The Florida Senate

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

COMMUNITY AFFAIRS Senator Simpson, Chair Senator Brandes, Vice Chair

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, March 17, 2015

TIME: 9:00 —10:30 a.m.

PLACE: 301 Senate Office Building

MEMBERS: Senator Simpson, Chair; Senator Brandes, Vice Chair; Senators Abruzzo, Bradley, Dean, Diaz de la

Portilla, and Thompson

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION	
1	SB 420 Grimsley (Similar CS/H 627)	Animal Control; Providing a procedure for adopting or humanely disposing of impounded livestock as an alternative to sale or auction; requiring a designated impounder to establish fees and to be responsible for damages caused while impounding livestock; authorizing specified municipalities to appoint agents for the purpose of investigating violations of certain laws; clarifying that certain provisions relating to local animal control are not the exclusive means of enforcing animal control laws, etc.	Fav/CS Yeas 6 Nays 0	
		AG 02/16/2015 Favorable CA 03/04/2015 Temporarily Postponed CA 03/17/2015 Fav/CS FP		
2	SB 168 Negron (Identical H 97, Compare H 709, S 500)	Mobile Home Parks; Revising the definition of the term "mobile home park" to clarify that it includes certain lots or spaces regardless of the rental or lease term's length or person liable for ad valorem taxes; providing that the act is remedial and intended to clarify existing law and to abrogate an interpretation of such law by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation; providing for retroactive application, etc.	Favorable Yeas 6 Nays 0	
		RI 02/18/2015 Favorable CA 03/17/2015 Favorable JU		
3	SB 824 Evers (Identical CS/H 63, Compare CS/H 65, Link S 826)	Public-private Partnerships; Deleting provisions creating the Public-Private Partnership Guidelines Task Force; deleting a provision that requires approval of the local governing body before a school board enters into a comprehensive agreement; revising the conditions necessary for a responsible public entity to approve a comprehensive agreement; deleting provisions relating to notice to affected local jurisdictions, etc. CA 03/17/2015 Fav/CS GO FP	Fav/CS Yeas 6 Nays 0	

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

Community Affairs Tuesday, March 17, 2015, 9:00 —10:30 a.m.

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
4	SB 826 Evers (Identical CS/H 65, Compare CS/H 63, Link S 824)	Public Records and Public Meetings/Public-private Project Proposals; Transferring, renumbering, and amending provisions relating to qualifying public-private projects for public facilities and infrastructure; providing an exemption from public records requirements for unsolicited proposals received by a responsible public entity for a specified period; providing an exemption from public meeting requirements for any portion of a meeting of a responsible public entity during which exempt proposals are discussed; providing for future legislative review and repeal of the exemptions; providing a statement of public necessity, etc. CA 03/17/2015 Favorable GO	Favorable Yeas 5 Nays 1
5	SB 782 Montford (Identical H 423)	County Officers; Providing that the salaries of a clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, tax collector, and district school superintendent may not be decreased under specific circumstances as the county population increases, etc. CA 03/10/2015 Temporarily Postponed CA 03/17/2015 Fav/CS GO FP	Fav/CS Yeas 6 Nays 0
6	CS/SB 466 Regulated Industries / Flores (Similar CS/H 413)	Low-voltage Alarm Systems; Revising the definition of the term "low-voltage alarm system project" and adding the definition of the term "wireless alarm system"; providing that a permit is not required to install, maintain, inspect, replace, or service a wireless alarm system and its ancillary components; prohibiting a local enforcement agency from requiring the payment of any additional fees, charges, or expenses associated with the installation or replacement of a new or existing alarm system, etc. RI 03/04/2015 Fav/CS CA 03/17/2015 Favorable RC	Favorable Yeas 6 Nays 0

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
7	SB 972 Flores (Identical H 695)	Value Adjustment Boards; Establishing deadlines for value adjustment boards to complete final tax roll certifications; revising the interest rate upon which unpaid and overpaid ad valorem taxes accrue; authorizing the district school board and district county commission to audit certain expenses of the value adjustment board; revising the entities that may represent a taxpayer before the value adjustment board, etc.	Favorable Yeas 6 Nays 0
		CA 03/17/2015 Favorable FT AP	
8	SB 1114 Stargel (Similar CS/H 549)	Membership Associations that Receive Public Funds; Requiring a membership association that receives more than a specified percentage of its budget from public funds to file a report with the Legislature; prohibiting a membership association whose membership dues are paid for by public funds from expending such funds on litigation against the state, etc.	Fav/CS Yeas 3 Nays 2
		CA 03/17/2015 Fav/CS AP	
9	SB 962 Legg (Similar H 537)	Public Records/Surveillance Recordings; Providing an exemption from public records requirements for certain surveillance recordings held by a community development district; providing exceptions; providing for future legislative review and repeal of the exemption; providing a statement of public necessity, etc.	Fav/CS Yeas 5 Nays 1
		CA 03/17/2015 Fav/CS GO RC	
10	SB 286 Diaz de la Portilla (Similar H 323)	Classified Advertisement Websites; Requiring a specified number of safe-haven facilities to be designated in each county based upon population size; authorizing state buildings, or alternatively, local governmental buildings, to serve as safe-haven facilities; limiting the liability of an entity that provides a safe-haven facility; limiting actions against the state or local government related to transactions taking place at a safe-haven facility, etc.	Fav/CS Yeas 6 Nays 0
		CA 03/17/2015 Fav/CS JU AGG FP	

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

Community Affairs Tuesday, March 17, 2015, 9:00 —10:30 a.m.

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
11	SB 1216 Simpson (Similar H 1159)	Connected-city Corridors; Requiring plan amendments that qualify as connected-city corridor amendments to be reviewed by the local government; providing legislative intent; authorizing local governments to adopt connected-city corridor plan amendments; requiring community development districts located within a connected-city corridor plan amendment to be established pursuant to a county ordinance; providing a statutory exemption from the development of regional impact review process for any development within the geographic boundaries of a connected-city corridor plan, etc. CA 03/17/2015 Fav/CS ATD FP	Fav/CS Yeas 6 Nays 0
	Other Related Meeting Documents		

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	By: The Profession	onal Staff	of the Committee	on Community At	ffairs	
BILL:	CS/SB 420						
INTRODUCER:	Community Affairs Committee and Senator Grimsley						
SUBJECT: Animal Control							
DATE:	March 17,	2015 REV	/ISED:				
ANAL	YST	STAFF DIREC	CTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION	
. Akhavein		Becker		AG	Favorable		
2. Stearns		Yeatman		CA	Fav/CS		
3.				FP			

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 420 provides a procedure for adopting or humanely disposing of impounded livestock (excluding cattle), as an alternative to sale or auction, which are not always the best options for the health and safety of the animals. Notice of the impounded livestock must be provided in specified methods by county sheriffs or animal control centers. The bill requires the sheriff or animal control center to establish fees and to be responsible for damages caused while impounding the livestock. It provides cities with lawfully sanctioned animal control officers the same powers as counties for the purpose of investigating animal cruelty cases and seizing animals or petitioning for custody. The bill provides additional, supplemental, and alternative laws for enforcing county or municipal codes or ordinances, but clarifies that it does not prohibit a county or municipality from enforcing its own codes or ordinances by any other means.

II. Present Situation:

Florida Fence Law

Before the enactment of fencing laws, Florida was an open-range state. In the 1949 Legislative Session, Governor Fuller Warren approved Senate Bill 34, which required owners of livestock to prevent their animals from "running at large or straying upon public roads." The act encouraged

BILL: CS/SB 420 Page 2

ranchers to build fences and contain wandering livestock. Sometimes known as "the fence law," historians consider Senate Bill 34 the final measure in closing the open range.¹

Under the provisions of ch. 588, F.S., every owner who intentionally, willfully, carelessly, or negligently suffers or permits their livestock to run at large or stray upon Florida public roads is liable for any resulting injuries or property damage and may be guilty of a second degree misdemeanor.² Criminal penalties may include a term of imprisonment not exceeding 60 days and/or a fine of as much as \$500.³

Auctions

Current law requires animal control agencies to auction impounded livestock regardless of the circumstances. Often, this is not financially feasible and it may prevent more timely solutions that would result in better conditions for the animals. The auction process does not allow the agency to control the quality of the animals' placement. Known animal abusers have purchased animals at auction because current law does not prevent this. If the animals are adopted, there are quality control mechanisms available.⁴

Municipal Issues

Some powers are reserved under current animal control statutes for counties and judicially appointed animal control officers because those officers are required to receive training. City animal control officers are not given the same powers because they are not required to be trained. These powers are related to the authority to seize or petition for custody of animals in criminal animal cruelty cases.

Civil Citation Procedures

Section 828.27, F.S., outlines the procedures for processing and collecting on animal control citations. However, the statute may not provide the same flexibility that local governments have in other code enforcement situations. It is unclear whether the more flexible procedures authorized in ch. 162, F.S., apply to animal control.⁵

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 588.17, F.S., to authorize adoption and humane disposal as options for dealing with impounded livestock (excluding cattle), in addition to the currently authorized options of sale or auction. The bill also provides the county animal control center with notification requirements in an effort to identify the owner of the impounded livestock. The bill provides that impounded livestock may not be auctioned or disposed of until at least 3 days after impounding.

¹ Stray Livestock Liability Laws, http://www.floridamemory.com/blog/2012/06/07/stray-livestock-liability-laws/ (last visited on Feb. 20, 2015).

² Sections 588.15 and 588.24, F.S.

³ Section 588.24, F.S., citing sections 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.

⁴ Florida Animal Control Association interview February 9, 2015 conducted by the Agriculture Committee.

⁵ *Id*.

BILL: CS/SB 420 Page 3

Section 2 amends s. 588.18, F.S., to require a county animal control center to establish fees and to be responsible for damages caused while impounding the livestock.

Section 3 conforms s. 588.23, F.S., to changes made in the previous sections of the bill.

Section 4 amends s. 828.073, F.S., to conform to changes made in previous sections of the bill. It also authorizes a municipality with certified animal control officers to issue an order to provide care for an animal found to be neglected or mistreated. It authorizes certified municipal animal control officers to take custody of an animal or to order an owner to provide certain care at the owner's expense.

Section 5 amends s. 828.27, F.S., to require that any certified animal control officer must complete four hours of post-certification continuing education training every two years in order to maintain certification. It also deletes obsolete provisions relating to the proceeds collected for civil penalties imposed for violation of an ordinance relating to animal control or cruelty. It also provides that this section provides additional, supplemental and alternative means of enforcing county or municipal codes or ordinances and it does not prohibit a county or municipality from enforcing its codes or ordinances, including, but not limited to, the procedures provided in ch. 162, F.S.

Section 6 provides that this act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

Α.	Municipality/County	Mandates	Restrictions

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

BILL: CS/SB 420 Page 4

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 588.17, 588.18, 588.20, 588.23, 828.03, 828.073, and 828.27.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Community Affairs on March 17, 2015:

- Authorizes the sheriff or animal control center to offer for adoption or humanely dispose of stray livestock, excluding cattle. Provides notice requirements.
- Authorizes the county animal control center to determine fees for impounding and caring for livestock at large.
- Authorizes certain municipalities to issue orders to provide care or to protect or to humanely dispose of abused or neglected animals.
- Authorizes certain municipalities to take custody of any animal found neglected or cruelly treated or order the owner to provide certain care to the animal.
- Removes the requirement that animals taken from unfit owners be put up for sale prior to being remanded to the custody of certain organizations.
- Provides that proceeds of a sale of an animal go to cover the care and provision costs of certain entities (after covering the cost of the sale).
- Provides training requirements for certified animal control officers.
- Provides that the powers granted by s. 828.27, F.S., are supplemental to county and municipal codes and the section does not prohibit local governments from enforcing such codes.

B. Amendments:

None.



	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Community Affairs (Dean) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

3 4

1 2

5

6

7

8

9

10

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Section 588.17, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

588.17 Disposition of impounded livestock.-

(1) Upon the impounding of any livestock by the sheriff or his or her deputies or designees, or any other law enforcement officers of the county, the county animal control center, or



state highway patrol officers, the sheriff shall forthwith serve written notice upon the owner, advising the $\frac{\text{such}}{\text{owner}}$ owner of the location or place where the livestock is being held and impounded, of the amount due by reason of the such impounding, and that unless the such livestock is be redeemed within 3 days after the date of the notice, from date thereof that the livestock will same shall be offered for sale.

(2) If In the event the owner of the such livestock is unknown or cannot be found, service upon the owner shall be obtained by once publishing a notice once in a newspaper of general circulation in the county where the livestock is impounded, excluding (Sundays and holidays excluded). If there is be no such newspaper, then by posting of the notice shall be posted at the courthouse door and at two other conspicuous places in the within said county.

Such notice shall be in substantially the following form:

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

"To Whom It May Concern:

You are hereby notified that the following described livestock ... (giving full and accurate description of same, including marks and brands) ... is now impounded at ... (giving location where livestock is impounded) ... and the amount due by reason of such impounding is dollars. The above described livestock will, unless redeemed within 3 days after the date of this notice from date hereof, be offered for sale at public auction to the highest and best bidder for cash. ...(Date)... ... (Sheriff) ...

of County, Florida"

38 39



(3) Unless the impounded livestock is redeemed within 3 days after the from date of notice, the sheriff shall forthwith give notice of sale, thereof which shall be held at least not less than 5 days but not nor more than 10 days, (excluding Sundays and holidays, after) from the first publication of the notice of sale. The Said notice of sale shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the said county, (excluding Sundays and holidays,) and by posting a copy of the such notice shall be posted at the courthouse door. If there is be no such newspaper, the notice of sale shall be posted then by posting such copy at the courthouse door and at two other conspicuous places in the said county.

Such notice of sale shall be in substantially the following form:

54 55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

6.3

64

65

66

67

68

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

"...(Name of owner, if known, otherwise 'To Whom It May Concern')... you are hereby notified that I will offer for sale and sell at public sale to the highest and best bidder for cash the following described livestock ... (giving full and accurate description of each head of livestock) ... at o'clock, m. (the hour of sale will to be between 11 a.m. and 2 p.m. Eastern Standard Time) on the day of at the following place (which place shall be where the livestock is impounded or at the place provided by the county commissioners for the taking up and keeping of such livestock) to satisfy a claim in the sum of for fees, expenses for feeding and care, and other related costs hereof. ...(Date)... ...(Sheriff)...

of County, Florida"

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86 87

88

89

90

91

92 93

94

95

96

97



- (4) Notwithstanding the requirements in subsections (1) through (3), the sheriff or county animal control center may offer for adoption or humanely dispose of stray livestock, excluding cattle. If the livestock is to be offered for adoption or humanely disposed of, the sheriff or county animal control center shall:
- (a) Provide written notice to the owner, if known, advising the owner of the location where the livestock is impounded, of the amount due by reason of the impounding, and that unless the livestock is redeemed within a timeframe to be established by the sheriff or county animal control center, which shall be a period of at least 3 business days, the livestock will be offered for adoption or disposed of humanely; or
- (b) If the owner is unknown or cannot be located, obtain service upon the owner by publishing a notice on the sheriff's or county animal control center's website. If the livestock is not redeemed within a timeframe to be established by the authorized agency, which shall be a period of at least 3 business days, the livestock will be offered for adoption or disposed of humanely.

Section 2. Section 588.18, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

588.18 Livestock at large; fees.—The fees allowed for impounding, serving notice, care and feeding, advertising, and disposing of impounded animals shall be determined by the sheriff or the county animal control center of each county. Damages caused done by the sheriff or the county animal control center, sheriff's designees, or any other law enforcement $\frac{\text{officer}}{\text{of in pursuit}_{7}}$ or in the capture, handling, or care of the

99 100

101

102

103

104

105

106 107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120 121

122

123

124

125

126



livestock are the sole responsibility of the sheriff or the county animal control center other law enforcement agency.

Section 3. Section 588.23, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

588.23 Right of owner.—The owner of any impounded livestock has shall have the right at any time before the disposition sale thereof to redeem the livestock same by paying to the sheriff or the county animal control center all impounding expenses, including fees, keeping charges, advertising, or other costs incurred therewith, which sum shall be deposited by the sheriff or the county animal control center with the clerk of the circuit court who shall pay all fees and costs as allowed in s. 588.18. If In the event there is a dispute as to the amount of such costs and expenses, the owner may give bond with sufficient sureties to be approved by the sheriff or the county animal control center, in an amount to be determined by the sheriff or the county animal control center, but not exceeding the fair cash value of such livestock, conditioned to pay such costs and damages; thereafter, within 10 days, the owner shall institute suit in equity to have the damage adjudicated by a court of equity or referred to a jury if requested by either party to such suit.

Section 4. Section 828.073, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

828.073 Animals found in distress; when entities agent may take custody charge; hearing; disposition; sale.-

- (1) The purpose of this section is to provide a means by which a neglected or mistreated animal may can be:
 - (a) Removed from its present custody, or



(b) Made the subject of an order to provide care, issued to its owner by a the county court, a any law enforcement officer, or a any agent of the county, a municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, a or of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals, or an agency appointed under s. 828.03,

133 134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142 143

144

145

146

127

128

129 130

131

132

and protected given protection and disposed of appropriately and humanely an appropriate and humane disposition made.

- (2) A Any law enforcement officer, a or any agent of any county, a municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, a or of any society or an association for the prevention of cruelty to animals, or an agent appointed under the provisions of s. 828.03 may:
- (a) Lawfully take custody of any animal found neglected or cruelly treated by removing the animal from its present location, or
- (b) Order the owner of any animal found neglected or cruelly treated to provide certain care to the animal at the owner's expense without removal of the animal from its present location,

147 148 149

150

151

152

153

154

155

and shall file a petition seeking relief under this section in the county court of the county in which the animal is found within 10 days after the animal is seized or an order to provide care is issued. The court shall schedule and commence a hearing on the petition within 30 days after the petition is filed to determine whether the owner, if known, is able to adequately provide adequately for the animal and is fit to have custody of

157

158 159

160

161

162 163

164 165

166 167

168

169

170

171

172 173

174 175

176

177

178

179 180

181

182 183

184



the animal. The hearing shall be concluded and the court order entered thereon within 60 days after the date the hearing is commenced. The timeframes set forth in this subsection are not jurisdictional. However, if a failure to meet such timeframes is attributable to the officer, county, municipality, society or association, or agent, the owner is not required to pay the officer, county, municipality, society or association, or agent for care of the animal during any period of delay caused by the officer, county, municipality, society or association, or agent. A fee may not be charged for filing the petition. This subsection does not require court action for the taking into custody and properly disposing making proper disposition of stray or abandoned animals as lawfully performed by animal control agents.

- (3) A law enforcement officer The officer, a or agent of any county, a municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, a or of any society or an association for the prevention of cruelty to animals, or an agent appointed under s. 828.03 taking custody charge of an any animal pursuant to the provisions of this section shall have written notice served, at least 3 days before the hearing scheduled under subsection (2), upon the owner of the animal, if he or she is known and is residing in the county where the animal was taken, in accordance conformance with the provisions of chapter 48 relating to service of process. The sheriff of the county may shall not charge a fee for service of such notice.
- (4)(a) A law enforcement The officer, a or agent of any county, a municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, a or of any society or an association for

186

187 188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208 209

210

211

212

213



the prevention of cruelty to animals, or an agent appointed under s. 828.03 taking custody charge of an animal pursuant to as provided for in this section shall provide for the animal until either:

- 1. The owner is adjudged by the court to be able to adequately provide adequately for, and have custody of, the animal, in which case the animal shall be returned to the owner upon payment by the owner for the care and provision for the animal while in the agent's or officer's custody of the officer, county, municipality, society or association, or agent; or
- 2. The animal is turned over to the officer, county, municipality, society or association, or agent pursuant to as provided in paragraph (c) and disposed of humanely a humane disposition of the animal is made.
- (b) If the court determines that the owner is able to provide adequately for, and have custody of, the animal, the order shall provide that the animal in the possession of the officer, county, municipality, society or association, or agent be claimed and removed by the owner within 7 days after the date of the order.
- (c) Upon the court's judgment that the owner of the animal is unable or unfit to adequately provide for the animal:
 - 1. The court may:
- a. Order that the current owner have no further custody of the animal and that the animal be sold by the sheriff at public auction or, that the current owner have no further custody of the animal, and that any animal not bid upon be remanded to the custody of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, the Humane Society, the county, the municipality with animal

215

216

217

218

219

220 221

222 223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235 236

237 238

239

240

241

242



control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, the agent appointed under s. 828.03 or any agency or person the judge deems appropriate, to be disposed of as the agency or person sees fit; or

- b. Order that the animal be destroyed or remanded directly to the custody of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, the Humane Society, the county, the municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, the agent appointed under s. 828.03, or any agency or person the judge deems appropriate, to be disposed of as the agency or person sees fit.
- 2. The court, upon proof of costs incurred by the officer, county, municipality, society or association, or agent, may require that the owner pay for the care of the animal while in the custody of the officer, county, municipality, society or association, or agent. A separate hearing may be held.
- 3. The court may order that other animals that are in the custody of the owner and that were not seized by the officer, county, municipality, society or association, or agent be turned over to the officer, county, municipality, society or association, or agent, if the court determines that the owner is unable or unfit to adequately provide for the animals. The court may enjoin the owner's further possession or custody of other animals.
- (5) In determining the person's fitness to have custody of an animal under the provisions of this act, the court may consider, among other matters:
- (a) Testimony from the law enforcement officer, the county, the municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant

244 245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253 254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271



to s. 828.27, the society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals, or the agent appointed under s. 828.03 or officer who seized the animal and other witnesses as to the condition of the animal when seized and as to the conditions under which the animal was kept.

- (b) Testimony and evidence as to the veterinary care provided to the animal.
- (c) Testimony and evidence as to the type and amount of care provided to the animal.
- (d) Expert testimony as to the community standards for proper and reasonable care of the same type of animal.
- (e) Testimony from any witnesses as to prior treatment or condition of this or other animals in the same custody.
- (f) The owner's past record of judgments under pursuant to the provisions of this chapter.
- (g) Convictions pursuant to applicable under the statutes prohibiting cruelty to animals.
- (h) Any Other evidence the court considers to be material or relevant.
- (6) If the evidence indicates a lack of proper and reasonable care of the animal, the burden is on the owner to demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that he or she is able and fit to have custody of and adequately provide adequately for the animal.
- (7) In any case in which an animal is offered for auction under the provisions of this section, the proceeds shall be:
 - (a) Applied, first, to the cost of the sale.
- (b) Applied, secondly, to the care and provision for the animal by the officer or agent of any county, the municipality

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295 296

297

298

299

300



with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, the or of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals, or the agent appointed under s. 828.03 taking charge.

- (c) Applied, thirdly, to the payment of the owner for the sale of the animal.
- (d) Paid over to the court if the owner is not known. Section 5. Subsection (4) of section 828.27, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsection (8) is added to that section, to read:
- 828.27 Local animal control or cruelty ordinances; penalty.-
- (4)(a)1. County-employed animal control officers must shall, and municipally employed animal control officers may, successfully complete a 40-hour minimum standards training course. Such course must shall include, but is not limited to, training for: animal cruelty investigations, search and seizure, animal handling, courtroom demeanor, and civil citations. The course curriculum must be approved by the Florida Animal Control Association. An animal control officer who successfully completes such course shall be issued a certificate indicating that he or she has received a passing grade.
- 2. Any animal control officer who is authorized before prior to January 1, 1990, by a county or municipality to issue citations is not required to complete the minimum standards training course.
- 3. In order to maintain valid certification, every 2 years each certified county-employed animal control officer must shall complete 4 hours of postcertification continuing education



training. Such training may include, but is not limited to, training for: animal cruelty investigations, search and seizure, animal handling, courtroom demeanor, and civil citations. (b) 1. The governing body of a county or municipality may

impose and collect a surcharge of up to \$5 upon each civil penalty imposed for violation of an ordinance relating to animal control or cruelty. The proceeds from such surcharges shall be used to pay the costs of training for animal control officers.

2. In addition to the uses set forth in subparagraph 1., a county, as defined in s. 125.011, may use the proceeds specified in that subparagraph and any carryover or fund balance from such proceeds for animal shelter operating expenses. This subparagraph expires July 1, 2014.

(8) This section is an additional, supplemental, and alternative means of enforcing county or municipal codes or ordinances. This section does not prohibit a county or municipality from enforcing its codes or ordinances by any other means, including, but not limited to, the procedures provided in chapter 162.

Section 6. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

321 322

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312 313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========

323 And the title is amended as follows:

> Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

326

324

325

A bill to be entitled

327 An act relating to animal control; amending s. 588.17, 328 F.S.; providing a procedure for adopting or humanely disposing of impounded stray livestock, excluding

329

Page 12 of 13

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349



cattle, as an alternative to sale or auction; amending s. 588.18, F.S.; requiring a sheriff or county animal control center to establish fees and be responsible for damages caused while impounding livestock; amending s. 588.23, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 828.073, F.S.; conforming provisions; authorizing certain municipalities to take custody of an animal found neglected or cruelly treated or to order the owner of such animal to provide certain care at the owner's expense; authorizing county courts to remand animals to the custody of certain municipalities; authorizing courts to require the owner of an animal to pay for the care of the animal while in certain custody; authorizing the allocation of auction proceeds to certain municipalities; amending s. 828.27, F.S.; deleting obsolete provisions; clarifying that certain provisions relating to local animal control are not the exclusive means of enforcing animal control laws; providing an effective date.

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: RCS	•	
03/17/2015	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Community Affairs (Dean) recommended the following:

Senate Substitute for Amendment (560196) (with title amendment)

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Subsection (4) is added to section 588.17, Florida Statutes, to read:

588.17 Disposition of impounded livestock.-

(4) Notwithstanding the requirements of subsections (1)-(3), the sheriff or the county animal control center may offer

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39



for adoption or humanely dispose of stray livestock, excluding cattle. If the livestock is to be offered for adoption or humanely disposed of, the sheriff or the county animal control center shall:

- (a) Provide written notice to the owner, if known, advising the owner of the location where the livestock is impounded and of the amount due by reason of the impounding, and that unless the livestock is redeemed within a timeframe to be established by the sheriff or the county animal control center, which shall be a period of at least 3 business days, the livestock will be offered for adoption or humanely disposed of; or
- (b) If the owner is unknown or cannot be located, obtain service upon the owner by publishing a notice on the sheriff's or the county animal control center's website. If the livestock is not redeemed within a timeframe to be established by the authorized agency, which shall be a period of at least 3 business days, the livestock will be offered for adoption or humanely disposed of.

Section 2. Section 588.18, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

588.18 Livestock at large; fees.—The fees allowed for impounding, serving notice, care and feeding, advertising, and disposing of impounded animals shall be determined by the sheriff or the county animal control center of each county. Damages done by the sheriff or the county animal control center, sheriff's designees, or any other law enforcement officer in pursuit, or in the capture, handling, or care of the livestock are the sole responsibility of the sheriff or the county animal control center other law enforcement agency.

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

6.3 64

65

66

67 68



Section 3. Section 588.23, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

588.23 Right of owner.—The owner of any impounded livestock has shall have the right at any time before the disposition sale thereof to redeem the livestock same by paying to the sheriff or the county animal control center all impounding expenses, including fees, keeping charges, advertising, or other costs incurred therewith which sum shall be deposited by the sheriff or the county animal control center with the clerk of the circuit court who shall pay all fees and costs as allowed in s. 588.18. If In the event there is a dispute as to the amount of such costs and expenses, the owner may give bond with sufficient sureties to be approved by the sheriff or the county animal control center, in an amount to be determined by the sheriff or the county animal control center, but not exceeding the fair cash value of such livestock, conditioned to pay such costs and damages; thereafter, within 10 days, the owner shall institute suit in equity to have the damage adjudicated by a court of equity or referred to a jury if requested by either party to such suit.

Section 4. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1), subsections (2) and (3), paragraphs (a) and (c) of subsection (4), and subsections (5) and (7) of section 828.073, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

828.073 Animals found in distress; when agent may take charge; hearing; disposition; sale.-

- (1) The purpose of this section is to provide a means by which a neglected or mistreated animal can be:
 - (b) Made the subject of an order to provide care, issued to



its owner by the county court, any law enforcement officer, or any agent of the county, a municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or a of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals appointed under s. 828.03,

74 75

76

77

78

79

80

81 82

83 84

85

86 87

73

69

70

71 72

> and given protection and an appropriate and humane disposition made.

- (2) A Any law enforcement officer, a or any agent of any county, a municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals appointed under the provisions of s. 828.03 may:
- (a) Lawfully take custody of any animal found neglected or cruelly treated by removing the animal from its present location, or
- (b) Order the owner of any animal found neglected or cruelly treated to provide certain care to the animal at the owner's expense without removal of the animal from its present location,

88 89 90

91

92 93

94

95

96

97

and shall file a petition seeking relief under this section in the county court of the county in which the animal is found within 10 days after the animal is seized or an order to provide care is issued. The court shall schedule and commence a hearing on the petition within 30 days after the petition is filed to determine whether the owner, if known, is able to provide adequately for the animal and is fit to have custody of the animal. The hearing shall be concluded and the court order

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114 115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126



entered thereon within 60 days after the date the hearing is commenced. The timeframes set forth in this subsection are not jurisdictional. However, if a failure to meet such timeframes is attributable to the officer or agent, the owner is not required to pay the officer or agent for care of the animal during any period of delay caused by the officer or agent. A fee may not be charged for filing the petition. This subsection does not require court action for the taking into custody and making proper disposition of stray or abandoned animals as lawfully performed by animal control agents.

- (3) Any The officer or agent of any county, any municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals taking charge of any animal pursuant to the provisions of this section shall have written notice served, at least 3 days before the hearing scheduled under subsection (2), upon the owner of the animal, if he or she is known and is residing in the county where the animal was taken, in conformance with the provisions of chapter 48 relating to service of process. The sheriff of the county may shall not charge a fee for service of such notice.
- (4)(a) Any The officer or agent of any county, any municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals taking charge of an animal as provided for in this section shall provide for the animal until either:
- 1. The owner is adjudged by the court to be able to provide adequately for, and have custody of, the animal, in which case the animal shall be returned to the owner upon payment by the

128

129 130

131

132 133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148 149

150

151

152

153

154

155



owner for the care and provision for the animal while in the agent's or officer's custody; or

- 2. The animal is turned over to the officer or agent as provided in paragraph (c) and a humane disposition of the animal is made.
- (c) Upon the court's judgment that the owner of the animal is unable or unfit to adequately provide for the animal:
 - 1. The court may:
- a. Order that the current owner have no further custody of the animal and that the animal be sold by the sheriff at public auction or, that the current owner have no further custody of the animal, and that any animal not bid upon be remanded to the custody of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, the Humane Society, the county, the municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or any agency or person the judge deems appropriate, to be disposed of as the agency or person sees fit; or
- b. Order that the animal be destroyed or remanded directly to the custody of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, the Humane Society, the county, the municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or any agency or person the judge deems appropriate, to be disposed of as the agency or person sees fit.
- 2. The court, upon proof of costs incurred by the officer or agent, may require that the owner pay for the care of the animal while in the custody of the officer or agent. A separate hearing may be held.
- 3. The court may order that other animals that are in the custody of the owner and that were not seized by the officer or

157

158

159

160 161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184



agent be turned over to the officer or agent, if the court determines that the owner is unable or unfit to adequately provide for the animals. The court may enjoin the owner's further possession or custody of other animals.

- (5) In determining the person's fitness to have custody of an animal under the provisions of this act, the court may consider, among other matters:
- (a) Testimony from the agent or officer who seized the animal and other witnesses as to the condition of the animal when seized and as to the conditions under which the animal was kept.
- (b) Testimony and evidence as to the veterinary care provided to the animal.
- (c) Testimony and evidence as to the type and amount of care provided to the animal.
- (d) Expert testimony as to the community standards for proper and reasonable care of the same type of animal.
- (e) Testimony from any witnesses as to prior treatment or condition of this or other animals in the same custody.
- (f) The owner's past record of judgments pursuant to under the provisions of this chapter.
- (g) Convictions pursuant to under the statutes prohibiting cruelty to animals.
- (h) Other Any other evidence the court considers to be material or relevant.
- (7) In any case in which an animal is offered for auction under the provisions of this section, the proceeds shall be:
 - (a) Applied, first, to the cost of the sale.
 - (b) Applied, secondly, to the care of and provision for the

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208 209

210

211

212

213



animal by the officer or agent of any county, any municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals taking charge.

- (c) Applied, thirdly, to the payment of the owner for the sale of the animal.
- (d) Paid over to the court if the owner is not known. Section 5. Subsection (4) of section 828.27, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsection (8) is added to that section, to read:
- 828.27 Local animal control or cruelty ordinances; penalty.-
- (4)(a)1. County-employed animal control officers must shall, and municipally employed animal control officers may, successfully complete a 40-hour minimum standards training course. Such course must shall include, but is not limited to, training for: animal cruelty investigations, search and seizure, animal handling, courtroom demeanor, and civil citations. The course curriculum must be approved by the Florida Animal Control Association. An animal control officer who successfully completes such course shall be issued a certificate indicating that he or she has received a passing grade.
- 2. Any animal control officer who is authorized before prior to January 1, 1990, by a county or municipality to issue citations is not required to complete the minimum standards training course.
- 3. In order to maintain valid certification, every 2 years each certified county-employed animal control officer must shall complete 4 hours of postcertification continuing education

215

216 217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225 226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

236

237

238

239

240

241 242



training. Such training may include, but is not limited to, training for: animal cruelty investigations, search and seizure, animal handling, courtroom demeanor, and civil citations.

- (b) 1. The governing body of a county or municipality may impose and collect a surcharge of up to \$5 upon each civil penalty imposed for violation of an ordinance relating to animal control or cruelty. The proceeds from such surcharges shall be used to pay the costs of training for animal control officers.
- 2. In addition to the uses set forth in subparagraph 1., a county, as defined in s. 125.011, may use the proceeds specified in that subparagraph and any carryover or fund balance from such proceeds for animal shelter operating expenses. This subparagraph expires July 1, 2014.
- (8) This section is an additional, supplemental, and alternative means of enforcing county or municipal codes or ordinances. This section does not prohibit a county or municipality from enforcing its codes or ordinances by any other means, including, but not limited to, the procedures provided in chapter 162.

Section 6. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

234 235 ======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========

And the title is amended as follows:

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to animal control; amending s. 588.17, F.S.; providing a procedure for adopting or humanely disposing of impounded stray livestock, except cattle,

244

245 246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260



as an alternative to sale or auction; amending s. 588.18, F.S.; requiring a county animal control center to establish fees and be responsible for damages caused while impounding livestock; amending s. 588.23, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 828.073, F.S.; authorizing certain municipalities to take custody of an animal found neglected or cruelly treated or to order the owner of such an animal to provide certain care at the owner's expense; authorizing county courts to remand animals to the custody of certain municipalities; authorizing the allocation of auction proceeds to certain municipalities; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 828.27, F.S.; deleting obsolete provisions; clarifying that certain provisions relating to local animal control are not the exclusive means of enforcing animal control laws; providing an effective date.

By Senator Grimsley

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1112

13

1415

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

21-00276A-15 2015420

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to animal control; amending s. 588.17, F.S.; providing a procedure for adopting or humanely disposing of impounded livestock as an alternative to sale or auction; amending s. 588.18, F.S.; requiring a designated impounder to establish fees and to be responsible for damages caused while impounding livestock; amending s. 588.20, F.S.; clarifying that the requirements for reporting a sale or disposition apply only if the impounded livestock is offered for sale; amending s. 588.23, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by this act; amending s. 828.03, F.S.; authorizing specified municipalities to appoint agents for the purpose of investigating violations of certain laws; amending s. 828.073, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; authorizing agents appointed by specified municipalities to take charge of certain animals; authorizing certain municipalities to take custody of an animal found neglected or cruelly treated or to order the owner of such an animal to provide certain care at the owner's expense; authorizing county courts to remand animals to the custody of certain municipalities; authorizing courts to require the owner of an animal to pay for the care of the animal while in the care of an officer's designee; authorizing the allocation of auction proceeds to certain municipalities; amending s. 828.27, F.S.; deleting obsolete provisions; clarifying that certain provisions relating to local animal

21-00276A-15 2015420

control are not the exclusive means of enforcing animal control laws; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 588.17, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

588.17 Disposition of impounded livestock.-

- (1) Upon the impounding of any Livestock impounded pursuant to this chapter shall be disposed of by sale or auction, adoption, or humane disposition. by the sheriff or his or her deputies or designees, or any other law enforcement officers of the county, the county animal control center, or state highway patrol officers,
- (1) If the livestock is to be offered for sale, the sheriff shall forthwith serve written notice upon the owner, advising the such owner of the location or place where the livestock is being held and impounded, of the amount due by reason of the such impounding, and that unless the such livestock is be redeemed within 3 days from date thereof that the livestock will same shall be offered for sale.
- (a) (2) If In the event the owner of the such livestock is unknown or cannot be found, service upon the owner shall be obtained by once publishing a notice in a newspaper of general circulation where the livestock is impounded (Sundays and holidays excluded). If there is be no such newspaper, then by posting of the notice shall be posted at the courthouse door and at two other conspicuous places within the said county.

