S000S9072

Sandboxes in 9072	West Panhandle	East Coast	Orlando	South Florida
Total SB Population	948,277	1,884,450	1,414,641	5,637,725
Districts within Sandbox	2	4	3	12
District Population within SB	474,139	471,113	471,547	469,810
Ideal Population Deviation	4,106	1,080	1,514	-223
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.873%	0.230%	0.322%	-0.047%
Sandboxes in 9072	**Nassau/ Duval**	**Lee/ Collier**	**Tampa Bay**	**Remaining Counties**
Total SB Population	937,577	940,274	2,848,049	2,317,290
Districts within Sandbox	2	2	6	5
District Population within SB	468,789	470,137	474,675	463,458
Ideal Population Deviation	-1,245	104	4,642	-6,575
Ideal Population Deviation %	-0.265%	0.022%	0.988%	-1.399%


"Sandboxes" in S000S9072

S000S9072 – Panhandle



S000S9072 – North Central



S000S9072 – Central



S000S9072 – South



S000S9072 – Southeast




Base Map S000S9076

S000S9076

Tier 1 Districts

- African-American Opportunity Districts
  - District 6
  - District 12
  - District 22
  - District 33
- African-American Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 39
  - District 40
- Hispanic Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 35
  - District 36
  - District 37

S000S9076

Methodology 2

Overall Population Deviation – 2.7%

Tier 2 Metrics: Compactness, Political Subdivisions, and Boundaries

9076	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Median	Standard Deviation
Reock	0.44	0.19	0.76	0.45	0.10
Convex Hull	0.80	0.48	0.97	0.80	0.10
Posby-Popper	0.40	0.19	0.68	0.38	0.13
Pol/Geo	92%	57%	100%	94%	9.40%

9076	Whole	Split	with 2 Districts	with 3 Distircts	with 4 Districts	more than 4 Districts	Aggregate Splits
Counties	50	17	10	3	2	2	48
Cities	393	17	13	3	1	0	39

S000S9076

Whole County Districts in S000S9076

Whole County Districts in 9076	District 3	District 4	District 8
Total Population	474,408	466,565	472,534
Population Deviation	4,375	-3,468	2,501
Population Deviation %	0.931%	-0.738%	0.532%


Whole County Districts in S000S9076

S000S9076

“Sandboxes” in S000S9076

Sandboxes in 9076	West Panhandle	Nassau/ Duval	East Coast	SW Florida
Total SB Population	948,277	937,577	2,333,905	1,854,557
Districts within Sandbox	2	2	5	4
District Population within SB	474,139	468,789	466,781	463,639
Ideal Population Deviation	4,106	-1,245	-3,252	-6,394
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.873%	-0.265%	-0.692%	-1.360%

Sandboxes in 9076	Tampa/ Orlando	Districts 21 & 22	South Florida
Total SB Population	4,742,389	933,373	5,637,725
Districts within Sandbox	10	2	12
District Population within SB	474,239	466,687	469,810
Ideal Population Deviation	4,206	-3,347	-223
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.895%	-0.712%	-0.047%


"Sandboxes" in S000S9076


S000S9076 – Panhandle
SANTA ROSA
ESCAMBIA
OKALOOSA
WALTON
HOLMES
WASHINGTON
JACKSON
BAY
CALHOUN
GADSDEN
LEON
JEFFERSON
MADISON
HAMILTON
LIBERTY
WAKULLA
TAYLOR
SUWANNEE
LAFAYETTE
GULF
FRANKLIN
GILCHRIST
DIXIE
LEVY
CITRUS

S000S9076 – North Central



S000S9076 – Central



S000S9076 – South



S000S9076 – Southeast




Base Map S000S9074

S000S9074

Tier 1 Districts

- African-American Opportunity Districts
  - District 6
  - District 13
  - District 22
  - District 33
- African-American Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 39
  - District 40
- Hispanic Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 35
  - District 36
  - District 37

S000S9074

Methodology 1

Overall Population Deviation – 2.9%

Tier 2 Metrics: Compactness, Political Subdivisions, and Boundaries

9074	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Median	Standard Deviation
Reock	0.44	0.19	0.61	0.44	0.09
Convex Hull	0.78	0.48	0.92	0.79	0.08
Posby-Popper	0.39	0.16	0.61	0.37	0.11
Pol/Geo	93%	70%	100%	96%	8.00%