Such notice shall be in substantially the following form:

21-00276A-15 2015420

"TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED LIVESTOCK ... (GIVING FULL AND ACCURATE DESCRIPTION OF SAME, INCLUDING MARKS AND BRANDS)... IS NOW IMPOUNDED AT ... (GIVING LOCATION WHERE LIVESTOCK IS IMPOUNDED)... AND THE AMOUNT DUE BY REASON OF SUCH IMPOUNDING IS DOLLARS. THE ABOVE DESCRIBED LIVESTOCK WILL, UNLESS REDEEMED WITHIN 3 DAYS FROM DATE HEREOF, BE OFFERED FOR SALE AT PUBLIC AUCTION TO THE HIGHEST AND BEST BIDDER FOR CASH.

...(DATE)...

OF COUNTY, FLORIDA"

(b) (3) Unless the impounded livestock is redeemed within 3 days after from date of notice, the sheriff shall forthwith give notice of sale, thereof which shall be held at least not less than 5 days but not nor more than 10 days (excluding Sundays and holidays) after from the first publication of the notice of sale. The Said notice of sale shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in the said county (excluding Sundays and holidays) and by posting a copy of the such notice at the courthouse door. If there is be no such newspaper, the then by posting such copy shall be posted at the courthouse door and at two other conspicuous places in the said county.

Such notice of sale shall be in substantially the following form:

"...(NAME OF OWNER, IF KNOWN, OTHERWISE 'TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN')... YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT I WILL OFFER FOR SALE

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112113

114

115116

21-00276A-15 2015420 88 AND SELL AT PUBLIC SALE TO THE HIGHEST AND BEST BIDDER FOR CASH 89 THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED LIVESTOCK ... (GIVING FULL AND ACCURATE 90 DESCRIPTION OF EACH HEAD OF LIVESTOCK)... AT O'CLOCK, 91 M. (THE HOUR OF SALE TO BE BETWEEN 11 A.M. AND 2 P.M. EASTERN 92 STANDARD TIME) ON THE DAY OF AT THE FOLLOWING PLACE (WHICH PLACE SHALL BE WHERE THE LIVESTOCK IS IMPOUNDED OR 93 94 AT THE PLACE PROVIDED BY THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FOR THE TAKING 95 UP AND KEEPING OF SUCH LIVESTOCK) TO SATISFY A CLAIM IN THE SUM 96 OF FOR FEES, EXPENSES FOR FEEDING AND CARE AND COSTS 97 HEREOF. 98 ... (DATE) (SHERIFF) ... 99 OF COUNTY, FLORIDA"

- (2) If the livestock is to be offered for adoption or humanely disposed of, the designated impounder shall:
- (a) Provide written notice to the owner, if known, advising the owner of the location where the livestock is impounded, of the amount due by reason of the impounding, and that unless the livestock is redeemed within a timeframe to be established by the impounder, a period of at least 3 days, the livestock will be offered for adoption or disposed of humanely; or
- (b) If the owner is unknown or cannot be located, obtain service upon the owner by publishing a notice on the impounder's website. If the livestock is not redeemed within a timeframe to be established by the impounder, a period of at least 3 days, the livestock will be offered for adoption or disposed of humanely.
- Section 2. Section 588.18, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

21-00276A-15 2015420

588.18 Livestock at large; fees.—The fees allowed for impounding, serving notice, care and feeding, advertising, and disposing of impounded animals shall be determined by the sheriff of each county or the designated impounder. Damages done by the sheriff, sheriff's designees, or any other law enforcement officer or designated impounder in pursuit, or in the capture, handling, or care of the livestock are the sole responsibility of the sheriff, or other law enforcement agency, or designated impounder.

Section 3. Subsection (1) of section 588.20, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

588.20 Report of sale and disposition of proceeds.-

(1) The sheriff, upon making a sale or other disposal pursuant to s. 588.19 as herein provided, shall forthwith make a written return thereof to the clerk of the circuit court of such county, with a full and accurate description of the livestock sold or disposed of by her or him, to whom, and the sale price thereof, which report shall be filed by said clerk.

Section 4. Section 588.23, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

has shall have the right at any time before the disposition sale thereof to redeem the livestock same by paying to the sheriff or designated impounder all impounding expenses, including fees, keeping charges, advertising, or other costs incurred therewith which sum shall be deposited by the sheriff or designated impounder with the clerk of the circuit court who shall pay all fees and costs as allowed in s. 588.18. If In the event there is a dispute as to the amount of such costs and expenses, the owner

21-00276A-15 2015420

may give bond with sufficient sureties to be approved by the sheriff or designated impounder, in an amount to be determined by the sheriff or designated impounder, but not exceeding the fair cash value of such livestock, conditioned to pay such costs and damages; thereafter, within 10 days, the owner shall institute suit in equity to have the damage adjudicated by a court of equity or referred to a jury if requested by either party to such suit.

Section 5. Section 828.03, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

828.03 Agents of counties, <u>municipalities</u>, societies, etc., may prosecute violators.—

- (1) Any county, any municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to children or animals, organized under the laws of this state, may appoint agents for the purpose of investigating violations of any of the provisions of this chapter or any other law of the state for the purpose of protecting children and animals or preventing any act of cruelty thereto.
- (2) All appointments of such agents by such society societies or association corporations must have the approval of the mayor of the municipality city in which the society or association exists, and if the society or association exists or works outside a municipality of any city, the appointment must be approved by the county court judge or the judge of the circuit court for the county, and the mayor or judge shall keep a record of such appointment. The approval of the appointment of any agent by a county for either the incorporated or

21-00276A-15 2015420

unincorporated areas of such county shall be by the county commission.

Section 6. Section 828.073, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

828.073 Animals found in distress; when agent may take charge; hearing; disposition; sale.—

- (1) The purpose of this section is to provide a means by which a neglected or mistreated animal can be:
 - (a) Removed from its present custody, or
- (b) Made the subject of an order to provide care, issued to its owner by the county court, any law enforcement officer, or any agent of the county, any agent of a municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or any agent of a any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals appointed under s. 828.03,

191 and gi

and given protection and an appropriate and humane disposition can be made.

- (2) \underline{A} Any law enforcement officer, an or any agent of any county, any agent of a municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or an agent of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals appointed under the provisions of s. 828.03 may:
- (a) Lawfully take custody of any animal found neglected or cruelly treated by removing the animal from its present location, or
- (b) Order the owner of any animal found neglected or cruelly treated to provide certain care to the animal at the owner's expense without removal of the animal from its present

21-00276A-15 2015420

204 location,

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212213

214

215

216217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

and shall file a petition seeking relief under this section in the county court of the county in which the animal is found within 10 days after the animal is seized or an order to provide care is issued. The court shall schedule and commence a hearing on the petition within 30 days after the petition is filed to determine whether the owner, if known, is able to provide adequately for the animal and is fit to have custody of the animal. The hearing shall be concluded and the court order entered thereon within 60 days after the date the hearing is commenced. The timeframes set forth in this subsection are not jurisdictional. However, if a failure to meet such timeframes is attributable to the officer or agent, the owner is not required to pay the officer or agent for care of the animal during any period of delay caused by the officer or agent. A fee may not be charged for filing the petition. This subsection does not require court action for the taking into custody and making proper disposition of stray or abandoned animals as lawfully performed by animal control agents.

with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals taking charge of any animal pursuant to the provisions of this section shall have written notice served, at least 3 days before the hearing scheduled under subsection (2), upon the owner of the animal, if he or she is known and is residing in the county where the animal was taken, in conformance with the provisions of chapter 48 relating to service of process. The

21-00276A-15 2015420

sheriff of the county \underline{may} \underline{shall} not charge a fee for service of such notice.

- (4) (a) The officer or agent of any county, any municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals taking charge of an animal as provided for in this section shall provide for the animal until either:
- 1. The owner is adjudged by the court to be able to provide adequately for, and have custody of, the animal, in which case the animal shall be returned to the owner upon payment by the owner for the care and provision for the animal while in the agent's or officer's custody; or
- 2. The animal is turned over to the officer or agent as provided in paragraph (c) and a humane disposition of the animal is made.
- (b) If the court determines that the owner is able to provide adequately for, and have custody of, the animal, the order shall provide that the animal in the possession of the officer or agent be claimed and removed by the owner within 7 days after the date of the order.
- (c) Upon the court's judgment that the owner of the animal is unable or unfit to adequately provide for the animal:
 - 1. The court may:
- a. Order that the current owner have no further custody of the animal and that the animal be sold by the sheriff at public auction or, that the current owner have no further custody of the animal, and that any animal not bid upon be remanded to the custody of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, the Humane Society, the county, the municipality with animal

21-00276A-15 2015420

control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or any agency or person the judge deems appropriate, to be disposed of as the agency or person sees fit; or

- b. Order that the animal be destroyed or remanded directly to the custody of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, the Humane Society, the county, the municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or any agency or person the judge deems appropriate, to be disposed of as the agency or person sees fit.
- 2. The court, upon proof of costs incurred by the officer, the officer's designee, or the agent, may require that the owner pay for the care of the animal while in the custody of the officer, the officer's designee, or the agent. A separate hearing may be held.
- 3. The court may order that other animals that are in the custody of the owner and that were not seized by the officer or agent be turned over to the officer or agent, if the court determines that the owner is unable or unfit to adequately provide for the animals. The court may enjoin the owner's further possession or custody of other animals.
- (5) In determining the person's fitness to have custody of an animal under the provisions of this act, the court may consider, among other matters:
- (a) Testimony from the agent or officer who seized the animal and other witnesses as to the condition of the animal when seized and as to the conditions under which the animal was kept.
- (b) Testimony and evidence as to the veterinary care provided to the animal.

21-00276A-15 2015420

(c) Testimony and evidence as to the type and amount of care provided to the animal.

- (d) Expert testimony as to the community standards for proper and reasonable care of the same type of animal.
- (e) Testimony from any witnesses as to prior treatment or condition of this or other animals in the same custody.
- (f) The owner's past record of judgments <u>pursuant to</u> under the provisions of this chapter.
- (g) Convictions $\underline{\text{pursuant to}}$ $\underline{\text{under}}$ the statutes prohibiting cruelty to animals.
- (h) Any Other evidence the court considers to be material or relevant.
- (6) If the evidence indicates a lack of proper and reasonable care of the animal, the burden is on the owner to demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that he or she is able and fit to have custody of and provide adequately for the animal.
- (7) In any case in which an animal is offered for auction under the provisions of this section, the proceeds shall be:
 - (a) Applied, first, to the cost of the sale.
- (b) Applied, secondly, to the care and provision for the animal by the officer or agent of any county, any municipality with animal control officers certified pursuant to s. 828.27, or of any society or association for the prevention of cruelty to animals taking charge.
- (c) Applied, thirdly, to the payment of the owner for the sale of the animal.
 - (d) Paid over to the court if the owner is not known. Section 7. Subsection (4) of section 828.27, Florida

21-00276A-15 2015420

Statutes, is amended, and subsection (8) is added to that section, to read:

828.27 Local animal control or cruelty ordinances; penalty.—

- (4) (a) 1. County-employed animal control officers <u>must</u> shall, and municipally employed animal control officers may, successfully complete a 40-hour minimum standards training course. Such course <u>must shall</u> include, but is not limited to, training for: animal cruelty investigations, search and seizure, animal handling, courtroom demeanor, and civil citations. The course curriculum must be approved by the Florida Animal Control Association. An animal control officer who successfully completes such course shall be issued a certificate indicating that he or she has received a passing grade.
- 2. Any animal control officer who is authorized <u>before</u> prior to January 1, 1990, by a county or municipality to issue citations is not required to complete the minimum standards training course.
- 3. In order to maintain valid certification, every 2 years each certified county-employed animal control officer must shall complete 4 hours of postcertification continuing education training. Such training may include, but is not limited to, training for: animal cruelty investigations, search and seizure, animal handling, courtroom demeanor, and civil citations.
- (b) 1. The governing body of a county or municipality may impose and collect a surcharge of up to \$5 upon each civil penalty imposed for violation of an ordinance relating to animal control or cruelty. The proceeds from such surcharges shall be used to pay the costs of training for animal control officers.

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

21-00276A-15 2015420

2. In addition to the uses set forth in subparagraph 1., a county, as defined in s. 125.011, may use the proceeds specified in that subparagraph and any carryover or fund balance from such proceeds for animal shelter operating expenses. This subparagraph expires July 1, 2014.

(8) This section is an additional, supplemental, and alternative means of enforcing county or municipal codes or ordinances. This section does not prohibit a county or municipality from enforcing its codes or ordinances by any other means, including, but not limited to, the procedures provided in chapter 162.

Section 8. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

APPEARANCE RECORD

3 · 17 · 15 (Deliver BOTH	copies of this form to the Ser	nator or Senate Professional	Starr conducting the meeting) 420
Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic ANIMAL CONTR	o L		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name LAURA YOUMAN	5		_
Job Title ADUCLATE			_
Address 100 N. MONRUE S	7		Phone 2941-1838
TAL	FL	32301	Email LYOUMANSEFL-COUNTIES.
City	State	Zip	WY
Speaking: For Against	Information		Speaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing PWRDA	ASSOCIATION	of COUNTIE	= 5
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist regis	stered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encour meeting. Those who do speak may be	age public testimony, asked to limit their re	time may not permit a marks so that as man	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this y persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public recor	d for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

3/17/15 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

470

Meeting Date				Bill Numi	ber (if applicable)
Topic ANIMAL C	ONTROL			Amendment Barc	ode (if applicable)
Name Scott TREBATOSA	۷1.				
Job Title DIRECTOR, 1+1US.	BUSCOVEH COUN	TY PET RESOUR	lces		
Address 440 N FALKEN	BVKU-RD		Phone	813-612-8	443
Street TAMPA City	FL State	33619 Zip	Email_7	NEBATOSKIS@ C	HLLSBORNOH COUNTY, ORC
Speaking: For Against	Information			In Support this information into	Against the record.)
Representing Rolling	ANIMAL CONT	nol ASS	OCIATIO	ON	
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist regist	ered with	Legislature:	Yes No
While it is a Consta tradition to ansaura	an nublic to discourse to				

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

2-17-15 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic animal Control	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Pat Milon	< upont
Job Title Governental Consultan	2001
Address Street 1	Phone 528 - 44476
Tallahossee FC 32301	Email Dat Dmixmandassocials
Zip	" Con
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Sp	peaking: In Support Against
(The Chai	ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing 1001 da Yeter man medica	Mes and Equino Fractiones
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not name to a	

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:

Communications, Energy, and Public Utilities, Chair Agriculture
Appropriations
Appropriations Subcommittee on Health and Human Services
Health Policy
Transportation

JOINT COMMITTEES:

Joint Administrative Procedures Committee Joint Legislative Budget Commission

SENATOR DENISE GRIMSLEY

Deputy Majority Leader 21st District

March 16, 2015

The Honorable Wilton Simpson, Chair Senate Committee on Community Affairs Room 315 Knott Building 404 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

Dear Chair Simpson:

I have SB 420 relating to Animal Control scheduled before your Committee at 9:00 a.m. in the morning, 3/17/2015. I've asked a member of my staff to present this bill, since I have a Health Policy Committee meeting. Staff member presenting will be Anne Bell.

Thank you for hearing my bill.

Sincerely,

Denise Grimsley Senator, District 21

DG/ab

REPLY TO:

☐ 205 South Commerce Avenue, Suite A, Sebring, Florida 33870 (863) 386-6016

□ 212 East Stuart Avenue, Lake Wales, Florida 33853 (863) 679-4847

□ 306 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5021

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

lenice Jurisley



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

To:	Senator Wilton Simpson, Chair Committee on Community Affairs		
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request		
Date:	February 18, 2015		
I respectfully	request that Senate Bill #420, relating to Animal Control, be placed on the:		
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.		
	next committee agenda.		
	Senator Denise Grimsley Florida Senate, District 21		

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	By: The P	rofessional Staff	of the Committee	on Community Affai	rs
BILL:	SB 168					
INTRODUCER:	Senator Negron					
SUBJECT:	Mobile Home Parks					
DATE:	March 3, 20	015	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
 Oxamendi 		Imhof		RI	Favorable	
2. Stearns		Yeatm	an	CA	Favorable	
3.				JU		

I. Summary:

SB 168 revises the definition of the term "mobile home park" or "park" to include rented or leased lots or spaces without regard to rental or lease term or the person liable for the payment of the ad valorem taxes on the lot or space. The bill would subject mobile home lots or spaces that are held under long term leases, i.e., 99-year leases, to the mobile home park requirements in ch. 723, F.S., which includes procedures and limitations on rent amount increases for mobile home lots or spaces.

The bill is intended to apply the amendment retroactively to the enactment of s. 723.003, F.S., on June 4, 1984. It provides that the amendment is remedial in nature and intended to clarify existing law. It is intended to abrogate a prior interpretation of the definition of the term "mobile home park" by the Division of Florida Condominiums, Timeshares, and Mobile Homes (division) in which the division concluded that a subdivision consisting of lots subject to 99-year leases could not be considered a "mobile home park" because the lots or spaces are offered for rent or lease under 99-year leases with an automatic renewal clause and that is the equivalent of an equitable interest and not a leasehold interest. The bill also provides that the amendment is not intended to affect assessments or liability for, or exemptions from, ad valorem taxation on a lot or space upon which a mobile home is placed.

II. Present Situation:

Mobile Home Act

Chapter 723, F.S., is known as the "Florida Mobile Home Act" (act) and provides for the regulation of mobile homes by the Division of Florida Condominiums, Timeshares, and Mobile Homes (division) within the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (department).

The Florida Mobile Home Act was enacted in 1984. The act was created to address the unique relationship between a mobile home owner and a mobile home park owner. The act provides, in part:

Once occupancy has commenced, unique factors can affect the bargaining position of the parties and can affect the operation of market forces. Because of those unique factors, there exist inherently real and substantial differences in the relationship which distinguish it from other landlord-tenant relationships. The Legislature recognizes that mobile home owners have basic property and other rights which must be protected. The Legislature further recognizes that the mobile home park owner has a legitimate business interest in the operation of the mobile home park as part of the housing market and has basic property and other rights which must be protected.²

The provisions in ch. 723, F.S., apply to residential tenancies where a mobile home is placed upon a lot that is rented or leased from a mobile home park that has 10 or more lots offered for rent or lease.³

Section 723.003(6), F.S., defines the term "mobile home park" or "park" to mean:

a use of land in which lots or spaces are offered for rent or lease for the placement of mobile homes and in which the primary use of the park is residential.

Section 723.003(8), F.S., defines the term "mobile home subdivision" to mean:

a subdivision of mobile homes where individual lots are owned by owners and where a portion of the subdivision or the amenities exclusively serving the subdivision are retained by the subdivision developer.

The terms "mobile home park," "park," and "mobile home subdivision" have remained unchanged since the enactment of the Florida Mobile Home Act in 1984.⁴

Savanna Club Litigation Memorandum

The department issued a "Litigation Memo" dated September 18, 2013, regarding whether the Savanna Club community in Port St. Lucie, Florida, was a mobile home park as defined in s. 723.003(6), F.S. It also considered whether the community was a "mobile home subdivision" as defined by s. 723.003(8), F.S. The division concluded that the community was not a "mobile home park" or a "mobile home subdivision."⁵

¹ Chapter 84-80, L.O.F. Formerly ch. 720, F.S.

² Section 723.004(1), F.S.; *see also Mobile Home Relocation*, Interim Report No. 2007-106, Florida Senate Committee on Community Affairs, October 2006.

³ Section 723.002(1), F.S.

⁴ See ch. 84-80, L.O.F. The definitions in s. 723.003, were formerly in s. 720.103, F.S. (1984).

⁵ See Litigation Memo re: Savanna Club, Case No. 2007065818, Sept. 18, 2013. (on file with the Regulated Industries Committee).

The Savanna Club is a residential mobile home subdivision consisting of approximately 2,560 mobile homes and a recreation complex. An unspecified number of the lots were sold in fee simple and the remainder were sold with 99-year leases that have an automatic renewal clause. All of the lots held in fee simple or through a 99-year lease are subject to a declaration of covenants and restrictions that requires membership in the homeowners' association. All members of the association, including members whose lots are held through a 99-year lease, have one vote in the association with no distinction in membership rights or obligations. The developer has transferred the deed for the common areas and recreational areas to the homeowners' association.

The 99-year leases provide the terms for rent increases. The adjusted monthly rental of the previous lease year is used as a base for the current lease year, plus the greater of a percentage increase based on the U.S. Consumer Price Index or three percent. When an original tenant transfers his or her interest in a lot subject to a 99-year lease, the new rent is based on the fair market value as determined by the landlord, i.e., the developer.

The division found that the subdivision did not meet the definition of "mobile home subdivision" in s. 723.003(8), F.S., because the developer had not retained an interest in any common areas in the subdivision and because the 99-year leaseholders were the equitable owners of the lots.

Leaseholders of 99-year leases are considered equitable owners and the leased property is not exempt from the payment of property taxes.⁶ Leaseholders of leases of 98 or more years are also entitled to claim a homestead exemption from ad valorem property taxes.⁷

The division also found that Savanna Club could not be considered a "mobile home park" under s. 723.003(6), F.S., because the lots or spaces are not offered for rent or lease in the way that this provision contemplates. It noted that 99-year leases with an automatic renewal clause are the equivalent of an equitable interest and not a leasehold interest.

Prospectus or Offering Circular

The prospectus in a mobile home park is the document that governs the landlord-tenant relationship between the park owner and the mobile home owner. The prospectus or offering circular, together with its attached exhibits, is a disclosure document intended to afford protection to the homeowners and prospective homeowners in the mobile home park. The purpose of the document is to disclose the representations of the mobile home park owner concerning the operations of the mobile home park.⁸

In a mobile home park containing 26 or more lots, the park owner must file a prospectus with the division for approval. Prior to entering into an enforceable rental agreement for a mobile home lot, the park owner must deliver to the homeowner a prospectus that has been approved by the division. ⁹ The division maintains copies of each prospectus and all amendments to each

⁶ Ward v. Brown, 919 So.2d 462 (Fla. 1st DCA 2005).

⁷ See s. 196.041(1), F.S.

⁸ Section 723.011(3), F.S.

⁹ Section 723.011(1)(a), F.S.

prospectus that it has approved. The division must also provide copies of documents within 10 days of receipt of a written request. 10

The park owner must furnish a copy of the prospectus with all the attached exhibits to each prospective lessee prior to the execution of the lot rental agreement or at the time of occupancy, whichever occurs first. Upon delivery of a prospectus to a prospective lessee, the lot rental agreement is voidable by the lessee for a period of 15 days.¹¹

If a prospectus is not provided to the prospective lessee before the execution of a lot agreement or prior to occupancy, the rental agreement is voidable by the lessee until 15 days after the lessee receives the prospectus. ¹² If the homeowner cancels the rental agreement, he or she is entitled to a refund of any deposit together with relocation costs for the mobile home, or the market value thereof including any appurtenances thereto paid for by the mobile home owner, from the park owner. ¹³

The prospectus distributed to a home owner or prospective home owner is binding for the length of the tenancy, including any assumptions of that tenancy, and may not be changed except in the specified circumstances.¹⁴

Written Notification in the Absence of a Prospectus

Section 723.013, F.S., provides that when a park owner does not give a prospectus prior to the execution of a rental agreement or prior to the purchaser's occupancy, the park owner must give written notification of specified information prior to the purchaser's occupancy, including zoning information, the name and address of the mobile home park owner or a person authorized to receive notices and demands on his or her behalf, and all fees and charges, assessments, or other financial obligations not included in the rental agreement and a copy of the rules and regulations in effect.

This provision only applies to mobile home parks containing at least 10 lots but no more than 25 lots. Section 723.011, F.S., requires mobile home park owners to provide a prospectus to all prospective lessees in mobile home parks containing 26 lots or more.

Mobile Home Park Rent Increases

Section 723.059(4), F.S., provides that the mobile home park owner has the right to increase rents "in an amount deemed appropriate by the mobile home park owner." The park owner must give mobile home lot tenants 90-day notice of a lot rental increase.¹⁵

However, the park owner must disclose the increase to the purchaser prior to his or her occupancy and the increase must be imposed in a manner consistent with the initial offering

¹⁰ Section 723.011(1)(d), F.S.

¹¹ Section 723.011(2), F.S.

¹² Section 723.014(1), F.S.

¹³ Section 723.014(2), F.S.

¹⁴ See rule 61B-31.001, F.A.C.

¹⁵ Section 723.037(1), F.S.

circular or prospectus. The homeowners also have the right to have a meeting with the park owner at which the park owner must explain the factors that led to the increase. ¹⁶

Unreasonable lot rental agreements and unreasonable rent increases are unenforceable.¹⁷ A lot rental amount that exceeds market rent shall be considered unreasonable.¹⁸ Market rent is defined as rent which would result from market forces absent an unequal bargaining position between mobile home park owners and mobile home owners.¹⁹

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 723.003(6), F.S., to revise the definition of the term "mobile home park" or "park" to include rented or leased lots or spaces without regard to rental or lease term or the person liable for the payment of the ad valorem taxes on the lot or space. The bill would subject mobile home lots or spaces that are held under 99-year leases to the requirements of ch. 723, F.S.

Section 2 amends s. 73.072, F.S., which relates to compensation for permanent improvements by mobile home owners after the eminent domain taking of real property, to incorporate the amendment to s. 723.003, F.S.

Section 3 specifies that the bill applies retroactively to the enactment of s. 723.003, F.S., on June 4, 1984. It provides that the amendment is remedial in nature and intended to clarify existing law. It provides that the amendment is intended to abrogate the division's interpretation of law provided in the litigation memorandum dated September 18, 2013. It also provides that the amendment is not intended to affect assessments or liability for, or exemptions from, ad valorem taxation on a lot or space upon which a mobile home is placed.

The effect of this bill is unclear in a circumstance in which mobile home lots are subject to the terms of a long-standing, 99-year lease, i.e., as described in the division's litigation memo regarding the Savanna Club subdivision. Specifically, it is not clear whether the amendment to s. 723.003(6), F.S., would subject lots that are under a preexisting, long-term lease agreement to the rent increase provision in ch. 723, F.S., for any past or future rent increases, particularly when there is no division-approved prospectus.

Section 4 provides that the bill will take effect upon becoming law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

¹⁶ Section 723.037, F.S.

¹⁷ Section 723.033(1), F.S.

¹⁸ Section 723.033(5), F.S.

¹⁹ Section 723.033(4), F.S.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues:

The bill amends s. 723.003(6), F.S., to revise the definition of the term "mobile home park" or "park" to include rented or leased lots or spaces without regard to rental or lease term or the person liable for the payment of the ad valorem taxes on the lot or space. The bill retroactively applies the requirements of ch. 723, F.S., to mobile home lots or spaces that are held under a long-term lease, i.e., 99-year leases. To the extent the retroactive or prospective application of the requirements of ch. 723, F.S., conflict with the terms and conditions of affected long-term leases, including rent increase requirements, these provisions appear to implicate constitutional concerns relating to the impairment of contract.

The retroactive application of these provisions may violate the Contract Clause, ²⁰ the prohibition against ex post facto laws, ²¹ and the Due Process clauses ²² of the U.S. Constitution. The common law also provides that the government, through rule or legislation, cannot adversely affect substantive rights once such rights have vested. ²³ Generally, courts will refuse to apply a statute retroactively if it "impairs vested rights, creates new obligations, or imposes new penalties." ²⁴

The Contract Clause prohibits states from passing laws which impair contract rights. It only prevents substantial impairments of contracts. The courts use a balancing test to determine whether a particular regulation violates the Contract Clause. The courts measure the severity of the contractual impairment against the importance of the state interest advanced by the regulation. Also, courts look at whether the regulation is a reasonable and narrowly tailored means of promoting the state's interest. Generally, courts accord considerable deference to legislative determinations relating to the need for laws which impair private obligations. However, courts scrutinize the impairment of public contracts in a stricter fashion, exhibiting less deference to findings of the Legislature, because the Legislature may stand to gain from the outcome.

²⁰ Article I, s. 10, U.S. Constitution.

²¹ Article I, s. 9, U.S. Constitution.

²² Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments, U.S. Constitution.

²³ Bitterman v. Bitterman, 714 So.2d 356 (Fla. 1998).

²⁴ Essex Insurance, Co. v. Integrated Drainage Solutions, Inc., 124 So.3d 947 at 951 (Fla. 2nd DCA 2013), quoting State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins., Co. v. Laforet, 658 So.2d 55 at 61 (Fla. 1995).

²⁵ Home Building & Loan Ass'n v. Blaisdell, 290 U.S. 398 (1923).

²⁶ Allied Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus, 438 U.S. 234 (1978).

²⁷ East New York Savings Bank v. Hahn, 326 U.S. 230 (1945).

²⁸ United States Trust Co. v. New Jersey, 431 U.S. 1 (1977). See generally, Leo Clark, The Contract Clause: A Basis for Limited Judicial Review of State Economic Regulation, 39 U. MIAMI L. REV. 183 (1985).

Although the retroactive application of condominium laws to preexisting lease agreements between condominium associations and third parties may be constitutionally applied,²⁹ it is not clear whether mobile home park laws may be retroactively applied to pre-existing, long-term lease agreements between a homeowner lessee and the developer lessor.

In *Pomponio v. Claridge of Pompano Condominium, Inc.*,³⁰ the court stated that some degree of flexibility has developed over the last century in interpreting the Contract Clause in order to ameliorate the harshness of the original rigid application used by the United States Supreme Court. The Florida Supreme Court invalidated as an unconstitutional impairment of contract a statute that provided for the deposit of rent into a court registry during litigation involving obligations under a contract lease. In *Pomponio*, the court set forth several factors in balancing whether the state law has in fact operated as a substantial impairment of a contractual relationship. The severity of the impairment measures the height of the hurdle the state legislation must clear. The court stated that if there is minimal alteration of contractual obligations the inquiry can end at its first stage. Severe impairment can push the inquiry to a careful examination of the nature and purpose of the state legislation. The factors to be considered are:

- Whether the law was enacted to deal with a broad, generalized, economic or social problem;
- Whether the law operates in an area that was already subject to state regulation at the time the contract was entered into; and
- Whether the effect on the contractual relationships is temporary or whether it is severe, permanent, immediate, and retroactive.³¹

In *United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co.*, ³² the U.S. Supreme Court adopted the method used in *Pomponio*. The court stated that the method required a balancing of a person's interest not to have his contracts impaired with the state's interest in exercising its legitimate police power. The court outlined the main factors to be considered in applying this balancing test.

- The threshold inquiry is "whether the state law has, in fact, operated as a substantial impairment of a contractual relationship." The severity of the impairment increases the level of scrutiny.
- In determining the extent of the impairment, the court considered whether the industry the complaining party entered has been regulated in the past. This is a consideration because if the party was already subject to regulation at the time the contract was entered, then it is understood that it would be subject to further regulation upon the same topic.³⁴

²⁹ Century Village, Inc. v. Wellington, 361 So.2d 128 (Fla. 1978).

³⁰ Pomponio v. Claridge of Pompano Condominium, Inc., 378 So. 2d 774, 776 (Fla. 1979).

³¹ *Id.* at 779.

³² United States Fidelity & Guaranty Co. v. Department of Insurance, 453 So. 2d 1355 (Fla. 1984).

³³ Id. at 1360 (quoting Allied Structural Steel Co., v. Spannaus, 438 U.S. 234, 244 (1978)).

³⁴ *Id.* (citing *Allied Structural Steel Co.*, 438 U.S. at 242, n. 13).

• If the state regulation constitutes a substantial impairment, the state needs a significant and legitimate public purpose behind the regulation.³⁵

• Once the legitimate public purpose is identified, the next inquiry is whether the adjustment of the rights and responsibilities of the contracting parties is appropriate to the public purpose justifying the legislation.³⁶

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Homeowners with a long-term lease on a lot or space in a community with 10 or more leased mobile home lots or spaces may be entitled to utilize the rent increase procedures in ch. 723, F.S., which limits lot increases to market rent. If the market rent is less than the percentage increase stated in the long-term lease agreement, the homeowner may incur a savings. However, if the market rate is greater than the percentage increase stated in the long-term lease agreement, the homeowner's rent cost may be greater.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 723.003 and 73.072.

This bill creates an undesignated section of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

³⁵ *Id.* at 1360 (citing *U.S. Trust Co. of New York v. New Jersey*, 431 U.S. 1, 22 (1977)).

³⁶ *Id*.

R	Amendments	•

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

By Senator Negron

32-00229A-15 2015168

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to mobile home parks; amending s. 723.003, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "mobile home park" to clarify that it includes certain lots or spaces regardless of the rental or lease term's length or person liable for ad valorem taxes; reenacting and amending s. 73.072, F.S., to incorporate the amendment made to s. 723.003, F.S., in a reference thereto; providing that the act is remedial and intended to clarify existing law and to abrogate an interpretation of such law by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation; providing for retroactive application; providing that the act does not affect specified ad valorem taxation issues; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (6) of section 723.003, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

723.003 Definitions.—As used in this chapter, the following words and terms have the following meanings unless clearly indicated otherwise:

(6) The term "mobile home park" or "park" means a use of land in which lots or spaces are offered for rent or lease for the placement of mobile homes, regardless of the length of the rental or lease term or the person liable for the payment of ad valorem taxes on the lot or space, and in which the primary use of the park is residential.

32-00229A-15 2015168

Section 2. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment made by this act to section 723.003, Florida Statutes, in a reference thereto, subsection (1) of section 73.072, Florida Statutes, is reenacted and amended to read:

- 73.072 Mobile home parks; compensation for permanent improvements by mobile home owners.—
- (1) If When all or a portion of a mobile home park as defined in s. 723.003(6) is appropriated under this chapter, the condemning authority shall separately determine the compensation for any permanent improvements made to each site. This compensation shall be awarded to the mobile home owner leasing the site if:
- (a) The effect of the taking includes a requirement that the mobile home owner remove or relocate his or her mobile home from the site;
- (b) The mobile home owner currently leasing the site has paid for the permanent improvements to the site; and
- (c) The value of the permanent improvements on the site exceeds \$1,000 as of the date of taking.

Section 3. The amendment made by this act to s. 723.003, Florida Statutes, is remedial in nature and is intended to clarify existing law and to abrogate the interpretation of law set forth by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation in a litigation memo dated September 18, 2013, which misclassified certain long-term leases of mobile home lots and spaces as equitable ownership interests for purposes of the statutory definition of "mobile home park." The amendment applies retroactively to the enactment of s. 723.003, Florida Statutes, on June 4, 1984, and is not intended to affect

32-00229A-15 2015168 59 assessments or liability for, or exemptions from, ad valorem taxation on a lot or space upon which a mobile home is placed. 60 Section 4. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law. 61

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 3-17-15 Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Topic Mobile Home Parks Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Lori Killinger Job Title attorney/Jobby151 Address 315 S. Calhoun St. Street Phone そうりょうらりょう Email 1 Killinger@11w-law.com ____ For _____Against ____ Information Speaking: Waive Speaking: | In Support l Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Florida Manufactured Housing Assn. Lobbyist registered with Legislature: 💢 Yes Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared By: Th	e Professional Staff	f of the Committee	on Community	Affairs		
BILL:	CS/SB 824						
INTRODUCER:	Community Affairs Committee and Senator Evers						
SUBJECT:	Public-private Partnerships						
DATE:	March 17, 2015	REVISED:					
ANAL	YST ST	AFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION		
. Stearns	Yeatman		CA	Fav/CS			
•			GO				
			FP				

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 824 implements many of the recommendations of the statutorily created Partnership for Public Facilities and Infrastructure Act Guidelines Task Force to create a uniform, improved process for engaging in public-private partnerships (P3s) across the state. The bill clarifies that the P3 process must be construed as cumulative and supplemental to any other authority or power vested in the governing body of a county, municipality, district, or municipal hospital or health care system. It also provides that the P3 process is an alternative method that may be used.

The bill expands the list of entities authorized to conduct P3s, to include state universities. It clarifies that the list includes special districts, school districts rather than school boards, and Florida College System institutions.