9074	Whole	Split	with 2 Districts	with 3 Distircts	with 4 Districts	more than 4 Districts	Aggregate Splits
Counties	52	15	7	4	1	3	47
Cities	395	15	11	4	0	0	34

S000S9074

Whole County Districts in S000S9074

Whole County Districts in 9074	District 3	District 4
Total Population	474,408	469,819
Population Deviation	4,375	-214
Population Deviation %	0.931%	-0.046%
Whole County Districts in 9074	**District 7**	**District 11**
Total Population	476,600	464,697
Population Deviation	6,567	-5,336
Population Deviation %	1.397%	-1.135%


Whole County Districts in S000S9074

S000S9074

“Sandboxes” in S000S9074

Sandboxes in 9074	West Panhandle	Nassau/ Duval	Tampa Bay
Total SB Population	948,277	937,577	2,848,049
Districts within Sandbox	2	2	6
District Population within SB	474,139	468,789	474,675
Ideal Population Deviation	4,106	-1,245	4,642
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.873%	-0.265%	0.988%
Sandboxes in 9074	**Lee/ Collier**	**South Florida**	**Remaining Counties**
Total SB Population	940,274	5,637,725	5,603,884
Districts within Sandbox	2	12	12
District Population within SB	470,137	469,810	466,990
Ideal Population Deviation	104	-223	-3,043
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.022%	-0.047%	-0.647%


"Sandboxes" in S000S9074


S000S9074 – Panhandle
SANTA ROSA
ESCAMBIA
OKALOOSA
WALTON
HOLMES
WASHINGTON
JACKSON
BAY
CALHOUN
GADSDEN
LEON
JEFFERSON
MADISON
HAMILTON
LIBERTY
WAKULLA
TAYLOR
SUWANNEE
LAFAYETTE
GULF
FRANKLIN
GILCHRIST
DIXIE
LEVY
CITRUS

S000S9074 – North Central



S000S9074 – Central



S000S9074 – South



S000S9074 – Southeast




Base Map S000S9080

S000S9080

Tier 1 Districts

- African-American Opportunity Districts
  - District 6
  - District 13
  - District 22
  - District 33
- African-American Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 39
  - District 40
- Hispanic Minority-Majority Districts
  - District 35
  - District 36
  - District 37

S000S9080

Methodology 2

Overall Population Deviation – 3.2%

Tier 2 Metrics: Compactness, Political Subdivisions, and Boundaries

9080	Average	Minimum	Maximum	Median	Standard Deviation
Reock	0.46	0.19	0.61	0.49	0.11
Convex Hull	0.80	0.48	0.93	0.82	0.09
Posby-Popper	0.39	0.19	0.60	0.40	0.11
Pol/Geo	91%	57%	100%	95%	9.50%

9080	Whole	Split	with 2 Districts	with 3 Distircts	with 4 Districts	more than 4 Districts	Aggregate Splits
Counties	47	20	12	6	0	2	54
Cities	392	18	15	3	0	0	39

S000S9080

Whole County Districts in S000S9080

Whole County Districts in 9080	District 3	District 4
Total Population	474,408	469,819
Population Deviation	4,375	-214
Population Deviation %	0.931%	-0.046%


Whole County Districts in S000S9080

S000S9080

“Sandboxes” in S000S9080

Sandboxes in 9080	West Panhandle	Nassau/ Duval	South Florida
Total SB Population	948,277	937,577	5,637,725
Districts within Sandbox	2	2	12
District Population within SB	474,139	468,789	469,810
Ideal Population Deviation	4,106	-1,245	-223
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.873%	-0.265%	-0.047%
Sandboxes in 9080	**Lee/ Collier**	**Districts 24 & 25**	**Remaining Counties**
Total SB Population	940,274	476,600	8,468,378
Districts within Sandbox	2	1	18
District Population within SB	470,137	476,600	470,465
Ideal Population Deviation	104	6,567	432
Ideal Population Deviation %	0.022%	1.397%	0.092%