The bill provides increased flexibility to the responsible public entity by permitting a responsible public entity to deviate from the provided procurement timeframes if approved by majority vote of the entity's governing body.

The bill provides that an unsolicited proposal must be submitted concurrently with an initial application fee, which may be established by the responsible public entity. The bill authorizes a responsible public entity to request additional funds if the initial fee does not cover the costs to evaluate the unsolicited proposal. It also requires the responsible public entity to return the initial application fee if the responsible public entity does not review the unsolicited proposal.

The bill authorizes the Department of Management Services to accept and maintain copies of comprehensive agreements received from responsible public entities.

II. Present Situation:

Background

Public-private partnerships (P3s) are contractual agreements formed between public entities and private sector entities that allow for greater private sector participation in the delivery and financing of public building and infrastructure projects. Through these agreements, the skills and assets of each sector, public and private, are shared in delivering a service or facility for use by the general public. In addition to the sharing of resources, each party shares in the risks and rewards potential in the delivery of the service or facility.

Section 287.05712, F.S., governs the procurement process for P3s for public purpose projects. It authorizes a responsible public entity to enter into a P3 for a specified qualifying project if the responsible public entity determines the project is in the public's best interest.³

Section 287.05712(1)(j), F.S., defines "responsible public entity" as a county, municipality, school board, or any other political subdivision of the state; a public body politic and corporate; or a regional entity that serves a public purpose and is authorized to develop or operate a qualifying project.

Section 287.05712(1)(i), F.S., defines "qualifying project" as:

- A facility or project that serves a public purpose, including, but not limited to, any ferry or
 mass transit facility, vehicle parking facility, airport or seaport facility, rail facility or project,
 fuel supply facility, oil or gas pipeline, medical or nursing care facility, recreational facility,
 sporting or cultural facility, or educational facility or other building or facility that is used or
 will be used by a public educational institution, or any other public facility or infrastructure
 that is used or will be used by the public at large or in support of an accepted public purpose
 or activity;
- An improvement, including equipment, of a building that will be principally used by a public entity or the public at large or that supports a service delivery system in the public sector;
- A water, wastewater, or surface water management facility or other related infrastructure; or
- For projects that involve a facility owned or operated by the governing board of a county, district, or municipal hospital or health care system, or projects that involve a facility owned or operated by a municipal electric utility, only those projects that the governing board designates as qualifying projects.

Procurement Procedures

Responsible public entities may receive unsolicited proposals or may solicit proposals for qualifying projects and may, thereafter, enter into a comprehensive agreement with a private

¹ See The Federal Highway Administration, United State Department of Transportation, Innovative Program Delivery website, available at: http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/ipd/p3/defined/index.htm (last visited on March 12, 2015).

 $^{^{2}}$ Id.

³ Section 287.05712(4)(d), F.S.

entity for the building, upgrading, operation, ownership, or financing of facilities.⁴ Responsible public entities may establish a reasonable fee to accompany unsolicited proposals. The fee must be sufficient to pay the costs of evaluating the proposals.⁵

Unsolicited proposals from private entities must be accompanied by the following material and information, unless waived by the responsible public entity:⁶

- A description of the qualifying project, including the conceptual design of the facilities or a conceptual plan for the provision of services, and a schedule for the initiation and completion of the qualifying project.
- A description of the method by which the private entity proposes to secure any necessary property interests that are required for the qualifying project.
- A description of the private entity's general plans for financing the qualifying project, including the sources of the private entity's funds and identification of any dedicated revenue source or proposed debt or equity investment on behalf of the private entity.
- The name and address of the person who may be contacted for further information concerning the proposal.
- The proposed user fees, lease payments, or other service payments over the term of a comprehensive agreement, and the methodology and circumstances for changes to the user fees, lease payments, and other service payments over time.
- Any additional material or information the responsible public entity reasonably requests.

If the responsible public entity receives an unsolicited proposal and intends to enter into a P3 agreement for the qualifying project, the responsible public entity must publish a notice in the Florida Administrative Register (FAR) and a newspaper of general circulation at least once a week for two weeks stating the entity has received a proposal and will accept other proposals.⁷ The responsible public entity must establish a timeframe in which to accept other proposals; however, the timeframe for allowing other proposals must be at least 21 days, but not more than 120 days after the initial date of publication.⁸

After the notification period has expired, the responsible public entity must rank the proposals received in order of preference. 9 If negotiations with the first ranked firm are unsuccessful, the responsible public entity may begin negotiations with the second ranked firm. ¹⁰ The responsible public entity may reject all proposals at any point in the process. 11

The responsible public entity may charge a reasonable fee to cover the costs of processing, reviewing, and evaluating the requests, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorney fees and fees for financial and technical advisors or consultants and for other necessary advisors and consultants.12

⁴ Section 287.05712(4), F.S.

⁵ Section 287.05712(4)(a), F.S.

⁶ Section 287.05712(5), F.S.

⁷ Section 287.05712(4)(b), F.S.

⁸ *Id*.

⁹ Section 287.05712(6)(c), F.S. ¹⁰ *Id*.

¹¹ *Id*.

¹² Section 287.05712(6)(f), F.S.

The responsible public entity may approve a qualifying project if: 13

• There is a public need for or benefit derived from the project that the private entity proposes.

- The estimated cost of the qualifying project is reasonable in relation to similar facilities.
- The private entity's plans will result in the timely acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the qualifying project.

Notice to Affected Local Jurisdictions

A responsible public entity must notify each affected local jurisdiction when considering a qualifying project by furnishing a copy of the proposal to each affected local jurisdiction. ¹⁴ The affected local jurisdictions may, within 60 days, submit written comments to the responsible public entity. The responsible public entity is required to consider the comments submitted by the affected local jurisdiction. In addition, a responsible public entity must mail a copy of the notice that is published in the FAR to each local government in the affected area. ¹⁵

Agreements

Interim Agreement

Before entering into a comprehensive agreement, the responsible public entity may enter into an interim agreement with the private entity, which does not obligate the responsible public entity to enter into a comprehensive agreement. ¹⁶ Interim agreements must be limited to provisions that:

- Authorize the private entity to commence activities for which it may be compensated related to the proposed qualifying project.
- Establish the process and timing of the negotiation of the comprehensive agreement.
- Contain any other provision related to any aspect of the development or operation of a qualifying project.

Comprehensive Agreement

The responsible public entity and private entity must enter into a comprehensive agreement prior to developing or operating a qualifying project.¹⁷ The comprehensive agreement must provide for:¹⁸

- Delivery of performance and payment bonds, letters of credit, and other security in connection with the development or operation of the qualifying project.
- Review of plans and specifications for the project by the public entity. This does not require
 the private entity to complete the design of the project prior to executing the comprehensive
 agreement.
- Inspection of the qualifying project by the responsible public entity.
- Maintenance of a policy or policies of public liability insurance.

¹³ Section 287.05712(6)(e), F.S.

¹⁴ Section 287.05712(7), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 287.05712(4)(b), F.S.

¹⁶ Section 287.05712(8), F.S.

¹⁷ Section 287.05712(9), F.S.

¹⁸ Section 287.05712(9)(a), F.S.

• Monitoring the practices of the private entity to ensure the qualifying project is properly maintained.

- Filing of financial statements on a periodic basis.
- Policies and procedures governing the rights and responsibilities of the public and private entity in the event of a termination of the comprehensive agreement or a material default.
- User fees, lease payments, or service payments as may be established.
- Duties of the private entity, including terms and conditions that the responsible public entity determines serve the public purpose of the qualifying project.

The comprehensive agreement may include the following: 19

- An agreement by the responsible public entity to make grants or loans to the private entity from amounts received from federal, state, or local government or any agency or instrumentality thereof.
- A provision under which each entity agrees to provide notice of default and cure rights for the benefit of the other entity.
- A provision that terminates the authority and duties of the private entity and dedicates the qualifying project to the responsible public entity.

Fees

The comprehensive agreement may authorize the private entity to impose fees to the public for use of the facility.²⁰

Financing

Section 287.05712(11), F.S., authorizes the use of multiple financing options for P3s. The options include the private entity obtaining private-source financing, the responsible public entity lending funds to the private entity, or the use of other innovative finance techniques associated with P3s.

Powers and Duties of the Private Entity

The private entity must develop, operate, and maintain the qualifying project in accordance with the comprehensive agreement.²¹ The private entity must cooperate with the responsible public entity in making best efforts to establish interconnection between the qualifying project and other facilities and infrastructure. The private entity must comply with the terms of the comprehensive agreement and any other lease or contract.

Expiration or Termination of Agreements

Upon the expiration or termination of a comprehensive agreement, the responsible public entity may use revenues from the qualifying project to pay the current operation and maintenance costs of the qualifying project.²² If the private entity materially defaults, the compensation that is

¹⁹ Section 287.05712(9)(b), F.S.

²⁰ Section 287.05712(10), F.S.

²¹ Section 287.05712(12), F.S.

²² Section 287.05712(13), F.S.

otherwise due to the private entity is payable to satisfy all financial obligations to investors and lenders on the qualifying project in the same way that is provided in the comprehensive agreement or any other agreement involving the qualifying project, if the costs of operating and maintaining the project are paid in the normal course. The full faith and credit of the responsible public entity may not be pledged to secure the financing of the private entity.

Partnership for Public Facilities and Infrastructure Act Guidelines Task Force

Section 287.05712(3), F.S., creates the Partnership for Public Facilities and Infrastructure Act Guidelines Task Force (task force). The task force was created to recommend guidelines for the Legislature to consider for purposes of creating a uniform P3 process across the state.²³ The seven-member task force was comprised of the Secretary of the Department of Management Services (department) and six members appointed by the Governor representing county government, municipal government, district school boards, and the business community. The department provided administrative and technical support to the task force.

In July 2014, the task force completed its duties and submitted a final report of its recommendations.²⁴ The task force was disbanded on December 31, 2014.²⁵

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 transfers s. 287.05712, F.S., and renumbers it as s. 255.065, F.S., to incorporate many of the recommendations contained in the task force report, which include best practice recommendations as well as recommendations relating to needed clarification of s. 287.05712, F.S., which may facilitate the implementation of P3s.

Responsible Public Entity Definition

The bill expands the definition of "responsible public entity" to include state universities, ²⁶ and clarifies that it includes special districts, school districts rather than school boards, and Florida College System institutions.²⁷

Task Force

The bill deletes the task force provisions, as the task force was disbanded on December 31, 2014.

²³ Section 287.05712(3)(a), F.S.

²⁴ The task force report can be found online at: http://www.dms.myflorida.com/agency_administration/communications/partnership_for_public_facilities_infrastructure_act (last visited March 12, 2015).

²⁵ Section 287.05712(3)(f), F.S.

²⁶ Partnership for Public Facilities and Infrastructure Act Guidelines Task Force, *Final Report and Recommendations* (July 2014), at 16. The task force recommended adding state universities to the list of entities that are included in the definition of "responsible public entity."

²⁷ *Id.* at 18. The task force recommended amending the definition of "responsible public entity" to reference school district, rather than board, as the district is the unit that provides public primary education. It also recommended clarifying that the definition includes both special districts and the Florida College System.

Application Fees

The bill provides that when a private entity submits an unsolicited proposal, the private entity must concurrently submit the initial application fee. ²⁸ The application fee must be paid by cash, cashier's check, or other noncancelable instrument. The bill provides that if the initial fee, as determined by the responsible public entity, is not sufficient to cover the costs associated with evaluating the unsolicited proposal, the responsible public entity must request in writing the additional amount required. If the private entity fails to pay the additional amount requested within 30 days of the notice, the responsible public entity may stop reviewing the proposal. The bill requires the responsible public entity to return the application fee if the responsible public entity does not evaluate the unsolicited proposal.

Solicitation Timeframes

The bill provides flexibility to the responsible public entity for accepting proposals if an alternative timeframe is approved by majority vote of the entity's governing body.²⁹ It also removes the provision that required a school board to obtain the approval of the local governing body.³⁰

Contents of Solicitation – Design Criteria Package

The bill requires a solicitation to include a design criteria package prepared by an architect or engineer licensed in Florida that is sufficient to allow private entities to prepare a bid or a response to the solicitation. The design criteria package must specify performance-based criteria for the project, including:

- The legal description of the site, with survey information;
- Interior space requirements;
- Material quality standards;
- Schematic layouts and conceptual design criteria for the project, with budget estimates;
- Design and construction schedules; and
- Site and utility requirements.

Ownership by the Responsible Public Entity

The bill clarifies that the project must be owned by the responsible public entity upon expiration of the comprehensive agreement, rather than solely upon completion or termination of the agreement.³¹

²⁸ *Id.* at 9. The task force recommended amending the fee provisions to ensure that the fees were related to actual, reasonable costs associated with reviewing an unsolicited proposal and not revenue generation.

²⁹ *Id.* at 7. The task force discussed that increased flexibility may be necessary when dealing with complex proposals to ensure sufficient time is allowed for the receipt of competing proposals.

³⁰ *Id.* at 18. The task force recommended striking this provision because school boards are not subject to governance by a local governing body.

³¹ *Id.* at 13.

Unsolicited Proposal

The bill clarifies that any pricing or financial terms included in an unsolicited proposal must be specific as to when the pricing or terms expire.³²

Project Qualification

The bill alters the parties that must meet the minimum standards contained in the responsible public entity's guidelines for qualifying professional services and contracts for traditional procurement projects to allow the applicable party (or parties) of the private entity's team to be a qualifying entity, rather than just the private entity itself.³³

The bill deletes a requirement that a responsible public entity ensure that provision is made for the transfer of a private entity's obligations if the comprehensive agreement is terminated and replaces it with a requirement that the responsible public entity ensure that the comprehensive agreement addresses termination upon a material default.³⁴

Notice to Affected Local Jurisdictions

The bill deletes the requirement that a responsible public entity notify each affected local jurisdiction of an unsolicited proposal by furnishing a copy of the proposal to each affected local jurisdiction when considering it.³⁵ The responsible public entity must still provide each affected local jurisdiction a copy of the notice published in the FAR concerning solicitations for a qualifying project.

Financing

The bill clarifies that a financing agreement may not require the responsible public entity to secure financing by a mortgage on, or security interest in, the real or tangible personal property of the responsible public entity in a manner that could result in the loss of the fee ownership of the property by the responsible public entity.³⁶

The bill also deletes a provision that provides for the responsible public entity to appropriate on a priority basis a contractual payment obligation from the government fund from which the qualifying project will be funded.³⁷ Current law raised concerns regarding infringement upon a responsible public entity's appropriation powers. Additionally, had the provision remained in current law, it is unclear how this provision would apply to state universities or Florida College System institutions.

³² *Id*. at 7.

³³ *Id.* at 21.

³⁴ *Id*. at 14.

³⁵ *Id.* at 12. The report provided a discussion on the notice that is already provided to affected local jurisdictions through the permitting process and stated a mandatory P3 notice process could delay project timelines.

³⁶ *Id.* at 20.

³⁷ *Id.* at 14.

Department of Management Services

The bill provides that the department may accept and maintain copies of comprehensive agreements received from responsible public entities for the purpose of sharing the comprehensive agreements with other responsible public entities. ³⁸ Responsible public entities are not required to provide copies to the department; however, if a responsible public entity provides a copy, the responsible public entity must first redact any confidential or exempt information from the comprehensive agreement.

Construction

The bill clarifies that the P3 process must be construed as cumulative and supplemental to any other authority or power vested in the governing body of a county, municipality, district, or municipal hospital or health care system. The bill provides that the P3 process is an alternative method that may be used, but that it does not limit a county, municipality, special district, or other political subdivision of the state in the procurement or operation of a qualifying project pursuant to other statutory or constitutional authority.³⁹

Miscellaneous

The bill transfers and renumbers s. 287.05712, F.S., as s. 255.065, F.S., because chapter 255, F.S., relates to procurement of construction services and P3s are primarily construction related projects.

The bill also makes other changes to provide for the consistent use of terminology and to provide clarity.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2015.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A.	Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

³⁸ *Id.* at 11. The report recommended authorizing a state agency to provide assistance to responsible public entities concerning P3s.

³⁹ *Id.* at 19. The report discussed the need for flexibility in the creation of P3s and noted that clarification is needed to ensure that the process is considered supplemental and alternative to any other applicable statutory authority.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

This bill may provide more opportunities for the private sector to enter into contracts for construction services with state universities and local governments.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill will have an insignificant negative fiscal impact on the Department of Management Services for the purpose of receiving comprehensive agreements and acting as a depository for such comprehensive agreements. According to the department, the costs should be absorbed within current resources.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 287.05712 of the Florida Statutes and transfers and renumbers it as section 255.065 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Community Affairs on March 17, 2015:

Requires a solicitation to include a design criteria package prepared by an architect or engineer licensed in Florida that is sufficient to allow private entities to prepare a bid or a response to the solicitation. The bill provides a number of performance-based criteria that must be included in the design criteria package.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

LEGISLATIVE ACTION Senate House

Comm: RCS 03/17/2015

The Committee on Community Affairs (Bradley) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete lines 270 - 300

and insert:

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

(c) If the responsible public entity solicits proposals under this section, the solicitation must include a design criteria package prepared by an architect or engineer licensed in this state which is sufficient to allow private entities to prepare a bid or a response. The design criteria package must specify performance-based criteria for the project, including

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39



the legal description of the site, with survey information; interior space requirements; material quality standards; schematic layouts and conceptual design criteria for the project, with budget estimates; design and construction schedules; and site and utility requirements A responsible public entity that is a school board may enter into a comprehensive agreement only with the approval of the local governing body.

- (d) Before approving a comprehensive agreement approval, the responsible public entity must determine that the proposed project:
 - 1. Is in the public's best interest.
- 2. Is for a facility that is owned by the responsible public entity or for a facility for which ownership will be conveyed to the responsible public entity.
- 3. Has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that additional costs or service disruptions are not imposed on the public in the event of material default or cancellation of the comprehensive agreement by the responsible public entity.
- 4. Has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that the responsible public entity or private entity has the opportunity to add capacity to the proposed project or other facilities serving similar predominantly public purposes.
- 5. Will be owned by the responsible public entity upon completion, expiration, or termination of the comprehensive agreement and upon payment of the amounts financed.
- (e) Before signing a comprehensive agreement, the responsible public entity must consider a reasonable finance plan that is consistent with subsection (9) $\frac{(11)}{}$; the qualifying



project cost; revenues by source; available financing; major assumptions; internal rate of return on private investments, if governmental funds are assumed in order to deliver a costfeasible project; and a total cash-flow analysis beginning with the implementation of the project and extending for the term of the comprehensive agreement.

(f) In considering an unsolicited proposal, the

47 48

49

50

52

53

54

55

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ===========

And the title is amended as follows:

Delete line 15

51 and insert:

> comprehensive agreement; requiring a responsible public entity to include a design criteria package in a solicitation; specifying requirements for the design criteria package; revising the conditions

By Senator Evers

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

1415

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

29

2-00511A-15 2015824

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to public-private partnerships; transferring, renumbering, and amending s. 287.05712, F.S.; revising definitions; deleting provisions creating the Public-Private Partnership Guidelines Task Force; requiring a private entity that submits an unsolicited proposal to pay an initial application fee and additional amounts if the fee does not cover certain costs; specifying payment methods; authorizing a responsible public entity to alter the statutory timeframe for accepting proposals for a qualifying project under certain circumstances; deleting a provision that requires approval of the local governing body before a school board enters into a comprehensive agreement; revising the conditions necessary for a responsible public entity to approve a comprehensive agreement; deleting provisions relating to notice to affected local jurisdictions; providing that fees imposed by a private entity must be applied as set forth in the comprehensive agreement; restricting provisions in financing agreements that could result in a responsible public entity's losing ownership of real or tangible personal property; deleting a provision that required a responsible public entity to comply with specific financial obligations; providing duties of the Department of Management Services; revising provisions relating to construction of the act; providing an effective date.

2-00511A-15 2015824

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

303132

3334

3536

37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

4546

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

5556

57

58

Section 1. Section 287.05712, Florida Statutes, is transferred, renumbered as section 255.065, Florida Statutes, and amended to read:

255.065 287.05712 Public-private partnerships.

- (1) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Affected local jurisdiction" means a county, municipality, or special district in which all or a portion of a qualifying project is located.
- (b) "Develop" means to plan, design, finance, lease, acquire, install, construct, or expand.
- (c) "Fees" means charges imposed by the private entity of a qualifying project for use of all or a portion of such qualifying project pursuant to a comprehensive agreement.
- (d) "Lease payment" means any form of payment, including a land lease, by a public entity to the private entity of a qualifying project for the use of the project.
- (e) "Material default" means a nonperformance of its duties by the private entity of a qualifying project which jeopardizes adequate service to the public from the project.
- (f) "Operate" means to finance, maintain, improve, equip, modify, or repair.
- (g) "Private entity" means any natural person, corporation, general partnership, limited liability company, limited partnership, joint venture, business trust, public benefit corporation, nonprofit entity, or other private business entity.
- (h) "Proposal" means a plan for a qualifying project with detail beyond a conceptual level for which terms such as fixing

2-00511A-15 2015824

costs, payment schedules, financing, deliverables, and project schedule are defined.

- (i) "Qualifying project" means:
- 1. A facility or project that serves a public purpose, including, but not limited to, any ferry or mass transit facility, vehicle parking facility, airport or seaport facility, rail facility or project, fuel supply facility, oil or gas pipeline, medical or nursing care facility, recreational facility, sporting or cultural facility, or educational facility or other building or facility that is used or will be used by a public educational institution, or any other public facility or infrastructure that is used or will be used by the public at large or in support of an accepted public purpose or activity;
- 2. An improvement, including equipment, of a building that will be principally used by a public entity or the public at large or that supports a service delivery system in the public sector;
- 3. A water, wastewater, or surface water management facility or other related infrastructure; or
- 4. Notwithstanding any provision of this section, for projects that involve a facility owned or operated by the governing board of a county, district, or municipal hospital or health care system, or projects that involve a facility owned or operated by a municipal electric utility, only those projects that the governing board designates as qualifying projects pursuant to this section.
- (j) "Responsible public entity" means a county, municipality, school <u>district</u>, special <u>district</u>, Florida College System institution, or state university board, or any other

2-00511A-15 2015824

political subdivision of the state; a public body corporate and politic; or a regional entity that serves a public purpose and is authorized to develop or operate a qualifying project.

- (k) "Revenues" means the income, earnings, user fees, lease payments, or other service payments relating to the development or operation of a qualifying project, including, but not limited to, money received as grants or otherwise from the Federal Government, a public entity, or an agency or instrumentality thereof in aid of the qualifying project.
- (1) "Service contract" means a contract between a responsible public entity and the private entity which defines the terms of the services to be provided with respect to a qualifying project.
- (2) LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS AND INTENT.—The Legislature finds that there is a public need for the construction or upgrade of facilities that are used predominantly for public purposes and that it is in the public's interest to provide for the construction or upgrade of such facilities.
 - (a) The Legislature also finds that:
- 1. There is a public need for timely and cost-effective acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, operation, implementation, or installation of projects serving a public purpose, including educational facilities, transportation facilities, water or wastewater management facilities and infrastructure, technology infrastructure, roads, highways, bridges, and other public infrastructure and government facilities within the state which serve a public need and purpose, and that such public need may not be wholly satisfied by existing procurement methods.

2-00511A-15 2015824

2. There are inadequate resources to develop new educational facilities, transportation facilities, water or wastewater management facilities and infrastructure, technology infrastructure, roads, highways, bridges, and other public infrastructure and government facilities for the benefit of residents of this state, and that a public-private partnership has demonstrated that it can meet the needs by improving the schedule for delivery, lowering the cost, and providing other benefits to the public.

- 3. There may be state and federal tax incentives that promote partnerships between public and private entities to develop and operate qualifying projects.
- 4. A procurement under this section serves the public purpose of this section if such procurement facilitates the timely development or operation of a qualifying project.
- (b) It is the intent of the Legislature to encourage investment in the state by private entities; to facilitate various bond financing mechanisms, private capital, and other funding sources for the development and operation of qualifying projects, including expansion and acceleration of such financing to meet the public need; and to provide the greatest possible flexibility to public and private entities contracting for the provision of public services.
 - (3) PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP GUIDELINES TASK FORCE.-
- (a) There is created the Partnership for Public Facilities and Infrastructure Act Guidelines Task Force for the purpose of recommending guidelines for the Legislature to consider for purposes of creating a uniform process for establishing public-private partnerships, including the types of factors responsible

2-00511A-15

174

2015824

146 public entities should review and consider when processing 147 requests for public-private partnership projects pursuant to 148 this section. 149 (b) The task force shall be composed of seven members, as 150 follows: 151 1. The Secretary of Management Services or his or her 152 designee, who shall serve as chair of the task force. 153 2. Six members appointed by the Governor, as follows: 154 a. One county government official. 155 b. One municipal government official. 156 c. One district school board member. d. Three representatives of the business community. 157 158 (c) Task force members must be appointed by July 31, 2013. 159 By August 31, 2013, the task force shall meet to establish 160 procedures for the conduct of its business and to elect a vice 161 chair. The task force shall meet at the call of the chair. A 162 majority of the members of the task force constitutes a quorum, 163 and a quorum is necessary for the purpose of voting on any 164 action or recommendation of the task force. All meetings shall 165 be held in Tallahassee, unless otherwise decided by the task 166 force, and then no more than two such meetings may be held in 167 other locations for the purpose of taking public testimony. 168 Administrative and technical support shall be provided by the 169 department. Task force members shall serve without compensation 170 and are not entitled to reimbursement for per diem or travel 171 expenses. 172 (d) In reviewing public-private partnerships and developing 173 recommendations, the task force must consider:

1. Opportunities for competition through public notice and

2-00511A-15 2015824__

the availability of representatives of the responsible public entity to meet with private entities considering a proposal.

- 2. Reasonable criteria for choosing among competing proposals.
- 3. Suggested timelines for selecting proposals and negotiating an interim or comprehensive agreement.
- 4. If an accelerated selection and review and documentation timelines should be considered for proposals involving a qualifying project that the responsible public entity deems a priority.
- 5. Procedures for financial review and analysis which, at a minimum, include a cost-benefit analysis, an assessment of opportunity cost, and consideration of the results of all studies and analyses related to the proposed qualifying project.
- 6. The adequacy of the information released when seeking competing proposals and providing for the enhancement of that information, if deemed necessary, to encourage competition.
- 7. Current exemptions from public records and public meetings requirements, if any changes to those exemptions are necessary, or if any new exemptions should be created in order to maintain the confidentiality of financial and proprietary information received as part of an unsolicited proposal.
- 8. Recommendations regarding the authority of the responsible public entity to engage the services of qualified professionals, which may include a Florida-registered professional or a certified public accountant, not otherwise employed by the responsible public entity, to provide an independent analysis regarding the specifics, advantages, disadvantages, and long-term and short-term costs of a request

2-00511A-15 2015824

by a private entity for approval of a qualifying project, unless the governing body of the public entity determines that such analysis should be performed by employees of the public entity.

- (e) The task force must submit a final report of its recommendations to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by July 1, 2014.
- (f) The task force is terminated December 31, 2014. The establishment of guidelines pursuant to this section or the adoption of such guidelines by a responsible public entity is not required for such entity to request or receive proposals for a qualifying project or to enter into a comprehensive agreement for a qualifying project. A responsible public entity may adopt guidelines so long as such guidelines are not inconsistent with this section.
- (3) (4) PROCUREMENT PROCEDURES.—A responsible public entity may receive unsolicited proposals or may solicit proposals for qualifying projects and may thereafter enter into a comprehensive an agreement with a private entity, or a consortium of private entities, for the building, upgrading, operating, ownership, or financing of facilities.
- (a) $\underline{1}$. The responsible public entity may establish a reasonable application fee for the submission of an unsolicited proposal under this section.
- 2. A private entity that submits an unsolicited proposal to a responsible public entity must concurrently pay an initial application fee, as determined by the responsible public entity. Payment must be made by cash, cashier's check, or other noncancelable instrument. Personal checks may not be accepted.
 - 3. If the initial application fee does not cover the

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242243

244

245

246

247248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

2-00511A-15 2015824

responsible public entity's costs to evaluate the unsolicited proposal, the responsible public entity must request in writing the additional amounts required. The private entity must pay the requested additional amounts within 30 days after receipt of the notice. The responsible public entity may stop its review of the unsolicited proposal if the private entity fails to pay the additional fee.

- 4. If the responsible public entity does not evaluate the unsolicited proposal, the responsible public entity must return the application fee The fee must be sufficient to pay the costs of evaluating the proposal. The responsible public entity may engage the services of a private consultant to assist in the evaluation.
- (b) The responsible public entity may request a proposal from private entities for a qualifying public-private project or, if the responsible public entity receives an unsolicited proposal for a qualifying public-private project and the responsible public entity intends to enter into a comprehensive agreement for the project described in the such unsolicited proposal, the responsible public entity shall publish notice in the Florida Administrative Register and a newspaper of general circulation at least once a week for 2 weeks stating that the responsible public entity has received a proposal and will accept other proposals for the same project. The timeframe within which the responsible public entity may accept other proposals shall be determined by the responsible public entity on a project-by-project basis based upon the complexity of the qualifying project and the public benefit to be gained by allowing a longer or shorter period of time within which other

2-00511A-15 2015824

proposals may be received; however, the timeframe for allowing other proposals must be at least 21 days, but no more than 120 days, after the initial date of publication. If approved by a majority vote of the responsible public entity's governing body, the responsible public entity may alter the timeframe for accepting proposals to more adequately suit the needs of the qualifying project. A copy of the notice must be mailed to each local government in the affected area.

- (c) A responsible public entity that is a school board may enter into a comprehensive agreement only with the approval of the local governing body.
- (c) (d) Before approving a comprehensive agreement approval, the responsible public entity must determine that the proposed project:
 - 1. Is in the public's best interest.
- 2. Is for a facility that is owned by the responsible public entity or for a facility for which ownership will be conveyed to the responsible public entity.
- 3. Has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that additional costs or service disruptions are not imposed on the public in the event of material default or cancellation of the comprehensive agreement by the responsible public entity.
- 4. Has adequate safeguards in place to ensure that the responsible public entity or private entity has the opportunity to add capacity to the proposed project or other facilities serving similar predominantly public purposes.
- 5. Will be owned by the responsible public entity upon completion, expiration, or termination of the <u>comprehensive</u> agreement and upon payment of the amounts financed.

2-00511A-15 2015824

(d) (e) Before signing a comprehensive agreement, the responsible public entity must consider a reasonable finance plan that is consistent with subsection (9) (11); the qualifying project cost; revenues by source; available financing; major assumptions; internal rate of return on private investments, if governmental funds are assumed in order to deliver a costfeasible project; and a total cash-flow analysis beginning with the implementation of the project and extending for the term of the comprehensive agreement.

- (e) (f) In considering an unsolicited proposal, the responsible public entity may require from the private entity a technical study prepared by a nationally recognized expert with experience in preparing analysis for bond rating agencies. In evaluating the technical study, the responsible public entity may rely upon internal staff reports prepared by personnel familiar with the operation of similar facilities or the advice of external advisors or consultants who have relevant experience.
- (4) (5) PROJECT APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS.—An unsolicited proposal from a private entity for approval of a qualifying project must be accompanied by the following material and information, unless waived by the responsible public entity:
- (a) A description of the qualifying project, including the conceptual design of the facilities or a conceptual plan for the provision of services, and a schedule for the initiation and completion of the qualifying project.
- (b) A description of the method by which the private entity proposes to secure the necessary property interests that are required for the qualifying project.

2-00511A-15 2015824

(c) A description of the private entity's general plans for financing the qualifying project, including the sources of the private entity's funds and the identity of any dedicated revenue source or proposed debt or equity investment on behalf of the private entity.

- (d) The name and address of a person who may be contacted for additional information concerning the proposal.
- (e) The proposed user fees, lease payments, or other service payments over the term of a comprehensive agreement, and the methodology for and circumstances that would allow changes to the user fees, lease payments, and other service payments over time.
- (f) Additional material or information that the responsible public entity reasonably requests.

Any pricing or financial terms included in an unsolicited proposal must be specific as to when the pricing or terms expire.

- (5) (6) PROJECT QUALIFICATION AND PROCESS.-
- (a) The private entity, or the applicable party or parties of the private entity's team, must meet the minimum standards contained in the responsible public entity's guidelines for qualifying professional services and contracts for traditional procurement projects.
 - (b) The responsible public entity must:
- 1. Ensure that provision is made for the private entity's performance and payment of subcontractors, including, but not limited to, surety bonds, letters of credit, parent company guarantees, and lender and equity partner guarantees. For the

350

351352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373374

375

376

377

2-00511A-15 2015824

components of the qualifying project which involve construction performance and payment, bonds are required and are subject to the recordation, notice, suit limitation, and other requirements of s. 255.05.

- 2. Ensure the most efficient pricing of the security package that provides for the performance and payment of subcontractors.
- 3. Ensure that provision is made for the transfer of the private entity's obligations if the comprehensive agreement addresses termination upon is terminated or a material default of the comprehensive agreement occurs.
- (c) After the public notification period has expired in the case of an unsolicited proposal, the responsible public entity shall rank the proposals received in order of preference. In ranking the proposals, the responsible public entity may consider factors that include, but are not limited to, professional qualifications, general business terms, innovative design techniques or cost-reduction terms, and finance plans. The responsible public entity may then begin negotiations for a comprehensive agreement with the highest-ranked firm. If the responsible public entity is not satisfied with the results of the negotiations, the responsible public entity may terminate negotiations with the proposer and negotiate with the secondranked or subsequent-ranked firms, in the order consistent with this procedure. If only one proposal is received, the responsible public entity may negotiate in good faith, and if the responsible public entity is not satisfied with the results of the negotiations, the responsible public entity may terminate negotiations with the proposer. Notwithstanding this paragraph,

2-00511A-15 2015824

the responsible public entity may reject all proposals at any point in the process until a contract with the proposer is executed.

- (d) The responsible public entity shall perform an independent analysis of the proposed public-private partnership which demonstrates the cost-effectiveness and overall public benefit before the procurement process is initiated or before the contract is awarded.
- (e) The responsible public entity may approve the development or operation of an educational facility, a transportation facility, a water or wastewater management facility or related infrastructure, a technology infrastructure or other public infrastructure, or a government facility needed by the responsible public entity as a qualifying project, or the design or equipping of a qualifying project that is developed or operated, if:
- 1. There is a public need for or benefit derived from a project of the type that the private entity proposes as the qualifying project.
- 2. The estimated cost of the qualifying project is reasonable in relation to similar facilities.
- 3. The private entity's plans will result in the timely acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the qualifying project.
- (f) The responsible public entity may charge a reasonable fee to cover the costs of processing, reviewing, and evaluating the request, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorney fees and fees for financial and technical advisors or

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430431

432

433

434

435

2-00511A-15 2015824

consultants and for other necessary advisors or consultants.

- (g) Upon approval of a qualifying project, the responsible public entity shall establish a date for the commencement of activities related to the qualifying project. The responsible public entity may extend the commencement date.
- (h) Approval of a qualifying project by the responsible public entity is subject to entering into a comprehensive agreement with the private entity.
 - (7) NOTICE TO AFFECTED LOCAL JURISDICTIONS.
- (a) The responsible public entity must notify each affected local jurisdiction by furnishing a copy of the proposal to each affected local jurisdiction when considering a proposal for a qualifying project.
- (b) Each affected local jurisdiction that is not a responsible public entity for the respective qualifying project may, within 60 days after receiving the notice, submit in writing any comments to the responsible public entity and indicate whether the facility is incompatible with the local comprehensive plan, the local infrastructure development plan, the capital improvements budget, any development of regional impact processes or timelines, or other governmental spending plan. The responsible public entity shall consider the comments of the affected local jurisdiction before entering into a comprehensive agreement with a private entity. If an affected local jurisdiction fails to respond to the responsible public entity within the time provided in this paragraph, the nonresponse is deemed an acknowledgment by the affected local jurisdiction that the qualifying project is compatible with the local comprehensive plan, the local infrastructure development

2-00511A-15 2015824

plan, the capital improvements budget, or other governmental spending plan.

- (6) (8) INTERIM AGREEMENT.—Before or in connection with the negotiation of a comprehensive agreement, the <u>responsible</u> public entity may enter into an interim agreement with the private entity proposing the development or operation of the qualifying project. An interim agreement does not obligate the responsible public entity to enter into a comprehensive agreement. The interim agreement is discretionary with the parties and is not required on a qualifying project for which the parties may proceed directly to a comprehensive agreement without the need for an interim agreement. An interim agreement must be limited to provisions that:
- (a) Authorize the private entity to commence activities for which it may be compensated related to the proposed qualifying project, including, but not limited to, project planning and development, design, environmental analysis and mitigation, survey, other activities concerning any part of the proposed qualifying project, and ascertaining the availability of financing for the proposed facility or facilities.
- (b) Establish the process and timing of the negotiation of the comprehensive agreement.
- (c) Contain such other provisions related to an aspect of the development or operation of a qualifying project that the responsible public entity and the private entity deem appropriate.
 - (7) (9) COMPREHENSIVE AGREEMENT.
- (a) Before developing or operating the qualifying project, the private entity must enter into a comprehensive agreement

2-00511A-15 2015824

with the responsible public entity. The comprehensive agreement must provide for:

- 1. Delivery of performance and payment bonds, letters of credit, or other security acceptable to the responsible public entity in connection with the development or operation of the qualifying project in the form and amount satisfactory to the responsible public entity. For the components of the qualifying project which involve construction, the form and amount of the bonds must comply with s. 255.05.
- 2. Review of the design for the qualifying project by the responsible public entity and, if the design conforms to standards acceptable to the responsible public entity, the approval of the responsible public entity. This subparagraph does not require the private entity to complete the design of the qualifying project before the execution of the comprehensive agreement.
- 3. Inspection of the qualifying project by the responsible public entity to ensure that the private entity's activities are acceptable to the <u>responsible</u> public entity in accordance with the comprehensive agreement.
- 4. Maintenance of a policy of public liability insurance, a copy of which must be filed with the responsible public entity and accompanied by proofs of coverage, or self-insurance, each in the form and amount satisfactory to the responsible public entity and reasonably sufficient to ensure coverage of tort liability to the public and employees and to enable the continued operation of the qualifying project.
- 5. Monitoring by the responsible public entity of the maintenance practices to be performed by the private entity to

2-00511A-15 2015824

ensure that the qualifying project is properly maintained.

- 6. Periodic filing by the private entity of the appropriate financial statements that pertain to the qualifying project.
- 7. Procedures that govern the rights and responsibilities of the responsible public entity and the private entity in the course of the construction and operation of the qualifying project and in the event of the termination of the comprehensive agreement or a material default by the private entity. The procedures must include conditions that govern the assumption of the duties and responsibilities of the private entity by an entity that funded, in whole or part, the qualifying project or by the responsible public entity, and must provide for the transfer or purchase of property or other interests of the private entity by the responsible public entity.
- 8. Fees, lease payments, or service payments. In negotiating user fees, the fees must be the same for persons using the facility under like conditions and must not materially discourage use of the qualifying project. The execution of the comprehensive agreement or a subsequent amendment is conclusive evidence that the fees, lease payments, or service payments provided for in the comprehensive agreement comply with this section. Fees or lease payments established in the comprehensive agreement as a source of revenue may be in addition to, or in lieu of, service payments.
- 9. Duties of the private entity, including the terms and conditions that the responsible public entity determines serve the public purpose of this section.
 - (b) The comprehensive agreement may include:
 - 1. An agreement by the responsible public entity to make

2-00511A-15 2015824

grants or loans to the private entity from amounts received from the federal, state, or local government or an agency or instrumentality thereof.

- 2. A provision under which each entity agrees to provide notice of default and cure rights for the benefit of the other entity, including, but not limited to, a provision regarding unavoidable delays.
- 3. A provision that terminates the authority and duties of the private entity under this section and dedicates the qualifying project to the responsible public entity or, if the qualifying project was initially dedicated by an affected local jurisdiction, to the affected local jurisdiction for public use.
- (8) (10) FEES.—A comprehensive An agreement entered into pursuant to this section may authorize the private entity to impose fees to members of the public for the use of the facility. The following provisions apply to the comprehensive agreement:
- (a) The responsible public entity may develop new facilities or increase capacity in existing facilities through \underline{a} comprehensive agreement with a private entity agreements with public-private partnerships.
- (b) The <u>comprehensive</u> public-private partnership agreement must ensure that the facility is properly operated, maintained, or improved in accordance with standards set forth in the comprehensive agreement.
- (c) The responsible public entity may lease existing feefor-use facilities through a <u>comprehensive</u> public-private partnership agreement.
 - (d) Any revenues must be authorized by and applied in the

2-00511A-15 2015824

manner set forth in regulated by the responsible public entity pursuant to the comprehensive agreement.

- (e) A negotiated portion of revenues from fee-generating uses $\underline{\text{may}}$ $\underline{\text{must}}$ be returned to the $\underline{\text{responsible}}$ public entity over the life of the comprehensive agreement.
 - $(9) \frac{(11)}{(11)}$ FINANCING.—
- (a) A private entity may enter into a private-source financing agreement between financing sources and the private entity. A financing agreement and any liens on the property or facility must be paid in full at the applicable closing that transfers ownership or operation of the facility to the responsible public entity at the conclusion of the term of the comprehensive agreement.
- (b) The responsible public entity may lend funds to private entities that construct projects containing facilities that are approved under this section.
- (c) The responsible public entity may use innovative finance techniques associated with a public-private partnership under this section, including, but not limited to, federal loans as provided in Titles 23 and 49 C.F.R., commercial bank loans, and hedges against inflation from commercial banks or other private sources. In addition, the responsible public entity may provide its own capital or operating budget to support a qualifying project. The budget may be from any legally permissible funding sources of the responsible public entity, including the proceeds of debt issuances. A responsible public entity may use the model financing agreement provided in s. 489.145(6) for its financing of a facility owned by a responsible public entity. A financing agreement may not require

2-00511A-15 2015824

the responsible public entity to indemnify the financing source, subject the responsible public entity's facility to liens in violation of s. 11.066(5), or secure financing of by the responsible public entity by a mortgage on, or security interest in, the real or tangible personal property of the responsible public entity in a manner that could result in the loss of the fee ownership of the property by the responsible public entity with a pledge of security interest, and any such provision is void.

- (d) A responsible public entity shall appropriate on a priority basis as required by the comprehensive agreement a contractual payment obligation, annual or otherwise, from the enterprise or other government fund from which the qualifying projects will be funded. This required payment obligation must be appropriated before other noncontractual obligations payable from the same enterprise or other government fund.
 - (10) (12) POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE PRIVATE ENTITY.-
 - (a) The private entity shall:
- 1. Develop or operate the qualifying project in a manner that is acceptable to the responsible public entity in accordance with the provisions of the comprehensive agreement.
- 2. Maintain, or provide by contract for the maintenance or improvement of, the qualifying project if required by the comprehensive agreement.
- 3. Cooperate with the responsible public entity in making best efforts to establish interconnection between the qualifying project and any other facility or infrastructure as requested by the responsible public entity in accordance with the provisions of the comprehensive agreement.

2-00511A-15 2015824

4. Comply with the comprehensive agreement and any lease or service contract.

- (b) Each private facility that is constructed pursuant to this section must comply with the requirements of federal, state, and local laws; state, regional, and local comprehensive plans; the responsible public entity's rules, procedures, and standards for facilities; and such other conditions that the responsible public entity determines to be in the public's best interest and that are included in the comprehensive agreement.
- (c) The responsible public entity may provide services to the private entity. An agreement for maintenance and other services entered into pursuant to this section must provide for full reimbursement for services rendered for qualifying projects.
- (d) A private entity of a qualifying project may provide additional services for the qualifying project to the public or to other private entities if the provision of additional services does not impair the private entity's ability to meet its commitments to the responsible public entity pursuant to the comprehensive agreement.
- (11) (13) EXPIRATION OR TERMINATION OF AGREEMENTS.—Upon the expiration or termination of a comprehensive agreement, the responsible public entity may use revenues from the qualifying project to pay current operation and maintenance costs of the qualifying project. If the private entity materially defaults under the comprehensive agreement, the compensation that is otherwise due to the private entity is payable to satisfy all financial obligations to investors and lenders on the qualifying project in the same way that is provided in the comprehensive

640

641

642

643

644

645

646

647

648649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664

665

666

667

2-00511A-15 2015824

agreement or any other agreement involving the qualifying project, if the costs of operating and maintaining the qualifying project are paid in the normal course. Revenues in excess of the costs for operation and maintenance costs may be paid to the investors and lenders to satisfy payment obligations under their respective agreements. A responsible public entity may terminate with cause and without prejudice a comprehensive agreement and may exercise any other rights or remedies that may be available to it in accordance with the provisions of the comprehensive agreement. The full faith and credit of the responsible public entity may not be pledged to secure the financing of the private entity. The assumption of the development or operation of the qualifying project does not obligate the responsible public entity to pay any obligation of the private entity from sources other than revenues from the qualifying project unless stated otherwise in the comprehensive agreement.

- (12) (14) SOVEREIGN IMMUNITY.—This section does not waive the sovereign immunity of a responsible public entity, an affected local jurisdiction, or an officer or employee thereof with respect to participation in, or approval of, any part of a qualifying project or its operation, including, but not limited to, interconnection of the qualifying project with any other infrastructure or project. A county or municipality in which a qualifying project is located possesses sovereign immunity with respect to the project, including, but not limited to, its design, construction, and operation.
 - (13) DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES.—
 - (a) A responsible public entity may provide a copy of its

2-00511A-15 2015824

comprehensive agreement to the Department of Management
Services. A responsible public entity must redact any
confidential or exempt information from the copy of the
comprehensive agreement before providing it to the Department of
Management Services.

- (b) The Department of Management Services may accept and maintain copies of comprehensive agreements received from responsible public entities for the purpose of sharing comprehensive agreements with other responsible public entities.
- (c) This subsection does not require a responsible public entity to provide a copy of its comprehensive agreement to the Department of Management Services.
 - $(14) \frac{(15)}{(15)}$ CONSTRUCTION.
- (a) This section shall be liberally construed to effectuate the purposes of this section.
- (b) This section shall be construed as cumulative and supplemental to any other authority or power vested in or exercised by the governing body board of a county, municipality, special district, or municipal hospital or health care system including those contained in acts of the Legislature establishing such public hospital boards or s. 155.40.
- (c) This section does not affect any agreement or existing relationship with a supporting organization involving such governing body board or system in effect as of January 1, 2013.
- (d) (a) This section provides an alternative method and does not limit a county, municipality, special district, or other political subdivision of the state in the procurement or operation of a qualifying project acquisition, design, or construction of a public project pursuant to other statutory or

2-00511A-15 2015824__

constitutional authority.

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

(e) (b) Except as otherwise provided in this section, this section does not amend existing laws by granting additional powers to, or further restricting, a local governmental entity from regulating and entering into cooperative arrangements with the private sector for the planning, construction, or operation of a facility.

 $\underline{\text{(f)}}$ (c) This section does not waive any requirement of s. 287.055.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

APPEARANCE RECORD

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator o	r Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic PP Name MIKE HUEY	759076 Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title	
Address 1125 CARRIAGE Street	Phone 251-0101
City State	32312 Email MHUSTOGLAGUESON. E.
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing FLASSN. OFTIFE Am, INS	TIME OF ARCHITECTS & ABC
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time remeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks	nay not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) SB 824 3/17/2015 Bill Number (if applicable) Meeting Date Topic SB 824 - Relating to Public-private Partnerships Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Greg Black Job Title Lobbyist Address 215 S. Monroe Street | Suite 505 Phone (850) 205-9000 Street Email Greg.black@metzlaw.com Tallahassee Florida 32301 City State Zip Information In Support Speaking: For Against Waive Speaking: (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Associated General Contractors Representing Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Appearing at request of Chair: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professions)	al Staff conducting the meeting) ST 824 Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Public Private Partnership	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name David Cruz	
Job Title Assistant General Consel	
Address $\frac{P.O.}{Street}$	Phone 701-3676
A a	Email DCNZ @ FLcities.com
Speaking: For Against Information Waive (The Ch	Speaking: In Support Against nair will read this information into the record.)
Representing FLorida League of Cit	ies
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis	stered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as man	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this by persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

3/17/15 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or S	Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic PPP	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Cachard Walton	
Job Title Jegislative Grun	and a
Address Street 10038	Phone (850) 222.0000
Street Allohasse City State	32302 Email Mckorwaternandousa
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against
Representing Associated Bulders	(The Chair will read this information into the record.) and Contradus of Hamiltonian into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lo	obbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time marked meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks s	By not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this to that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES: Criminal Justice, *Chair*Appropriations Subcommittee on Criminal and Civil Justice Communications, Energy, and Public Utilities Environmental Preservation and Conservation Military and Veterans Affairs, Space, and Domestic Security

Transportation

SENATOR GREG EVERS

2nd District

March 16, 2015

Dear Chair Simpson,

Senator Evers has to be in Military and Veteran's Affairs tomorrow during the Committee on Community Affairs meeting, so Dave Murzin will present SB 824 for him, with your kind permission.

Thank you.

Sharon Brooks Legislative Assistant

Greg Evers

REPLY TO:

☐ 209 East Zaragoza Street, Pensacola, Florida 32502-6048 (850) 595-0213 FAX: (888) 263-0013 ☐ 308 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5002 ☐ 5234 Willing Street, Milton, FL 32570 (850) 564-1026 FAX: (850) 564-1170

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

То:		Senator Simpson Committee on Community Affairs
Subject	t:	Committee Agenda Request
Date:		March 4, 2015
	1	ctfully request that Senate Bill #824 , relating to Public Private Partnerships, be on the:
	\boxtimes	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.
		next committee agenda.

Senator Greg Evers Florida Senate, District 2

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	By: The F	Professional Staff	of the Committee	on Community Affa	airs
BILL:	SB 826					
INTRODUCER:	Senator Eve	ers				
SUBJECT:	Public Reco	ords and	Public Meeting	gs/Public-private	Project Proposa	ls
DATE:	March 16, 2	2015	REVISED:			
ANALYST		STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Stearns		Yeatman		CA	Favorable	
· ·				GO		
).				FP		

I. Summary:

SB 826, which is linked to the passage of SB 824, creates an exemption from public record and public meeting requirements for unsolicited proposals for public-private partnership (P3) projects for public facilities and infrastructure.

II. Present Situation:

Public Records and Open Meetings Requirements

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to access government records and meetings. The public may inspect or copy any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or of persons acting on their behalf. The public also has a right to be afforded notice and access to meetings of any collegial public body of the executive branch of state government or of any local government. The Legislature's meetings must also be open and noticed to the public, unless there is an exemption provided for by the Constitution.

In addition to the Florida Constitution, the Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access must be provided to government records and meetings. The Public Records Act⁴ guarantees every person's right to inspect and copy any state or local government public record.⁵

¹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(a).

² FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(b).

³ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(b).

⁴ Chapter 119, F.S.

⁵ Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public record" to mean "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency." Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" to mean "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law

BILL: SB 826 Page 2

The Sunshine Law⁶ requires all meetings of any board or commission of any state or local agency or authority at which official acts are to be taken to be noticed and open to the public.⁷

The Legislature may create an exemption to public records or open meetings requirements.⁸ An exemption must specifically state the public necessity justifying the exemption⁹ and must be tailored to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.¹⁰

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The Open Government Sunset Review Act (OGSR) prescribes a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended public records or open meetings exemptions. ¹¹ The OGSR provides that an exemption automatically repeals on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment; in order to save an exemption from repeal, the Legislature must reenact the exemption. ¹²

The OGSR provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary. An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes *and* the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

• It allows the state or its political subdivision to effectively and efficiently administer a program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;¹⁴

including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency." The Public Records Act does not apply to legislative or judicial records. *Locke v. Hawkes*, 595 So.2d 32 (Fla. 1992). The Legislature's records are public pursuant to section 11.0431, F.S.

⁶ Section 286.011, F.S.

⁷ Section 286.011(1)-(2), F.S. The Sunshine Law does not apply to the Legislature; rather, open meetings requirements for the Legislature are set out in the Florida Constitution. Article III, section 4(e) of the Florida Constitution provides that legislative committee meetings must be open and noticed to the public. In addition, prearranged gatherings, between more than two members of the Legislature, or between the Governor, the President of the Senate, or the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the purpose of which is to agree upon or to take formal legislative action, must be reasonably open to the public.

⁸ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c). There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public records requirements and those the Legislature designates *confidential* and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991). If the Legislature designates a record as confidential, such record may not be released, to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in the statutory exemption. *WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

⁹ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹⁰ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹¹ Section 119.15, F.S. Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S., provides that an exemption is considered to be substantially amended if it is expanded to include more information or to include meetings. The OGSR does not apply to an exemption that is required by federal law or that applies solely to the Legislature or the State Court System pursuant to section 119.15(2), F.S.

¹² Section 119.15(3), F.S.

¹³ Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

¹⁴ Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

Releasing sensitive personal information would be defamatory or would jeopardize an
individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only
personal identifying information is exempt;¹⁵ or

• It protects trade or business secrets. 16

The OGSR also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process.¹⁷ In examining an exemption, the OGSR asks the Legislature to carefully question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If, in reenacting an exemption, the exemption is expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required. ¹⁸ If the exemption is reenacted without substantive changes or if the exemption is narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are *not* required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to sunset, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless provided for by law. ¹⁹.

Public-Private Partnerships

Section 287.05712, F.S., governs the procurement process for public-private partnerships (P3s) for public purpose projects. It authorizes a responsible public entity²⁰ to enter into a P3 for specified qualifying projects²¹ if the responsible public entity determines the project is in the public's best interest.²²

Responsible public entities may receive unsolicited proposals or may solicit proposals for qualifying projects and may, thereafter, enter into an agreement with a private entity for the

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

¹⁵ Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

¹⁶ Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

¹⁷ Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specified questions are:

¹⁸ FLA. CONST., art. I, s. 24(c).

¹⁹ Section 119.15(7), F.S.

²⁰ Section 287.05712(1)(j), F.S., defines "responsible public entity" as a county, municipality, school board, or any other political subdivision of the state; a public body politic and corporate; or a regional entity that serves a public purpose and is authorized to develop or operate a qualifying project.

²¹ Section 287.05712(1)(i), F.S., defines the term "qualifying project" as a facility or project that serves a public purpose, including, but not limited to, any ferry or mass transit facility, vehicle parking facility, airport or seaport facility, rail facility or project, fuel supply facility, oil or gas pipeline, medical or nursing care facility, recreational facility, sporting or cultural facility, or educational facility or other building or facility that is used or will be used by a public educational institution, or any other public facility or infrastructure that is used or will be used by the public at large or in support of an accepted public purpose or activity; an improvement, including equipment, of a building that will be principally used by a public entity or the public at large or that supports a service delivery system in the public sector; a water, wastewater, or surface water management facility or other related infrastructure; or for projects that involve a facility owned or operated by the governing board of a county, district, or municipal hospital or health care system, or projects that involve a facility owned or operated by a municipal electric utility, only those projects that the governing board designates as qualifying projects.

²² Section 287.05712(4)(d), F.S.

building, upgrading, operation, ownership, or financing of facilities. Unsolicited proposals from private entities must be accompanied by the following material and information, unless waived by the responsible public entity:²³

- A description of the qualifying project, including the conceptual design of the facilities or a conceptual plan for the provision of services, and a schedule for the initiation and completion of the qualifying project.
- A description of the method by which the private entity proposes to secure any necessary property interests that are required for the qualifying project.
- A description of the private entity's general plans for financing the qualifying project, including the sources of the private entity's funds and identification of any dedicated revenue source or proposed debt or equity investment on behalf of the private entity.
- The name and address of the person who may be contacted for further information concerning the proposal.
- The proposed user fees, lease payments, or other service payments over the term of a comprehensive agreement, and the methodology and circumstances for changes to the user fees, lease payments, and other service payments over time.
- Any additional material or information the responsible public entity reasonably requests.

If the responsible public entity receives an unsolicited proposal and intends to enter into a P3 agreement for the project, the responsible public entity must publish a notice in the Florida Administrative Register and a newspaper of general circulation at least once a week for two weeks stating the entity has received a proposal and will accept other proposals.²⁴ The responsible public entity must establish a timeframe in which to accept other proposals.²⁵

After the notification period has expired, the responsible public entity must rank the proposals received in order of preference.²⁶ If negotiations with the first ranked firm are unsuccessful, the responsible public entity may begin negotiations with the second ranked firm.²⁷ The responsible public entity may reject all proposals at any point in the process.²⁸

Public Record and Public Meeting Exemptions

Current law does not provide a public record exemption for unsolicited proposals. However, sealed bids, proposals, or replies received by an agency pursuant to a competitive solicitation are exempt²⁹ from public record requirements until such time as the agency provides notice of an intended decision or until 30 days after opening the bids, proposals, or final replies, whichever is

²³ Section 287.05712(5), F.S.

²⁴ Section 287.05712(4)(b), F.S.

²⁵ Id

²⁶ Section 287.05712(6)(c), F.S.

²⁷ *Id*.

²⁸ *Id*.

²⁹ There is a difference between records the Legislature designates as exempt from public record requirements and those the Legislature deems confidential and exempt. A record classified as exempt from public disclosure may be disclosed under certain circumstances. *See WFTV, Inc. v. The School Board of Seminole*, 874 So.2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), *review denied* 892 So.2d 1015 (Fla. 2004); *City of Riviera Beach v. Barfield*, 642 So.2d 1135 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); *Williams v. City of Minneola*, 575 So.2d 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991). If the Legislature designates a record as confidential and exempt from public disclosure, such record may not be released by the custodian of public records to anyone other than the persons or entities specifically designated in statute. *See* Attorney General Opinion 85-62 (August 1, 1985).

earlier.³⁰ If an agency rejects all bids, proposals, or replies submitted in response to a competitive solicitation and the agency concurrently provides notice of its intent to reissue the competitive solicitation, the rejected bids, proposals, or replies remain exempt until the agency provides notice of its intended decision or withdraws the reissued competitive solicitation. A bid, proposal, or reply is not exempt for longer than 12 months after the initial agency notice rejecting all bids, proposals, or replies.³¹

Current law does not provide a public meeting exemption for meetings during which an unsolicited proposal is discussed. However, public meetings in which a negotiation with a vendor is conducted pursuant to a competitive solicitation, at which a vendor makes an oral presentation as part of a competitive solicitation, or at which a vendor answers questions as part of a competitive solicitation are exempt from pubic meeting requirements.³² A complete recording of the closed meeting must be made and no portion of the exempt meeting may be held off the record.³³

The recording of, and any records presented at, the exempt meeting are exempt from public record requirements until such time as the agency provides notice of an intended decision or until 30 days after opening the bids, proposals, or final replies, whichever occurs earlier.³⁴ If the agency rejects all bids, proposals, or replies and concurrently provides notice of its intent to reissue a competitive solicitation, the recording and any records presented at the exempt meeting remain exempt from public record requirements until such time as the agency provides notice of an intended decision concerning the reissued competitive solicitation or until the agency withdraws the reissued competitive solicitation.³⁵ A recording and any records presented at an exempt meeting are not exempt for longer than 12 months after the initial agency notice rejecting all bids, proposals, or replies.³⁶

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 287.05712(15), F.S., and transfers and renumbers it as s. 255.065(15), F.S., to create an exemption from public record and public meeting requirements for unsolicited proposals for P3 projects for public facilities and infrastructure.

Under the bill, unsolicited proposals held by a responsible public entity are exempt until the responsible public entity provides notice of its intended decision. If the responsible public entity rejects all proposals and concurrently provides notice of its intent to seek additional proposals, the unsolicited proposal remains exempt until such time that the responsible public entity provides notice of an intended decision concerning the reissued competitive solicitation or until the responsible public entity withdraws the reissued competitive solicitation for the project. An unsolicited proposal is not exempt for more than 90 days after the responsible public entity initially rejects all proposals received for the project described in the unsolicited proposal.

³⁰ Section 119.071(1)(b), F.S.

³¹ *Id*.

³² Section 286.0113(2)(b), F.S.

³³ Section 286.0113(2)(c), F.S.

³⁴ *Id*.

³⁵ *Id*.

³⁶ *Id*.

If the responsible public entity does not issue a competitive solicitation, the unsolicited proposal is not exempt for more than 180 days after it is received by the responsible public entity.

The bill creates a public meeting exemption for any portion of a meeting during which the exempt unsolicited proposal is discussed. A recording must be made of the closed portion of the meeting. The recording, and any records generated during the closed meeting, are exempt from public record requirements until such time as the underlying public record exemption expires.

The public record and public meeting exemptions are subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October 2, 2020, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature. The bill also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

Section 2 states the bill becomes effective on the same date that SB 824 or similar legislation takes effect, if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session or an extension thereof and becomes a law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

This bill creates new public record and public meeting exemptions. Therefore the following constitutional requirements apply.

Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates new public record and public meeting exemptions; thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage.

Public Necessity Statement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a public necessity statement for a newly created or expanded public record or public meeting exemption. The bill creates new public record and public meeting exemptions; thus, it includes a public necessity statement.

Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a newly created public record or public meeting exemption to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The bill creates public record and public meeting exemptions for unsolicited proposals for P3 projects that expire after a certain time. The exemption does not appear to be in conflict with the constitutional requirement that the exemption be no broader than necessary to accomplish its purpose.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill may create a minimal fiscal impact on local governments that receive unsolicited P3 proposals because staff responsible for complying with public record requests could require training related to the public record exemption. Local governments could incur costs associated with redacting the exempt information prior to releasing a record. The costs, however, would be absorbed, as they are part of the day-to-day responsibilities of the local government. In addition, local governments may incur minimal fiscal costs associated with recording that portion of a closed meeting during which an unsolicited proposal that is exempt is discussed.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 287.05712(15) of the Florida Statutes and transfers and renumbers it as section 255.065(15) of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

R	Amendments	•

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

By Senator Evers

2-00510A-15 2015826

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to public records and public meetings; transferring, renumbering, and amending s. 287.05712, F.S., relating to qualifying public-private projects for public facilities and infrastructure; providing a definition; providing an exemption from public records requirements for unsolicited proposals received by a responsible public entity for a specified period; providing an exemption from public meeting requirements for any portion of a meeting of a responsible public entity during which exempt proposals are discussed; requiring that a recording be made of the closed meeting; providing an exemption from public records requirements for the recording of, and any records generated during, a closed meeting for a specified period; providing for future legislative review and repeal of the exemptions; providing a statement of public necessity; providing a contingent effective date.

2021

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1213

1415

1617

18

19

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

2223

24

Section 1. Subsection (15) is added to section 287.05712, Florida Statutes, as transferred, renumbered, and amended by SB , to read:

2526

<u>255.065</u> <u>287.05712</u> Public-private partnerships; public records and public meetings exemptions.—

2728

29

(15) PUBLIC RECORDS AND PUBLIC MEETINGS EXEMPTIONS.—

(a) As used in this subsection, the term "competitive

Page 1 of 5

2-00510A-15 2015826

solicitation" has the same meaning as provided in s. 119.071(1).

(b) 1. An unsolicited proposal received by a responsible public entity is exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution until such time as the responsible public entity provides notice of an intended decision for a qualifying project.

- 2. If the responsible public entity rejects all proposals submitted pursuant to a competitive solicitation for a qualifying project and such entity concurrently provides notice of its intent to seek additional proposals for such project, the unsolicited proposal remains exempt until the responsible public entity provides notice of an intended decision concerning the reissued competitive solicitation for the qualifying project or until the responsible public entity withdraws the reissued competitive solicitation for such project.
- 3. An unsolicited proposal is not exempt for longer than 90 days after the initial notice by the responsible public entity rejecting all proposals.
- (c) If the responsible public entity does not issue a competitive solicitation for a qualifying project, the unsolicited proposal ceases to be exempt 180 days after receipt of the unsolicited proposal by such entity.
- (d)1. Any portion of a meeting of a responsible public entity during which an unsolicited proposal that is exempt is discussed is exempt from s. 286.011 and s. 24(b), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- 2.a. A complete recording must be made of any portion of an exempt meeting. No portion of the exempt meeting may be held off the record.

2-00510A-15 2015826

b. The recording of, and any records generated during, the exempt meeting are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution until such time as the responsible public entity provides notice of an intended decision for a qualifying project or 180 days after receipt of the unsolicited proposal by the responsible public entity if such entity does not issue a competitive solicitation for the project.

- c. If the responsible public entity rejects all proposals and concurrently provides notice of its intent to reissue a competitive solicitation, the recording and any records generated at the exempt meeting remain exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution until such time as the responsible public entity provides notice of an intended decision concerning the reissued competitive solicitation or until the responsible public entity withdraws the reissued competitive solicitation for such project.
- d. A recording and any records generated during an exempt meeting are not exempt for longer than 90 days after the initial notice by the responsible public entity rejecting all proposals.
- (e) This subsection is subject to the Open Government
 Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand
 repealed on October 2, 2020, unless reviewed and saved from
 repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

Section 2. (1) The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that an unsolicited proposal received by a responsible public entity pursuant to s. 287.05712, Florida Statutes, be made exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution until a time certain.

Prohibiting the public release of unsolicited proposals until a

2-00510A-15

88

114

115

116

2015826

89 of the public-private partnership process established in s. 287.05712, Florida Statutes. Temporarily protecting unsolicited 90 91 proposals protects the public-private partnership process by 92 encouraging private entities to submit such proposals, which 93 will facilitate the timely development and operation of a 94 qualifying project. Protecting such information ensures that 95 other private entities do not gain an unfair competitive 96 advantage. The public records exemption preserves public 97 oversight of the public-private partnership process by providing 98 for disclosure of the unsolicited proposal when the responsible 99 public entity provides notice of an intended decision; no longer 100 than 90 days after the responsible public entity rejects all 101 proposals received in a competitive solicitation for a 102 qualifying project; or 180 days after receipt of an unsolicited 103 proposal if such entity does not issue a competitive 104 solicitation for a qualifying project related to the proposal. 105 (2) The Legislature further finds that it is a public 106 necessity that any portion of a meeting of the responsible 107 public entity during which an unsolicited proposal that is 108 exempt from public records requirements is discussed be made 109 exempt from s. 286.011, Florida Statutes, and s. 24(b), Article I of the State Constitution. The Legislature also finds that it 110 111 is a public necessity that the recording of, and any records 112 generated during, a closed meeting be made temporarily exempt 113 from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24(a), Article I of

time certain ensures the effective and efficient administration

meeting during which such unsolicited proposal is discussed, and

the State Constitution. Failure to close any portion of a

failure to protect the release of the recording and records

120

129

130131

132

2-00510A-15

2015826___

117 generated during that closed meeting, would defeat the purpose

118 of the public records exemption. In addition, the Legislature

finds that public oversight is maintained because the public records exemption for the recording and records generated during

any closed portion of a meeting of the responsible public entity

are subject to public disclosure when such entity provides

notice of an intended decision; no longer than 90 days after the

responsible public entity rejects all proposals received in a

competitive solicitation for a qualifying project; or 180 days

after receipt of an unsolicited proposal if the responsible

public entity does not issue a competitive solicitation for a

qualifying project related to the proposal.

Section 3. This act shall take effect on the same date that SB ___ or similar legislation takes effect, if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session or an extension thereof and becomes a law.

THE FLORIDA SENATE

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic PPP Public Records Stemptic Amendment Barcode (if applicable
Name_ Richard Watsm
Job Title <u>Jegislativi</u> Coursel
Address 70. Boy 10638 Phone 850 222.000
Street (Colhansee, FZ 32302 Email (Cokp v watson
City State Zip andanoutes. The
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Associated Blus & Sontrators y Th
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
Albila it is a Consta to differ to the

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

To:	Senator Simpson Committee on Community Affairs
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request
Date:	March 4, 2015
N	respectfully request that Senate Bill #826 , relating to Public Records and Public Reetings, be placed on the: committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience. next committee agenda.

Senator Greg Evers Florida Senate, District 2

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepare	d By: The Professional Staff	of the Committee	on Community Affairs		
BILL:	CS/SB 782	2				
INTRODUCER:	Community Affairs Committee and Senator Montford					
SUBJECT:	County Of	ficers				
DATE:	March 17,	2015 REVISED:				
ANAL	YST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION		
l. White		Yeatman	CA	Fav/CS		
			GO			
•			FP			

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 782 provides that the salaries of county constitutional officers and school district officials will not decrease under specific circumstances related to an increase in county population. When a county's population increases, such that the county falls into a new population group, the bill would prevent a salary decrease that could be caused by a decreased group rate. The officials' salaries would remain the same as their last year's salaries when this happens. Subsequently, when the population or the annual factors increase enough to offset the effect of the decreased group rate, the overall salary will go up as normal.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2015.

II. Present Situation:

Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials

From the time of the State Constitution of 1885 until 1973, the compensation of Florida's county constitutional officers had been determined by a host of local laws, special laws, and general laws of local application. After decades of frequent and sporadic legislative action, the Legislature deemed necessary the enactment of a uniform salary law to replace the previous local law method of determining compensation. Thus, the Legislature repealed all local or special

¹ The original method was described as "haphazard, preferential, inequitable, and probably unconstitutional." See s. 145.011(2), F.S.

BILL: CS/SB 782

laws, or general laws of local application, that related to compensation of county officials;² provided that any such laws are prohibited;³ and authorized a salary compensation formula for determining compensation.⁴ In doing so, the Legislature created a uniform system of compensation for county officers having substantially equal duties and responsibilities, with salary schedules based on countywide populations.

The current methodology for calculating compensation for elected county officers and school district officials, while based on population, also involves five other components. County governments and school district officials are tasked with making their own calculations of these salaries, and the Florida Legislature's Office of Economic and Demographic Research (EDR) also reports its computations.⁵ Pursuant to s. 145.19(2), F.S., elected county and school officers' salaries are adjusted annually, but no effective date of these annual changes is specified in general law. Florida's county governments operate on a fiscal year that ranges from October 1 to September 30, while Florida's school districts operate on the July 1 to June 30 state fiscal year. Florida's Attorney General opined that salary increases are effective October 1 for the elected county officers and July 1 for the elected school district officials.⁶

Supplemental compensation for elected county officials, that is not the sole and exclusive compensation provided in ch. 145, F.S., is a misdemeanor of the first degree. If, after paying office personnel and expenses, a county officer has insufficient revenue from the income of their office to pay his or her total annual salary, the board of county commissioners is obligated to pay any deficiency from the general revenue fund.

Components of the Salary Formula

The current salary formula methodology specifies six components used for the salary computation:

- Population figures, based on the latest official population census counts, or intercensal estimates for the years between decennial censuses;
- Base salary and group rate components for the separate officers;⁹
- An initial factor component that is currently set in law as a constant numerical value; 10 and
- The annual factor and cumulative annual factor, which are certified by The Florida Department of Management Services (DMS).¹¹

"Population" as used for the salary determination means the latest annual determination of population of local governments produced by the EDR. The EDR provides the population

² Sections 145.131 and 145.132, F.S.

³ Section 145.16, F.S.

⁴ Chapter 73-173, Laws of Fla.

⁵ The Florida Legislature's Office of Economic and Demographic Research, *Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials for Fiscal Year 2014-15* (Sep. 2014).

⁶ Op. Att'y Gen. Fla. 79-87 (1979).

⁷ Section 145.17, F.S.

⁸ Section 145.141, F.S.

⁹ Sections 145.031, 145.051, 145.071, 145.09, 145.10, and 145.11, F.S., for elected county officers. Sections 1001.395, and 1001.47, F.S., for elected school district officials.

¹⁰ Section 145.19(1)(c), F.S.

¹¹ Section 145.19(2), F.S.

determination to the Governor's Office in accordance with s. 186.901, F.S. ¹² For the years between decennial censuses, the University of Florida's Bureau of Economic and Business Research generates annual population estimates for local governments, in accordance with a contract administered by the EDR.

"Salary" means the total annual compensation, payable under the schedules set forth in ch. 145, F.S., to be paid to an officer as personal income.¹³

"Initial Factor" means a factor of 1.292. This numerical value is the product, rounded to the nearest thousandth, of an earlier cost-of-living increase factor authorized by ch. 73-173, L.O.F., and intended by the Legislature to be preserved in adjustments to salaries made prior to the enactment of ch. 76-80, L.O.F., multiplied by the annual increase factor authorized by ch. 79-327, L.O.F.¹⁴

"Annual Factor" means 1 plus the lesser of either: 15

- the average percentage increase in the salaries of state career service employees for the current fiscal year as determined by the DMS or as provided in the General Appropriations Act; or
- 7 percent.

"Cumulative Annual Factor" means the product of all annual factors certified under this act prior to the fiscal year for which salaries are being calculated.¹⁶

Salary Computation Methodology

The salary computation to obtain "the adjusted salary rate" involves three steps. ¹⁷ First, county government and school district officials determine the relevant population group number for the elected officer based on the countywide population. ¹⁸ Two sets of countywide population ranges are used to determine the salaries of the elected officers. One set applies to the clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, tax collector, property appraiser, supervisor of elections, sheriff, and school superintendent. The second set applies only to county commissioners and school board members. Each population range has an assigned population group number. Step 2 of the salary computation involves the determination of the relevant base salary and group rate that corresponds to the population group number determined in the first step. In step 3, county government and school district officials calculate the salaries of elected county officers using the following formula:

Salary = [Base Salary + (Population Above Group Minimum x Group Rate)] x Initial Factor x Certified Annual Factor x Certified Cumulative Annual Factor.