"Sandboxes" in S000S9080

S000S9080 – Panhandle



S000S9080 – North Central



S000S9080 – Central



S000S9080 – South



S000S9080 – South



State Senate Base Map Comparison

	Plan	fl2002_sen	s016s9030	s000s9070	s000s9072	s000s9074	s000s9076	s000s9078	s000s9080
	Number of Districts	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
	Overall Deviation %	38.60%	2.00%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.70%	3.10%	3.20%
Counties	Whole	22	43	52	53	52	50	51	47
	Split	45	24	15	14	15	17	16	20
	with 2 Districts	25	13	7	5	7	10	8	12
	with 3 Distircts	10	6	4	6	4	3	6	6
	with 4 Districts	7	1	2	1	1	2	0	0
	with more than 4 Districts	3	4	2	2	3	2	2	2
	Aggregate Splits	129	69	45	43	47	48	45	54
Cities	Whole	284	363	390	395	395	393	391	392
	Split	126	47	20	15	15	17	19	18
	with 2 Districts	87	40	15	10	11	13	14	15
	with 3 Distircts	31	5	4	4	4	3	4	3
	with 4 Districts	7	2	1	1	0	1	1	0
	with more than 4 Districts	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Aggregate Splits	300	103	46	36	34	39	44	39
Pol/Geo	Average	74%	83%	93%	92%	93%	92%	94%	91%
	Minimum	42%	57%	57%	57%	70%	57%	73%	57%
	Maximum	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Median	76%	83%	95%	93%	96%	94%	96%	95%
	Standard Deviation	13.70%	11.20%	8.10%	8.50%	8.00%	9.40%	6.40%	9.50%

State Senate Base Map Comparison

	Plan	fl2002_sen	s016s9030	s000s9070	s000s9072	s000s9074	s000s9076	s000s9078	s000s9080
Reock	Average	0.31	0.40	0.42	0.43	0.44	0.44	0.42	0.46
	Minimum	0.04	0.23	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19
	Maximum	0.69	0.59	0.57	0.76	0.61	0.76	0.55	0.61
	Median	0.31	0.40	0.43	0.43	0.44	0.45	0.43	0.49
	Standard Deviation	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.11
Convex Hull	Average	0.64	0.76	0.78	0.79	0.78	0.80	0.78	0.80
	Minimum	0.23	0.43	0.46	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.46	0.48
	Maximum	0.93	0.92	0.92	0.96	0.92	0.97	0.95	0.93
	Median	0.65	0.76	0.78	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.78	0.82
	Standard Deviation	0.14	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.09
Polsby-Popper	Average	0.21	0.34	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.38	0.39
	Minimum	0.04	0.11	0.19	0.16	0.16	0.19	0.16	0.19
	Maximum	0.57	0.56	0.65	0.68	0.61	0.68	0.66	0.60
	Median	0.18	0.33	0.37	0.36	0.37	0.38	0.36	0.40
	Standard Deviation	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.11

State Senate Base Map Comparison

	Plan	fl2002_sen	s016s9030	s000s9070	s000s9072	s000s9074	s000s9076	s000s9078	s000s9080
Length	Average	71.2	62.4	61.2	61.0	60.3	61.2	62.3	59.9
	Minimum	14.0	12.8	15.7	14.7	13.8	14.7	15.7	13.8
	Maximum	214.3	208.8	185.5	196.4	190.9	196.4	185.5	190.9
	Median	64.7	52.6	54.2	52.6	54.2	51.5	50.6	50.4
	Standard Deviation	46.1	43.9	40.7	41.3	41.0	41.5	41.4	40.7
Perimeter	Average	286.8	223.4	203.2	202.9	204.5	203.3	209.6	200.3
	Minimum	56.7	47.4	51.6	43.4	40.6	43.4	51.6	40.6
	Maximum	910.1	861.3	572.7	577.3	570.9	577.3	572.7	570.9
	Median	290.1	185.8	156.5	160.8	170.0	155.3	150.4	161.2
	Standard Deviation	189.1	167.0	130.6	133.8	133.9	134.6	137.1	128.5
Area	Average	1643.9	1643.9	1643.9	1643.9	1643.9	1643.9	1643.9	1643.9
	Minimum	58.6	60.2	80.2	82.1	79.1	82.1	80.2	79.1
	Maximum	9333.3	8269.0	8269.0	8269.0	8269.0	8269.0	8269.0	8269.0
	Median	935.5	913.6	954.9	905.6	944.0	1022.5	1029.4	898.7
	Standard Deviation	2152.5	2110.6	1893.0	1930.7	1957.3	1887.5	1817.5	1885.5