¹² Section 145.021(1), F.S.

¹³ Section 145.021(2), F.S.

¹⁴ See, Section 145.19(1)(c), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 145.19(1)(a), F.S.

¹⁶ Section 145.19(1)(b), F.S.

¹⁷ EDR, Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials for Fiscal Year 2014-15 (Sep. 2014).

¹⁸ *Id*. at 8.

BILL: CS/SB 782

Relationship Between County Population, Group Rate, and Adjusted Salary Rate

As indicated by Table 1 below, when a county grows in population such that it would enter into a higher population group number, the base salary number goes up, while the group rate multiplier goes down. The use of the new, smaller group rate creates the peculiar possibility for a county officer of a county that has just barely crossed the threshold of a new population group to receive a smaller salary than if the population of the county had not grown. For example, in 2013, the population of Jackson County was estimated at 50,166, just over the 50,000 threshold, placing it within population group II. As a result, for fiscal year 2014-2015, the salaries of the Clerk of Circuit Court, the Property Appraiser, and the Tax Collector declined by \$2,966 to \$103,915, a change of -2.8 percent. For that same year, the salary of the Supervisor of Elections declined by \$2,860 to \$86,152; the salary of the Sheriff declined by \$2,942 to \$112,854; and the salary of the School Superintendent declined by \$2,966 to \$103,915. If the population of a county decreases, such that the county falls into a new smaller population group with a higher group rate, the salaries of county officers and school district officials might still increase significantly, as happened in Jackson County for fiscal year 2011-2012.

Table 1. Population Groups for Clerks of Court, Property Appraisers, and Tax Collecters²¹

Pop. Group	Min. Pop.	Max. Pop.	Base Salary	Group Rate
I	0	49,999	\$21,250	0.07875
II	50,000	99,999	\$24,400	0.06300
III	100,000	199,999	\$27,550	0.02625
IV	200,000	399,999	\$30,175	0.01575
V	400,000	999.999	\$33,325	0.00525
VI	1,000,000	-	\$36,475	0.00400

Additional Compensation Tied to Completion of Certificate Programs

Upon successful completion of a certification program, certain county constitutional officers are eligible to receive a special qualification salary of up to \$2,000 added to their formula-based salary.²² Relevant state agencies offer certification programs for clerks of circuit court, sheriffs, supervisors of elections, property appraisers, tax collectors, and elected school superintendents.²³ The officer is required to complete a course of continuing education to remain certified.²⁴ An officer who becomes certified receives a pro rata share of the special qualification salary based on the remaining period of the year. Any special qualification salary is added after the calculation of the formula-based salary.

¹⁹ *Id*. at 7.

²⁰ EDR, Salaries of Elected County Constitutional Officers and School District Officials by County, available at http://edr.state.fl.us/Content/local-government/data/data-a-to-z/countysalaryhistory.pdf (last visited Mar. 3, 2015).

²¹ Reproduced from ss. 145.051(1), 145.10(1), and 145.11(1), F.S.

²² Section 145.19(2), F.S.

²³ Sections 145.051(2), 145.071(2), 145.09(3), 145.10(2), 145.11(2), and 1001.47(4), F.S.

²⁴ *Id.* The following state agencies prescribe the courses of continuing education: the Supreme Court for clerks of circuit court; the Department of Law Enforcement for sheriffs; the Department of State's Division of Elections for supervisors of elections; the Department of Revenue for property appraisers and tax collectors; and the Department of Education for elected school superintendents.

In addition to the special qualification salary for elected school superintendents, the Department of Education (DOE) provides a leadership development and performance compensation program, which consists of two phases.²⁵ Upon successful completion of both phases and demonstrated successful performance, the DOE issues the school superintendent a Chief Executive Officer Leadership Development Certificate and pays an annual performance salary incentive in an amount between \$3,000 and \$7,500, based upon the performance evaluation.²⁶ For elected school superintendents, current law also provides that a district school board may approve, by majority vote, a salary in excess of the formula-based amount.²⁷

Applicability of Salary Computation Method

Notwithstanding the Legislature's stated intent for uniformity, county officers may voluntarily reduce their salary below that established by law.²⁸ Additionally, the formula-based salaries of supervisors of elections are based upon a five-day workweek; however, if a supervisor does not keep his or her office open five days per week then the salary is prorated accordingly.²⁹

Furthermore, the adoption of a county home rule charter provides the county's electors with a mechanism to alter the status of constitutional officers, such that their salaries are not subject to being set by the Legislature. Specifically, the statutory salary provisions do not apply to officials whose salaries are not subject to being set by the Legislature due to the provisions of a county home rule charter, as well as officials of counties that have a chartered consolidated form of government as provided in ch. 67-1320, L.O.F.³⁰

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 145.19, F.S., to prevent the salaries of elected county officers and school district officials from decreasing due to a population increase that puts the county into a new population group. Beginning in the 2015-2016 fiscal year, the adjusted salary rate of county officials will remain the same as in the prior fiscal year, when a county's shift to a new, higher population group would have otherwise decreased the adjusted salary rate. This salary protection extends to county commissioners, school board members, clerks of the circuit court, sheriffs, supervisors of election, property appraisers, tax collectors, and school superintendents.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2015.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

²⁵ Section 1001.47(5)(a), F.S.

²⁶ Section 1001.47(5)(b), F.S.

²⁷ Section 1001.47(1), F.S.

²⁸ See Chapters 2009-3 and 2009-59, Laws of Fla. (district school board members and elected school superintendents); Chapter 2011-158, Laws of Fla. (county commissioners, clerks of circuit court, county comptrollers, sheriffs, supervisors of elections, property appraisers, and tax collectors).

²⁹ Section 145.09(2), F.S.

³⁰ Section 145.012, F.S.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Beginning in the 2015-2016 fiscal year, elected county officers and school district officials will not experience salary decreases due to any population growth that puts a county into a new population group.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

If the population of a county decreases, such that the county falls into a new smaller population group with a higher group rate, the salaries of county officers and school district officials might still increase, as happened in Jackson County for fiscal year 2011-2012.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 145.19 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Community Affairs on March 17, 2015:

Clarifies that, in the event that an increase to county population would cause a decrease to county officials' salaries, the county officials would receive the same salary as the previous fiscal year. This salary safeguard is extended to county commissioners, and school board members, in addition to clerks of the circuit court, sheriffs, supervisors of election, property appraisers, tax collectors, and school superintendents.

B.	Amendm	ents:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

LEGISLATIVE ACTION Senate House Comm: RCS 03/17/2015

The Committee on Community Affairs (Thompson) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Subsection (3) is added to section 145.19, Florida Statutes, to read:

145.19 Annual percentage increases based on increase for state career service employees; limitation.-

(3) Notwithstanding subsection (2), s. 1001.395, s. 1001.47, or any other provision of this chapter to the contrary,

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10



beginning in the 2015-2016 fiscal year and continuing each fiscal year thereafter, a county official's adjusted salary rate shall be identical to the official's adjusted salary rate in the prior fiscal year if the official's adjusted salary rate would otherwise be less than the prior fiscal year's adjusted salary rate due to the county's shift to a new population group as a result of a population increase.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

18 19

20

21

22

23

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== And the title is amended as follows:

A bill to be entitled

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

24 2.5

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

An act relating to county officials; amending s. 145.19, F.S.; requiring, beginning in a specified fiscal year, that a county official's adjusted salary rate be identical to the official's adjusted salary rate in the prior fiscal year if the official's adjusted salary rate would otherwise be less than the prior fiscal year's adjusted salary rate due to

certain circumstances; providing an effective date.

By Senator Montford

3-00926-15 2015782

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to county officers; amending ss. 145.051, 145.071, 145.09, 145.10, 145.11, and 1001.47, F.S.; providing that the salaries of a clerk of circuit court, county comptroller, sheriff, supervisor of elections, property appraiser, tax collector, and district school superintendent may not be decreased under specific circumstances as the county population increases; amending s. 1001.50, F.S.; conforming a cross-reference; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

2.6

Section 1. Present subsection (3) of section 145.051, Florida Statutes, is renumbered as subsection (4), and a new subsection (3) is added to that section, to read:

145.051 Clerk of circuit court; county comptroller.-

(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the current salary of a clerk of the circuit court or a county comptroller may not be decreased because the county falls into a new population group as a result of an increase in the county population. Once in a new population group, as the population increases, the salary for such clerk or comptroller shall be adjusted by the group rate that applies to the new population group.

Section 2. Present subsection (3) of section 145.071, Florida Statutes, is renumbered as subsection (4), and a new subsection (3) is added to that section, to read:

145.071 Sheriff.-

3-00926-15 2015782

(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the current salary of a sheriff may not be decreased because the county falls into a new population group as a result of an increase in the county population. Once in a new population group, as the population increases, the salary for such sheriff shall be adjusted by the group rate that applies to the new population group.

Section 3. Present subsections (3) and (4) of section 145.09, Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (4) and (5), respectively, and a new subsection (3) is added to that section, to read:

145.09 Supervisor of elections.-

(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the existing salary of a supervisor of elections may not be decreased because the county falls into a new population group as a result of an increase in the county population. Once in a new population group, as the population increases, the salary for such supervisor of elections shall be adjusted by the group rate that applies to the new population group.

Section 4. Present subsection (3) of section 145.10, Florida Statutes, is renumbered as subsection (4), and a new subsection (3) is added to that section, to read:

145.10 Property appraiser.-

(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the current salary of a property appraiser may not be decreased because the county falls into a new population group as a result of an increase in the county population. Once in a new population group, as the population increases, the salary for such property appraiser shall be adjusted by the group rate that

3-00926-15 2015782

applies to the new population group.

Section 5. Present subsection (3) of section 145.11, Florida Statutes, is renumbered as subsection (4), and a new subsection (3) is added to that section, to read:

145.11 Tax collector.-

(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the current salary of a tax collector may not be decreased because the county falls into a new population group as a result of an increase in the county population. Once in a new population group, as the population increases, the salary for such tax collector shall be adjusted by the group rate that applies to the new population group.

Section 6. Present subsections (5) and (6) of section 1001.47, Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (6) and (7), respectively, and a new subsection (5) is added to that section, to read:

1001.47 District school superintendent; salary.-

(5) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the current salary of a district school superintendent may not be decreased because the county falls into a new population group as a result of an increase in the county population. Once in a new population group, as the population increases, the salary for such district school superintendent shall be adjusted by the group rate that applies to the new population group.

Section 7. Present subsection (4) of section 1001.50, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

1001.50 Superintendents employed under Art. IX of the State Constitution.—

(4) A district school superintendent employed under the

3-00926-15 2015782

terms of this section may participate in the courses of continuing professional education provided in the special qualification certification program pursuant to s. 1001.47(4) and the leadership development and performance compensation program pursuant to s. 1001.47(6) 1001.47(5), as established by the department. Upon successful completion of the certification requirements for one or both of these programs, the district school board may use such certification or certifications as a factor in determining the amount of compensation to be paid. Section 8. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

THE FLORIDA SENATE

APPEARANCE RECORD

3-17-15 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Meeting Date	Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic County Officers	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Dale Rabon Guthrie	
Job Title Jackson County Clerk o	f Courts
Address 4445 Lafayette St	Phone 482-9552
Marianna, FC 32446	Email dguthrie@jacksonclerk.com
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Jackson County Con	stitutionals + School Superintendent
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobb	yist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may no meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so th	ot permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this at as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

S-001 (10/14/14)

THE FLORIDA SENATE



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Agriculture, Chair
Appropriations Subcommittee on Education, Vice Chair
Appropriations
Banking and Insurance
Education Pre-K - 12
Rules

SENATOR BILL MONTFORD

3rd District

February 20, 2015

Senator Wilton Simpson, Chair Senate Committee on Community Affairs 315 Knott Building Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

Dear Senator Simpson:

I respectfully request that SB 782, a bill relating to County Officers, be scheduled for a hearing before the Senate Community Affairs Committee.

Your assistance and favorable consideration of my request is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

William "Bill" Montford

Senate District 3

WM/md

Cc: Tom Yeatman, Staff Director

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepare	d By: The I	Professional Staff	f of the Committee	on Community A	ffairs
BILL:	CS/SB 466	5				
INTRODUCER:	Regulated	Industries	s Committee ar	nd Senator Flores		
SUBJECT:	Low-volta	ge Alarm	Systems			
DATE:	March 17,	2015	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
. Kraemer Imhof		RI	Fav/CS			
2. White		Yeatman		CA	Favorable	
3.				RC		

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 466 amends the definition of Low-voltage Alarm Systems, reduces the maximum permit fee for those systems, and eliminates permit requirements for wireless burglar alarms and smoke detectors. Any electrical device or signaling device used to signal or detect a burglary, fire, robbery, or medical emergency is an alarm system. A system that is hardwired and operates at low voltage (with or without home-automation equipment, thermostats, and video cameras) is a low-voltage alarm system. The bill excludes wireless alarm systems (burglar alarms and smoke detectors) from all permitting requirements of any local enforcement agency with jurisdiction over building inspections and code enforcement, such as a local government, school board, community college, or university.

In addition to providing that permits may not be required in order to install, maintain, inspect, replace or service wireless alarm systems, the bill reduces the maximum charge for a uniform basic permit for a hardwired, low-voltage alarm system from \$55 to \$40. The bill deletes permit fee provisions that expired on January 1, 2015. The bill prohibits a local enforcement agency from requiring the payment of any additional amount associated with the installation or replacement of a hardwire, low-voltage alarm system. The bill authorizes local enforcement agencies to coordinate inspections with the owner or customer of low-voltage alarm system projects to ensure compliance with applicable codes and standards. However, the obligation to take corrective action if a project fails an inspection remains with the alarm system contractor.

The bill provides a July 1, 2015, effective date.

II. Present Situation:

Part II of ch. 489, F.S., regulates electrical and alarm system contracting. An alarm system is any electrical device, signaling device, or combination of electrical devices used to signal or detect a burglary, fire, robbery, or medical emergency. Licensure of electrical and alarm systems contractors is required, and applicants must have sufficient technical experience and be tested on technical and business matters.

Section 489.505, F.S., contains references to various types of contractors that may lay out, fabricate, install, maintain, alter, repair, monitor, inspect, replace or service alarm systems. An alarm system contractor means a person whose business includes the execution of contracts requiring the ability, experience, science, knowledge, and skill to conduct all alarm services for compensation, for all types of alarm systems for all purposes.² The term also means any person, firm, or corporation that engages in the business of alarm contracting under an expressed or implied contract or that undertakes, offers to undertake, or submits a bid to engage in the business of alarm contracting.³

An alarm system contractor whose business includes all types of alarm systems for all purposes is designated as an alarm system contractor I; the business of an alarm system contractor II is identical except that it does not include fire alarm systems.⁴

Alarm system contractors may also hold certificates of competency from the Department of Business and Professional Regulation, which are geographically unlimited.⁵ Holders of those certificates are certified alarm system contractors, and the scope of certification is limited to specific alarm circuits and equipment.⁶ There is no mandatory licensure requirement created by the availability of certification.⁷

A certified electrical contractor, a certified fire alarm system contractor, a registered fire alarm system contractor, a journeyman electrician licensed by any local jurisdiction, or an alarm technician licensed by a local jurisdiction that requires an examination and experience or training as licensure qualifications, is not required to complete the training required for fire alarm system agents. A registered electrical contractor is not required to complete the training, provided he or she is only doing electrical work up to the alarm panel.⁸

¹ See s. 489.505(1), F.S.

² See s. 489.505(2), F.S.

 $^{^3}$ Id.

⁴ *Id*.

⁵ See ss. 489.505(4) and 489.505(5), F.S.

⁶ Section 489.505(7), F.S., describes the limitations as those circuits originating in alarm control panels, equipment governed by the Articles 725, 760, 770, 800, and 810 of the National Electrical Code, Current Edition, and National Fire Protection Association Standard 72, Current Edition, as well as the installation, repair, fabrication, erection, alteration, addition, or design of electrical wiring, fixtures, appliances, thermostats, apparatus, raceways, and conduit, or any part thereof not to exceed 98 volts (RMS), when those items are for the purpose of transmitting data or proprietary video (satellite systems that are not part of a community antenna television or radio distribution system) or providing central vacuum capability or electric locks.

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ See s. 489.5185(2), F.S.

Part II of ch. 553, F.S., constitutes the Florida Building Codes Act (act). The act provides a mechanism for the uniform adoption, updating, amendment, interpretation, and enforcement of the Florida Building Code, consisting of a single set of documents that apply to the design, construction, erection, alteration, modification, repair, or demolition of public or private buildings, structures, or facilities, and to the enforcement of such requirements, for effective and reasonable protection for public safety, health, and general welfare at the most reasonable cost to the consumer.9

Pursuant to s. 553.88, F.S., the current edition of the following standards are in effect for the purpose of establishing minimum electrical and alarm standards in Florida:

- National Electrical Code, NFPA¹⁰ No. 70;
- Underwriters' Laboratories, Inc. (UL), Standards for Safety, Electrical Lighting Fixtures, and Portable Lamps, UL 57 and UL 153;
- Underwriters' Laboratories, Inc., Standard for Electric Signs, UL 48;
- The provisions of the following which prescribe minimum electrical and alarm standards:
 - o NFPA No. 56A, Inhalation Anesthetics;
 - o NFPA No. 56B, Respiratory Therapy;
 - o NFPA No. 56C, Laboratories in Health-related Institutions;
 - o NFPA No. 56D, Hyperbaric Facilities;
 - o NFPA No. 56F, Nonflammable Medical Gas Systems;
 - o NFPA No. 72, National Fire Alarm Code;
 - o NFPA No. 76A, Essential Electrical Systems for Health Care Facilities;
- The rules and regulations of the Department of Health, entitled "Nursing Homes and Related Facilities Licensure; and
- The minimum standards for grounding of portable electric equipment, ch. 8C-27, F.A.C., as recommended by the Division of Workers' Compensation, Department of Financial Services.

Section 553.71(5), F.S., provides that a local enforcement agency¹¹ is an agency with jurisdiction to make inspections of buildings and to enforce the codes which establish standards for design, construction, erection, alteration, repair, modification, or demolition of public or private buildings, structures, or facilities. A number of local governments require permitting or registration of burglar alarm systems, often to address the volume of false alarms reported to law enforcement. Local governments that may have permit requirements for burglar alarm systems include:

The counties of Alachua, Lee, Martin, Palm Beach, and St. Lucie; and

⁹ See s. 553.72(1), F.S.

¹⁰ NFPA is the acronym for the National Fire Protection Association, which is an international nonprofit organization established in 1896. Its mission is to reduce the worldwide burden of fire and other hazards on the quality of life by providing and advocating consensus codes, standards, research, training and education. NFPA develops, publishes, and disseminates more than 300 consensus codes and standards intended to minimize the possibility and effects of fire and other risks. See http://www.nfpa.org/about-nfpa (last visited Mar. 13, 2015).

¹¹ Section 553.71(5), F.S., of the Florida Building Codes Act defines local enforcement agency as an agency of local government, a local school board, a community college board of trustees, or a university board of trustees in the State University System with jurisdiction to make inspections of buildings and to enforce the codes which establish standards for design, construction, erection, alteration, repair, modification, or demolition of public or private buildings, structures, or facilities.

• The cities of Boca Raton, Cape Coral, Clearwater, Cutler Bay, Deerfield Beach, Doral, Gainesville, Hollywood, Largo, Miami, Miami Beach, Miami Gardens, Miramar, North Lauderdale, North Miami Beach, Palatka, Palm Bay, Pembroke Pines, Plantation, Pompano Beach, Riviera Beach, St. Petersburg, Sarasota, Sunny Isles, and West Palm Beach. 12

Many of these local governments require a permit to be submitted to the local law enforcement agency. For example, the County of Palm Beach requires a permit to be submitted to the Palm Beach County Sheriff's Office with a \$25 application fee. The permit must be renewed annually. Failure to submit an application for a permit results in a "no response" to the alarm system and a fine of \$260.00 per "incident." The purpose of these types of permits is to:

In concert with the county sheriff's office commitment to problem solving policing, the purpose of this article is to prevent false alarm activations that require the sheriff's office to respond. Deputies responding to false alarms are more wisely utilized preventing crime and solving neighborhood crime problems. This article is a cooperative effort among the board of county commissioners, the Alarm Association of Florida and the county sheriff's office to prevent false alarm activations in the most effective manner. ¹⁴

One industry company report on fees for basic hardwire installation reflected that as of 2013, permitting fees ranged from \$25 to fees of several thousand dollars. ¹⁵ In accordance with the provisions of ch. 2013-203, Laws of Florida, as of October 1, 2013, the charges that could be made for low-voltage alarm system permits were limited. For local enforcement agencies that charged: ¹⁶

- More than \$55 for those permits before January 1, 2013, the same amount could still be charged but only until January 1, 2015; and
- More than \$175 for those permits before January 1, 2013, only a maximum of \$175 could still be charged, but only until January 1, 2015.

After January 1, 2015, the maximum charge that may be imposed by any local enforcement agency is \$55.¹⁷

¹² For a longer list, compiled by an alarm system industry merchant, see Geoarm, *Florida Alarm Monitoring Permits for Emergency Dispatch Services*, available at http://www.geoarm.com/florida-alarm-monitoring-permits.html (last visited Mar. 13, 2015).

¹³ See http://www.pbso.org/documents/Burglar_Alarm_Permit_Form.pdf (Last visited Mar. 13, 2015) and Palm Beach County Ordinance 2008-038, codified at art. III, s. 16-51 et seq., Code of Ordinances, Palm Beach County, at https://www.municode.com/library/fl/palm_beach_county/codes/code_of_ordinances?searchRequest=%7B%22searchText% 22:%22part%20III,%20section%2016%22,%22pageNum%22:1,%22resultsPerPage%22:25,%22booleanSearch%22:false,%22stemming%22:true,%22fuzzy%22:false,%22synonym%22:false,%22contentTypes%22:%5B%22CODES%22%5D,%22productIds%22:%5B%5D%7D&nodeId=PABECOCO_CH16LAEN_ARTIIIAL. (Last visited Mar. 13, 2015).

¹⁴ Section 16-52, Purpose, Code of Ordinances, Palm Beach County.

¹⁵ E-mail from Jorge Chamizo, Floridian Partners, LLC to B. Imhof, Staff Director (Apr. 7, 2013) (on file with the Senate Committee on Regulated Industries).

¹⁶ See s. 553.793(4), F.S.

¹⁷ *Id*.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The definition of a low-voltage alarm system project is amended to exclude wireless burglar alarm and smoke detector systems. The bill amends the requirements for permitting by a local enforcement agency, by providing that permits for the installation, maintenance, inspection, replacement or servicing of wireless burglar alarm and smoke detector systems are not required. Local enforcement agencies may not charge more than \$40 for a permit, and may not require any other charge, for installation or replacement of new or existing hardwired, low-voltage alarm system. The bill deletes permit fee provisions that expired on January 1, 2015.

The bill provides that a local enforcement agency may not request "any" information for issuance of labels for purchase by a contractor other than identification information and proof of registration or licensure as a contractor. Existing law states that local enforcement agencies may not require "the submission of information other than," but the meaning of the phrase has been disputed, according to industry representatives.

The bill provides that a local enforcement agency may coordinate with the owner or customer to inspect a low-voltage alarm system project to ensure compliance with applicable codes and standards, but leaves intact the requirement that if the project fails inspections, corrective action must be undertaken by the alarm system contractor.

The bill provides that a municipality, county, district, or other entity of local government may not adopt or maintain in effect "any" ordinance or rule regarding a low-voltage alarm system project inconsistent with s. 553.793, F.S. Existing law states that those entities may not adopt or maintain in effect "an" ordinance or rule inconsistent with s. 553.793, F.S., but the meaning of the phrase has also been disputed, according to industry representatives.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The bill reduces the authority that counties have to raise revenues. Article VII, section 18(b) of the Florida Constitution requires any general law that reduces a local government's authority to raise revenues in the aggregate to be passed by a two-thirds vote of the membership of each house of the Legislature unless certain exemptions apply. 18

If the fiscal impact of the bill is insignificant, the bill would be exempt under Art. VII, s. 18(d) of the Florida Constitution. Although the Revenue Estimating Commission has not yet estimated the impact of this bill on local revenues, the impact of the bill may be insignificant. An insignificant fiscal impact means an amount not greater than ten cents times the average statewide population for the applicable fiscal year, ¹⁹ which equals approximately \$1.95 million. ²⁰

¹⁸ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 18(b).

¹⁹ FLA. CONST. art. VII, s. 18(d)

²⁰ The population of Florida is reported as 19,507,369. University of Florida Bureau of Economic and Business Research, Population Studies Program, available at http://www.bebr.ufl.edu/population (last visited Mar. 13, 2015).

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill reduces the maximum amount that may be charged for a permit for a hardwired, low-voltage alarm system by \$15 (from \$55 to \$40), and prohibits any other charges for installation or replacement of such systems. The bill provides that no permits are required for burglar alarm systems or smoke detectors that are not hardwired (wireless alarms and detectors). This will reduce or eliminate permitting costs associated with these systems and detectors.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Revenues of local enforcement agencies may be impacted by the elimination of permitting fees for wireless alarm systems (burglar alarms and smoke detectors), and the reduction in the maximum charge (from \$55 to \$40 each) that may be made for a permit for a hardwired low-voltage alarm system. The Department of Business and Professional Regulation estimates no fiscal impact to state government.²¹

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

A possible conflict with the requirements of the Florida Building Code regarding smoke detectors has been noted by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.²² The Florida Building Code, 5th Edition (2014) (the 2014 Florida Building Code), as updated by the Florida Building Commission on November 13, 2014, has been adopted as the building code for the State of Florida, with an effective date of June 30, 2015.²³ The 2014 Florida Building Code is copyrighted, but is available for public inspection and examination at the Department of State.²⁴

²¹ See Department of Business and Professional Regulation, *Legislative Bill Analysis for HB 413* (Feb. 9, 2015), at page 3. ²² *Id.* at page 2, referencing the "2010 Florida Building Code, Residential."

²³ See Rule 61G20-1.001, F.A.C., at https://www.flrules.org/gateway/ruleNo.asp?id=61G20-1.001 (last visited Mar. 13, 2015)

²⁴ *Id.* A draft of the 2014 Florida Building has been made available in a read-only format by the International Code Council, Inc. (ICC) at http://ecodes_biz/ecodes_support/free_resources/14FloridaDraft/Building/14FL_Building_Draft.html

Part IV of ch. 553, F.S., is titled as the Florida Building Codes Act.²⁵ The bill does not eliminate the requirements set forth in the current or forthcoming 2014 Florida Building Code regarding smoke alarms, as to whether they must be hardwired or may be powered by battery. In the event that a wireless alarm system is installed by an alarm systems contractor, no permit fee may be imposed by the local enforcement agency. However, the local enforcement agency has jurisdiction to regulate building construction and may determine that a wireless alarm system does not meet the requirements of the Florida Building Code in effect.²⁶

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 553.793 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Regulated Industries on March 4, 2015:

Prohibits a local enforcement agency from requiring the payment of any additional amount associated with the installation or replacement of a hardwire, low-voltage alarm system.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

⁽last visited Mar. 13, 2015). The ICC was founded in 1994 by the Building Officials and Code Administrators International, Inc. (BOCA), International Conference of Building Officials (ICBO), and Southern Building Code Congress International, Inc. (SBCCI). As regional building codes began to lose their usefulness in a national context, the ICC developed International Codes, which are a set of comprehensive, coordinated building safety and fire prevention codes.

²⁵ See ss. 553.70 through 553.898, F.S.

²⁶ See s. 553.80, F.S.

By the Committee on Regulated Industries; and Senator Flores

580-01940-15 2015466c1

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to low-voltage alarm systems; amending s. 553.793, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "low-voltage alarm system project" and adding the definition of the term "wireless alarm system"; providing that a permit is not required to install, maintain, inspect, replace, or service a wireless alarm system and its ancillary components; reducing the maximum price for permit labels for alarm systems; prohibiting a local enforcement agency from requiring the payment of any additional fees, charges, or expenses associated with the installation or replacement of a new or existing alarm system; authorizing a local enforcement agency to coordinate the inspection of certain alarm system projects; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsections (1), (2), (4), (8), and (9) of section 553.793, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

553.793 Streamlined low-voltage alarm system installation permitting.—

- (1) As used in this section, the term:
 - (a) "Contractor" means a person who is qualified to engage in the business of electrical or alarm system contracting pursuant to a certificate or registration issued by the department under part II of chapter 489.
 - (b) "Low-voltage alarm system project" means a project

580-01940-15 2015466c1

related to the installation, maintenance, inspection, replacement, or service of a new or existing alarm system, as defined in s. 489.505, that is hardwired and operating at low voltage, as defined in the National Electrical Code Standard 70, Current Edition, and ancillary components or equipment attached to such a system, including, but not limited to, home-automation equipment, thermostats, and video cameras.

- (c) "Wireless alarm system" means a burglar alarm system or smoke detector that is not hardwired.
- (2) Notwithstanding any provision of law, this section applies to <u>all</u> low-voltage alarm system projects for which a permit is required by a local enforcement agency. <u>However, a permit is not required to install, maintain, inspect, replace, or service a wireless alarm system, including any ancillary components or equipment attached to the system.</u>
- (4) A local enforcement agency shall make uniform basic permit labels available for purchase by a contractor to be used for the installation or replacement of a new or existing alarm system at a cost of not more than \$40 \$55 per label per project per unit. The local enforcement agency may not require the payment of any additional fees, charges, or expenses associated with the installation or replacement of a new or existing alarm system. However, a local enforcement agency charging more than \$55, but less than \$175, for such a permit as of January 1, 2013, may continue to charge the same amount for a uniform basic permit label until January 1, 2015. A local enforcement agency charging more than \$175 for such a permit as of January 1, 2013, may charge a maximum of \$175 for a uniform basic permit label until January 1, 2015.

580-01940-15 2015466c1

(a) A local enforcement agency may not require a contractor, as a condition of purchasing a label, to submit <u>any</u> information other than identification information of the licensee and proof of registration or certification as a contractor.

- (b) A label is valid for 1 year after the date of purchase and may only be used within the jurisdiction of the local enforcement agency that issued the label. A contractor may purchase labels in bulk for one or more unspecified current or future projects.
- (8) A local enforcement agency may coordinate directly with the owner or customer to inspect a low-voltage alarm system project may be inspected by the local enforcement agency to ensure compliance with applicable codes and standards. If a low-voltage alarm system project fails an inspection, the contractor must take corrective action as necessary to pass inspection.
- (9) A municipality, county, district, or other entity of local government may not adopt or maintain in effect any an ordinance or rule regarding a low-voltage alarm system project that is inconsistent with this section.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

3/17/15			oran conducting the most ng,	SB 466
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Low-voltage Alarm Systems			Amend	lment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Brewster Bevis		***	-	
Job Title Senior Vice President			-	
Address 516 N. Adams St.			Phone 224-7173	3
Street				
Tallahassee	FL	32301	Email bbevis@ai	f.com
Speaking: For Against	State		Speaking: In Su air will read this inform	
Representing Associated Indu	ustries of Florida			C (1)
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes ✓ No	Lobbyist regist	tered with Legislat	ure: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encoura meeting. Those who do speak may be a				

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

	Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)	466
Meeting Date	•	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Low Vollage MA	tv :11	nent Barcode (if applicable)
Name Asey Keed		
Job Title State Director - (es. A Mars	
Address 150 E College Au	Phone 970	591-6002
City State	3230/ Email CR 82	243 CATTION
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Supp	port Against
Representing	(The Chair will read this informati	ion into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	obbyist registered with Legislatur	e: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time n meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks	nay not permit all persons wishing to spe so that as many persons as possible ca	ak to be heard at this n be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

3/17/	12015			or Senate Professional St	RD aff conducting the meeting)	466
Meeting	g Date	-				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic	101	N Voltage	Alam	Remits	Amendr	nent Barcode (if applicable)
Name	10	rge Unai	Mizo			(5,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-
Job Title	AHON	Mey	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		()	
Address	10f J	outh Monk	De Stre	<u>H</u>	Phone (150)	est-0024
	ala la	hassel :	H 3230	9/	Email jorge of	lapartners-con
City	y		State	Zip	7 1 /	
Speaking:	For [Against Inf	ormation	Waive Sp	eaking: 🔲 In Sup	port Against
		117-		(The Chair	will read this informat	ion into the record.)
Represe	enting	ADIS	The flo	n'da Cable	Telecom.	Association
Appearing a	at request	of Chair: Yes	No	Lobbyist registe	red with Legislatu	re: Yes No
While it is a Someeting. Thos	enate traditio se who do sp	on to encourage public eak may be asked to	testimony, time limit their remark	may not permit all p s so that as many p	persons wishing to spe persons as possible ca	eak to be heard at this n be heard.
		ublic record for this				S-001 (10/14/14).

APPEARANCE RECORD

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senato	or or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Topic LOW VOLTAGE ALARMS	Bill Number (if applicable) ———————————————————————————————————
Name MEGAN SIEJANE-SAMPLES	
Job Title LEGISCATIVE ADVOCATE	
Address D. O. BOX 1757 Street	Phone 850 · 701 · 3655
TALLAHASSEE FL City State	32301 Email MSIRIANESAMPIESO
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing FLOMIDA LEAGUE CF	CITIES
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes X No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remai	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senato	r or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Low - voltage Alarm Sys	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
3 Stefanie Bowden	
itle Sr. Government Affairs ?	pecialist
SS 3760 Hadsfield Rd.	Phone 850-574-4069
City State	32303 Email Stefanie_Bourden@cable. Zip Concast.com
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Comcast	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remark	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

То:	Senator Wilton Simpson, Chair Committee on Community Affairs
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request
Date:	March 9, 2015
I respectfully on the:	request that Senate Bill #466, relating to Low-Voltage Alarm Systems, be placed
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.
\boxtimes	next committee agenda.

anitere Flores

Senator Anitere Flores Florida Senate, District 37

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared	d By: The F	Professional Staff	of the Committee	on Community At	fairs
BILL:	SB 972					
INTRODUCER:	Senator Flo	ores				
SUBJECT:	Value Adju	ıstment B	oards			
DATE:	March 17,	2015	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. White		Yeatm	nan	CA	Favorable	
2				FT		
3.				AP		

I. Summary:

SB 972 makes several changes to value adjustment board (VAB) proceedings. The bill:

- Requires a petition to be signed by the taxpayer, or be accompanied by the taxpayer's written authorization for representation which is only valid for one tax year;
- Specifies which agents may represent petitioners before the board, limiting representation to certain professionals;
- Requires the property appraiser to notify the petitioner when the property record card is available online;
- Limits a petitioner's one-time ability to reschedule a hearing, for good cause only;
- Fixes a new percentage rate for accrual of interest, that may be due to either unpaid amounts or for overpayments that lead to refunds;
- Allows district school boards and district county commissions to audit expenses related to the VAB process;
- Specifies an ending date of June 1 for the hearing process, requiring the board to hear all petitions, complaints, appeals, and disputes, unless the board of county commissioners extends the assessment roll;
- Requires VABs to submit final assessment rolls, with attached certificates, to the property appraiser by June 1 following the tax roll year;
- Establishes an enhanced review process by which the Department of Revenue may conduct a review of value adjustment board proceedings for counties that receive 10,000 or more petitions objecting to assessments in any one tax year.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2015.

II. Present Situation:

Overview of the Ad Valorem Process

Article VII, s. 4 of the Florida Constitution reserves ad valorem taxation to local governments, stating that "[b]y general law regulations shall be prescribed which shall secure a just valuation of all property for ad valorem taxation..." County property appraisers establish each property's just, or market, value as of January 1 of each year and apply any valid exemptions, classifications, or assessment limitations to determine the parcel's taxable value. Local taxing authorities set a millage rate (i.e., tax rate) that is levied on the property's taxable value. Each August, county property appraisers send property owners a Notice of Proposed Property Taxes (TRIM Notice), which identifies the just, assessed, and taxable value of the parcel and the tax that will be due based on the millage rates proposed by local governments. Property owners who disagree with the county property appraiser assessment of their property's valuation or who have been denied an exemption or property classification may:

- Request an informal meeting with the property appraiser;⁴
- Appeal to the county value adjustment board;⁵ or
- Challenge the assessment in circuit court.⁶

Tax collectors collect all ad valorem taxes levied by the county, school district, municipalities, and any special taxing districts within the county, and then distribute the taxes to each taxing authority. Property taxes are due November 1 or as soon thereafter as the certified tax roll is received by the tax collector. Pending any appeals, unpaid taxes are delinquent after March 31 of the following year.