THE FLORIDA SENATE

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

10/19/15
Meeting Date

Bill Number (if applicable)

Amendment Barcode (if applicable)

Topic REAPPORTIONMENT

Name TODD BEN LARSON

Job Title LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DIRECTOR

Address 301 N. OLIVE AVE.
Street

WEST PALM BEACH FL 33401
City *State* *Zip*

Phone (561) 355-3451

Email tbenlarson@pbcgov.org

Speaking: ☐ For ☐ Against ☑ Information

Waive Speaking: ☐ In Support ☐ Against
(The Chair will read this information into the record.)

Representing PALM BEACH COUNTY

Appearing at request of Chair: ☐ Yes ☑ No

Lobbyist registered with Legislature: ☑ Yes ☐ No

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

CourtSmart Tag Report

Room: KN 412 **Case No.:** **Type:**
Caption: Senate Committee on Reapportionment/House Select Committee on Redistricting **Judge:**

Started: 10/19/2015 2:00:42 PM
Ends: 10/19/2015 6:27:01 PM Length: 04:26:20

2:00:40 PM	Roll call
2:01:46 PM	Chair Galvano opening remarks
2:02:34 PM	Opening remarks by Chair Oliva
2:02:39 PM	Jay Ferrin is recognized from Senate staff
2:04:01 PM	Jay Ferrin to discuss the process
2:05:43 PM	Base Map Procedures discussed
2:07:00 PM	Methodologies for drawing of Senate base maps
2:10:15 PM	Methodologies: Keep counties whole
2:11:15 PM	Minimize the number of times each county is split into more than 1 district
2:13:09 PM	Identifying whole county districts and sandboxes
2:17:59 PM	How the base maps were drawn based on methodologies
2:19:38 PM	The six different maps based on methodologies presented
2:20:23 PM	Chair Galvano any questions?
2:20:30 PM	Senator Lee for a question
2:22:13 PM	Jay Ferrin for a response
2:22:42 PM	Senator Lee for a follow-up
2:23:16 PM	Justice Cantero for a response
2:24:48 PM	George Meros, House Counsel, for a response
2:26:28 PM	Senator Lee for additional question
2:26:54 PM	Mr. Meros for a response
2:27:32 PM	Justice Cantero for a response
2:28:24 PM	Representative Santiago for a question
2:28:47 PM	Jay Ferrin for a response
2:30:57 PM	Follow up question
2:31:17 PM	Jay Ferrin for a response
2:32:12 PM	Representative Moskowitz for a question
2:32:39 PM	Jay Ferrin for a response
2:33:37 PM	Follow-up question
2:34:35 PM	Jay Ferrin for a response
2:34:40 PM	Follow up question
2:34:47 PM	Chair Galvano for a response
2:35:03 PM	Senator Margolis for a question
2:35:44 PM	Jay Ferrin for a response
2:36:52 PM	Follow up question
2:37:10 PM	Chair Galvano for a response
2:37:24 PM	Senator Margolis for a series of questions
2:38:14 PM	Chair Galvano to respond
2:38:22 PM	Chair Galvano to respond
2:38:26 PM	Senator Sobel for a question
2:39:27 PM	Justice Cantero for a response
2:39:51 PM	Senator Gibson for a question on the process
2:40:47 PM	Mr. Meros for a response
2:43:12 PM	Chair Galvano for a response
2:43:22 PM	Jay Ferrin also for a response
2:44:41 PM	Senator Simmons for a question
2:46:23 PM	Justice Cantero for a response
2:47:56 PM	Follow up question
2:49:26 PM	Mr. Meros for a response
2:50:55 PM	Senator Simmons for follow-up
2:52:21 PM	Justice Cantero for a response
2:53:15 PM	Senator Simmons for additional comment
2:54:28 PM	Chair Galvano for a response