Value Adjustment Boards

Chapter 194, F.S., provides for administrative and judicial review of tax assessments. Each county in Florida has a value adjustment board (VAB) composed of five members⁹ that reviews appeals of the ad valorem tax decisions made by county property appraisers.¹⁰ A property owner may petition the VAB to review the property appraiser's assessment of real or tangible personal property or the denial of an exemption or classification. The VAB hears evidence from both

¹ Article VII, s. 4, Fla. Const. and Article IX, s. 1, Fla. Const. of 1885 ("The Legislature shall provide for a uniform and equal rate of taxation, and shall prescribe such regulations as shall secure a just valuation of all property...").

² In arriving at just valuation, the county property appraiser takes into consideration the eight factors enumerated in s. 193.011, F.S. In 1965, the Supreme Court in *Walter v. Shuler* made the oft-quoted statement that just valuation is legally synonymous with market value and that it "may be established by the classic formula that it is the amount 'a purchaser willing but not obliged to buy, would pay to one willing but not obliged to sell." 176 So. 2d 81, 86 (Fla. 1965); see also *Southern Bell Tel. & Tel. Co. v. Dade County*, 275 So. 2d 4 (Fla. 1973); *Holly Ridge Ltd. Partnership v. Pritchett*, 936 So. 2d 694 (Fla. 5th DCA 2006).

³ Section 200.069, F.S.

⁴ Section 194.011(2), F.S.

⁵ Section 194.011(3), F.S.

⁶ Section 194.171, F.S.

⁷ Section 197.383, F.S.

⁸ Section 197.333, F.S.

⁹ Section 194.015, F.S.

¹⁰ Section 194.011, F.S.

petitioners and property appraisers as to whether properties are appraised at their fair market value, as well as issues related to tax exemptions, deferments, and portability.¹¹

Composition of Value Adjustment Boards

Section 194.015, F.S., requires that each county have a VAB consisting of five members as follows:

- Two members of the governing body of the county.
- One member of the school board elected by membership of the school board.
- One citizen appointed by the governing body of the county. The citizen must own homestead property within the county.
- One citizen appointed by the school board. This person must own a business occupying commercial space within the school district.

The statute provides that a quorum of three members of the board must include at least:

- One member of the governing body of the county.
- One member of the school board.
- One citizen member.

In addition, s. 194.035, F.S., requires counties with a population greater than 75,000 to hire special magistrates to conduct valuation hearings. Before conducting hearings, a board must hold an organizational meeting to appoint special magistrates and legal counsel and to perform other administrative functions. ¹² Special magistrates must meet the following qualifications:

- A special magistrate appointed to hear issues of exemptions and classifications shall be a member of The Florida Bar with not less than 5 years experience in the area of ad valorem taxation.
- A special magistrate appointed to hear issues regarding the valuation of real estate shall be a state certified real estate appraiser with not less than 5 years experience in real property valuation.
- A special magistrate appointed to hear issues regarding the valuation of tangible personal property shall be a designated member of a nationally recognized appraiser's organization with not less than 5 years experience in tangible personal property valuation.

Section 194.015, F.S., provides in part that the board shall appoint private counsel who has practiced law for over 5 years and who shall receive such compensation as may be established by the board. The private counsel may not represent the property appraiser, the tax collector, any taxing authority, or any property owner in any administrative or judicial review of property taxes. No meeting of the board shall take place unless counsel to the board is present.

Petition Process for VAB Hearing

Property appraisers establish the value of taxable property by January 1 each year, and review and apply exemptions, assessment limitations, and classifications that may reduce a property's

¹¹ Additionally, VABs appoint special magistrates, who are qualified real estate appraisers, personal property appraisers or attorneys, to act as impartial agents in conducting hearings and making recommendations on all petitions.

¹² Section 194.011(5)(a)2., F.S.

taxable value.¹³ VABs have no authority to review, by their own motion, the determinations of the property appraiser.¹⁴ Rather, the property owner files a petition to initiate a review, which may cost up to \$15 per petition.¹⁵

The Florida Department of Revenue (DOR), in their property tax oversight role, maintains a calendar indicating when the petition process begins (early March), and when petitions must be received by (mid-September), each year. ¹⁶ VAB petitions may be found at the DOR website, ¹⁷ the County Property Appraiser's office, and in most counties at the office or website of the VAB Clerk. The clerk of the value adjustment board ¹⁸ is responsible for receiving completed petitions, acknowledging receipt to the taxpayer, sending a copy of the petition to the property appraiser, and scheduling appearances before the value adjustment board.

Prior to the hearing, an exchange of evidence can take place between the petitioner and the property appraiser, if so requested in writing. Regardless of whether petitioners initiate an evidence exchange, the property appraiser is required to provide the property record card¹⁹ to petitioners on receipt of the petition, unless the property record card is available online from the property appraiser.²⁰

Property Record Cards

Property appraisers maintain records of assessment information for assessed properties. A property's record of information is often referred to as the "property record card." On a petition to the VAB, a petitioner may elect to receive a copy of the property record card. Prior to 2013, the clerk of the VAB was required to provide a copy of the card when the petitioner made the election on the petition. Section 8, ch. 2013-109, Laws of Florida, shifted this responsibility from the clerk of the VAB to the property appraiser; however, the law did not conform s. 194.011(4)(b), F.S., to recognize this change.

Interest Collected on Unpaid Amounts and Paid as a Refund

Section 194.014, F.S., provides a fixed percentage rate for interest on amounts the petitioner owes or has overpaid. If the VAB determines that the petitioner owes ad valorem taxes in excess of the amount paid, the unpaid amount accrues interest at the rate of 12 percent per year from the date the taxes became delinquent until the unpaid amount is paid. If the VAB determines a refund is due, the overpaid amount similarly accrues interest at the rate of 12 percent per year from the date the taxes became delinquent until a refund is paid. Interest does not accrue on amounts paid in excess of 100 percent of the current taxes due as provided on the tax notice issued.

¹⁶ See the most recent calendar for exact dates. Dep't of Revenue, *Value Adjustment Board Calendar*, http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/property/cofficials/pdf/pt902020.pdf (last visited Mar. 11, 2015).

¹³ For timeframes and instructions on filing, see Dep't of Revenue, *Petitions to the Value Adjustment Board*, http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/property/brochures/pt101.pdf (last visited Mar. 11, 2015).

¹⁴ See Chapter 2013-95, ss. 1-4, Laws of Fla. (CS/HB 1193).

¹⁵ Section 190.013, F.S.

¹⁷ See Florida Administrative Code (FAC) 12D-9.015; Dep't of Revenue, *Value Adjustment Board Forms and Calendar*, http://dor.myflorida.com/dor/property/forms/index.html#11 (last visited Mar. 11, 2015) (listed as Form DR-486).

¹⁸ The county clerk usually serves as the clerk of the value adjustment board. Section 194.015, F.S.

¹⁹ A property record card contains relevant information used in computing the petitioner's current assessment.

²⁰ Section 194.032(2)(a), F.S.; see Chapter 2013-109, s. 8, Laws of Fla. (SB 556).

Department of Revenue Oversight

The DOR supervises the assessment and valuation of property so that all property is placed on the tax rolls and valued according to its just valuation.²¹ Additionally, the DOR prescribes and furnishes all forms as well as prescribes rules and regulations to be used by property appraisers, tax collectors, clerks of circuit court, and value adjustment boards in administering and collecting ad valorem taxes.²²

Assessment rolls must be submitted to the DOR on or before July 1.²³ By definition, "complete submission of the rolls" includes, but is not limited to:

- Accurate tabular summaries of valuations as prescribed by DOR rule;
- An electronic copy of the real property assessment roll including for each parcel total value of improvements, land value, the recorded selling prices, other ownership transfer data required for an assessment roll, the value of any improvement made to the parcel in the 12 months preceding the valuation date, the type and amount of any exemption granted, and such other information as may be required by DOR rule;
- An accurate tabular summary by property class of any adjustments made to recorded selling prices or fair market value in arriving at assessed value, as prescribed by DOR rule;
- An electronic copy of the tangible personal property assessment roll, including for each entry a unique account number and such other information as may be required by DOR rule; and
- An accurate tabular summary of per-acre land valuations used for each class of agricultural property in preparing the assessment roll, as prescribed by DOR rule.²⁴

The DOR uses Form DR-493, promulgated through rule 12D-8.002(4), F.A.C., to track the adjustments made to fair market value.

Section 194.011, F.S., provides in part that the DOR is required to develop:

- Uniform procedures for hearings before the value adjustment board, and
- A policies and procedures manual for value adjustment boards, special magistrates, and property owners to use in proceedings before the value adjustment board.

In addition, s. 194.035(3), F.S., provides that the DOR shall provide and conduct training for special magistrates at least once each state fiscal year in at least five locations throughout the state. Such training emphasizes the DOR standard measures of value, including the guidelines for real and tangible personal property. A person who has three years of relevant experience and who has completed the training provided by the DOR may be appointed as a special magistrate. The training is open to the public.

²¹ Section 195.002, F.S.

²² Chapter 195, F.S.

²³ Section 193.1142, F.S.

²⁴ Section 192.001(18), F.S.

Review of Value Adjustment Boards by the Department of Revenue

Section 194.036(1)(c), F.S., relating to appeals of decisions of the VAB provides that the property appraiser may appeal a decision to the circuit court. However, first, the property appraiser must notify the DOR that he or she believes that there exists a "consistent and continuous violation of the intent of the law or administrative rules by the value adjustment board in its decisions" and provide the DOR with certain supporting information. If the DOR finds upon investigation that a consistent and continuous violation of the intent of the law or administrative rules by the board has occurred, it informs the property appraiser, who may then bring suit in circuit court against the VAB for injunctive relief to prohibit continuation of the violation of the law or administrative rules and for a mandatory injunction to restore the tax roll to its just value in such amount as determined by judicial proceeding. Effected taxpayers have 60 days from the date of the final judicial decision to file an action to contest any altered or changed assessment.

Recommendations Concerning the VAB Process

In a December 2010 report,²⁵ the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability found that counties and other participants in the VAB process were likely incurring increased costs, and the time county boards take to complete the process varies, but has increased in recent years due to factors such as:

- Growing numbers of petitions,
- Recent changes in state law and administrative rules, and
- Involvement of property tax representatives.

The Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability recommended that "if the Legislature wishes to make additional changes to the value adjustment board process, it could consider options to (1) shorten the process; (2) address costs and other fiscal implications; and (3) increase accountability."

In its March 2015 internal audit report,²⁶ the Miami-Dade County Public Schools Office of Management and Compliance Audits makes 11 recommendations concerning the VAB process. The audit explains that delays to the final certification of the county's tax roll negatively and significantly affect the school district's ability to fund its operations. The Miami-Dade audit notes that the number of days between the first and last hearing date by the VAB was 802 days in tax year 2009, 535 days in tax year 2010, 492 days in tax year 2011, and 519 days in tax year 2012. Having such a lag in reporting the final tax roll to DOR restricts the school district's revenue, and may affect its ability to receive full funding in the appropriations bill in the year appropriated by the Florida Legislature. The audit found:

- Inconsistencies between rules and statute, particularly as it pertains to DOR rules on rescheduling hearings;
- Lack of compliance with statutes and rules, such as petitions presented by unlicensed agents without signed or written authorization from the taxpayer; and

²⁵ The Florida Legislature Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability, *Time and Costs Are Increasing for Counties to Complete the Value Adjustment Board Process*, Report No. 10-64 (Dec. 2010).

²⁶ Miami-Dade County Public Schools Office of Management and Compliance Audits, *Audit of the Miami-Dade County Value Adjustment Board (VAB) Appeals Process – Phase 1* (March 2015).

• Internal control weakness, with one example being no limitation placed on the incentive to overpay and collect interest at 12 percent annual percentage rate.

Taxpayer Bill of Rights

The Florida Statutes set forth a general taxpayer bill of rights in s. 213.015, F.S., and a property tax specific taxpayer bill of rights in s. 192.0105, F.S. The Florida Taxpayer's Bill of Rights for property taxes and assessments was created to guarantee that the rights, privacy, and property of the taxpayers of this state are adequately safeguarded and protected during tax levy, assessment, collection, and enforcement processes administered under the revenue laws of this state. These rights are available only insofar as they are implemented in other parts of the Florida Statutes or rules of the DOR. Section 192.0105, F.S., sets forth the taxpayer rights along with cross references to where those rights are effectuated. The rights are categorized as follows: the right to know, the right to due process, the right to redress, and the right to confidentiality.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 conforms provisions in s. 192.0105, F.S., the Taxpayer Bill of Rights, to changes made in Section 7 of the bill, specifying the types of agents that may represent a petitioner before a VAB.

Section 2 amends s. 193.122, F.S., to provide a date certain that VABs must submit final assessment rolls, with attached certificates, to the property appraiser. The bill provides a date of "June 1 following the tax roll year" to do so.

Section 3 amends s. 194.011, F.S., to provide an additional requirement for what constitutes a valid petition. The bill requires a petition to be signed by the taxpayer or be accompanied by the taxpayer's written authorization for representation. A new written authorization for representation is required yearly, as it is only valid for one tax year.

Section 4 amends s. 194.014, F.S., to fix a new percentage rate for accrual of interest due to either unpaid amounts or for overpayments that lead to refunds. By fixing the interest rate to "an annual percentage rate equal to the prime rate as published in the Wall Street Journal on July 1 of the tax roll," instead of a flat 12 percent, payments on delinquent accounts, and refunds will become responsive to market changes.

Section 5 amends s. 194.015, F.S., to allow district school boards and district county commissions to audit expenses related to the VAB process.

Section 6 amends s. 194.032, F.S., to specify an ending date for the hearing process. The bill requires the board to hear all petitions, complaints, appeals, and disputes, and submit the certified assessment roll to the property appraiser by June 1, unless the board of county commissioners extends the assessment roll. Additionally, the property appraiser must notify the petitioner when the property record card is available online, and a petitioner may only reschedule a hearing one time, for good cause.

Section 7 amends s. 194.034, F.S., to specify which agents may represent petitioners before the board, limiting representation to these professionals: a corporate representative of the taxpayer, an attorney, a licensed property appraiser, a licensed realtor, a certified public accountant, or a certified tax specialist retained by the taxpayer.

Section 8 creates s. 194.038, F.S., which elaborates the process by which the DOR may conduct a review of VAB proceedings for certain counties. Upon receiving 10,000 or more petitions objecting to assessments under s. 194.011, F.S., in any one tax year, a county must notify DOR.²⁷ If DOR conducts a review, it has 9 months to do so from the time that it receives notification. The review would involve:

- A determination of whether the values derived by the board comply with s. 193.011, F.S., and professionally accepted appraisal practices. The county must submit a verbatim copy of proceedings.
- A statistical sampling of petitions that requested a change in the assessment for each classification of property set forth in s. 194.037(2), F.S.
- Adherence, by the DOR, to all standards that VABs are required to adhere.²⁸
- Cooperation between the DOR and the VAB in conducting the review, such that each makes
 available all matters and records bearing on the review. The VAB must provide data
 requested by the DOR, including documentary evidence presented during the proceedings
 and written decisions rendered.

The DOR must publish results of its review online and notify relevant governmental entities. Publication on the DOR website would include the following for each parcel:

- Owner's name;
- Property address;
- Identification number of the property as used by the VAB clerk;
- Name of the special magistrate who heard the petition;
- Initial just value derived by the property appraiser; and
- Any change to just value made by the VAB.

Whereas, a property appraiser may currently bring suit in circuit court against the VAB for injunctive relief when DOR finds that a "consistent and continuous violation of the intent of the law or administrative rules by the board" has occurred, ²⁹ the review process contemplated by this section of the bill would also provide a definition of a "continuous" violation. A VAB is in continuous violation of the intent of the law if DOR determines that less than 90 percent of the petitions randomly sampled comply with the criteria in s. 193.011, F.S., and professionally accepted appraisal practices.

The bill provides the DOR with rule-making authority to administer the reviews described in this section.

Section 9 conforms provisions in s. 195.002, F.S., related to DOR's supervisory role, to include the "administrative review of value adjustment boards."

²⁷ Based on petition count reports, only Miami-Dade and Broward have exceeded 10,000 petitions in the last three years.

²⁸ The VABs must follow requirements in Chapter 194, F.S., and Chapter 12D-9, F.A.C.

²⁹ Section 194.036(1)(c), F.S.

Section 10 provides an effective date of July1, 2015.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues

The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted the separation of powers requirement found in Article II, section 3 of the Florida Constitution, as having two facets: first, that "no branch of government may encroach on another branch's power," and second, that no branch may delegate its constitutionally assigned powers to another branch.

The second of these prohibitions is known as the nondelegation doctrine. While the Legislature may transfer subordinate functions to executive branch agencies without violating the nondelegation doctrine, it may not transfer the power to enact a law or the right to exercise unrestricted discretion in applying the law. Furthermore, the Legislature may not delegate its authority "absent ascertainable minimal standards and guidelines."

Section 8 of the bill affords DOR the discretion to initiate reviews, and also provides DOR a general grant of rulemaking power. It is unclear what will trigger DOR's review of the VAB, or guidelines for how they should implement a rule regarding whether to begin the review process. Lines 258-259, simply state that: "the DOR may conduct a review of the value adjustment board proceedings." It is unclear whether this bill language provides DOR a right to exercise unrestricted discretion in applying the law in violation of the nondelegation doctrine.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

By shortening the VAB process, addressing costs, and increasing accountability, the bill may allow tax collectors to distribute ad valorem taxes more quickly to counties, school districts, municipalities, and any special taxing districts.

B. Private Sector Impact:

By increasing accountability of the VAB process, the bill may make the VAB process more efficient and easier to navigate for petitioners and their authorized agents.

C. Government Sector Impact:

DOR has analyzed the bill and commented on the enhanced review process for counties receiving 10,000 or more petitions objecting to assessments in a single tax year. Since the petition filing deadline generally occurs in late September, the DOR will likely receive these notifications in October, and must complete the review no later than 9 months after receiving the notification. Therefore, DOR will likely complete most reviews in July of the following year.

Based on petition count reports, only Miami-Dade and Broward have exceeded 10,000 petitions in the last three years. The total number of samples required for reviews of these counties are estimated by DOR to be approximately 1,078 for Broward and 1,749 for Miami-Dade.³¹ There will be a fiscal impact for the DOR if they choose to initiate reviews, which they estimate as \$860,039 in fiscal year 2014-2015, and \$813,455, recurring.³²

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

In limiting representation before the VAB in Section 7 of the bill, licensed professionals should be referred to by their definition in statute.

The term "property appraiser" is generally used in Florida to refer to the elected constitutional officer. A revision of "licensed property appraiser" on line 240 could instead read "licensed real estate appraiser." Real estate appraiser licensure is found in s. 475.611(1)(h), F.S.

The term "realtor" means a member of the National Association of Realtors. The license they hold in Florida is "real estate broker." A revision of "licensed realtor" on line 240 could instead read "licensed real estate broker" which is defined in s. 475.01(1)(a), F.S.

The term "licensed attorney" is defined in ch. 454, F.S.

The term "certified public accountant" is defined in s. 473.302(4), F.S.

The bill also uses the term "certified tax specialist" on line 241. Florida Statutes do not include a designation or definition of "certified tax specialist."

For clarification, on page 10, line 256, "county" should be changed to "value adjustment board."

³⁰ DOR, *Analysis of SB* 972, at 8 (Mar. 2015).

³¹ *Id*

³² DOR, Fiscal Impact Analysis of SB 972 (Mar. 13, 2015).

VII. Related Issues:

The bill creates s. 194.032(4), F.S., which appears to require counties that certify the tax roll by November 1 to certify the tax roll by June 1. The date of June 1 appears to refer to June 1 of the next year, which is seven months after these rolls are currently being certified for collection. As drafted, the new language in s. 194.032(4), F.S., does not support the language being added to s. 193.122, F.S., in lines 79-81 of this bill.

Lines 150 and 156 of this bill directly refer to the Wall Street Journal. This language will be obsolete if at any time the Wall Street Journal ceases operations or simply changes its name. A more generic reference would address this issue.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 192.0105, 193.122, 194.011, 194.014, 194.015, 194.032, 194.034, and 195.002.

This bill creates section 194.038 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

By Senator Flores

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

1617

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

37-00705-15 2015972

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to value adjustment boards; amending s. 192.0105, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending s. 193.122, F.S.; establishing deadlines for value adjustment boards to complete final tax roll certifications; amending s. 194.011, F.S.; specifying procedures for filing petitions to the value adjustment board; amending s. 194.014, F.S.; revising the interest rate upon which unpaid and overpaid ad valorem taxes accrue; amending s. 194.015, F.S.; authorizing the district school board and district county commission to audit certain expenses of the value adjustment board; amending s. 194.032, F.S.; requiring a property appraiser to notify a petitioner when property record cards are available online; requiring a petitioner to show good cause to reschedule a hearing related to an assessment; requiring county commissioners to address issues concerning assessment rolls by a time certain; amending s. 194.034, F.S.; revising the entities that may represent a taxpayer before the value adjustment board; creating s. 194.038, F.S.; requiring counties, under certain circumstances, to notify the Department of Revenue of petitions contesting tax assessments; requiring the department to conduct reviews of value adjustment board proceedings under certain circumstances; providing review procedures; requiring the department to publish review results; requiring notification to the Legislature of publication of

37-00705-15 2015972

review data and findings; requiring the department to find a value adjustment board to be in violation of the law if certain criteria are met; authorizing a property appraiser to file suit under certain circumstances; requiring the department to adopt rules; amending s. 195.002, F.S.; providing that the department has administrative review powers over value adjustment boards; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (f) of subsection (2) of section 192.0105, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Taxpayer's Bill of Rights for property taxes and assessments to guarantee that the rights, privacy, and property of the taxpayers of this state are adequately safeguarded and protected during tax levy, assessment, collection, and enforcement processes administered under the revenue laws of this state. The Taxpayer's Bill of Rights compiles, in one document, brief but comprehensive statements that summarize the rights and obligations of the property appraisers, tax collectors, clerks of the court, local governing boards, the Department of Revenue, and taxpayers. Additional rights afforded to payors of taxes and assessments imposed under the revenue laws of this state are provided in s. 213.015. The rights afforded taxpayers to assure that their privacy and property are safeguarded and protected during tax levy, assessment, and collection are available only

insofar as they are implemented in other parts of the Florida

37-00705-15 2015972

Statutes or rules of the Department of Revenue. The rights so guaranteed to state taxpayers in the Florida Statutes and the departmental rules include:

- (2) THE RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS.-
- (f) The right, in value adjustment board proceedings, to have all evidence presented and considered at a public hearing at the scheduled time, to be represented by a person specified in s. 194.034(1)(a) an attorney or agent, to have witnesses sworn and cross-examined, and to examine property appraisers or evaluators employed by the board who present testimony (see ss. 194.034(1)(a) and (c) and (4), and 194.035(2)).
- Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 193.122, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 193.122 Certificates of value adjustment board and property appraiser; extensions on the assessment rolls.—
- (1) The value adjustment board shall certify each assessment roll upon order of the board of county commissioners pursuant to s. 197.323, if applicable, and again after all hearings required by s. 194.032 have been held. These certificates shall be attached to each roll as required by the Department of Revenue. The value adjustment board must complete the certification and submit each final assessment roll to the property appraiser by June 1 following the tax roll year.
- Section 3. Subsection (3) of section 194.011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 194.011 Assessment notice; objections to assessments.-
- (3) A petition to the value adjustment board must be in substantially the form prescribed by the department. Notwithstanding s. 195.022, a county officer may not refuse to

37-00705-15 2015972

accept a form provided by the department for this purpose if the taxpayer chooses to use it. A petition to the value adjustment board <u>must be signed</u> by the taxpayer or be accompanied by the taxpayer's written authorization for representation by a person specified in s. 194.034(1)(a). A written authorization is valid for 1 tax year, and a new written authorization by the taxpayer shall be required for each subsequent tax year. A petition shall also describe the property by parcel number and shall be filed as follows:

- (a) The property appraiser shall have available and shall distribute forms prescribed by the Department of Revenue on which the petition shall be made. Such petition shall be sworn to by the petitioner.
- (b) The completed petition shall be filed with the clerk of the value adjustment board of the county, who shall acknowledge receipt thereof and promptly furnish a copy thereof to the property appraiser.
- (c) The petition shall state the approximate time anticipated by the taxpayer to present and argue his or her petition before the board.
- (d) The petition may be filed, as to valuation issues, at any time during the taxable year on or before the 25th day following the mailing of notice by the property appraiser as provided in subsection (1). With respect to an issue involving the denial of an exemption, an agricultural or high-water recharge classification application, an application for classification as historic property used for commercial or certain nonprofit purposes, or a deferral, the petition must be filed at any time during the taxable year on or before the 30th

37-00705-15 2015972

day following the mailing of the notice by the property appraiser under s. 193.461, s. 193.503, s. 193.625, s. 196.173, or s. 196.193 or notice by the tax collector under s. 197.2425.

- (e) A condominium association, cooperative association, or any homeowners' association as defined in s. 723.075, with approval of its board of administration or directors, may file with the value adjustment board a single joint petition on behalf of any association members who own parcels of property which the property appraiser determines are substantially similar with respect to location, proximity to amenities, number of rooms, living area, and condition. The condominium association, cooperative association, or homeowners' association as defined in s. 723.075 shall provide the unit owners with notice of its intent to petition the value adjustment board and shall provide at least 20 days for a unit owner to elect, in writing, that his or her unit not be included in the petition.
- (f) An owner of contiguous, undeveloped parcels may file with the value adjustment board a single joint petition if the property appraiser determines such parcels are substantially similar in nature.
- (g) The individual, agent, or legal entity that signs the petition becomes an agent of the taxpayer for the purpose of serving process to obtain personal jurisdiction over the taxpayer for the entire value adjustment board proceedings, including any appeals of a board decision by the property appraiser pursuant to s. 194.036.

Section 4. Subsection (2) of section 194.014, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

194.014 Partial payment of ad valorem taxes; proceedings

37-00705-15 2015972

before value adjustment board.-

(2) If the value adjustment board determines that the petitioner owes ad valorem taxes in excess of the amount paid, the unpaid amount accrues interest at an annual percentage rate equal to the prime rate as published in the Wall Street Journal on July 1 of the tax roll the rate of 12 percent per year, beginning on from the date the taxes became delinquent pursuant to s. 197.333 until the unpaid amount is paid. If the value adjustment board determines that a refund is due, the overpaid amount accrues interest at an annual percentage rate equal to the prime rate as published in the Wall Street Journal on July 1 of the tax roll the rate of 12 percent per year, beginning on from the date the taxes became delinquent pursuant to s. 197.333 until a refund is paid. Interest does not accrue on amounts paid in excess of 100 percent of the current taxes due as provided on the tax notice issued pursuant to s. 197.322.

Section 5. Section 194.015, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

194.015 Value adjustment board.—There is hereby created a value adjustment board for each county, which shall consist of two members of the governing body of the county as elected from the membership of the board of said governing body, one of whom shall be elected chairperson, and one member of the school board as elected from the membership of the school board, and two citizen members, one of whom shall be appointed by the governing body of the county and must own homestead property within the county and one of whom must be appointed by the school board and must own a business occupying commercial space located within the school district. A citizen member may not be a member or an

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183184

185186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199200

201

202

203

37-00705-15 2015972

employee of any taxing authority, and may not be a person who represents property owners in any administrative or judicial review of property taxes. The members of the board may be temporarily replaced by other members of the respective boards on appointment by their respective chairpersons. Any three members shall constitute a quorum of the board, except that each quorum must include at least one member of said governing board, at least one member of the school board, and at least one citizen member and no meeting of the board shall take place unless a quorum is present. Members of the board may receive such per diem compensation as is allowed by law for state employees if both bodies elect to allow such compensation. The clerk of the governing body of the county shall be the clerk of the value adjustment board. The board shall appoint private counsel who has practiced law for over 5 years and who shall receive such compensation as may be established by the board. The private counsel may not represent the property appraiser, the tax collector, any taxing authority, or any property owner in any administrative or judicial review of property taxes. No meeting of the board shall take place unless counsel to the board is present. Two-fifths of the expenses of the board shall be borne by the district school board and three-fifths by the district county commission. The district school board and district county commission may audit the expenses related to the value adjustment board process.

Section 6. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 194.032, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsection (4) is added to that section, to read:

194.032 Hearing purposes; timetable.

206

207

208

209

210

211

212213

214215

216217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

37-00705-15 2015972

(2) (a) The clerk of the governing body of the county shall prepare a schedule of appearances before the board based on petitions timely filed with him or her. The clerk shall notify each petitioner of the scheduled time of his or her appearance at least 25 calendar days before the day of the scheduled appearance. The notice must indicate whether the petition has been scheduled to be heard at a particular time or during a block of time. If the petition has been scheduled to be heard within a block of time, the beginning and ending of that block of time must be indicated on the notice; however, as provided in paragraph (b), a petitioner may not be required to wait for more than a reasonable time, not to exceed 2 hours, after the beginning of the block of time. If the petitioner checked the appropriate box on the petition form to request a copy of the property record card containing relevant information used in computing the current assessment, the property appraiser must provide the copy to the petitioner upon receipt of the petition from the clerk regardless of whether the petitioner initiates evidence exchange, unless the property record card is available online from the property appraiser, in which case the property appraiser must notify the petitioner that the property record card is available online. Upon receipt of the notice, the petitioner, for good cause, may reschedule the hearing a single time by submitting to the clerk a written request to reschedule, at least 5 calendar days before the day of the originally scheduled hearing.

(4) Unless the board of county commissioners extends the assessment roll as set forth in s. 197.323, the board must hear all petitions, complaints, appeals, and disputes and must submit

37-00705-15 2015972

the certified assessment roll as required under s. 193.122 to the property appraiser by June 1 annually.

Section 7. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 194.034, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

194.034 Hearing procedures; rules.-

(1) (a) Petitioners before the board may be represented by a corporate representative of the taxpayer, an attorney, a licensed property appraiser, a licensed realtor, a certified public accountant, or a certified tax specialist retained by the taxpayer an attorney or agent and may present testimony and other evidence. The property appraiser or his or her authorized representatives may be represented by an attorney in defending the property appraiser's assessment or opposing an exemption and may present testimony and other evidence. The property appraiser, each petitioner, and all witnesses shall be required, upon the request of either party, to testify under oath as administered by the chairperson of the board. Hearings shall be conducted in the manner prescribed by rules of the department, which rules shall include the right of cross-examination of any witness.

Section 8. Section 194.038, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

194.038 Review of value adjustment board proceedings.-

- (1) A county that receives 10,000 or more petitions objecting to assessments under s. 194.011 in any one tax year, must notify the department. After notification, the department may conduct a review of the value adjustment board proceedings as follows:
 - (a) The department shall determine whether the values

37-00705-15 2015972

derived by the board comply with s. 193.011 and professionally accepted appraisal practices. A verbatim copy of the proceedings must be submitted to the department in the manner and form prescribed by the department following the final tax roll certification pursuant to s. 193.122.

- (b) The department shall statistically sample petitions heard by the value adjustment board requesting a change in the assessment for each classification of property set forth in s. 194.037(2).
- (c) The department shall adhere to all the standards to which the value adjustment boards are required to adhere.
- (d) The department and the value adjustment board shall cooperate in conducting these reviews, and each shall make available to the other all matters and records bearing on the reviews. The value adjustment board must provide the data requested by the department, including documentary evidence presented during the proceedings and written decisions rendered.
- (2) The department shall complete its review no later than 9 months after the department receives notification from the county pursuant to subsection (1). The department shall publish the results of each review on the department's website and shall include the following with regard to every parcel for which a petition was filed:
 - (a) The name of the owner.
 - (b) The address of the property.
- (c) The identification number of the property as used by the value adjustment board clerk, such as the parcel identification number, strap number, alternate key number, or other number.

37-00705-15 2015972

(d) The name of the special magistrate who heard the petition, if applicable.

- (e) The initial just value derived by the property appraiser.
- (f) Any change made by the value adjustment board that increased or decreased the just value of the parcel.
- (3) Upon publication of the data and findings, the department shall notify the committees of the Senate and of the House of Representatives having oversight responsibility for taxation, the appropriate value adjustment board, the property appraiser, and the county commission chair or corresponding official under a consolidated charter. Copies of the data and findings shall be provided upon request.
- (4) The department shall find the value adjustment board to be in continuous violation of the intent of the law if the department, in its review, determines that less than 90 percent of the petitions randomly sampled comply with the criteria in s. 193.011 and professionally accepted appraisal practices. A property appraiser may file suit in circuit court against the value adjustment board pursuant to s. 194.036(1)(c).
- (5) The department shall adopt rules to administer this section.
- Section 9. Subsection (1) of section 195.002, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 195.002 Supervision by Department of Revenue.-
- (1) The Department of Revenue shall have general supervision of:
- (a) The assessment and valuation of property so that all property will be placed on the tax rolls and shall be valued

	37-00705-15 2015972
320	according to its just valuation, as required by the
321	constitution.
322	(b) Administrative review of value adjustment boards.
323	(c) It shall also have supervision over Tax collection and
324	all other aspects of the administration of such taxes.
325	
326	The supervision of the department shall consist primarily of
327	aiding and assisting county officers and value adjustment boards
328	in the assessing, reviewing, and collection functions, with
329	particular emphasis on the more technical aspects. In this
330	regard, the department shall conduct schools to upgrade
331	assessment skills of both state and local assessment personnel.
332	Section 10. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

APPEARANCE RECORD

3/17/15	or Seriale Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic NALUE ABSUSTMENT B	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name DIANA RAGBEER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Job Title DIRECTOR PUBLIC PSC	104
Address 3150 500 3RN AVE 5	STH FLOOR Phone 3053 105
MIAMI FL 3312	9 Email
City State Speaking: Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing THE CHILDREN'S	TRUST
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

5 M 15 (D	Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator	or Senate Professional St	aff conducting the meeting)	9A972-
Meeting Date		Approx.		Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Value /	Alustnert tree	rd	Amendr	nent Barcode (if applicable)
Name OM	era			
Job Title EXCCUT	nue Director		. / .	
Address 9300	NW 50 DOVAPC	will	Phone 3 51	3-4995
Street May W		33178	Email tomce	Na Carral. Co
City	State	Zip		
Speaking: For/	Against Information	Waive Sp (The Chai	eaking: In Sup	
Representing 0	galer Florida C	asotiun	1 of School	Boards
Appearing at request of	Chair: Yes No	hool dist Lobbyist registe	Yたける ered with Legislatu	re: Yes No
				f. The state of th

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

3 - 17 - 15 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Ser	nate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic VAB	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name VESS MCCARTY	
Job Title ASS'T CNMY ATTORN	NEY_
Address $\frac{III}{Street}$ NW $\frac{1}{2}$ ST $\frac{2}{2}$	810 Phone 305-979-7110
M1NM) 33128	Email JMM 2@ MIAM DOF. 60
City State	Zip
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing MIAMI - DADE	COUNTY
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lot	obyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so	not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

	r BOTH copies of this form to the Senat	or or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Value Ady	istment Baa	NO	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Iraida M	endez-Curtan	R	
Job Title ASSOCIATE	Sweentend	ent	
Address 1450 N 8	= and Aue:	RM931	Phone 3 9995 - 1447
Mianu	PC 3	33139	Email Mendezeckdescha
City	State	Zip	1
Speaking: For Aga	inst Information	Waive Sp	peaking: 🚺 In Support 🔲 Against
		(The Chai	ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing May	ni Dade Goi	He Rubl	ic Schools
Appearing at request of Cha	air: Yes No	Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to er meeting. Those who do speak ma	ncourage public testimony, tim ay be asked to limit their rema	ne may not permit all orks so that as many p	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public r	ecord for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff	Bill Number (if applicable)
TopicVAB	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Tessica Scher	•
Job Title Director, Public Policy	
Address 3250 Sw 3rd Ave	Phone 305-311-6143
	Email scherje un folway migo
	aking: In Support Against will read this information into the record.)
Representing United Way of MIAMI-DA	DE
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered	ed with Legislature: 🔀 Yes 🔲 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.	