2:54:42 PM Senator Watson for a question
2:55:28 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
2:56:47 PM Follow-up question
2:57:32 PM Jay Ferrin for a response regarding Miami Gardens
2:58:03 PM Chair Galvano recognizes Jason Poreda for map 9070
2:58:59 PM Map 9070 Tier 1 Districts
2:59:51 PM Including Tier 2 Metrics on compactness, political subdivisions and Boundaries
3:01:06 PM Indentifying whole county districts
3:03:30 PM Discussion of sandboxes in map 9070
3:11:49 PM Continuation of the explanation of how map 9070 was drawn
3:12:54 PM Vice Chair Braynon for a question
3:13:51 PM House staffer, Jason Poreda for a response
3:14:24 PM Follow up Senator Braynon
3:14:56 PM Mr. Meros for a response
3:16:15 PM Senator Braynon for a follow up
3:16:21 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
3:18:15 PM Senator Gibson for a series of questions
3:19:21 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
3:22:47 PM Senator Soto for a question
3:23:12 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
3:23:46 PM Justice Cantero for a response
3:24:47 PM Jason Poreda to continue explanation of map 9070
3:30:58 PM Senator Braynon for a question
3:31:15 PM Jason Poreda for a response
3:32:04 PM Senator Braynon for a response
3:33:09 PM Mr. Meros for a comment and response
3:35:05 PM Senator Margolis for a question
3:35:50 PM Jason Poreda for a response
3:36:00 PM Representative Watson for a question
3:37:01 PM Jason Poreda for a response
3:38:20 PM Representative Watson for follow up question
3:39:23 PM Joe Poreda for a response
3:40:11 PM Representative Watson for a series of questions
3:40:23 PM Jason Poreda for response
3:41:48 PM Representative Moskowitz for a question
3:42:25 PM Mr. Meros for a response
3:45:58 PM Jay Ferrin for comments
3:46:39 PM Justice Cantero for further comments
3:47:10 PM Chair Galvano for housekeeping issues
3:48:01 PM Jason Poreda concludes discussion of map 9070
3:48:19 PM Jason to describe map 9078
3:49:22 PM Methodology of drawing map 9078
3:50:34 PM Discussion of whole districts and sandbox districts
3:58:13 PM Any questions?
3:58:18 PM Senator Gibson for a question
3:59:31 PM Mr. Ferrin responds
4:00:52 PM Senator Gibson for followup
4:01:11 PM Mr. Ferrin responds
4:01:51 PM Senator Gibson for followup
4:02:51 PM Mr. Ferrin responds
4:04:55 PM Jeff Takacs, House staff, to explain Map 9072
4:07:13 PM Jeff Takacs, House staff, to explain Map 9072
4:07:14 PM Jeff Takacs, House staff, to explain Map 9072
4:07:22 PM Number of whole county districts in map 9072
4:08:21 PM Discussion of sandbox districts
4:15:37 PM Further discussion of the rest of the senate proposed map
4:24:08 PM Questions?
4:24:11 PM Senator Gibson for a question
4:25:51 PM Jeff Tokacs for a response
4:29:15 PM Senator Gibson for clarification of her question
4:29:59 PM Justice Cantero for a response
4:30:41 PM Jay Ferrin for clarification and response

4:32:38 PM Senator Gibson for a series of questions
4:32:46 PM Jay Ferrin to respond
4:32:51 PM Justice Cantero for a response
4:34:01 PM Senator Simmons for a question
4:35:40 PM Senator Sobel for a question
4:36:27 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
4:36:54 PM Justice Cantero for a response
4:38:05 PM Senator Sobel for clarification of her question
4:38:58 PM Mr. Meros for a response
4:41:34 PM Representative Watson for a question
4:42:06 PM Jeff Takacs for a response
4:42:49 PM Follow up question
4:43:09 PM Jeff Takacs for a response
4:43:22 PM Follow-up question
4:43:38 PM Jeff Tokacs for a response
4:44:16 PM Follow-up question by Representative Watson
4:44:45 PM Jeff Takacs for a response
4:48:05 PM Senator Montford for a question
4:49:37 PM Senator Galvano for comments
4:50:06 PM Follow up by Senator Montford
4:50:52 PM Chair Galvano for response
4:51:17 PM Senator Braynon for a question
4:52:33 PM Justice Cantero for a response
4:54:32 PM Follow up question
4:55:25 PM Mr. Meros for a response
4:58:19 PM Representative Richardson for a question
4:58:54 PM Justice Cantero for a response
4:59:12 PM Follow-up question
5:00:01 PM Mr. Meros for a response
5:01:41 PM Senator Clemmons for a question
5:01:49 PM Mr. Meros for a response
5:02:54 PM Follow-up question
5:03:19 PM Mr. Meros for a response
5:04:18 PM Chair Galvano for comments
5:04:30 PM Representative Clark-Reed for a question
5:04:42 PM Mr. Takacs for a response
5:05:03 PM Jeff Takacs to explain Map 9076
5:16:12 PM Senator Gibson for a question
5:16:41 PM Jeff Takacs for response
5:17:16 PM Follow up question
5:17:59 PM Jay Ferrin to explain Map 9074
5:29:02 PM Senator Braynon for a question?
5:29:18 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
5:31:46 PM Chair Galvano to recognize Jay Ferrin for Map 9080
5:32:04 PM Jay will explain how the map was drawn my staff
5:39:18 PM Chair Galvano any questions?
5:39:24 PM Jay Ferrin moves to the last slides
5:43:18 PM Chair Galvano for questions
5:43:29 PM Senator Montford for a question
5:43:38 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
5:44:07 PM Follow-up question
5:45:35 PM Representative Moskowitz for a quesiton
5:46:07 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
5:46:33 PM Follow up question
5:47:03 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
5:47:19 PM Representative Richardson for a question
5:48:00 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
5:48:59 PM Follow up question
5:49:15 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
5:49:25 PM Senator Clemmons for a question
5:50:08 PM Justice Cantero for a response
5:50:37 PM Senator Lee for a question

5:51:53 PM Justice Cantero for response
5:52:02 PM Senator Lee for follow-up
5:52:09 PM Justice Cantero for response
5:53:58 PM Senator Lee for further questions/comments
5:54:57 PM Chair Galvano for response
5:56:34 PM Senator Lee for further comments
5:58:22 PM Justice Cantero for a response and comments
5:58:44 PM Senator Lee for comments about the unconstitutional map
5:59:24 PM Justice Cantero
5:59:35 PM Senator Braynon moves to extent until 6:30 pm
6:00:11 PM Representative Cummings moves to extend to 6:30pm
6:00:23 PM Senator Lee for questions on the unconstitutional maps
6:01:15 PM Further Discussion on the consent judgement
6:01:25 PM Justice Cantero to respond
6:04:54 PM Senator Clemmons for a question
6:05:25 PM Follow-up question
6:05:39 PM Justice Cantero for a response
6:06:56 PM Representative Moskowitz for a question
6:07:56 PM Justice Cantero for a response
6:08:33 PM Senator Gibson for a question
6:09:11 PM Justice Cantero for a response
6:10:20 PM Vice-Chair Braynon question of mapmakers
6:10:37 PM Jay Ferrin for a response
6:10:48 PM Follow up
6:10:51 PM Jay Ferrin for response
6:11:03 PM Senator Bradley for a question
6:11:18 PM Jason Poredo and Jeff Takacs confirm they started from scratch
6:11:41 PM Senator Bradley for a question
6:14:03 PM Justice Cantero for response
6:14:09 PM Follow up by Senator Bradley
6:14:31 PM Senator Lee for a question
6:14:52 PM Justice Cantero for a response
6:16:20 PM Senator Lee for follow up
6:17:19 PM Justice Cantero for a response
6:19:23 PM Senator Lee for follow-up
6:19:34 PM Justice Cantero for a response
6:19:40 PM Senator Lee for further follow up
6:19:53 PM Justice Cantero for response
6:20:19 PM Public Testimony
6:20:46 PM Todd BonLarron, Palm Beach County
6:25:22 PM Senator Braynon for a question
6:25:55 PM Chair Galvano for final comments
6:26:22 PM Chair Oliva for final comments
6:26:35 PM Senator Bradley moves we adjourn
6:26:44 PM Vice Chair McBurney moves we adjourn