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

То:	Senator Wilton Simpson, Chair Committee on Community Affairs				
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request				
Date:	March 4, 2015				
I respectfully the:	request that Senate Bill #972, relating to Value Adjustment Boards, be placed on				
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.				
\boxtimes	next committee agenda.				

anitere Flores

Senator Anitere Flores Florida Senate, District 37

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

2				AP		
1. Stearns		Yeatm	nan	CA	Fav/CS	
ANAL	YST	STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
DATE:	March 17, 2	2015	REVISED:			
SUBJECT:	Membership Associations that Receive Public Funds					
INTRODUCER:	Community Affairs Committee and Senator Stargel					
BILL:	CS/SB 1114					
	Prepared	By: The F	Professional Staff	of the Committee	on Community	Affairs

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 1114 prohibits a not for profit corporation whose membership includes a majority of elected or appointed public officers and which receives 25 percent or more of its annual revenue from public funds from expending any money received from public funds on litigation against the state. It also requires such organizations to file an annual report with the Legislature.

II. Present Situation:

In Florida, not for profit corporations are regulated by the Florida Not For Profit Corporation Act (Act), which outlines the requirements for creating and managing a not for profit corporation as well as the powers and duties of the corporation.¹ The Act authorizes not for profit corporations to be created for any lawful purpose or purposes that are not for pecuniary profit and that are not specifically prohibited to corporations by other state laws.² The Act specifies that such purposes include charitable, benevolent, eleemosynary, educational, historical, civic, patriotic, political, religious, social, fraternal, literary, cultural, athletic, scientific, agricultural, horticultural, animal husbandry, and professional, commercial, industrial, or trade association purposes.³

Florida law authorizes not for profit corporations to operate with the same degree of power provided to for profit corporations in the state, including the power to appoint officers, adopt

.

¹ Chapter 90-179, L.O.F.

² Section 617.0301, F.S.

 $^{^3}$ Id.

bylaws, enter into contracts, sue and be sued, and own and convey property.⁴ Officers and directors of certain not for profit corporations also are protected by the same immunity from civil liability provided to directors of for profit corporations.⁵ Unlike for profit corporations, certain not for profit corporations may apply for exemptions from federal, state, and local taxes.⁶

Not for profit corporations are required to submit an annual report to the Department of State that contains the following information:

- The name of the corporation and the state or country under the law of which it is incorporated;
- The date of incorporation or, if a foreign corporation, the date on which it was admitted to conduct its affairs in the state;
- The address of the principal office and the mailing address of the corporation;
- The corporation's federal employer identification number, if any, or, if none, whether one has been applied for;
- The names and business street addresses of its directors and principal officers;
- The street address of its registered office in the state and the name of its registered agent at that office; and
- Such additional information as may be necessary or appropriate to enable the Department of State to carry out the provisions of the Act.⁷

A not for profit corporation may receive public funds from the state or a local government in certain situations. Public funds are defined as "moneys under the jurisdiction or control of the state, a county, or a municipality, including any district, authority, commission, board, or agency thereof and the judicial branch, and includes all manner of pension and retirement funds and all other funds held, as trust funds or otherwise, for any public purpose." The state or a local government may provide public funds to a not for profit corporation through a grant or through payment of membership dues authorized for governmental employees and entities who are members of certain types of not for profit corporations.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 creates s. 617.221, F.S., to prohibit certain membership associations from expending any money received from public funds on litigation against the state. The bill also requires the membership associations to file an annual report with the Legislature covering the following topics:

• The name and address of the membership association and any parent association, or a state, national or international association with which it is affiliated.

⁴ See ss. 617.0302 and 607.0302, F.S.

⁵ See ss. 617.0834 and 607.0831, F.S.

⁶ See 26 U.S.C. s. 501; Section 212.08(7)(p), F.S.

⁷ Section 617.1622, F.S.

⁸ Section 215.85(3)(b), F.S.

⁹ See, e.g., Section 2-103(a), Pinellas County Code (authorizing the board of county commissioners to expend monies from the county general fund for membership fees and dues for county employees and officials for professional associations); Section 120-65(a)(2), South Florida Water Management District Administrative Policies (authorizing the district to pay for an employee's membership in a professional organization not required by his or her job).

• The names, titles, telephone numbers, and addresses of the principal officers and all representatives of the association.

- The fee required to become a member of the membership association, if any, and the annual dues that each member must pay.
- The latest annual financial statements of the membership association as described in s. 617,1605, F.S.
- A copy of the current constitution and bylaws of the association.
- The assets and liabilities of the association at the beginning and end of the preceding fiscal year.
- The salary, allowances, and other direct or indirect disbursements, including reimbursed expenses, to each officer and to each employee who, during the preceding fiscal year, received more than \$10,000 total from the association and any other state, national, or international membership association affiliate.
- The annual dollar amount of the following benefit packages paid to each of the principal officers of the association:
 - o Health, major medical, vision, dental, and life insurance.
 - o Retirement plans.
 - o Automobile allowances.
- The amount of annual dues per member sent from the association to each state, national, or international affiliate.
- The total amount of direct or indirect disbursements for lobbying activity at the federal, state, or local level incurred by the membership association, listed by the full name and address of each person who received a disbursement.
- The total amount of direct or indirect disbursements for litigation expenses incurred by the membership association, listed by case citation.

The bill defines a membership association for purposes of this section as "a corporation not for profit, including a department or division of such corporation, whose membership includes a majority of elected or appointed public officers, as defined in s. 112.313(1), and which receives 25 percent or more of its annual revenue from public funds, as defined in s. 215.85(3). The term does not include a labor organization as defined in s. 447.02."

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2015.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues:

Article I, section 2 of the Florida Constitution states that all persons are equal before the law and have inalienable rights. As a result, the state may not enact a law that treats similarly situated persons differently unless that disparate treatment is rationally related to a legitimate state interest. ¹⁰ The bill applies to membership associations organized as a corporation not for profit but does not apply to membership associations organized as a corporation for profit. As such, it may violate the constitutional right of equal protection.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill may have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact on membership associations because they would be required to file an annual report with the Legislature.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill may have an indeterminate positive fiscal impact on state government as a result of reducing litigation against the state by prohibiting membership associations from using monies received from public funds to pay for such litigation. The bill may have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact on the state as a result of the Legislature having to receive and process the required annual reports from membership associations.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill creates section 617.221 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Community Affairs on March 17, 2015:

Defines a membership association for purposes of this section as "a corporation not for profit, including a department or division of such corporation, whose membership

¹⁰ Section 468, Florida Jurisprudence 2d.

includes a majority of elected or appointed public officers, as defined in s. 112.313(1), and which receives 25 percent or more of its annual revenue from public funds, as defined in s. 215.85(3). The term does not include a labor organization as defined in s. 447.02."

Requires the association to file a report that includes the total amount of direct or indirect disbursements made by the association for lobbying activity and the total amount of direct or indirect disbursements for litigation expenses, in addition to all of the requirements in the original bill.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	-	House
Comm: RCS	-	
03/17/2015	-	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Community Affairs (Bradley) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Section 617.221, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

617.221 Membership associations that receive public funds; reporting requirements; restriction on use of funds.-

(1) As used in this section, the term "membership association" means a corporation not for profit, including a

1 2 3

4

5 6

7 8

9

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38



- 11 department or division of such corporation, whose membership 12 includes a majority of elected or appointed public officers, as defined in s. 112.313(1), and which receives 25 percent or more 13 14 of its annual revenue from public funds, as defined in s. 15 215.85(3). The term does not include a labor organization as 16 defined in s. 447.02.
 - (2) A membership association shall file a report with the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by January 1 of each year. The report must include:
 - (a) The name and address of the membership association and any parent membership association or any state, national, or international membership association affiliate.
 - (b) The names, titles, telephone numbers, and addresses of the principal officers and all representatives of the membership association.
 - (c) The fee required to become a member of the membership association, if any, and the annual dues that each member must pay.
 - (d) The latest annual financial statements of the membership association as described in s. 617.1605.
 - (e) A copy of the current constitution and bylaws of the membership association.
 - (f) The assets and liabilities of the membership association at the beginning and end of the preceding fiscal year.
 - (g) The salary, allowances, and other direct or indirect disbursements, including reimbursed expenses, to each officer and to each employee who, during the preceding fiscal year,



40	received more than \$10,000 total from the membership association
41	and any other state, national, or international membership
42	association affiliate.
43	(h) The annual dollar amount of the following benefit
44	packages paid to each of the principal officers of the
45	membership association:
46	1. Health, major medical, vision, dental, and life
47	insurance.
48	2. Retirement plans.
49	3. Automobile allowances.
50	(i) The amount of annual dues for each member sent from the
51	membership association to each state, national, or international
52	affiliate.
53	(j) The total amount of direct or indirect disbursements
54	for lobbying activity at the federal, state, or local level
55	incurred by the membership association, listed by the full name
56	and address of each person who received a disbursement.
57	(k) The total amount of direct or indirect disbursements
58	for litigation expenses incurred by the membership association,
59	listed by case citation.
60	(3) A membership association may not expend moneys received
61	from public funds on litigation against the state.
62	Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.
63	
64	======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========
65	And the title is amended as follows:
66	Delete everything before the enacting clause
67	and insert:

A bill to be entitled

70

71 72

73

74

75

76

77

78



An act relating to membership associations that receive public funds; creating s. 617.221, F.S.; defining the term "membership association"; requiring a membership association that receives a specified percentage of its budget from public funds to file an annual report with the Legislature; requiring that such a report provide specified information; prohibiting a membership association from expending public funds on litigation against the state; providing an effective date.

By Senator Stargel

15-00733-15 20151114

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to membership associations that receive public funds; creating s. 617.221, F.S.; requiring a membership association that receives more than a specified percentage of its budget from public funds to file a report with the Legislature; requiring that such report provide specified information; prohibiting a membership association whose membership dues are paid for by public funds from expending such funds on litigation against the state; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 617.221, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

617.221 Restrictions on membership associations that receive public funds.—

- (1) A membership association that receives more than 25
 percent of its budget from public funds shall file a report with
 the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of
 Representatives by January 1 of each year. The report must
 provide:
- (a) The name and address of the membership association and any parent association, or a state, national, or international association with which it is affiliated.
- (b) The names, titles, telephone numbers, and addresses of the principal officers and all representatives of the association.

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

15-00733-15 20151114

30 (c) The amount of the initiation fee, if any, and the amount of the annual dues that each member must pay.

- (d) The current annual financial statement of the association.
- (e) A copy of the current constitution and bylaws of the association.
- (f) The assets and liabilities at the beginning and end of the fiscal year.
- (g) The salary, allowances, and other direct or indirect disbursements, including reimbursed expenses, to each officer and to each employee who, during such fiscal year, received more than \$10,000 in the aggregate from the association or any parent or affiliated association.
- (h) The annual dollar amount of the following benefit packages paid to each of the principal officers of the association, including:
- 1. Health, major medical, vision, dental, or life insurance.
 - 2. Retirement plans.
 - 3. Automobile allowances.
- (i) Separately, the amount of annual dues per member sent from the association to each state, national, or international affiliate.
- (2) Any membership association whose membership dues are paid for by public funds may not expend such funds on litigation against the state.
 - Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

APPEARANCE RECORD

3 17 15 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate P	rofessional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Member ASSOCIATIONS	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name David Cruz	
Job Title Assistant General Count	e (
Address P.O. Box 1757 Street	Phone 701-3676
	Email DCruz Q FL Cities. (or
Speaking: Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Florida League of	Cities
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyi	st registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that	

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

3/11/15 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or	Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Membership Associations	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Spylar Zander	
Job Title Deputy State Director	
Address 300 V College Ave	Phone 850-728-4522
Tallahassee FL City State	3-2301 Email @
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Americans for Prosperity	f
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	obbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time n meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks	nay not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:

Higher Education, *Chair*Appropriations Subcommittee on Education
Fiscal Policy
Judiciary
Military and Veterans Affairs, Space, and Domestic
Security

Security Regulated Industries

JOINT COMMITTEE:
Joint Committee on Public Counsel Oversight

SENATOR KELLI STARGEL 15th District

February 27, 2015

The Honorable Wilton Simpson Senate Community Affairs Committee, Chair 322 Senate Office Building 404 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Chair Simpson:

I am respectfully requesting that SB 1114, related to *Membership Associations that Receive Public Funds*, be placed on the committee agenda at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your consideration and please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Kelli Stargel

State Senator, District 15

Cc: Tom Yeatman/ Staff Director Ann Whittaker/ AA

REPLY TO:

☐ 2033 East Edgewood Drive, Suite 1, Lakeland, Florida 33803

□ 324 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5015

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared E	By: The Professional Staff	of the Committee	on Community	Affairs	
BILL:	CS/SB 962					
INTRODUCER:	Community Affairs Committee and Senator Legg					
SUBJECT:	Public Records/Surveillance Recordings					
DATE:	March 17, 2015 REVISED:					
ANAL	YST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION	
. White		Yeatman	CA	Fav/CS		
· ·			GO			
3.			RC			

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 962 creates a public records exemption for community development district (CDD) surveillance recordings. Specifically, the bill provides that any surveillance recording created to monitor activities occurring inside or outside of a public building or on public property that is held by a CDD is confidential and exempt from public records requirements. The bill allows a CDD to disclose surveillance recordings to a law enforcement agency in the furtherance of its official duties and responsibilities, or pursuant to a court order, or to specified residents of the CDD that can establish proof of residency in certain enumerated ways.

The bill provides that the public records exemption is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October 2, 2020, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature. It also provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

The bill has an effective date of July 1, 2015.

The bill creates a new public records exemption. Thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage, in accordance with Article I, section 24(c) of the Florida Constitution.

II. Present Situation:

Public Records Law

Article I, section 24(a) of the Florida Constitution sets forth the state's public policy regarding access to government records. This section guarantees every person a right to inspect or copy any public records of the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government.

Public policy regarding access to government records is addressed further in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07(1), F.S., guarantees every person a right to inspect and copy any state, county, or municipal records.

Public Records Exemptions

The Legislature may provide by general law for the exemption of records from the requirements of Article I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution. The general law must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption (public necessity statement) and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish its purpose.¹

The Open Government Sunset Review Act² provides that a public records exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose. In addition, it may be no broader than is necessary to meet one of the following purposes:³

- Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption.
- Protects sensitive personal information that, if released, would be defamatory or would jeopardize an individual's safety; however, only the identity of an individual may be exempted under this provision.
- Protects trade or business secrets.

The Open Government Sunset Review Act requires the automatic repeal of a newly created exemption on October 2, of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.⁴

Exempt versus Confidential and Exempt

There is a difference between records the Legislature has determined to be exempt and those which have been determined to be confidential and exempt.⁵ If the Legislature has determined the information to be confidential then the information is not subject to inspection by the public.⁶ In addition, if the information is deemed to be confidential it may be released only to those

¹ Art. I, s. 24(c), Fla. Const.

² See s. 119.15, F.S.

³ Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

⁴ Section 119.15(3), F.S.

⁵ WFTV, Inc. v. Sch. Bd. of Seminole County, 874 So. 2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004), review den., 892 So. 2d 1015 (Fla. 2004).

⁶ *Id*.

persons and entities designated in statute.⁷ However, the agency is not prohibited from disclosing the records in all circumstances where the records are exempt only.⁸

Community Development Districts

Community development districts (CDDs) are special districts that are local units of special purpose government, created pursuant to ch. 190, F.S., the Uniform Community Development District Act of 1980, and limited to the authority provided in that act. CDDs are governed by a five-member board of supervisors, 9 and have governmental authority to manage and finance infrastructure for planned developments. 10 They are, in effect, a means by which private entities secure development capital through bond sales repaid by assessments on public improvements and community facilities.

Some CDDs utilize video cameras to provide security and surveillance within their community. The security cameras are set up at fixed locations in public areas such as community roadway entrances, pool areas, and clubhouses. The video is used to provide a CDD board or law enforcement with leads in the event of a crime on CDD property, or violations regarding the misuse of CDD property or rules. 12

The Florida Department of State records retention schedule for state and local agencies requires surveillance recordings to be retained for at least 30 days. ¹³ After 30 days, the recordings may be deleted or written over, or stored for longer periods. This includes CDD surveillance recordings.

A CDD is considered an "agency" pursuant to Florida's public records requirements, and unless a specific public records exemption exists that would protect the recordings from public access, a CDD is required to allow access to the records to anyone for inspection or copying. ¹⁵

Currently, a public records exemption does not exist that would specifically protect CDD surveillance recordings from public records requirements. As a result, unless a CDD chooses to

⁷ Id

⁸ See Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So. 2d 683, 687 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991), review den., 589 So. 2d 289 (Fla. 1991).

⁹ See s. 190.006, F.S.

¹⁰ See s. 190.002(1)(a), F.S.

¹¹ Pursuant to s. 190.012(2)(d), F.S., CDDs have "the power to plan, establish, acquire, construct or reconstruct, enlarge or extend, equip, operate, and maintain . . . systems and facilities for: . . . [s]ecurity, including, but not limited to, guard-houses, fences and gates, electronic intrusion-detection systems, and patrol cars...."

¹² For more information on CDD surveillance cameras, see Jim Flateau, "Let's increase residents' privacy," *The Ballantrae Communicator*, Vol. 6, No. 4 (April-June 2014), p. 4, at ballantraecdd.org/other_docs/communicator/apr-jun-2014.pdf (last visited Mar. 13, 2015).

¹³ According to the State of Florida General Records Schedule GS1-SL for State and Local Government Agencies, effective February 19, 2015, at page 37 Item #302, surveillance recordings are only required to be maintained for 30 days. This document can be viewed at http://dos.myflorida.com/library-archives/records-management/general-records-schedules/ (Last viewed Mar. 13, 2015).

¹⁴ Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines agency as any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency.

¹⁵ Section 119.07(1), F.S.

discard or record over the recordings after 30 days, they must be disclosed to anyone who makes a request.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 creates s. 190.0121, F.S., relating to the creation of a public records exemption for surveillance recordings held by a community development district. Specifically, the bill provides that any surveillance recording created to monitor activities occurring inside or outside of a public building or on public property that is held by a CDD is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

The bill provides that a CDD may disclose such recordings to a law enforcement agency in the furtherance of its official duties and responsibilities, or pursuant to a court order. Additionally, the CDD may disclose such recordings to a resident of the CDD if the resident is:

- A member of the United States Armed Forces stationed in the CDD;
- A family member residing with a member of the United States Armed Forces stationed in the CDD; or
- A person who has declared the CDD as his or her only residence as evidenced by:
 - A valid state driver license or identification card that has both an address within the CDD and a residence verified by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles;
 - o A current voter information card registered with an address within the CDD;
 - o A sworn statement manifesting and evidencing domicile in the CDD;
 - o Proof of a current homestead exemption with an address in the CDD; or
 - o For a child under 18 years of age, a student identification card from a school zoned to include the CDD, or their parent's proof of residency within the CDD.

The bill provides that the public records exemption is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act and will stand repealed on October 2, 2020, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

Section 2 provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

Section 3 provides an effective date of July 1, 2015.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Article I, s. 24(c) of the Florida Constitution, requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a newly created or expanded public records or public meeting exemption. The bill creates a new public records exemption; thus, it requires a two-thirds vote for final passage.

Article I, s. 24(c) of the Florida Constitution, requires a public necessity statement for a newly created or expanded public records or public meeting exemption. The bill creates a new public records exemption; thus, it includes a public necessity statement.

Article I, s. 24(c) of the Florida Constitution requires a newly created or expanded public records or public meeting exemption to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The bill creates the public records exemption to protect from public disclosure surveillance recordings captured by a CDD.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

CDD staff responsible for complying with public records requests could require training related to the new public records exemption. Any associated cost, however, would be absorbed, as they are part of the day-to-day responsibilities of CDDs.

The Department of Economic Opportunity has reviewed the bill and determined it has no impact on their operations.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill creates section 190.0121 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. **Additional Information:**

Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.) A.

CS by Community Affairs on March 17, 2015:

Allows a CDD to disclose recordings to CDD residents who have proof of residency.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: RCS		
03/17/2015		

The Committee on Community Affairs (Brandes) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

2 3

5

6

7

8 9

10

1

Delete lines 25 - 26

4 and insert:

agency's official duties and responsibilities;

- (b) Pursuant to a court order; or
- (c) To a resident of the community development district.
- For the purpose of this paragraph, the term "resident" of a community development district means:
 - 1. A member of the United States Armed Forces who is



11 stationed in the community development district and his or her 12 family members residing with such member; or 2. A person who has declared the community development 13 14 district as his or her only residence as evidenced by a valid 15 state driver license or identification card that has both an 16 address within the community development district and a 17 residence verified by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor 18 Vehicles, or, in the absence thereof, one of the following: 19 a. A current voter information card registered to an 20 individual with an address within the community development 21 district; 22 b. A sworn statement manifesting and evidencing domicile in 23 the community development district; 24 c. Proof of a current homestead exemption with an address 2.5 in the community development district; or 26 d. For a child younger than 18 years of age, a student 27 identification card from a school zoned to include the child of the community development district or, if accompanied by his or 28 29 her parent or guardian at the time, the parent's proof of 30 residency within the community development district. ========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== 31 And the title is amended as follows: 32 33 Delete line 6 and insert: 34 35 providing exceptions; defining the term "resident" of 36 a community development district; providing for future 37 legislative

By Senator Legg

date.

17-00154A-15 2015962

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to public records; creating s. 190.0121, F.S.; providing an exemption from public records requirements for certain surveillance recordings held by a community development district; providing exceptions; providing for future legislative review and repeal of the exemption; providing a statement of public necessity; providing an effective

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

111213

Section 1. Section 190.0121, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

1516

14

190.0121 Public records exemption; surveillance recordings.—

1718

19

20

(1) A surveillance recording created by monitoring activities occurring inside or outside a public building or on public property which is held by a community development district is confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

2122

(2) A community development district may disclose such a recording:

2324

(a) To a law enforcement agency in the furtherance of the agency's official duties and responsibilities; or

2526

(b) Pursuant to a court order.

2728

29

(3) This section is subject to the Open Government Sunset

Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed
on October 2, 2020, unless reviewed and saved from repeal

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

51

17-00154A-15 2015962

through reenactment by the Legislature.

Section 2. The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that any surveillance recording created by monitoring activities occurring inside or outside a public building or on public property which is held by a community development district be made confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. Community development districts provide surveillance of public areas in order to monitor activities occurring within the districts and to ensure the security of the district residents. The exemption for surveillance recordings allows community development districts to effectively and efficiently provide security and surveillance while maintaining the privacy of the residents and the guests of the residents, including those who use community facilities. Without the public records exemption, coverage and other technical aspects of the surveillance system would be revealed and would make it easier for individuals who wish to evade detection by the surveillance systems to do so. As such, the Legislature finds that it is a public necessity to prohibit the disclosure of such surveillance recordings held by a community development district.

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES: Education Pre-K - 12, Chair Ethics and Elections, Vice Chair Appropriations Subcommittee on Education Fiscal Policy Government Oversight and Accountability

Higher Education

Legg.John.web@FLSenate.gov

SENATOR JOHN LEGG 17th District

March 11, 2015

The Honorable Wilton Simpson Senate Committee on Community Affairs, Chair 315 Knott Building 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

Dear Chairman Simpson

Senate Bill 962, related to Public Records/Surveillance Recordings, is on the Committee on Community Affairs agenda March 12, 2015. I will be at the Committee on Governmental Oversight and Accountability meeting and I will be unable to attend.

Please recognize my Legislative Assistant, Rich Reidy, to present SB 962 on my behalf. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

John Legg

State Senator, District 17

cc:

Tom Yeatman, Staff Director

Ann Whittaker, Administrative Assistant

JL/jdb

REPLY TO:

☐ 262 Crystal Grove Boulevard, Lutz, Florida 33548 (813) 909-9919

□ 316 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5017

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES: Education Pre-K - 12, Chair Ethics and Elections, Vice Chair Appropriations Subcommittee on Education Government Oversight and Accountability Higher Education

SENATOR JOHN LEGG 17th District

Legg.John.web@FLSenate.gov

March 5, 2015

The Honorable Wilton Simpson Committee on Community Affairs Chair 315 Knott Building 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: SB 0962 - Public Records/Surveillance Recordings

Dear Chair Simpson:

SB 0962 - Public Records/Surveillance Recordings has been referred to your committee. I respectfully request that it be placed on the Committee on Community Affairs Agenda, at your convenience. Your leadership and consideration are appreciated.

Sincerely,

John Legg

State Senator, District 17

cc: Tom Yeatman, Staff Director

JL/jb

^{☐ 262} Crystal Grove Boulevard, Lutz, Florida 33548 (813) 909-9919 ☐ 316 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5017

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared By:	The Professional Staff	of the Committee	on Community A	πairs	
BILL:	CS/SB 286					
INTRODUCER:	Community Affairs Committee and Senator Diaz de la Portilla					
SUBJECT:	Classified Adv	Classified Advertisement Websites				
DATE:	March 17, 201	5 REVISED:				
ANAL	YST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION	
. Stearns	•	Yeatman	CA	Fav/CS		
				<u> </u>		
<i>.</i> .			JU			
2. 3.			AGG			

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 286 encourages the Department of Management Services to designate a certain number of safe-haven facilities in each county to provide a safe place for the execution of transactions related to classified advertisement websites, such as Craigslist. It also encourages local governments to establish local safe-haven facilities. The bill provides immunity from liability related to a sales transaction for governmental entities providing safe-haven facilities and their employees and agents.

II. Present Situation:

Baby Safe-Haven Laws

In 1999, the Texas Legislature enacted the first "safe-haven law" in the United States. The law allowed a parent of a newborn to anonymously surrender the child to the state at designated locations, including police stations, hospitals and fire stations, without fear of criminal prosecution for abandonment or neglect. Since then, every state has enacted a baby safe-haven law.

¹ Baby Safe Haven – Abandoned Infant Protection Laws, National Safehaven Alliance, available at http://www.nationalsafehavenalliance.org/law.php (last visited March 11, 2015).

² Safe-haven law, Wikipedia, available at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Safe-haven law (last visited March 11, 2015).

³ Baby Safe-Haven.

Florida's law provides immunity from criminal or civil liability for an organization and its employees that accept a surrendered infant and transport it to a hospital.⁴ However, the law does not limit liability for negligence related to such actions.

Online Transaction Safe-Haven Laws

Perhaps inspired by baby safe-haven laws and motivated by a continuing trend of crimes stemming from transactions related to online classified advertisement websites, such as Craigslist, a number of police departments around the nation have begun opening their lobbies and parking lots to citizens to complete such transactions. Conducting transactions in police lobbies or parking lots strongly deters crimes for obvious reasons, including the proximity of police officers and the likelihood of surveillance by security cameras.

In May 2014, after a series of robberies related to Craigslist transactions, the East Chicago Police Department began "Operation Safe Sale," and offered the use of its headquarters parking lot and lobby to conduct transactions.⁵ The parties may request an officer oversee a transaction in the lobby if it is conducted between 9 a.m. and 7 p.m. on weekdays or between 11 a.m. and 3 p.m. on Saturdays.⁶ If no officer is desired, the parking lot and police lobby are available for use for transactions any time.⁷

In January 2015, the Virginia Beach, Virginia, Police Department launched the "Find a Safe Place" initiative, in which it offered the police lobby for use to conduct transactions arranged through classified advertisement websites. Police lobbies are available for use from 9 a.m. to 9 p.m., seven days a week. However, the lobby may not be used for transactions involving "large, cumbersome household items, appliances and landscape care equipment," or "the sale of any contraband, stolen property or other illegal items." ¹⁰

In February 2015, the Toledo, Ohio, Police Department announced it would be making designated parking spots in front of one of its stations available for anyone to consummate an online sales transaction.¹¹

Florida police departments have also begun creating safe havens at their facilities. In July 2014, the Boca Raton Police Department, in response to "at least three cases in June where people were ripped off by buyers when trying to sell something off Craigslist," offered the department's

⁴ Section 383.50, F.S.

⁵ Juan Perez Jr., *East Chicago Police Offer Up Their Lobby*, *Parking Lot for Craigslist Transactions*, Chicago Tribune, May 01, 2014, *available at* http://articles.chicagotribune.com/2014-05-01/news/chi-east-chicago-police-offer-up-their-lobby-parking-lot-for-craigslist-transactions-20140501 1 craigslist-transactions-becker-lobby (last visited March 11, 2015).

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ Becca Mitchell and Todd Corillo, *Virginia Beach Police Offering Precinct Lobbies as a Safe Place for Craigslist Transactions*, WTKR News Channel 3, January 27, 2015, *available at http://wtkr.com/2015/01/27/virginia-beach-police-offering-precinct-lobby-as-a-safe-place-for-craigslist-transactions/* (last visited March 11, 2015).

⁹ *Id*.

¹⁰ *Id*.

¹¹ Angi Gonzalez, *Toledo Police to Offer Safe Haven to Craigslist Users*, WNWO NBC 24, February 24, 2015, *available at* http://www.nbc24.com/news/story.aspx?id=1168859#.VQCK-nF91A (last visited March 11, 2015).

lobby and parking lot for transactions. ¹² Police in Delray Beach and Boynton Beach are reportedly also pondering a similar program.

Finally, the Miami-Dade Board of County Commissioners adopted a resolution moved by Commissioner Sally Heyman at its February 3, 2015, meeting directing the mayor to examine the feasibility and advisability of providing locations such as Miami-Dade police stations or other locations that would deter criminal activity to serve as safe havens for Craigslist transactions.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 creates s. 501.181, F.S., to encourage the Department of Management Services (DMS) to establish state safe-haven facilities and local governments to establish local safe-haven facilities for the conduct of sales transactions related to classified advertisement websites similar to Craigslist.

The bill provides definitions for the following terms: "building," "classified advertisement website," "department," "local safe-haven facility," "sales transaction" or "transaction," and "state safe-haven facility."

The DMS is encouraged to designate at least:

- One state safe-haven facility in each county with a population of less than 250,000.
- Two state safe-haven facilities in each county with a population between 250,000 and 800,000.
- Four state safe-haven facilities in each county with a population greater than 800,000.

The bill provides that governmental entities and their employees and agents are not responsible for supervising, intervening in, or facilitating a sales transaction at a safe-haven facility. The bill states that state and local governments and their agents may not be held liable in tort or for any claim related to injury or damage suffered as a result of any incident arising from a sales transaction. Employees or agents of local governments may only be held liable for damages if they acted outside the scope of their employment, or in bad faith or with malicious purpose or in a manner exhibiting wanton and willful disregard for human rights, safety, or property.

Section 2 provides an effective date of July 1, 2015.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

None.

_

¹² Kate Jacobsen, *Boca Raton Police Ask Craigslist Sellers to Use Station Lobby*, The Sun-Sentinel, July 5, 2014, *available at* http://articles.sun-sentinel.com/2014-07-05/news/fl-boca-raton-craigslist-lobby-20140701 1 boca-raton-police-station-lobby-craigslist-sellers (last visited March 11, 2015).

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill creates section 501.181 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Community Affairs on March 17, 2015:

- Provides definitions for the following terms: "building," "classified advertisement website," "department," "local safe-haven facility," "sales transaction" or "transaction," and "state safe-haven facility."
- Encourages the Department of Management Services to designate a certain number of state safe-haven facilities in each county depending on the population of the county.
- Encourages local governments to designate local safe-haven facilities.
- Provides that government actors are not responsible for facilitating sales transactions
 and provides governments are not liable for the actions of the parties involved in the
 transaction.
- Provides that governments and their employees or agents are immune from liability for injuries arising out of sales transactions. Government employees may be liable if they acted in bad faith, outside the scope of employment, or with malicious purpose

or in a manner exhibiting wanton and willful disregard for human rights, safety, or property.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.



	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
Comm: RCS		
03/17/2015	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Community Affairs (Diaz de la Portilla) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Section 501.181, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

- 501.181 Safe-haven facilities.-
- (1) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Building" means a structure with a roof and walls and any area surrounding the structure that is on the same property

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39



as the structure or on property that is owned, maintained, or occupied by the same entity that owns, maintains, or occupies the structure; that is open to the public; and which includes, but is not limited to, courtyards, parking lots, and lawns.

- (b) "Classified advertisement website" means a web-based advertisement site that lists items for sale or items wanted for purchase or acquisition.
- (c) "Department" means the Department of Management Services.
- (d) "Local safe-haven facility" means a public local governmental building approved by the local governmental body to be used by the public to execute sales transactions, or as otherwise determined and approved by the local governmental body.
- (e) "Sales transaction" or "transaction" means an in-person sale or purchase of an item that was offered for sale or listed as wanted for purchase on a classified advertisement website and the parties to the sale or purchase arrange to meet at a state safe-haven facility or local safe-haven facility for the purpose of executing the sale or purchase, or the sale or purchase was executed at a state safe-haven facility or local safe-haven facility. The exchange of money for goods is not a necessary element of such a transaction.
- (f) "State safe-haven facility" means a public state governmental building that has a designated area where individuals may execute sales transactions.
 - (2) The department is encouraged to designate at least:
- (a) One state safe-haven facility in each county having a population of less than 250,000;

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61 62

6.3 64

65

66

67



- (b) Two state safe-haven facilities in each county having a population of at least 250,000, but less than 800,000; and
- (c) Four state safe-haven facilities in each county having a population of 800,000 or more.
- (3) A state safe-haven facility should be easily accessible so an individual is not discouraged from using the location. A public state building, including, but not limited to, a state college or university, Florida Highway Patrol station, or other public state office building, may serve as a state safe-haven facility.
- (4) The department should designate at least one indoor and one outdoor area at each state safe-haven facility that may be used by individuals to execute sales transactions during the hours that the state safe-haven facility is open to the public.
- (5) Other than as provided for in this section, the department is not responsible for regulating sales transactions at state safe-haven facilities.
- (6) Local governmental bodies are encouraged, but not required, to approve the use of public local governmental buildings, such as sheriff's offices, county courthouses, and other public local governmental office buildings, to serve as local safe-haven facilities. This section does not preempt a local governmental body from regulating or otherwise governing the use and functions of local safe-haven facilities. Local governmental bodies may adopt different definitions of the terms in subsection (1) as applicable to local safe-haven facilities.
- (7) The state or a local government and its officers, employees, or agents are not responsible for supervising, intervening in, or facilitating a sales transaction or otherwise



responsible for providing security to supervise or intervene in the transaction and are not otherwise liable for the actions of the parties or nonparties involved in the transaction.

- (8) The state and local governments and their respective agencies and subdivisions may not be held liable in tort or named as a party defendant in any action for any injury or damage suffered as a result of any incident arising from a sales transaction. An officer, employee, or agent of the state or local government or any of their agencies or subdivisions may not be held personally liable in tort or named as a party defendant in any action for any injury or damage suffered as a result of any incident arising from a sales transaction unless such officer, employee, or agent acted outside the scope of her or his employment or in bad faith or with malicious purpose or in a manner exhibiting wanton and willful disregard for human rights, safety, or property.
- (9) Subject to and as provided in s. 768.28, this section does not reduce or limit the liability or rights of the state or any local government, or any of their agencies or subdivisions, or of the officers, employees, or agents of the state or local government, in tort based on an incident that did not arise from, or was caused by, a sales transaction.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

92 93

94

97

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84 85

86

87 88

89

90 91

> ======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========= And the title is amended as follows:

95 Delete everything before the enacting clause 96 and insert:

A bill to be entitled



An act relating to classified advertisement websites; creating s. 501.181, F.S.; defining terms; encouraging the Department of Management Services to designate a specified number of state safe-haven facilities in each county based upon population; authorizing public state buildings to serve as state safe-haven facilities; encouraging local governments to approve the use of public local governmental buildings as local safe-haven facilities; limiting the liability of the state and any local government, and of the officers, employees, or agents of the state or any local government, that provides a state safe-haven facility or local safe-haven facility; limiting actions for injury or damages against the state or any local government, or of the officers, employees, or agents of the state or any local government, arising from a sales transaction; providing an effective date.

115 116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110 111

112

113 114

> WHEREAS, there have been a number of cases throughout this state in which people selling cellular phones, computers, or other goods through classified advertisement websites have been targeted by criminals who intend to rob them when they meet to exchange goods for cash, and

> WHEREAS, even when the victims of these crimes select public and populated locations that they feel are safe, such as shopping centers or parks, to execute the transactions, they still fall prey to these criminals, and

> WHEREAS, identifying locations to serve as safe havens for transactions related to classified advertisement websites will



likely deter these crimes and provide for greater safety 127 throughout the state, NOW, THEREFORE, 128

By Senator Diaz de la Portilla

2015286 40-00452-15

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to classified advertisement websites; creating s. 501.180, F.S.; defining the term "safehaven facility"; requiring a specified number of safehaven facilities to be designated in each county based upon population size; authorizing state buildings, or alternatively, local governmental buildings, to serve as safe-haven facilities; limiting the liability of an entity that provides a safe-haven facility; limiting actions against the state or local government related to transactions taking place at a safe-haven facility; providing an effective date.

WHEREAS, there have been a number of cases throughout this state in which people selling cellphones, computers, or other valuable goods through classified advertisement websites have been targeted by criminals who intend to rob them when they meet to exchange goods for cash; and

WHEREAS, even when the victims of these crimes select public and populated locations for the transactions that they feel are safe, such as shopping centers or parks, they still fall prey to these criminals; and

WHEREAS, identifying locations to serve as safe havens for transactions related to classified advertisement websites will deter these crimes and provide greater safety throughout the state, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

27

28

29

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Page 1 of 3

40-00452-15 2015286

Section 1. Section 501.180, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

501.180 Safe-haven facilities.-

- (1) As used in this section, the term "safe-haven facility" means a secure location open to the public for the purpose of conducting a sales transaction involving an item or a service that was offered for sale on a classified advertisement website.
- (2) To promote the safety of an individual who is using a classified advertisement website that requires the seller and buyer to meet in person to conduct the transaction, there shall be at least:
- 1. One safe-haven facility in each county with a population of less than 250,000 residents;
- 2. Two safe-haven facilities in each county with at least 250,000, but less than 800,000 residents; and
- 3. Four safe-haven facilities in each county with 800,000 or more residents.
- (3) A safe-haven facility must be easily accessible so that an individual is not discouraged from using the location. A state building such as a college or university, Florida Highway Patrol station, or other state office building may serve as a safe-haven facility. A local governmental building, such as a sheriff's office or a county courthouse, may serve as a safe-haven facility if the local governmental body approves of the use of such building.
- (4) An entity or its officers, employees, or agents that provides a safe-haven facility is not responsible for overseeing the sales transaction or is not otherwise liable for the actions of the parties involved in the transaction.

60

61

62

63

64

65

40-00452-15 2015286___

(5) An action may not be initiated on a claim against the state or local government or any of their agencies or subdivisions based on an incident that occurs during a sales transaction at a safe-haven facility involving an individual that is not an officer, employee, or agent of the state or local government or of their agencies or subdivisions.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

APPEARANCE RECORD

3 - 17 - 15 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Ser	nator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting)		
Meeting Date		Bill Number (if applicable)		
Topic CUSSIFIRO AO WEBS	SITES	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)		
Name <u>JESS McCART</u>				
Job Title ASS' + Covery	NITY			
Address III NW IST ST.	2810	Phone 305-979-7110		
MIAMI 3312	8	Email JMMZ @MIAMIDAOF.		
City State	Zip	1 Go		
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)				
Representing MINMI-DAOS	E COU	VTT		
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: No				
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.				
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)		

S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

3·17·15 Meeting Date	(Deliver BOTH copies of this	form to the Senator or Se	nate Professional Sta	aff conducting the meeting)	286 Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic CLASSIFIE		HAUENS		Amend	ment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title ADVXAT					
Address 100 N. A	NOUROE ST			Phone 294-	1838
Street TALLAHA		PL	32301	Email_LYOUM.	ANJ EM. LOUNTES.
City		State	Zip		WM
Speaking: For	Against Info	ormation	Waive Sp (The Chai	eaking: In Sur will read this inform	pport Against ation into the record.)
Representing FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES					
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No					
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.					

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Judiciary, Chair
Appropriations Subcommittee on Transportation,
Tourism, and Economic Development
Community Affairs
Finance and Tax
Regulated Industries

SENATOR MIGUEL DIAZ de la PORTILLA

40th District

March 16, 2015

The Honorable Wilton Simpson Chair Community Affairs

Via Email

Dear Chair Simpson:

I respectfully request that I be excused from the Community Affairs Committee on Tuesday, March 17 at 9:00 a.m. I have a business obligation in my law firm in Miami and will not arrive in Tallahassee until Tuesday night.

My Senate Bill SB 286 is on the Community Affairs agenda. I request that my assistant, Pat Gosney, be permitted to present that bill on my behalf, along with my amendment, Bar Code 823558.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Miguel Diaz de la Portilla Senator, District 40

Cc: Mr. Tom Yeatman, Staff Director; Ms. Ann Whittaker, Committee Administrative Assistant

REPLY TO:

☐ 2100 Coral Way, Suite 505, Miami, Florida 33145 (305) 643-7200

□ 406 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5040

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Judiciary, Chair
Appropriations Subcommittee on Transportation,
Tourism, and Economic Development
Community Affairs
Finance and Tax
Regulated Industries
Rules

SENATOR MIGUEL DIAZ de la PORTILLA

40th District

January 16, 2015

The Honorable Wilton Simpson Chair, Community Affairs

Via Email

Dear Chair Simpson:

My SB 286, Classified Advertisement Websites, has been referred to the Committee on Community Affairs. I would appreciate it if you would agenda the bill at the next available opportunity.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Miguel Diaz de la Portilla Senator, District 40

Cc: Mr. Tom Yeatman, Staff Director; Ms. Ann Whittaker, Committee Administrative Assistant

REPLY TO:

☐ 2100 Coral Way, Suite 505, Miami, Florida 33145 (305) 643-7200

□ 406 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5040

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

DILL.	CC/CD 1216			•	
BILL:	CS/SB 1216				
INTRODUCER:	Community Affairs Committee and Senator Simpson				
SUBJECT:	Connected-city	y Corridors			
DATE:	March 17, 201	5 REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Stearns	•	Yeatman	CA	Fav/CS	
2.			ATD		
3.			FP		

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 1216 names Pasco County as a pilot community for a period of 10 years related to connected-city corridor plan amendments. The bill provides requirements for connected-city corridors as well as authorized features. Plan amendments within a connected-city corridor may be based on a longer than normal planning period and need not demonstrate need on any basis. Projects within certain connected-city corridors are exempted from concurrency requirements and development of regional impact (DRI) review requirements. The bill provides that the exclusive method of establishing a community development district of less than 2,000 acres within a connected-city corridor is by adoption of an ordinance by the county commission. The bill directs the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA) to submit a report on the pilot project to the Governor and Legislature in 10 years.

II. Present Situation:

Comprehensive Plans and the Comprehensive Plan Amendment Process

In 1985, the Florida Legislature passed the landmark Growth Management Act, which required every city and county to create and implement a comprehensive plan to guide future development. A locality's comprehensive plan lays out the locations for future public facilities, including roads, water and sewer facilities, neighborhoods, parks, schools, and commercial and industrial developments. Development that does not conform to the comprehensive plan may not

be approved by a local government unless the local government amends its comprehensive plan first.

State law requires a proposed comprehensive plan amendment to receive three public hearings, the first held by the local planning board. The local commission (city or county) must then hold an initial public hearing regarding the proposed amendment and subsequently transmit it to several statutorily identified reviewing agencies. ²

The state agencies review the proposed amendment for impacts related to their statutory purview. The regional planning council with jurisdiction reviews the amendment specifically for "extrajurisdictional impacts that would be inconsistent with the comprehensive plan of any affected local government within the region" as well as adverse effects on regional resources or facilities. Upon receipt of the reports from the various agencies, the local government holds a second public hearing at which the governing body votes to approve the amendment or not. If the amendment receives a favorable vote it is transmitted to the Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) for final review. The DEO then has either 31 days or 45 days (depending on the review process to which the amendment is subject) to determine whether the proposed comprehensive plan amendment is in compliance with all relevant agency rules and laws.

Special Districts

Special districts are local units of special purpose government, within limited geographical areas, which are used to manage, own, operate, maintain, and finance basic capital infrastructure, facilities, and services. Special districts have existed in Florida since 1845 when the Legislature authorized five commissioners to drain the "Alachua Savannah" also known as Paynes Prairie. The project was financed by special assessments made on landowners based on the number of acres owned and the benefit derived. Since that time, special districts have been used by local governments to provide a broad range of government services. All special districts must comply with the requirements of the Uniform Special District Accountability Act of 1989 which was enacted by the Legislature to reform and consolidate laws relating to special districts. Chapter 189, F.S., applies to the formation, governance, administration, supervision, merger and dissolution of special districts unless otherwise expressly provided in law. The Act includes an extensive statement of legislative intent emphasizing improved accountability to state and local governments, better communication and coordination in monitoring required reporting of special districts, and improved uniformity in special district elections and non-ad valorem assessments. The statement also specifies the elements required in the charter of each new district.

Special districts serve a limited purpose, function as an administrative unit separate and apart from the county or city in which they may be located, and are often referred to as a local unit of

¹ Section 163.3174(4)(a), F.S.

² Section 163.3184, F.S.

³ Section 163.3184(3)(b)3.a., F.S.

⁴ Section 163.3184, F.S.

⁵ *Id*.

⁶ For example, the creation of community development districts and their charters is exclusively controlled by ch. 190, F.S. Section 190.004, F.S.

⁷ Section 189.402(2), F.S.

special purpose. Special districts may be created by general law (an act of the Legislature), by special act (a law enacted by the Legislature at the request of a local government and affecting only that local government), by local ordinance, or by rule of the Governor and Cabinet.

The Special District Information Program within the DEO serves as the clearinghouse for special district information, and maintains a list of special districts categorized by function which can include community development districts (575), community redevelopment districts (213), downtown development districts (14), drainage and water control districts (86), economic development districts (11), fire control and rescue districts (65), mosquito control districts (18), and soil and water conservation districts (62). There are a total of 1,634 special districts in Florida.

Community Development Districts

Community Development Districts (CDDs) are a type of special district controlled by ch. 190, F.S. The purpose of a CDD is to provide an "alternative method to manage and finance basic services for community development." Counties and cities may create community development districts of less than 1,000 acres. CDDs larger than 1,000 acres can only be created by the Governor and the Cabinet, sitting as the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission. Chapter 190 provides that CDDs must comply with many of the same requirements that apply to other special districts.

Development of Regional Impact Background

A development of regional impact (DRI) is defined in s. 380.06, F.S., as "any development which, because of its character, magnitude, or location, would have a substantial effect upon the health, safety, or welfare of citizens of more than one county." Section 380.06, F.S., provides for both state and regional review of local land use decisions involving DRIs. Regional planning councils coordinate the review process with local, regional, state and federal agencies and recommend conditions of approval or denial to local governments. DRIs are also reviewed by the Department of Economic Opportunity for compliance with state law and to identify the regional and state impacts of large-scale developments. Local DRI development orders may be appealed by the owner, the developer, or the state land planning agency to the Governor and Cabinet, sitting as the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission. Section 380.06(24), F.S., exempts numerous types of projects from review as a DRI.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 163.3246, F.S., to describe and create a 10-year pilot project for connected-city corridor plan amendments. The bill names Pasco County as a pilot community that may

⁸ Information relating to special districts and their functions can be found in the SDIP online publication "Florida Special District Handbook Online" which can be found at http://www.floridaspecialdistricts.org/handbook/ (last visited March 12, 2015).

⁹ Section 190.002(3), F.S.

¹⁰ Section 190.005(2), F.S.

¹¹ Section 190.005(1), F.S.

¹² Section 380.07(2), F.S.

adopt connected-city corridor plan amendments. Such amendments may be based on a longer than normal planning period and need not demonstrate need on any basis.

Pasco County is required to submit an annual or biennial monitoring report to the Department of Economic Opportunity. If Pasco County adopts a long-term transportation network plan and financial feasibility plan then projects within the connected-city corridor are deemed to have satisfied all concurrency and transportation mitigation requirements. Projects located within the connected-city corridor are exempt from DRI review requirements.

The Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability is directed to submit a report to the Governor and Legislature by December 1, 2024, regarding the pilot project.

Section 2 amends s. 190.005, F.S., to provide the exclusive method of establishing a community development district of 2,000 acres or less within a connected-city corridor is by adoption of an ordinance by the county commission. The bill also exempts community development districts within both a connected-city corridor and the jurisdiction of more than one city from a requirement that the petition establishing the district be filed with the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission.

Section 3 provides the bill shall become effective upon becoming law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A.	Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:
	None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 163.3246 and 190.005.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Community Affairs on March 17, 2015:

- Creates a 10-year pilot project and names Pasco County as a pilot community.
- Describes connected-city corridor plan amendments and provides certain requirements and optional features.
- Provides a concurrency exemption for certain connected-city corridors.
- Provides a DRI exemption.
- Directs OPPAGA to submit a report to the Governor and Legislature.
- Provides the exclusive method of establishing certain community development districts.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

LEGISLATIVE ACTION House Senate Comm: RCS 03/17/2015

The Committee on Community Affairs (Simpson) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

3 Delete everything after the enacting clause 4 and insert:

Section 1. Subsection (14) is added to section 163.3246, Florida Statutes, to read:

163.3246 Local government comprehensive planning certification program.-

(14) It is the intent of the Legislature to encourage the creation of connected-city corridors that facilitate the growth

1 2

5

7

8

9

10



11 of high-technology industry and innovation through partnerships that support research, marketing, workforce, and 12 13 entrepreneurship. It is the intent of the Legislature to provide 14 for a locally controlled, comprehensive plan amendment process 15 for such projects that are designed to achieve a cleaner, 16 healthier environment; limit urban sprawl by promoting diverse 17 but interconnected communities; provide a range of 18 intergenerational housing types; protect wildlife and natural areas; assure the efficient use of land and other resources; 19 20 create quality communities of a design that promotes alternative 21 transportation networks and travel by multiple transportation 22 modes; and enhance the prospects for the creation of jobs. The 23 Legislature finds and declares that this state's connected-city 24 corridors require a reduced level of state and regional 25 oversight because of their high degree of urbanization and the 26 planning capabilities and resources of the local government. 27 (a) Notwithstanding subsections (2), (4), (5), (6), and 28 (7), Pasco County is named a pilot community and shall be 29 considered certified for a period of 10 years for connected-city 30 corridor plan amendments. The state land planning agency shall 31 provide a written notice of certification to Pasco County by 32 July 15, 2015, which shall be considered a final agency action 33 subject to challenge under s. 120.569. The notice of 34 certification must include: 35 1. The boundary of the connected-city corridor 36 certification area; and 37 2. A requirement that Pasco County submit an annual or 38 biennial monitoring report to the state land planning agency 39 according to the schedule provided in the written notice. The

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

61

62

6.3 64

65

66

67

68



monitoring report shall, at a minimum, include the number of amendments to the comprehensive plan adopted by Pasco County, the number of plan amendments challenged by an affected person, and the disposition of such challenges.

- (b) A plan amendment adopted under this subsection may be based upon a planning period longer than the generally applicable planning period of the Pasco County local comprehensive plan, shall specify the projected population within the planning area during the chosen planning period, may include a phasing or staging schedule that allocates a portion of Pasco County's future growth to the planning area through the planning period, and may designate a priority zone or subarea within the connected-city corridor for initial implementation of the plan. A plan amendment adopted under this subsection is not required to demonstrate need based upon projected population growth or on any other basis.
- (c) If Pasco County adopts a long-term transportation network plan and financial feasibility plan, and subject to compliance with the requirements of such a plan, the projects within the connected-city corridor are deemed to have satisfied all concurrency and other state agency or local government transportation mitigation requirements except for site-specific access management requirements.
- (d) If Pasco County does not request that the state land planning agency review the developments of regional impact that are proposed within the certified area, an application for approval of a development order within the certified area is exempt from review under s. 380.06.
 - (e) The Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government

70

71 72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84 85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92 93

94

95

96

97



Accountability (OPPAGA) shall submit to the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by December 1, 2024, a report and recommendations for implementing a statewide program that addresses the legislative findings in this subsection. In consultation with the state land planning agency, OPPAGA shall develop the report and recommendations with input from other state and regional agencies, local governments, and interest groups. OPPAGA shall also solicit citizen input in the potentially affected areas and consult with the affected local government and stakeholder groups. Additionally, OPPAGA shall review local and state actions and correspondence relating to the pilot program to identify issues of process and substance in recommending changes to the pilot program. At a minimum, the report and recommendations must include:

- 1. Identification of local governments other than the local government participating in the pilot program which should be certified. The report may also recommend that a local government is no longer appropriate for certification; and
 - 2. Changes to the certification pilot program.
- Section 2. Subsection (2) of section 190.005, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 190.005 Establishment of district.
- (2) The exclusive and uniform method for the establishment of a community development district of less than 1,000 acres in size or a community development district of up to 2,000 acres in size located within a connected-city corridor established pursuant to s. 163.3246(14) shall be pursuant to an ordinance adopted by the county commission of the county having

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113 114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126



jurisdiction over the majority of land in the area in which the district is to be located granting a petition for the establishment of a community development district as follows:

- (a) A petition for the establishment of a community development district shall be filed by the petitioner with the county commission. The petition shall contain the same information as required in paragraph (1)(a).
- (b) A public hearing on the petition shall be conducted by the county commission in accordance with the requirements and procedures of paragraph (1)(d).
- (c) The county commission shall consider the record of the public hearing and the factors set forth in paragraph (1) (e) in making its determination to grant or deny a petition for the establishment of a community development district.
- (d) The county commission shall not adopt any ordinance which would expand, modify, or delete any provision of the uniform community development district charter as set forth in ss. 190.006-190.041. An ordinance establishing a community development district shall only include the matters provided for in paragraph (1)(f) unless the commission consents to any of the optional powers under s. 190.012(2) at the request of the petitioner.
- (e) If all of the land in the area for the proposed district is within the territorial jurisdiction of a municipal corporation, then the petition requesting establishment of a community development district under this act shall be filed by the petitioner with that particular municipal corporation. In such event, the duties of the county, hereinabove described, in action upon the petition shall be the duties of the municipal



corporation. If any of the land area of a proposed district is within the land area of a municipality, the county commission may not create the district without municipal approval. If all of the land in the area for the proposed district, even if less than 1,000 acres, is within the territorial jurisdiction of two or more municipalities, except for proposed districts within a connected-city corridor established pursuant to s. 163.3246(14), the petition shall be filed with the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission and proceed in accordance with subsection (1).

(f) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subsection, within 90 days after a petition for the establishment of a community development district has been filed pursuant to this subsection, the governing body of the county or municipal corporation may transfer the petition to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission, which shall make the determination to grant or deny the petition as provided in subsection (1). A county or municipal corporation shall have no right or power to grant or deny a petition that has been transferred to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission.

Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

148 149

152

153

155

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138 139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

150 ======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========

151 And the title is amended as follows:

> Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

154 A bill to be entitled

An act relating to connected-city corridors; amending

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164 165

166

167

168

169



s. 163.3246; providing legislative intent; designating Pasco County as a pilot community; requiring the state land planning agency to provide a written certification to Pasco County within a certain timeframe; providing requirements for certain plan amendments; requiring the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability to submit a report and recommendations to the Governor and the Legislature by a certain date; providing requirements for the report; amending s. 190.005, F.S.; requiring community development districts up to a certain size located within a connected-city corridor to be established pursuant to an ordinance; providing an effective date.

By Senator Simpson

18-01374-15 20151216

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to connected-city corridors; amending s. 163.3184, F.S.; requiring plan amendments that qualify as connected-city corridor amendments to be reviewed by the local government; creating s. 163.3255, F.S.; providing legislative intent; authorizing local governments to adopt connected-city corridor plan amendments; providing requirements for such plan amendments; providing incentives and benefits for such corridors; authorizing affected persons to file a petition with the Division of Administrative Hearings for review of such plan amendments; amending s. 190.005, F.S.; requiring community development districts located within a connected-city corridor plan amendment to be established pursuant to a county ordinance; amending s. 380.06, F.S.; providing a statutory exemption from the development of regional impact review process for any development within the geographic boundaries of a connected-city corridor plan; providing an effective date.

212223

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

1920

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

2425

Section 1. Paragraph (d) is added to subsection (2) of section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, to read:

27

26

163.3184 Process for adoption of comprehensive plan or plan amendment.—

28 29

(2) COMPREHENSIVE PLANS AND PLAN AMENDMENTS.-

18-01374-15 20151216

(d) Plan amendments that qualify as connected-city corridor amendments shall follow the review process in s. 163.3255 and are subject to review and approval by only the local government having jurisdiction.

Section 2. Section 163.3255, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

163.3255 Connected-city corridors.

- (1) It is the intent of the Legislature to encourage the creation of connected-city corridors that facilitate the growth of high-technology industry and innovation through partnerships that support research, marketing, workforce, and entrepreneurship. It is the intent of the Legislature to provide for a locally controlled, expedited comprehensive plan amendment process for such projects that are designed to achieve a cleaner, healthier environment; limit urban sprawl by promoting diverse, yet interconnected, communities; provide a range of housing types; protect wildlife and natural areas; ensure the efficient use of land and other resources; create quality communities of a design that promotes alternative transportation networks and travel by multiple transportation modes; and enhance the prospects for the creation of jobs.
- (2) A local government may adopt a connected-city corridor plan amendment under the following conditions:
- (a) The proposed amendment involves a sufficient land area in a location that will be conducive to attracting technology employers while also providing proximate intergenerational housing alternatives and recreation opportunities;
- (b) The proposed amendment contemplates a variety of mixeduse development forms designed to accommodate job creation and

18-01374-15 20151216

technological innovation;

- (c) The proposed amendment may create a new land use category applicable only to the connected-city corridor planning area, which may be in the form of a special area plan or overlay district, and may include text or map amendments to other directly related or affected provisions in the adopted comprehensive plan, but otherwise does not alter or modify the other preexisting goals, policies, and objectives of the local government comprehensive plan; and
- (d) The property that is the subject of the proposed amendment is not located within an area of critical state concern designated in s. 380.0552 or by the Administration Commission pursuant to s. 380.05(1).
- (3) A connected-city corridor plan amendment adopted pursuant to this section must include maps, illustrations, and text supported by data and analysis to meet all of the following requirements:
- (a) A boundary map that, at a minimum, generally depicts residential and mixed-use areas, which may include public and private institutional uses, office uses, industrial and other employment uses, and retail uses, and identifies conservation areas; provides generally for an interconnected mix of uses within the planning area to promote a sense of place and to promote internal capture or minimization of transportation and other external impacts; and provides the general framework for the residential and mixed-use development concepts with graphic illustrations based on a hierarchy of places and functional place-making components.
 - (b) A general identification of the water supplies needed

18-01374-15 20151216

and available sources of water, including water resource development and water supply development projects, and water conservation measures needed to meet the projected demand of the future land uses in the plan amendment.

- (c) Provision for a long-term master transportation network plan for the connected-city corridor which contains a general identification of the alternative transportation facilities to serve the future land uses in the plan amendment, including guidelines to be used to establish each modal component intended to optimize mobility, and for a financial feasibility plan to address mitigation of such future impacts.
- (c) A general identification of any other regionally significant public facilities necessary to support the future land uses, which may include central utilities provided onsite within the planning area, and policies setting forth the procedures to be used to mitigate the impacts of future land uses on public facilities.
- (d) A general identification of any regionally significant natural resources within the planning area based on the best available data and policies that set forth the procedures for protection or conservation of specific resources consistent with the overall conservation and development strategy for the planning area.
- (e) General principles and guidelines addressing the mixeduse form and the interrelationships of future land uses; the protection and, as appropriate, restoration and management of lands identified for permanent preservation through recordation of conservation easements consistent with s. 704.06, which may be phased or staged in coordination with detailed site

18-01374-15 20151216

development plans for specific area plans.

- (4) A plan amendment adopted pursuant to this section may be based upon a planning period longer than the generally applicable planning period of the local comprehensive plan, shall specify the projected population within the planning area during the chosen planning period, may include a phasing or staging schedule that allocates a portion of the local government's future growth to the planning area through the planning period, and may designate a priority zone or subarea within the connected-city corridor for initial implementation of the plan. A plan amendment adopted pursuant to this section is not required to demonstrate need based upon projected population growth or on any other basis.
- (5) If the local government adopts the long-term master transportation network plan and financial feasibility plan pursuant to subparagraph (3)(c), the projects within the connected-city corridor shall, subject to compliance with the requirements of such financial feasibility plan, be deemed to have satisfied all concurrency and other state agency or local government transportation mitigation requirements, except only for site-specific access-management requirements.
- (6) Connected-city corridor plan amendments require public hearings before the local governing board, which shall be adoption hearings as described in s. 163.3184(11). A transmittal hearing is not required for state agency review.
- (7) (a) Any affected person may file a petition with the Division of Administrative Hearings pursuant to ss. 120.569 and 120.57 to request a hearing to challenge the compliance of the plan amendment within 30 days after the local government's

18-01374-15 20151216

adoption of the amendment and shall serve a copy of the petition on the local government. An administrative law judge must hold a hearing in the affected jurisdiction at least 30 days but no more than 60 days after the filing of a petition and the assignment of an administrative law judge. The parties to a hearing held pursuant to this subsection are the petitioner, the local government, and any intervenor. In the proceeding, the plan amendment shall be determined to be in compliance if the local government's determination of compliance is fairly debatable. The state land planning agency may not intervene in any proceeding initiated pursuant to this subsection.

- (b) 1. If the administrative law judge recommends that the connected-city corridor plan amendment is not in compliance, the administrative law judge shall submit the recommended order to the Administration Commission for final agency action. If the administrative law judge recommends that the connected-city corridor plan amendment is in compliance, the administrative law judge shall submit the recommended order to the state land planning agency.
- 2. If the state land planning agency determines that the plan amendment is not in compliance, the agency shall, within 30 days after its receipt of the recommended order, submit the recommended order to the Administration Commission for final agency action. If the state land planning agency determines that the plan amendment is in compliance, the agency shall enter a final order within 30 days after its receipt of the recommended order.
- (c) In all challenges under this subsection, when a determination of compliance as defined in s. 163.3184(1)(b) is

177

178 179

180

181

182

183

184 185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199 200

201

202

203

18-01374-15 20151216

175 made, consideration shall be given to the plan amendment as a whole and whether the plan amendment furthers the intent of this part.

Section 3. Subsection (2) of section 190.005, Florida Statutes, is amended, to read:

190.005 Establishment of district.

- (2) The exclusive and uniform method for the establishment of a community development district of less than 1,000 acres in size or a community development district located within a connected-city corridor plan established pursuant to s. 163.3255, regardless of size, shall be pursuant to an ordinance adopted by the county commission of the county having jurisdiction over the majority of land in the area in which the district is to be located granting a petition for the establishment of a community development district as follows:
- (a) A petition for the establishment of a community development district shall be filed by the petitioner with the county commission. The petition shall contain the same information as required in paragraph (1)(a).
- (b) A public hearing on the petition shall be conducted by the county commission in accordance with the requirements and procedures of paragraph (1)(d).
- (c) The county commission shall consider the record of the public hearing and the factors set forth in paragraph (1)(e) in making its determination to grant or deny a petition for the establishment of a community development district.
- (d) The county commission shall not adopt any ordinance which would expand, modify, or delete any provision of the uniform community development district charter as set forth in

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

18-01374-15 20151216

ss. 190.006-190.041. An ordinance establishing a community development district shall only include the matters provided for in paragraph (1)(f) unless the commission consents to any of the optional powers under s. 190.012(2) at the request of the petitioner.

- (e) If all of the land in the area for the proposed district is within the territorial jurisdiction of a municipal corporation, then the petition requesting establishment of a community development district under this act shall be filed by the petitioner with that particular municipal corporation. In such event, the duties of the county, hereinabove described, in action upon the petition shall be the duties of the municipal corporation. If any of the land area of a proposed district is within the land area of a municipality, the county commission may not create the district without municipal approval. If all of the land in the area for the proposed district, even if less than 1,000 acres, is within the territorial jurisdiction of two or more municipalities, except for proposed districts within a connected-city corridor plan, the petition shall be filed with the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission and proceed in accordance with subsection (1).
- (f) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subsection, within 90 days after a petition for the establishment of a community development district has been filed pursuant to this subsection, the governing body of the county or municipal corporation may transfer the petition to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission, which shall make the determination to grant or deny the petition as provided in subsection (1). A county or municipal corporation shall have no

18-01374-15 20151216___

right or power to grant or deny a petition that has been transferred to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission.

Section 4. Paragraph (y) is added to subsection (24) of section 380.06, Florida Statutes, to read:

380.06 Developments of regional impact.

- (24) STATUTORY EXEMPTIONS.-
- (y) Any development within the geographic boundaries of a connected-city corridor plan which is prepared and adopted pursuant to s. 163.3255 is exempt from this section.

If a use is exempt from review as a development of regional impact under paragraphs (a)-(u), but will be part of a larger project that is subject to review as a development of regional impact, the impact of the exempt use must be included in the review of the larger project, unless such exempt use involves a development of regional impact that includes a landowner, tenant, or user that has entered into a funding agreement with the Department of Economic Opportunity under the Innovation Incentive Program and the agreement contemplates a state award of at least \$50 million.

Section 5. This act shall take effect July 1, 2015.

APPEARANCE RECORD

3 11/15 (Deliver BOTH copie	es of this form to the Sena	tor or Senate Professional S	taff conducting the meeting)	SR 1216
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic <u>connected city</u> comid	lors / bill ac	s amended		9278 nent Barcode (if applicable)
Name CHARLES PARTISON				·
Job Title POLICY DIRECTOR				
Address 300 N. Mource			Phone <u>222-6</u>	277 × 103
(ALLAGAGEE		3230(Email cpattison	@ 1000 to F. 00g
City	State	Zip		
Speaking: For Against	Information	Waive Sp (The Chai	eaking: In Sup r will read this informat	
Representing	-lands of Fi	LORIDA		
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislatu	e: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage meeting. Those who do speak may be aske	public testimony, tin ed to limit their rema	ne may not permit all parks so that as many p	persons wishing to spe persons as possible ca	eak to be heard at this In be heard.
This form is part of the public record for	r this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

3-17-17	o the Senator or Senate Professiona	I Staff conducting the meeting) 5 B- 1216	
Meeting Date		Bill Number (if applicable)	
Topic Corridons			
Name FRANK Morey			
Job Title Crazew			
Address 15723 SE 20 th No	6	_ Phone <u>352, 256, 2372</u>	
City State	32440 e Zin	_ Email NVESqueen molicon	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Representing Self			
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes N	lo Lobbyist regis	stered with Legislature: Yes No	
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testin meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit th	nony, time may not permit a eir remarks so that as man	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this y persons as possible can be heard.	
This form is part of the public record for this meeting	ng.	S-001 (10/14/14)	

S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

3/17/05 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	taff conducting the meeting) $SB/2/6$
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic City CORRIDORS	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	
Job Title RETIRED CITIZEN	
Address 114 S.E. 1631 St	Phone 904-864-7063
HAZUTHOLNE FL. 326 YO City State Zip	Email mdjaxf18 yahoo,co
	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing CITIZENS VOUNTARY MOYF)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this

writie it is a Seriate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

CourtSmart Tag Report

Room: SB 301 Case: Type:

Caption: Senate Community Affairs Committee Judge:

Started: 3/17/2015 9:04:06 AM

Ends: 3/17/2015 10:15:14 AM Length: 01:11:09

9:04:10 AM Call to order

9:05:27 AM Tab 5 SB 782 Senator Montford 9:05:44 AM Amendment Barcode 441076

9:07:18 AM Amendment adopted Senator Bradley

9:08:21 AM Dale Rabon Guthrie, Jackson County Constitutionals

9:08:26 AM Roll call on SB 782 9:08:33 AM Bill reported favorably

9:08:51 AM Tab 2 SB 168 Senator Negron

9:09:42 AM Lori Killinger, Florida Manufactured Housing Association

9:12:08 AM Roll call SB 168 **9:12:17 AM** Bill reported favorably

9:12:43 AM Tab 10 SB 286 Senator Diaz de la Portilla 9:12:57 AM Pat Gosney, LA Senator Diaz de la Portilla

9:13:13 AM Amendment Barcode 823558

9:14:10 AM Amendment adopted 9:14:24 AM Senator Brandes 9:15:09 AM Ms. Gosney

9:15:31 AM Laura Youmans, Florida Association of Counties

9:15:45 AM Jess McCarty, Miami-Dade County

9:15:52 AM Roll call SB 286 9:15:57 AM Bill reported favorably

9:16:15 AM Tab 1 SB 420 Senator Grimsley
9:16:25 AM Anne Bell, LA Senator Grimsley
9:16:42 AM Amendment Barcode 560196
9:16:55 AM Amendment Barcode 195728

9:17:22 AM Amendment adopted
9:18:03 AM Roll call SB 420
9:18:13 AM Bill reported favorably
9:18:32 AM Tab 9 SB 962 Senator Legg
9:18:52 AM Rich Reidy, LA Senator Legg

9:19:38 AM Senator Bradley

9:20:39 AM Amendment Barcode 945426 9:20:45 AM Late Filed Amendment introduced

9:21:16 AM Amendment adopted
9:21:41 AM Roll call SB 962
9:21:52 AM Bill reported favorably
9:22:07 AM Tab 6 SB 466 Senator Flores
9:23:03 AM Senator Dean

9:24:48 AM Megan Sirjane-Samples, Florida League of Cities

9:25:56 AM Roll call on SB 466
9:26:09 AM Bill reported favorably
9:26:24 AM Tab 7 SB 972 Senator Flores
9:29:10 AM Senator Flores close

9:29:10 AM Senator Flores close
9:29:20 AM Roll call on SB 972
9:29:33 AM Bill reported favorably
9:29:50 AM Tab 3 SB 824 Senator Evers
9:30:03 AM Dave Murzin, LA Senator Evers
9:30:40 AM Amendment Barcode 759076
9:30:46 AM Late Filed Amendment introduced

9:31:02 AM Mr. Murzin 9:31:19 AM Senator Bradley

```
Mike Huey, FL Assn. of the Am. Institute of Architects + ABC
9:31:58 AM
9:33:02 AM
               Amendment adopted
9:33:25 AM
               Richard Watson, Associated Builders and Contractors
               Roll call on SB 824
9:34:08 AM
               Bill reported favorably
9:34:17 AM
               Tab 4 SB 826 Senator Evers
9:34:30 AM
               Dave Murzin, LA Senator Evers
9:34:40 AM
9:34:45 AM
               Senator Bradley
               Richard Watson, Associated Builders and Contractors
9:35:29 AM
9:38:57 AM
               Senator Bradlev
               Mr. Murzin close
9:39:33 AM
9:40:45 AM
               Senator Bradlev
9:40:52 AM
               Roll call on SB 826
9:41:02 AM
               Bill reported favorably
9:41:29 AM
               Tab 11 SB 1216 Senator Simpson
9:41:58 AM
               Amendment Barcode 159278
9:43:00 AM
               Charles Pattison, 1000 Friends of Florida
               Senator Dean
9:43:34 AM
9:44:13 AM
               Frank Morey representing self
               James Dick, Citizens Voluntary Group
9:45:26 AM
9:48:13 AM
               Senator Dean
               Senator Bradlev
9:54:43 AM
               Amendment adopted
9:56:23 AM
9:56:45 AM
               Senator Dean
               Senator Simpson close
10:00:39 AM
               Roll call on SB 1216
10:00:58 AM
10:01:12 AM
               Bill reported favorably
               Tab 8 SB 1114 Senator Stargel
10:01:29 AM
10:01:43 AM
               Amendment Barcode 336962
10:02:31 AM
               Amendment adopted
10:02:38 AM
               Senator Thompson
               Senator Abruzzo
10:03:20 AM
10:05:13 AM
               Senator Stargel
10:05:46 AM
               Senator Abruzzo
               Senator Thompson
10:07:14 AM
10:09:20 AM
               David Cruz, Florida League of Cities
               Senator Bradley
10:10:00 AM
10:12:01 AM
               Senator Abruzzo
               Senator Stargel close
10:13:30 AM
               Roll call on SB 1114
10:13:51 AM
10:14:04 AM
               Bill reported favorably
10:14:21 AM
               Senator Brandes
10:14:42 AM
               Senator Thompson
10:14:54 AM
               Senator Abruzzo
```

10:15:08 AM

Adjourned



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Judiciary, Chair
Appropriations Subcommittee on Transportation,
Tourism, and Economic Development
Community Affairs
Finance and Tax
Regulated Industries

SENATOR MIGUEL DIAZ de la PORTILLA

40th District

March 16, 2015

The Honorable Wilton Simpson Chair Community Affairs

Via Email

Dear Chair Simpson:

I respectfully request that I be excused from the Community Affairs Committee on Tuesday, March 17 at 9:00 a.m. I have a business obligation in my law firm in Miami and will not arrive in Tallahassee until Tuesday night.

My Senate Bill SB 286 is on the Community Affairs agenda. I request that my assistant, Pat Gosney, be permitted to present that bill on my behalf, along with my amendment, Bar Code 823558.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Miguel Diaz de la Portilla Senator, District 40

Cc: Mr. Tom Yeatman, Staff Director; Ms. Ann Whittaker, Committee Administrative Assistant

REPLY TO:

☐ 2100 Coral Way, Suite 505, Miami, Florida 33145 (305) 643-7200

□ 406 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5040

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov