Tab 1	SB 668	by Sta	rgel; (Compa	re to C	S/H 0455) Family Law		
306652	D	S	UNFAV		Soto	Delete everything after	02/16 08:11 PM
399458	Α	S	FAV	JU,	Diaz de la Portilla	Delete L.242 - 257:	02/16 08:11 PM
Tab 2	SB 103	4 by Si	mmons; (Sim	ilar to	CS/H 1431) Health Care F	Providers	
582748	Α	S	RCS	JU,	Bean	Delete L.85:	02/16 08:12 PM
Tab 3	SB 129	8 by B ı	r andes ; (Simil	lar to C	S/H 1181) Bad Faith Asse	ertions of Patent Infringement	
618428	D	S	RCS	JU,	Brandes	Delete everything after	02/16 08:12 PM
632174	AA	S	RCS	JU,	Brandes	Delete L.173.	02/16 08:12 PM
Tab 4			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		535) Relief of the Estate	of Danielle Maudsley by the De	partment of
511738	Hignway D	/ Sarety S	and Motor Ve		Simpson	Delete everything after	02/16 08·12 DM
311730	D	3	Res	30,	3111p3011	befere everything areer	02/10 00:12 111
Tab 5	SB 572	by Alt ı	man; (Similar	to CS/I	H 0325) Involuntary Exam	ninations Under the Baker Act	
				<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Tab 6	CS/SB	670 by	CF, Gaetz; (Similar	to H 0715) Child Protection	on Teams	
Tab 7	CS/SB	970 by	BI, Richter;	(Simila	r to CS/CS/H 0783) Uncla	imed Property	
Tab 8	SB 32 b	y Flor e	es; (Identical t	ю Н 35	27) Relief of O'Brien and	Stephenson by Department of ⁻	Transportation
Tab 9	CS/SB	1104 b	y BI, Flores ;	(Comp	are to CS/H 0897) Service	e of Process on Financial Institu	ıtions
			-		<u> </u>		
Tab 10	SB 129	4 by G i	rimsley; (Ider	ntical to	H 1367) Offenses Involv	ring Minors and Vulnerable Pers	ons
	<u>'</u>						
Tab 11	SB 143	2 by St	targel; (Comp	are to	CS/H 1231) Service of Pro	ocess	
800506	Α	S	RCS	JU,	Stargel	Delete L.47 - 252.	02/16 07:22 PM
Tab 12	CS/SB	596 by	BI, Hukill; (Compa	re to CS/H 1097) Assignm	ent or Transfer of Property Ins	urance Rights
646050	D	S L		JU,	Simmons	Delete everything after	02/16 01:52 PM
857012		S L			Ring	Delete L.18 - 23:	02/16 12:33 PM
210256	Α	S L		JU,	Soto	Delete L.70 - 74:	02/16 02:33 PM
526662	Α	S L			Soto	Delete L.33:	02/16 02:33 PM
166970	Α	S L		JU,	Soto	Delete L.47 - 61:	02/16 02:34 PM
655334	Α	S L			Soto	Delete L.67:	02/16 02:34 PM
	Α	S L		-	Soto	Delete L.73:	02/16 02:34 PM
444578	Α	S L			Ring	Delete L.85 - 88:	02/16 03:14 PM
					<u> </u>		

The Florida Senate

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

JUDICIARY Senator Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Senator Ring, Vice Chair

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, February 16, 2016

TIME: 4:00—6:00 p.m.

PLACE: Toni Jennings Committee Room, 110 Senate Office Building

MEMBERS: Senator Diaz de la Portilla, Chair; Senator Ring, Vice Chair; Senators Bean, Benacquisto, Brandes,

Joyner, Simmons, Simpson, Soto, and Stargel

	•	•	
TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
1	SB 668 Stargel (Compare CS/H 455, H 553, CS/S 250)	Family Law; Requiring a court to consider certain alimony factors and make specific written findings of fact under certain circumstances; requiring a court to make specified findings before ruling on a request for alimony; revising the factors that are used to determine the best interests of a child; prohibiting a court from changing the duration of alimony; requiring that a child support award be adjusted to reduce the combined alimony and child support award under certain circumstances, etc. JU 02/09/2016 Not Considered JU 02/16/2016 Fav/CS ACJ AP	Fav/CS Yeas 6 Nays 4
2	SB 1034 Simmons (Similar CS/H 1431, Compare CS/S 178)	Health Care Providers; Revising the definitions of the terms "contract" and "health care provider"; extending sovereign immunity to employees or agents of a health care provider that executes a contract with a governmental contractor; requiring the posting of notice that a specified health care provider is an agent of a governmental contractor; revising the definition of the term "officer, employee, or agent" to include employees or agents of a health care provider, etc. HP 01/19/2016 Favorable JU 02/09/2016 Not Considered JU 02/16/2016 Fav/CS RC	Fav/CS Yeas 10 Nays 0
3	SB 1298 Brandes (Similar CS/H 1181)	Bad Faith Assertions of Patent Infringement; Prohibiting a person from sending a demand letter to a target which makes a bad faith assertion of patent infringement; specifying that the Patent Troll Prevention Act does not create a private right of action; deleting a provision stating that a violation is an unfair or deceptive trade practice under ch. 501, F.S., etc. JU 02/09/2016 Not Considered JU 02/16/2016 Fav/CS ACJ AP	Fav/CS Yeas 10 Nays 0

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
4	SB 64 Negron (Identical H 3535)	Relief of the Estate of Danielle Maudsley by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; Providing for the relief of the Estate of Danielle Maudsley; providing for an appropriation to compensate the Estate of Danielle Maudsley for Ms. Maudsley's death, sustained as a result of the negligence of Trooper Daniel Cole and the Florida Highway Patrol, a division of the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; providing that certain payments and the appropriation satisfy all present and future claims related to the alleged acts; providing a limitation on the payment of compensation, fees, and costs, etc. SM JU 02/16/2016 Fav/CS ATD AP	Fav/CS Yeas 9 Nays 1
5	SB 572 Altman (Similar CS/H 325)	Involuntary Examinations Under the Baker Act; Authorizing physician assistants and advanced registered nurse practitioners to execute a certificate that finds that a person appears to meet the criteria for involuntary examination under the Baker Act of persons believed to have mental illness, etc. HP 11/17/2015 Favorable JU 02/16/2016 Favorable AP	Favorable Yeas 10 Nays 0
6	CS/SB 670 Children, Families, and Elder Affairs / Gaetz (Similar H 715)	Child Protection Teams; Revising the definition of the term "officer, employee, or agent," as it applies to immunity from personal liability in certain actions, to include licensed physicians who are medical directors for or members of a child protection team, in certain circumstances, etc. CF 01/14/2016 Fav/CS JU 02/16/2016 Favorable AP	Favorable Yeas 6 Nays 4
7	CS/SB 970 Banking and Insurance / Richter (Similar CS/CS/H 783)	Unclaimed Property; Requiring unclaimed funds reported in the name of specified campaigns for public office to be deposited with the Chief Financial Officer to the credit of the State School Trust Fund; requiring certain persons claiming entitlement to unclaimed property to file certified copies of specified pleadings with the Department of Financial Services; revising requirements and conditions for contracts to acquire ownership of or entitlement to property, etc. BI 02/09/2016 Fav/CS JU 02/16/2016 Favorable AP	Favorable Yeas 10 Nays 0

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
8	SB 32 Flores (Identical H 3527)	Relief of O'Brien and Stephenson by Department of Transportation; Providing relief of Amie Draiemann O'Brien, individually and as personal representative of the Estate of Christian Darby Stephenson, deceased, and for the relief of Hailey Morgan Stephenson and Christian Darby Stephenson II, as surviving minor children of the decedent; providing an appropriation to compensate them for the wrongful death of Christian Darby Stephenson, which was due in part to the negligence of the Department of Transportation; providing a limitation on the payment of fees and costs, etc.	Favorable Yeas 8 Nays 1
		SM JU 02/16/2016 Favorable ATD AP	
9	CS/SB 1104 Banking and Insurance / Flores (Compare CS/H 897)	Service of Process on Financial Institutions; Requiring service on financial institutions to be made in accordance with s. 655.0201, F.S.; revising applicability of provisions of law governing service of process on financial institutions; authorizing certain financial institutions to designate with the Department of State a place or registered agent within the state as the sole location or agent for service of process, notice, levy, or demand, etc.	Favorable Yeas 10 Nays 0
		BI 02/09/2016 Fav/CS JU 02/16/2016 Favorable RC	
10	SB 1294 Grimsley (Identical H 1367, Compare CS/CS/H 545, H 7075, CS/S 784, S 1382)	Offenses Involving Minors and Vulnerable Persons; Increasing the maximum age at which a victim or witness may be allowed to testify via closed circuit television rather than in a courtroom in certain circumstances; including human trafficking as an underlying felony offense to support a felony murder conviction; providing increased criminal penalties for human trafficking offenses if the victim suffers great bodily harm, permanent disability, or permanent disfigurement, etc.	Favorable Yeas 10 Nays 0
		CJ 02/01/2016 Favorable JU 02/16/2016 Favorable FP	
11	SB 1432 Stargel (Compare CS/H 1231)	Service of Process; Expanding the locations at which substitute service of process may be made when such location is the only discoverable address for the person to be served; revising the information that must be included in a sworn statement for certain service of process, etc.	Fav/CS Yeas 10 Nays 0
		JU 02/16/2016 Fav/CS RC	

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

Judiciary

Tuesday, February 16, 2016, 4:00—6:00 p.m.

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
12	CS/SB 596 Banking and Insurance / Hukill (Compare CS/H 1097)	Assignment or Transfer of Property Insurance Rights; Providing requirements under a property insurance policy for the post-loss assignment or transfer of rights, benefits, or policy provisions not related to liability coverage; providing requirements for an agreement to assign or transfer such rights, benefits, or policy provisions; providing prohibitions and conditions that void such an agreement; providing applicability, etc.	Not Considered
		BI 01/26/2016 Temporarily Postponed BI 02/01/2016 Fav/CS JU 02/16/2016 Not Considered RC	

S-036 (10/2008) Page 4 of 4

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Pre	pared By: The Professional	Staff of the Comm	ittee on Judicia	ry
BILL:	CS/SB 668	3			
INTRODUCER: Judiciary C		Committee and Senator S	Stargel		
SUBJECT:	Family Lav	W			
DATE:	February 1	8, 2016 REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Brown		Cibula	JU	Fav/CS	
2			ACJ		
			AP		

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 668 makes various changes to laws relating to the amount and duration of alimony awards, grounds, and procedures for modifying an alimony award due to a substantial change in circumstances, and timesharing with children.

Alimony

Regarding alimony awarded to assist a party with legal fees and costs in a dissolution of marriage case, this bill requires the court to consider need and ability to pay, and the same bases for alimony required of all alimony determinations in dissolution cases.

With respect to alimony amounts, the bill establishes presumptive alimony ranges, for courts to use in determining the amount and duration of alimony awards. The presumptive amounts are determined by formulas based in part on the difference between the parties' gross incomes and the duration of their marriage. However, the combination of alimony and child support may not exceed 55 percent of the obligor's income. The bill also generally limits the duration of an alimony award to 25 to 75 percent of the duration of the parties' marriage. However, the bill provides exceptions to alimony guidelines to authorize the court to consider the contributions to the marriage of a long-term homemaker.

The bill specifies events that constitute a substantial change in circumstances which are grounds for modifying or terminating an alimony award. These grounds include increases in the

BILL: CS/SB 668

recipient's income, the involuntary underemployment or unemployment of the obligor, and the obligor's retirement. This bill authorizes an obligor to request that the court preapprove the customary retirement date for the obligor's profession 1 year in advance of retirement. The bill also lessens the proof required to show the existence of a supportive relationship between an alimony recipient and another person.

To protect an award of alimony, the court may order an obligor to purchase a security, such as a life insurance policy or a bond. Security is modifiable if the underlying alimony award is modified.

Time-sharing

Current law provides that the public policy of the state is for each minor to have frequent and continuing contact with both parents after the parents separate or divorce. The bill provides instead that the public policy of the state is that absent good cause, substantially equal timesharing with both parents is in the best interest of the child. A court must include written findings in an order that establishes an initial permanent time-sharing schedule that provides other than substantially equal time-sharing.

Current law provides a list of factors for the court to apply in determining or modifying timesharing, based on the best interests of the child. The bill requires a court to use these factors, which are slightly modified, along with two new ones in determining whether a party has overcome the presumption favoring equal time-sharing. The new factors are:

- The amount of time-sharing requested by each parent; and
- The frequency with which a parent would likely leave the child in the care of a nonrelative on evenings and weekends when the other parent would otherwise provide care.

II. Present Situation:

Alimony Pendente Lite

Alimony pendente lite is temporary alimony awarded after a marital party files for dissolution of marriage. The right to temporary alimony ends when the divorce becomes final, which is after the appeal process has run. Florida law stipulates that a party may request alimony pendente lite through petition or motion, and if well-founded, the court must order a reasonable amount.

Bases for Alimony

Chapter 61, F.S., addresses dissolution of marriage proceedings. Alimony is based on both financial need and the ability to pay.³ After making an initial determination to award alimony, the court must consider:

- The standard of living established during the marriage.
- The length of marriage.
- Ages and physical and emotional condition of the parties.

¹ 24A AM. JR. 2D Divorce and Separation §615.

² Section 61.071, F.S.

³ Section 61.08(2), F.S.

- Financial resources of the parties.
- Earning capacity, education level, vocational skill, and employability of the parties.
- Marital contributions, including homemaking, child care, and education and career building
 of the other party.
- Responsibilities of each party towards minor children.
- Tax treatment and consequences of alimony awards.
- All sources of income.
- Any other factor that advances equity and justice.⁴

The court may consider adultery by either spouse in a decision to award alimony.⁵

To protect an alimony award, the court may order an obligor to maintain a life insurance policy.⁶

Determination of Alimony Based on Length of Marriage

Limitations on Alimony in Florida

In determining the duration or form of an alimony award, the court applies presumptions based on the duration of the marriage. The length of marriage runs from the date of marriage until the date of the filing for dissolution of marriage.⁷

Florida law categorizes marriage lengths as follows:

- A short-term marriage is a marriage of less than 7 years.
- A moderate-term marriage is a marriage of more than 7 but less than 17 years.
- A long-term marriage is a marriage of 17 years or more.⁸

Florida law appears to create a presumption in favor of permanent periodic alimony following a long-term marriage. A similar presumption appears to exist in favor of durational alimony following a moderate-term marriage or following a long-term marriage if permanent alimony is not appropriate. Durational alimony generally may not exceed the length of the marriage. 10

The law appears to disfavor permanent alimony following a moderate-term marriage by requiring clear and convincing evidence for an award of permanent alimony. Permanent alimony for a short-term marriage is reserved for exceptional circumstances.

Limitations on Alimony Based on Duration of Marriage in Other States

Some states have limited alimony based on the duration of the marriage:

⁴ Section 61.08(2)(a) through (j), F.S.

⁵ Section 61.08(1), F.S.

⁶ Section 61.08(3), F.S.

⁷ *Id*.

⁸ Section 61.08(4), F.S.

⁹ Section 61.08(8), F.S.

¹⁰ Section 61.08(4), F.S.

BILL: CS/SB 668

• Colorado: Provides a table that calculates the term of support for marriages of at least 3 years and up to 20 years in length. After 20 years of marriage, the court may award an indefinite term of alimony.¹¹

- Delaware: Permits alimony for a period of up to 50 percent of the length of marriage, except that if a party is married for 20 years or longer, alimony may be indefinite. 12
- Maine: Provides a rebuttable presumption that general support may not be awarded if the parties were married for less than 10 years as of the date of the filing of the petition. ¹³
- New York: Establishes an advisory schedule for alimony maintenance, expressed as a percentage of the length of marriage for which alimony is payable. Length of marriage of up to and including 15 years of marriage, 15 to 30 percent; more than 15 and up to and including 20 years of marriage, 30 to 40 percent; more than 20 years, 35 to 50 percent.¹⁴
- Texas: Disfavors alimony for marriages of less than 10 years unless the obligee meets certain conditions and if so, caps the duration of alimony at 5 years. Alimony is capped at 20 percent of the payor's gross income, or \$2,500 a month, whichever is less.¹⁵
- Massachusetts: No longer authorizes permanent alimony in most dissolution of marriage cases. Limits permanent alimony awards to marriages of 20 years or longer if the award is otherwise appropriate. Reserves the possibility of permanent alimony for shorter marriages if an award is in the interests of justice.¹⁶
- Utah: Prohibits alimony awards for a duration longer than the length of the marriage, unless the court finds extenuating circumstances. 17

Forms of Alimony

Florida law recognizes various forms of alimony, including bridge-the-gap, rehabilitative, durational, and permanent periodic alimony. ¹⁸ See the table on the next page for additional information on the various types of alimony authorized under current law.

¹¹ Colo. Rev. Stat. Ann. s. 14-10-114.

¹² Del. Code Ann. title 14, s. 1512

¹³ Me. Rev. Stat. Ann. title 19-A, s. 951A.

¹⁴ N.Y. Dom. Rel. Law s. 236.

 $^{^{15}}$ Tex. Fam. Code Ann. Sections 8.054 and 8.055.

¹⁶ Mass. Gen. Laws Chapter 208, Section 49.

¹⁷ Utah Code Ann. s. 30-3-5.

¹⁸ Section 61.08(1), F.S.

		Forms of A	Alimony	
	Bridge-the-	Rehabilitative	Durational	Permanent
Purpose	Allows a party to transition from being married to being single upon showing legitimate short-term need.	Assists a party in becoming self-sufficient through skills training, education, or work experience.	Provides a party with economic assistance for a set period of time after a marriage of short or moderate duration, or a marriage of long duration if no need exists for a permanent award.	Provides for the needs and necessities of life as established during the marriage for a party who lacks the financial ability to maintain needs.
Length of Time	Up to 2 years.	Temporary.	Set period of time but not to exceed length of marriage.	Permanent.
Modifiable/ Termination	Not modifiable in amount or duration. Can terminate upon death or remarriage of recipient.	Modifiable upon a showing of a substantial change in circumstances, including cohabitation. Can be terminated upon noncompliance or completion of the rehabilitative plan.	Modifiable or terminated based on a substantial change in circumstances, including cohabitation. Length of award may not change unless exceptional circumstances are shown. Terminates upon death or remarriage of recipient.	Modifiable upon a substantial change in circumstances, including cohabitation. Terminates upon death or remarriage of recipient.
How Established		Requires inclusion of a specific and defined rehabilitative plan.	F	Awardable if appropriate for a marriage of long duration, upon a showing of clear and convincing evidence for a marriage of moderate duration, and with written findings of exceptional circumstances for a marriage of short duration.

Modification and Termination of Alimony

Four bases exist for a court to reconsider an alimony award, including whether to terminate alimony:

- A substantial change in circumstances of either party;
- Cohabitation by the obligee;
- Remarriage by the obligee; or
- Death of either party. ¹⁹

Substantial Change of Circumstance

A motion for modification may be made by either party for the court to consider a substantial change in circumstances.²⁰ If the court modifies support on this basis, the court may modify support retroactively to the date of the filing of the action.²¹

Cohabitation

To modify alimony on an assertion of cohabitation between the alimony obligee and a third party, the court must find:

- The existence of a supportive relationship between the recipient and a third party; and
- That the recipient lives with the third party.

To determine whether a relationship is supportive, the court will examine:

- The extent to which the obligee and the third party hold themselves out as a married couple;
- The length of time that the third party has resided with the obligee;
- Whether the obligee and the third party have jointly purchased property;
- The extent to which the obligee and third party commingle financial assets; and
- The extent to which one of the parties supports the other party.²²

The burden is on the obligor to show by a preponderance of evidence that a supportive relationship exists.²³

¹⁹ Section 61.08(8), F.S.

²⁰ Section 61.14(1)(a), F.S. Courts have found a substantial change in circumstance where an obligor's health deteriorated due to two heart attacks. He was unable to continue gainful employment and received social security disability income as his full income (*Scott v. Scott*, 2012 WL 5621672, 1 (Fla. 5th DCA 2012)). An obligor demonstrated a showing of a substantial change in circumstance through a detrimental impact on his business in manufacturing cathode ray television tubes due to advancing technology that made his product obsolete. The court also noted that the obligor was forced to remove money from family trust accounts to meet his alimony obligation. (*Shawfrank v. Shawfrank*, 97 So. 3d 934, 937 (Fla. 1st DCA 2012)). The court found a substantial change in circumstance where financial affidavits showed that the obligee's income jumped from \$1,710 to \$4,867 a month, making her income higher than the obligor's income of \$3,418 a month. (*Koski v. Koski*, 98 So. 3d 93, 94 (Fla. 4th DCA 2012)).

²¹ Section 61.14(1)(a), F.S.

²² Section 61.14(b), F.S.

²³ Section 61.14(1)(b)1., F.S.

Child Support Enforcement

Congress passed into law Title IV-D of the Social Security Act²⁴ to require states to provide specific child support enforcement services to receive federal funding under the Aid for Dependent Children (AFDC) Program.²⁵ Services are available to single-parent families on public assistance who are entitled to child support from the other parent.

Florida established the Child Support Enforcement Application and Program Revenue Trust Fund to provide a trust fund for deposits of Title IV-D program income. ²⁶ The trust fund is administered by the state Department of Revenue. ²⁷ The clerk of the court of each circuit operates a depository for alimony transactions, support, maintenance, and support payments. ²⁸ A fee is collected for payments made in non-Title IV-D cases to fund the depository. ²⁹

privileged against use in any subsequent litigation. ... Collaborative Law is governed by a patchwork of state laws, state Supreme Court rules, local rules, and ethics opinions. The Uniform Collaborative Law Rules/Act (UCLR/A) is intended to create a uniform national framework for the use of Collaborative Law; one which includes important consumer protections and enforceable privilege provisions.³⁰

Parenting and Time-sharing

Florida Law

The public policy of the state is for each minor child to have "frequent and continuing contact with both parents." Additionally, a court must order shared parental responsibility for a minor child unless the court finds that shared responsibility would be detrimental to the child. In determining timesharing with each parent, a court must consider the best interests of the child based on a specific list of statutory factors. These factors include:

- The demonstrated capacity of each parent to have a close and continuing parent-child relationship, honor the time-sharing schedule, and be reasonable when changes are required.
- The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each parent to determine, consider, and act upon the needs of the child, including developmental needs.
- The length of time the child has lived in a stable, satisfactory environment and the desirability of maintaining continuity.

²⁴ 42 USC §§ 651-669 (1988).

²⁵ Ashish Prasad, Rights Without Remedies: Section 1983 Enforcement of Title IV-D of the Social Security Act, 60 U.Chi. L. Rev. 197, 197 (1993).

²⁶ Section 61.1814(1), F.S.

²⁷ *Id*.

²⁸ Section 61.181(1)(a), F.S.

²⁹ Section 61.181(2)(a) and (b), F.S.

³⁰ Uniform Law Commission, *Uniform Collaborative Law Rules/Act Short Summary* (on file with the Senate Judiciary Committee).

³¹ Section 61.13(2)(c)1., F.S.

³² Section 61.13 (2)(c)2., F.S.

• The geographic viability of the parenting plan, with special attention paid to the needs of school-age children and the amount of time to be spent traveling to effectuate the parenting plan.

- The moral fitness and the mental and physical health of the parents.
- The reasonable preference of the child, if the child is of sufficient intelligence, understanding, and experience to express a preference.
- The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each parent to provide a consistent routine for the child, such as discipline, and daily schedules for homework, meals, and bedtime, and to be involved in the child's school and extracurricular activities.
- The demonstrated capacity of each parent to keep the other parent informed about the minor child, and the willingness of each parent to adopt a unified front on major issues.
- Evidence of domestic violence, sexual violence, child abuse, child abandonment, or child neglect, or that either parent has knowingly provided false information about these issues. If the court accepts evidence of prior or pending actions on these issues, the court must acknowledge in writing that the evidence was considered in evaluating best interests.
- The particular parenting tasks customarily performed by each parent and the division of parental responsibilities before and during litigation, including the extent to which parenting responsibilities were undertaken by third parties.
- The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each parent to maintain an environment for the child which is free from substance abuse.³³

A final factor provides the court with flexibility to consider any other factor relevant in establishing a parenting plan, including a time-sharing schedule.³⁴

Time-sharing in other States

No state statutes require a court to order equal time-sharing or joint custody of minor children. However, a number of states, in addition to Florida, provide in law a presumption that joint custody is in the best interest of the child. These states are the District of Columbia, Idaho, Minnesota, New Mexico, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. Other states provide the presumption only if the parents agree. These states are Alabama, California, Connecticut, Maine, Michigan, Mississippi, Nevada, New Hampshire, and Vermont.³⁵

Several state legislatures recently amended laws on child custody to encourage equal time-sharing. Arkansas codified a preference for joint custody.³⁶ The South Dakota Legislature passed a law that permits the court to order joint physical custody when the court has awarded joint legal custody if it is in the best interest of the child.³⁷ The Utah Legislature enacted a rebuttable presumption for joint legal custody. Grounds for rebutting the presumption include domestic violence and physical or mental needs of a parent or child.³⁸

³³ Section 61.13(3), F.S.

³⁴ Section 61.13(3)(t), F.S.

³⁵ National Conference of State Legislatures, *Shared/Joint Custody Enactments 2012* (Feb. 2015).

³⁶ AR s. 901

³⁷ South Dakota House Bill 1055 (Chapter 141).

³⁸ Utah HB 88 (Chapter 269); HB 107 (Chapter 271).

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

This bill makes various changes to laws applicable to dissolution of marriage cases in the areas of alimony, support, and time-sharing.

Alimony Awarded During a Pending Suit—Alimony Pendente Lite

Alimony pendente lite is temporary alimony awarded after a marital party files for dissolution of marriage. The bill requires the court to consider the bases for alimony (without the formula) after determining a need for alimony pendente lite and an ability to pay.

Alimony Awarded through a Final Court Order

Under the bill, a court must determine the amount of an alimony award in a multi-step process, from making initial findings, applying guidelines, and considering other factors, including factors which might justify a deviation from guidelines. The bill also establishes presumptive alimony duration ranges which range from 25 to 75 percent of the length of the marriage. The bill does not maintain the distinctions in current law relating to the duration or purposes of bridge-the-gap, rehabilitative, durational, or permanent alimony.

Initial Findings

In determining alimony, a court must make initial written findings based on:

- The amount of each party's monthly gross income, including potential income and actual or potential income from nonmarital property distributed to each party; and
- The years of marriage.

The courts must look at net income, rather than gross income, in calculating alimony and support. In instances in which trial courts have erroneously used a party's gross income, the appellate courts have routinely reversed those decisions.³⁹ In instances in which an obligor is self-employed, the court may start with gross income and subtract from it ordinary business expenses to arrive at net income.

This bill specifies that income considered in alimony calculations is gross income. Gross income is recurring income from any source and includes:

- Income from salaries, overtime pay, and wages, including tips declared to the IRS or tips
 imputed to bring the employee's gross earnings to the minimum wage for the number of
 hours worked, whichever is greater, commissions, bonuses; and dividends, and severance
 pay;
- Pension pay and retirement benefits actually received;
- Spousal support received from a previous marriage;
- Trust income and distributions regularly received, relied upon, or readily available to the beneficiary, royalties, income from estates, annuity payments, capital gains, recurring gains derived from dealings in property, rental income (gross receipts minus ordinary and necessary expenses required to produce the income), interest, and continuing monetary gifts;

³⁹ Kingsbury v. Kingsbury, 116 So. 3d 473, 474(Fla. 1st DCA 2013); Vanzant v. Vanzant, 82 So. 3d 991, 993 (Fla. 1st DCA 2011); Vega v. Vega, 877 So. 2d 882, 883 (Fla. 3d DCA 2004).

Payments received as an independent contractor for labor or services, which must be
considered income from self-employment; money drawn by a self-employed person for
personal use that is deducted as a business expense, and expense reimbursements or in-kind
payments or benefits received by a party in the course of employment, self-employment, or
operation of a business which reduces personal living expenses;

- Workers' compensation; unemployment benefits, social security benefits, including those
 actually received based on disability, disability insurance benefits and funds paid from
 health, accident, disability, or casualty insurance if the insurance replaces wages; and
- Income from general partnerships, limited partnerships, closely held corporations, or limited liability companies, except that if the party is a passive investor with a minority interest in the company, income is limited to actual cash distributions received.

Gross income does not include:

- Child support payments received;
- Public assistance benefits:
- Social security benefits received by a parent on behalf of a minor child due to death or disability of a parent or stepparent; and
- Earnings or gains on retirement accounts, including individual retirement accounts, except that the earnings or gains are income if a party takes a distribution from the account, and if a party is able to take a distribution tax-free and chooses not to, the court may consider as income the distribution that could have been taken.

For income from self-employment, rent, royalties, proprietorship of a business, or joint ownership of a partnership or closely held corporation, gross income equals gross receipts minus ordinary and necessary expenses. Ordinary and necessary expenses do not include amounts allowable by the IRS for the accelerated component of depreciation expenses or investment tax credits or any other business expenses determined by the court to be inappropriate for determining gross income for purposes of calculating alimony.

The bill defines "potential income" as income which could be earned by a party using best efforts, and includes potential income from employment, investment of assets, or use of property in a financially prudent manner. Potential income from employment is income a party could reasonably expect to earn working at a locally available, full-time job based on the person's education, training, and experience. A person is considered to be underemployed if he or she is not working full-time in a position which is appropriate based on his or her education, training, and experience, and which is available in the local area. A person is not underemployed if he or she is enrolled in an educational program that can reasonably expect to result in a degree or certification and higher income within the foreseeable future. A court generally must impute income to a party who is voluntarily unemployed or underemployed.

The court must consider years of marriage based on whole years, calculated from the date of marriage until the date of the filing for dissolution.

This bill creates a rebuttable presumption against alimony for marriages of 2 years or less. The party seeking alimony may rebut the presumption by showing:

• The party seeking alimony has a clear and convincing need for alimony;

• The party from whom alimony is sought has an ability to pay alimony; and

• An inequity would result if the court does not award alimony.

If the court finds that the party rebuts the presumption, the court must provide written findings. Alimony will then be awarded under the formula.

Alimony Guidelines

This bill establishes formulas for use by the court after making its initial findings in alimony determinations, unless the parties agree to an amount otherwise. After making initial findings, the court will calculate the presumptive alimony ranges based upon two formulas. The formulas provide a presumptive range for alimony as follows:

- At the low end of the range: 0.015 x the years of marriage x the difference between the monthly gross income of the parties; and
- At the high end of the range: 0.020 x the years of marriage x the difference between the monthly gross income of the parties.

Difference in the Parties' Monthly Incomes	Pı	resum	ptive	Alimo	ony Ai	noun	t Rang	ges
\$20,000	High	\$1,200	\$2,000	\$4,000	\$4,800	\$6,000	\$8,000	\$8,000
\$20,000	Low	\$900	\$1,500	\$3,000	\$3,600	\$4,500	\$6,000	\$6,000
\$15,000	High	\$900	\$1,500	\$3,000	\$3,600	\$4,500	\$6,000	\$6,000
\$15,000	Low	\$675	\$1,125	\$2,250	\$2,700	\$3,375	\$4,500	\$4,500
¢10.000	High	\$600	\$1,000	\$2,000	\$2,400	\$3,000	\$4,000	\$4,000
\$10,000	Low	\$450	\$750	\$1,500	\$1,800	\$2,250	\$3,000	\$3,000
¢0,000	High	\$480	\$800	\$1,600	\$1,920	\$2,400	\$3,200	\$3,200
\$8,000	Low	\$360	\$600	\$1,200	\$1,440	\$1,800	\$2,400	\$2,400
¢7 000	High	\$420	\$700	\$1,400	\$1,680	\$2,100	\$2,800	\$2,800
\$7,000	Low	\$315	\$525	\$1,050	\$1,260	\$1,575	\$2,100	\$2,100
¢c 000	High	\$360	\$600	\$1,200	\$1,440	\$1,800	\$2,400	\$2,400
\$6,000	Low	\$270	\$450	\$900	\$1,080	\$1,350	\$1,800	\$1,800
¢	High	\$300	\$500	\$1,000	\$1,200	\$1,500	\$2,000	\$2,000
\$5,000	Low	\$225	\$375	\$750	\$900	\$1,125	\$1,500	\$1,500
¢4,000	High	\$240	\$400	\$800	\$960	\$1,200	\$1,600	\$1,600
\$4,000	Low	\$180	\$300	\$600	\$720	\$900	\$1,200	\$1,200
¢2 000	High	\$180	\$300	\$600	\$720	\$900	\$1,200	\$1,200
\$3,000	Low	\$135	\$225	\$450	\$540	\$675	\$900	\$900
\$2,000	High	\$120	\$200	\$400	\$480	\$600	\$800	\$800
\$2,000	Low	\$90	\$150	\$300	\$360	\$450	\$600	\$600
Length of Marriage		3 Years	5 Years	10 Years	12 Years	15 Years	20 Years	25 Years

The formula bases the years of marriage at 20 for both the low and the high end of the range. However, if a court establishes the duration of the alimony award at 50 percent or less of the length of the marriage, the court is required to use the actual years of marriage, up to 25 years to calculate the high end of a presumptive alimony amount range.

The court retains flexibility to determine alimony within the presumptive alimony ranges.

Bases for Alimony (Considered by the Court after Presumptive Alimony is Calculated):

Presumptive alimony may then be established by the court within the presumptive ranges, based on the following:

- The financial resources of the obligee and the obligor, including the actual or potential income from nonmarital or marital property or any other source and the ability of each spouse to meet his or her reasonable needs;
- The standard of living of the parties during the marriage considering that there will be two households to maintain after the dissolution of marriage and that neither party may be able to maintain the same standard of living they had while married;
- The equitable distribution of marital property, including whether an unequal distribution of marital property was made to reduce or alleviate the need for alimony;
- Both parties' income, employment, and employability, obtainable through reasonable diligence and additional training or education, and any necessary reduction in employment due to parenting or circumstances of the parties;
- Whether a party could reduce the need for alimony by pursuing additional educational or vocational training, including the length of time required and anticipated costs of training;
- Whether one party has historically earned higher or lower income than that at the time of trial;
- Whether a party has foregone or postponed economic, educational, or employment opportunities during the course of the marriage;
- Whether either party has caused the unreasonable depletion or dissipation of marital assets;
- The amount of temporary alimony and the number of months temporary alimony was paid to the recipient spouse;
- The age, health, and physical and mental condition of the parties, including health care needs and costs;
- Significant economic or noneconomic contributions to the marriage or to the economic, educational, or occupational advancement of a party, including services rendered in homemaking, child care, education, and career building of the other party, payment by one spouse of the other spouse's separate debts, or enhancement of the other spouse's personal or real property;
- The tax consequence of the alimony award; and
- Any other factor necessary to provide equity and justice between the parties.

If the court awards alimony, the court must include in written findings that the obligor has the financial ability to pay alimony.

Under no circumstance may a court order alimony and child support that, when combined, constitutes more than 55 percent of the obligor's net income. This change appears to codify case law, as appellate courts have reversed awards of trial courts where the percent of income

awarded as support is considered unreasonable. The Fourth District Court of Appeal found that the trial court committed an abuse of discretion in awarding combined alimony and child support totaling 58 percent of the obligor's net income. 40 The appellate court noted that the trial court had legitimate grounds on which to order permanent alimony. The former wife earned only a two-year college degree and supported her husband as a teacher's aide while he secured a law school education. She then became a homemaker. However, the court noted that the excessive award left the obligor with just \$330 a month on which to live after paying for rent and a car loan. 41

In *Casella v. Casella*, the same appellate court ruled clearly excessive an award of combined alimony and child support that approached 70 percent of the husband's net income.⁴² A 1990 case, the court reversed the trial court on the basis that the award left the obligor with just \$800 a month on which to live.

To protect an award of alimony, the court may require an obligor to purchase or maintain a decreasing term life insurance policy or a bond, or provide other security to protect the alimony award. To award security, a court must find the existence of special circumstances and make specific evidentiary findings about the availability, cost, and financial impact on the obligor. Security is modifiable if the underlying alimony award is reduced.

Deviation from Guidelines

The court may determine an award of alimony that is outside the presumptive alimony amount or alimony duration ranges only if the court makes specific written findings that the application of the ranges is inappropriate or inequitable after considering all the factors used as the bases of alimony.

In addition to generally authorizing the court to award alimony outside the presumptive alimony amount, the bill establishes a specific basis for the court to do so. The bill authorizes a deviation from guidelines for a long-term homemaker spouse who forewent education and career opportunities if:

- The duration of the marriage was at least 20 years;
- Pursuant to agreement, one spouse substantially refrained from economic, educational, or employment opportunities primarily to contribute to the marriage as a homemaker or child care provider; and
- Even with additional education, the spouse seeking alimony faces dramatically reduced opportunities to advance a career.

If the court orders a departure from guidelines on this basis, the court may order alimony in an amount that equalizes the income of the parties until the obligor either retires upon reaching:

- The age for eligibility for full retirement benefits; or
- The customary retirement age for his or her occupation.

⁴⁰ Thomas v. Thomas, 418 So. 2d 316, (Fla. 4th DCA 1982).

⁴¹ Id. at 316-317.

⁴² Casella v. Casella, 569 So. 2d 848, 849 (Fla. 4th DCA 1990). The court stopped short of ruling that a particular percentage constitutes a bright-line rule, and instead, ruled that each case must be determined individually.

Nominal Alimony

Even if the court does not intend to award alimony at the time, the court may reserve the issue of alimony by awarding alimony of \$1.00 a year under the durational guidelines if:

- A party who has traditionally been the breadwinner temporarily lacks the ability to pay support but is reasonably anticipated to have the ability to pay in the future; or
- A party is presently able to work but for whom a medical condition with a reasonable degree of medical certainty may inhibit the ability to pay in the future.

The courts routinely award nominal alimony to reserve the issue of alimony at a later date.⁴³

Tax and Alimony

Unless otherwise stated in the agreement between the parties or by the court through judgment or order, alimony is deductible from income by the obligor and included in the income of the obligee for tax purposes.

The agreement between the parties may provide or the court, after considering equities and tax efficiencies, may order alimony to be nondeductible from income by the obligor and not includable in the income of the obligee.

Payment of Alimony in Depository

Under the bill, for orders on alimony entered into on or after January 1, 1985, the court must order that payments of alimony be made through a depository. For orders on alimony entered before January 1, 1985, upon appearance by one or both parties before the court to modify or enforce the order, the court must modify the order require that alimony payments to be made through the depository.

Alimony payments do not need to be directed through the depository:

- If there is no minor child; or
- If there is a minor child and both parties agree to payment without the depository.

However, a payee may subsequently file an affidavit with the clerk of the court a verified motion that an obligor has been in default or arrearages in payment. No later than 15 days after receiving the motion, the court must:

- Hold an evidentiary hearing establishing the default and arrearages;
- Issue an order that the clerk establish or amend an existing family law case history account;
 and
- Advise the parties that future payments must be directed through the depository.

A Title IV-D agency, currently the Department of Revenue, can also request payments to be made through the depository.

⁴³ *Lightcap v. Lightcap*, 14 So. 3d 259, 260 (Fla. 3d DCA 2009). "Here the trial court did not abuse its discretion when it granted the former wife nominal alimony. Nominal alimony would permit her to apply for modification upon a proper showing if and when the former husband achieves his full earning potential in the future."

Substantial Change in Circumstance Justifying the Modification of Alimony

Existing law authorizes the court to modify alimony upon a showing of a substantial change in circumstances. However, a court may not decrease or increase the duration of alimony provided for in the agreement or order.

Under the bill, upon the filing of a petition by the obligor, the court may temporarily reduce or suspend the obligor's payment of alimony while the petition is pending. However, if either party unreasonably pursues or defends an action, the other party is entitled to pay reasonable attorney fees and costs of the prevailing party.

Rebuttable Presumption

This bill creates a rebuttable presumption that alimony must be modified or terminated if the courts finds that the obligor's retirement is a substantial change in circumstance.

The presumption can be rebutted by the following factors:

- The age of the parties;
- The health of the parties;
- Assets and liabilities of the parties;
- Earned or imputed income of the parties;
- The ability of the parties to maintain part-time or full-time employment; and
- Any other factor deemed relevant by the court.

New Grounds for a Substantial Change in Circumstance

This bill establishes new substantial changes in circumstance:

- If the actual income of a party exceeds by at least 10 percent the amount the court imputed to the party when the court initially determined alimony, the other party may seek an immediate modification of alimony. An increase in an obligor's income alone does not constitute a basis for modification unless at the time the court established alimony, the court determined that the obligor was underemployed or unemployed but did not impute income at his or her maximum potential income.
- If an obligor becomes involuntarily underemployed or unemployed for 6 months after the
 court enters its final order for alimony, the obligor is entitled to pursue an immediate
 modification of alimony.
- Retirement is a substantial change in circumstance if:
 - The obligor has reached the age for eligibility to receive full retirement benefits under the Social Security Act and has retired;
 - The obligor has reached the customary retirement age for his or her occupation and has retired from that occupation; or
 - The obligor retires early and the court determines that the retirement is reasonable based upon the obligor's age, health, motivation for retirement, and impact on the obligee.

At least one court has refused modification of alimony on the basis that an obligor voluntarily retired early. Here the court held that the obligor did not establish voluntary retirement as a

circumstance beyond his control.⁴⁴ In this case, the obligor retired early at the age of 63, after 40 years of steady employment.⁴⁵

An obligor may file an action within a year of his or her anticipated retirement date for the court to determine the customary retirement date for the obligor's profession. Allowing the obligor to file in advance of retirement helps the obligor to plan.

Remarriage of Obligor is not a Substantial Change in Circumstance

The bill clarifies that remarriage of the obligor is not a substantial change in circumstance.

Financial information of a subsequent spouse of a party paying or receiving alimony is inadmissible and may not be considered as part of any modification action unless a party is claiming that his or her income has decreased since the marriage. If the party makes this claim, financial information is admissible for a limited purpose.

Supportive Relationship

Regarding the change in circumstance that is the presence of a supportive relationship between an obligee and another person, this bill expands the requirement that the relationship currently exist, to one which existed within the previous year before the date of the filing of the petition for modification or termination of alimony.

The bill adds as a factor for the court to use in determining to modify alimony based on a supportive relationship whether the obligor's failure, in whole or in part, to comply with all court-ordered financial obligations contributed to the obligee's need to have a supportive relationship.

This bill requires the obligor to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that a supportive relationship exists or has existed within the previous year before the filing date of the petition for modification. The obligor is not required to prove the cohabitation of the obligee. These changes reduce the burden on an obligor to show a supportive relationship.

If an obligor prevails in a showing of a supportive relationship, reduction or termination of alimony is retroactive to the date of the filing of the petition.

Advancing Trial

The court must give priority to cases that have remained pending for more than 2 years from the initial date a party files a petition if a party requests that the case advance to trial.

Time-sharing

The bill provides additional guidelines for the court to use in determining a time-sharing schedule of a minor child.

⁴⁴ Ward v. Ward, 502 So. 2d 477, 478 (FLA. 3D DCA 1987).

⁴⁵ *Id*.

Current law provides that the public policy of the state is for each minor to have frequent and continuing contact with both parents after the parents separate or divorce. The bill provides instead that the public policy of the state is that absent good cause, substantially equal timesharing with both parents is in the best interest of the child. A court must include written findings in an order that establishes an initial permanent time-sharing schedule that provides other than substantially equal time-sharing.

Current law provides a list of factors for the court to consider in establishing or modifying timesharing schedule, based on the best interests of the child. In addition to the factors presently provided in law, this bill adds the following:

- The amount of timesharing requested by each parent; and
- The frequency that a parent would likely leave the child in the care of a nonrelative on evenings and weekends when the other parent would be available and willing to provide care.

The bill also revises several existing factors. Under existing law, a court must consider and favor the parent having the "demonstrated capacity" of performing various parenting duties. The bill requires a court to also consider the disposition of a parent to perform new parenting roles after a divorce.

Under the bill, if the initial permanent time-sharing schedule does not provide for substantially equal time-sharing, the court order must include written findings of fact justifying the departure.

Application of the Bill to Alimony Awards

The provisions of the bill apply to:

- All initial alimony determinations and all alimony modification actions pending as of October 1, 2016; and
- All future initial determinations of alimony and alimony modification actions.

The enactment of the bill may not serve as the sole basis for a party to seek modification of an alimony award which existed prior to October 1, 2016.⁴⁶

The bill takes effect October 1, 2016.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The bill does not affect cities or counties.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

__

⁴⁶ The application of the bill to existing alimony awards is substantially different than the application of CS/CS/SB 718, 2nd Engrossed (2013), an alimony reform bill that was vetoed by Governor Scott. The prior alimony reform bill provided that the bill itself constituted a "substantial change in circumstances for which an obligor may seek . . . a modification of the amount or duration of alimony." CS/CS/SB 718, 2nd Engrossed (2013), lines 936-939.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues:

Most alimony awards are based on marital settlement agreements (MSAs), which are incorporated into final judgments in dissolution of marriage cases. Courts consider these MSAs as contracts. Courts interpret challenges to MSAs on the same basis as other forms of contract.⁴⁷

Nonetheless, existing s. 61.14, F.S., purports to give courts broad authority to modify marital settlement agreements. Courts interpreting these agreements have also found that the parties to a marital settlement agreement may waive their statutory rights to seek modification of alimony by providing that the agreement is nonmodifiable.⁴⁸

Any interpretation of the bill which would allow the modification of a non-modifiable marital settlement agreement would likely be constrained by Article I, s. 10, of the Florida Constitution which provides, in part: "No ... ex post facto law or law impairing the obligation of contracts shall be passed." Moreover, courts have "refused to apply a statute retroactively if the statute impairs vested rights, creates new obligations, or imposes new penalties."

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill more clearly defines gross income, provides guidelines for alimony, and establishes new bases for a substantial change in circumstance justifying a modification of alimony. In addition to the changes in alimony law, the bill revises public policy on time-sharing to provide for substantially equal time-sharing. These changes may reduce litigation time and costs.

⁴⁷ The First District Court of Appeal applied contract law in determining whether to admit parol evidence, or evidence outside the contract (MSA), on the basis that the contract language contains a latent ambiguity (*Toussaint v. Toussaint*, 107 So. 3d 474, 477-478 (Fla. 1st DCA 2013). A latent ambiguity, requiring extrinsic evidence, existed where an MSA failed to address financing of college education and the contract otherwise provided for equal payments for education costs (*Riera v. Riera*, 86 So. 3d 1163, 1166—67 (Fla. 3d DCA 2012)). The court found no breach of contract from the plain language of the MSA. (*McCord v. McCord*, 94 So. 3d 719 (Fla. 2nd DCA 2012).

⁴⁸ Elbaum v. Elbaum, 141 So. 3d 658, 661 (Fla. 4th DCA 2014) (quoting Hahamovitch v. Hahamovitch, 133 So. 3d 1008, 1016 (Fla. 4th DCA 2014), rev. granted, No. SC14–277, 2014 WL 1682898 (Fla. Apr. 22, 2014) (citing Tapp v. Tapp, 887 So.2d 442, 444 (Fla. 2d DCA 2004)); Cook v. Cook, 94 So. 3d 683, 685 (Fla. 4th DCA 2012).

⁴⁹ State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Laforet, 658 So. 2d 55, 61 (Fla. 1995) (citing Alamo Rent–A–Car, Inc. v. Mancusi, 632 So. 2d 1352, 1358 (Fla.1994); State v. Lavazzoli, 434 So.2d 321, 323 (Fla.1983); Seaboard Sys. R.R. v. Clemente, 467 So.2d 348, 357 (Fla. 3d DCA 1985).

C. Government Sector Impact:

The Office of the State Courts Administrator (OSCA) expects an increase in judicial workload from various provisions of the bill. Specifically, this bill requires a court to calculate alimony based upon a formula. Additionally, the bill imposes attorney fees and costs on a party who unreasonably pursues or defends an action for modification of alimony, if the party prevails. This requirement may necessitate additional hearings for a court to determine the reasonableness of a modification request. OSCA, however, cannot accurately determine the fiscal impact of the bill at this time.⁵⁰

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 61.071, 61.08, 61.13, 61.14, 61.1827, 61.30, and 409.2579.

This bill creates section 61.192 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Judiciary on February 16, 2016:

The CS authorizes the court to deviate from the alimony guidelines of the bill:

- For a spouse who forewent education and career opportunities to contribute to the family through providing homemaking or child care if:
 - o The duration of the marriage was at least 20 years;
 - Pursuant to agreement, one spouse substantially refrained from economic, educational, or employment opportunities primarily to contribute to the marriage as a homemaker or child care provider; and
 - Even with additional education, the spouse seeking alimony faces dramatically reduced opportunities to advance a career.
- In an amount that equalizes the income of the parties until the obligor either retires upon reaching:
 - o The age for eligibility for full retirement benefits; or
 - o The customary retirement age for his or her occupation.

⁵⁰ Office of the State Courts Administrator, 2016 Judicial Impact Statement (Dec. 21, 2015).

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

306652

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: UNFAV		
02/16/2016		
	•	
	·	
	·	

The Committee on Judiciary (Soto) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Blue Ribbon Task Force.—The Blue Ribbon Task Force, a task force as defined in s. 20.03, Florida Statutes, is created within the Department of Children and Families for a duration of 1 year. The task force is created for the express purpose of comprehensively reviewing the alimony laws in this state as compared with all other states, reviewing historical trends in alimony, reviewing the conditions that affect alimony

1 2 3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11



12	awards, and providing findings and recommendations.
13	(1) The task force is composed of 12 members, as follows:
14	(a) The Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court or his
15	or her designee, who shall serve as chair of the task force.
16	(b) The Attorney General or his or her designee.
17	(c) The Secretary of the Department of Children and
18	Families or his or her designee.
19	(d) Five members of the general public, two of whom must be
20	attorneys licensed in this state with a specialization in family
21	law, all five appointed by the Governor.
22	(e) Four members from the Legislature, one each appointed
23	by the President of the Senate, the Senate Minority Leader, the
24	Speaker of the House of Representatives, and the House of
25	Representatives Minority Leader.
26	
27	To the extent that it is possible, the commission should consist
28	of an equal number of male and female members.
29	(2) Members of the task force shall serve without
30	compensation, but are entitled to reimbursement for per diem and
31	travel expenses pursuant to s. 112.061, Florida Statutes.
32	(3) The task force, at a minimum, shall study and report on
33	the following issues:
34	(a) The scope of alimony laws in this state as compared
35	with those in other states.
36	(b) The historical trends in alimony awards.
37	(c) The current economic conditions that have affected
38	trends in state alimony awards.
39	(4) The Chief Justice of the Florida Supreme Court or his

or her designee shall submit a report to the Governor, the

40



President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by July 1, 2017, containing the task force's recommendations regarding the comprehensive reviews it must conduct. If the task force recommends revisions to state law, the report must include proposed language and policy reasons. Upon submission of the report, the task force shall expire. Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== And the title is amended as follows:

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to alimony; creating the Blue Ribbon Task Force within the Department of Children and Families; specifying membership of the task force; authorizing reimbursement for per diem and travel expenses; prescribing duties of the task force; requiring submission of a report to the Governor and the Legislature by a specified date; providing for expiration of the task force; providing an effective date.

399458

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
Comm: FAV	•	
02/16/2016	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Judiciary (Diaz de la Portilla) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

2 3

1

Delete lines 242 - 257

4

and insert: shall be \$0.

5

6

7 8

9

10

0.25 x the years of marriage

the following formula:

Page 1 of 3

(b) Presumptive alimony duration range.—The low end of the

presumptive alimony duration range shall be calculated by using



11 12

The high end of the presumptive alimony duration range shall be calculated by using the following formula:

14 15

13

0.75 x the years of marriage

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

- (c) Exceptions to alimony guidelines.-
- 1. If a court establishes the duration of the alimony award at 50 percent or less of the length of the marriage, the court shall use the actual years of the marriage, up to a maximum of 25 years, to calculate the high end of the presumptive alimony amount range.
- 2. A court may award alimony in an amount that equalizes the income of the parties until the obligor retires upon reaching the age for eligibility for full retirement benefits under s. 216 of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. s. 416, or upon reaching the customary retirement age for his or her occupation if:
 - a. The duration of the marriage was at least 20 years;
- b. Pursuant to the mutual agreement or consent of the parties to the marriage, one spouse substantially refrained from economic, educational, or employment opportunities primarily for the purpose of contributing to the marriage through homemaking or child care activities; and
- c. The spouse seeking alimony even with additional education faces dramatically reduced opportunities to advance in a career.

38 39

This subparagraph should not be applied in a manner that



40	discourages a spouse from seeking additional education or
41	employment opportunities.
42	
43	========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========
44	And the title is amended as follows:
45	Delete line 17
46	and insert:
47	circumstances; specifying exceptions to the guidelines
48	for the amount and duration of alimony awards;
49	providing for awards of nominal alimony

By Senator Stargel

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

15-00669A-16 2016668

A bill to be entitled An act relating to family law; amending s. 61.071, F.S.; requiring a court to consider certain alimony factors and make specific written findings of fact under certain circumstances; prohibiting a court from using certain presumptive alimony guidelines in calculating alimony pendente lite; amending s. 61.08, F.S.; defining terms; requiring a court to make specified initial written findings in a dissolution of marriage proceeding where a party has requested alimony; requiring a court to make specified findings before ruling on a request for alimony; providing for determinations of presumptive alimony amount range and duration range; providing presumptions concerning alimony awards depending on the duration of marriages; providing for imputation of income in certain circumstances; providing for awards of nominal alimony in certain circumstances; providing for taxability and deductibility of alimony awards; prohibiting a combined award of alimony and child support from constituting more than a specified percentage of a payor's net income; authorizing the court to order a party to protect an alimony award by specified means; providing for termination of an award; authorizing a court to modify or terminate the amount of an initial alimony award; prohibiting a court from modifying the duration of an alimony award; providing for payment of awards; amending s. 61.13, F.S.; revising public policy; revising the factors that are used to

Page 1 of 32

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

15-00669A-16 2016668 30 determine the best interests of a child; requiring a 31 court order to be supported by written findings of 32 fact for a specified initial permanent time-sharing 33 schedule; amending s. 61.14, F.S.; prohibiting a court 34 from changing the duration of alimony; authorizing a 35 party to pursue an immediate modification of alimony 36 in certain circumstances; revising factors to be 37 considered in determining whether an existing award of 38 alimony should be reduced or terminated because of an 39 alleged supportive relationship; providing for burden 40 of proof for claims concerning the existence of 41 supportive relationships; providing for the effective date of a reduction or termination of an alimony 42 4.3 award; providing that the remarriage of an alimony obligor is not a substantial change in circumstance; 45 providing that the financial information of a spouse of a party paying or receiving alimony is inadmissible 46 47 and undiscoverable; providing an exception; providing 48 for modification or termination of an award based on a 49 party's retirement; providing a presumption upon a 50 finding of a substantial change in circumstance; 51 specifying factors to be considered in determining 52 whether to modify or terminate an award based on a 53 substantial change in circumstance; providing for a 54 temporary suspension of an obligor's payment of 55 alimony while his or her petition for modification or 56 termination is pending; providing for an award of 57 attorney fees and costs for unreasonably pursuing or defending a modification of an award; providing for an 58

Page 2 of 32

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

effective date of a modification or termination of an award; amending s. 61.30, F.S.; requiring that a child support award be adjusted to reduce the combined alimony and child support award under certain circumstances; creating s. 61.192, F.S.; providing for motions to advance the trial of certain actions if a

specified period has passed since the initial service on the respondent; amending ss. 61.1827 and 409.2579,

F.S.; conforming cross-references; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

15-00669A-16

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 61.071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

61.071 Alimony pendente lite; suit money.—In every proceeding for dissolution of the marriage, a party may claim alimony and suit money in the petition or by motion, and if the petition is well founded, the court shall allow a reasonable sum therefor. If a party in any proceeding for dissolution of marriage claims alimony or suit money in his or her answer or by motion, and the answer or motion is well founded, the court shall allow a reasonable sum therefor. After determining there is a need for alimony and that there is an ability to pay alimony, the court shall consider the alimony factors in s.
61.08(4)(b)1.—14. and make specific written findings of fact regarding the relevant factors that justify an award of alimony under this section. The court may not use the presumptive alimony quidelines in s. 61.08 to calculate alimony under this

Page 3 of 32

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

i	15-00669A-16 2016668
88	section.
89	Section 2. Section 61.08, Florida Statutes, is amended to
90	read:
91	(Substantial rewording of section. See
92	s. 61.08, F.S., for present text.)
93	61.08 Alimony.—
94	(1) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, unless the
95	<pre>context otherwise requires, the term:</pre>
96	(a)1. "Gross income" means recurring income from any source
97	and includes, but is not limited to:
98	a. Income from salaries.
99	b. Wages, including tips declared by the individual for
100	purposes of reporting to the Internal Revenue Service or tips
101	imputed to bring the employee's gross earnings to the minimum
102	wage for the number of hours worked, whichever is greater.
103	c. Commissions.
104	d. Payments received as an independent contractor for labor
105	or services, which payments must be considered income from self-
106	<pre>employment.</pre>
107	e. Bonuses.
108	f. Dividends.
109	g. Severance pay.
110	h. Pension payments and retirement benefits actually
111	received.
112	i. Royalties.
113	j. Rental income, which is gross receipts minus ordinary
114	and necessary expenses required to produce the income.
115	k. Interest.
116	1. Trust income and distributions which are regularly

Page 4 of 32

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

	15-00669A-16 2016668
117	received, relied upon, or readily available to the beneficiary.
118	m. Annuity payments.
119	n. Capital gains.
120	o. Any money drawn by a self-employed individual for
121	personal use that is deducted as a business expense, which
122	moneys must be considered income from self-employment.
123	p. Social security benefits, including social security
124	benefits actually received by a party as a result of the
125	disability of that party.
126	q. Workers' compensation benefits.
127	r. Unemployment insurance benefits.
128	s. Disability insurance benefits.
129	t. Funds payable from any health, accident, disability, or
130	casualty insurance to the extent that such insurance replaces
131	wages or provides income in lieu of wages.
132	u. Continuing monetary gifts.
133	v. Income from general partnerships, limited partnerships,
134	closely held corporations, or limited liability companies;
135	except that if a party is a passive investor, has a minority
136	interest in the company, and does not have any managerial duties
137	or input, the income to be recognized may be limited to actual
138	cash distributions received.
139	w. Expense reimbursements or in-kind payments or benefits
140	received by a party in the course of employment, self-
141	employment, or operation of a business which reduces personal
142	<u>living expenses.</u>
143	x. Overtime pay.
144	y. Income from royalties, trusts, or estates.
145	z. Spousal support received from a previous marriage.

Page 5 of 32

 ${\bf CODING:}$ Words ${\bf stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

	15-00669A-16 2016668
146	aa. Gains derived from dealings in property, unless the
147	gain is nonrecurring.
148	<pre>2. "Gross income" does not include:</pre>
149	a. Child support payments received.
150	b. Benefits received from public assistance programs.
151	c. Social security benefits received by a parent on behalf
152	of a minor child as a result of the death or disability of a
153	parent or stepparent.
154	d. Earnings or gains on retirement accounts, including
155	individual retirement accounts; except that such earnings or
156	gains shall be included as income if a party takes a
157	distribution from the account. If a party is able to take a
158	distribution from the account without being subject to a federal
159	tax penalty for early distribution and the party chooses not to
160	take such a distribution, the court may consider the
161	distribution that could have been taken in determining the
162	<pre>party's gross income.</pre>
163	3.a. For income from self-employment, rent, royalties,
164	proprietorship of a business, or joint ownership of a
165	partnership or closely held corporation, the term "gross income"
166	equals gross receipts minus ordinary and necessary expenses, as
167	defined in sub-subparagraph b., which are required to produce
168	such income.
169	b. "Ordinary and necessary expenses," as used in sub-
170	subparagraph a., does not include amounts allowable by the
171	Internal Revenue Service for the accelerated component of
172	depreciation expenses or investment tax credits or any other
173	business expenses determined by the court to be inappropriate
174	for determining gross income for purposes of calculating

Page 6 of 32

 ${f CODING:}$ Words ${f stricken}$ are deletions; words ${f underlined}$ are additions.

15-00669A-16 2016668__

175 alimony.

- (b) "Potential income" means income which could be earned by a party using his or her best efforts and includes potential income from employment and potential income from the investment of assets or use of property. Potential income from employment is the income which a party could reasonably expect to earn by working at a locally available, full-time job commensurate with his or her education, training, and experience. Potential income from the investment of assets or use of property is the income which a party could reasonably expect to earn from the investment of his or her assets or the use of his or her property in a financially prudent manner.
- (c)1. "Underemployed" means a party is not working fulltime in a position which is appropriate, based upon his or her educational training and experience, and available in the geographical area of his or her residence.
- 2. A party is not considered "underemployed" if he or she is enrolled in an educational program that can be reasonably expected to result in a degree or certification within a reasonable period, so long as the educational program is:
- $\underline{\mbox{a. Expected to result in higher income within the}}$ foreseeable future.
- b. A good faith educational choice based upon the previous education, training, skills, and experience of the party and the availability of immediate employment based upon the educational program being pursued.
- (d) "Years of marriage" means the number of whole years, beginning from the date of the parties' marriage until the date of the filing of the action for dissolution of marriage.

Page 7 of 32

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

15-00669A-16

204	(2) INITIAL FINDINGS.—When a party has requested alimony in
205	a dissolution of marriage proceeding, before granting or denying
206	an award of alimony, the court shall make initial written
207	<pre>findings as to:</pre>
208	(a) The amount of each party's monthly gross income,
209	including, but not limited to, the actual or potential income,
210	and also including actual or potential income from nonmarital or
211	marital property distributed to each party.
212	(b) The years of marriage as determined from the date of
213	marriage through the date of the filing of the action for
214	dissolution of marriage.
215	(3) ALIMONY GUIDELINES.—After making the initial findings
216	described in subsection (2), the court shall calculate the
217	presumptive alimony amount range and the presumptive alimony
218	duration range. The court shall make written findings as to the
219	presumptive alimony amount range and presumptive alimony
220	duration range.
221	(a) Presumptive alimony amount range.—The low end of the
222	presumptive alimony amount range shall be calculated by using
223	the following formula:
224	
225	(0.015 x the years of marriage) x the difference between the
226	monthly gross incomes of the parties
227	
228	The high end of the presumptive alimony amount range shall be
229	calculated by using the following formula:
230	
231	(0.020 x the years of marriage) x the difference between the
232	monthly gross incomes of the parties

Page 8 of 32

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2016668__

15-00669A-16

233	
34	For purposes of calculating the presumptive alimony amount
35	range, 20 years of marriage shall be used in calculating the low
36	end and high end for marriages of 20 years or more. In
237	calculating the difference between the parties' monthly gross
238	income, the income of the party seeking alimony shall be
39	subtracted from the income of the other party. If the
40	application of the formulas to establish a guideline range
41	results in a negative number, the presumptive alimony amount
42	shall be $\$0$. If a court establishes the duration of the alimony
243	award at 50 percent or less of the length of the marriage, the
44	court shall use the actual years of the marriage, up to a
45	maximum of 25 years, to calculate the high end of the
46	presumptive alimony amount range.
247	(b) Presumptive alimony duration range.—The low end of the
248	presumptive alimony duration range shall be calculated by using
49	the following formula:
250	
51	0.25 x the years of marriage
252	
253	The high end of the presumptive alimony duration range shall be
254	calculated by using the following formula:
255	
256	0.75 x the years of marriage
257	
258	(4) ALIMONY AWARD.—
259	(a) Marriages of 2 years or less.—For marriages of 2 years
60	or less, there is a rebuttable presumption that no alimony shall
61	be awarded. The court may award alimony for a marriage with a

Page 9 of 32

 ${\bf CODING:}$ Words ${\bf stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

	15-00669A-16 2016668_
262	duration of 2 years or less only if the court makes written
263	findings that there is a clear and convincing need for alimony,
264	there is an ability to pay alimony, and that the failure to
265	award alimony would be inequitable. The court shall then
266	establish the alimony award in accordance with paragraph (b).
267	(b) Marriages of more than 2 years.—Absent an agreement of
268	the parties, alimony shall presumptively be awarded in an amount
269	within the alimony amount range calculated in paragraph (3)(a).
270	Absent an agreement of the parties, alimony shall presumptively
271	be awarded for a duration within the alimony duration range
272	calculated in paragraph (3)(b). In determining the amount and
273	duration of the alimony award, the court shall consider all of
274	the following factors upon which evidence was presented:
275	1. The financial resources of the recipient spouse,
276	including the actual or potential income from nonmarital or
277	marital property or any other source and the ability of the
278	recipient spouse to meet his or her reasonable needs
279	independently.
280	2. The financial resources of the payor spouse, including
281	the actual or potential income from nonmarital or marital
282	property or any other source and the ability of the payor spouse
283	to meet his or her reasonable needs while paying alimony.
284	3. The standard of living of the parties during the
285	$\underline{\text{marriage with consideration that there will be two households to}}$
286	maintain after the dissolution of the marriage and that neither
287	party may be able to maintain the same standard of living after
288	the dissolution of the marriage.
289	4. The equitable distribution of marital property,
290	including whether an unequal distribution of marital property

Page 10 of 32

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

15-00669A-16 2016668

was made to reduce or alleviate the need for alimony.

2.97

- 5. Both parties' income, employment, and employability, obtainable through reasonable diligence and additional training or education, if necessary, and any necessary reduction in employment due to the needs of an unemancipated child of the marriage or the circumstances of the parties.
- 6. Whether a party could become better able to support himself or herself and reduce the need for ongoing alimony by pursuing additional educational or vocational training along with all of the details of such educational or vocational plan, including, but not limited to, the length of time required and the anticipated costs of such educational or vocational training.
- 7. Whether one party has historically earned higher or lower income than the income reflected at the time of trial and the duration and consistency of income from overtime or secondary employment.
- 9. Whether either party has caused the unreasonable depletion or dissipation of marital assets.
- 10. The amount of temporary alimony and the number of months that temporary alimony was paid to the recipient spouse.
- $\underline{\text{11. The age, health, and physical and mental condition of}}_{\text{needs or uninsured or unreimbursed health care expenses.}}$
- 12. Significant economic or noneconomic contributions to the marriage or to the economic, educational, or occupational

Page 11 of 32

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

1	15-00669A-16 2016668_
320	advancement of a party, including, but not limited to, services
321	rendered in homemaking, child care, education, and career
322	building of the other party, payment by one spouse of the other
323	spouse's separate debts, or enhancement of the other spouse's
324	personal or real property.
325	13. The tax consequence of the alimony award.
326	14. Any other factor necessary to do equity and justice
327	between the parties.
328	(c) Deviation from guidelines.—The court may establish an
329	award of alimony that is outside the presumptive alimony amount
330	or alimony duration ranges only if the court considers all of
331	the factors in paragraph (b) and makes specific written findings
332	concerning the relevant factors justifying that the application
333	of the presumptive alimony amount or alimony duration ranges, as
334	applicable, is inappropriate or inequitable.
335	(d) Order establishing alimony award.—After consideration
336	$\underline{\text{of}}$ the presumptive alimony amount and duration ranges $\underline{\text{in}}$
337	accordance with paragraphs (3)(a) and (b) and the factors upon
338	which evidence was presented in accordance with paragraph (b),
339	the court may establish an alimony award. An order establishing
340	an alimony award must clearly set forth both the amount and the
341	duration of the award. The court shall also make a written
342	finding that the payor has the financial ability to pay the
343	award.
344	(5) IMPUTATION OF INCOME.—If a party is voluntarily
345	unemployed or underemployed, alimony shall be calculated based
346	on a determination of potential income unless the court makes
347	$\underline{\text{specific written findings regarding the circumstances that } \text{make}$

Page 12 of 32

it inequitable to impute income.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668 Flo

15-00669A-16 2016668

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361 362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

(6) NOMINAL ALIMONY.-Notwithstanding subsections (1), (3), and (4), the court may make an award of nominal alimony in the amount of \$1 per year if, at the time of trial, a party who has traditionally provided the primary source of financial support to the family temporarily lacks the ability to pay support but is reasonably anticipated to have the ability to pay support in the future. The court may also award nominal alimony for an alimony recipient who is presently able to work but for whom a medical condition with a reasonable degree of medical certainty may inhibit or prevent his or her ability to work during the duration of the alimony period. The duration of the nominal alimony shall be established within the presumptive durational range based upon the length of the marriage subject to the alimony factors in paragraph (4)(b). Before the expiration of the durational period, nominal alimony may be modified in accordance with s. 61.14 as to amount to a full alimony award using the alimony guidelines and factors in accordance with s. 61.08.

- (7) TAXABILITY AND DEDUCTIBILITY OF ALIMONY.-
- (a) Unless otherwise stated in the judgment or order for alimony or in an agreement incorporated thereby, alimony shall be deductible from income by the payor under s. 215 of the Internal Revenue Code and includable in the income of the payee under s. 71 of the Internal Revenue Code.
- (b) When making a judgment or order for alimony, the court may, in its discretion after weighing the equities and tax efficiencies, order alimony be nondeductible from income by the payor and nonincludable in the income of the payee.
 - (c) The parties may, in a marital settlement agreement,

Page 13 of 32

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

2016668

15-00669A-16

378	separation agreement, or related agreement, specifically agree
379	in writing that alimony be nondeductible from income by the
380	payor and nonincludable in the income of the payee.
381	(8) MAXIMUM COMBINED AWARD.—In no event shall a combined
382	award of alimony and child support constitute more than 55
383	percent of the payor's net income, calculated without any
384	consideration of alimony or child support obligations.
385	(9) SECURITY OF AWARD.—To the extent necessary to protect
386	an award of alimony, the court may order any party who is
387	ordered to pay alimony to purchase or maintain a decreasing term
388	life insurance policy or a bond, or to otherwise secure such
389	alimony award with any other assets that may be suitable for
390	that purpose, in an amount adequate to secure the alimony award.
391	Any such security may be awarded only upon a showing of special
392	circumstances. If the court finds special circumstances and
393	awards such security, the court must make specific evidentiary
394	findings regarding the availability, cost, and financial impact
395	on the obligated party. Any security may be modifiable in the
396	event the underlying alimony award is modified and shall be
397	reduced in an amount commensurate with any reduction in the
398	alimony award.
399	(10) TERMINATION OF AWARD.—An alimony award shall terminate
400	upon the death of either party or the remarriage of the obligee.
401	(11) MODIFICATION OF AWARD.—A court may subsequently modify
402	or terminate the amount of an award of alimony initially
403	established under this section in accordance with s. 61.14.
404	However, a court may not modify the duration of an award of
405	alimony initially established under this section.
406	(12) PAYMENT OF AWARD.—

Page 14 of 32

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668 Florida Senate - 2016

15-00669A-16 2016668

(a) With respect to an order requiring the payment of alimony entered on or after January 1, 1985, unless paragraph (c) or paragraph (d) applies, the court shall direct in the order that the payments of alimony be made through the appropriate depository as provided in s. 61.181.

- (b) With respect to an order requiring the payment of alimony entered before January 1, 1985, upon the subsequent appearance, on or after that date, of one or both parties before the court having jurisdiction for the purpose of modifying or enforcing the order or in any other proceeding related to the order, or upon the application of either party, unless paragraph (c) or paragraph (d) applies, the court shall modify the terms of the order as necessary to direct that payments of alimony be made through the appropriate depository as provided in s. 61.181.
- (c) If there is no minor child, alimony payments do not need to be directed through the depository.
- (d)1. If there is a minor child of the parties and both parties so request, the court may order that alimony payments do not need to be directed through the depository. In this case, the order of support shall provide, or be deemed to provide, that either party may subsequently apply to the depository to require that payments be made through the depository. The court shall provide a copy of the order to the depository.
- 2. If subparagraph 1. applies, either party may subsequently file with the clerk of the court a verified motion alleging a default or arrearages in payment stating that the party wishes to initiate participation in the depository program. The moving party shall copy the other party with the

Page 15 of 32

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

	15-00669A-16 2016668
436	motion. No later than 15 days after filing the motion, the court
437	shall conduct an evidentiary hearing establishing the default
438	and arrearages, if any, and issue an order directing the clerk
439	of the circuit court to establish, or amend an existing, family
440	law case history account, and further advising the parties that
441	future payments must thereafter be directed through the
442	depository.
443	3. In IV-D cases, the Title IV-D agency shall have the same
444	rights as the obligee in requesting that payments be made
445	through the depository.
446	Section 3. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) and subsection
447	(3) of section 61.13, Florida Statutes, are amended, present
448	subsections (4) through (8) of that section are redesignated as
449	subsections (5) through (9), respectively, and a new subsection
450	(4) is added to that section, to read:
451	61.13 Support of children; parenting and time-sharing;
452	powers of court
453	(2)
454	(c) The court shall determine all matters relating to
455	parenting and time-sharing of each minor child of the parties in
456	accordance with the best interests of the child and in
457	accordance with the Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and
458	Enforcement Act, except that modification of a parenting plan
459	and time-sharing schedule requires a showing of a substantial,
460	material, and unanticipated change of circumstances.
461	1. Absent good cause, it is the public policy of this state
462	that the best interest of each minor child is served by a time-
463	sharing schedule that provides for substantially equal time-
464	sharing with both parents. It is the public policy of this state

Page 16 of 32

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668 Florida Senate - 2016

15-00669A-16 2016668

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

that each minor child has frequent and continuing contact with both parents after the parents separate or the marriage of the parties is dissolved and to encourage parents to share the rights and responsibilities, and joys, of childrearing. There is no presumption for or against the father or mother of the child or for or against any specific time-sharing schedule when creating or modifying the parenting plan of the child.

2. The court shall order that the parental responsibility for a minor child be shared by both parents unless the court finds that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental to the child. Evidence that a parent has been convicted of a misdemeanor of the first degree or higher involving domestic violence, as defined in s. 741.28 and chapter 775, or meets the criteria of s. 39.806(1)(d), creates a rebuttable presumption of detriment to the child. If the presumption is not rebutted after the convicted parent is advised by the court that the presumption exists, shared parental responsibility, including time-sharing with the child, and decisions made regarding the child, may not be granted to the convicted parent. However, the convicted parent is not relieved of any obligation to provide financial support. If the court determines that shared parental responsibility would be detrimental to the child, it may order sole parental responsibility and make such arrangements for time-sharing as specified in the parenting plan as will best protect the child or abused spouse from further harm. Whether or not there is a conviction of any offense of domestic violence or child abuse or the existence of an injunction for protection against domestic violence, the court shall consider evidence of domestic violence or child abuse as evidence of detriment to the

Page 17 of 32

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

15-00669A-16 2016668_

SB 668

494 child.

495

496

497

498

499

502

503

505

506

507

509

510

511

512

513

514

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

- a. In ordering shared parental responsibility, the court may consider the expressed desires of the parents and may grant to one party the ultimate responsibility over specific aspects of the child's welfare or may divide those responsibilities between the parties based on the best interests of the child. Areas of responsibility may include education, health care, and any other responsibilities that the court finds unique to a particular family.
- b. The court shall order sole parental responsibility for a minor child to one parent, with or without time-sharing with the other parent if it is in the best interests of the minor child.
- 3. Access to records and information pertaining to a minor child, including, but not limited to, medical, dental, and school records, may not be denied to either parent. Full rights under this subparagraph apply to either parent unless a court order specifically revokes these rights, including any restrictions on these rights as provided in a domestic violence injunction. A parent having rights under this subparagraph has the same rights upon request as to form, substance, and manner of access as are available to the other parent of a child, including, without limitation, the right to in-person communication with medical, dental, and education providers.
- (3) For purposes of establishing or modifying parental responsibility and creating, developing, approving, or modifying a parenting plan, including a time-sharing schedule, which governs each parent's relationship with his or her minor child and the relationship between each parent with regard to his or her minor child, the best interest of the child shall be the

Page 18 of 32

15-00669A-16 2016668

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

primary consideration. A determination of parental responsibility, a parenting plan, or a time-sharing schedule may not be modified without a showing of a substantial, material, and unanticipated change in circumstances and a determination that the modification is in the best interests of the child. Determination of the best interests of the child shall be made by evaluating all of the factors affecting the welfare and interests of the particular minor child and the circumstances of that family, including, but not limited to:

- (a) The demonstrated capacity or and disposition of each parent to facilitate and encourage a close and continuing parent-child relationship, to honor the time-sharing schedule, and to be reasonable when changes are required.
- (b) The anticipated division of parental responsibilities after the litigation, including the extent to which parental responsibilities will be delegated to third parties.
- (c) The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each parent to determine, consider, and act upon the needs of the child as opposed to the needs or desires of the parent.
- (d) The length of time the child has lived in a stable, satisfactory environment and the desirability of maintaining continuity.
- (e) The geographic viability of the parenting plan, with special attention paid to the needs of school-age children and the amount of time to be spent traveling to <u>carry out effectuate</u> the parenting plan. This factor does not create a presumption for or against relocation of either parent with a child.
 - (f) The moral fitness of the parents.
 - (g) The mental and physical health of the parents.

Page 19 of 32

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

15-00669A-16 2016668

- (h) The home, school, and community record of the child.
- (i) The reasonable preference of the child, if the court
 deems the child to be of sufficient intelligence, understanding,
 and experience to express a preference.

552

556

557

558

560

561

562

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

- (j) The demonstrated knowledge, capacity, <u>or and</u> disposition of each parent to be informed of the circumstances of the minor child, including, but not limited to, the child's friends, teachers, medical care providers, daily activities, and favorite things.
- (k) The demonstrated capacity \underline{or} and disposition of each parent to provide a consistent routine for the child, such as discipline, and daily schedules for homework, meals, and bedtime.
- (1) The demonstrated capacity of each parent to communicate with the other parent and keep the other parent informed of issues and activities regarding the minor child, and the willingness of each parent to adopt a unified front on all major issues when dealing with the child.
- (m) Evidence of domestic violence, sexual violence, child abuse, child abandonment, or child neglect, regardless of whether a prior or pending action relating to those issues has been brought. If the court accepts evidence of prior or pending actions regarding domestic violence, sexual violence, child abuse, child abandonment, or child neglect, the court must specifically acknowledge in writing that such evidence was considered when evaluating the best interests of the child.
- (n) Evidence that either parent has knowingly provided false information to the court regarding any prior or pending action regarding domestic violence, sexual violence, child

Page 20 of 32

15-00669A-16 2016668_

abuse, child abandonment, or child neglect.

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

- (o) The <u>demonstrated capacity or disposition of each parent</u> to perform or ensure the performance of particular parenting tasks customarily performed by <u>the other</u> each parent and the division of parental responsibilities before the institution of litigation and during the pending litigation, including the extent to which parenting responsibilities were undertaken by third parties.
- (p) The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each parent to participate and be involved in the child's school and extracurricular activities.
- (q) The demonstrated capacity and disposition of each parent to maintain an environment for the child which is free from substance abuse.
- (r) The capacity and disposition of each parent to protect the child from the ongoing litigation as demonstrated by not discussing the litigation with the child, not sharing documents or electronic media related to the litigation with the child, and refraining from disparaging comments about the other parent to the child.
- (s) The developmental stages and needs of the child and the demonstrated capacity and disposition of each parent to meet the child's developmental needs.
 - (t) The amount of time-sharing requested by each parent.
- (u) The frequency that a parent would likely leave the child in the care of a nonrelative on evenings and weekends when the other parent would be available and willing to provide care.
- $\underline{\text{(v)}}$ Any other factor that is relevant to the determination of a specific parenting plan, including the time-

Page 21 of 32

 ${f CODING: Words \ \underline{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{underlined}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

15-00669A-16 2016668

610 sharing schedule.

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

637

638

(4) A court order must be supported by written findings of fact if the order establishes an initial permanent time-sharing schedule that does not provide for substantially equal timesharing.

Section 4. Subsection (1) of section 61.14, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

 $\,$ 61.14 Enforcement and modification of support, maintenance, or alimony agreements or orders.—

(1) (a) When the parties enter into an agreement for payments for, or instead of, support, maintenance, or alimony, whether in connection with a proceeding for dissolution or separate maintenance or with any voluntary property settlement, or when a party is required by court order to make any payments, and the circumstances or the financial ability of either party changes or the child who is a beneficiary of an agreement or court order as described herein reaches majority after the execution of the agreement or the rendition of the order, either party may apply to the circuit court of the circuit in which the parties, or either of them, resided at the date of the execution of the agreement or reside at the date of the application, or in which the agreement was executed or in which the order was rendered, for an order decreasing or increasing the amount of support, maintenance, or alimony, and the court has jurisdiction to make orders as equity requires, with due regard to the changed circumstances or the financial ability of the parties or the child, decreasing, increasing, or confirming the amount of separate support, maintenance, or alimony provided for in the agreement or order. However, a court may not decrease or

Page 22 of 32

15-00669A-16 2016668 639 increase the duration of alimony provided for in the agreement 640 or order. A party is entitled to pursue an immediate 641 modification of alimony if the actual income earned by the other 642 party exceeds by at least 10 percent the amount imputed to that 643 party at the time the existing alimony award was determined and such circumstance shall constitute a substantial change in 644 645 circumstances sufficient to support a modification of alimony. 646 However, an increase in an alimony obligor's income alone does 647 not constitute a basis for a modification to increase alimony 648 unless at the time the alimony award was established it was 649 determined that the obligor was underemployed or unemployed and 650 the court did not impute income to that party at his or her 651 maximum potential income. If an alimony obligor becomes 652 involuntarily underemployed or unemployed for a period of 6 653 months following the entry of the last order requiring the payment of alimony, the obligor is entitled to pursue an 654 655 immediate modification of his or her existing alimony 656 obligations and such circumstance shall constitute a substantial 657 change in circumstance sufficient to support a modification of 658 alimony. A finding that medical insurance is reasonably 659 available or the child support guidelines schedule in s. 61.30 may constitute changed circumstances. Except as otherwise 660 661 provided in s. 61.30(11)(c), the court may modify an order of 662 support, maintenance, or alimony by increasing or decreasing the 663 support, maintenance, or alimony retroactively to the date of 664 the filing of the action or supplemental action for modification 665 as equity requires, giving due regard to the changed 666 circumstances or the financial ability of the parties or the 667 child.

Page 23 of 32

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

15-00669A-16 2016668_

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

(b)1. The court may reduce or terminate an award of alimony upon specific written findings by the court that since the granting of a divorce and the award of alimony a supportive relationship exists or has existed within the previous year before the date of the filing of the petition for modification or termination between the obligee and another a person with whom the obligee resides. On the issue of whether alimony should be reduced or terminated under this paragraph, the burden is on the obligor to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that a supportive relationship exists.

- 2. In determining whether an existing award of alimony should be reduced or terminated because of an alleged supportive relationship between an obligee and a person who is not related by consanguinity or affinity and with whom the obligee resides, the court shall elicit the nature and extent of the relationship in question. The court shall give consideration, without limitation, to circumstances, including, but not limited to, the following, in determining the relationship of an obligee to another person:
- a. The extent to which the obligee and the other person have held themselves out as a married couple by engaging in conduct such as using the same last name, using a common mailing address, referring to each other in terms such as "my husband" or "my wife," "my spouse" or otherwise conducting themselves in a manner that evidences a permanent supportive relationship.
- b. The period of time that the obligee has resided with the other person in a permanent place of abode.
- c. The extent to which the obligee and the other person have pooled their assets or income or otherwise exhibited ${\ }^{\circ}$

Page 24 of 32

15-00669A-16 2016668__

financial interdependence.

72.4

d. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has supported the other, in whole or in part.

- e. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has performed valuable services for the other.
- f. The extent to which the obligee or the other person has performed valuable services for the other's company or employer.
- g. Whether the obligee and the other person have worked together to create or enhance anything of value.
- h. Whether the obligee and the other person have jointly contributed to the purchase of any real or personal property.
- i. Evidence in support of a claim that the obligee and the other person have an express agreement regarding property sharing or support.
- j. Evidence in support of a claim that the obligee and the other person have an implied agreement regarding property sharing or support.
- k. Whether the obligee and the other person have provided support to the children of one another, regardless of any legal duty to do so.
- 1. Whether the obligor's failure, in whole or in part, to comply with all court-ordered financial obligations to the obligee constituted a significant factor in the establishment of the supportive relationship.
- 3. In any proceeding to modify an alimony award based upon a supportive relationship, the obligor has the burden of proof to establish, by a preponderance of the evidence, that a supportive relationship exists or has existed within the previous year before the date of the filing of the petition for

Page 25 of 32

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

15-00669A-16 2016668

modification or termination. The obligor is not required to prove cohabitation of the obligee and the third party.

- 4. Notwithstanding paragraph (f), if a reduction or termination is granted under this paragraph, the reduction or termination is retroactive to the date of filing of the petition for reduction or termination.
- 5.3. This paragraph does not abrogate the requirement that every marriage in this state be solemnized under a license, does not recognize a common law marriage as valid, and does not recognize a de facto marriage. This paragraph recognizes only that relationships do exist that provide economic support equivalent to a marriage and that alimony terminable on remarriage may be reduced or terminated upon the establishment of equivalent equitable circumstances as described in this paragraph. The existence of a conjugal relationship, though it may be relevant to the nature and extent of the relationship, is not necessary for the application of the provisions of this paragraph.
- (c)1. For purposes of this section, the remarriage of an alimony obligor does not constitute a substantial change in circumstance or a basis for a modification of alimony.
- 2. The financial information, including, but not limited to, information related to assets and income, of a subsequent spouse of a party paying or receiving alimony is inadmissible and may not be considered as a part of any modification action unless a party is claiming that his or her income has decreased since the marriage. If a party makes such a claim, the financial information of the subsequent spouse is discoverable and admissible only to the extent necessary to establish whether the

Page 26 of 32

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668 F

15-00669A-16 2016668 755 party claiming that his or her income has decreased is diverting 756 income or assets to the subsequent spouse that might otherwise 757 be available for the payment of alimony. However, this 758 subparagraph may not be used to prevent the discovery of or 759 admissibility in evidence of the income or assets of a party 760 when those assets are held jointly with a subsequent spouse. 761 This subparagraph is not intended to prohibit the discovery or 762 admissibility of a joint tax return filed by a party and his or 763 her subsequent spouse in connection with a modification of 764 alimony. 765

(d) 1. An obligor may file a petition for modification or termination of an alimony award based upon his or her actual retirement.

766 767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

775

776

777

778

779

780

781

782

783

- $\underline{\text{a. A substantial change in circumstance is deemed to exist}}$ if:
- (I) The obligor has reached the age for eligibility to receive full retirement benefits under s. 216 of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. s. 416, and has retired; or
- (II) The obligor has reached the customary retirement age for his or her occupation and has retired from that occupation.

 An obligor may file an action within 1 year of his or her anticipated retirement date and the court shall determine the customary retirement date for the obligor's profession. However, a determination of the customary retirement age is not an adjudication of a petition for a modification of an alimony award.
- b. If an obligor voluntarily retires before reaching any of the ages described in sub-subparagraph a., the court shall determine whether the obligor's retirement is reasonable upon

Page 27 of 32

 ${f CODING: Words \ \underline{stricken} \ are \ deletions; \ words \ \underline{underlined} \ are \ additions.}$

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

2016668

15-00669A-16

784	consideration of the obligor's age, health, and motivation for		
785	retirement and the financial impact on the obligee. A finding of		
786	reasonableness by the court shall constitute a substantial		
787	change in circumstance.		
788	2. Upon a finding of a substantial change in circumstance,		
789	there is a rebuttable presumption that an obligor's existing		
790	alimony obligation shall be modified or terminated. The court		
791	shall modify or terminate the alimony obligation, or make a		
792	determination regarding whether the rebuttable presumption has		
793	been overcome, based upon the following factors applied to the		
794	current circumstances of the obligor and obligee:		
795	a. The age of the parties.		
796	b. The health of the parties.		
797	c. The assets and liabilities of the parties.		
798	d. The earned or imputed income of the parties as provided		
799	<u>in s. 61.08(1)(a) and (5).</u>		
800	e. The ability of the parties to maintain part-time or		
801	<u>full-time</u> employment.		
802	f. Any other factor deemed relevant by the court.		
803	3. The court may temporarily reduce or suspend the		
804	obligor's payment of alimony while his or her petition for		
805	modification or termination under this paragraph is pending.		
806	(e) A party who unreasonably pursues or defends an action		
807	for modification of alimony shall be required to pay the		
808	reasonable attorney fees and costs of the prevailing party.		
809	Further, a party obligated to pay prevailing party attorney fees		
810	and costs in connection with unreasonably pursuing or defending		
811	an action for modification is not entitled to an award of		
812	attorney fees and costs in accordance with s. 61.16.		

Page 28 of 32

15-00669A-16 2016668

(f) There is a rebuttable presumption that a modification or termination of an alimony award is retroactive to the date of the filing of the petition, unless the obligee demonstrates that the result is inequitable.

 $\underline{(g)}$ (e) For each support order reviewed by the department as required by s. 409.2564(11), if the amount of the child support award under the order differs by at least 10 percent but not less than \$25 from the amount that would be awarded under s. 61.30, the department shall seek to have the order modified and any modification shall be made without a requirement for proof or showing of a change in circumstances.

 $\underline{\text{(h)-(d)}}$ The department $\underline{\text{may}}$ shall have authority to adopt rules to implement this section.

Section 5. Paragraph (d) is added to subsection (11) of section 61.30, Florida Statutes, to read:

61.30 Child support guidelines; retroactive child support.—
(11)

(d) Whenever a combined alimony and child support award constitutes more than 55 percent of the payor's net income, calculated without any consideration of alimony or child support obligations, the court shall adjust the award of child support to ensure that the 55 percent cap is not exceeded.

Section 6. Section 61.192, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

61.192 Advancing trial.—In an action brought pursuant to this chapter, if more than 2 years have passed since the initial petition was served on the respondent, either party may move the court to advance the trial of their action on the docket. This motion may be made at any time after 2 years have passed since

Page 29 of 32

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

15-00669A-16

842	the petition was served, and once made the court must give the
843	case priority on the court's calendar.
844	Section 7. Subsection (1) of section 61.1827, Florida
845	Statutes, is amended to read:
846	61.1827 Identifying information concerning applicants for
847	and recipients of child support services
848	(1) Any information that reveals the identity of applicants
849	for or recipients of child support services, including the name,
850	address, and telephone number of such persons, held by a non-
851	Title IV-D county child support enforcement agency is
852	confidential and exempt from s. $119.07(1)$ and s. $24(a)$ of Art. I
853	of the State Constitution. The use or disclosure of such
854	information by the non-Title IV-D county child support
855	enforcement agency is limited to the purposes directly connected
856	with:
857	(a) Any investigation, prosecution, or criminal or civil
858	proceeding connected with the administration of any non-Title
859	IV-D county child support enforcement program;
860	(b) Mandatory disclosure of identifying and location
861	information as provided in $\underline{s.~61.13(8)}$ $\underline{s.~61.13(7)}$ by the non-
862	Title IV-D county child support enforcement agency when
863	providing non-Title IV-D services;
864	(c) Mandatory disclosure of information as required by ss.
865	409.2577, 61.181 , 61.1825 , and 61.1826 and Title IV-D of the
866	Social Security Act; or
867	(d) Disclosure to an authorized person, as defined in 45
868	C.F.R. s. 303.15, for purposes of enforcing any state or federal
869	law with respect to the unlawful taking or restraint of a child
870	or making or enforcing a parenting plan. As used in this

Page 30 of 32

15-00669A-16 2016668

paragraph, the term "authorized person" includes a parent with whom the child does not currently reside, unless a court has entered an order under s. 741.30, s. 741.31, or s. 784.046.

Section 8. Subsection (1) of section 409.2579, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

409.2579 Safeguarding Title IV-D case file information.-

- (1) Information concerning applicants for or recipients of Title IV-D child support services is confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1). The use or disclosure of such information by the IV-D program is limited to purposes directly connected with:
- (a) The administration of the plan or program approved under part A, part B, part D, part E, or part F of Title IV; under Title II, Title X, Title XIV, Title XVI, Title XIX, or Title XX; or under the supplemental security income program established under Title XVI of the Social Security Act;
- (b) Any investigation, prosecution, or criminal or civil proceeding connected with the administration of any such plan or program;
- (c) The administration of any other federal or federally assisted program which provides service or assistance, in cash or in kind, directly to individuals on the basis of need;
- (d) Reporting to an appropriate agency or official, information on known or suspected instances of physical or mental injury, child abuse, sexual abuse or exploitation, or negligent treatment or maltreatment of a child who is the subject of a support enforcement activity under circumstances which indicate that the child's health or welfare is threatened thereby; and

Page 31 of 32

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 668

15-00669A-16 2016668

(e) Mandatory disclosure of identifying and location information as provided in $\underline{s.\ 61.13(8)}\ s.\ 61.13(7)$ by the IV-D program when providing Title IV-D services.

Section 9. The amendments made by this act to chapter 61, Florida Statutes, apply to all initial determinations of alimony and all alimony modification actions that are pending as of the effective date of this act, and to all initial determinations of alimony and all alimony modification actions brought on or after the effective date of this act. The enacting of this act may not serve as the sole basis for a party to seek a modification of an alimony award existing before the effective date of this act.

Section 10. This act shall take effect October 1, 2016.

Page 32 of 32

399458

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
Comm: FAV	•	
02/16/2016	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Judiciary (Diaz de la Portilla) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

2 3

1

Delete lines 242 - 257

4

and insert: shall be \$0.

5

6

7 8

9

10

0.25 x the years of marriage

the following formula:

Page 1 of 3

(b) Presumptive alimony duration range.—The low end of the

presumptive alimony duration range shall be calculated by using



11 12

The high end of the presumptive alimony duration range shall be calculated by using the following formula:

14 15

13

0.75 x the years of marriage

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

- (c) Exceptions to alimony guidelines.-
- 1. If a court establishes the duration of the alimony award at 50 percent or less of the length of the marriage, the court shall use the actual years of the marriage, up to a maximum of 25 years, to calculate the high end of the presumptive alimony amount range.
- 2. A court may award alimony in an amount that equalizes the income of the parties until the obligor retires upon reaching the age for eligibility for full retirement benefits under s. 216 of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. s. 416, or upon reaching the customary retirement age for his or her occupation if:
 - a. The duration of the marriage was at least 20 years;
- b. Pursuant to the mutual agreement or consent of the parties to the marriage, one spouse substantially refrained from economic, educational, or employment opportunities primarily for the purpose of contributing to the marriage through homemaking or child care activities; and
- c. The spouse seeking alimony even with additional education faces dramatically reduced opportunities to advance in a career.

38 39

This subparagraph should not be applied in a manner that



40	discourages a spouse from seeking additional education or		
41	employment opportunities.		
42			
43	========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========		
44	And the title is amended as follows:		
45	Delete line 17		
46	and insert:		
47	circumstances; specifying exceptions to the guidelines		
48	for the amount and duration of alimony awards;		
49	providing for awards of nominal alimony		



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Higher Education, Chair
Appropriations Subcommittee on Education
Fiscal Policy
Judiciary
Military and Veterans Affairs, Space, and Domestic
Security
Regulated Industries

JOINT COMMITTEE; Joint Committee on Public Counsel Oversight

SENATOR KELLI STARGEL 15th District

November 4, 2015

The Honorable Miguel Diaz de la Portilla Senate Judiciary Committee, Chair 406 Senate Office Building 404 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Chair Diaz de la Portilla:

I respectfully request that SB 668, related to Family Law, be placed on the committee agenda at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your consideration and please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Kelli Stargel

State Senator, District 15

Cc: Tom Cibula/ Staff Director Joyce Butler/ AA

REPLY TO:

☐ 2033 East Edgewood Drive, Suite 1, Lakeland, Florida 33803

☐ 324 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5015

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Sanatanana Sanatana Sanatanana Sanatanana Sanatanana Sanatanana Sanatanana Sanatana Sanatanana Sanatana Sanatanana Sanatanana Sanatanana Sanatanan	— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
- alimonia	304652 by Sen
Topic Ulmany	Amendment Barcode (iflapplicable)
Name_lizabeth Willis	- Sito
Job Title	
Address 3/60 Rleus tone Court	Phone 850 871 0082
Street	
- 1 allahassee, Ha	Email-BIBWILLISO
City State Zip	comatint
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking:	peaking: In Support Against
(The Cha	ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing <u>Self</u>	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)	668
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic 300	0652
Topic Amendr	nent Barcode (if applicable)
Name Outher Delice	
Job Title	
Address Street Phone 28	3-3969
City State Zip Email barbara	devine 10
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Sup	
Representing(The Chair will read this information in the chair will read the c	tion into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislatu	re: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to spe meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible ca	eak to be heard at this an be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

50668

/ APPEARANCE REC	- ·· -—
(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professions	al Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic VAMY CAN	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name AZAN Friske	
Job Title President FAMIN (AW)Rope	— ~
Address 6550 N. Willen Pd	
Street Alefborn A 32940	Email ANN. Foske & guillon
City State Zip	
Speaking: For Against Information Waive	Speaking: In Support Against nair will read this information into the record.)
Representing YAML AN Paper	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis	stered with Legislature: Yes 🔄 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a neeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as man	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this by persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

58668

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Address _ Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Appearing at request of Chair: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

2-1616 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator	or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic <u>Climony</u>	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name (Africa 1) heelet	
Job Title	
Address P.D.Boy 82	Phone
Street South Rock	Email (C) (2) Mill (M) (M)
City	Zip Zip
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing SCH	(1710 Onali Will rodd tino illiothadolf lifto the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remark	may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14):

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Address Against Speaking: For Information Waive Speaking: X In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

Senator Soto (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Job Title SWULVOY Address 3 Email MARTICLE State Zip Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

50

THE FLORIDA SENATE

2-16-16 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or	Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic ALimony Reform	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Camille Filleash	
Job Title Victim + SURVIVOR O. F.Do	mestic volence
Address Street	Phone 62
City State	Email Contlictures hourse'
Speaking: Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing MY 5010	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	_obbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time n meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks	nay not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	\$ 001 (10(14)14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Senator Soto

2-16-16 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senato	r or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Alimony Reform / 50-50	306652— Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Jurdan Miles	
Job Title Home renovator	
Address 1498 Stafford Avenue	Phone 321-750-8287
Merritt Island FL City State	Phone 321-750-8287 32952 Email jurdan miles 23 @yahoo zip
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Self	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their reman	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting) 50+0
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Alimony Roturn / 50,50 Timestaning	3 06 652 Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Suzane Przystawski	•
Job Title Attaches	
Address 33300 Tentsbury Br.	Phone 352-409-3575
Leaburg FL 3488 City State Zip	Email przyclanehomalica
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: (The Cha	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing Se (F	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

Senator Soto

2-16-18	H copies of this form to the Sena	tor or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting) 668 ammed mut
Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
1/2 0.0	lea to		306652
Topic Alimony Reform	50-50		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Elizabeth Newmen	er		-
Job Title Veterinary Tech	nician		_
Address 5380 Fishtail	alm	***************************************	Phone (321) 652-4899
Cocoa	FL	32927	Email rockintuig 32194 @ gmail. con
City	State	Zip	
Speaking: For Against	Information		peaking: In Support Against hir will read this information into the record.)
Representing Se	1+		
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encou meeting. Those who do speak may be	rage public testimony, tir e asked to limit their rem	ne may not permit all arks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public reco	rd for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Sinater solo

2-16-16 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting) 668 a Midnet
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Alimony Reform 50-50	306652 Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name 10 @11 @1 11/105	
Job Title Student	•
Address 1498 Stafferd Ale	Phone 321-453-7639
Merritt Isl 7L 32952 City State Zip	Email
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking:	peaking:
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Senator Soto

2.6-16 Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH cor	ies of this form to the Si	enator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting) 6 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9
Topic Alimony Refo Name Theresa Mil	rm/50-5	0	30 665 L Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title Mom			
Address 1498 Staffer	d Are		Phone 321-795-7890
M.T.	7L State	3295 2 Zip	Email thorsam, los logmalia
Speaking: For Against	Information	Waive S	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing Self		(7770 0710	" Will rodd tino imorridaion into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage meeting. Those who do speak may be as	public testimony, ked to limit their re	time may not permit all emarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record fo	or this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECOR	RD Senctor So to
(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staf	ff conducting the meeting) 668 amendmen
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
	30 665 Q
Topic Alimony Reform, 50/50 time share	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Topic Alimony Reform, 50/50 time share Name Vicki Stoughton	
Job Title Physical Therapist Assistant	
Street	Phone 321-543-875/
Merritt Is/AND F1. 32952 City State Zip	Email Stovicki@gmail.com
	eaking: X In Support Against will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes X No Lobbyist register	red with Legislature: Yes 🔀 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all pe meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many pe	ersons wishing to speak to be heard at this ersons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

SAOS

THE FLORIDA SENATE

(Deliver I	3OTH copies of this form to the Senato	r or Senate Professional	Staff conducting the meeting)	668
Meeting Date	W. (fa			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Aliwony	Recorm		<u>3()</u> Amend	() (50) ment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Robin 1	Zefrourz	74	_	
Job Title Attorwey	1 Zenico Ad	Visor	-	
Address 405 Street	salvas Came	, ₍₁₎	Phone 954	-644-2323
Mount T	State	32757	Email tobin	<u>rehouseal</u>
City	State	Zip	- July	Licia
Speaking: For Agair	nst Information		peaking: In Sup air will read this informa	
Representing 5615	104			
Appearing at request of Chai	ir: Yes No	Lobbyist regis	tered with Legislatu	re: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to end meeting. Those who do speak may	ourage public testimony, time be asked to limit their remai	e may not permit al ks so that as many	l persons wishing to sp persons as possible c	eak to be heard at this an be heard.
This form is part of the public re				S-001 (10/14/14)

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator	or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) (a) (b) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d) (d
Topic Minory Rofin 50/50	
Name Frontes Potsa	
Job Title Cashro	
Address Por Por 7297	Phone 38 6-232-8382
Tallahouse FL 32314 City State	Email
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Self	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their reman	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

AFFLARANCE RECU	·
(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional St Meeting Date	aff conducting the meeting) Solution Solution
Topic Office Strong Strong South Topics Name Philan has that con	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title Sha	·
Address POR7297	Phone 386-232-8382
City FC 32314 State Zip	Email-
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Sp	eaking: In Support Against will read this information into the record.)
Representing Self	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all μ meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many p	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	C 004 (40/44/44)

	nator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
<u>Feb 16, 2016</u>	668 Amend.
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
	Stimeshave 306652. Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Topic Almony Keform /50/5	(if applicable)
Name Deborah Gray	
Job Title RN, BSN - Patient, Chilo	L& Disability Advancate
Address San Mala Confeder Color	Phone
Street- ENSacola R 328	503 Email
City State	Zip
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Watronel Clague	of Women Oters of R
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, to meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their rer	time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this marks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name James Horrisberge Job Title Connercial Phone_863-528-1075 Email Zip For Against Speaking: Information Waive Speaking: Sin Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECO (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting)
Topic ALIMANY REFORM Name RANCE ROWER	Bill Number (if applicable) 30652 Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title Address Street USMA, FL 3467 City State Zip	Phone 813-781-3266 Email:
Representing TAMILY AW REJORM	peaking: In Support Against hir will read this information into the record.) Therefore the record of the record of the record of the record of the record.

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) February 16, 2016 SB 668 Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Topic Family Law; Requiring a court to consider certain alimony factors Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Steven Schang MD, FACP, FACC Job Title Retired Cardiologist permanent alimony payor Address 707 E Cervantes St Suite B123 Phone 850-324-6915 Street Pensacola FL 32501 Email steven@schang.com City State Zip Speaking: Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Appearing at request of Chair: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

2/4/6 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 5B 66%			
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)		
Name Robert Showers	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)		
Name Kobert Showers	a		
Job Title President Owner OF "Any Lab Testo W	bW /		
Address 10622 Brampton Dr. Apt 203	Phone		
176M7H + L. 33626	Email		
City State Zip			
	peaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)		
Representing Myself - I wish to	> speat-		
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	tered with Legislature: Yes No		
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all	Il nersons wishing to speak to be heard at this		

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14),

Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional	
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic FAMILY LAW	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name LARRY BUTAH	-
Job Title	
Address $1/2/5-3$ ROST E.	Phone 813-291-0665
The george Scano Fc, 33706 City State Zip	Email LARLY. BUT ALL VERIZON
Speaking: VFor Against Information Waive	Speaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing FLORION FAMILY LAW K	REFORM
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis	stered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as man	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this by persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Se	nate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Name Sulvius De Vane	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title MS	
Address LAS E. Dreward	5t Phone 222-3969
Talleharry (32300	Email bushbaraderane Le
City	Zip Xarun Corri
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against
Representing	(The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lo	bbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so	y not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this of that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Simple Reserve	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name (-
Job Title Victim of towns of Uiole	
Address Strong Day	Phone 941 726 8 559
Street City State Zip	Email Karestibrizz, egaho
Speaking: For Against Information Waive S	peaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	tered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	l persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Address 306 And Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Meeting Pate (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Numb	er (if applicable)
Topic Alimony Reform Amendment Barco	ode (if applicable)
Name Camille Fileash	
Job Title Domostic Violence, Survivor "Disabled"	
Address Street Phone Street	#152
City State Zip Email Challed	BADAGAI
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the	Against
Representing M / SEF	764
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature:	Yes 📈 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be hear	heard at this rd.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

S-001 (10/14/14)

2-16-16 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senate	or or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 5 B 66 F
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Jurdan Miles	
Job Title Home renovator	
Address 1498 Stafford Avenue	Phone 32/-750-8267
Merritt Island FL City State	320152 Email Jordanmiles 23 or yakoro,
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Self	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, tim meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their rema	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this rks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH C	copies of this form to the Senator of	or Senate Professional Staff conducting t	he meeting)
Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Almong Real	Park / 50	150 Dine Sharing	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title Attorney	7		
	UKSWIG DO	Phone 3	52-409-3575
Street Leesburg City	FL 347	$\frac{88}{Zip}$ Email p	rz ClanChitmailican
Speaking: For Against	Information	Waive Speaking: [(The Chair will read th	In Support Against information into the record.)
Representing State			
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No			
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage meeting. Those who do speak may be a	ge public testimony, time asked to limit their remark	may not permit all persons wis s so that as many persons as p	hing to speak to be heard at this possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record	for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

2-16-18	enator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 515 66 F
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic <u>SB 668</u>	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Elizabeth Newmeyer	
Job Title Veterinary Technician	
Address 5380 Fishtail palm Street	Phone (321) 652-4899
Cocoa FL City State	32927 Email rockintuig 32194@amail.com
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing <u>Self</u>	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes — No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their re	time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this marks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Job Title **Address Email** State For Speaking: Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the S	enator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) SBBB Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Theresa Miles	
Job Title	
Address 1498 Stafford Ace	Phone 321-795-7810
MI, 7L City State	32952 Email Theresamiles leg mailice
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing <u>Self</u>	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their re	time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this marks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

2-16-16 Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional	Staff conducting the meeting) SBBBB Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Alimony	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Vicki Stoughton	 -
Job Title Physical Therapist assistant	_
Address 1540 Coral St.	Phone 321-543-875/
Merritt Is (AND F1 32952 City State Zip	Email. Stovicki Qgmail.com
Speaking: For Against Information Waive S	peaking: In Support X Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	tered with Legislature: Yes X No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	I persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

2-16-16	Total to the Condition	or ochate i rolessional e	CS
Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Almong Kolova	i dig		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Robert Refrource			, ,,,
Job Title Altoney Senior	Advis) ¥	
Address Street	(ane		Phone 954-644-2323
City City	State	30757	Email volon · patroulez a
		Zip	gwall com
Speaking: For Against Infor	mation		peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing ————————————————————————————————————	,,,,,-,,,,		
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes	No	Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public t meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to lii	testimony, time mit their remar	e may not permit all ks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this n			S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional	al Staff conducting the meeting)	
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)	
Topic	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)	
Name Jennifer Dotson		
Job Title Coshier		
Address Street	_ Phone <u> </u>	
tallchassee FL 32314	Email	
City State Zip		
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)		
Representing Set-		
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No		
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as man	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this by persons as possible can be heard.	
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)	

2-16-16	opies of this form to the Se	enator or Senate Professional	Staff conducting the meeting)	668
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic <u> </u>		<u> </u>	Amendi	ment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Rhiannon	DO+50		_	
Job Title Student	Name of the last o			
Address <u>Poblic Box</u>	297		_ Phone <u>3 & 6</u>	-232-8382
<u>Tallakassee</u>	State	32314 Zip	Email-	
Speaking: For Against	Information	Waive S	Speaking: In Sup air will read this informa	port Against
Representing Set	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7/7		
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist regis	tered with Legislatu	re: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourag meeting. Those who do speak may be a	je public testimony, sked to limit their re	time may not permit a marks so that as many	II persons wishing to spe persons as possible ca	eak to be heard at this an be heard.
This form is part of the public record			·	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Job Title Address Phone Email City State Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Appearing at request of Chair: X Yes Lobbyist registered with Legislature: | While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

Meeting Date	n or ochate i folessional	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Alimony		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name James Horrisberger		· -
Job Title Compercial Pilot		
Address 8803 Bay Pointe Dr		Phone 863-518-1675
Tampa FL City State	33615 Zip	Email
Speaking: For Against Information		Speaking: In Support Against hair will read this information into the record.)
Representing		
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist regis	stered with Legislature: Yes 🔪 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, tim meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remain	e may not permit a rks so that as many	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ny persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)

Representing Against AW LAW LOVM

State

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

Lobbyist registered with Legislature:

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

City

Appearing at request of Chair:

S-001 (10/14/14)

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Se	enator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (it applicable)
Topic Almony Name Whata Whata	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title AVIVO FO	
Address DBOX BZ Street Street 71	Phone 51 - 4579754 3343 Email Copyrights and Copyr
Speaking: For Against Information Representing	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their rem	time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this marks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

A	215660
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic FARNILY LAW REFORM	299438 Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Krith Jahusah	
Job Title Privile Courte	
Address 165 Crespin St	Phone 321-431-6800
MERMIT DLAND FL. 32 City State Z	952 Email Krishuser of yahoo. Con
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Arm Type KRIF	h
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobby	ist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that	permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

2 16 2016 (Deliver BOTH co	opies of this form to the Senator	or Senate Professional Si	aff conducting the meeting)	668
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Fa 1	/ A)		399	458 - De la Brille
Topic	1 CHW	118 th	Amendme	ent Barcode (if applicable)
Name AZAN Frish	e	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Job Title President -	Family LAN	Retorn		
Address 6550 N-1	Wil Khan Rd		Phone <u>34-24.</u>	V7524
Melbirn	A		Email AZAN,	nothe comment.
City	State	Zip	• ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Speaking: For Against	Information		eaking: [] In Supp	
Representing FAMILY L	AN Reform	100		
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislature	e: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourag meeting. Those who do speak may be as	e public testimony, time sked to limit their remarl	may not permit all ks so that as many p	persons wishing to spea persons as possible car	ak to be heard at this n be heard.
This form is part of the public record f	or this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECU	RU
(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Alimana a	, ,
Topic	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Gree Hound	, .,
Job Title	
Address 9166 Sunte In	Phone
Street Aary 0 Fla, 33773 City State Zip	Email
	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing Phellas County Plothala C	overnment Corruption
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes 🔀 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

DIaz

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Job Title Veterinary Address 5380 FL Email rockintwig32194 @ gmail State Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: | In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Diaz

2 / 6 / 6 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	taff conducting the meeting) 668 Gment.
Meeting Date	39 945 S
Topic - Harry Rutha / 50, 50 / Imham	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Phian Data	
Job Title Shoot	
Address Porange 7297	Phone 386-232-8382
City State Zip	Email
	peaking: In Support Against fr will read this information into the record.)
Representing Se	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all presented in the second second in the seco	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

Traz

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional St	668 amedred
Topic Alreany Reform 50/50 Timestanon	Bill Number (if applicable) 395458 Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Jennice Patca) Amendment barcode (ii applicable)
Job Title Cashre	
Address POROX 7297	Phone 386-237-8382
City State Zip	Email
	eaking: In Support Against will read this information into the record.)
Representing Sel	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all p meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many p	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD Dia	7
To 16 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number	Mendhat er (if applicable)
Topic Alimony Reform 50-50 Amendment Barcon	de (if applicable)
Name Theresa Miles	
Job Title <u>Mom</u>	
Address 1498 Stafford Ace Phone 321-795-	7810
Meritt IS 7/ 32952 Email Theresa Miles	legmadice
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the	Against e record.)
Representing Self good Try-need impact study Ist c	<u></u>
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature:	Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be I meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be hear	neard at this d.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

2 16 16 Meeting Date

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

SB668

Bill Number (if applicable)

	Bili Number (if applicable)
Topic Florida Alimony Reform Name De Borah Shu Ltz	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title Medical Doctor	_
Address 27205 Hawks Nest Circle	Phone 8/3 - 431-3231
Wesley Chapel Fla 33544 City J State Zip	Emaild Shultz md@asl.com
	peaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	tered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit ali meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	I persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable). Name Loci Barkus Job Title HHOrner Address <u>0863</u> Executive State Speaking: Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

2/16/16	" SB 668
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Alimony Amer	ndment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Caren Rose	
Job Title Speech Language Pathologist	
Address 2010 M. 50th Ave Phone 754-	581-2904
1111 120	rarose@yahoo.com
Speaking: V For Against Information Waive Speaking: In State (The Chair will read this information)	
Representing Myself	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legisla	ture: Yes Vo
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to s meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible	speak to be heard at this can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

21.0 1 2010	or or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic A LI MONY REFORM	Bill Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name NATACIE SOHN	
Job Title	
Address 8714 Thousand Pines	<u>Circle</u> Phone 561 346-4268
City FL 334 State	Email h sobgyna a of con
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing MYSFLF	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, timmeeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remains	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this rks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conduc	ting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Jaco	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name (MAY HOHMAN	
Job Title Donath Abuse Holor	
Address Street Phone	·561-1693-8734
city State Zip Email	Mayhoffman Ta
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: (The Chair will rea	In Support Against d this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered wit	th Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons	wishing to speak to be heard at this as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

Q - 16 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)	50	668
Meeting Date	Bill Number	if applicable)
Topic ALIMONY REFORM Amend	lment Barcode	(if applicable)
Name_BOBNYKOVACH		
Job Title SALES		
Address 7375 VETEKANO MEMDRIA (Phone 250)	405	683
TALLAHA 55 60 FC 3230 Email 300303	YKOV	ACH 1
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Sup (The Chair will read this Information)		· (O M Against record.)
Representing		
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislatu	ıre: Ye	s No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to sp meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible c	eak to be hea an be heard.	ard at this
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.		-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator	or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Name LINGA MILLOWITZ, Jo	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title	
Address 2542 Arthur's Court	Phone 850, 559, 1312
Street City State	3230) Email LMKbwitz Daol, com
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes V No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remark	may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ss so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senate	or or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)	Ĉo(08
Meeting Date		Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic	Amendi	ment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Rhiggmon Dotson		
Job Title Student		
Address Street	Phone	
Tallabusec FL	39314 Email	
City State	Zip	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Sup (The Chair will read this informa	
Representing		
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislatu	re: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, tim meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their rema	ne may not permit all persons wishing to sp rks so that as many persons as possible ca	eak to be heard at this an be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.		S_001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the S	Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Solution	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Juniter Dutson	
Job Title	
Address VIII	Phone
Street (a) ah who ce	334 Email
City State	Zip
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
	r, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this emarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic
Name () () () () () () () () () (
Job Title Domeste Abus Afracete
Address 8053 101110 lone Phone 561-693-8731
City Wellington FT 33/11 Email Orayhoffman Ta
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

2 We-16 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional	Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Alinione	
Name Bulaia Delline	- Maydele Portilla
Job Title 1/5	_
Address 625 E. Grena St.	Phone 222:3969
Street L 32308	Email ballundering IR
City State Zip	Jahn Cor
	peaking: In Support Against
Representing (The Cha	air will read this information into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis	tered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	ll persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

S-001 (10/14/14)

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator	or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic 11 1000 10/ 50:50	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title	
Address Street Os (10 PC)	Phone 561-667-9954
City State	Zip Email CO DOMA LEPOZO CO
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remark	may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this as so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Job Title Commercia Address 3 Phone 863-528-1075 Email Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD Comparison of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Meeting Date APPEARANCE RECORD Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic ACIMMY Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name TECCANUL POWEC
Job Title
Address $16000000000000000000000000000000000000$
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 668 Bill Number (if applicable Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Address Phone Street **Email** City State Speaking: Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: No While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

Draz

S-001 (10/14/14)

Meeting Date Convenies of this form to the deflator of deflate Froiessional Staff Conducting the fleeting) Convenies of this form to the deflator of deflate Froiessional Staff Conducting the fleeting)
39945-8
Name Juzynne It zu Struski
Job Title Attorney
Address 33300 / EN KSBUN Phone 352. 405-3575
Leeskun Fr 3788 Email Przyclan Chotmas / Com
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Setf
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

Diaz

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Job Title Address Street State **Against** Speaking: Information Waive Speaking: In Support **∤**Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: No While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

bos amendment

2-16-16	668 amendmen
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic alimony Reform 50/50 timeshare Name Vicki Stoughton.	399458 Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Vicki Stoughton.	-
Job Title Physical Therapist assistant	
Address 1540 Poral St.	Phone 321-543-8751
Street Morrett Island F/ 32952 City State Zip	Email_Stovicki@gmail.com
	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes 🔀 No Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature: Yes 🔀 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

DIAZ PORt

(Deliver BOTH copies of th	nis form to the Senator or Senate	Professional Staff conducting	the meeting)
Monting Data			3566
Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic ALimony Res	<u>òem</u>		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Camille tiveas/	7		
Job Title Domestic Violence	e Survivor's	Disabled/	
Address 5789 TRULUC	KAU	Phone_	850686-1452
Street	32570	Email (Eamillo Piveash @ ma
City	State .	Zip	Ash
Speaking: For Against Inf	ormation	Waive Speaking: [(The Chair will read to	In Support Against his information into the record.)
Representing MySelf			
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes	No Lobby	vist registered with	Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to	c testimony, time may no limit their remarks so tha	et permit all persons wis at as many persons as	shing to speak to be heard at this possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this	meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Daz

	or or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Alimony Reform/50-5	399458
	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Jordan Miles	
Job Title home renovator	
Address 1498 Stafford Avenue	Phone 321-750-8287
Merritt Island FL City State	32952 Email Jordan miles B o yakoo
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Self	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remar	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Diaz

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senato	or or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) (68 amendmut Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Alimony Reform / 50-50	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name banaMIIES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Job Title Student	
Address 1498 Stafford Ace	Phone 321-453-7633
MT 7L City State	32952 Email
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing 500	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, tim meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remain	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this rks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Address 306 Zip Speaking: Against Information In Support 🖰 Waive Speaking: (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: No While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) **Topic** Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Job Title Address Street Information Speaking: For Against Waive Speaking: In Support [∤]Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Pre	epared By: T	he Professional	Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judiciary	
BILL:	CS/SB 1034					
INTRODUCER:	Judiciary Committee and Senator Simmons					
SUBJECT:	Health Care Providers					
DATE:	February 1	17, 2016	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
. Lloyd		Stovall		HP	Favorable	
2. Davis		Cibula		JU	Fav/CS	
3.				RC		

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 1034 allows a free clinic using volunteer health care providers to receive a grant or legislative appropriation to support the delivery of services while retaining the sovereign immunity protections under existing law. This financial support may be used to employ providers to supplement, coordinate, or otherwise support the volunteers.

The definition of a health care provider or provider in the Access to Health Care Act is expanded to include a pharmacy or licensed pharmacist. Accordingly, a pharmacy or pharmacy providing services under the act is given sovereign immunity as an agent of the state.

The bill also provides that employees and agents of the free clinics are protected from lawsuits under the state's sovereign immunity protections.

II. Present Situation:

Access to Health Care Act

Section 766.1115, F.S., is entitled "The Access to Health Care Act" (the act). It was enacted in 1992 to encourage health care providers to provide care to low-income persons. The act is

¹ Low-income persons are defined in the act as a person who is Medicaid-eligible, a person who is without health insurance and whose family income does not exceed 200 percent of the federal poverty level, or any eligible client of the Department of Health who voluntarily chooses to participate in a program offered or approved by the department. Section 766.1115(3)(e),

administered by the Department of Health (department) through the Volunteer Health Services Program.² Volunteers complete an enrollment application with the department which requires a personal reference and background checks.³

The act extends sovereign immunity to health care providers who execute a contract with a governmental contractor and who, as agents of the state, provide volunteer, uncompensated health care services to low-income individuals. These health care providers are considered agents of the state under s. 768.28(9), F.S., for purposes of extending sovereign immunity while acting within the scope of duties required under the act.

A contract under the act must pertain to volunteer, uncompensated services. For services to qualify as volunteer, uncompensated services, the health care provider must receive no compensation from the governmental contractor for any services provided under the contract and must not bill or accept compensation from the recipient or any public or private third-party payor for the specific services provided to the low-income recipients covered by the contract.⁴

Health care providers under the act include:⁵

- A birth center licensed under ch. 383, F.S.⁶
- An ambulatory surgical center licensed under ch. 395, F.S.⁷
- A hospital licensed under ch. 395, F.S.⁸
- A physician or physician assistant licensed under ch. 458, F.S.⁹
- An osteopathic physician or osteopathic physician assistant licensed under ch. 459, F.S. 10
- A chiropractic physician licensed under ch. 460, F.S.¹¹
- A podiatric physician licensed under ch. 461, F.S.¹²
- A registered nurse, nurse midwife, licensed practical nurse, or advanced registered nurse practitioner licensed or registered under part I of ch. 464, F.S., or any facility that employs nurses licensed or registered under part I of ch. 464, F.S., to supply all or part of the care delivered under the act.¹³
- A dentist or dental hygienist licensed under ch. 466, F.S.¹⁴

F.S. A single individual whose annual income does not exceed \$23,540 is at 200 percent of the federal poverty level using Medicaid data. *See 2015 Poverty Guidelines, Annual Guidelines* (September 3, 2015), *available at* http://aspe.hhs.gov/poverty/15poverty.cfm.

² See Florida Dep't of Health, Division of Public Health Statistics and Performance Management, Volunteer Health Services, available at http://www.floridahealth.gov/provider-and-partner-resources/getting-involved-in-public-health/volunteerism-volunteer-opportunities/index.html (last visited Jan. 8, 2016); and Rule Chapter 64I-2, F.A.C.

³ Florida Dep't of Health, Division of Public Health Statistics and Performance Management, *Volunteer Services Policy*, pp. 12-13, *available at* http://www.floridahealth.gov/provider-and-partner-resources/getting-involved-in-public-health/volunteer-health-services-opportunities/VHS2PolicyDOHP380-7-14.pdf (last visited Feb. 5, 2016).

⁴ Section 766.1115(3)(a), F.S.

⁵ Section 766.1115(3)(d), F.S.

⁶ Section 766.1115(3)(d)1., F.S.

⁷ Section 766.1115(3)(d)2., F.S.

⁸ Section 766.1115(3)(d)3., F.S.

⁹ Section 766.1115(3)(d)4., F.S.

¹⁰ Section 766.1115(3)(d)5., F.S.

¹¹ Section 766.1115(3)(d)6., F.S.

¹² Section 766.1115(3)(d)7., F.S.

¹³ Section 766.1115(3)(d)8., F.S.

¹⁴ Section 766.1115(3)(d)13., F.S.

- A midwife licensed under ch. 467, F.S. 15
- A health maintenance organization certificated under part I of ch. 641, F.S. 16
- A health care professional association and its employees or a corporate medical group and its employees. 17
- Any other medical facility the primary purpose of which is to deliver human medical diagnostic services or which delivers nonsurgical human medical treatment, and which includes an office maintained by a provider.¹⁸
- A free clinic that delivers only medical diagnostic services or nonsurgical medical treatment free of charge to all low-income recipients.¹⁹
- Any other health care professional, practitioner, provider, or facility under contract with a
 governmental contractor, including a student enrolled in an accredited program that prepares
 the student for licensure as a physician, physician assistant, nurse, or midwife.²⁰
- Any nonprofit corporation qualified as exempt from federal income taxation under s. 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, and described in s. 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code, that delivers health care services provided by the listed licensed professionals, any federally funded community health center, and any volunteer corporation or volunteer health care provider that delivers health care services.

A governmental contractor is defined in the act as the department, a county health department, a special taxing district having health care responsibilities, or a hospital owned and operated by a governmental entity.²¹

The act further specifies additional contract requirements. The contract must provide that:

- The governmental contractor retains the right of dismissal or termination of any health care provider delivering services under the contract.
- The governmental contractor has access to the patient records of any health care provider delivering services under the contract.
- The health care provider must report adverse incidents and information on treatment outcomes.
- The governmental contractor or the health care provider must make patient selection and initial referrals.
- The health care provider is subject to supervision and regular inspection by the governmental contractor. 22
- The health care provider must accept all referred patients; however, the contract may specify limits on the number of patients to be referred. ²³

¹⁵ Section 766.1115(3)(d)9., F.S.

¹⁶ Section 766.1115(3)(d)10., F.S.

¹⁷ Section 766.1115(3)(d)11., F.S.

¹⁸ Section 766.1115(3)(d)12., F.S.

¹⁹ Section 766.1115(3)(d)14., F.S.

²⁰ Section 766.1115(3)(d)15., F.S.

²¹ Section 766.1115(3)(c), F.S.

²² Section 766.1115(4), F.S.

²³ Rule 64I-2.003(2), F.A.C.

The governmental contractor must provide written notice to each patient, or the patient's legal representative, receipt of which must be acknowledged in writing, that the provider is covered under s. 768.28, F.S., for purposes of legal actions alleging medical negligence.²⁴

According to the department, from July 1, 2014, through June 30, 2015, 12,569 licensed health care volunteers (plus an additional 9,938 clinic staff volunteers) provided 373,588 health care patient visits with a total value of donated goods and services of more than \$271 million, under the act.²⁵ The Florida Department of Financial Services, Division of Risk Management, reported that as of January 7, 2015, that 10 claims had been filed against the Volunteer Health Care Provider Program under s. 766.1115, F.S., since February 15, 2000.²⁶

Legislative Appropriation to Free and Charitable Clinics

The use of prior fiscal year appropriations by the Florida Association of Free and Charitable Clinics under the act had been restricted to clinic capacity building purposes via the contract with the department which distributed the appropriations. Clinic capacity building was limited to products or processes that increase skills, infrastructure, and resources of clinics. The department did not authorize these funds to be used to build capacity through the employment of clinical personnel.

The department cautiously interpreted the provision in the act relating to volunteer, uncompensated services, which states that a health care provider must receive no compensation from the governmental contractor for any services provided under the contract. Accordingly, the department's interpretation precluded the use of the appropriation for this purpose.

The Florida Association of Free and Charitable Clinics received a \$9.5 million appropriation in the 2015-2016 General Appropriations Act through the department.²⁷ However, this fiscal year's appropriation was vetoed by the Governor "because the funds could not be used for services, and therefore it is not a statewide priority for improving cost, quality, and access in healthcare."²⁸

Sovereign Immunity

The term "sovereign immunity" originally referred to the English common law concept that the government may not be sued because "the King can do no wrong." Sovereign immunity bars lawsuits against the state or its political subdivisions for the torts of officers, employees, or agents of those governments unless the immunity is expressly waived.

Article X, section 13 of the Florida Constitution recognizes the concept of sovereign immunity and gives the Legislature the power to waive immunity in part or in full by general law.

²⁴ Section 766.1115(5), F.S.

²⁵ Florida Dep't of Health, *Volunteer Health Services 2014-2015 Annual Report* (December 1, 2015), *available at* http://www.floridahealth.gov/provider-and-partner-resources/getting-involved-in-public-health/volunteer-health-services-opportunities/VHS1415annualreport.pdf (last visited Jan. 7, 2016).

²⁶ Id at A-1.

²⁷ Chapter 2015-232, Laws of Fla., line item 441.

²⁸ Governor Rick Scott, *Veto Message to Secretary of State Ken Detzner* (June 23, 2015), p. 35, *available at* http://www.flgov.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/Transmittal%20Letter%206.23.15%20-%20SB%202500-A.pdf (last visited Jan. 7, 2016).

Section 768.28, F.S., contains the limited waiver of sovereign immunity applicable to the state. Under this statute, officers, employees, and agents of the state will not be held personally liable in tort or named as a party defendant in any action for any injury or damage suffered as a result of any act, event, or omission of action in the scope of her or his employment or function. However, personal liability may result from actions committed in bad faith or with malicious purpose or in a manner exhibiting wanton and willful disregard of human rights, safety, or property.

Instead, the state steps in as the party litigant and defends against the claim. The recovery by any one person is limited to \$200,000 for one incident and the total for all recoveries related to one incident is limited to \$300,000.²⁹ The sovereign immunity recovery caps do not prevent a plaintiff from obtaining a judgment in excess of the caps, but the plaintiff cannot recover the excess damages without action by the Legislature.³⁰

Whether sovereign immunity applies turns on the degree of control of the agent of the state retained by the state.³¹ In *Stoll v. Noel*, the Florida Supreme Court explained that independent contractor physicians may be agents of the state for purposes of sovereign immunity:

One who contracts on behalf of another and subject to the other's control except with respect to his physical conduct is an agent and also independent contractor.³²

The court examined the employment contract between the physicians and the state to determine whether the state's right to control was sufficient to create an agency relationship and held that it did.³³ The court explained:

Whether CMS [Children's Medical Services] physician consultants are agents of the state turns on the degree of control retained or exercised by CMS. This Court has held that the right to control depends upon the terms of the employment contract. . . . CMS requires each consultant, as a condition of participating in the CMS program, to agree to abide by the terms published in its HRS³⁴ Manual and CMS Consultant's Guide which contain CMS policies and rules governing its relationship with the consultants. The Consultant's Guide states that all services provided to CMS patients must be authorized in advance by the clinic medical director. The language of the HRS Manual ascribes to CMS responsibility to supervise and direct the medical care of all CMS patients and supervisory authority over all personnel. The manual also grants to the CMS medical director absolute authority over payment for treatments proposed by consultants. The HRS Manual and the Consultant's Guide demonstrate that CMS has final authority over all care and treatment

²⁹ Section 768.28(5), F.S.

 $^{^{30}}$ *Id*.

³¹ Stoll v. Noel, 694 So. 2d 701, 703 (Fla. 1997).

³² *Id.* at 703, quoting from the *Restatement (Second) of Agency* s. 14N (1957).

³³ *Id.* at 703.

³⁴ Florida Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services.

provided to CMS patients, and it can refuse to allow a physician consultant's recommended course of treatment of any CMS patient for either medical or budgetary reasons.

Our conclusion is buttressed by HRS's acknowledgement that the manual creates an agency relationship between CMS and its physician consultants, and despite its potential liability in this case, HRS has acknowledged full financial responsibility for the physicians' actions. HRS's interpretation of its manual is entitled to judicial deference and great weight.³⁵

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Access to Health Care Act (Section 1)

The bill authorizes a free clinic³⁶ to receive and use appropriations or grants from a governmental entity or nonprofit corporation to support the delivery of contracted services by volunteer health care providers under the Access to Health Care Act without those funds being deemed compensation which might jeopardize the sovereign immunity protections afforded in the act. The bill authorizes these appropriations or grants to be used for the employment of health care providers to supplement, coordinate, or support the delivery of services by volunteer health care providers. The receipt and use of the appropriation or grant, according to the bill, does not constitute the acceptance of compensation for the specific services provided to the low-income recipients covered by the contract.

The bill inserts the phrase "employees or agents" in several provisions in the act to clarify that employees and agents of a health care provider, which typically are paid by a health care provider, fall within the sovereign immunity protections of the contracted health care provider when acting pursuant to the contract.

Additionally, a pharmacy or pharmacist licensed under chapter 465, the pharmacy act, is granted sovereign immunity under the bill. This is done by including a pharmacy or pharmacist in the definition of a "health care provider" or "provider."

Subsection (5) requires the governmental contractor to provide written notice to each patient, or the patient's legal representative, that the provider is an agent of the governmental contractor and that the exclusive remedy for injury or damage suffered as the result of any act or omission of the provider *or of any employee or agent thereof* acting within the scope of duties pursuant to the contract is by commencement of an action pursuant to the provisions of s. 768.28, F.S.

The bill provides for efficiencies in health care delivery under the contract by requiring the patient, or the patient's legal representative, to acknowledge in writing receipt of the notice of agency relationship between the government contractor and the health care provider at the initial visit only. Thereafter, the notice requirement is met by posting the notice in a place conspicuous

³⁵ Stoll, 694 So. 2d at 703 (Fla. 1997) (internal citations omitted).

³⁶ A free clinic for purposes of this provision is a clinic that delivers only medical diagnostic services or nonsurgical medical treatment free of charge to all low-income recipients.

to all persons. According to a Department of Health analysis of the bill, patients are currently informed that the provider is an agent of a governmental contractor at each visit.³⁷

Sovereign Immunity (Section 2)

Section 768.28, F.S., which pertains to the waiver of sovereign immunity in tort actions, is amended to specifically include a health care provider's employees or agents in the definition of an "officer, employee, or agent." This is done to avoid any potential ambiguity between the provisions in that section of law and the Access to Health Care Act.

Additional Provisions and Effective Date

The bill removes obsolete language and makes technical and grammatical changes.

The effective date of the bill is July 1, 2016.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Contracted free clinics may receive governmental funding in the form of an appropriation or grant without the concern of restrictions on such funding for certain uses that might be imposed by the act. The receipt of any such funding is speculative at this point, and therefore, the amount is indeterminate.

Private health care providers currently delivering services to uninsured individuals may see a reduction in their uncompensated care costs as these individuals seek care in these clinics with expanded resources.

³⁷ Florida Department of Health, *Senate Bill 1034 Legislative Bill Analysis* (Dec. 7, 2015) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

C. Government Sector Impact:

The department will be responsible for management of the contracts with the clinics.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 766.1115 and 768.28.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Judiciary on February 16, 2016:

The definition of "health care provider" or "provider" in the Access to Health Care Act is expanded to include a pharmacy or pharmacist. With this addition, a pharmacy or pharmacist is added to the list of those entities or individuals for whom sovereign immunity applies for purposes of protection from lawsuits under the act.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

582748

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: RCS		
02/16/2016		
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Judiciary (Bean) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment

2 3

1

4

5

6

Delete line 85

and insert:

15. A pharmacy or pharmacist licensed under chapter 465.

16.15. Any other health care professional, practitioner,

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1034

By Senator Simmons

10-01528-16 20161034_ A bill to be entitled

An act relating to health care providers; amending s. 766.1115, F.S.; revising the definitions of the terms

17

18 19 20

22 23 24

21

252627

28

"contract" and "health care provider"; deleting an obsolete date; extending sovereign immunity to employees or agents of a health care provider that executes a contract with a governmental contractor; clarifying that a receipt of specified notice must be acknowledged by a patient or the patient's representative at the initial visit; requiring the posting of notice that a specified health care provider is an agent of a governmental contractor; amending s. 768.28, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "officer, employee, or agent" to include employees or agents of a health care provider; providing an effective date. Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: Section 1. Paragraphs (a) and (d) of subsection (3) and subsections (4) and (5) of section 766.1115, Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 766.1115 Health care providers; creation of agency relationship with governmental contractors.-(3) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section, the term: (a) "Contract" means an agreement executed in compliance with this section between a health care provider and a governmental contractor for volunteer, uncompensated services

Page 1 of 7

which allows the health care provider to deliver health care

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1034

i	10-01528-16 20161034
30	services to low-income recipients as an agent of the
31	governmental contractor. The contract must be for volunteer,
32	uncompensated services, except as provided in paragraph (4)(g).
33	For services to qualify as volunteer, uncompensated services
34	under this section, the health care provider, or any employee or
35	agent of the health care provider, must receive no compensation
36	from the governmental contractor for any services provided under
37	the contract and must not bill or accept compensation from the
38	recipient, or a public or private third-party payor, for the
39	specific services provided to the low-income recipients covered
40	by the contract, except as provided in paragraph (4)(g). A free
41	clinic as described in subparagraph (d)14. may receive a
42	legislative appropriation, a grant through a legislative
43	appropriation, or a grant from a governmental entity or
44	nonprofit corporation to support the delivery of contracted
45	services by volunteer health care providers, including the
46	employment of health care providers to supplement, coordinate,
47	or support the delivery of such services. The appropriation or
48	grant for the free clinic does not constitute compensation under
49	this paragraph from the governmental contractor for services
50	provided under the contract, nor does receipt or use of the
51	appropriation or grant constitute the acceptance of compensation
52	under this paragraph for the specific services provided to the
53	low-income recipients covered by the contract.
54	<pre>(d) "Health care provider" or "provider" means:</pre>
55	1. A birth center licensed under chapter 383.
56	2. An ambulatory surgical center licensed under chapter
57	395.

Page 2 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

3. A hospital licensed under chapter 395.

58

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1034

10-01528-16 20161034

 A physician or physician assistant licensed under chapter 458.

59

60

61

62

63

64

6.5

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

8.3

84

85

86

- 5. An osteopathic physician or osteopathic physician assistant licensed under chapter 459.
 - 6. A chiropractic physician licensed under chapter 460.
 - 7. A podiatric physician licensed under chapter 461.
- 8. A registered nurse, nurse midwife, licensed practical nurse, or advanced registered nurse practitioner licensed or registered under part I of chapter 464 or any facility which employs nurses licensed or registered under part I of chapter 464 to supply all or part of the care delivered under this section.
 - 9. A midwife licensed under chapter 467.
- 10. A health maintenance organization certificated under part I of chapter 641.
- 11. A health care professional association and its employees or a corporate medical group and its employees.
- 12. Any other medical facility the primary purpose of which is to deliver human medical diagnostic services or which delivers nonsurgical human medical treatment, and which includes an office maintained by a provider.
- 13. A dentist or dental hygienist licensed under chapter 466.
- 14. A free clinic that delivers only medical diagnostic services or nonsurgical medical treatment free of charge to all low-income recipients.
- 15. Any other health care professional, practitioner, provider, or facility under contract with a governmental contractor, including a student enrolled in an accredited

Page 3 of 7

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1034

10-01528-16 20161034

program that prepares the student for licensure as any one of the professionals listed in subparagraphs 4.-9.

90

93

97

The term includes any nonprofit corporation qualified as exempt from federal income taxation under s. 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, and described in s. 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code, which delivers health care services provided by licensed professionals listed in this paragraph, any federally funded community health center, and any volunteer corporation or volunteer health care provider that delivers health care services.

99 (4) CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.—A health care provider that executes a contract with a governmental contractor to deliver 100 101 health care services on or after April 17, 1992, as an agent of the governmental contractor, or any employee or agent of such health care provider, is an agent for purposes of s. 768.28(9), 103 while acting within the scope of duties under the contract, if 104 the contract complies with the requirements of this section and 105 106 regardless of whether the individual treated is later found to 107 be ineligible. A health care provider, or any employee or agent of such health care provider, shall continue to be an agent for 108 purposes of s. 768.28(9) for 30 days after a determination of 110 ineligibility to allow for treatment until the individual 111 transitions to treatment by another health care provider. A 112 health care provider, or any employee or agent of such health 113 care provider, under contract with the state may not be named as 114 a defendant in any action arising out of medical care or 115 treatment provided on or after April 17, 1992, under contracts entered into under this section. The contract must provide that: 116

Page 4 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1034

10-01528-16 20161034

(a) The right of dismissal or termination of any health care provider delivering services under the contract is retained by the governmental contractor.

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

- (b) The governmental contractor has access to the patient records of any health care provider delivering services under the contract.
- (c) Adverse incidents and information on treatment outcomes must be reported by any health care provider to the governmental contractor if the incidents and information pertain to a patient treated under the contract. The health care provider shall submit the reports required by s. 395.0197. If an incident involves a professional licensed by the Department of Health or a facility licensed by the Agency for Health Care Administration, the governmental contractor shall submit such incident reports to the appropriate department or agency, which shall review each incident and determine whether it involves conduct by the licensee that is subject to disciplinary action. All patient medical records and any identifying information contained in adverse incident reports and treatment outcomes which are obtained by governmental entities under this paragraph are confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- (d) Patient selection and initial referral must be made by the governmental contractor or the provider. Patients may not be transferred to the provider based on a violation of the antidumping provisions of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1989, the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990, or chapter 395.
 - (e) If emergency care is required, the patient need not be

Page 5 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1034

	10-01528-16 20161034_
146	referred before receiving treatment, but must be referred within
147	48 hours after treatment is commenced or within 48 hours after
148	the patient has the mental capacity to consent to treatment,
149	whichever occurs later.
150	(f) The provider is subject to supervision and regular
151	inspection by the governmental contractor.
152	(g) As an agent of the governmental contractor for purposes
153	of s. 768.28(9), while acting within the scope of duties under
154	the contract, A health care provider licensed under chapter 466,
155	as an agent of the governmental contractor for purposes of s.
156	$\overline{768.28(9)}$, may allow a patient, or a parent or guardian of the
157	patient, to voluntarily contribute a monetary amount to cover
158	costs of dental laboratory work related to the services provided
159	to the patient within the scope of duties under the contract.
160	This contribution may not exceed the actual cost of the dental
161	laboratory charges.
162	
163	A governmental contractor that is also a health care provider is
164	not required to enter into a contract under this section with

not required to enter into a contract under this section with respect to the health care services delivered by its employees.

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

(5) NOTICE OF AGENCY RELATIONSHIP.—The governmental contractor must provide written notice to each patient, or the patient's legal representative, receipt of which must be acknowledged in writing at the initial visit, that the provider is an agent of the governmental contractor and that the exclusive remedy for injury or damage suffered as the result of any act or omission of the provider or of any employee or agent thereof acting within the scope of duties pursuant to the contract is by commencement of an action pursuant to the

Page 6 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1034

10-01528-16 20161034 175 provisions of s. 768.28. Thereafter, or with respect to any 176 federally funded community health center, the notice 177 requirements may be met by posting in a place conspicuous to all 178 persons a notice that the health care provider, or federally 179 funded community health center, is an agent of the governmental 180 contractor and that the exclusive remedy for injury or damage suffered as the result of any act or omission of the provider or 181 182 of any employee or agent thereof acting within the scope of 183 duties pursuant to the contract is by commencement of an action 184 pursuant to the provisions of s. 768.28. 185 Section 2. Paragraph (b) of subsection (9) of section 768.28, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 186 187 768.28 Waiver of sovereign immunity in tort actions; 188 recovery limits; limitation on attorney fees; statute of 189 limitations; exclusions; indemnification; risk management 190 programs.-191 (9) 192 (b) As used in this subsection, the term: 193 1. "Employee" includes any volunteer firefighter. 194 2. "Officer, employee, or agent" includes, but is not 195 limited to, any health care provider, and its employees or 196 agents, when providing services pursuant to s. 766.1115; any 197 nonprofit independent college or university located and 198 chartered in this state which owns or operates an accredited 199 medical school, and its employees or agents, when providing patient services pursuant to paragraph (10)(f); and any public 200 201 defender or her or his employee or agent, including, among 202 others, an assistant public defender and an investigator. 203 Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Page 7 of 7

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

To:	Senator Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Committee on Judiciary
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request
Date:	January 19, 2016
I respectfu	lly request that Senate Bill 1034, relating to Health Care Providers, be placed on the:
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.
\boxtimes	next committee agenda.

Senator David Simmons Florida Senate, District 10

2-16 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to	ARANCE RECO	Di-ff i - f - f
Meeting Date		Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Health Core Providors		Bill Number (if applicable)
	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name MIKE FISCHER		
Job Title <u>vlr</u>		
Address Co 30x 1197		Phone 222-6344
Street TLI	32302	Email Mile & rollish consult. con
City State	Zip	_ Email
Speaking: For Against Informatio	n Waive S (The Cha	peaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing FLORIDA INDERGNO	INT PHARMACY	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes 🔀 No	Lobbyist regist	tered with Legislature: X Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimo meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit thei	ony, time may not permit all ir remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting		S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff cond Meeting Date	ucting the meeting) Solution S
Topic Health Care Providers	S & 2 74 8 Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Bill Mincy	
Job Title VP	
Address 3375-I Capital Circle NE Pho	ne (850) 322-7740
	ail bill-Mircy approprine, com
	g: L In Support Against ead this information into the record.)
Representing Independent Pharmacies in	Florida
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered v	vith Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all person meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many person	ns wishing to speak to be heard at this as as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Ser	nate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Health Cars	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Gree Yound	
Job Title	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Address 9/66 SUNISC DR.	Phone
City State	<u>33773</u> Email
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lot	obyist registered with Legislature: 🔲 Yes 🖄 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so	
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prep	pared By: T	he Professional	Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judicia	ry		
BILL:	CS/SB 129	8						
INTRODUCER:	Judiciary Committee and Senator Brandes							
SUBJECT:	Bad Faith Assertions of Patent Infringement							
DATE:	February 1	8, 2016	REVISED:					
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION		
l. Maida		Cibula		JU	Fav/CS			
2.	_			RI	_			
3.				FP				

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 1298 amends the Patent Troll Prevention Act in following three main ways:

- Requires that a demand letter to be objectively baseless before it may be deemed a bad faith assertion of patent infringement.
- Removes the act's bond-posting requirement for a plaintiff who may have made a bad-faith assertion of patent infringement.
- Limits the entitlement to and amount of punitive damages awards against a person who makes a bad-faith assertion of patent infringement.

II. Present Situation:

Patent Law and Federal Preemption

The U.S. Constitution authorizes Congress "[t]o promote the Progress of Science and useful Arts, by securing for limited Times to ... Inventors the exclusive Right to their ... Discoveries." Federal patent laws grant patentees a limited monopoly in the form of a property right, providing inventors with a "legal right, for a limited time, to exclude others from using, selling, offering to sell, or manufacturing the invention." In order to promote progress as set forth by the U.S. Constitution, patent laws require inventors to describe their work in "full, clear, concise,"

¹ U.S. Const. art. I. s. 8, cl. 8.

² See Nautilus, Inc. v. Biosig Instruments, Inc., 134 S. Ct. 2120, 2124 (2014); see also 35 U.S.C. s. 261 (2012).

³ Litton Systems, Inc. v. Honeywell, Inc., 145 F.3d 1472, 1474 (Fed. Cir. 1998).

and exact terms."⁴ This strikes a "delicate balance" whereby inventors may rely on the aegis of the law while the public is "encouraged to pursue innovations, creations, and new ideas beyond the inventor's exclusive rights."⁵

As patents are creatures of the U.S. Constitution and acts of Congress, most issues related to patents reside exclusively within the province of the federal government. For example, federal district courts have original jurisdiction over any civil actions "arising under any Act of Congress relating to patents," and "[n]o State court shall have jurisdiction over any claim for relief arising under any Act of Congress" Interpreting 28 U.S.C. section 1338(a), the Supreme Court held that cases "arising under" federal patent law require a plaintiff to "set up some right, title or interest under the patent laws, or at least make it appear that some right or privilege will be defeated by one construction, or sustained by the opposite construction of these laws." As such, if a party brings a lawsuit alleging patent infringement, a federal court—and only a federal court—would possess subject matter jurisdiction. Even cases technically arising under state law may still be under the exclusive ambit of federal courts. As articulated by the U.S. Supreme Court, federal jurisdiction over a state law claim will lie of a federal issue is: 1) necessarily raised, 2) actually disputed, 3) substantial, and 4) capable of resolution in federal court without disrupting the federal-state balance approved by Congress. Nevertheless, some patent-related actions may properly remain in state court.

Whether SB 1298 is preempted is an open question. Although a patent grant is within the exclusive purview of federal law, ¹¹ federal patent law does not "occupy the field." Rather, patent law is subject to conflict preemption. As such, there may be room for states to regulate the improper or unfair use of patents. This includes state laws creating tort liability pursuant to "objectively baseless" patent infringement claims. ¹⁴

⁴ 35 U.S.C. s. 112 (2012).

⁵ See Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co., Ltd., 535 U.S. 722, 731-2 (2002) (citing Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft Boats, Inc., 489 U.S. 141, 150 (1989)); see also Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Stiffel Co., 376 U.S. 225, 230-1 (1964) ("Thus the patent system is one in which uniform federal standards are carefully used to promote invention while at the same time preserving free competition.").

⁶ 28 U.S.C. s. 1338(a) (2012); see also Biotechnology Industry Organization v. District of Columbia, 496 F.3d 1362, 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2007) ("This court has exclusive jurisdiction to review cases which arise under the patent laws.") (citing Christianson v. Colt Indus. Operating Corp., 486 U.S. 800, 807 (1988)).

⁷ Christianson v. Colt Industries Operating Corp., 486 U.S. at 807-8.

⁸ See Gunn v. Minton, 133 S. Ct. 1059, 1064-5 (2013).

⁹ Id at 1065

¹⁰ See Milprint, Inc. v. Curwood, Inc., 422 F. Supp. 579 (E.D. Wis. 1976) aff'd, 562 F. 2d 418 (7th Cir. 1977) (holding that a contract action based upon patent license agreements and involving defense of patent noninfringement or invalidity may be brought and maintained in state court).

¹¹ Sukumar v. Nautilus, Inc., 829 F. Supp. 2d 386, 394 (W.D. Va. 2011) (citing Abbott Labs v. Brennan, 952 F.2d 1346, 1355 (Fed. Cir. 1991)).

¹² See Aronson v. Quick Point Pencil Co., 440 U.S. 257, 262 (1979) ("State law is not displaced merely because the contract relates to intellectual property which may or may not be patentable; the states are free to regulate the use of such intellectual property in any manner not inconsistent with federal law.") (citing Kewanee Oil Co. v. Bicron Corp., 416 U.S. 470, 479 (1974)). Note that federal law "occupies the field" if one can reasonably infer that Congress left no room to supplement it. See Cipollone v. Liggett Group, Inc., 505 U.S. 504, 516 (1992).

¹³ Sukumar v. Nautilus, 829 F. Supp. 2d at 396-7 ("Where it is physically impossible to comply with both federal and state law, it is evident that federal law must prevail.").

¹⁴ Globetrotter Software, Inc. v. Elan Computer Group, Inc., 362 F.3d 1367, 1377 (Fed. Cir. 2004).

Patent Trolls

"Patent assertion entities," often referred to more pejoratively as "patent trolls," make no products themselves but instead file dubious patent infringement lawsuits purely to extract money from commercially-productive companies. Having purchased a patent—rather than developing a patentable product—these "patent trolls" assert their newly-acquired patents against companies that use the patented technology in their business operations. Patent trolls typically function by sending notices of alleged patent infringement to large numbers of businesses threatening litigation if those businesses refuse to pay a licensing fee. Even if a targeted business believes the patent infringement claim lacks merit, it often chooses not to litigate. Usually litigating unpredictable litigation costs can be difficult, so targets often eliminate the threat by paying the patent troll a sum far less than the cost of successfully defending the lawsuit. In 2011, patent troll suits cost American technology companies over \$29 billion. Much of this burden falls on small and medium-sized companies.

Patent Troll Prevention Act

Recognizing that the "frivolous filing of bad faith patent claims ... have led to technical, complex, and especially expensive litigation," the Florida Legislature passed the Patent Troll Prevention Act ("Act"), Part VII of ch. 501, F.S. ²³ Under this law, a person may not make a bad faith assertion of patent infringement. ²⁴ In determining whether an assertion of patent infringement violates the act, a court may consider a number of factors, including, but not limited to, whether:

- The factual allegations concerning the specific areas in which the products litigated are actually covered by the patent;
- The demand letter requests payment of a license fee or response within an unreasonable period;
- The demand offers to license the patent for an amount that is not based on a reasonable estimate the value of the license;
- The claim or assertion of patent infringement is unenforceable, and the claimant knew, or should have known, that the claim was unenforceable;
- The claim of patent infringement is deceptive;
- The claimant has previously filed, or threatened to file, one or more lawsuits based upon the same or similar claim of patent infringement; and

¹⁵ Eric Rogers, Young Jeon, *Inhibiting Patent Trolling: A New Approach for Applying Rule 11*, 12 Nw. J. Tech. & Intell. Prop. 291, 294 (2014).

¹⁶ Thomas A. Hemphill, *There Paradox of Patent Assertion Entities*, American Enterprise Institute (August 12, 2013), available online at http://www.aei.org/publication/the-paradox-of-patent-assertion-entities/ (last accessed February 5, 2016).

¹⁷ See Paul R. Gugliuzza, *Patent Trolls and Preemption*, Boston University School of Law Public Law & Legal Theory Paper No. 15-03, 1-4 (Jan. 20, 2015), available online at http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2539280 (last accessed February 5, 2016).

¹⁸ Eric Rogers, Young Jeon, *supra*, at 299.

¹⁹ *Id*.

²⁰ James E. Bessen & Michael J. Meurer, *The Direct Costs from NPE Disputes*, 99 CORNELL L. REV. 387, 412-13 (2014).

²¹ James E. Bessen & Michael J. Meurer, *supra*, at 388. 398.

²² Section 501.991(2), F.S.

²³ Sections 7-13, Ch. 2015-92, Laws of Fla, codified as sections 501.991-997, F.S.

²⁴ Section 501.993, F.S.

• Any other factor the court considers relevant. 25

Alternatively, the Act provides statutorily-defined factors evincing the absence of bad faith, including whether:

- The demand letter contains required identifying and contact information;
- The demand provides required information within a reasonable period;
- The claimant made a good faith effort to establish that the target of the lawsuit has actually infringed the patent and negotiated an appropriate remedy;
- The claimant made a substantial investment in the use of the patented invention or discovery in a product or sale of a product or item covered by the patent;
- The claimant is the inventor or joint inventor of the patented invention or discovery, or alternatively the original assignee; or
- Any other factor the court finds relevant. 26

The target of a bad faith patent infringement action may request a protective order requiring the initial claimant to post a bond in an amount equal to the less of \$250,000 or a good faith estimate of the target's expense of litigation, including attorney fees.²⁷

The Act creates a private right of action, which may be brought in a court of competent jurisdiction. A court may award equitable relief, damages, costs and fees, and punitive damages of either 1) \$50,000, or 2) three times the total damages, costs, and fees.²⁸

Last, institutions of higher education, technology transfer organizations owned by institutions of higher education, and other patent infringement assertions arising under 35 U.S.C. s. 271(e)(2)²⁹ or 42 U.S.C. s. 262³⁰ are exempt from liability under the Act.

Other State Laws

As of February 1, 2016, 27 states—including Florida—have passed statutes regulating bad faith patent infringement assertions.³¹ Many of these new laws are modeled after a statute first adopted in Vermont,³² which itself prohibits bad faith assertions of patent infringement.³³ Other states have outlawed assertions that "confirm false, misleading, or deceptive information,"³⁴ or have defined specific acts as illegal, such as making infringement assertions that "lack a reasonable basis in fact or law" or failing to provide, in a letter alleging patent infringement,

²⁵ Section 501.993(1), F.S.

²⁶ *Id.* at subsection (2).

²⁷ Section 501.994, F.S.

²⁸ Section 501.995, F.S.

²⁹ 35 U.S.C. s. 271(e) relates to the use, offering for sale, or sale of veterinary biological products.

³⁰ 42 U.S.C. s. 262 regulates biological products regarding the prevention, treatment, or cure of a disease or condition of human beings.

³¹ Patent Progress' Guide to State Patent Legislation (Feb. 1, 2016), *available online at* http://www.patentprogress.org/patent-progress.org/patent-progress-legislation-guides/patent-progress-guide-state-patent-legislation/ (last accessed February 5, 2016); *See also* Utah Code s. 78B-6-1901; Wash. Rev. Code. s. 19.350.900; and Va. Code. s. 59.1-215.2.

³² Gugliuzza, *supra* note 17, at 1582 n. 18.

³³ Vt. Stat. tit. 9, s. 4197(a) (2014).

³⁴ See, e.g., Wis. Stat. s. 100.197(2)(b) (2014).

"factual allegations" about how, exactly, the recipient infringes the patent.³⁵ The Vermont statute is currently facing a legal challenge based, in part, on federal preemption. A pending petition seeks a writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court.³⁶

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

CS/SB 1298 amends the Patent Troll Prevention Act in several ways. Importantly, it removes the current criteria necessary to show a bad faith assertion of a patent infringement and replaces it with an "objectively baseless" standard, among other things. More specifically, the bill prohibits patent infringement demand letters that:

- Falsely assert that the sender has filed a lawsuit in connection with the claim;
- Assert a claim that is objectively baseless due to any of the following:
 - The sender, or a person whom the sender represents, lacks a current right to license the patent to, or enforce the patent against, the target;
 - o The patent is invalid or unenforceable; or
 - The infringing activity occurred after the expiration of the patent.
- Likely materially mislead a reasonable person because it lacks 1) the identity of the person asserting the claim, including the name and address of such person, 2) the patent alleged to have been infringed, including the patent number of such patent, and 3) at least one product, service, or technology of the target alleged to infringe the patent, or at least one activity of the target which is alleged to infringe the patent.

The bill repeals ss. 501.994, F.S. As such, plaintiffs are no longer required to post a bond in an amount equal to the lesser of \$250,000 or a good faith estimate of the target's expense of litigation. Furthermore, punitive damages under the act's private cause of action may be awarded only if the court determines that the entity asserting the patent infringement claim has repeatedly violated the act. This may allow smaller companies previously lacking sufficient bond-paying-capital to initiate lawsuits based upon patent infringement.

Further, the bill amends awardable damages resulting from a successful private cause of action under the Act. The bill substitutes in "actual damages" for the current "damages" language and alters the provision relating to punitive damages. Under the bill, punitive damages are capped at \$75,000 and may be awarded only against a person found to have repeatedly violated the act.

Finally, the bill repeals section 501.997, F.S. As such, the Act applies to universities and technology transfer organizations owned by or affiliated with a university.

The bill takes effect upon becoming a law.

³⁵ See, e.g., Tenn. Code. s. 29-10-102(a)(3) (2014); Gugliuzza, supra note 17, at 1582-83.

³⁶ Vermont v. MPHJ Technology Investments, LLC, 803 F.3d 635 (Fed. Cir. 2015) (affirming a lower court decision, holding, in part, that the company's counterclaim that federal law preempts the Vermont statute arose under federal patent law).

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or limit their authority to raise revenue or receive state-shared revenues as specified in Article VII, s. 18 of the Florida Constitution.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues:

As stated earlier, federal patent law does not occupy the field. Rather, patent law is subject to conflict preemption. As such, there may be room for states to regulate the improper or unfair use of patents. This includes state laws creating tort liability pursuant to "objectively baseless" patent infringement claims. Because SB 1298 includes "objectively baseless" language in Section 3, it may well survive a preemption challenge.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill imposes no direct costs to the private sector, but it also eases restrictions on filing patent infringement lawsuits.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

BILL: CS/SB 1298 Page 7

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 501.991, 501.992, 501.993, and 501.995.

This bill repeals the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 501.994 and 501.997.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Judiciary on February 16, 2016:

The committee substitute omits portions of the underlying bill which would have eliminated the private cause of action in existing law in favor of the enforcement of the Patent Troll Prevention Act by the Attorney General. Instead, the committee substitute authorizes a revised form of the existing private cause of action.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: RCS		
02/16/2016		
	•	
	•	
	·	

The Committee on Judiciary (Brandes) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

2 3

4

5 6

1

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. Section 501.991, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

501.991 Legislative intent; construction.-

8 9

10

11

(1) The Legislature recognizes that it is preempted from passing any law that conflicts with federal patent law. However, the Legislature recognizes that the state is dedicated to building an entrepreneurial and business-friendly economy where

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2.6

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40



businesses and consumers alike are protected from abuse and fraud. This includes protection from abusive and bad faith demands and litigation.

- (2) Patents encourage research, development, and innovation. Patent holders have a legitimate right to enforce their patents. The Legislature does not wish to interfere with good faith patent litigation or the good faith enforcement of patents. However, the Legislature recognizes a growing issue: the frivolous filing of bad faith patent claims that have led to technical, complex, and especially expensive litigation.
- (3) The expense of patent litigation, which may cost millions of dollars, can be a significant burden on companies and small businesses. Not only do bad faith patent infringement claims impose undue burdens on individual businesses, they undermine the state's effort to attract and nurture technological innovations. Funds spent to help avoid the threat of bad faith litigation are no longer available for serving communities through investing in producing new products, helping businesses expand, or hiring new workers. The Legislature wishes to help businesses avoid these costs by encouraging good faith assertions of patent infringement and the expeditious and efficient resolution of patent claims.
 - (4) This part may not be construed to:
- (a) Limit the rights and remedies available to the state or a person under any other law;
- (b) Alter or restrict the Attorney General's authority under any other law regarding claims of patent infringement; or
- (c) Prohibit a person who owns, or has a right to license or enforce, a patent from:



41 1. Notifying other parties of such person's ownership of, or rights under, the patent; 42 43 2. Offering the patent to other parties for license or 44 sale; 45 3. Notifying other parties of such parties' infringement of 46 the patent as provided by 35 U.S.C. s. 287; or 4. Seeking compensation for past or present infringement 47 of, or license to, the patent. 48 Section 2. Subsections (1) and (3) of section 501.992, 49 50 Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 51 501.992 Definitions.—As used in this part, the term: (1) "Demand letter" means a letter, e-mail, or other 52 53 written communication, including e-mail, asserting or claiming 54 that a person has engaged in patent infringement. 55 (3) "Target" means a person residing in, incorporated in, 56 or organized under the laws of this state who purchases, rents, 57 leases, or otherwise obtains a product or service in the 58 commercial market which is not for resale in the commercial 59 market and who: 60 (a) Has received a demand letter or against whom a written 61 assertion or allegation of patent infringement has been made; or 62 (b) Has been threatened in writing with litigation or 63 against whom a lawsuit has been filed alleging patent 64 infringement. 65 Section 3. Section 501.993, Florida Statutes, is amended to 66 read: 67 501.993 Bad faith assertions of patent infringement.-A 68 person may not send a demand letter to a target which makes make

a bad faith assertion of patent infringement. A demand letter

69

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82 83

84

85

86

87 88

89

90 91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98



makes a bad faith assertion of patent infringement if it: (1) Includes a claim that the target, or a person affiliated with the target, has infringed a patent and that the target is legally liable for such infringement; and A court may consider the following factors as evidence that a person has made a bad faith assertion of patent infringement: (a) The demand letter does not contain the following information: 1. The patent number; 2. The name and address of the patent owner and assignee, if any; and 3. Factual allegations concerning the specific areas in which the target's products, services, or technology infringe or are covered by the claims in the patent. (b) Before sending the demand letter, the person failed to conduct an analysis comparing the claims in the patent to the target's products, services, or technology, or the analysis did not identify specific areas in which the target's products, services, and technology were covered by the claims of the patent. (c) The demand letter lacked the information listed under paragraph (a), the target requested the information, and the person failed to provide the information within a reasonable period. (d) The demand letter requested payment of a license fee or response within an unreasonable period. (e) The person offered to license the patent for an amount

that is not based on a reasonable estimate of the value of the

license.



99	(f) The claim or assertion of patent infringement is
100	unenforceable, and the person knew, or should have known, that
101	the claim or assertion was unenforceable.
102	(g) The claim or assertion of patent infringement is
103	deceptive.
104	(h) The person, including its subsidiaries or affiliates,
105	has previously filed or threatened to file one or more lawsuits
106	based on the same or a similar claim of patent infringement and:
107	1. The threats or lawsuits lacked the information listed
108	under paragraph (a); or
109	2. The person sued to enforce the claim of patent
110	infringement and a court found the claim to be meritless.
111	(i) Any other factor the court finds relevant.
112	(2) Meets one or more of the following criteria A court may
113	consider the following factors as evidence that a person has not
114	made a bad faith assertion of patent infringement:
115	(a) The demand letter <u>falsely asserts that the sender has</u>
116	filed a lawsuit in connection with the claim contained the
117	information listed under paragraph (1)(a).
118	(b) The demand letter asserts a claim that is objectively
119	baseless due to any of the following:
120	1. The sender, or a person whom the sender represents,
121	lacks a current right to license the patent to, or enforce the
122	patent against, the target.
123	2. The patent is invalid or unenforceable pursuant to a
124	final judgment or an administrative order.
125	3. The infringing activity alleged in the demand letter
126	occurred after the expiration of the patent The demand letter
127	did not contain the information listed under paragraph (1)(a),

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146 147

148

149

150

151 152

153

154

155

156



the target requested the information, and the person provided the information within a reasonable period.

- (c) The demand letter is likely to materially mislead a reasonable person because it does not contain sufficient information to inform the target of all of the following:
- 1. The identity of the person asserting the claim, including the name and address of such person.
- 2. The patent alleged to have been infringed, including the patent number of such patent.
- 3. At least one product, service, or technology of the target alleged to infringe the patent, or at least one activity of the target which is alleged to infringe the patent The person engaged in a good faith effort to establish that the target has infringed the patent and negotiated an appropriate remedy.
- (d) The person made a substantial investment in the use of the patented invention or discovery or in a product or sale of a product or item covered by the patent.
- (e) The person is the inventor or joint inventor of the patented invention or discovery, or in the case of a patent filed by and awarded to an assignee of the original inventor or joint inventors, is the original assignee.
 - (f) The person has:
- 1. Demonstrated good faith business practices in previous efforts to enforce the patent, or a substantially similar patent; or
- 2. Successfully enforced the patent, or a substantially similar patent, through litigation.
 - (g) Any other factor the court finds relevant.
- Section 4. Section 501.994, Florida Statutes, is repealed.



157 Section 5. Section 501.995, Florida Statutes, is amended to 158 read: 159 501.995 Private right of action. - A person aggrieved by a 160 violation of this part may bring an action in a court of 161 competent jurisdiction. A court may award the following remedies 162 to a prevailing plaintiff in an action brought pursuant to this 163 section: 164 (1) Equitable relief; 165 (2) Actual damages; 166 (3) Costs and fees, including reasonable attorney fees; and 167 (4) Punitive damages in an amount not to exceed \$75,000. 168 However, such punitive damages may only be awarded if the court 169 determines that the person asserting the patent infringement 170 claim has repeatedly violated this chapter Punitive damages in 171 an amount equal to \$50,000 or three times the total damages, 172 costs, and fees, whichever is greater. Section 6. Section 501.997, Florida Statutes, is repealed. 173 174 Section 7. This act shall take effect upon becoming law. 175 176 ======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========= 177 And the title is amended as follows: 178 Delete everything before the enacting clause 179 and insert: 180 A bill to be entitled 181 An act relating to bad faith assertions of patent

Page 7 of 8

infringement; amending s. 501.991, F.S.; providing for

definitions; amending s. 501.993, F.S.; prohibiting a person from sending a demand letter to a target which

construction; amending s. 501.992, F.S; revising

182

183

184

185

187

188

189 190

191 192

193

194

195



makes a bad faith assertion of patent infringement; specifying what constitutes such a demand letter; repealing s. 501.994, F.S., relating to the requirement that a plaintiff post a specified bond in certain circumstances; amending s. 501.995, F.S.; revising provisions authorizing the bringing of actions and specified remedies under the Patent Troll Prevention Act; repealing s. 501.997, F.S., relating to an exemption for institutions of higher learning; providing an effective date.

Senate		House
	•	nouse
Comm: RCS	•	
02/16/2016	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
ne Committee on Ju	diciary (Brandes) recom	mended the following:
ne Committee on Ju	diciary (Brandes) recon	mended the following:
	diciary (Brandes) recon	
Senate Amendme		
Senate Amendme	nt to Amendment (618428	
Senate Amendme mendment)	nt to Amendment (618428	
Senate Amendme mendment) Delete line 17	nt to Amendment (618428	3) (with title
Senate Amendme mendment) Delete line 17	nt to Amendment (618428) 3. ITLE AMENDME	3) (with title
Senate Amendment) Delete line 17 The state of the title is amendment.	nt to Amendment (618428 3. ITLE AMENDME ended as follows:	3) (with title
Senate Amendme mendment) Delete line 17	nt to Amendment (618428 3. ITLE AMENDME ended as follows:	3) (with title

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1298

By Senator Brandes

22-01205A-16 20161298_

A bill to be entitled An act relating to bad faith assertions of patent infringement; amending s. 501.991, F.S.; providing for construction; amending s. 501.992, F.S; deleting and revising definitions; amending s. 501.993, F.S.; prohibiting a person from sending a demand letter to a target which makes a bad faith assertion of patent infringement; specifying what constitutes such a demand letter; repealing s. 501.994, F.S., relating to the requirement that a plaintiff post a specified bond in certain circumstances; amending s. 501.995, F.S.; specifying that the Patent Troll Prevention Act does not create a private right of action; deleting provisions authorizing the bringing of actions and specified remedies; amending s. 501.996, F.S.; providing for enforcement by the Attorney General; specifying that the Attorney General may seek certain civil relief; deleting a provision stating that a violation is an unfair or deceptive trade practice under ch. 501, F.S.; repealing s. 501.997, F.S., relating to an exemption for institutions of higher learning; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

242526

27

28

29

30

31

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Section 1. Section 501.991, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

501.991 Legislative intent; construction.-

(1) The Legislature recognizes that it is preempted from passing any law that conflicts with federal patent law. However, the Legislature recognizes that the state is dedicated to building an entrepreneurial and business-friendly economy where

Page 1 of 8

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1298

22-01205A-16 20161298 businesses and consumers alike are protected from abuse and fraud. This includes protection from abusive and bad faith 35 demands and litigation. (2) Patents encourage research, development, and innovation. Patent holders have a legitimate right to enforce their patents. The Legislature does not wish to interfere with 38 good faith patent litigation or the good faith enforcement of patents. However, the Legislature recognizes a growing issue: 41 the frivolous filing of bad faith patent claims that have led to 42 technical, complex, and especially expensive litigation. 43 (3) The expense of patent litigation, which may cost millions of dollars, can be a significant burden on companies and small businesses. Not only do bad faith patent infringement 45 claims impose undue burdens on individual businesses, they undermine the state's effort to attract and nurture technological innovations. Funds spent to help avoid the threat of bad faith litigation are no longer available for serving 49 communities through investing in producing new products, helping 50 51 businesses expand, or hiring new workers. The Legislature wishes 52 to help businesses avoid these costs by encouraging good faith assertions of patent infringement and the expeditious and efficient resolution of patent claims. 55 (4) This part may not be construed to: 56 (a) Limit the rights and remedies available to the state or 57 a person under any other law; 58 (b) Alter or restrict the Attorney General's authority 59 under any other law regarding claims of patent infringement; or

Page 2 of 8

(c) Prohibit a person who owns, or has a right to license

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

60

or enforce, a patent from:

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1298

22-01205A-16

20161298__

52	 Notifying other parties of such person's ownership of,
3	or rights under, the patent;
4	2. Offering the patent to other parties for license or
55	sale;
6	3. Notifying other parties of such parties' infringement of
57	the patent as provided by 35 U.S.C. s. 287; or
8	4. Seeking compensation for past or present infringement
9	of, or license to, the patent.
0	Section 2. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 501.992,
1	Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
2	501.992 Definitions.—As used in this part, the term:
3	(2) "Institution of higher education" means an educational
4	institution as defined in 20 U.S.C. s. 1001(a).
5	(2)(3) "Target" means a person residing in, incorporated
6	in, or organized under the laws of this state who purchases,
7	rents, leases, or otherwise obtains a product or service in the
8	commercial market which is not for resale in the commercial
9	market and who:
80	(a) Has received a demand letter or against whom a written
31	assertion or allegation of patent infringement has been made; or
32	(b) Has been threatened in writing with litigation or
3	against whom a lawsuit has been filed alleging patent
34	infringement.
35	Section 3. Section 501.993, Florida Statutes, is amended to
86	read:
37	501.993 Bad faith assertions of patent infringement.—A
88	person may not send a demand letter to a target which makes make
9	a bad faith assertion of patent infringement. A demand letter
0	makes a bad faith assertion of patent infringement if it:

Page 3 of 8

 ${\bf CODING:}$ Words ${\bf stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1298

	22-01205A-16 20161298
91	(1) Includes a claim that the target, or a person
92	affiliated with the target, has infringed a patent and that the
93	target is legally liable for such infringement; and $\frac{A + COURT + COURT}{A}$
94	consider the following factors as evidence that a person has
95	made a bad faith assertion of patent infringement:
96	(a) The demand letter does not contain the following
97	information:
98	1. The patent number;
99	2. The name and address of the patent owner and assignee,
100	if any; and
101	3. Factual allegations concerning the specific areas in
102	which the target's products, services, or technology infringe or
103	are covered by the claims in the patent.
104	(b) Before sending the demand letter, the person failed to
105	conduct an analysis comparing the claims in the patent to the
106	target's products, services, or technology, or the analysis did
107	not identify specific areas in which the target's products,
108	services, and technology were covered by the claims of the
109	patent.
110	(c) The demand letter lacked the information listed under
111	paragraph (a), the target requested the information, and the
112	person failed to provide the information within a reasonable
113	period.
114	(d) The demand letter requested payment of a license fee or
115	response within an unreasonable period.
116	(e) The person offered to license the patent for an amount
117	that is not based on a reasonable estimate of the value of the
118	license.
119	(f) The claim or assertion of patent infringement is

Page 4 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1298

	22-01205A-16 20161298
120	unenforceable, and the person knew, or should have known, that
121	the claim or assertion was unenforceable.
122	(g) The claim or assertion of patent infringement is
123	deceptive.
124	(h) The person, including its subsidiaries or affiliates,
125	has previously filed or threatened to file one or more lawsuits
126	based on the same or a similar claim of patent infringement and:
127	1. The threats or lawsuits lacked the information listed
128	under paragraph (a); or
129	2. The person sued to enforce the claim of patent
130	infringement and a court found the claim to be meritless.
131	(i) Any other factor the court finds relevant.
132	(2) Meets one or more of the following criteria A court may
133	consider the following factors as evidence that a person has not
134	made a bad faith assertion of patent infringement:
135	(a) The demand letter <u>falsely</u> asserts that the sender has
136	filed a lawsuit in connection with the claim contained the
137	information listed under paragraph (1)(a).
138	(b) The demand letter asserts a claim that is objectively
139	baseless due to any of the following:
140	1. The sender, or a person whom the sender represents,
141	lacks a current right to license the patent to, or enforce the
142	patent against, the target.
143	2. The patent is invalid or unenforceable pursuant to a
144	final judgment or an administrative order.
145	3. The infringing activity alleged in the demand letter
146	occurred after the expiration of the patent The demand letter
147	did not contain the information listed under paragraph (1)(a),
148	the target requested the information, and the person provided

Page 5 of 8

 ${f CODING:}$ Words ${f stricken}$ are deletions; words ${f underlined}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1298

	22-01205A-16 20161298
149	the information within a reasonable period.
150	(c) The demand letter is likely to materially mislead a
151	reasonable person because it does not contain sufficient
152	information to inform the target of all of the following:
153	1. The identity of the person asserting the claim.
154	2. The patent alleged to have been infringed.
155	3. At least one product, service, or technology of the
156	target alleged to infringe the patent, or at least one activity
157	of the end user which is alleged to infringe the patent $$
158	person engaged in a good faith effort to establish that the
159	target has infringed the patent and negotiated an appropriate
160	remedy.
161	(d) The person made a substantial investment in the use of
162	the patented invention or discovery or in a product or sale of a
163	product or item covered by the patent.
164	(c) The person is the inventor or joint inventor of the
165	patented invention or discovery, or in the case of a patent
166	filed by and awarded to an assignce of the original inventor or
167	joint inventors, is the original assignee.
168	(f) The person has:
169	1. Demonstrated good faith business practices in previous
170	efforts to enforce the patent, or a substantially similar
171	patent; or
172	2. Successfully enforced the patent, or a substantially
173	similar patent, through litigation.
174	(g) Any other factor the court finds relevant.
175	Section 4. Section 501.994, Florida Statutes, is repealed.
176	Section 5. Section 501.995, Florida Statutes, is amended to
177	read:

Page 6 of 8

 ${f CODING:}$ Words ${f stricken}$ are deletions; words ${f underlined}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1298

20161298

22-01205A-16

178	501.995 No private right of action.—This part does not
179	create a private right of action. A person aggrieved by a
180	violation of this part may bring an action in a court of
181	competent jurisdiction. A court may award the following remedies
182	to a prevailing plaintiff in an action brought pursuant to this
183	section:
184	(1) Equitable relief;
185	(2) Damages;
186	(3) Costs and fees, including reasonable attorney fees; and
187	(4) Punitive damages in an amount equal to \$50,000 or three
188	times the total damages, costs, and fees, whichever is greater.
189	Section 6. Section 501.996, Florida Statutes, is amended to
190	read:
191	501.996 Enforcement by Attorney General; injunction; civil
192	penaltyNotwithstanding any other provisions of this chapter,
193	if the Attorney General has reasonable cause to believe that a
194	person is in violation of s. 501.993, he or she may bring an
195	action to enjoin the person from engaging in the violation,
196	continuing the violation, or committing any act in furtherance
197	of the violation. The Attorney General may also seek other
198	appropriate civil relief, including, but not limited to:
199	(1) The imposition of a civil penalty of up to \$50,000 for
200	each violation of s. 501.993;
201	(2) Court costs, reasonable attorney fees, and reasonable
202	costs of investigation; and
203	(3) Restitution to a target for damages, court costs,
204	attorney fees, and other reasonable expenses related to
205	defending against the bad faith assertion of patent infringement
206	A violation of this part is an unfair or deceptive trade

Page 7 of 8

 ${\bf CODING:}$ Words ${\bf stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1298

Page 8 of 8

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

To:	Senator Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Committee on Judiciary
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request
Date:	January 11, 2016
	ly request that Senate Bill #1298, relating to Bad Faith Assertions of Patent ent, be placed on the:
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.
	next committee agenda.
	MARIA
	Senator Jeff Brandes

Florida Senate, District 22

2-16-16	(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate F	Professional Staff conducting the meeting) /298
Meeting Date		Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Par	tent haw	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
NameSte	phen Shiver	
Job Title	Partner	
Address Street	RIT S. Monroe SL	Phone 850 222 89 W
City	allahus, m	Email SS@ Cardenaspartus.
Speaking: For [State Zi	Waive Speaking: In Support Against
Representing	Caterpillar Corporation	<u> </u>
Appearing at reques	t of Chair: Yes No Lobbyi	st registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradit meeting. Those who do s	tion to encourage public testimony, time may not speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that	permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this as many persons as possible can be heard.
	public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

Coliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Senator Date Meeting Date	Staff conducting the meeting) $\frac{SB 1298}{Bill \ Number \ (if \ applicable)}$
Topic Patent Infringement	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Aimee Diaz Loon	· -
Job Title	-
Address 119 South Monroe Street Suite 200	Phone 850 -205-900
Address 119 South Monroe Street Suite 200 Street Tallahassee PL 32301 City State Zip	Email aimee. diazlyon@mhdfirm.com
Speaking: For Against Information Waive S (The Cha	peaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing the Business Law Section of	the Plorida Bar
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting) SB 1395 Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic <u>Patent</u> Infringement	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
NameJared Ross	
Job Title SVP Governmental Affairs	
Address 3692 Coolidge Ct.	Phone
Tollahassee FL 32317 City State Zip	
	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing Florida Credit Union Associa	tion
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

21616 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)				
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)			
Topic Patent Intringement	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)			
Name Samantha Padgett				
Job Title Vice President ? General Counse	<u> </u>			
Address 127 S. Adams Street	Phone <u>122 - 408z</u>			
City State Zip	_ Email_Samanta@fifions			
	Speaking: In Support Against Chair will read this information into the record.)			
Representing Florida Retail Federation				
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No				
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as ma	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this my persons as possible can be heard.			
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	\$_001 (10/14/14)			

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)			1298	
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Patent Infrince	jements		Amend	ment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Carolyn John	7880			
Job Title Policy Diver	Jot			
Address 30 5 Brown	onough s	<u> </u>	Phone <u>52\-1</u>	235
Tallargssee	FL	32301	Email	
City	State	Zip	····	
Speaking: For Against	Information		e Speaking:	· • • • •
Representing Fu Cho	uniber of a	ommerce	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist reg	gistered with Legislatu	ıre: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encou meeting. Those who do speak may b	ırage public testimony, e asked to limit their re	time may not permi marks so that as ma	t all persons wishing to sp any persons as possible o	eak to be heard at this an be heard.
This form is part of the public reco	rd for this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

2.16.2016 (Beliver BOTT copies of this form to the Serial	1298
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Patent TRolls	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Kumberly Siomkos	
Job Title VP of Government Affair	<u></u>
Address 1001 Thomasuille Rd	Phone <u>\$(1317479</u>
Tallahussee Fi	32308 Email Kstonkose Frondobakis. Co
City State Speaking: For Against Information	Zip Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Florida Bankers Ass	ociation
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, tim meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their rema	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this rks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.



SPECIAL MASTER ON CLAIM BILLS

Location 302 Senate Office Building

Mailing Address

404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5237

	DATE	COMM	ACTION
ĺ	2/03/16	SM	Fav/1 amendment
ĺ	2/16/16	JU	Fav/CS
		ATD	
ĺ		AP	

February 3, 2016

The Honorable Andy Gardiner President, The Florida Senate Suite 409, The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

Re: **CS/SB 64** – Judiciary Committee and Senator Joe Negron

HB 3535 Representative Amanda Murphy Relief of the Estate of Danielle Maudsley

SPECIAL MASTER'S FINAL REPORT

THIS IS AN UNCONTESTED EQUITABLE CLAIM FOR \$1,750,000 PAYABLE FROM THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR VEHICLES, BASED ON A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE ESTATE OF DANIELLE MAUDSLEY AND THE FLORIDA HIGHWAY PATROL AND TROOPER DANIEL COLE, WHICH RESOLVED A CIVIL ACTION THAT AROSE FROM THE ALLEGED NEGLIGENT USE OF AN ELECTRONIC CONTROL DEVICE THAT CAUSED THE DEATH OF DANIELLE MAUDSLEY.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

On September 19, 2011, Trooper Daniel Cole of the Florida Highway Patrol (FHP) arrested 20 year old Danielle Maudsley for two counts of leaving the scene of a crash with property damage and two counts of driving with no valid driver's license. The charges are all second degree misdemeanors.

The first hit-and-run crash occurred at approximately 8:47 a.m. on September 19, 2011. Trooper Cole was dispatched to the scene and while responding, a second hit-and-run crash, which occurred at approximately 9:41 a.m., was reported with tag numbers, vehicle descriptions, and driver descriptions

consistent in both crashes. Trooper Cole requested a *Be on the Lookout (BOLO)* for the suspect's vehicle. Both crashes occurred in Pinellas County.

A short time later, deputies from the Pinellas County Sheriff's Office (PCSO) located the suspect vehicle, which was damaged, at Ms. Maudsley's residence in Pinellas Park. Trooper Cole was notified and went to the Maudsley residence. Upon arrival Deputy Chad Earl (PCSO) informed Trooper Cole that Danielle Maudsley resisted his attempts to detain her, without violence, and he intended to charge her for that offense, and that she was already on probation for driving with no valid driver's license. After deputies informed Trooper Cole that Danielle Maudsley had made spontaneous statements to the deputies that she had been involved in the hit-and-run crashes, Trooper Cole arrested Ms. Maudsley.

Trooper Cole handcuffed Ms. Maudsley behind her back and transported her to the Pinellas Park FHP station at 7651 U.S.19 North to complete the investigative paperwork prior to taking her to the county jail.

Trooper Cole had activated the in-car video and audio system for the transport. The video shows that Danielle Maudsley is a slightly built woman and while fidgeting in the back of the patrol car removed one of her hands from the handcuffs. Upon arrival at the FHP station at approximately 11:04 a.m., and while exiting the patrol car, Ms. Maudsley passively informed Trooper Cole that her hand was free and she was unable to reinsert it into the handcuffs. Trooper Cole re-cuffed Ms. Maudsley behind her back and they entered the side door of the FHP station near the conference room.

Trooper Cole seated Ms. Maudsley in a chair in the conference room farthest from the door. Trooper Cole seated himself at the conference room table between Ms. Maudsley and the door to complete the investigative paperwork. At approximately 11:11 a.m. Ms. Maudsley advised Trooper Cole that she was thirsty. While escorting her to get a drink of water, she complained about the handcuffs and turned so that he could see that her wrist was caught in one of the handcuffs. Trooper Cole had her adjust her wrist so that it was not caught and he checked to be sure the handcuffs were still secure.

At approximately 11:41 a.m., Trooper Cole requested another FHP officer watch Ms. Maudsley so that he could use the restroom. According to the investigative report, Trooper Cole returned about one and a half minutes later and assumed sole control of Ms. Maudsley while he resumed the paperwork.

Throughout the period from initially entering the conference room, there was no indication of aggressive or uncooperative behavior on the part of Danielle Maudsley while in custody.

At approximately 11:45 a.m., while Trooper Cole was still engaged in the paperwork, Danielle Maudsley ran past him, out of the conference room, down the short hallway, and exited the side door in which she had entered. At that time, Danielle Maudsley was no longer handcuffed behind her back. According to Trooper Cole, he was unable to discern whether she was handcuffed at all.

Trooper Cole indicated that he never heard Ms. Maudsley get up, the jingle of a handcuff, or anything. He felt a presence move behind him and when he looked up, she was even with the doorway to the conference room.

The in-car video and audio in Trooper Cole's transport vehicle were still activated and recorded the ensuing events. Off camera, Trooper Cole is heard asking, "Where are you going?" and he whistled at her. The next sound, which is almost immediately, is the squeak of the push bar on the station's exit door. Investigative reports and the video support the conclusion that the sound was from Danielle Maudsley pushing the bar to exit the building.

According to the investigative report, when Trooper Cole got to the exit door, it was swinging back in his direction. He pushed the door open with his left hand as he pulled his electronic control device (Taser) from the holster on his belt with his right hand. He weighed almost three times Danielle's weight, and according to Trooper Cole believed that [tackling] going to the ground with Danielle would certainly have resulted in her being injured.

The audio/video recording shows¹ Ms. Maudsley in full stride with her body posture leaning forward, within a distance of

¹ At time stamp 11:45:49 a.m. on the in-car video recording.

approximately one to two feet from Trooper Cole. Trooper Cole has the Taser in his right hand drawn and horizontal but his right elbow is still at his side. His posture is more erect. The left side of his body is not visible in the frame. Both are on the sidewalk under the eave of the building's roof.

According to the audio/video recording and still photographs from the recording, one second later, at 11:45:50 a.m., Trooper Cole's right hand with the Taser is outstretched approximately two feet from Ms. Maudsley's back. Both are still on the sidewalk beside the side door. The next still photograph with the same time stamp shows Ms. Maudsley stepping off the sidewalk in full stride, her back still to Trooper Cole, with her body posture indicating that she had received a Taser discharge into her back. She also released an audible squeal at this time. Trooper Cole had not warned the fleeing Maudsley that he was going to discharge the Taser. The distance between Trooper Cole and Ms. Maudsley had increased to approximately three to four feet by this point; however, the front of the Taser was approximately two feet away at the point of discharge.

At 11:45:51 a.m., Ms. Maudsley's body is twisting toward Trooper Cole in the parking lot. Still clearly handcuffed but in the front of her body, she falls backwards, striking the back of her head on the pavement of the parking lot.² She is whimpering and sits up. Trooper Cole instructs her to "lay down" several times, which she does. Other FHP troopers come out of the building to assist. Ms. Maudsley, while still whimpering and crying tries to sit up again and at 11:47:02 complains that she cannot not get up. This interchange continues until approximately 11:48 a.m., when she becomes quiet and still. Emergency Medical Services arrived at approximately 11:51 a.m., and transported Ms. Maudsley to Bayfront Medical Center.

At approximately 5:00 p.m., the physician attending to Ms. Maudsley advised that her condition was critical and her prognosis was not good due to the lack of activity in her brain. In addition Maudsley had tested positive for oxycodone, and cocaine in her system. Danielle Maudsley never regained consciousness, was diagnosed with a traumatic brain injury,

² The FDLE Investigative Report of the incident reports a measurement between the approximate point on the concrete pad where Trooper Cole fired his Taser at Daniele Maudsley to the point on the pavement/asphalt where Ms. Maudsley fell and fractured her skull at 15.217 feet.

remained in a constant vegetative state on life-support, and passed away on September 15, 2013.

The FHP Supervisor's Use of Control Report, signed in October, 2011, by the district shift commander, district commander, and troop commander concluded that based on the totality of the circumstances, the force used exceeded the minimum amount of force needed to effectuate the apprehension of Danielle Maudsley. Within that report, the supervising investigator noted that Trooper Cole was in no apparent danger and because of his closeness to the suspect, the time necessary to warn Ms. Maudsley would not have prevented him from being able to use the ECD if she continued to flee. He further noted that the ECD cartridges issued by the agency have a maximum range of 25 feet.

On or about September 20, 2011, the FHP requested the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE) investigate this incident as a Use of Force incident. On November 7, 2011, the FDLE concluded that Trooper Cole was in the legal performance of his official law enforcement duties and acted within the scope of his assignment. The investigation determined that the use of force by Trooper Cole was within the allowable parameters outlined in Chapter 776, Florida Statutes.

The Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV) Office of Inspector General's administrative investigation likewise determined that Trooper Cole acted in accordance with Florida law and FHP policy.

Florida Statutes, FHP policies and procedures, and officer/trooper training programs provide structure, parameters, and guidance for the use of force to prevent escape, including the use of electronic control devices (ECD). Although not a complete recitation of these documents, the following considerations demonstrate the complexity of the issues presented in the facts of this claim bill:

- A law enforcement officer or other person who has an arrested person in his or her custody is justified in the use of any force which he or she reasonably believes to be necessary to prevent the escape of the arrested person from custody. Section 776.07, F.S.
- Members of the FHP shall in every instance seek to employ the minimum amount of control required to

successfully overcome physical resistance, prevent escapes, and effect arrests. Members' actions must be objectively reasonable in light of the facts and circumstances confronting them, without regard to their underlying intent or motivation. FHP Procedures 10.01.07 and Policy 10.05.02 specific to ECD.

- In accordance with s. 943.1717(1), F.S., a member's decision to deploy the ECD shall involve an arrest or custodial situation during which the person who is the subject of the arrest or custody escalates resistance to the member from passive physical resistance to active physical resistance, and the person (a) has the apparent ability to physically threaten the member or others; or, (b) is preparing or attempting to flee or escape. (Note: Fleeing cannot be the sole reason for deployment of the ECD.) FHP Policy Manual 10.05.04 C.
- There may be incidents in which the use of an ECD conflicts with [a list of 6 situations a member shall not use the device unless exigent circumstances exist, including use on a handcuffed prisoner]. In those cases, the use of the ECD must be based on justifiable facts and are subject to "Use of Control" supervisory review. FHP Policy Manual specific to ECD Deployment 10.05.04 C 1.
- As in all uses of control, certain individuals may be more susceptible to injury. Members should be aware of the greater potential for injury when using an ECD against ... persons of small build regardless of age. FHP Policy Manual specific to ECD – Deployment 10.05.04 C 2.
- When reasonable, members preparing to fire the device should announce a verbal warning such as "Stop Resisting, Taser!, Taser!" to warn the violator ... FHP Policy Manual specific to ECD – Deployment 10.05.04 C 4.

On November 2, 2012, Danielle Maudsley was determined to be incapacitated, and Julie Goddard was appointed her Guardian by the Circuit Court of the Ninth District in and for Orange County. Ms. Maudsley was residing in a nursing facility in Orange County at the time. When Ms. Maudsley died, Ms. Goddard became the Personal Representative of the Estate of Danielle Maudsley.

Litigation originated on May 23, 2013, in state court against Trooper Cole and the FHP in the Sixth Circuit of Pinellas County while Ms. Maudsley was still alive. The complaint alleged that Trooper Cole acted in a manner exhibiting wanton and willful disregard of human rights and safety, by among other ways:

- Failing to use his Taser in a proper, safe and appropriate manner;
- Deploying his Taser on a handcuffed and running Danielle Maudsley when he knew or should have known that the use of the Taser under the circumstances would likely result in severe injuries to her;
- Failing to use other available, safer means to stop Danielle Maudsley, such as reaching out with his hands and grabbing her;
- Failing to provide a verbal warning in accordance with the policies and procedures set forth by the Florida Highway Patrol; and
- Failing to follow other accepted policies and procedures set forth by the FHP.

The complaint also alleged that the FHP was negligent in its training and instruction of Trooper Cole in the proper, safe, and appropriate use of his Taser.

On July 7, 2014, after Danielle Maudsley's death, an amended complaint was filed that also alleged excessive force and Fourth Amendment constitutional violation claims. The case was removed to the United States District Court, Middle District of Florida.

On August 10, 2015, the parties settled all claims for \$1,950,000 to avoid the cost of protracted and expensive litigation. The settlement agreement refers to the allegations of negligence against the FHP and Trooper Cole that are contained in the Complaint. While maintaining no admission of liability or responsibility, the FHP and Trooper Cole acknowledge that if this case went to trail, a federal jury could reasonably award damages to the Plaintiff in the amount of \$1,950,000 based on the facts of the case.

The limit of the State's sovereign immunity in the amount of \$200,000 has been paid by the Division of Risk Management pursuant to s. 768.28, F.S. The remaining \$1,750,000 is the subject of the claim bill and will be paid from General Revenue appropriated to the DHSMV if the claim bill becomes law. The FHP and Trooper Cole have agreed not to oppose a claim bill in this amount.

In the settlement agreement, the Plaintiff agrees to voluntarily dismiss the lawsuit, with prejudice, upon court approval. The Final Judgment has not been issued by the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida in this matter. However, Senate Rule 4.81(6) provides that the hearing and consideration of a claim that is still within the judicial or administrative systems may proceed where the parties have executed a written settlement agreement.

A Medicaid lien of approximately \$400,521 and \$119 Pinellas County EMS outstanding medical bills exist.³ The net proceeds to the estate from this claim bill for \$1,750,000, after medical liens and attorney fees is expected to be approximately \$911,860. The probate court may award estate and personal representative fees, estimated at approximately \$114,030, in accordance with Florida law from all net proceeds⁴ to the estate.

Counsel for the Plaintiff represents it is his understanding from discussion with the attorney for the personal representative of the estate, that the proposed distribution of any claim bill will be made in accordance with Florida Statute, in that both parents will receive damages equally, [after liens, costs, and expenses have been paid]. However, Cheryl Maudsley, mother and primary caregiver of Danielle, both during her life and while she was hospitalized, will be petitioning the probate court for a greater apportionment of those damages. Danielle Maudsley's father is currently incarcerated. According to Counsel, Cheryl Maudsley also intends to establish a trust for her 8 year old daughter, Danielle's sister, with a majority of her portion of the funds.

This Special Master recommends several technical amendments to SB 64 to conform the facts stated in the preamble to findings of fact from the Special Master hearing and document submissions. In addition, the effective date of the bill is upon becoming a law. Amending the effective date to July 1, 2016, will allow for a General Revenue appropriation in the 2016-2017 General Appropriations Act rather than paying this claim from current year funds.

³ If this claim bill is not enacted, a negotiated amount of \$87,000 will be paid from the \$200,000 recovery under the waiver of sovereign immunity to satisfy the Medicaid lien. According to counsel, the \$200,000 has not been disbursed yet to the estate.

 $^{^4}$ Estimated net proceeds is \$1,950,000 - \$487,500 (25% attorney and lobbying fees) - \$400,640 (Medicaid and medical bills) - \$14,636 (legal office expenses) = \$1,047,224.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

A common law duty of care is owed to a person in custody. Kaiser v. Kolb, 543 So. 2d 732 (Fla 1989) Accordingly, Trooper Cole had a duty to reasonably carry out his operational responsibilities of maintaining custody of Danielle Maudsley and apprehending her when she attempted to flee. Under the doctrine of respondeat superior, the FHP, a Division of the DHSMV, is vicariously liable for the negligent acts of its employees, when such acts are within the course and scope of employment. See Mallory v. O'Neil, 69 So.2d 313 (Fla.1954), and s. 768.28, F.S.

Whether Trooper Cole implemented his responsibilities negligently or in accordance with statutory and departmental policy was an appropriate question for the jury. This hearing officer concludes that Trooper Cole negligently performed his duties in the firing of his Taser at the point in time that he discharged it, without first issuing a warning to allow her the opportunity to stop, without ascertaining to the best of his ability whether Ms. Maudsley was still handcuffed and to reassess the situation in that light, and without at least attempting to stop or overtake her in a manner that did not include a full body tackle. He had a 25 foot discharge range within which these actions could have been employed prior to a Taser discharge. Discharging the Taser was the proximate cause of Danielle Maudsley injuries and subsequent demise. The parties agreed to execute the settlement agreement to resolve this question as well as all allegations in the Amended Complaint. The settlement agreement is reasonable given the unfortunate outcome of this incident.

ATTORNEYS FEES:

Section 768.28(8), F.S., states that no attorney may charge, demand, receive, or collect for services rendered, fees in excess of 25 percent of any judgment or settlement. Claimant's counsel, Ralph M. Guito, III, Esq., has submitted an affidavit that the attorney fees, including lobbying fees, will not exceed 25 percent of the total amount awarded under the claim bill.

SPECIAL MASTER'S FINAL REPORT – CS/SB 64 February 3, 2016 Page 10

RECOMMENDATIONS: Based upon the foregoing, I recommend that SB 64 be

reported FAVORABLY, AS AMENDED.

Respectfully submitted,

Sandra R. Stovall Senate Special Master

cc: Secretary of the Senate

CS by Judiciary:

The committee substitute omits some of the more egregious allegations of misconduct by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles which were included in the underlying bill. Additionally, the committee substitute requires the payment of Medicaid liens from the proceeds of the claim bill.

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: RCS		
02/16/2016		
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Judiciary (Simpson) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

4

1 2 3

5

6

8

9

10

11

Delete everything after the enacting clause and insert:

Section 1. The facts stated in the preamble to this act are found and declared to be true.

Section 2. The sum of \$1.75 million is appropriated from the General Revenue Fund to the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles for the relief of the Estate of Danielle Maudsley for injuries and damages sustained as a result of the death of Danielle Maudsley.



Section 3. The Chief Financial Officer is directed to draw a warrant in favor of the Estate of Danielle Maudsley in the sum of \$1.75 million minus payments required to satisfy outstanding Medicaid liens relating to the medical expenses and care of Danielle Maudsley upon funds of the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles in the State Treasury and to pay the same out of such funds in the State Treasury.

Section 4. The amount paid by the Division of Risk Management of the Department of Financial Services in accordance with the statutory limits of liability set forth in s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and the amount awarded under this act are intended to provide the sole compensation for all present and future claims arising out of the factual situation described in this act which resulted in the death of Ms. Maudsley. The total amount paid for attorney fees, lobbying fees, costs, and similar expenses relating to this claim may not exceed 25 percent of the amount awarded under this act.

Section 5. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== And the title is amended as follows:

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act for the relief of the Estate of Danielle Maudsley; providing for an appropriation to compensate the Estate of Danielle Maudsley for Ms. Maudsley's death, sustained as a result of the alleged negligence of Trooper Daniel Cole and the Florida Highway Patrol,



a division of the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles; providing that certain payments and the appropriation satisfy all present and future claims related to the alleged acts; providing a limitation on the payment of compensation, fees, and costs; providing an effective date.

47 48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

61

62

63

64

65 66

67

68

69

41

42

43

44

45

46

WHEREAS, on September 19, 2011, 20-year old Danielle Maudsley was arrested for nonviolent traffic infractions and was subsequently taken to the Florida Highway Patrol substation in Pinellas Park for processing, and

WHEREAS, during the processing, Ms. Maudsley attempted to flee through the side door of the substation, and

WHEREAS, as Ms. Maudsley exited the side door of the substation, still handcuffed, Trooper Daniel Cole of the Florida Highway Patrol followed her outside, and

WHEREAS, Trooper Cole proceeded to remove his electronic control device and fired it directly into Ms. Maudsley's back, causing her to collapse and fall to the parking lot pavement with great physical force and effect, and

WHEREAS, as a result of these events, Ms. Maudsley suffered extensive traumatic brain injury and remained in a constant vegetative state until her death on September 15, 2013, and

WHEREAS, in May 2015, a settlement agreement was entered into between Julie Goddard, as personal representative of the Estate of Danielle Maudsley, and the Florida Highway Patrol and Trooper Cole to settle all claims arising out of Ms. Maudsley's death, and

WHEREAS, the Florida Highway Patrol and Trooper Cole

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82



acknowledged that if this case had gone to trial in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida, a jury could reasonably have awarded damages in the amount of \$1.95 million to the Estate of Danielle Maudsley, and

WHEREAS, the settlement agreement required the Division of Risk Management of the Department of Financial Services to pay \$200,000 to the Estate of Danielle Maudsley in accordance with the statutory limits of liability set forth in s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and

WHEREAS, Ms. Goddard, as personal representative of the Estate of Danielle Maudsley, seeks satisfaction of the remaining balance of the settlement agreement, which is \$1.75 million, NOW, THEREFORE,



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Appropriations Subcommittee on Criminal and Civil Justice, Chair
Appropriations
Banking and Insurance
Ethics and Elections
Higher Education
Regulated Industries
Rules

SENATOR JOE NEGRON 32nd District

February 1, 2016

Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Committee on Judiciary 515 Knott Building 404 S Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

Re: Senate Bill 64

Dear Chairman Diaz de la Portilla:

I would like to request Senate Bill 64 relating an act of relief of the estate of Danielle Maudsley be placed on the agenda for the next scheduled committee meeting.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.

Sincerely yours,

løe Negron State Senator

District 32

JN/hd

c: Tom Cibula, Staff Director

REPLY TO:

□ 3500 SW Corporate Parkway, Sulte 204, Palm City, Florida 34990 (772) 219-1665 FAX: (772) 219-1666 □ 412 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5032

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

Meeting Date

Bill Number (if applicable)

Topic 58 64.	4 1 (3
	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Jalph Guito 14	Horney
Job Title	
Address 501 E. Kennedy Blod.	Ste 190C Phone (8/7)758-2007
City Tang 76 33	Email
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against
	(The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Estate of Januelle	e Manderly
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No L	obbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepa	ared By: Th	ne Professiona	Staff of the Commit	tee on Judiciary	
BILL:	SB 572					
INTRODUCER:	Senator Altm	ian				
SUBJECT:	Involuntary I	Examinat	ions Under th	ne Baker Act		
DATE:	February 15,	2016	REVISED:			
ANAL 1. Rossitto-V	_	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
Winkle		Stovall		HP	Favorable	
2. Maida		Cibula		JU	Favorable	
3.				AP		

I. Summary:

SB 572 adds advanced registered nurse practitioners and physician assistants to the list of health care providers who are authorized to initiate an involuntary mental health examination of another person under The Baker Act. An authorized health care provider may initiate the examination by executing a certificate stating that he or she examined a person within the past 48 hours and found that the person appears to meet the criteria for involuntary examination. The certificate must also state the observations on which the conclusion is based.

II. Present Situation:

The Florida Mental Health Act

In 1971, the Florida Legislature passed the Florida Mental Health Act—also known as "The Baker Act"—to address mental health needs of the state. The Baker Act, codified in part I of ch. 394, F.S., provides the authority and process for the voluntary and involuntary examination of persons showing evidence of a mental illness. It further provides for the subsequent inpatient or outpatient placement of individuals for treatment.

Under the Act, a person may be taken by a law enforcement officer to a receiving facility for an involuntary examination if there is reason to believe the person has a mental illness and because of the mental illness:

The person has refused voluntary examination after conscientious explanation and disclosure
of the purpose of the examination and the person is unable to determine for himself or herself
whether examination is necessary; and

¹ Section 1, ch. 71-131, Laws of Fla.

• Without care or treatment, the person is likely to suffer from neglect or refuse to care for, cause substantial harm to, or be a danger to himself or herself or others.²

A person who is subject to an involuntary examination generally may not be held longer than 72 hours in a receiving facility.³

Involuntary examinations may be initiated by a circuit court or by a law enforcement officer.⁴ A law enforcement officer, as defined by section 943.10, F.S.,⁵ may take into custody a person who appears to meet the criteria for involuntary examination. The officer may then transport that person to the nearest receiving facility for examination.⁶

Similarly, the following professionals, having examined an individual within the preceding 48 hours, may initiate an involuntary examination by executing a certificate stating that the individual meets the criteria for involuntary examination:⁷

- A physician licensed under ch. 458, F.S., or ch 459, F.S, who has experience in the diagnosis and treatment of mental and nervous disorders;
- A physician employed by a facility operated by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs which qualifies as a receiving or treatment facility under ch. 394, F.S.;
- A clinical psychologist, as defined in s. 490.003(7), F.S., who has 3 years of postdoctoral experience in the practice of clinical psychology, inclusive of the experience required for licensure, or a psychologist employed by a facility operated by the United States Department of Veterans Affairs which qualifies as a receiving or treatment facility under ch. 394, F.S.;⁸
- A psychiatric nurse who is an ARNP certified under s. 464.012, F.S., has a master's or doctoral degree in psychiatric nursing, holds a national advanced practice certification as a psychiatric mental health advanced practice nurse, and has 2 years of post-master's clinical experience under the supervision of a physician;⁹
- A mental health counselor licensed under ch. 491, F.S.;
- A marriage and family therapist licensed under ch. 491, F.S.; and
- A clinical social worker licensed under ch. 491, F.S.

The Department of Children and Families ("DCF") administers ¹⁰ The Baker Act through receiving facilities that provide for the examination of persons showing evidence of a mental illness. Receiving facilities are designated by DCF and may be public or private facilities that

² Section 394.463(1), F.S.

³ Section 394.463(2)(f)(i), F.S.

⁴ Section 394.463(2)(a), F.S.

⁵ Under section 943.10, F.S., a law enforcement officer is defined as "any person who is elected, appointed, or employed full time by any municipality or the state or any political subdivision thereof; who is vested with authority to bear arms and make arrests; and whose primary responsibility is the prevention and detection of crime or the enforcement of the penal, criminal, traffic, or highway laws of the state."

⁶ See generally Section 394.463(2), F.S.

⁷ Section 394.463(2)(a)3., F.S.

⁸ See Section 394.455(2), F.S.

⁹ See Section 394.455(23), F.S.

¹⁰ See generally section 394.457, F.S. DCF is designated as the "Mental health Authority" of Florida and shall exercise executive and administrative supervision over all mental health facilities, programs, and services.

provide for the examination and short-term treatment of persons who meet the criteria under The Baker Act.¹¹

Once received by a facility, a patient must be examined by a physician, a clinical psychologist, or a psychiatric nurse performing within the framework of an established protocol with a psychiatrist at a receiving facility without unnecessary delay. The patient may, upon the order of a physician, be given emergency treatment if it is determined that such treatment is necessary for the safety of the patient or others. Upon recommendation of the administrator of the receiving facility, a patient who requires additional treatment may be transported to a treatment facility. Treatment facilities are designated by DCF and are state-owned, state-operated, or state-supported hospitals (e.g., Florida State Hospital) that provide extended treatment and hospitalization beyond what is provided in a receiving facility.

Advanced Registered Nurse Practitioners

Currently, ARNPs¹⁶ are not enumerated as healthcare providers authorized by s. 394.463(2)(a)3., F.S., to initiate an involuntary examination.

Part I of chapter 464, F.S., governs the licensure and regulation of nurses in this state. Nurses are licensed by the Department of Health (DOH) and are regulated by the Board of Nursing.

A person is eligible for certification as an ARNP, if he or she holds a current, active registered nursing license and, as determined by the board:¹⁷

- Satisfactorily completes at least 1 year of a formal post-basic education program, the primary purpose of which is to prepare nurses for advanced or specialized practice; 18
- Holds a current national advanced practice certification from a board approved specialty board;
- Holds a master's degree in a nursing clinical specialty area with preparation in specialized practitioner skills; or

¹¹ Section 394.455(26), F.S.

¹² Section 394.463(2)(f), F.S.

¹³ *Id*.

¹⁴ Section 394.467(1), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 394.455(32), F.S.

¹⁶ An ARNP is defined under s. 464.003(3), F.S., as "any person licensed in this state to practice professional nursing and certified in advanced or specialized nursing practice, including certified registered nurse anesthetists, certified nurse midwives, and nurse practitioners."

¹⁷ Section 464.012(1), F.S., and Rule 64B9-4.002, F.A.C., which provides that applications for certification as an advanced registered nurse practitioner pursuant to Section 464.012(3), F.S., must include proof of current national advanced practice certification from an approved nursing specialty board.

¹⁸ Section 464.0115(1), F.S., relating to the certification of clinical nurse specialists, states that any nurse seeking certification as a clinical nurse specialist must apply to the department and submit proof that he or she holds a current license to practice professional nursing, a master's degree in a clinical nursing specialty, and either: (a) Proof of current certification in a specialty area as a clinical nurse specialist from a nationally recognized certifying body as determined by the board; or (b) Proof that he or she holds a master's degree in a specialty area for which there is no certification within the clinical nurse specialist role and specialty and proof of having completed 1,000 hours of clinical experience in the clinical specialty for which he or she is academically prepared, with a minimum of 500 hours of clinical practice after graduation. The applicant for certification as a clinical nurse specialist must submit an affidavit to the Board of Nursing affirming the required hours of clinical experience. Falsification of the affidavit constitutes grounds for discipline in accordance with s. 464.018(1)(f), F.S.

• Submits proof that the applicant holds a current national advanced practice certification from a board-approved nursing specialty board.

An ARNP applicant must also pass a criminal background screening and pay applicable fees. Renewal is biennial and contingent upon completion of certain continuing medical education requirements.

Section 464.003, F. S., lists three categories of ARNP: certified registered nurse anesthetists, certified nurse midwives, and nurse practitioners. ¹⁹ All ARNPs, regardless of practice category, may only practice within the framework of an established protocol and under the supervision of an allopathic or osteopathic physician or a dentist. ²⁰

An ARNP may carry out treatments as specified in statute, including:²¹

- Monitoring and altering drug therapies;
- Initiating appropriate therapies for certain conditions;
- Performing additional functions as may be determined by rule in accordance with s. 464.003(2), F.S.; and
- Ordering diagnostic tests and physical and occupational therapy.

In addition to the above, an ARNP may also perform other acts as authorized by statute and within his or her specialty. ²² Further, if it is within an ARNP's established protocol, the ARNP may establish behavioral problems and diagnosis and make treatment recommendations. ²³

Physician Assistants

Physician assistants ("PAs"), as defined in s. 458.347 (2)(e), F.S., and s. 459.022(2)(e), F.S., are also not enumerated as healthcare providers authorized by s. 394.463(2)(a)3, F.S., to execute a certificate stating an individual meets the criteria for an involuntary examination.

Section 458.347, F.S., and Rule 64B-8, F.A.C., along with s. 459.022, F.S., and Rule and 64B15, F.A.C., govern the licensure and regulation of PAs in this state. The PA's are licensed by the DOH and are regulated by the Board of Medicine and the Board of Osteopathic Medicine; however, the DOH Council on PAs may make recommendations to the boards.²⁴ A person may be licensed as a PA if he or she:

- Is at least 18 years of age;
- Graduates from an approved PA program or its equivalent or meets standards approved by the board;
- Satisfactorily passes a proficiency examination with an acceptable score established by the National Commission on Certification of Physician Assistants (NCCPA);
- Completes the DOH application form and remits an application fee.

¹⁹ Section 464.012(2), F.S.

²⁰ Section 464.012(3), F.S.

²¹ *Id*.

²² Section 464.012(4), F.S.

²³ Section 464.012(4)(c)5, F.S.

²⁴ Section 458.347(9), F.S.

A PA must also pass a criminal background check. The renewal of PA licenses is biennial and contingent upon completion of certain continuing medical education requirements.

III. **Effect of Proposed Changes:**

The bill amends s. 394.463(2), F.S., to add ARNPs and PAs to the list of health care providers who may initiate the involuntary examination of another person under The Baker Act. As a result, an ARNP or PA may initiate an involuntary examination by executing a certificate stating that he or she has examined another person within the past 48 hours and found that the person appears to meet the criteria for involuntary examination. The certificate must also state the observations on which the conclusion is based.

The bill also amends s. 494.455, F.S., to define "advanced registered nurse practitioner" and "physician assistant." An "advanced registered nurse practitioner" is defined as "a person licensed in the state to practice professional nursing and certified in advanced or specialized nursing as defined in s. 464.003, F.S."²⁵ The definition of a "physician assistant" is tied to existing s. 458.347(2)(e), F.S.²⁶

Sections 3 through 8 of the bill, amend various sections of the Florida Statutes to conform crossreferences to the definitions in s. 394.455, F.S.

The bill takes effect on July 1, 2016.

IV. **Constitutional Issues:**

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

> This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or limit their authority to raise revenue or receive state-shared revenues as specified in Article VII, s. 18 of the Florida Constitution.

В. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

Trust Funds Restrictions: C.

None.

²⁵ "Advanced or specialized nursing practice," as defined in s. 464.003(2), F.S., means "in addition to the practice of professional nursing, the performance of advanced-level nursing acts approved by the Board of Nursing which, by virtue of post-basic specialized education, training, and experience, are appropriately performed by an advanced registered nurse practitioner. Within the context of advanced or specialized nursing practice, the ARNP may perform acts of nursing diagnosis and nursing treatment of alterations of the health status. The ARNP may also perform certain acts of medical diagnosis and treatment, prescription, and operation.

²⁶ Physician assistant as defined in s. 458.347(2)(e), F.S., means, "a person who is a graduate of an approved program or its equivalent or meets standards approved by the boards and is licensed to perform medical services delegated by the supervising physician."

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill may result in additional individuals being taken to a private receiving facility for an involuntary examination.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Because the bill increases the number of enumerated health care providers authorized to issue certificates for involuntary examination under The Baker Act, involuntary examinations may rise. The rise in involuntary examinations may commensurately increase government sector costs.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 394.455, 394.463, 39.407, 394.495, 394.496, 394.9085, 409.972, and 744.704.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 572

By Senator Altman

16-00798-16 2016572

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to involuntary examinations under the
Baker Act; amending s. 394.455, F.S.; defining terms;
amending s. 394.463, F.S.; authorizing physician
assistants and advanced registered nurse practitioners
to execute a certificate that finds that a person
appears to meet the criteria for involuntary
examination under the Baker Act of persons believed to
have mental illness; amending ss. 39.407, 394.495,
394.496, 394.9085, 409.972, and 744.704, F.S.;
conforming cross-references; providing an effective
date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.8

10

11

12

13

Section 1. Present subsections (2) through (21) of section 394.455, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (3) through (22), respectively, present subsections (22) through (38) of that section are redesignated as subsections (24) through (40), respectively, and new subsections (2) and (23) are added to that section, to read:

394.455 Definitions.—As used in this part, unless the context clearly requires otherwise, the term:

- (2) "Advanced registered nurse practitioner" means a person licensed in this state to practice professional nursing and certified in advanced or specialized nursing practice, as defined in s. 464.003.
- $\underline{\mbox{(23) "Physician assistant" has the same meaning as defined }\underline{\mbox{in s. }458.347\mbox{(2)(e).}}$

Page 1 of 6

 ${f CODING: Words \ \underline{stricken} \ are \ deletions; \ words \ \underline{underlined} \ are \ additions.}$

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 572

16-00798-16 2016572

30 Section 2. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 31 394.463, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

394.463 Involuntary examination.-

(2) INVOLUNTARY EXAMINATION.-

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

42

43

46

47

49

53

54

55

57

- (a) An involuntary examination may be initiated by any one of the following means:
- 1. A court may enter an ex parte order stating that a person appears to meet the criteria for involuntary examination, giving the findings on which that conclusion is based. The ex parte order for involuntary examination must be based on sworn testimony, written or oral. If other less restrictive means are not available, such as voluntary appearance for outpatient evaluation, a law enforcement officer, or other designated agent of the court, shall take the person into custody and deliver him or her to the nearest receiving facility for involuntary examination. The order of the court shall be made a part of the patient's clinical record. A No fee may not shall be charged for the filing of an order under this subsection. Any receiving facility accepting the patient based on this order must send a copy of the order to the Agency for Health Care Administration on the next working day. The order shall be valid only until executed or, if not executed, for the period specified in the order itself. If no time limit is specified in the order, the order shall be valid for 7 days after the date that the order was signed.
- 2. A law enforcement officer shall take a person who appears to meet the criteria for involuntary examination into custody and deliver the person or have him or her delivered to the nearest receiving facility for examination. The officer

Page 2 of 6

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 572

16-00798-16 2016572

59

60

61

62

63

64

6.5

67

68

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

8.3

84

85

86

shall execute a written report detailing the circumstances under which the person was taken into custody, and the report shall be made a part of the patient's clinical record. Any receiving facility accepting the patient based on this report must send a copy of the report to the Agency for Health Care Administration on the next working day.

3. A physician, physician assistant, clinical psychologist, psychiatric nurse, mental health counselor, marriage and family therapist, or clinical social worker, or advanced registered nurse practitioner may execute a certificate stating that he or she has examined a person within the preceding 48 hours and finds that the person appears to meet the criteria for involuntary examination and stating the observations upon which that conclusion is based. If other less restrictive means are not available, such as voluntary appearance for outpatient evaluation, a law enforcement officer shall take the person named in the certificate into custody and deliver him or her to the nearest receiving facility for involuntary examination. The law enforcement officer shall execute a written report detailing the circumstances under which the person was taken into custody. The report and certificate shall be made a part of the patient's clinical record. Any receiving facility accepting the patient based on this certificate must send a copy of the certificate to the Agency for Health Care Administration on the next working day.

Section 3. Paragraph (a) of subsection (3) of section 39.407, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

39.407 Medical, psychiatric, and psychological examination and treatment of child; physical, mental, or substance abuse

Page 3 of 6

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 572

16-00798-16

89

90

93

96

97

100

101

103

104

105

106

107

108

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

examination of person with or requesting child custody.—

(3) (a) 1. Except as otherwise provided in subparagraph (b) 1. or paragraph (e), before the department provides psychotropic medications to a child in its custody, the prescribing physician shall attempt to obtain express and informed consent, as defined in s. 394.455(10) s. 394.455(9) and as described in s. 394.459(3)(a), from the child's parent or legal guardian. The department must take steps necessary to facilitate the inclusion of the parent in the child's consultation with the physician. However, if the parental rights of the parent have been terminated, the parent's location or identity is unknown or cannot reasonably be ascertained, or the parent declines to give express and informed consent, the department may, after consultation with the prescribing physician, seek court authorization to provide the psychotropic medications to the

child. Unless parental rights have been terminated and if it is

possible to do so, the department shall continue to involve the

parent in the decisionmaking process regarding the provision of

parental rights have not been terminated provides express and

informed consent to the provision of a psychotropic medication,

the requirements of this section that the department seek court

authorization do not apply to that medication until such time as

psychotropic medications. If, at any time, a parent whose

2016572

the parent no longer consents.

2. Any time the department seeks a medical evaluation to determine the need to initiate or continue a psychotropic medication for a child, the department must provide to the evaluating physician all pertinent medical information known to the department concerning that child.

Page 4 of 6

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 572

16-00798-16 2016572 117 Section 4. Paragraphs (a) and (c) of subsection (3) of 118 section 394.495, Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 119 394.495 Child and adolescent mental health system of care; 120 programs and services .-121 (3) Assessments must be performed by: 122 (a) A professional as defined in s. 394.455(3), (5), (22), (25), or (26) s. 394.455(2), (4), (21), (23), or (24); 123 124 (c) A person who is under the direct supervision of a 125 professional as defined in s. 394.455(3), (5), (22), (25), or 126 (26) s. 394.455(2), (4), (21), (23), or (24) or a professional 127 licensed under chapter 491. 128 Section 5. Subsection (5) of section 394.496, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 129 130 394.496 Service planning.-131 (5) A professional as defined in s. 394.455(3), (5), (22), (25), or (26) s. 394.455(2), (4), (21), (23), or (24) or a 132 133 professional licensed under chapter 491 must be included among 134 those persons developing the services plan. 135 Section 6. Subsection (6) of section 394.9085, Florida 136 Statutes, is amended to read: 137 394.9085 Behavioral provider liability.-(6) For purposes of this section, the terms "detoxification 138 139 services," "addictions receiving facility," and "receiving 140 facility" have the same meanings as those provided in ss. 397.311(22)(a)4., 397.311(22)(a)1., and 394.455(28) $\frac{394.455(26)}{394.455(26)}$, 141 142 respectively. 143 Section 7. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section 144 409.972, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 145 409.972 Mandatory and voluntary enrollment.-

Page 5 of 6

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 572

	16-00798-16 2016572
146	(1) The following Medicaid-eligible persons are exempt from
147	mandatory managed care enrollment required by s. 409.965, and
148	may voluntarily choose to participate in the managed medical
149	assistance program:
150	(b) Medicaid recipients residing in residential commitment
151	facilities operated through the Department of Juvenile Justice
152	or mental health treatment facilities as defined by $\underline{\mathtt{s.}}$
153	394.455(34) s. 394.455(32).
154	Section 8. Subsection (7) of section 744.704, Florida
155	Statutes, is amended to read:
156	744.704 Powers and duties.—
157	(7) A public guardian $\underline{\text{may}}$ $\underline{\text{shall}}$ not commit a ward to a
158	mental health treatment facility, as defined in $\underline{s.~394.455(34)}$
159	s. 394.455(32), without an involuntary placement proceeding as
160	provided by law.
161	Section 9. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Page 6 of 6



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Military and Veterans Affairs, Space, and Domestic Security, Chair
Children, Families, and Elder Affairs, Vice-Chair
Appropriations
Appropriations Subcommittee on General Government
Environmental Preservation and Conservation
Finance and Tax

SENATOR THAD ALTMAN

16th District

November 18, 2015

The Honorable Miguel Diaz de la Portilla Senate Committee on Judiciary, Chair 515 Knott Building 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Chairman Diaz de la Portilla:

I respectfully request that SB 572, related to *Involuntary Examinations under the Baker Act*, be placed on the committee agenda at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your consideration, and please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Thad Altman

CC: Tom Cibula, Staff Director, 515 Knott Building Joyce Butler, Committee Administrative Assistant

TA/dw

^{☐ 314} Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5016



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Military and Veterans Affairs, Space, and Domestic Security, Chair
Children, Families, and Elder Affairs, Vice-Chair
Appropriations
Appropriations Subcommittee on General Government
Environmental Preservation and Conservation
Finance and Tax

SENATOR THAD ALTMAN 16th District

February 16, 2016

The Honorable Diaz de la Portilla Senate Committee on Judiciary 515 Knott Building 404 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

Dear Chairman Diaz de la Portilla:

Senate Bill 572, related to *Involuntary Examinations Under the Baker Act*, is on the Judiciary committee agenda on February 16, 2016. Due to illness I will be unable to attend.

Please recognize my Legislative Aide Ms. Lindy Smith to present SB 572 on my behalf. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Thad Altman

CC: Tom Cibula, Staff Director, 515 Knott Building Joyce Butler, Committee Administrative Assistant

TA/dv

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 2-16-15 Bill Number (if applicable) Exams Under the Baker ACT Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name ALLISON CARVAJAC Job Title Consultant MONROE Address For Speaking: Against Information Waive Speaking:/ 🖊 In Support The Chair will read this information into the record.) Florida Murse Practitioner Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S Meeting Date	Staff conducting the meeting) 322 Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Involutay Exan Under the Baker Ac.	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Chris Floyd	
Job Title Consultant	
Address 101 E. College Ave.	Phone 8/3-624-5117
Tallchassee J=L 33606 City State Zip	Email
	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing FL Assoc of Norse Pract	titiones.
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional St	aff conducting the meeting)572
Meeting, Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Involuntary Exams-Baker Act	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Alisa LaPolt	
Job Title Lobbyist	
Address PO Box 1344	Phone 850-443-1319
Tallahassee FL City State Zip	Email gotopsail.com
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Sp	eaking: In Support Against r will read this information into the record.)
Representing <u>Florida Nwsls Associatio</u>	Ŋ
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many p	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

S-001 (10/14/14)

2/16	12016
Mee	ting Date

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form	ito the Senator or Sena	ite Professional Sta	aff conducting the meeting)	SB570
Topic <u>Baker ACT</u>			Amendme	nt Barcode (if applicable)
Name STAN Whittake,				
Job Title Chair Mar				
Address 6294 NW Torrey A	PK RE	<u> </u>	Phone <u>850-5</u>	45-830]
City Sta	3) ate	2321 Zip	Email STANL	hittenol. Co
Speaking: For Against Informa	ation		eaking: In Suppo will read this information	
Representing ASSocator	w of Nu	WS2 21	actitioners	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes	No Lobi	byist registe	red with Legislature	: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public test meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit	imony, time may r their remarks so t	not permit all p hat as many p	persons wishing to spea persons as possible can	k to be heard at this be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this mee	eting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 2.16.16 Meetina Date Bill Number (if applicable) Topic Involuntary Examinations Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Barney Bishof II Job Title President & CEO Address 209 5. Monroe St., Ste. 201 Phone 577.3032 FL 32301 State Zip Email Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Fla. Smart Justice Alliance Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Ves Appearing at request of Chair: Yes Vo While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 2/16/16 572 Bill Number (if applicable) Meeting Date ARNPs Baker Act Topic Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Dan Hendrickson Job Title Advocacy Committee Chair Address 319 E Park Ave, PO Box 1201 Phone 850 570 1967 Street Email danbhendrickson@comcast.net Tallahassee FI 32302 Citv State Information Waive Speaking: In Support Speaking: Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Big Bend Mental Health Coalition, NAMI Tallahassee Representing Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Appearing at request of Chair: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the bublic record for this meeting.

CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

APPEARANCE RECORD

O/16/16

Meeting Date

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

SIS 572
Bill Number (if applicable)

Topic Relating to Involuntary Examine	tions under The Bake ac	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Cocione Mixon		
Job Title Lobby15+	<u> </u>	
Address 119 ESST Park Ave	Phone ${\it 9}$	50-222-2591
Tallahasse FL City State	3)30 (Email <u>(or</u>	inne & Mixon and associates cor
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: // (The Chair will read thi	In Support Against s information into the record.)
Representing Florida Academy of	Physician Assis	fants
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with L	egislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, to meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their ren	me may not permit all persons wish arks so that as many persons as p	ing to speak to be heard at this ossible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepar	ed By: T	he Professional	Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judiciar	у
BILL:	CS/SB 670					
INTRODUCER:	Children, Fam	ilies, ar	nd Elder Affai	rs Committee and	d Senator Gae	etz
SUBJECT:	Child Protection	on Tear	ns			
DATE:	February 15,	2016	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Preston		Hendo	n	CF	Fav/CS	
2. Davis		Cibula		JU	Favorable	
3.				AP		

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 670 extends sovereign immunity protections to any physician licensed in this state who is a medical director for, or a member of, a child protection team, when carrying out duties as a team member. This is accomplished by adding those physicians to the definition of who is an "officer, employee, or agent" in the sovereign immunity statute.

A child protection team is a group of professionals who receive referrals, primarily from child protective investigators and law enforcement officers, alleging child abuse, abandonment, or neglect. The medically directed team evaluates the allegations and also provides recommendations for child safety and support services.

II. Present Situation:

Sovereign Immunity

The term "sovereign immunity" originally referred to the English common law concept that the government may not be sued because "the King can do no wrong." Sovereign immunity bars lawsuits against the state or its political subdivisions for the torts of officers, employees, or agents of those governments unless the immunity is expressly waived.

Article X, section 13 of the Florida Constitution recognizes the concept of sovereign immunity and gives the Legislature the power to waive immunity in part or in full by general law. Section 768.28, F.S., contains the limited waiver of sovereign immunity applicable to the state.

Under this statute, officers, employees, and agents of the state may not be held personally liable in tort or named as a party defendant in any action for any injury or damage suffered as a result of any act, event, or omission of action in the scope of her or his employment or function. However, personal liability may result from actions committed in bad faith or with malicious purpose or in a manner exhibiting wanton and willful disregard of human rights, safety, or property. Instead, the state steps in as the party litigant and defends against the claim. The recovery by any one person is limited to \$200,000 for one incident and the total for all recoveries related to one incident is limited to \$300,000. The sovereign immunity recovery caps do not prevent a plaintiff from obtaining a judgment in excess of the caps, but the plaintiff is not entitled to recover the excess damages without action by the Legislature.

Child Protection Teams

A child protection team operates under the oversight of a medical director who is a board-certified pediatrician with special training in child abuse and neglect. The physician must be approved by Children's Medical Services at the Department of Health (DOH). Teams consist of additional physicians, advanced registered nurse practitioners, physician assistants, team psychologists, social workers, clerical assistance, and support personnel.³

There are currently 22 child protection teams in the state.⁴ Each office must be available 24 hours per day, every day, to provide immediate medical diagnosis and evaluation, for consultations by phone, or for other assessment services.⁵ The cases they receive are reported to them primarily by investigators with the Department of Children and Families and local sheriff's offices, but cases are also referred by hospitals and physicians. The groups that the teams target for assessments are children who may be physically abused, sexually abused, and those who lack health care, including medically neglected children.⁶

The Child Protection Team Program receives funding through the Department of Health, Division of Children's Medical Services. The department contracts with a variety of community-based organizations to provide child protection team services statewide. These groups include non-profits, universities, hospitals, and county governments.⁷

Whether Sovereign Immunity Applies to Child Protection Team Physicians

It is not definitively settled whether all child protection team physicians are covered under sovereign immunity. While case law suggests that, under certain circumstances, the physicians are covered, the Department of Health does not consistently agree with that conclusion.

¹ Section 768.28(5), F.S.

² *Id*.

³ Florida Department of Health, Children's Medical Services, *Child Protection Team Program Handbook*, June 2014 available at

 $[\]underline{http://www.bing.com/search?q=child+protection+team+program+handbook+chidren's+medical+services\&src=IE-TopResult\&FORM=IETR02\&conversationid=.$

⁴ Telephone interview with Bryan Wendel and Peggy Scheuermann, Department of Health, Office of Legislative Planning, in Tallahassee, Fla. (Feb. 11, 2016).

⁵ Supra at note 3.

⁶ *Id*.

 $^{^{7}}$ Id.

According to the Child Protection Team Program Policy and Procedure Handbook, "medical providers *appear* to act under the color of law and are agents of the state when they examine children allegedly abused or neglected under Section 39, F.S." However, whether sovereign immunity applies is determined by the degree of control exercised or retained by the state. In *Stoll v. Noel*, the Florida Supreme Court explained that, under the appropriate circumstances, independent contractor physicians may be agents of the state for purposes of sovereign immunity:

One who contracts on behalf of another and subject to the other's control except with respect to his physical conduct is an agent and also independent contractor.¹⁰

The *Stoll* Court examined the employment contract between the Children's Medical Services (CMS) physicians and the state to determine whether the state's right to control was sufficient to create an agency relationship and held that it did.¹¹ The manuals and guides given to physician consultants demonstrated that CMS had final authority over all care and treatment provided to CMS patients, and that CMS could refuse to allow a physician consultant's recommended course of treatment of any CMS patient for either medical or budgetary reasons.¹² Furthermore, the Court's conclusion was supported by the state's acknowledgement that the manual creates an agency relationship between CMS and its physician consultants, and the state acknowledged full financial responsibility for the physicians' actions. The Court stated that the state's interpretation of its manual is entitled to judicial deference and great weight.¹³

The Department of Health, however, has cautiously applied the legal findings of *Stoll* to its contract physicians, including the child protection team physicians. The Deputy State Health Officer for Children's Medical Services, in a 2013 memorandum to all CMS physicians, did not issue a definitive statement as to whether CMS contract physicians are deemed to be agents of the state for sovereign immunity purposes. The memorandum stated that the *Stoll* decision "does not establish a bright line legal test to determine when a CMS contracted physician will be deemed to be an agent of the state as a matter of law" and the department would choose to evaluate each case on its own merits. ¹⁴ In the following year, an internal DOH memorandum stated:

Although they furnish services to children within the CMS Network, CMS providers are independent contractors and consequently are not employees or

⁸ Supra note 3, at 74. Emphasis supplied.

⁹ Stoll v. Noel, 694 So. 2d 701, 703 (Fla. 1997).

¹⁰ Id. at 703, quoting from the Restatement (Second) of Agency s. 14N (1957).

¹¹ *Id.* at 703.

¹² *Id*.

¹³ *Id*.

¹⁴ Memorandum from Dennis V. Cookro, MD, MPH, Interim Deputy Secretary for Health, Deputy State Health Officer for CMS, to All CMS Physicians, *Subject: Liability Update* (Feb. 6, 2013) (on file with the Senate Judiciary Committee).

agents of the Department of Health and are personally responsible for their negligent acts. 15

Accordingly, there is uncertainty at DOH as to whether all physicians working on child protection teams are protected by sovereign immunity. This uncertainty has made recruiting and retaining physicians difficult, and has resulted in long-term vacant positions around the state. If a physician is not covered under sovereign immunity, then he or she would likely need to obtain private medical malpractice insurance. Because the cost of obtaining medical malpractice insurance is expensive, the part-time salary one receives would be substantially diminished to the point that some physicians would not consider it worthwhile to undertake the very stressful job.¹⁶

Information supplied in support of this legislation states that no pediatrician living in Florida or elsewhere has ever applied to be the medical director of a child protection team; they have to be recruited. Recruiting staff has found that being able to offer pediatricians sovereign immunity has been a powerful tool in convincing them to accept the medical director positions.¹⁷

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill extends sovereign immunity protection to a physician licensed in this state who is a medical director for a child protection team or a member of a child protection team. The immunity only extends to the physician while he or she is carrying out duties as a child protection team member. By expanding the definition of an "officer, employee, or agent" in the sovereign immunity statute to include these physicians, they may not be held personally liable for torts committed while working with the child protection team. In contrast, the state may be held liable up to the limits provided in statute under the state's waiver of sovereign immunity.

The bill is effective July 1, 2016.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

¹⁵ Memorandum from Kimberly A. Tendrich, Senior Attorney, Children's Medical Services, to Charlotte Curtis, Interim CMS Division Director, *Subject: Applicability of Section 768.28, Florida Statutes to CMS Contractors* (Feb. 14, 2014) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

¹⁶ Correspondence and supplemental materials from Randell C. Alexander, M.D., Ph.D., Chair, Child Abuse and Injury Prevention Committee to Sen. Don Gaetz (Dec. 30, 2015) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary). ¹⁷ *Id.*

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Physicians who are licensed in this state and are medical directors for, or members of, a child protection team would be provided sovereign immunity. The sovereign immunity protections will eliminate the need for the physicians to obtain private insurance coverage.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The Department of Health provided an initial fiscal estimate for the original bill which included a rough estimate of the state's general liability premium with the Child Protection Team staff included. However, because the scope of the bill was significantly reduced to cover only the physicians on the team, those estimates are no longer accurate. Nonetheless, the exposure to additional liability should cause the department's premiums to rise.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends s. 768.28 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Children, Families, and Elder Affairs on January 14, 2016:

The committee substitute limits individuals being granted sovereign immunity under the bill to physicians licensed in this state who are medical directors for or members of a child protection team, when carrying out his or her duties as a team member.

¹⁸ Florida Department of Health, 2016 Agency Legislative Bill Analysis of SB 670 (Nov. 3, 2015) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

R	Amend	ments.
1).		111111111111111111111111111111111111111

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 670

By the Committee on Children, Families, and Elder Affairs; and Senator Gaetz

586-02127-16 2016670c1

A bill to be entitled An act relating to child protection teams; amending s. 768.28, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "officer, employee, or agent," as it applies to immunity from personal liability in certain actions, to include licensed physicians who are medical directors for or members of a child protection team, in certain circumstances; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Section 1. Paragraphs (a) and (b) of subsection (9) of section 768.28, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

768.28 Waiver of sovereign immunity in tort actions; recovery limits; limitation on attorney fees; statute of limitations; exclusions; indemnification; risk management programs.-

(9) (a) An No officer, employee, or agent of the state or of any of its subdivisions may not shall be held personally liable in tort or named as a party defendant in any action for any injury or damage suffered as a result of any act, event, or omission of action in the scope of her or his employment or function, unless such officer, employee, or agent acted in bad faith or with malicious purpose or in a manner exhibiting wanton and willful disregard of human rights, safety, or property. However, such officer, employee, or agent shall be considered an adverse witness in a tort action for any injury or damage suffered as a result of any act, event, or omission of action in the scope of her or his employment or function. The exclusive remedy for injury or damage suffered as a result of an act, event, or omission of an officer, employee, or agent of the

Page 1 of 2

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 670

2016670c1

state or any of its subdivisions or constitutional officers is 33 shall be by action against the governmental entity, or the head 34 of such entity in her or his official capacity, or the constitutional officer of which the officer, employee, or agent 36 is an employee, unless such act or omission was committed in bad faith or with malicious purpose or in a manner exhibiting wanton 37 and willful disregard of human rights, safety, or property. The state or its subdivisions are shall not be liable in tort for 40 the acts or omissions of an officer, employee, or agent committed while acting outside the course and scope of her or his employment or committed in bad faith or with malicious purpose or in a manner exhibiting wanton and willful disregard 44 of human rights, safety, or property.

(b) As used in this subsection, the term:

586-02127-16

41

4.5

47

48

51

55

56

57

58

59

- 1. "Employee" includes any volunteer firefighter.
- 2. "Officer, employee, or agent" includes, but is not limited to, any health care provider when providing services pursuant to s. 766.1115; any nonprofit independent college or university located and chartered in this state which owns or operates an accredited medical school, and its employees or agents, when providing patient services pursuant to paragraph (10) (f); and any public defender or her or his employee or agent, including, among others, an assistant public defender or and an investigator; and any physician licensed in this state who is a medical director for or member of a child protection team, as defined in s. 39.01, when carrying out her or his duties as a team member.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Page 2 of 2



1st District

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Appropriations Subcommittee on Education, Chair
Appropriations
Education Pre-K - 12
Ethics and Elections
Health Policy
Higher Education
Rules COMMITTEES:

Committee Request

To:

Senator Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair

Judiciary

Subject:

Committee Agenda Request

Date:

January 15, 2016

I respectfully request that Senate Bill 670, Child Protective Teams, be placed on the Judiciary agenda at your convenience. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Senator Don Gaetz

- ☐ 4300 Legendary Drive, Suite 230, Destin, FL 32541 (850) 897-5747 FAX: (888) 263-2259 ☐ 420 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100 (850) 487-5001 ☐ 5230 West U.S. Highway 98, Administration Building, 2nd Floor, Panama City, FL 32401 (850) 747-5856

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Meeting Date	Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Der Tound Der	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title	
Address 9166 SUNISE DR	/ Phone
Address GIVA SUNVISE DR Street Lango Fla, City State	<u>33773</u> Email
Speaking: For Against 🗹 Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes 🗹 No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: 🔲 Yes 🖄 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimon meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their	y, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional	Staff conducting the meeting) 670 Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Child Profection Teams	
NameAlisa LaPolt	_
Job Title Lobbyist	_
Address POBOX 1349	Phone <u>850 - 443 - 1319</u>
Tallahassee FL 32302 City State Zip	Email gotopsail.com
	Speaking: In Support Against lair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Florida Nwses Associate	ion
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis	stered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as man	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this y persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S_001 (10/1 <i>4/14</i>)

(Deliver BOTH copies of t	his form to the Senator or S	Senate Professional S	staff conducting the meeting)	670
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic <u>Child Protection</u>	Teams		Amendr	nent Barcode (if applicable)
Name Ron Watson		*****		
Job Title 1066yist				
Address 3738 Mundun	Way		Phone 830	567 1202
Street Tallahassa	FL	32309	Email Watson.	strategies @
City	State	Zip	. /	compost net
Speaking: For Against In	formation		peaking: In Sup ir will read this informati	
Representing Florida C	HAIN			
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes	No Lo	obbyist regist	ered with Legislatu	re: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage publi meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to	ic testimony, time ma limit their remarks s	ay not permit all so that as many	persons wishing to spe persons as possible ca	eak to be heard at this an be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this	s meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

2 - 16 - 16 (Deliver BOTH o	opies of this form to the Senat	or or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting)	
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic			 Amenda	ment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Jacod Faul	Cr			(,
Job Title Dicetor of	Payment Ad	Vocacy		
Address 1930 Promon	to East	, see	Phone 104-5	25-(446
City	State		Email) foulco	ofinalical.org
Speaking: For Against	Information	<i>Zip</i> Waive S r (The Cha	peaking: In Sup ir will read this informa	port Against tion into the record.)
Representing Flocid	a Medical	Associat	100	
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislatu	re: 💢 Yes 🔙 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage meeting. Those who do speak may be a	ne public testimony, tim sked to limit their rema	ne may not permit all arks so that as many	persons wishing to sp persons as possible c	eak to be heard at this an be heard.
This form is part of the public record	for this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Meéting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Job Title Address (0) State Speaking: Against Information In Support Waive Speaking: (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: X Yes Yes While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Speaking: Against Information For Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Appearing at request of Chair: [Yes Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Job Title Address Email Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Speaking: Information Against For Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

16 FEB 2016 Meeting Date (Deliver BOT	H copies of this form to the Senator or S	enate Professional Staff conducting	the meeting) 670 Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic SOVERELGN	IMMUNITY	*.	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name PAUL	JESS		
Job Title			
Address 218 S. M.	ONROE ST.	Phone_	450 224.9403
TALLAHASSE City	EE FL 3 State	3230 (Email	
Speaking: For Against	Information	Waive Speaking: (The Chair will read t	In Support Against this information into the record.)
Representing <u>FLOR</u>	IDA JUSTI	CE ASSOC	LATION
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No L	obbyist registered with	Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Sanata tradition to appo	urage public testimony, time m	av not permit all persons w	ishing to speak to be heard at this

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

INTRODUCER:	zaming un	a moundin		and Senator Ric		
SUBJECT:	Unclaimed	Property				
DATE:	February 15	5, 2016	REVISED:			
ANALYST STAFF DIRECTOR		REFERENCE		ACTION		
1. Matiyow		Knudso	on	BI	Fav/CS	
2. Davis		Cibula		JU	Favorable	
			·	AP	·	·

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

PLEASE MAKE SELECTION

I. Summary:

CS/SB 970 amends the Florida Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act (the act). Unclaimed property consists of any funds or other property, including insurance proceeds, that remain unclaimed by the owner for a certain period of time. The act requires holders of unclaimed property to exercise due diligence to locate owners and pay them the funds. If the owner cannot be located, the holder must report and remit the unclaimed property to the Department of Financial Services (DFS) Bureau of Unclaimed Property. The bill makes the following changes to the act:

- Eliminates several exceptions to the general 20 percent fee cap on the compensation that may be paid to a claimant's representative who recovers unclaimed property;
- Requires that the purchase agreement for unclaimed property which compensates the buyer through a flat fee show the fee as a percentage of the property;
- Requires DFS to deny a claim for unclaimed property submitted by a purchaser of the property if the purchase agreement shows that the property was discounted by more than 20 percent;
- Requires that agreements to recover unclaimed property other than an original limited power
 of attorney be executed by the claimant no earlier than the date the claimant executed the
 original limited power of attorney;
- Requires a claim for unclaimed property to include certified copies of all court pleadings to
 establish entitlement to the property which were filed within 180 days before the claim form
 is signed;

• Repeals a provision giving DFS the exclusive right to notify owners of the existence of unclaimed property valued at more than \$250 within the first 45 days after the property is added to the unclaimed property database;

- Allows for unclaimed property in a campaign account for public office to escheat to the state;
- Increases the aggregate value of the unclaimed property held by DFS to \$10,000 from \$5,000 which may be claimed by the beneficiary of the estate of a deceased owner without initiating probate proceedings;
- Authorizes DFS to estimate the value of unclaimed property held by the holder of the property if the holder fails to provide records after being requested to do so; and
- Increases to 30 days from 10 days the time by which a purchaser of unclaimed property must pay the seller, and voids the claim by the purchaser, if proof of payment is not filed with DFS.

II. Present Situation:

Unclaimed Property

According to the Bureau of Unclaimed Property, in fiscal year 2014-2015, the bureau processed over 500,000 claims and returned \$253 million worth of property to Floridians. Unclaimed property constitutes any funds or other property, tangible or intangible, that has remained unclaimed by the owner for more than 5 years. Unclaimed property may include savings and checking accounts, money orders, travelers' checks, uncashed payroll or cashiers' checks, stocks, bonds, other securities, insurance policy payments, refunds, security and utility deposits, and contents of safe deposit boxes.²

In 1987, Florida adopted the Uniform Unclaimed Property Act³ and enacted the Florida Disposition of Unclaimed Property Act (ch. 717, F.S., "the act"). The act serves to protect the interests of missing owners of property, while the state derives a benefit from the unclaimed and abandoned property until the property is claimed, if ever. Under the act, the Department of Financial Services, Bureau of Unclaimed Property (DFS) is responsible for receiving property, attempting to locate the rightful owners, and returning the property or proceeds to them. There is no statute of limitations in the act, and citizens may claim their property at any time and at no cost.

Generally, all intangible property, including any income less any lawful charges, which is held in the ordinary course of the holder's business, is presumed to be unclaimed when the owner fails to claim the property for more than 5 years after the property becomes payable or distributable, unless otherwise provided in the act. Holders of unclaimed property (which typically include banks and insurance companies) of \$50 or more are required to use due diligence to locate and

¹ Email from Elizabeth Boyd, Legislative Affairs Director, Office of the Chief Financial Officer (Feb. 25, 2016) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

² Sections 717.104 – 717.116, F.S.

³ Uniform Law Commission, *Unclaimed Property Act* (1952)(1981),

http://www.uniformlaws.org/Act.aspx?title=Unclaimed Property Act (1952)(1981) (last visited Feb. 15, 2016).

⁴ Chapter 87-105, Laws of Fla. See also UNIFORM LAW COMMISSION, *Unclaimed Property Act Summary*, http://www.uniformlaws.org/ActSummary.aspx?title=Unclaimed%20Property%20Act (last visited Feb. 15, 2016).

⁵ Section 717.102(1), F.S.

notify apparent owners of inactive accounts, at least 60 days but not more than 120 days, prior to filing a report with DFS.⁶ If the owners cannot be located, holders must file an annual report with DFS for all property, valued at \$50 or more, which is presumed unclaimed for the preceding year.⁷ The report must contain certain identifying information, such as the apparent owner's name, social security number or federal employer identification number, and last known address of apparent owners.⁸ The holder must deliver all reportable unclaimed property to DFS when it submits its annual report.⁹

Upon the payment or delivery of unclaimed property to DFS, the state assumes custody and responsibility for the safekeeping of the property. The original property owner retains the right to recover the proceeds of the property, and any person claiming an interest in the property delivered to DFS may file a claim for the property, subject to certain requirements. DFS is required to make a determination on a claim within 90 days. If a claim is determined in favor of the claimant, DFS is to deliver or pay over to the claimant the property or the amount DFS actually received or the proceeds, if it has been sold by DFS. 12

If the property remains unclaimed, all proceeds from abandoned property are then deposited by DFS into the Unclaimed Property Trust Fund. ¹³ DFS is allowed to retain up to \$15 million to make prompt payment on verified claims and to cover costs incurred by DFS in administering and enforcing the act. All remaining funds received must be deposited into the State School Fund to be used for public education. ¹⁴

Claims for recovery of unclaimed property held by DFS under the act may be filed by or on behalf of any person with an interest in the property. While the act provides the opportunity for anyone to recover the full value of their property at no cost, provision is made for claimants to designate someone who may perfect the claim for them. The claimant may designate and empower a representative to pursue the claim by executing a power of attorney agreement. The claimant may also sell the right to the property to certain individuals who are registered with DFS for this purpose. In either case, the transaction is subject to a fee limitation, unless a

⁶ Section 717.117(4), F.S.

⁷ Section 717.117, F.S.

⁸ For unclaimed funds owing under any life or endowment insurance policy or annuity contract, the report must also include the last known address of the insured or annuitant and of the beneficiary according to records of the insurance company holding or owing the funds. Section 717.117(1)(b), F.S.

⁹ Section 717.119, F.S.

¹⁰ Section 717.1201, F.S. Like many other states' unclaimed property acts, the act is based on the common-law doctrine of escheat and is a "custody" statute, rather than a "title" statute, in that the DFS does not take title to abandoned property, but instead obtains its custody and beneficial use pending identification of the property owner.

¹¹Sections 717.117 and 717.124, F.S.

¹² Section 717.124, F.S.

¹³ Section 717.123, F.S.

¹⁴ *Id*.

¹⁵ Section 717.124, F.S.

¹⁶ Only a Florida licensed attorney, a licensed Florida certified public accountant, a private investigator or an employee of a private investigator, or an employer of the private investigator if the employer holds a Class "A" license under ch. 493, F.S., may execute such purchase agreements. s. 717.1351, F.S. Additionally, the purchaser must be registered with DFS. DFS reports that there are currently 246 registrants under this provision. Florida Department of Financial Services, *Agency Analysis of 2016 SB 970*, p. 3 (Dec. 14, 2015) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

disclosure statement is provided to the claimant, in the form and with the content specified in the act. The fee limitations are:

- For representatives operating under a power of attorney:17
 - o 20 percent of the value of the property, not to exceed \$1,000;
 - However, the fee limitation does not apply if the representative must initiate probate proceedings for an estate that has never been probated before or if the claimant is outside of the United States.
- For purchasers obtaining rights under a purchase agreement:18
 - o 20 percent discount off of the value of the property, not to exceed a discount of \$1,000;
 - However, the \$1,000 discount limitation does not apply if the representative must initiate
 probate proceedings for an estate that has never been probated, if the claimant is outside
 of the United States or is not a natural person, such as a business or similar entity.

The act also prescribes the form and content of the purchase agreement that transfers the right of the claimant to another person and the document granting the power of attorney.

The public policy of the state is to provide DFS with the first opportunity to locate the owner of the unclaimed property and for the owner to receive the full value of his or her property. ¹⁹ There are limitations on claiming by others through powers of attorney and purchase agreements. Powers of attorney and purchase agreements that are executed less than 45 days after the property is received by the DFS and that relate to accounts over \$250 in value are void under the act. ²⁰ The 45 day limit on the claims provides DFS the opportunity to attempt to locate the property's owner. However, placing time and value limits on claim eligibility requires DFS to track accounts and audit claims to identify the amount and timing of the claims. The DFS reports that this is inefficient and the public purpose can be served through other provisions of the act. DFS recommends repealing s. 717.1381, F.S., to eliminate administrative inefficiency. ²¹

Unclaimed Campaign Funds

Section 106.141, F.S., requires candidates for public office to dispose of the funds in their campaign account within 90 days after the date that their candidacy ended.²² Paragraph 106.141(4)(a), F.S., specifies a variety of options for the disposal of surplus campaign funds.

¹⁷ Section 717.135, F.S., requires the disclosure that the property is held by the DFS pursuant to the act, the mailing and Internet addresses of DFS, the person or name of the entity that held the property prior to the property becoming unclaimed, the date of the holder's last contact with the owner, if known, and the approximate value of the property, and the categories of unclaimed property the claimant's representative is seeking to recover. The categories of unclaimed property are: cash accounts; stale dated checks; life insurance or annuity contract assets; utility deposits; securities or other interests in business associations; wages; accounts receivable; and contents of safe-deposit boxes.

¹⁸ Section 717.1351, F.S. The content of the disclosure statement has the same elements as the disclosure described in s. 717.135, F.S., related to powers of attorney. However, the fee limitation does not apply if the representative must initiate probate proceedings for an estate that has never been probated, if the claimant is outside of the United States or is not a natural person, such as a business or similar entity.

¹⁹ Sections 717.118 and 717.1381, F.S.

²⁰ Section 717.1381, F.S.

²¹ Florida Department of Financial Services, *Agency Analysis of 2016 SB 970*, p. 3 (Dec. 14, 2015) and email from Elizabeth Boyd, Director of Legislative Affairs, Department of Financial Services, *Re: 45 Day Issue* (Jan. 27, 2016) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

²² The triggers for disposition are when the candidate withdraws their candidacy, becomes an unopposed candidate, is eliminated, or is elected. Section 106.141(1), F.S.

With certain exceptions, they may take any combination of the following actions when disposing of the surplus:

- Return, pro rata to each contributor, the funds that have not been spent or obligated;
- Donate the funds that have not been spent or obligated to a charitable organization or organizations that meet the qualifications of s. 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code;
- Give not more than \$25,000 of the funds that have not been spent or obligated to the affiliated party committee or political party of which such candidate is a member; or
- Give the funds that have not been spent or obligated:
 - In the case of a candidate for state office, to the state, to be deposited in either the Election Campaign Financing Trust Fund or the General Revenue Fund, as designated by the candidate; or
 - o In the case of a candidate for an office of a political subdivision, to such political subdivision, to be deposited in the general fund thereof.

If the candidate accepted contributions under the Florida Election Campaign Financing Act, the surplus funds must be returned to the General Revenue Fund, after satisfying certain monetary obligations. If the candidate takes office, they may transfer a limited amount of the funds to his or her office account.

Violations of the campaign finance law are subject to criminal penalties, both misdemeanors and felonies. Failure to properly dispose of surplus campaign funds is a first degree misdemeanor punishable by up to a year in jail and/or a fine of \$1,000. Candidates are prohibited from accepting campaign contributions following the end of their candidacy. They are allowed to receive and deposit refund checks to be disposed of consistent with the requirements of law, as described above. However, the law does not specify how to dispose of cash (or other property), received in forms other than a check, that would otherwise go into the campaign account but comes into the possession of the former candidate after the end of his or her candidacy and the disposal of the funds in the campaign account.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 revises the definitions of "business association," "domicile," and "insurance company" to simplify their text and improve understanding. Limited liability companies are specifically included in the definition of "business association." A definition of "United States" is created to specify the meaning of that term, which is currently used throughout the act to determine various rights and conditions.

Section 2 of the bill provides that, if unclaimed property is owned by the campaign account of a candidate for public office, following a report of the property to the DFS, the property shall become the property of the state and the proceeds of the property shall be paid into the State School Fund.

Section 3 redefines what the value of a small estate account is. Generally, a claim for property related to the estate of a deceased person must be accompanied by an order from a probate court. However, there are exceptions for estates having an aggregate value of \$5,000 or less if no

probate proceeding is pending.²³ This section amends s. 717.1243, F.S., to increase the maximum threshold value of this small estate provision to \$10,000 from \$5,000.

Section 4 amends s. 717.1262, F.S., the provisions dealing with court documents. The section currently requires that a claimant whose right to property is based on a court document file a certified copy of the relevant court document with DFS. This section expands the requirement to include all pleadings filed with the court to establish the property right which were filed within the 180 days preceding the signing of the claim form.

Section 5 amends s. 717.1333, F.S., to authorize DFS to estimate the amount of unclaimed property held and due to DFS if the holder fails to produce records following a request by DFS. Currently, the holder of unclaimed property is obligated to report the value of property to DFS. If the holder's records are insufficient to permit preparation of the required report, the value of the property may be estimated. However, there is currently no authority for DFS to estimate the value of the property when the holder fails to produce the record.

Section 6 amends s. 717.135, F.S., which requires a claimant's representative to either give notice to a property owner that unclaimed property is held by the DFS Bureau of Unclaimed Property or limit the fees that a claimant's representative earns under a power of attorney to recover unclaimed property to 20 percent of the unclaimed property, not to exceed \$1,000. The bill applies the requirements of the section to claims where probate proceedings must be initiated on behalf of a claimant for an estate that has never been probated. The bill also applies the requirements of the section to claims made by a person outside the United States.

Section 717.135, F.S., also requires a specific form be used to execute a limited power of attorney that discloses to the property owner the dollar value of the property and the percent of the property that is being paid to the property, and additional disclosures. The bill removes a provision in current law that allows the property locator that charges a flat fee to not include in the limited power of attorney form the percent of the property paid as compensation to the property locator.

Sections 6 and 7 require any authorization or agreement for the recovery or purchase of property to be personally signed and dated by the claimant. The date of the authorization or agreement cannot precede the date on the grant of limited power of attorney or purchase agreement. The effect is to have a compliant power of attorney or purchase agreement be the first agreement in the case. This facilitates getting the disclosure, if one is going to be used to remove the fee cap, in front of the claimant during the first step in the claims process. The change is meant to address the problem of claimants being presented and obligated to noncompliant authorizations or agreements, only to later execute a compliant agreement, which misrepresents the factual circumstances of the representation and the lawfulness of the fee to DFS. The bill requires a copy of such authorizations or agreements to be filed with DFS along with the other required documents. Additionally, the bill requires DFS to deny any claim where the representative under an authorization or agreement refuses to reduce its fee to the maximum allowed by law, i.e., 20 percent of the value of the property, if the disclosure was required but not provided to the claimant timely. Taken together, the provisions of the bill creating ss. 717.135(5) and

_

²³ Section 717.1243, F.S.

717.1351(8), F.S., would allow the fee cap to be lifted when the specified disclosure is made at the time of the first engagement of services. Failure to do so limits fees to 20 percent of the value of the property or requires DFS' denial of the claim.

Section 7 amends s. 717.1351, F.S., which governs contracts to acquire ownership of unclaimed property from the person entitled to the unclaimed property. Current law limits the purchase price that may be offered if the purchaser does not disclose to the owner of unclaimed property that the property is being held by the Bureau of Unclaimed Property. If such notice is not provided, the purchase price may not discount the value of the unclaimed property more than 20 percent, up to a maximum discounted purchase price of \$1,000. The bill applies the requirements of the section to purchase agreements where probate proceedings must be initiated on behalf of a seller for an estate that has never been probated. The bill also applies the requirements of the section to sellers located outside the United States.

Currently, s. 717.1351, F.S., requires that purchase agreements specify the percent of the property to be paid to the purchaser on a discrete line item of the purchase agreement pursuant to the form and content requirements of the act. However, this line may be deleted if the purchaser is paid a flat fee instead of a percentage of the recovery. The bill eliminates this exception and requires every purchase agreement to include the required text regarding the percent of the property to be paid to the purchaser and the insertion of the appropriate percentage figure, which varies depending upon the amount of the flat fee and the value of the property to be recovered.

The bill also expands the time period a purchaser of unclaimed property has to remit the purchase price to the seller to 30 days from 10 days after the execution of the purchase contract. The bill expands the requirement that the purchaser file with the DFS proof that the seller received the purchase price to include all forms of payment, rather than just payment by check. The bill also provides that if proof of payment is not provided, the claim is void.

Section 8 repeals s. 717.1381, F.S. This eliminates the 45 day waiting period for claims over \$250 in value that are handled by a representative or purchaser. DFS reports that it will be able to maintain a waiting period using its authority under s. 717.117(3), F.S., and that the administrative efficiency will be improved by not having to audit claim filings for the timing of agreements and value of the claim for compliance with the repealed limitation.²⁴

Section 9 retains the portion of legislative intent in s. 717.1381, F.S., regarding the right of the claimant to recover his or her property without charge, by moving it to s. 717.139, F.S. However, it does not preserve the legislative intent statement regarding the obligation of DFS to make a meaningful attempt to locate the claimant.

Section 10 deletes the authorization for registrants to receive social security numbers. Currently, individuals who register with DFS as potential purchasers under the act are permitted to receive the social security numbers of apparent property owners of property reported to DFS. This is in addition to other information related to the unclaimed property.

Section 11 provides an effective date of July 1, 2016.

_

²⁴ Supra note 20.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The bill allows for small estates up to \$10,000 to file an affidavit with the department for a claim made by a beneficiary.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 717.101, 717.1243, 717.1262, 717.1333, 717.1351, 717.139 and 717.1400.

This bill creates section 717.1235 of the Florida Statutes.

This bill repeals section 717.1381 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Banking and Insurance on February 9, 2016:

- Removes the section of the bill relating to "surplus trustees";
- Requires each court pleading filed within 180 days prior to a claim for unclaimed property to be filed with the Department of Financial Services;
- Requires all authorizations or agreements for representation regarding a claim for unclaimed property to meet specified requirements regarding accurate and personal completion by the claimant and allows for a claim to be denied if such agreements exceed the fee cap;
- Increases the maximum number of days for a claimant to be paid following a purchase agreement from 10 days to 30 days from the date of execution and voids the claim if proof of payment is not filed with the DFS;
- Restores a statement of legislative intent found in s.717.1381, F.S.
- Removes the section of the bill that expressed intent to apply a portion of the bill retroactively;
- Removes the section of the bill that deleted the \$1,000 fee cap on agreements to recover or purchase unclaimed property that do not provide specified disclosures; and
- Removes the section of the bill requiring a registration fee for claimant representatives.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

 ${\bf By}$ the Committee on Banking and Insurance; and Senator Richter

597-03219-16 2016970c

A bill to be entitled An act relating to unclaimed property; amending s. 717.101, F.S.; revising and providing definitions; creating s. 717.1235, F.S.; requiring unclaimed funds reported in the name of specified campaigns for public office to be deposited with the Chief Financial Officer to the credit of the State School Trust Fund; amending s. 717.1243, F.S.; revising the aggregate value that constitutes a small estate account; amending s. 717.1262, F.S.; requiring certain persons claiming entitlement to unclaimed property to file certified copies of specified pleadings with the Department of Financial Services; amending s. 717.1333, F.S.; revising requirements for the estimation of certain amounts due to the department; amending s. 717.135, F.S.; revising applicability; deleting a provision that allows specified wording on a certain power of attorney; providing requirements for a certain authorization or agreement to recover unclaimed property; requiring the department to deny a claim under certain circumstances; amending s. 717.1351, F.S.; revising requirements and conditions for contracts to acquire ownership of or entitlement to property; deleting a provision that allows specified wording on a purchase agreement; providing requirements for a certain authorization or agreement to purchase unclaimed property; requiring the department to deny a claim under certain circumstances; repealing s. 717.1381, F.S., relating to void unclaimed property powers of attorney and purchase agreements; amending s. 717.139, F.S.; providing legislative intent; amending s. 717.1400,

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

Page 1 of 14

 ${\bf CODING:}$ Words ${\bf stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 970

	597-03219-16 2016970c1
33	F.S.; removing authorization for certain private
34	investigators, public accountants, and attorneys to
35	obtain social security numbers; providing an effective
36	date.
37	
38	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
39	
40	Section 1. Subsections (4), (8), and (13) of section
41	717.101, Florida Statutes, are amended, present subsection (24)
42	of that section is renumbered as subsection (25), and a new
43	subsection (24) is added to that section, to read:
44	717.101 Definitions.—As used in this chapter, unless the
45	context otherwise requires:
46	(4) "Business association" means any corporation (other
47	than a public corporation), joint stock company, investment
48	company, business trust, partnership, <u>limited liability company</u> ,
49	or association $\underline{\text{of two or more individuals}}$ for business purposes
50	$\frac{1}{2}$ of two or more individuals, whether $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$
51	<pre>profit, including a banking organization, financial</pre>
52	organization, insurance company, dissolved pension plan, or
53	utility.
54	(8) "Domicile" means the state of incorporation $\underline{\text{for}}_{r}$ in the
55	$\frac{case - of}{of}$ a corporation incorporated under the laws of a state $\underline{i}_{\mathcal{T}}$
56	or for unincorporated business associations, the state where the
57	business association is organized and the state of the principal
58	place of business, in the case of a person not incorporated
59	under the laws of a state.
60	(13) "Insurance company" means an association, \underline{a}
61	corporation, or <u>a</u> fraternal or mutual benefit organization,

Page 2 of 14

by 597-03219-16

whether or not for profit or not for profit, which is engaged in providing insurance coverage, including, by way of illustration and not limitation, accident, burial, casualty, credit life, contract performance, dental, fidelity, fire, health, hospitalization, illness, life (including endowments and annuities), malpractice, marine, mortgage, surety, and wage protection insurance.

(24) "United States" means any state, district, commonwealth, territory, insular possession, and any other area

commonwealth, territory, insular possession, and any other area subject to the legislative authority of the United States of America.

Section 2. Section 717.1235, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

717.1235 Dormant campaign accounts; report of unclaimed property.—Unclaimed funds reported in the name of a campaign for public office which is required to dispose of surplus funds in its campaign account pursuant to s. 106.141 must be deposited with the Chief Financial Officer to the credit of the State School Trust Fund.

Section 3. Subsection (4) of section 717.1243, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

717.1243 Small estate accounts.-

(4) This section only applies if all of the unclaimed property held by the department on behalf of the owner has an aggregate value of $\frac{$10,000}{$5,000}$ or less and no probate proceeding is pending.

Section 4. Section 717.1262, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

717.1262 Court documents.—Any person who claims entitlement

Page 3 of 14

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 970

	597-03219-16 2016970c1
91	to unclaimed property by reason of a court document shall file a
92	certified copy of the court document with the department. $\underline{\text{The}}$
93	person shall also file with the department certified copies of
94	all pleadings to obtain a court document establishing
95	entitlement which were filed with the court within 180 days
96	before the date the claim form was signed by the claimant or
97	claimant's representative.
98	Section 5. Subsection (2) of section 717.1333, Florida
99	Statutes, is amended to read:
00	717.1333 Evidence; estimations; audit reports, examiner's
01	worksheets, investigative reports, other related documents
02	(2) If the records of the holder $\underline{\text{which}}$ that are available
03	for the periods subject to this chapter are insufficient to
04	permit the preparation of a report of the unclaimed property due
05	and owing by a holder, or if the holder fails to provide records
06	after being requested to do so, the amount due to the department
07	may be reasonably estimated.
08	Section 6. Subsection (2) and paragraph (g) of subsection
09	(4) of section 717.135, Florida Statutes, are amended, present
10	subsections (5) and (6) of that section are renumbered as
11	subsections (6) and (7), respectively, and a new subsection (5)
12	is added to that section, to read:
13	717.135 Power of attorney to recover reported property in
14	the custody of the department.—
15	(2) A power of attorney described in subsection (1) must:
16	(a) Limit the fees and costs for services to 20 percent per
17	unclaimed property account held by the department. Fees and
18	costs for cash accounts shall be based on the value of the

Page 4 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

property at the time the power of attorney is signed by the

597-03219-16 2016970c1

claimant. Fees and costs for accounts containing securities or other intangible ownership interests, which securities or interests are not converted to cash, shall be based on the purchase price of the security as quoted on a national exchange or other market on which the property is regularly traded at the time the securities or other ownership interest is remitted to the claimant or the claimant's representative. Fees and costs for tangible property or safe-deposit box accounts shall be based on the value of the tangible property or contents of the safe-deposit box at the time the ownership interest is transferred or remitted to the claimant. Total fees and costs on any single account owned by a natural person residing in this country must not exceed \$1,000; or

- (b) Fully disclose that the property is held by the Bureau of Unclaimed Property of the Department of Financial Services pursuant to this chapter, the mailing address of the bureau, the Internet address of the bureau, the person or name of the entity that held the property prior to the property becoming unclaimed, the date of the holder's last contact with the owner, if known, and the approximate value of the property, and identify which of the following categories of unclaimed property the claimant's representative is seeking to recover, as reported by the holder:
 - 1. Cash accounts.
 - 2. Stale dated checks.
 - 3. Life insurance or annuity contract assets.
- 145 4. Utility deposits.
 - 5. Securities or other interests in business associations.
 - 6. Wages.

120

121

122

123

124 125

126

127

128

129

130

131 132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

146

147

148 7. Accounts receivable.

Page 5 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 970

2016970c1

597-03219-16

149	8. Contents of safe-deposit boxes.
150	
151	This subsection shall not apply if probate proceedings must be
152	initiated on behalf of the claimant for an estate that has never
153	been probated or if the unclaimed property is being claimed by a
154	person outside of the United States.
155	(4)
156	(g) This section does not prohibit the:
157	1. Use of bolding, italics, print of different colors, and
158	text borders as a means of highlighting or stressing certain
159	selected items within the text.
160	2. Placement of the name, address, and telephone number of
161	the representative's firm or company in the top margin above the
162	words "POWER OF ATTORNEY." No additional writing of any kind may
163	be placed in the top margin including, but not limited to,
164	logos, license numbers, Internet addresses, or slogans.
165	3. Placement of the word "pending" prior to the words "NET
166	AMOUNT TO BE PAID TO CLAIMANT," if it is not yet possible to
167	determine the percentage interest of an heir or legatee prior to
168	a determination on the issue by the probate court.
169	4. Deletion of the words "Number of Shares of Stock (If
170	Applicable)" if the agreement does not relate to the recovery of
171	securities.
172	5. Deletion of the words "Percent to Be Paid as
173	Compensation to Claimant's Representative" if the power of
174	attorney provides for a flat fee to be paid as compensation to
175	the claimant's representative.
176	(5) (a) Any other authorization or agreement to recover
177	unclaimed property which is executed by or between a claimant's

Page 6 of 14

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

597-03219-16 2016970c1

representative and claimant must be signed and personally dated by the claimant. The date affixed to the authorization or agreement by the claimant may not be earlier than the date personally affixed by the claimant to the original limited power of attorney under this section. A copy of the authorization or agreement must be filed with the original claim submitted to the department, along with the statutorily compliant original power of attorney under this section.

(b) If the claimant's representative's fee for a document described in this subsection exceeds 20 percent on any given claim, s. 717.124(1)(d) applies.

Section 7. Subsections (2) and (4), paragraph (d) of subsection (7), and subsection (8) of section 717.1351, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

717.1351 Acquisition of unclaimed property.-

- (2) All contracts to acquire ownership of or entitlement to unclaimed property from the person or persons entitled to the unclaimed property must be in 10-point type or greater and must:
- (a) Have a purchase price that discounts the value of the unclaimed property at the time the agreement is executed by the seller at no greater than 20 percent per account held by the department. An unclaimed property account must not be discounted in excess of \$1,000. However, the \$1,000 discount limitation does not apply if probate proceedings must be initiated on behalf of the seller for an estate that has never been probated or if the seller of the unclaimed property is not a natural person or is a person outside the United States; or
- (b) Fully disclose that the property is held by the Bureau of Unclaimed Property of the Department of Financial Services

Page 7 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 970

201 0070 - 1

507-02210-16

1	397-03219-16 201697001
207	pursuant to this chapter, the mailing address of the bureau, the
208	Internet address of the bureau, the person or name of the entity
209	that held the property prior to the property becoming unclaimed,
210	the date of the holder's last contact with the owner, if known,
211	and the approximate value of the property, and identify which of
212	the following categories of unclaimed property the buyer is
213	seeking to purchase as reported by the holder:
214	1. Cash accounts.
215	2. Stale dated checks.
216	3. Life insurance or annuity contract assets.
217	4. Utility deposits.
218	5. Securities or other interests in business associations.
219	6. Wages.
220	7. Accounts receivable.
221	8. Contents of safe-deposit boxes.
222	
223	The purchase agreement described in this paragraph must state in
224	12-point type or greater in the order indicated with the blank
225	spaces accurately completed:
226	
227	FULL DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
228	
229	The property is currently held by the State of Florida
230	Department of Financial Services, Bureau of Unclaimed
231	Property, pursuant to chapter 717, Florida Statutes.
232	The mailing address of the Bureau of Unclaimed
233	Property is The Internet address of the
234	Bureau of Unclaimed Property is
235	

Page 8 of 14

2016970c1

597-03219-16

236 The property was remitted by: 237 238 Date of last contact: 239 240 Property category: 241 242 Immediately above the signature line for the seller, the 243 purchase agreement described in this paragraph must state in 12-244 point type or greater: 245 246 Seller agrees, by signing below, that the FULL 2.47 DISCLOSURE STATEMENT has been read and fully understood. 248 249 250 (4) Any contract to acquire ownership of or entitlement to 251 unclaimed property from the person or persons entitled to the 252 unclaimed property must provide for the purchase price to be 253 remitted to the seller or sellers within 30 $\frac{10}{10}$ days after the 254 execution of the contract by the seller or sellers. The contract 255 must specify the unclaimed property account number, the name of 256 the holder who reported the property to the department, the 257 category of unclaimed property, the value of the unclaimed 258 property account, and the number of shares of stock, if 259 applicable. Proof that the seller received of payment by check 260 must be filed with the department with the claim. If proof of 261 payment is not provided, the claim is void. 262 (7) This section does not prohibit the: 263 (d) Deletion of the words "Percent of Property to be Paid to Buyer," if the purchase agreement provides for a flat fee to 264

Page 9 of 14

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 970

2016970c1

265	be paid as compensation to the buyer.
266	(8) (a) Any other authorization or agreement to purchase
267	unclaimed property which is executed by or between a registrant
268	and seller must be signed and personally dated by the seller.
269	The date affixed to the authorization or agreement by the seller
270	may not be earlier than the date personally affixed by the
271	seller to the original purchase agreement under this section. A
272	copy of the authorization or agreement must be filed with the
273	original claim submitted to the department, along with the
274	statutorily compliant original purchase agreement under this
275	section.
276	(b) If the claimant's representative's purchase price paid
277	to the seller on a document referred to in this subsection
278	reduces the purchase price by more than 20 percent on any given
279	claim, s. 717.124(1)(d) applies.
280	(c) This section does not supersede the licensing

597-03219-16

requirements of chapter 493.

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

Section 8. Section 717.1381, Florida Statutes, is repealed. Section 9. Section 717.139, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

717.139 Uniformity of application and construction.—

Protecting the interests of owners of unclaimed property is declared to be the public policy of this state. It is in the best interests of the owners of unclaimed property that they have the opportunity to receive the full amount of the unclaimed property returned to them without deduction of any fees. This chapter shall be applied and construed as to effectuate its general purpose of protecting the interest of missing owners of property, while providing that the benefit of all unclaimed and

Page 10 of 14

597-03219-16 2016970c1

abandoned property shall go to all the people of the state, and to make uniform the law with respect to the subject of this chapter among states enacting it.

Section 10. Subsections (1), (2), and (3) of section 717.1400, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

717.1400 Registration.-

2.97

- (1) In order to file claims as a claimant's representative, acquire ownership of or entitlement to unclaimed property, receive a distribution of fees and costs from the department, and obtain unclaimed property dollar amounts and, numbers of reported shares of stock, and social security numbers held by the department, a private investigator holding a Class "C" individual license under chapter 493 must register with the department on such form as the department shall prescribe by rule, and must be verified by the applicant. To register with the department, a private investigator must provide:
- (a) A legible copy of the applicant's Class "A" business license under chapter 493 or that of the applicant's firm or employer which holds a Class "A" business license under chapter 493.
- (b) A legible copy of the applicant's Class "C" individual license issued under chapter 493.
- (c) The business address and telephone number of the applicant's private investigative firm or employer.
- (d) The names of agents or employees, if any, who are designated to act on behalf of the private investigator, together with a legible copy of their photo identification issued by an agency of the United States, or a state, or a political subdivision thereof.

Page 11 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 970

597-03219-16 2016970c1

(e) Sufficient information to enable the department to disburse funds by electronic funds transfer.

- (f) The tax identification number of the private investigator's firm or employer which holds a Class "A" business license under chapter 493.
- (2) In order to file claims as a claimant's representative, acquire ownership of or entitlement to unclaimed property, receive a distribution of fees and costs from the department, and obtain unclaimed property dollar amounts and, numbers of reported shares of stock, and social security numbers held by the department, a Florida-certified public accountant must register with the department on such form as the department shall prescribe by rule, and must be verified by the applicant. To register with the department a Florida-certified public accountant must provide:
 - (a) The applicant's Florida Board of Accountancy number.
- (b) A legible copy of the applicant's current driver license showing the full name and current address of such person. If a current driver license is not available, another form of identification showing the full name and current address of such person or persons shall be filed with the department.
- (c) The business address and telephone number of the applicant's public accounting firm or employer.
- (d) The names of agents or employees, if any, who are designated to act on behalf of the Florida-certified public accountant, together with a legible copy of their photo identification issued by an agency of the United States, or a state, or a political subdivision thereof.
 - (e) Sufficient information to enable the department to

Page 12 of 14

597-03219-16 2016970c1

disburse funds by electronic funds transfer.

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364 365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

- (f) The tax identification number of the accountant's public accounting firm employer.
- (3) In order to file claims as a claimant's representative, acquire ownership of or entitlement to unclaimed property, receive a distribution of fees and costs from the department, and obtain unclaimed property dollar amounts and, numbers of reported shares of stock, and social security numbers held by the department, an attorney licensed to practice in this state must register with the department on such form as the department shall prescribe by rule, and must be verified by the applicant. To register with the department, such attorney must provide:
 - (a) The applicant's Florida Bar number.
- (b) A legible copy of the applicant's current driver license showing the full name and current address of such person. If a current driver license is not available, another form of identification showing the full name and current address of such person or persons shall be filed with the department.
- (c) The business address and telephone number of the applicant's firm or employer.
- (d) The names of agents or employees, if any, who are designated to act on behalf of the attorney, together with a legible copy of their photo identification issued by an agency of the United States, or a state, or a political subdivision thereof.
- (e) Sufficient information to enable the department to disburse funds by electronic funds transfer.
- (f) The tax identification number of the attorney's firm or employer.

Page 13 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 970

597-03219-16 2016970c1 Section 11. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

381

Page 14 of 14



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

To:	Senator Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Committee on Judiciary
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request
Date:	February 10, 2016
I respectful	ly request that Senate Bill #970 , relating to Unclaimed Property, be placed on the:
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.
\boxtimes	next committee agenda.

Senator Garrett Richter Florida Senate, District 23



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:
Ethics and Elections, Chair
Banking and Insurance, Vice Chair
Appropriations
Appropriations Subcommittee on Health
and Human Services
Commerce and Tourism
Regulated Industries
Rules

SENATOR GARRETT RICHTER

President Pro Tempore 23rd District

February 16, 2016

The Honorable Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Senate Committee on Judiciary 515 Knott Building 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Chairman Diaz de la Portilla:

Thank you for placing Senate Bill 970, relating to Unclaimed Property, on your agenda. Unfortunately, I will not be able to present this bill personally and request that my aide, Michael Nachef, be allowed to present this bill to your committee.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Garrett Richter

cc: Tom Cibula, Staff Director

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Address Street Email elizabeth boyden Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: IV In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Financial Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)



SPECIAL MASTER ON CLAIM BILLS

Location

302 Senate Office Building

Mailing Address

404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5237

	DATE	COMM	ACTION
ĺ	1/13/16	SM	Favorable
ĺ	2/16/16	JU	Favorable
ĺ		ATD	
ĺ		AP	

January 13, 2016

The Honorable Andy Gardiner President, The Florida Senate Suite 409, The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

Re: **SB 32** – Senator Anitere Flores

HB 3527 Representative Jay Fant Relief of Amie Draiemann O'Brien

SPECIAL MASTER'S FINAL REPORT

THIS IS A CONTESTED EXCESS JUDGMENT CLAIM FOR \$1,116,940 AGAINST THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ARISING OUT OF A MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH IN JACKSONVILLE IN 2000 THAT KILLED CHRIS STEPHENSON

FINDINGS OF FACT:

On December 11, 2006, an administrative law judge from the Division of Administrative Hearings, serving as a Senate special master, held a de novo hearing on a previous version of this bill, SB 34 (2007). After the hearing, the judge issued a report containing findings of fact and conclusions of law and recommended that the bill be reported favorably with an amendment. That report is attached as an addendum to this report.

Due to the passage of time since the hearing, the Senate President reassigned the claim to me, James Knudson. My responsibilities were to review the records relating to the claim bill, be available for questions from the members, and determine whether any changes have occurred since the hearing, which if known at the hearing, might have

significantly altered the findings or recommendation in the previous report.

According to counsel for the claimant, no substantial changes have occurred since the hearing. Counsel for the claimant, in a letter dated September 30, 2015, detailed the current status of Amie Draiemann (Stephenson) O'Brian and the two surviving children, Hailey and Christian, II. They reside in Knoxville. Tennessee where Amie Draiemann O'Brien works as a teacher's assistant tutoring children with disabilities at the school her children attend. Amie is also attending Tusculum College to attain her bachelor's degree in Psychology. Amie and Hailey attend counseling for their issues regarding Mr. Stephenson's Christian previously death. counseling, but has anger issues and has stopped doing so. Hailey plans to enroll at Walter's State Community College in Tennessee. Christian is considering joining the Navy after graduating high school, but has not made a decision regarding his future after high school.

The prior claim bill, SB 34 (2007) had provided an appropriation from the General Revenue Fund to the Department of Transportation Amie to Draiemann Stephenson of \$1,092,040, plus taxable costs. On December 2, 2011, a subsequent Senate special master issued a Final Report that adopted the findings of the 2006 Final Report and recommended two amendments to a subsequent version of this claim bill, SB 62 (2012), which were not adopted because that bill was not heard in a Senate committee. These amendments, are incorporated into the claim bill filed for the 2016 Legislative Session.

The bill apportions damages between Mr. Stephenson's estate, his wife, and two children in the amounts awarded in the jury verdict. The jury verdict specifically apportioned damages between Mr. Stephenson's estate (36.22 percent of the award), Amie (21.26 percent), Hailey (27.86 percent), and Christian, II. (14.66 percent).

Based on the forgoing and the absence of new developments that might justify altering the prior special master's findings, I recommend SB 32 FAVORABLY.

SPECIAL MASTER'S FINAL REPORT – SB 32 January 13, 2016 Page 3

Respectfully submitted,

James Knudson Senate Special Master

cc: Secretary of the Senate



SPECIAL MASTER ON CLAIM BILLS

Location 402 Senate Office Building

Mailing Address 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5237

DATE	COMM	ACTION
12/2/11	SM	Fav/1 amendment

December 2, 2011

The Honorable Mike Haridopolos President, The Florida Senate Suite 409, The Capitol Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

Re: **SB 70** – Senator Michael S. Bennett

Relief of Amie Draiemann Stephenson (O'Brien)

SPECIAL MASTER'S FINAL REPORT

THIS IS A CONTESTED EXCESS JUDGMENT CLAIM FOR \$1,092,040 AGAINST THE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ARISING OUT OF A MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH IN JACKSONVILLE IN 2000 THAT KILLED CHRIS STEPHENSON.

FINDINGS OF FACT:

On August 12, 2000, 29-year-old Christian D. Stephenson was killed when he lost control of the gas tanker that he was driving and crashed on the Hart Bridge Expressway in Jacksonville. The truck exploded in the crash, and Mr. Stephenson burned to death in the fire.

The posted speed limit on the portion of the expressway where the crash occurred was 45 MPH. Mr. Stephenson was traveling in excess of the speed limit (perhaps as fast as 60 MPH) at the time of the crash, according to the eye witnesses and experts who testified at the trial.

The road was wet, and it was raining at the time of the crash. However, it was not raining as heavily at the time of the crash as it had been in the hour or so preceding the crash.

Mr. Stephenson was traveling in the left lane of the road, following closely behind a jeep driven by Jason Keiffer. Unbeknown to Mr. Keiffer or Mr. Stephenson, there was a large pool of standing water in the left lane of the road. The water was estimated to be 300 feet long and 6 to 9 inches deep at its deepest point. The cause of the standing water was a clogged drainage basin in the median.

Mr. Keiffer hit the water and lost control of his jeep. Mr. Stephenson swerved to the right to miss Mr. Keiffer's jeep. That maneuver sent him in the direction of the safety zone in which three other vehicles were sitting. In order to miss those vehicles, Mr. Stephenson steered further to the right down an exit ramp where his truck hit a guardrail, flipped over, and burst into flames.

The three vehicles sitting in the safety zone were a City of Jacksonville police car, a car driven by Shana Williams, and a news van driven by Douglas Lockwood. Ms. Williams and Mr. Lockwood had each hit the water and lost control of their vehicles shortly before the crash involving Mr. Stephenson. The police car was driven by Lt. David Vanaman, who had just responded to the scene to assist Ms. Williams and Mr. Lockwood about the time that Mr. Stephenson lost control of his truck.

The Department of Transportation (DOT) is responsible for maintaining the drainage basins along the Hart Bridge Expressway. After the crash, DOT maintenance supervisor Alex Slaughter was called to the scene.

Mr. Slaughter called for the assistance of a vacuum truck to suck up the standing water and clean up the drainage basin. The vacuum truck was able to suck up all of the water on the road, but it was unable to unclog the drainage basin. As a result, it was necessary for Mr. Slaughter and three other DOT maintenance employees to climb down into the drainage basin and remove by hand the materials clogging the drain. The materials removed from the drainage basin included various items of trash and what was described at trial as a large rubber or plastic flap. It took the four DOT employees

two hours to remove all of the materials in the drainage basin. Approximately one cubic yard of debris was removed.

No evidence was presented as to when DOT had last inspected and/or cleaned out the drainage basin. Mr. Slaughter testified that the materials removed from the drainage basin had likely accumulated over 6 to 8 months. The plaintiffs' expert, Jerome Thomas, testified that the debris had likely been accumulating for several years. Mr. Thomas's estimate is more reasonable in light of the length of time that it took the DOT employees to unclog the drainage basin after the crash, the amount of debris removed, and the evidence of prior flooding at the site.

This was not the first time that the water had accumulated on the road in this location as a result of the clogged drainage basin. Several witnesses testified about seeing standing water at that location, and there had been several prior crashes, including one involving a City of Jacksonville fire truck, in which drivers lost control of their vehicles after hitting the water. However, there was no evidence that these accidents were reported to DOT, or that DOT had actual knowledge of the flooding caused by the clogged drain at this location.

Mr. Stephenson was survived by his wife, Amie, and two children, Hailey and Christian, II. Hailey (now 13) was 2 years old at the time of Mr. Stephenson's death. Christian, II (now 11), was born several months after Mr. Stephenson's death. Amie and Hailey both spent time in counseling after Mr. Stephenson's death. Christian is reportedly experiencing behavioral and emotional problems as a consequence of never having met his father.

Amie is a stay-at-home mom. She last worked outside the home in 1998, which was about the time that Hailey was born. Amie has moved on with her life. She married Kevin O'Brien, Mr. Stephenson's best friend, in October 2005. They have a daughter together.

Amie received approximately \$325,000 from various sources after Mr. Stephenson's death. That amount included \$104,581.34 in workers' compensation death benefits; a \$5,000 funeral benefit from Mr. Stephenson's insurer, State Farm; a \$100,000 uninsured motorist settlement from State

Farm; a \$10,000 settlement of a suit against Mr. Keiffer; a \$10,000 settlement of a suit against the City of Jacksonville; \$22,000 in donations through a charity fund established by a local hospital where Mr. Stephenson's mother worked; and \$75,000 in life insurance. These funds are in addition to the \$175,100 paid by DOT in satisfaction of its legal liability for the judgment in this case, as discussed below. Amie used the money from the charity fund to pay off the family's debts and purchase furniture for a new home. There is a statutory lien on the workers' compensation benefits, which will be paid from the proceeds of the claim bill.

In addition to the lump sum payments referenced above, Amie received Social Security survivor benefits of approximately \$700 per month until the time that she married Mr. O'Brien. Hailey and Chris, II, continue to receive survivor benefits. It was reported at the Special Master hearing that each child receives benefits of \$917 per month, and that the benefits will continue until the children turn 18.

Amie testified at the Special Master hearing that any money she receives from the claim bill will ultimately pass to her children, and not Mr. O'Brien. She confirmed that intent in writing after the hearing. Additionally, Mr. O'Brien submitted a written statement waiving his right to any of the money received by Amie from the claim bill.

DOT reported that it has sufficient funds available in its "unappropriated trust fund balances" to pay the claim, and those funds were suggested by DOT as the appropriate source for payment of this claim if the bill is approved over its objection. Payment of the claim from those funds will not adversely impact DOT's operations or any particular work program.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS:

In 2001, Amie, as personal representative of Mr. Stephenson's estate, filed suit against DOT, the City of Jacksonville, Multimedia Holdings Corporation (Mr. Lockwood's employer), Ms. Williams, and Mr. Keiffer, in circuit court in Jacksonville. A two-week jury trial was held in March 2005.

Prior to trial, the court entered summary judgment in favor of Multimedia and Ms. Williams. Those rulings were affirmed on appeal, and judgments were subsequently entered in favor of Ms. Williams (\$21,599 in attorney's fees and \$1,887.07 in costs) and Multimedia (\$5,148 in attorney's fees). Those judgments remain unsatisfied and are against Mr. Stephenson's estate, which has not yet been closed. It is expected that the judgments will be paid out of the proceeds from the claim bill that are paid to the estate.

Summary judgment was also entered in favor of Mr. Keiffer prior to the trial. The claimants' appeal of that ruling was dismissed after Mr. Keiffer agreed to pay \$10,000 to settle the suit against him. A \$10,000 pre-trial settlement was also reached with the City of Jacksonville.

As a result of the pre-trial rulings and settlements, the case proceeded to trial with DOT as the only defendant. The jury found DOT negligent and apportioned 36 percent of the negligence for Mr. Stephenson's death to DOT. The jury apportioned the remaining 64 percent of the negligence to Mr. Stephenson. The jury awarded a total of \$3,589,000, broken down as follows:

Damages to Mr. Stephenson's estate	\$1,300,000
Damages to Amie	\$763,000
Damages to Hailey	\$1,000,000
Damages to Chris, II	\$526,000

After the award was reduced to reflect Mr. Stephenson's comparative fault, a final judgment was entered against DOT for \$1,292,040.

The final judgment reserved jurisdiction to award costs against DOT. A cost judgment was never entered because the parties agreed that the amount of trial-related costs was roughly equivalent to the amount that would be offset against the judgment for the collateral sources received by Amie after Mr. Stephenson's death.

DOT did not appeal the final judgment. Amie appealed the final judgment, but the appeal was voluntarily dismissed because according to the claimants' attorney, Amie would not have been able emotionally to go through another trial in the event that the judgment was reversed on appeal.

DOT paid \$175,100 to the claimants in satisfaction of its legal liability under the judgment. The remainder of the \$200,000

available under the sovereign immunity cap was paid to the company that owned the truck Mr. Stephenson was driving which was destroyed in the crash. The "outstanding balance" of the judgment against DOT is \$1,117,940.

The claimants only received approximately \$26,000 of the \$175,100 paid by DOT, with approximately \$8,500 going to approximately \$11,300 going to Hailey, approximately \$5,900 going to Christian, II. None of the initial payment went to Mr. Stephenson's estate. The remainder of the initial payment went to attorney's fees, costs, and the repayment of a loan taken out by the claimants.

The claimants' attorney reports that there are approximately \$320,000 of billed and unbilled costs and expenses which remain outstanding. Some of those expenses relate to posttrial matters, but the bulk of the expenses relate to the investigation and trial of the case.

CLAIMANT'S ARGUEMENTS:

DOT was negligent by failing to keep the drainage basin free of debris, which caused water to overflow onto the road creating an unsafe condition that led to Mr. Stephenson's death.

DOT had at least constructive notice of the dangerous condition created by the clogged drainage basin as a result of prior crashes at the location caused by standing water.

The jury verdict against DOT should be given full effect.

RESPONDENT'S ARGUMENTS: DOT did not have actual notice of the clogged drainage basin or the resulting dangerous roadway condition.

> The clogged drain was not caused by months or years of accumulated debris, but rather by the large rubber or plastic flap that somehow got into the drainage basin.

> The primary cause of the crash that killed Mr. Stephenson was his own negligence, namely his excessive speed for the wet road conditions that existed at the time of the crash.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

DOT had a duty to maintain the drainage basin so that it did not become clogged and create an unsafe roadway condition. Although DOT argued that its decisions as to where drainage basins are located and how and when they are inspected are planning level decisions entitled to sovereign immunity, it conceded that its duty to properly maintain a particular drainage basin is an operational level decision for which sovereign immunity has been partially waived by section 768.28, Florida Statutes.

DOT breached its duty, as evidenced by the fact that there was no evidence when the drainage basin was last cleaned out, and the fact that it took four DOT employees a total of two hours to remove the cubic yard of debris that had accumulated in the drainage basin. DOT's argument that the drainage basin became clogged because of a "freak event" (i.e., the rubber or plastic flap) was not persuasive in light of the amount of debris removed from the drainage basin after the crash and the evidence of prior crashes caused by standing water in the same location.

DOTs negligence was a proximate cause of Mr. Stephenson's death because but for the standing water in the roadway caused by the clogged drainage basin, Mr. Keiffer would not have lost control of his jeep causing Mr. Stephenson to take the evasive action that ultimately led to his death.

Mr. Stephenson's own negligence also contributed to his death because he was speeding at the time of his crash despite the wet road conditions, and he may have also been following Mr. Keiffer's jeep too closely. Accordingly, the jury's apportionment of fault between DOT and Mr. Stephenson is reasonable and appropriate.

The damages awarded by the jury are reasonable as well. Dr. Patricia Pacey, the expert who testified at trial for the claimants, calculated the economic damages of Mr. Stephenson's death to be approximately \$1.8 million. DOT's expert came to a similar amount. The jury awarded \$1.3 million to Mr. Stephenson's estate for economic damages. The remaining \$2.2 million of the verdict were non-economic damages apportioned amongst Amie, Hailey, and Christian, II.

The trial court did not enter a cost judgment against DOT, and it did not adjust the jury verdict to take into account collateral sources of recovery by Mr. Stephenson's family.

The evidence presented at the Special Master hearing establishes that, consistent with the agreement of the parties at the trial level, the costs incurred by the claimants are roughly equivalent to, and off-set, the collateral-source payments received by the claimants.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY:

This is the sixth year that this claim has been presented to the Legislature. The bills filed in 2007 (SB 34), 2008 (SB 62), 2009 (SB 22), 2010 (SB 32), and 2011 (SB 30) were not referred to committee.

ATTORNEYS FEES:

The bill states that "attorney's fees, lobbying fees, costs, and other similar expenses relating to this claim may not exceed 25 percent of the amount awarded under this act." (Emphasis supplied). This limitation is within the authority and discretion of the Legislature. See Gamble v. Wells, 450 So. 2d 850 (Fla. 1984); Noel v. Schlesinger, 984 So. 2d 1265 (Fla. 4th DCA 2008).

The claimants' attorney provided an affidavit stating that in accordance with s. 768.28(8), F.S., attorney's fees related to this claim will be capped at 25 percent of the amount awarded in the bill. The lobbyist's fee is 6 percent of amount awarded in the bill, and according to lobbyist's affidavit, the lobbyist's fee is "included within the 25 percent attorney fee cap."

There are approximately \$320,000 of outstanding costs and expenses. Those costs will not come out of the claimants' portion of the bill as a result of the bill language quoted above.

SPECIAL ISSUES:

This Final Report was written by Special Master T. Kent Wetherell, II, who conducted the claim bill hearing on this matter in December 2006. Having reviewed the case, the undersigned has elected to adopt Special Master Wetherell's report and recommendations, with minor editorial changes to the text.

One amendment to the bill is needed. The fourth whereas clause erroneously states that the jeep was traveling towards Mr. Stephenson's tanker truck. This clause should be amended to conform to the undisputed evidence that Mr. Stephenson's tanker truck was following the jeep.

Other amendments might be desirable. First, the last "whereas" clause in the bill states that the amount subject to being awarded pursuant to this act is \$1,092,040, which will be the unpaid balance of the final judgment after DOT has paid the claimants \$200,000 under the sovereign immunity cap. To date, DOT has not paid the claimants the full \$200,000. Instead, DOT paid \$25,000 to the company that owned the truck which was destroyed in the fire and \$175,000 to the claimants. Given that the bill seeks payment of \$1,092,040, which is the amount of the judgment less \$200,000, it appears that the claimants anticipate DOT will pay them the \$25,000 balance due under the cap without the compulsion of this legislation-or that they have abandoned the pursuit of this sum. If these assumptions are incorrect, the claimants should seek to amend the bill, to reflect that the "outstanding balance" against DOT is \$1,117,940, and to correct the "whereas" clause accordingly.

Second, the bill contemplates a single lump sum payment to Amie, as personal representative of Mr. Stephenson's estate, even though the jury verdict specifically apportioned damages between Mr. Stephenson's estate (36.22 percent of the award), Amie (21.26 percent), Hailey (27.86 percent), and Christian, II. (14.66 percent). Amie testified at the Special Master hearing (and the claimants' attorney confirmed in a written submittal this year) that she has no objection to the children's shares of the claim bill being specifically earmarked for them. It was suggested, however, that the children's shares of the claim bill should be paid into a trust since they are minors. The claimants should consider seeking an amendment to the bill that would provide for the allocation of the proceeds as follows: \$404,575.65 to Mr. Stephenson's estate; \$237,454.78 to Amie; \$311,212.04 in trust for Hailey; and \$163,697.53 in trust for Christian, II.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

For the reasons set forth above, I recommend that Senate Bill 62 (2012) be reported FAVORABLY, as amended.

SPECIAL MASTER'S FINAL REPORT – SB 70 December 2, 2011 Page 13

Respectfully submitted,

John G. Van Laningham Senate Special Master

cc: Senator Michael S. Bennett
Debbie Brown, Interim Secretary of the Senate
Counsel of Record



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

То:	Senator Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Committee on Judiciary					
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request					
Date:	e: January 26, 2016					
*	request that Senate Bill #32 , relating to Relief/Amie Draiemann Stephenson, and Christian Darby Stephenson, II/Department of Transportation, the:					
\boxtimes	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.					
	next committee agenda.					
	anitere Flores					
	Senator Anitere Flores Florida Senate, District 37					

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Pre	pared By: T	he Professional	Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judiciary	
BILL:	CS/SB 110)4				
INTRODUCER: Banking a		nd Insuran	ce Committee	and Senator Flor	es	
SUBJECT:	Service of	Process or	n Financial Ins	stitutions		
DATE:	February 1	5, 2016	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
. Billmeier		Knudson		BI	Fav/CS	
2. McAloon		Cibula		JU	Favorable	
3.				RC		

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 1104 amends the procedures for service of process upon a financial institution. The bill allows a financial institution to designate a place or registered agent with the Department of State as the sole location or agent for service of process. The location or agent must be available to receive service of process between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. on business days, excluding federal and Florida holidays.

If service upon a financial institution cannot be made at the designated central location, or the institution has not designated a registered agent, service may be made upon the officer or director of the financial institution at its principal place of business.

Service of process required or authorized to be made by the Office of Financial Regulation (OFR) may continue to be made through certified mail to any officer, director, or business agent of the financial institution at its principal place of business or any other branch, office, or place of business.

BILL: CS/SB 1104 Page 2

II. Present Situation:

Background

In Florida, the Office of Financial Regulation (OFR) is responsible for the regulation of financial institutions chartered and organized under Florida law. The OFR does not regulate national banks or banks that are chartered and regulated in other states. However, states are permitted to regulate the activities of national banks where doing so does not significantly interfere with the national bank's or the national bank regulator's exercise of its powers. State law will not be upheld if the state prescriptions significantly impair the exercise of authority of the national banks. In issuing an order or rule, OFR must consider the importance of maintaining a competitive dual system of financial institutions and whether such an order or rule is in the public interest.

Service of process is the term for the delivery of a summons, writ, or subpoena to the opposing party in a lawsuit.⁵ Service of process generally does not significantly impair the exercise of the authority of national banks, and is not preempted by federal law.⁶ Therefore, state law regulations in regard to service of process upon financial institutions are generally valid. The Florida Statutes govern the manner in which service of process, notice, or demand may be made on a financial institution that transacts business in this state, whether state or nationally chartered.⁷

Process against any financial institution may be served through a number of means. Currently, process may be served by the following methods:

- Personal service on the president or vice president, or other head of the corporation. If the president or vice president is absent, on the cashier, treasurer, secretary, or general manager. If process is still unable to be served on the previous individuals, it may be served on any director; or on any officer or business agent residing in the state.⁸
- Constructive service by publication when personal service on the institution cannot be had.⁹
- Personal service on the chair of the board, the president, any vice president, the secretary, or the treasurer, or the registered agent of the corporation at the registered office of the corporation in this state; or service on any other address in this state that is the principal office of the corporation.¹⁰

¹ See section 20.121(3)(a)2, F.S.

² Watters v. Wachovia Bank, N.A., 550 U.S. 1 (2007).

³ Watters v. Wachovia Bank, N.A., 550 U.S. at 12 (citing Barnett Bank of Marion Cty., N.A. v. Nelson, 517 U.S. 25, 32-34 (1996) (holding federal law permitting national banks to sell insurance in small towns preempted state statute prohibiting banks from selling most types of insurance); Franklin Nat. Bank of Franklin Square v. New York, 347 U.S. 373, 377-79 (1954) (stating local restrictions preempted because they burdened exercise of national banks' incidental power to advertise)).

⁴ Section 655.061, F.S.; 5 Fla. Jur 2d Banks and Lending Institutions § 60.

⁵ Black's Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014) (defining the term "service of process").

⁶ See 12 CFR 34.4(a)(1) (applying state law service of process to real estate loans).

⁷ See section 655.0201, F.S.

⁸ Section 48.081, F.S.

⁹ Section 49.011, F.S.

¹⁰ Section 607.0504, F.S.

BILL: CS/SB 1104 Page 3

Any financial institution is allowed, but is not required, to designate a registered agent as the financial institution's agent for service of process, notice, or demand required to be served on the financial institution.¹¹ If service cannot be made through a registered agent, service may be made to any officer, director, or business agent of the financial institution at its principal place of business or at any other branch, office, or place of business.

The previously mentioned methods are not the only means, nor necessarily the required means, of serving process on a financial institution.¹²

Insufficient Service of Process

In *Bank of America, N.A. v. Bornstein*, the Fourth District Court of Appeal found insufficient service of process upon a financial institution.¹³ In that case, the appellee sued and obtained a writ of garnishment on Bank of America. The process server served the writ of garnishment at a Bank of America branch in West Palm Beach on a branch teller who stated she was authorized to accept on behalf of the person to whom the process was directed.¹⁴ The Fourth DCA concluded service of process was insufficient because the process server served a bank teller, rather than an officer.¹⁵ To obtain personal jurisdiction over a corporate defendant, a return of process showing service on an inferior officer of a corporation must show that all superior officers designated in the statute were absent when service was attempted.¹⁶ Because appellee served an inferior officer of the financial institution, and did not show that all superior officers were absent when service was attempted, the court found that service of process had been insufficient.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 creates s. 48.092, F.S., which states that service on a financial institution must be made in accordance with s. 655.0201, F.S.

Section 2 amends s. 655.0201, F.S. to allow a financial institution to designate a place or registered agent within this state as its sole location for service of process. The place or agent must be open or available to receive service on regular business days from at least 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. The revisions to s. 655.0201, F.S., eliminate the potential for serving a financial institution through constructive notice by publication.

If the financial institution has no registered agent, or service cannot be made at the designated location, service may be made to any officer or director of the financial institution at its principal place of business or at any other branch, office, or place of business in this state.

The bill allows the Office of Financial Regulation to serve process on a financial institution by serving any officer, director, or business agent at its principal place of business or any other

¹¹ Section 655.0201, F.S.

¹² Section 655.0201(4), F.S.

¹³ Bank of America, N.A. v. Bornstein, 39 So. 3d 500 (Fla. 4th DCA 2010).

¹⁴ Bank of America, 39 So. 3d at 501.

¹⁵ Bank of America, 39 So. 3d at 504.

¹⁶ Bank of America, 39 So. 3d at 503 (quoting Nat'l Safety Assocs., Inc. v. Allstate Ins. Co., 799 So. 2d 316, 317 (Fla. 2d DCA 2001); accord Space Coast Credit Union v. The First, F.A., 467 So. 2d 737, 739-40 (Fla. 5th DCA 1985)).

BILL: CS/SB 1104 Page 4

branch, office, or place of business in Florida. OFR can continue to serve process via certified mail.

Section 3 states the bill takes effect January 1, 2017.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

As financial institutions are likely the recipients of a large volume of process relating to their customers, allowing a financial institution to specify one place or agent for service of process may help the institution manage and respond to the process in a timely manner.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

The bill creates section 48.092 of the Florida Statutes.

The bill substantially amends section 655.0201 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Banking and Insurance on February 9, 2016:

- Removes provisions requiring Department of Financial Services to create a website to list the locations for service of process on financial institutions.
- Adds provisions allowing a financial institution to designate a registered agent or location for service.
- Provides hours when the agent must be available or the location must be open to accept service.
- Allows financial institutions to designate with the Department of State a place or registered agent that is the sole location or agent or service of process.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 1104

By the Committee on Banking and Insurance; and Senator Flores

597-03220-16 20161104c1

A bill to be entitled An act relating to service of process on financial institutions; creating s. 48.092, F.S.; requiring service on financial institutions to be made in accordance with s. 655.0201, F.S.; amending s. 655.0201, F.S.; revising applicability of provisions of law governing service of process on financial institutions; authorizing certain financial institutions to designate with the Department of State a place or registered agent within the state as the sole location or agent for service of process, notice, levy, or demand; providing that service of process, notice, levy, or demand may be made at specified time periods; providing exceptions if the financial institution has no registered agent, service cannot be made at the sole location, or for service made by the Office of Financial Regulation; providing an effective date.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 48.092, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

48.092 Service on financial institutions.—Service on financial institutions must be made in accordance with s. 655.0201.

Section 2. Section 655.0201, Florida Statutes, is amended

655.0201 Service of process, notice, levy, or demand on financial institutions .-

(1) Notwithstanding any other Florida law, this section establishes the proper location for service of process upon a

Page 1 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 1104

financial institution for all types of service of process to be made on a financial institution Process against any financial 35 institution authorized by federal or state law to transact 36 business in this state may be served in accordance with chapter 48, chapter 49, chapter 605, or part I of chapter 607, as appropriate.

20161104c1

597-03220-16

37

38

39

41

42

43

45

46

48

49

50

51

52

53

55

56

57

59

60

61

(2) A Any financial institution authorized by federal or state law to transact business in this state may designate with the Department of State a place or registered agent located within the state as the financial institution's sole location or agent for service of process, notice, levy, or demand. Any such place or registered agent so designated must be open and available for service of process during regular business hours on regular business days, which, at a minimum, is any time between the hours of 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. local time, on Mondays through Fridays, excluding federal and Florida holidays. After a financial institution designates a place or registered agent within this state, such place or registered agent is the sole location for service of process, including service for actions related to garnishment, levy, injunctions, lawsuits, and the attachment of safety deposit boxes, in accordance with chapters 60, 76, and 77, and the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure required or permitted by law to be served on the financial institution. If the financial institution has no registered agent, or its registered agent cannot with reasonable diligence be served, service may be made to any executive officer of the financial institution at its principal place of business in this state.

Page 2 of 3

(3) (a) If a financial institution has no registered agent

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 1104

20161104c1

or service cannot be made in accordance with subsection (2), 63 service may be made to any officer or director of the financial 64 institution at its principal place of business or at any other branch, office, or place of business in the state. 65 66 (b) Notwithstanding subsection (2), any service required or authorized to be made by the Office of Financial Regulation 67 68 under the financial institutions codes may be made to any officer, director, or business agent of the financial 70 institution at its principal place of business or any other 71 branch, office, or place of business in the state as set forth 72 in s. 655.031(2) If service cannot be made in accordance with 73 subsection (2), service may be made to any officer, director, or business agent of the financial institution at its principal 74 75 place of business or at any other branch, office, or place of 76 business in the state. 77 (4) This section does not prescribe the only means, or 78 necessarily the required means, of serving notice or demand on a 79 financial institution. 80 Section 3. This act shall take effect January 1, 2017.

597-03220-16

Page 3 of 3

TATATA OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

То:	Senator Miguel Diaz de la Portilla , Chair Committee on Judiciary		
Subject: Committee Agenda Request			
Date: February 9, 2016			
I respectfully	request that Senate Bill #1104, relating to Service of Process, be placed on the:		
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.		
	next committee agenda.		
	anitere Flores		

Senator Anitere Flores Florida Senate, District 37

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH conies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff of

2 11 a 11 a	aff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	SBIIOY
moduling Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
T : (0.01) (0.00)	•
Topic Service of Process on Financial Institution	Amendment Remade (if any line)
	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name <u>Jennifer Martin</u>	
Job Title Director of Governmental Affairs	
Address 3692 Coolidge Ct.	Phone
Tallahassee FL 32317 City State Zip	Email
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Spe	eaking: In Support Against will read this information into the record.)
Representing Florida Credit union Associat	ión
	ed with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all permeeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many permeting.	ersons wishing to speak to be heard at this ersons as possible can be heard
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	, same sam vo noura.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

ZIGIC Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Meeting Date	Staff conducting the meeting) CS SI 110 H Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Scevice of Acocess	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name MIKE TELOS	 -
Job Title STATZ PZZSID WIT	
Address 301 S. CALHOUD ST	Phone 850-561-5922
Street I ALLAHAGSEE FL 3Z301 City State Zip	_ Email_m.kc.fields Chankofanyica.
	Speaking: In Support Against nair will read this information into the record.)
Representing BANK of AMERICA	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis	stered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as man	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this by persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

2 16 2016 (Deliver BOTH of Meeting Date	opies of this form to the Se	enator or Senate Profession	al Staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Service of Proce	ss on Find	ancial Instit	utars Ame	endment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Kars & Kimberly	Siomko	.S.	_	
Job Title VP of Govern	ment Affai	is	·	
Address 1001 Thomasvill	e Rd Sw	Je 201	Phone Scl	31747-4
<u>Tallahassee</u>	FL State	32308	_ Email <u> ಜನ</u> ೀ	n Ices Offende bakes.
Speaking: For Against	State Information		Speaking: In S	Support Against mation into the record.)
Representing Florida B	ankers Ass			
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist regi	stered with Legisla	ature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourag meeting. Those who do speak may be as	e public testimony, sked to limit their rei	: time may not permit o marks so that as mar	all persons wishing to ny persons as possible	/ ' speak to be heard at this e can be heard.
This form is part of the public record t			•	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

2/16/2016 (Deliver BOTH copies	or this form to the Senator of	or Senate Professional 3	staπ conducting the meeting)	SB 1104
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Waive in Support of SB 1104			Amend	lment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Ms. Jamie Champion-Mongio	ovi .		_	
Job Title Director of Communication	ıs & Govt. Affairs		-	
Address Florida Office of Financial	Regulation		Phone 850-410-	9601
Street 101 E Gaines Street	Florida	32399	Email jamie.mor	giovi@flofr.com
City Speaking: For Against	State Information		Speaking: In Su hir will read this inform	• • •
Representing Florida Office of F	inancial Regulation	on		
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist regis	tered with Legislat	ure: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage preeting. Those who do speak may be aske	•		• • •	
This form is part of the public record for	this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic SERVICE OF PROCESS	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name PAUL JESS	
Job Title	
Address 218 S. HONROE ST.	Phone 850-224-9403
TALLAHASSEE FL 32301 City State Zip	Email
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing FLORIDA JUSTICE AS	SOCIATION
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prep	pared By: T	he Professional	Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judiciary	
BILL:	SB 1294	SB 1294				
INTRODUCER:	Senator Grimsley					
SUBJECT:	Offenses Involving Minors and Vulnerable Persons					
DATE:	February 1:	5, 2016	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Cellon		Cannon		CJ	Favorable	
2. Brown		Cibula		JU	Favorable	
3.	_		_	FP		

I. Summary:

SB 1294 increases protections for minors and victims of human trafficking.

Victim or Witness Testimony by Closed Circuit Television

Current law authorizes a victim or witness in certain circumstances who is under the age of 16 or who has an intellectual disability to testify in court by closed circuit television. The bill increases the eligible age of a child victim or witness who may testify by closed circuit television to 17 years of age.

Felony Murder Law

Current law authorizes a person to be charged with murder if a person dies during the commission of certain felonies enumerated in the statutes. The penalty may vary, depending upon other conditions present during the commission of the felony. The bill amends the felony murder law to include the crime of human trafficking as a qualifying felony for the charge of felony murder.

Human Trafficking Statute

Current law penalizes the crime of human trafficking. The bill clarifies that it is a second-degree felony if a person permanently brands or directs another to permanently brand another *for the purpose of committing a human trafficking offense*. The clarification limits the offense of branding to the human trafficking context.

The bill eliminates a potential defense to human trafficking crimes. If the victim of the human trafficking offense is under the age of 18 at the time of the crime, his or her lack of chastity or willingness or consent is not a defense to the human trafficking crime.

Rape Shield Law

Under Florida's Rape Shield Law, certain prior acts or reputation evidence of a victim is inadmissible in prosecutions for sexual battery. The Rape Shield Law is amended to include prosecutions for human trafficking and lewd or lascivious offenses in the list of cases for which the admission of certain evidence about the victim may be limited. These evidentiary protections currently apply only to victims in prosecutions for sexual battery.

II. Present Situation:

Victim or Witness Testimony by Closed Circuit Television

Case Law

The Sixth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides, in part: "In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right ... to be confronted with the witnesses against him" In addition to ensuring the defendant the opportunity to cross-examine an adverse witness, the Sixth Amendment serves another role "of compelling [a witness] to stand face to face with the jury in order that they may look at him, and judge by his demeanor upon the stand and the manner in which he gives his testimony whether he is worthy of belief."

Courts have grappled in recent years with the Sixth Amendment right of confrontation in cases in which the testimony of child victims or witnesses is proffered in court. Children of abuse have been considered to be especially vulnerable to harm resulting from testifying in court before an abuser. In addressing the growing concern of the emotional harm to a child victim from testifying in court, many states have authorized the court to allow alternative measures of incourt testimony by a child victim.

State legislatures have variously adopted measures to protect a child victim in providing testimony by requiring or authorizing the court to:

- Close the courtroom to the public while the child testifies;
- Allow child victims to testify on videotape or closed circuit television; and
- Adopt the use of protective aids in the courtroom, such as one-way mirrors or screens to enable the child to testify without seeing the defendant.²

Some states have also created a hearsay exception in law to enable child victims to testify in an out-of-court manner.³

In the 1988 United States Supreme Court case of *Coy v. Iowa*, the court reviewed a case in which the state tried a defendant for child sexual abuse.⁴ The trial court allowed two child victims to testify in court from behind a screen, in accordance with state statute. The testimony ultimately

¹ *Mattox v. U.S.*, 156 U.S. 237, 242-243 (1895).

² Lisa Hamilton Thielmeyer, *Beyond Maryland v. Craig: Can and Should Adult Rape Victims be Permitted to Testify by Closed-Circuit Television?*, 67 IND. L.J. 797, 803-804 (Summer 1992).

 $^{^3}$ Id.

⁴ Coy v. Iowa, 487 U.S. 1012 (1988).

led to the conviction of the defendant.⁵ In ruling that the court unconstitutionally interfered with the defendant's right to confront the witnesses against him, the Supreme Court opined, "It is difficult to imagine a more obvious or damaging violation of the defendant's right to a face-to-face encounter."

In 1990, the United States Supreme Court took a more flexible approach in applying the Sixth Amendment to testimony by child victims. In *Maryland v. Craig*, the Court started its analysis from the proposition that the constitutional right to confrontation is not an absolute right or one which requires a defendant to always have a face-to-face meeting with an adverse witness. Rather, the court held, the purpose of the confrontation clause is to ensure that testimony is reliable and subject to rigorous adversarial testing. In light of this, the Court established a three-prong test for use in determining the necessity of allowing a child to testify in an alternative manner to traditional in-court direct and cross-examination. The criteria for necessity are:

- The trial court must find the procedure necessary to protect the child;
- Evidence must exist that the child would suffer emotional distress otherwise; and
- The emotional distress cited must be more than minimal.⁹

Florida Law

Florida allows testimony outside the courtroom in limited circumstances by child victims or witnesses and persons with intellectual disabilities. Section 92.54, F.S., provides a procedure for the court to apply in determining whether testimony may be proffered through closed circuit television. In so doing, s. 92.54, F.S., codifies the three-prong test of necessity established in *Maryland v. Craig.*¹⁰

In order to balance the defendant's right to confront his or her accuser with the State's interest in protecting the welfare of children, the court must:

- Determine whether a procedure such as closed circuit television is necessary to protect a child's welfare;
- Find that a substantial likelihood exists that a victim or witness under the age of 16 or who has an intellectual disability would suffer emotional harm otherwise; and
- Find that the emotional distress suffered by the child in the presence of the defendant would be at least moderate emotional harm.¹¹

To initiate the inquiry, a motion must be filed by the victim or witness; the attorney, parent, legal guardian, or guardian ad litem for the victim or witness; the defendant or the defendant's counsel; or the trial judge.¹²

⁵ *Id*. at 1014.

⁶ *Id*. at 1020.

⁷Maryland v. Craig, 497 U.S. 836, 844 (1990). The Court indicated that it intended to expand upon, rather than overrule its decision in *Coy* regarding the application of the Sixth Amendment to child victim testimony. In fact, the *Craig Court* cited *Coy* for having said, "We leave for another day, however, the question whether any exceptions exist." *Coy*, *supra* note 4, at 1021.

⁸ *Id*. at 846.

⁹ *Id.* at 855-856.

¹⁰ Section 92.54, F.S.

¹¹ Section 92.54(1), F.S.

¹² Section 92.54(2), F.S.

During the victim's or witness's testimony by closed circuit television, the court may require the defendant to view the testimony from the courtroom. If so, the court must authorize the defendant to see and hear the testimony of the victim or witness, while ensuring that the victim or witness does not hear or see the defendant.¹³

The same test is required for the admissibility of videotaped testimony of a victim or witness under the age of 16 or who has an intellectual disability.¹⁴

Human Trafficking

Section 787.06(2)(d), F.S., defines human trafficking as "transporting, soliciting, recruiting, harboring, providing, enticing, maintaining, or obtaining of another person for the purpose of exploitation of that person."

A first-degree felony is committed by any person who knowingly, or in reckless disregard of the facts commits or attempts to commit human trafficking, or benefits financially from human trafficking:

- Through the labor or services of any child, including an unauthorized alien under the age of 18;
- Through the use of coercion for labor or services or commercial sexual activity of an adult, including an unauthorized alien; or
- Through the transport of a child or an adult from out-of-state for labor or services or commercial sexual activity. 15

The penalty increases to a life felony if the human trafficking:

- Involves commercial sexual activity of a child under the age of 18 or a person who is mentally defective or incapacitated; or
- Involves a custodian of a child, including a parent or legal guardian, who sells or otherwise transfers custody or control of a child.¹⁷

In addition to these criminal acts, a second-degree felony is committed if a person is involved in permanently branding a victim of human trafficking. To permanently brand a person is to mark a person's body in such a way that if it is able to removed or repaired, it can be done so only through surgery, laser treatment, or another medical procedure.¹⁸

Felony Murder

The felony murder rule is a long-standing doctrine that provides that if a person dies during the course of an enumerated felony, in addition to the underlying felony, any of the defendants may be charged with murder. Intent to kill is presumed if under the felony murder rule.¹⁹

¹³ Section 92.54(4), F.S.

¹⁴ Section 92.53(1), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 787.06(3), F.S.

¹⁶ *Id*.

¹⁷ Section 787.06(4), F.S.

¹⁸ Section 787.06(4)(b), F.S.

¹⁹ Gray v. State, 654 So. 2d 934, 935 (citing Amlotte v. State, 456 So. 2d 448, 449-50 (Fla. 1984)).

Florida has a felony murder rule which provides a range of penalties. Section 782.04(1)(a), F.S., treats the death of a person as first-degree murder, chargeable as a capital felony, if the perpetrator is acting from a premediated design to effect a death. The underlying felony, however, must be an enumerated crime. These crimes are:

- Drug Trafficking;
- Arson;
- Sexual battery;
- Robbery;
- Burglary;
- Kidnapping;
- Escape;
- Aggravated child abuse;
- Aggravated abuse of an elderly person or disabled adult;
- Aircraft piracy;
- Unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a destructive device or bomb;
- Unlawful distribution of any substance controlled under s. 893.03(1), cocaine as described in s. 893.03(2)(a)4., or opium or any synthetic or natural salt, compound, derivative, or preparation of opium by a person 18 years of age or older, when such drug is proven to be the proximate cause of the death of the user;
- Carjacking;
- Home-invasion robbery;
- Aggravated stalking;
- Murder of another human being;
- Resisting an officer with violence;
- Aggravated fleeing or eluding with serious bodily injury or death; or
- A felony that is an act of terrorism or is in furtherance of an act of terrorism.²⁰

If the perpetrator does not possess premeditated design during the commission of an enumerated felony, the perpetrator may be charged with first-degree murder, punishable by imprisonment of a length of time up to life imprisonment.²¹

Felony murder in the third degree²² occurs if a person is killed, without any design to effect death, during the commission of any felony other than an enumerated felony.²³

²⁰ Section 782.04(1)(a), F.S. If the person is killed by the person committing or attempting to commit the listed crime, the murder is a capital offense punishable by death or life imprisonment. If the person is killed by a person other than the one committing or attempting to commit the listed crime, the one who is committing or attempting to commit the crime is responsible for the death. Section 782.04(3), F.S., provides that under those circumstances, it is a second degree murder, punishable by 30 years to life imprisonment.

²¹ Section 782.04(2), F.S.

²² Third degree murder is punishable as a second degree felony punishable by up to 15 years imprisonment.

²³ Section 782.04(4), F.S.

Rules of Evidence Applicable to Sexual Offenses

In many U.S. jurisdictions, laws exist to prevent specific instances of the victim's prior sexual conduct from being admitted at trial in a prosecution for sexual battery or other sexual misconduct charges.²⁴ These laws are commonly referred to as "Rape Shield" laws.²⁵ Section 794.022, F.S., is Florida's Rape Shield law, and it has long been considered a codification of the rule of relevancy that a victim's prior sexual conduct is generally irrelevant in determining the defendant's guilt.²⁶ It applies to prosecutions for sexual battery, and provides that:

- The victim's testimony doesn't have to be corroborated by other evidence;
- Specific instances of the victim's sexual history with people other than the offender are inadmissible unless:
 - o The evidence is introduced to prove that the defendant wasn't the source of physical evidence, such as semen; or
 - o If consent is at issue, the evidence proves a pattern of the victim's conduct or behavior so similar to the conduct or behavior in the case that it is relevant to the issue of consent;
- The victim's reputation for sexual behavior is inadmissible;
- Evidence presented to prove the victim's appearance prompted the sexual battery is inadmissible;
- If consent is a defense, evidence of the victim's mental incapacity or defect can be admitted to prove that consent was not given; and
- An offender's use of a prophylactic device, or a victim's request that an offender use a prophylactic device, is not independently relevant.²⁷

The United States Code also has a Rape Shield statute. In contrast to Florida's Rape Shield law, the federal statute is not limited to sexual battery offenses; rather, the federal statute applies to *any* criminal or civil proceeding involving alleged sexual misconduct.²⁸ As such, federal courts have repeatedly held that a victim's prior history of sexual behavior, such as exotic dancing or prostitution, is irrelevant and inadmissible in prosecutions for crimes such as sex trafficking, forced labor, sex trafficking by force, fraud, or coercion, and sex trafficking of a child.²⁹

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill increases protections for minors and victims of human trafficking.

http://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0ahUKEwiMl-Xc06XKAhWFHD4KHVs-

ByAQFggcMAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.ndaa.org%2Fpdf%2FNCPCA%2520Rape%2520Shield%25202011.pdf&usg =AFQjCNGB9ME_OADBM-qIDOCmtYCs3dYB7g) (last visited Feb. 12, 2016).

²⁴ Nat'l Dist. Attorney's Ass'n, Rape Shield Statutes (March 2011) (at

²⁵ See Lewis v. State, 591 So. 2d 922, 924 (Fla. 1991).

²⁶ Marr v. Florida, 494 So. 2d 1139, 1142-43 (Fla. 1986).

²⁷ Section 794.022, F.S.

²⁸ Fed.Rules Evid.Rule 412, 28 U.S.C.A

²⁹ See United States v. Rivera, 799 F.3d 180, 185 (2d Cir. 2015) (holding that "[e]vidence of victims' prior acts of commercial sex is irrelevant to whether those victims were coerced into working as prostitutes"); United States v. Roy, 781 F.3d 416, 420 (8th Cir. 2015) (holding that the victim's participation in prostitution before or after the alleged incident is irrelevant to whether the defendant threatened her, beat her, or took her money); United States v. Cephus, 684 F.3d 703, 708 (7th Cir. 2012) (holding that the victim's prior history of prostitution was irrelevant to proving that she consented to having her wages withheld and being beaten).

Victim or Witness Testimony by Closed Circuit Television

Current law authorizes a victim or witness in certain circumstances who is under the age of 16 or who has an intellectual disability to testify in court by closed circuit television. The bill increases the eligible age of a child victim or witness to testify by closed circuit television to 17 years of age.

Felony Murder

Current law authorizes a person to be charged with murder if a person dies during the commission of an enumerated felony in the felony murder statutes. The bill amends the felony murder statutes to include the crime of human trafficking as a qualifying felony for all levels of felony murder.

Human Trafficking Statute

Human trafficking is generally charged presently as a first-degree felony, punishable by up to 30 years imprisonment. The bill increases criminal penalties for human trafficking if the perpetrator inflicts great bodily harm, permanent disability, or permanent disfigurement on the victim of the underlying human trafficking offense. The offense then becomes a first degree felony punishable by a term of imprisonment of up to life.

Additionally, the bill clarifies that if a person permanently brands or directs another to permanently brand another *for the purpose of committing a human trafficking offense* it is a second degree felony. This clarification limits the offense of branding to human trafficking circumstances.

The bill eliminates a potential defense to human trafficking crimes. If the victim of the human trafficking offense is under the age of 18 at the time of the crime, his or her lack of chastity or willingness or consent is not a defense to the human trafficking crime.

Rape Shield Law

Under Florida's Rape Shield Law, certain prior acts or reputation evidence of a victim is inadmissible in prosecutions for sexual battery. The Rape Shield Law is amended to include prosecutions for human trafficking and lewd or lascivious offenses in the list of cases for which the admission of certain evidence may be limited. These evidentiary protections currently apply only to victims in prosecutions for sexual battery.

Sections 90.404, 775.21, 943.0435, 944.606, and 944.607 are amended to conform and clarify cross-references to s. 787.06(3)(h), F.S. (2012). Paragraph (h) of s. 787.06(3), F.S., was merged with paragraph (g) of that section by ch. 2014-160, Laws of Florida.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2016.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Based upon the preliminary assessment of the potential prison bed impact of the bill it is anticipated that the bill may have a positive insignificant impact on the number of prison beds necessary to accommodate persons convicted under the new and amended criminal offenses.³⁰

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 92.54, 782.04, 787.06, 794.022, 90.404, 775.21, 943.0435, 944.606, and 944.607.

³⁰ 2016 Criminal Justice Impact Conference (CJIC),*at* http://edr.state.fl.us/Content/conferences/criminaljusticeimpact/index.cfm.

IX. **Additional Information:**

Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.) A.

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

By Senator Grimsley

21-01365-16 20161294

1 2 An a

A bill to be entitled An act relating to offenses involving minors and vulnerable persons; amending s. 92.54, F.S.; increasing the maximum age at which a victim or witness may be allowed to testify via closed circuit television rather than in a courtroom in certain circumstances; amending s. 782.04, F.S.; including human trafficking as an underlying felony offense to support a felony murder conviction; amending s. 787.06, F.S.; providing increased criminal penalties for human trafficking offenses if the victim suffers great bodily harm, permanent disability, or permanent disfigurement; specifying that penalties for branding must be for the purpose of committing the offense of human trafficking; prohibiting certain defense to prosecution; amending s. 794.022, F.S.; including human trafficking and lewd and lascivious offenses in the rules of evidence applicable to sexually-related offenses; amending ss. 90.404, 775.21, 943.0435, 944.606, and 944.607, F.S.; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; providing an effective date.

21 22 23

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

242526

27

28

29

30

31

Section 1. Section 92.54, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

92.54 Use of closed circuit television in proceedings involving a victim or witness under the age of $\underline{18}$ $\underline{16}$ or who has an intellectual disability.—

(1) Upon motion and hearing in camera and upon a finding that there is a substantial likelihood that a victim or witness under the age of $18\ 16$ or who has an intellectual disability

Page 1 of 16

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1294

21-01365-16 20161294_

will suffer at least moderate emotional or mental harm due to the presence of the defendant if such victim or witness is required to testify in open court, or is unavailable as defined in s. 90.804(1), the trial court may order that the testimony of the victim or witness be taken outside of the courtroom and shown by means of closed circuit television.

35

38

39

42

43

44

49

50

51

52

53

56

57

- (2) The motion may be filed by the victim or witness; the attorney, parent, legal guardian, or guardian ad litem of the victim or witness; the prosecutor; the defendant or the defendant's counsel; or the trial judge on his or her own motion.
- (3) Only the judge, the prosecutor, the defendant, the attorney for the defendant, the operators of the videotape equipment, an interpreter, and some other person who, in the opinion of the court, contributes to the well-being of the child or the person who has an intellectual disability and who will not be a witness in the case may be in the room during the recording of the testimony.
- (4) During the victim's or witness's testimony by closed circuit television, the court may require the defendant to view the testimony from the courtroom. In such a case, the court shall permit the defendant to observe and hear the testimony of the victim or witness, but must ensure that the victim or witness cannot hear or see the defendant. The defendant's right to assistance of counsel, which includes the right to immediate and direct communication with counsel conducting cross-examination, must be protected and, upon the defendant's request, such communication must be provided by any appropriate electronic method.

Page 2 of 16

21-01365-16 20161294 62 (5) The court shall make specific findings of fact, on the 63 record, as to the basis for its ruling under this section. 64 Section 2. Subsections (1), (3), and (4) of section 782.04, Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 65 66 782.04 Murder.-(1) (a) The unlawful killing of a human being: 67 68 1. When perpetrated from a premeditated design to effect 69 the death of the person killed or any human being; 70 2. When committed by a person engaged in the perpetration 71 of, or in the attempt to perpetrate, any: 72 a. Trafficking offense prohibited by s. 893.135(1), 73 b. Arson, 74 c. Sexual battery, 75 d. Robbery, e. Burglary, 77 f. Kidnapping, 78 g. Escape, 79 h. Aggravated child abuse, 80 i. Aggravated abuse of an elderly person or disabled adult, 81 j. Aircraft piracy, 82 k. Unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a 83 destructive device or bomb, 84 1. Carjacking, 85 m. Home-invasion robbery, 86 n. Aggravated stalking, 87 o. Murder of another human being, 88 p. Resisting an officer with violence to his or her person, 89 q. Aggravated fleeing or eluding with serious bodily injury or death,

Page 3 of 16

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1294

20161294

21-01365-16

```
91
           r. Felony that is an act of terrorism or is in furtherance
     of an act of terrorism, ; or
 93
          s. Human trafficking; or
          3. Which resulted from the unlawful distribution of any
     substance controlled under s. 893.03(1), cocaine as described in
     s. 893.03(2)(a)4., opium or any synthetic or natural salt,
 96
     compound, derivative, or preparation of opium, or methadone by a
     person 18 years of age or older, when such drug is proven to be
      the proximate cause of the death of the user,
 99
100
101
     is murder in the first degree and constitutes a capital felony,
     punishable as provided in s. 775.082.
102
103
           (b) In all cases under this section, the procedure set
104
     forth in s. 921.141 shall be followed in order to determine
      sentence of death or life imprisonment.
106
           (3) When a human being is killed during the perpetration
     of, or during the attempt to perpetrate, any:
107
108
           (a) Trafficking offense prohibited by s. 893.135(1),
109
           (b) Arson,
110
           (c) Sexual battery,
111
           (d) Robbery,
112
           (e) Burglary,
113
           (f) Kidnapping,
114
           (q) Escape,
115
           (h) Aggravated child abuse,
116
           (i) Aggravated abuse of an elderly person or disabled
117
     adult.
118
           (j) Aircraft piracy,
           (k) Unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a
119
```

Page 4 of 16

20161294

21-01365-16

120 destructive device or bomb, 121 (1) Carjacking, 122 (m) Home-invasion robbery, 123 (n) Aggravated stalking, (o) Murder of another human being, 124 (p) Aggravated fleeing or eluding with serious bodily 125 126 injury or death, 127 (g) Resisting an officer with violence to his or her 128 person, or (r) Felony that is an act of terrorism or is in furtherance 129 130 of an act of terrorism, or 131 (s) Human trafficking, 132 133 by a person other than the person engaged in the perpetration of 134 or in the attempt to perpetrate such felony, the person 135 perpetrating or attempting to perpetrate such felony commits 136 murder in the second degree, which constitutes a felony of the 137 first degree, punishable by imprisonment for a term of years not exceeding life or as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 138 139 775.084. 140 (4) The unlawful killing of a human being, when perpetrated 141 without any design to effect death, by a person engaged in the 142 perpetration of, or in the attempt to perpetrate, any felony 143 other than any: 144 (a) Trafficking offense prohibited by s. 893.135(1), 145 (b) Arson, 146 (c) Sexual battery, 147 (d) Robbery, 148 (e) Burglary,

Page 5 of 16

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1294

	21-01365-16 20161294
149	(f) Kidnapping,
150	(g) Escape,
151	(h) Aggravated child abuse,
152	(i) Aggravated abuse of an elderly person or disabled
153	adult,
154	(j) Aircraft piracy,
155	(k) Unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a
156	destructive device or bomb,
157	(1) Unlawful distribution of any substance controlled under
158	s. 893.03(1), cocaine as described in s. 893.03(2)(a)4., or
159	opium or any synthetic or natural salt, compound, derivative, or
160	preparation of opium by a person 18 years of age or older, when
161	such drug is proven to be the proximate cause of the death of
162	the user,
163	(m) Carjacking,
164	(n) Home-invasion robbery,
165	(o) Aggravated stalking,
166	(p) Murder of another human being,
167	(q) Aggravated fleeing or eluding with serious bodily
168	injury or death,
169	(r) Resisting an officer with violence to his or her
170	person, or
171	(s) Felony that is an act of terrorism or is in furtherance
172	of an act of terrorism, $\underline{\text{or}}$
173	(t) Human trafficking,
174	
175	is murder in the third degree and constitutes a felony of the
176	second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083,
177	or s. 775.084.

Page 6 of 16

21-01365-16 20161294

Section 3. Paragraph (h) is added to subsection (3) of section 787.06, Florida Statutes, paragraph (b) of subsection (4) is amended, subsections (5) through (9) are renumbered as subsections (6) through (10), respectively, and a new subsection (5) is added to that section, to read:

787.06 Human trafficking.-

- (3) Any person who knowingly, or in reckless disregard of the facts, engages in human trafficking, or attempts to engage in human trafficking, or benefits financially by receiving anything of value from participation in a venture that has subjected a person to human trafficking:
- (h) And during the commission or attempt to commit the offense of human trafficking causes great bodily harm, permanent disability, or permanent disfigurement to the victim of the human trafficking offense or attempted offense commits a felony of the first degree, punishable for a term of years not exceeding life, as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

For each instance of human trafficking of any individual under this subsection, a separate crime is committed and a separate punishment is authorized.

(4)

178

179

180

181

182 183

184

185

186 187

188

189 190

191

192

193

194

195

196 197

198

199

200

201

202

203

205

(b) Any person who permanently brands, or directs to be permanently branded, for the purpose of committing an offense under this section, a victim of an offense under this section commits a second degree felony, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084. For purposes of this subsection, the term "permanently branded" means a mark on the

Page 7 of 16

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1294

	21-01365-16 20161294
207	individual's body that, if it can be removed or repaired at all,
208	can only be removed or repaired by surgical means, laser
209	treatment, or other medical procedure.
210	(5) A victim's lack of chastity or the willingness or
211	consent of a victim is not a defense to prosecution under this
212	section if the victim was under 18 years of age at the time of
213	the offense.
214	Section 4. Section 794.022, Florida Statutes, is amended to
215	read:
216	794.022 Rules of evidence.—
217	(1) The testimony of the victim need not be corroborated in
218	a prosecution under <u>s. 787.06,</u> s. 794.011 <u>, or s. 800.04</u> .
219	(2) Specific instances of prior consensual sexual activity
220	between the victim and any person other than the offender $\underline{\text{may}}$
221	$\underline{\text{shall}}$ not be admitted into evidence in a prosecution under $\underline{\text{s.}}$
222	787.06, s. 794.011, or s. 800.04. However, such evidence may be
223	admitted if it is first established to the court in a proceeding
224	in camera that such evidence may prove that the defendant was
225	not the source of the semen, pregnancy, injury, or disease; or,
226	when consent by the victim is at issue, such evidence may be
227	admitted if it is first established to the court in a proceeding
228	in camera that such evidence tends to establish a pattern of
229	conduct or behavior on the part of the victim which is so
230	similar to the conduct or behavior in the case that it is
231	relevant to the issue of consent.
232	(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, reputation
233	evidence relating to a victim's prior sexual conduct or evidence $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left($
234	presented for the purpose of showing that manner of dress of the

Page 8 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

victim at the time of the offense incited the sexual battery may

21-01365-16 20161294

shall not be admitted into evidence in a prosecution under \underline{s} . 787.06, s. 794.011, or s. 800.04.

236

237

238

239

240

241

2.42

243

244

245

246

2.47

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

- (4) When consent of the victim is a defense to prosecution under <u>s. 787.06</u>, s. 794.011, or <u>s. 800.04</u>, evidence of the victim's mental incapacity or defect is admissible to prove that the consent was not intelligent, knowing, or voluntary; and the court shall instruct the jury accordingly.
- (5) An offender's use of a prophylactic device, or a victim's request that an offender use a prophylactic device, is not, by itself, relevant to either the issue of whether or not the offense was committed or the issue of whether or not the victim consented.

Section 5. Paragraphs (b) and (c) of subsection (2) of section 90.404, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

90.404 Character evidence; when admissible.-

- (2) OTHER CRIMES, WRONGS, OR ACTS.-
- (b)1. In a criminal case in which the defendant is charged with a crime involving child molestation, evidence of the defendant's commission of other crimes, wrongs, or acts of child molestation is admissible and may be considered for its bearing on any matter to which it is relevant.
- 2. For the purposes of this paragraph, the term "child molestation" means conduct proscribed by s. 787.025(2) (c), s. 787.06(3) (g), former s. 787.06(3) (h), Florida Statutes 2012, s. 794.011, excluding s. 794.011(10), s. 794.05, former s. 796.03, former s. 796.035, s. 800.04, s. 827.071, s. 847.0135(5), s. 847.0145, or s. 985.701(1) when committed against a person 16 years of age or younger.
 - (c)1. In a criminal case in which the defendant is charged

Page 9 of 16

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1294

21-01365-16 20161294 265 with a sexual offense, evidence of the defendant's commission of 266 other crimes, wrongs, or acts involving a sexual offense is 267 admissible and may be considered for its bearing on any matter 268 to which it is relevant. 269 2. For the purposes of this paragraph, the term "sexual offense" means conduct proscribed by s. 787.025(2)(c), s. 270 271 787.06(3)(b), (d), (f), or (g), former s. 787.06(3)(h), Florida Statutes 2012, s. 794.011, excluding s. 794.011(10), s. 794.05, 273 former s. 796.03, former s. 796.035, s. 825.1025(2)(b), s. 274 827.071, s. 847.0135(5), s. 847.0145, or s. 985.701(1). 275 Section 6. Paragraph (a) of subsection (4) of section 276 775.21, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 775.21 The Florida Sexual Predators Act.-277 278 (4) SEXUAL PREDATOR CRITERIA.-279 (a) For a current offense committed on or after October 1, 1993, upon conviction, an offender shall be designated as a 280 "sexual predator" under subsection (5), and subject to 281 registration under subsection (6) and community and public 282 283 notification under subsection (7) if: 284 1. The felony is: 285 a. A capital, life, or first degree felony violation, or any attempt thereof, of s. 787.01 or s. 787.02, where the victim 287 is a minor and the defendant is not the victim's parent or 288 quardian, or s. 794.011, s. 800.04, or s. 847.0145, or a 289 violation of a similar law of another jurisdiction; or 290 b. Any felony violation, or any attempt thereof, of s. 291 393.135(2); s. 394.4593(2); s. 787.01, s. 787.02, or s. 292 787.025(2)(c), where the victim is a minor and the defendant is not the victim's parent or quardian; s. 787.06(3)(b), (d), (f),

Page 10 of 16

20161294

21-01365-16

```
294
     or (g); former s. 787.06(3)(h), Florida Statutes 2012; s.
295
     794.011, excluding s. 794.011(10); s. 794.05; former s. 796.03;
296
     former s. 796.035; s. 800.04; s. 810.145(8)(b); s. 825.1025; s.
297
     827.071; s. 847.0135, excluding s. 847.0135(6); s. 847.0145; s.
298
     916.1075(2); or s. 985.701(1); or a violation of a similar law
299
     of another jurisdiction, and the offender has previously been
300
     convicted of or found to have committed, or has pled nolo
301
     contendere or quilty to, regardless of adjudication, any
     violation of s. 393.135(2); s. 394.4593(2); s. 787.01, s.
302
303
     787.02, or s. 787.025(2)(c), where the victim is a minor and the
304
     defendant is not the victim's parent or guardian; s.
305
     787.06(3)(b), (d), (f), or (g); former s. 787.06(3)(h), Florida
     Statutes 2012; s. 794.011, excluding s. 794.011(10); s. 794.05;
306
307
     former s. 796.03; former s. 796.035; s. 800.04; s. 825.1025; s.
308
     827.071; s. 847.0133; s. 847.0135, excluding s. 847.0135(6); s.
309
     847.0145; s. 916.1075(2); or s. 985.701(1); or a violation of a
     similar law of another jurisdiction;
310
311
          2. The offender has not received a pardon for any felony or
312
     similar law of another jurisdiction that is necessary for the
313
     operation of this paragraph; and
314
          3. A conviction of a felony or similar law of another
     jurisdiction necessary to the operation of this paragraph has
315
316
     not been set aside in any postconviction proceeding.
317
          Section 7. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section
318
     943.0435, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
319
          943.0435 Sexual offenders required to register with the
320
     department; penalty .-
321
          (1) As used in this section, the term:
322
           (a) 1. "Sexual offender" means a person who meets the
```

Page 11 of 16

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1294

	21-01365-16 20161294
323	criteria in sub-subparagraph a., sub-subparagraph b., sub-
324	subparagraph c., or sub-subparagraph d., as follows:
325	a.(I) Has been convicted of committing, or attempting,
326	soliciting, or conspiring to commit, any of the criminal
327	offenses proscribed in the following statutes in this state or
328	similar offenses in another jurisdiction: s. 393.135(2); s.
329	394.4593(2); s. 787.01, s. 787.02, or s. 787.025(2)(c), where
330	the victim is a minor and the defendant is not the victim's
331	parent or guardian; s. 787.06(3)(b), (d), (f), or (g); former s.
332	787.06(3)(h), Florida Statutes 2012; s. 794.011, excluding s.
333	794.011(10); s. 794.05; former s. 796.03; former s. 796.035; s.
334	800.04; s. 810.145(8); s. 825.1025; s. 827.071; s. 847.0133; s.
335	847.0135, excluding s. 847.0135(6); s. 847.0137; s. 847.0138; s.
336	847.0145; s. 916.1075(2); or s. 985.701(1); or any similar
337	offense committed in this state which has been redesignated from
338	a former statute number to one of those listed in this sub-sub-
339	subparagraph; and
340	(II) Has been released on or after October 1, 1997, from
341	the sanction imposed for any conviction of an offense described
342	in sub-sub-subparagraph (I). For purposes of sub-sub-
343	subparagraph (I), a sanction imposed in this state or in any
344	other jurisdiction includes, but is not limited to, a fine,
345	probation, community control, parole, conditional release,
346	control release, or incarceration in a state prison, federal
347	prison, private correctional facility, or local detention
348	facility;
349	b. Establishes or maintains a residence in this state and
350	who has not been designated as a sexual predator by a court of
351	this state but who has been designated as a sexual predator, as

Page 12 of 16

21-01365-16 20161294

a sexually violent predator, or by another sexual offender designation in another state or jurisdiction and was, as a result of such designation, subjected to registration or community or public notification, or both, or would be if the person were a resident of that state or jurisdiction, without regard to whether the person otherwise meets the criteria for registration as a sexual offender;

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360 361

362

363

364 365

366

367

368

369 370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377 378

379

380

- c. Establishes or maintains a residence in this state who is in the custody or control of, or under the supervision of, any other state or jurisdiction as a result of a conviction for committing, or attempting, soliciting, or conspiring to commit, any of the criminal offenses proscribed in the following statutes or similar offense in another jurisdiction: s. 393.135(2); s. 394.4593(2); s. 787.01, s. 787.02, or s. 787.025(2)(c), where the victim is a minor and the defendant is not the victim's parent or guardian; s. 787.06(3)(b), (d), (f), or (g); former s. 787.06(3)(h), Florida Statutes 2012; s. 794.011, excluding s. 794.011(10); s. 794.05; former s. 796.03; former s. 796.035; s. 800.04; s. 810.145(8); s. 825.1025; s. 827.071; s. 847.0133; s. 847.0135, excluding s. 847.0135(6); s. 847.0137; s. 847.0138; s. 847.0145; s. 916.1075(2); or s. 985.701(1); or any similar offense committed in this state which has been redesignated from a former statute number to one of those listed in this sub-subparagraph; or
- d. On or after July 1, 2007, has been adjudicated delinquent for committing, or attempting, soliciting, or conspiring to commit, any of the criminal offenses proscribed in the following statutes in this state or similar offenses in another jurisdiction when the juvenile was 14 years of age or

Page 13 of 16

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1294

20161294

21-01365-16

1	
381	older at the time of the offense:
382	(I) Section 794.011, excluding s. 794.011(10);
383	(II) Section $800.04(4)(a)2$. where the victim is under 12
384	years of age or where the court finds sexual activity by the use
385	of force or coercion;
386	(III) Section $800.04(5)(c)1$. where the court finds
387	molestation involving unclothed genitals; or
388	(IV) Section $800.04(5)(d)$ where the court finds the use of
389	force or coercion and unclothed genitals.
390	2. For all qualifying offenses listed in sub-subparagraph
391	(1) (a) 1.d., the court shall make a written finding of the age of
392	the offender at the time of the offense.
393	
394	For each violation of a qualifying offense listed in this
395	subsection, except for a violation of s. 794.011, the court
396	shall make a written finding of the age of the victim at the
397	time of the offense. For a violation of s. $800.04(4)$, the court
398	shall also make a written finding indicating whether the offense
399	involved sexual activity and indicating whether the offense
400	involved force or coercion. For a violation of s. $800.04(5)$, the
401	court shall also make a written finding that the offense did or
402	did not involve unclothed genitals or genital area and that the
403	offense did or did not involve the use of force or coercion.
404	Section 8. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section
405	944.606, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
406	944.606 Sexual offenders; notification upon release.—
407	(1) As used in this section:
408	(b) "Sexual offender" means a person who has been convicted
409	of committing, or attempting, soliciting, or conspiring to

Page 14 of 16

20161294

21-01365-16

410 commit, any of the criminal offenses proscribed in the following 411 statutes in this state or similar offenses in another 412 jurisdiction: s. 393.135(2); s. 394.4593(2); s. 787.01, s. 413 787.02, or s. 787.025(2)(c), where the victim is a minor and the 414 defendant is not the victim's parent or quardian; s. 415 787.06(3)(b), (d), (f), or (g); former s. 787.06(3)(h), Florida Statutes 2012; s. 794.011, excluding s. 794.011(10); s. 794.05; 416 former s. 796.03; former s. 796.035; s. 800.04; s. 810.145(8); 417 418 s. 825.1025; s. 827.071; s. 847.0133; s. 847.0135, excluding s. 419 847.0135(6); s. 847.0137; s. 847.0138; s. 847.0145; s. 420 916.1075(2); or s. 985.701(1); or any similar offense committed in this state which has been redesignated from a former statute 421 number to one of those listed in this subsection, when the 422 423 department has received verified information regarding such 424 conviction; an offender's computerized criminal history record 425 is not, in and of itself, verified information. 426 Section 9. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 427 944.607, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 428 944.607 Notification to Department of Law Enforcement of 429 information on sexual offenders.-430 (1) As used in this section, the term: 431 (a) "Sexual offender" means a person who is in the custody 432 or control of, or under the supervision of, the department or is 433 in the custody of a private correctional facility: 434 1. On or after October 1, 1997, as a result of a conviction 435 for committing, or attempting, soliciting, or conspiring to 436 commit, any of the criminal offenses proscribed in the following 437 statutes in this state or similar offenses in another jurisdiction: s. 393.135(2); s. 394.4593(2); s. 787.01, s. 438

Page 15 of 16

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1294

20161294

21-01365-16

439	787.02, or s. 787.025(2)(c), where the victim is a minor and the
440	defendant is not the victim's parent or guardian; s.
441	787.06(3)(b), (d), (f), or (g); former s. 787.06(3)(h), Florida
442	<u>Statutes 2012</u> ; s. 794.011, excluding s. 794.011(10); s. 794.05;
443	former s. 796.03; former s. 796.035; s. 800.04; s. 810.145(8);
444	s. 825.1025; s. 827.071; s. 847.0133; s. 847.0135, excluding s.
445	847.0135(6); s. 847.0137; s. 847.0138; s. 847.0145; s.
446	916.1075(2); or s. 985.701(1); or any similar offense committed
447	in this state which has been redesignated from a former statute
448	number to one of those listed in this paragraph; or
449	2. Who establishes or maintains a residence in this state
450	and who has not been designated as a sexual predator by a court
451	of this state but who has been designated as a sexual predator,
452	as a sexually violent predator, or by another sexual offender
453	designation in another state or jurisdiction and was, as a
454	result of such designation, subjected to registration or
455	community or public notification, or both, or would be if the
456	person were a resident of that state or jurisdiction, without
457	regard as to whether the person otherwise meets the criteria for
458	registration as a sexual offender.
459	Section 10. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Page 16 of 16



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

To:	Senator Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chair Committee on Judiciary
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request
Date:	February 10, 2016
-	y request that Senate Bill #1294 , relating to Offenses Involving Minors and Persons, be placed on the:
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.
\boxtimes	next committee agenda.
	Dennie Prinsley
	Senator Denise Grimsley Florida Senate, District 21



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES:

Communications, Energy, and Public Utilities, Chair Agriculture Appropriations Appropriations Subcommittee on Health and Human Services Health Policy Transportation

JOINT COMMITTEES:

Joint Administrative Procedures Committee, *Alternating Chair* Joint Legislative Budget Commission

SENATOR DENISE GRIMSLEY

Deputy Majority Leader 21st District

February 16, 2016

The Honorable Miguel Diaz de la Portilla, Chairman Committee on Judiciary 515 Knott Building 414 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1100

Deavis Jurisley

Dear Chairman Diaz de la Portilla:

I respectfully request permission for a member of my staff, Anne Bell to present SB 1294, relating to Offenses Involving Minors and Vulnerable Persons on my behalf. I have a previously scheduled meeting I will be attending.

Sincerely,

Denise Grimsley

State Senate, District 21

^{□ 212} East Stuart Avenue, Lake Wales, Florida 33853 (863) 679-4847

^{□ 306} Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5021

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

2.16.16		or condict tolegalonal	Stan conducting the meeti	1294
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic <u>Minors</u> Vulne Name <u>Barney</u> Bisho	PII	ns		endment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title President & C.	E0			
Address 204 5. Monros	e St., Ste. 2	ol	Phone <u>\$7</u>	7.3032
Tall	FLState	32301 Zip	<u>barn</u> Email <u>just</u>	7.3032 egesmart eccalliance.org
Speaking: For Against [Information	Waive S	Speaking: Un S air will read this infor	Support Against mation into the record.)
Representing Fla. 5M	art Justice	- Alliance		
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes INO	Lobbyist regis	tered with Legisla	ature: Ves No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage meeting. Those who do speak may be as	e public testimony, time ked to limit their remar	e may not permit a ks so that as many	ll persons wishing to persons as possible	speak to be heard at this can be heard.
This form is part of the public record fo	or this meeting.		•	S-001 (10/14/14)

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Pre	pared By: T	he Professional	Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judicia	ry	
BILL:	CS/SB 143	32					
INTRODUCER:	Judiciary (Committee	and Senator S	Stargel			
SUBJECT:	Service of	Process					
DATE:	February 1	8, 2016	REVISED:				
ANAL	YST	STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION	
1. Brown		Cibula	,	JU	Fav/CS		
2				RC			

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 1432 authorizes additional methods of service of process if personal service of process cannot be effected.

Under current law, a process server may personally serve process, such as a subpoena or summons, on a witness or opposing party in a lawsuit. In certain instances in which personal service of process is not possible, existing law authorizes substitute service of process, which is the service of the process on the intended recipient's spouse or person in charge of the recipient's business or private mailbox. If personal service or substitute service of process cannot be effected, existing law authorizes constructive service of process, which is usually accomplished by publishing a notice to the defendant in a newspaper.

This bill allows a process server to effect substitute service of process on the person in charge of the intended recipient's virtual office or executive office or mini-suite. A virtual office may be an office that provides communications services such as telephone or fax services, and address services without providing dedicated office space, provided that all communications are routed through a common receptionist. An executive office or mini-suite is similar, except that it includes dedicated office space.

II. Present Situation:

Service of Process and Process Servers

The role of a process server is to serve summons, subpoenas, and other forms of process in civil and criminal actions.¹ The term "to serve" means to make legal delivery of a notice or a pleading.² A summons is a writ or a process beginning a plaintiff's legal action and requiring a defendant to appear in court to answer the summons.³ A subpoena is a legal writ or order commanding a person to appear before a court or other tribunal.⁴ A subpoena can command a person to be present for a deposition or for a court appearance.

The sheriff of the county where the person is to be served is generally responsible for serving as process server. However, notice of the initial nonenforceable civil process, criminal witness subpoenas, and criminal summons may be delivered by a process server other than the sheriff—a special process server or a certified process server. Special process servers and certified process servers must meet certain statutory qualifications and appear on a list approved and maintained by the sheriff or the chief judge of a judicial circuit.⁵

Types of Process

Personal Service of Process

A process server generally must effect service of process by personal service by:

- Serving the person directly or by leaving a copy of a complaint, petition, or initial pleading or paper at the person's usual place of abode with a person who is 15 years old or older; or
- Serving a person at his or her place of employment in a private area designated by the employer.⁶

Substitute Service of Process

If a person cannot be personally served, a process server may accomplish substitute service of process by:

- Serving process on a spouse if the cause of action is not an adversarial proceeding between the spouse and the person to be served, if the spouse requests service, and if the spouse and person to be served live together; or
- Serving process on an employee or other person in charge of the intended recipient's business if the intended recipient is a sole proprietor and two attempts have been made to serve him or her.⁷

¹ Sections 48.011 and 48.021, F.S. "... the common law writ of capias ad respondum was the historical precedent to contemporary service of process. ... the writ obtained in personam jurisdiction over the defendant, allowing the royal court to secure the appearance of the defendant by taking him into custody." Troy Blair, *Receipt of a Complaint, Prior to or Unattended by Formal Service of Process, does not Trigger a Defendant's Thirty-day Period to Remove a Case: Murphy Brothers, Inc. v. Michetti Pipe Stringing, Inc.*, 38 Duq. L.Rev. 663, 666 (Winter 2000).

² Black's Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014).

³ BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (10th ed. 2014).

⁴ Black's Law Dictionary (10th ed. 2014).

⁵ Sections 48.021(1) and 48.29, F.S.

⁶ Section 48.031(1), F.S.

⁷ Section 48.031 (2), F.S.

Additionally, service of process of witness subpoenas may be accomplished through United States mail for the following cases:

- Criminal traffic case;
- Misdemeanor case:
- Second degree felony; or
- Third degree felony.⁸

To serve a subpoena on a witness by mail, the subpoena must be sent to the last known address of the witness at least 7 days before the appearance required in the subpoena. However, if a witness fails to appear in response to a subpoena served by mail, he or she may not be found in contempt of court.⁹

The final approved method of substitute service of process applies in instances in which the only address of person to be served is a private mailbox, discoverable through a public records search. If the process server confirms that the intended recipient maintains a mailbox at that location, the process server may leave a copy of the process with the person in charge of the private mailbox.¹⁰

Constructive Service of Process, including by Publication

Although the preferred methods of service of process are personal service or substitute service of process, another method is available. In instances in which these types of service of process may not be effected, constructive process is permitted in limited circumstances and actions. One type of constructive service of process is service by publication.

Service of process may be made by publication in certain legal actions, including:

- To enforce any legal or equitable lien or claim to any title or interest in real or personal property within the jurisdiction of the court or any fund held or debt owing by any party on whom process can be served within this state.
- To quiet title or remove any encumbrance, lien, or cloud on the title to any real or personal property within the jurisdiction of the court or any fund held or debt owing by any party on whom process can be served within this state.
- To partition real or personal property within the jurisdiction of the court.
- Dissolution or annulment of marriage.
- For the construction of any will, deed, contract, or other written instrument and for a judicial declaration or enforcement of any legal or equitable right, title, claim, lien, or interest thereunder.
- To reestablish a lost instrument or record which has or should have its situs within the jurisdiction of the court.
- A writ of replevin, garnishment, or attachment that has been issued and executed.
- Certain parenting actions, including adoption, termination of parental rights, and to establish paternity in certain cases.

⁸ Section 48.031(3)(A), F.S.

⁹ Section 48.031(3)(A), F.S.

¹⁰ Section 48.031(6), F.S.

• An action in which personal service of process or notice is not required by the statutes or state constitution or by the Constitution of the United States.

 In probate or guardianship proceedings in which personal service of process or notice is not required by the statutes or constitution of this state or by the Constitution of the United States.¹¹

Service of process by publication may be effected upon any known or unknown person, corporation, or group that operates or does business in the state.¹²

If service of process is to be made by publication, the plaintiff or the plaintiff's attorney who requests service of process must first file a sworn statement as a condition precedent to the process being served through publication. What must be included in the sworn statement varies slightly, depending on the intended recipient. For example, the sworn statement on a service of process on a natural person must attest:

- That a diligent search and inquiry has been conducted to discover the name and address of the person served;
- To whether the person to be served is over or under the age of 18, or if age is unknown; and
- That the residence of the person is unknown, out-of-state or out-of-country, or in the state but that the person has either been absent from the state or concealed his or her whereabouts.¹⁴

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Current law authorizes process to be served through substitute service of process, such as to a private mailbox. This bill provides that a process server may also effect substitute service if the only address is for a virtual office, or an executive office or mini-suite. A virtual office may be an office that provides communication services such as telephone or fax services, and address services without providing dedicated office space, if all communications are routed through a common receptionist. An executive office or mini-suite includes a dedicated office space and other supportive services.

Once the process server confirms that the person to be served maintains a virtual office or minisuite, the server may leave a copy at that location.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2016.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

¹¹ Section 49.011, F.S.

¹² Section 49.021, F.S.

¹³ Section 49.031, F.S.

¹⁴ Section 49.041, F.S.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues
--

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

A plaintiff may benefit by having a case heard in instances in which alternative service of process provided in the bill leads to the location of otherwise difficult to reach defendants.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 48.031 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Judiciary on February 16, 2016:

The CS removes the authority for a type of constructive service of process, electronic service of process, from the bill.

B. Amendments:

None.

800506

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
Comm: RCS	•	
02/16/2016		
	•	
	•	
	•	
The Committee on Jud	diciary (Stargel) recomm	nended the following:
Senate Amendmer	nt (with title amendment	:)
Senate Amendment Delete lines 47		:)
Delete lines 47		
Delete lines 47	7 - 252. ITLE AMENDME	
Delete lines 47	7 - 252. ITLE AMENDME ended as follows:	
Delete lines 47 T And the title is ame	7 - 252. ITLE AMENDME ended as follows:	

providing an

1 2 3

4 5 6

8 9 10

By Senator Stargel

15-01521A-16

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

20161432

38

39

41

42

43

45

46

48

49

50

51

52

53

56

57

58

59

A bill to be entitled An act relating to service of process; amending s. 48.031, F.S.; expanding the locations at which substitute service of process may be made when such location is the only discoverable address for the person to be served; defining the terms "virtual office" and "executive office or mini suite"; amending ss. 49.011 and 49.021, F.S.; authorizing electronic service of process as an alternative to service of process by publication; amending s. 49.031, F.S.; defining the term "electronic"; conforming provisions to changes made by the act; amending ss. 49.041, 49.051, and 49.061, F.S.; revising the information that must be included in a sworn statement for certain service of process; creating s. 49.13, F.S.; providing that a plaintiff is entitled to be granted electronic service of process under certain circumstances; creating s. 49.14, F.S.; providing the requirements for electronic service of process; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (6) of section 48.031, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

48.031 Service of process generally; service of witness subpoenas.—

(6) (a) If the only address for a person to be served, which is discoverable through public records, is a private mailbox, a virtual office, or an executive office or mini suite, substitute service may be made by leaving a copy of the process with the person in charge of the private mailbox, virtual office, or

Page 1 of 9

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1432

15-01521A-16 20161432_

executive office or mini suite, but only if the process server

determines that the person to be served maintains a mailbox, a

virtual office, or an executive office or mini suite at that

location.

(b) For purposes of this subsection, the term "virtual"

office" means an office that provides communications services, such as telephone or facsimile services, and address services without providing dedicated office space, and where all communications are routed through a common receptionist. The term "executive office or mini suite" means an office that provides communications services, such as telephone and facsimile services, a dedicated office space, and other supportive services, and where all communications are routed through a common receptionist.

Section 2. Section 49.011, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

49.011 Service of process by publication <u>or electronic</u>

<u>service</u>; cases in which allowed.—Service of process by

publication <u>or electronic service</u>, if so ordered by the court,

may be made in any court on any party identified in s. 49.021 in

any action or proceeding:

- (1) To enforce any legal or equitable lien or claim to any title or interest in real or personal property within the jurisdiction of the court or any fund held or debt owing by any party on whom process can be served within this state.
- (2) To quiet title or remove any encumbrance, lien, or cloud on the title to any real or personal property within the jurisdiction of the court or any fund held or debt owing by any party on whom process can be served within this state.

Page 2 of 9

15-01521A-16 20161432

 $\hspace{0.1in}$ (3) To partition real or personal property within the jurisdiction of the court.

- (4) For dissolution or annulment of marriage.
- (5) For the construction of any will, deed, contract, or other written instrument and for a judicial declaration or enforcement of any legal or equitable right, title, claim, lien, or interest thereunder.
- (6) To reestablish a lost instrument or record which has or should have its situs within the jurisdiction of the court.
- (7) In which a writ of replevin, garnishment, or attachment has been issued and executed.
- (8) In which any other writ or process has been issued and executed which places any property, fund, or debt in the custody of a court.
 - (9) To revive a judgment by motion or scire facias.
 - (10) For adoption.

62

63

64

65

67 68

69

70

71

72

7.3

74

7.5

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

- (11) In which personal service of process or notice is not required by the statutes or constitution of this state or by the Constitution of the United States.
- (12) In probate or guardianship proceedings in which personal service of process or notice is not required by the statutes or constitution of this state or by the Constitution of the United States.
- (13) For termination of parental rights pursuant to part VIII of chapter 39 or chapter 63.
- (14) For temporary custody of a minor child, under chapter 751.
- (15) To determine paternity, but only as to the legal father in a paternity action in which another man is alleged to

Page 3 of 9

 ${\bf CODING:}$ Words ${\bf stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1432

15-01521A-16 20161432

be the biological father, in which case it is necessary to serve process on the legal father in order to establish paternity with regard to the alleged biological father.

Section 3. Section 49.021, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

95

96

99

100

101

102

103

104

106

107

108

109

110

111

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

49.021 Service of process by publication <u>or electronic</u> <u>service</u>, upon whom.—<u>When Where</u> personal service of process or, if appropriate, service of process under s. 48.194 cannot be had, service of process by publication <u>or electronic service</u> may be had upon any party, natural or corporate, known or unknown, including:

- (1) Any known or unknown natural person, and, when described as such, the unknown spouse, heirs, devisees, grantees, creditors, or other parties claiming by, through, under, or against any known or unknown person who is known to be dead or is not known to be either dead or alive.
- (2) Any corporation or other legal entity, whether its domicile be foreign, domestic, or unknown, and whether dissolved or existing, including corporations or other legal entities not known to be dissolved or existing, and, when described as such, the unknown assigns, successors in interest, trustees, or any other party claiming by, through, under, or against any named corporation or legal entity_+
- (3) Any group, firm, entity, or persons who operate or do business, or have operated or done business, in this state, under a name or title which includes the word "corporation," "company," "incorporated," "inc.," or any combination thereof, or under a name or title which indicates, tends to indicate or leads one to think that the same may be a corporation or other

Page 4 of 9

15-01521A-16 20161432_

legal entity.; and

(4) All claimants under any of such parties.

Unknown parties may be proceeded against exclusively or together with other parties.

Section 4. Subsection (1) of section 49.031, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsection (2) of that section is reordered and amended, to read:

49.031 Sworn statement as condition precedent.-

- (1) As a condition precedent to service by publication <u>or</u> <u>electronic service</u>, a statement shall be filed in the action executed by the plaintiff, <u>or</u> the plaintiff's agent or attorney, setting forth substantially the matters hereafter required, which statement may be contained in a verified pleading, or in an affidavit or other sworn statement.
 - (2) As used in this chapter, the term:
- $\underline{\text{(c)}}$ (a) The word "Plaintiff" means any party in the action who is entitled to service of original process on any other party to the action or any person who may be brought in or allowed to come in as a party by any lawful means.
- $\underline{\text{(d)}}_{\text{(e)}}$ The word "Publication" includes the posting of the notice of action as provided for in ss. 49.10(1)(b) and 49.11.
- (b) "Electronic" means any electronic method of delivering notice to a defendant by electronic mail, social media, or other electronic means in which a reasonable expectation of delivery

Page 5 of 9

 ${\bf CODING:}$ Words ${\bf stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1432

15-01521A-16

10	
149	to the party can be ascertained.
150	Section 5. Section 49.041, Florida Statutes, is amended to
151	read:
152	49.041 Sworn statement, natural person as defendant.—The
153	sworn statement of the plaintiff, $\underline{\text{or}}$ his or her agent or
154	attorney, for service of process by publication or electronic
155	<pre>service against a natural person, must shall show:</pre>
156	(1) That diligent search and inquiry have been made to
157	discover the name, and residence, e-mail address, and social
158	$\underline{\text{media accounts}}$ of such person, and that the same is set forth in
159	said sworn statement as particularly as is known to the
160	affiant_ : ; and
161	(2) Whether such person is over or under the age of 18
162	years, if his or her age is known, or that the person's age is
163	unknown <u>.</u> ; and
164	(3) In addition to the above, that the residence of such
165	person is, either:
166	(a) Unknown to the affiant; or
167	(b) In some state or country other than this state, stating
168	said residence if known; or
169	(c) In the state, but that he or she has been absent from
170	the state for more than 60 days next preceding the making of the
171	sworn statement, or conceals himself or herself so that process
172	cannot be personally served, and that affiant believes that
173	there is no person in the state upon whom service of process
174	would bind said absent or concealed defendant.
175	Section 6. Section 49.051, Florida Statutes, is amended to
176	read:
177	49.051 Sworn statement, corporation as defendant.—The sworn

Page 6 of 9

15-01521A-16 20161432_ statement of the plaintiff, or his or her agent or attorney, for service of process by publication or electronic service against a corporation, must shall show:

178

179

180

181 182

183 184

185

186 187

188

189

190 191

192

193

194 195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

- (1) That diligent search and inquiry have been made to discover the corporate defendant's true name, domicile, principal place of business, website, e-mail addresses, social media accounts, and status as a (that is, whether foreign, domestic, or dissolved corporation) of the corporate defendant, and that the same is set forth in said sworn statement as particularly as is known to the affiant, and that diligent search and inquiry have also been made, to discover the names, and whereabouts, e-mail addresses, and social media accounts of all persons upon whom the service of process would bind the said corporation and that the same is specified as particularly as is known to the affiant.; and
- (2) Whether $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ of the corporation has ever qualified to do business in this state, unless shown to be a Florida corporation.
- (3) That all officers, directors, general managers, cashiers, resident agents, and business agents of the corporation, either:
 - (a) Are absent from the state; or
 - (b) Cannot be found within the state; or
- (c) Conceal themselves so that process cannot be served upon them so as to bind the said corporation; $\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$
 - (d) That their whereabouts are unknown to the affiant; or
- (e) That said officers, directors, general managers, cashiers, resident agents, and business agents of the corporation are unknown to affiant.

Page 7 of 9

 ${f CODING: Words \ \underline{stricken} \ are \ deletions; \ words \ \underline{underlined} \ are \ additions.}$

Florida Senate - 2016 SB 1432

20161432

15-01521A-16

207	Section 7. Section 49.061, Florida Statutes, is amended to
208	read:
209	49.061 Sworn statement, parties doing business under a
210	corporate name as defendants.—The sworn statement of the
211	plaintiff, $\underline{\text{or}}$ his or her agent or attorney, for service of
212	process by publication $\underline{\text{or electronic service}}$ against parties who
213	have or may have done business under a corporate name, $\underline{\text{must}}$
214	shall show:
215	(1) The name under which $\underline{\text{the}}$ said parties have operated or
216	done business <u>.</u> ; and
217	(2) That, after diligent search and inquiry, the affiant
218	has been unable to ascertain whether $\frac{1}{2}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ the organization
219	operating under $\underline{\text{the corporate}}$ $\underline{\text{said}}$ name was a corporation,
220	either domestic or foreign $_{\underline{\cdot}};$ and
221	(3) The names, $\underline{\text{e-mail addresses, social media accounts,}}$ and
222	places of $\operatorname{residence}_{\underline{\iota}}$ if known, of all persons known to have been
223	interested in such organization, and whether or not other or
224	unknown persons may have been interested in such organization;
225	or that, after diligent search and inquiry, all persons
226	interested in such organization are unknown to the affiant, and,
227	unless all such persons are unknown to the affiant. $_{\mathcal{T}}$
228	(4) That the known persons interested in such organization,
229	either:
230	(a) Are absent from this state; or
231	(b) Cannot be found within this state; or
232	(c) Conceal themselves so that process cannot be personally
233	served upon them; or
234	(d) That their whereabouts are unknown to the affiant.
235	Section 8. Section 49.13, Florida Statutes, is created to

Page 8 of 9

20161432

read:

49.13 Electronic service of process.—On filing a motion

with the sworn statement attached as an exhibit and otherwise

complying with the requirements of this chapter, the plaintiff

is entitled to have an order from the judge granting electronic

service of process. Electronic service of process may only be

perfected by a trusted third party authorized to serve process

243 as defined in s. 48.021.

15-01521A-16

Section 9. Section 49.14, Florida Statutes, is created to

245 read:

242

244

246

247

248 249

250

251

252

253

49.14 Proof of electronic service of process.—Proof of the electronic delivery of service of process must be by affidavit of the person having knowledge of such electronic service. The affidavit must include or have attached a copy of the notice, must specify the dates that each form of electronic service was transmitted, and must otherwise comply with the requirements of law.

Section 10. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

Page 9 of 9

THE FLORIDA SENATE



Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES: Higher Education, Chair Appropriations Subcommittee on Education Fiscal Policy Judiciary Military and Veterans Affairs, Space, and Domestic Security Regulated Industries

JOINT COMMITTEE:
Joint Committee on Public Counsel Oversight

SENATOR KELLI STARGEL 15th District

February 12, 2016

The Honorable Miguel Diaz de la Portilla Senate Judiciary Committee, Chair 406 Senate Office Building 404 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Chair Diaz de la Portilla:

I respectfully request that SB 1432, related to Service of Process, be placed on the committee agenda at your earliest convenience.

Thank you for your consideration and please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Kelli Stargel

State Senator, District 15

Cc: Tom Cibula/ Staff Director Joyce Butler/ AA

REPLY TO:

□ 2033 East Edgewood Drive, Suite 1, Lakeland, Florida 33803 □ 324 Senate Office Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5015

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

THE FLORIDA SENATE

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 2-16-16 Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Topic Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Michael Nolan Address Phone 562-6058 Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing FAPPS Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

THE FLORIDA SENATE

APPEARANCE RECORD

		(Deliver BOT	H copies of this form to the	Senator or Senate Professional S	taff conducting the	meeting)	1432
Mee	ting Date	_					Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic _	M-		, marker of the contract of th		-	Amendi	ment Barcode (if applicable)
Name _	Ba	nnaa	fogaly_				
Job Title	$= Q_{\overline{e}}$	Sider	OF Process	Service			
Address		Captrul	ade SE		Phone		·
	Street	Wyza	FL	3,701	Email		
	City	•••	State	Zip			
Speaking	For [Against	Information	,	oeaking: ir will read this	-	port Against tion into the record.)
Repr	esenting				- 		
Appearir	ng at request	of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist regist	ered with Le	egislatu	ıre: Yes No
				ny, time may not permit all remarks so that as many			

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Pre	pared By: The Professional	Staff of the Comm	ittee on Judiciai	ТУ	
BILL:	CS/SB 596					
INTRODUCER: Banking and Insurance Committee and Senator Hukill						
SUBJECT: Assignmen		nt or Transfer of Propert	y Insurance Righ	its		
DATE:	February 1	5, 2016 REVISED:				
ANAL	YST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION	
. Billmeier		Knudson	BI	Fav/CS		
2. Davis		Cibula	JU	Pre-meetir	ng	
3.			RC		_	

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

PLEASE MAKE SELECTION

I. Summary:

CS/SB 596 provides that an agreement that purports to assign or transfer the right to enforce post-loss benefits in a property insurance policy is void. This provision would prevent the assignee from filing an action against the insurance company to enforce payment. This bill does not change current law regarding the right of an insured to file an action against the insurance company and does not change current law regarding the rights of those who perform home repairs from filing actions against homeowners.

The bill further provides that the assignment agreement is void if:

- It imposes a cancellation fee, a mortgage processing fee, or adds an amount for overhead and profit;
- The final invoice issued under the agreement exceeds the estimated cost for work performed and the increase was not authorized by the insurer;
- It prevents or inhibits an insurer from communicating with the insured at any time; or
- It purports to transfer or create any authority to adjust, negotiate, or settle any portion of a claim to a person not authorized to adjust, negotiate, or settle a claim.

This bill provides that for an assignment agreement to be valid all the following conditions must be met:

• The agreement must authorize a person or entity to be named as a payee or copayee for the benefit of payment for services rendered and materials provided to mitigate or repair covered damage only.

• The agreement must be provided to the insured's property insurer within 3 business days after execution.

- The agreement must allow the insured to cancel the agreement within the later of 3 business days after the agreement is executed or submitted to the insurer. If the assignment agreement is for work resulting from a state of emergency declared by the Governor and is executed within 1 year after the declaration, the insured may cancel the assignment within 5 business days of its execution.
- The agreement must contain an estimate for proposed services and materials to be provided.

The bill provides that an agreement to assign post-loss benefits must contain a specific notice that warns the insured that he or she is giving up certain rights and informs the insured of the right to rescind the agreement.

The bill does not apply to property insurance policy provisions relating to liability coverage.

This bill is effective upon becoming a law and its provisions apply to assignments executed after the effective date.

II. Present Situation:

Background on Assignment of Benefits

An assignment is the voluntary transfer of the rights of one party under a contract to another party. Current law generally allows an insurance policyholder to assign the benefits of the policy, such as the right to be paid, to another party. Once an assignment is made, the assignee can take action to enforce the contract. Accordingly, if the benefits are assigned and the insurer refuses to pay, the assignee may file a lawsuit against the insurer to recover the benefits.

Section 627.422, F.S., governs assignability of insurance contracts and provides that a policy may or may not be assignable according to its terms. In *Lexington Insurance Company v*. *Simkins Industries*, the court held that a provision in an insurance contract prohibiting assignment was enforceable under the plain language of s. 627.422, F.S. The court explained that the purpose of a provision prohibiting assignment was to protect an insurer against unbargained-for risks. However, Florida courts have held that an assignment made after the loss is valid even if the contract states otherwise. In *Continental Casualty Company v. Ryan Incorporated*, the court noted that it is a "well-settled rule that [anti-assignment provisions do] not apply to an assignment after loss." A court recently explained that the rationale for post-loss assignments is that "[a]n assignment of the policy, or rights under the policy, before the loss is incurred transfers the insurer's contractual relationship to a party with whom it never intended to contract, but an

¹ 704 So. 2d 1384 (Fla. 1998).

² *Id.* at 1386.

³ See West Florida Grocery Company v. Teutonia Fire Insurance Company, 77 So. 209 (Fla. 1917); Better Construction, Inc. v. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, 651 So. 2d 141 (Fla. 3d DCA 1995)(reversed a dismissal based on a no-assignment provision because "a provision against assignment of an insurance policy does not bar an insured's assignment of an after-loss claim"); Gisela Investments v. Liberty Mutual Ins. Co., 452 So. 2d 1056 (Fla. 3d DCA 1984) (holding that a "provision in a policy of insurance which prohibits assignment thereof except with consent of the insurer does not apply to prevent assignment of the claim or interest in the insurance money then due, after loss").

⁴ 974 So. 2d 368, 377 n. 7 (Fla. 2000).

assignment after loss is simply the transfer of the right to a claim for money" and "has no effect upon the insurer's duty under the policy." 5

Assignments have been prohibited by contract in other insurance contexts. In *Kohl v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Florida, Inc.*,⁶ the court found anti-assignment language was sufficiently clear and upheld language prohibiting the assignment of a health insurance claim. The court explained that anti-assignment clauses "prohibiting an insured's assignments to out-of-network medical providers are valuable tools in persuading health [care] providers to keep their costs down and as such override the general policy favoring the free alienability of choses in action."

Section 627.428, F.S., provides, in part:

Upon the rendition of a judgment or decree by any of the courts of this state against an insurer and in favor of any named or omnibus insured or the named beneficiary under a policy or contract executed by the insurer, the trial court or, in the event of an appeal in which the insured or beneficiary prevails, the appellate court shall adjudge or decree against the insurer and in favor of the insured or beneficiary a reasonable sum as fees or compensation for the insured's or beneficiary's attorney prosecuting the suit in which the recovery is had.

This statute allows the insured to recover attorney's fees if the insured prevails in an action against an insurer. A person who takes an assignment of benefits is entitled to attorney's fees if that assignee prevails in an action against an insurer.⁸

Assignment of Benefits in Property Insurance Cases

In recent years, insurers have complained of abuse of the assignment of benefits process. An insurance company recently described the issue in a court filing:

The typical scenario surrounding the use of an "assignment of benefits" involved vendors and contractors, mostly water remediation companies, who were called by an insured immediately after a loss to perform emergency remediation services, such as water extraction. The vendor came to the insured's home and, before performing any work, required the insured to sign an "assignment of benefits" – when the insured would be most vulnerable to fraud and pricegouging. Vendors advised the insured, "We'll take care of everything for you." The vendor then submitted its bill to the insurer that was, on average, nearly 30 percent higher than comparative estimates from vendors without an assignment of benefits. Some vendors added to the invoice an additional 20 percent for "overhead and profit," even though a general contractor would not be required or hired to oversee the work. Vendors used these inflated invoices to extract higher

⁵ Wehr Constructors, Inc. v. Assurance Company of America, 384 S.W. 3d 680, 683 (Ky. 2012).

⁶ 955 So. 2d 1140 (Fla. 4th DCA 2007).

⁷ *Id.* at 1144-1145.

⁸ See All Ways Reliable Bldg. Maint., Inc. v. Moore, 261 So. 2d 131 (Fla. 1972); Allstate Insurance Co. v. Regar, 942 So. 2d 969 (Fla.2d DCA 2006).

settlements from insurers. This, in turn, significantly increases litigation over the vendors' invoices.⁹

In a court filing in a different case, a company that provides emergency repair and construction services explained the rationale behind assignments of insurance benefits:

As a practical matter, a homeowner often will not be able to afford or hire a contractor immediately following a loss unless the contractor accepts an assignment of benefits to ensure payment. A homeowner may be unable to comply with the ... provision requiring the homeowner to protect and repair the premises unless the remediation contractor accepts an assignment of benefits, however, contractors will become unwilling to accept payments by assignment if court decisions render the assignments unenforceable

Whether the repair invoice is routed through the insured or submitted by the service provider directly by assignment, the service provider's repair invoice is submitted to the insurer for coverage and reviewed by an adjuster. The only difference an assignment makes is that, if an insurance company wishes to partially deny coverage or contest an invoice as unreasonable, the insured policyholder is not mired in litigation in which he or she has no stake. ¹⁰

It is argued that in most cases, assignment of benefits works to the homeowner's advantage because the contractor is in a better position than most homeowners to discuss costs and repair requirements with insurance adjusters.¹¹

Proponents of changing the law relating to assignment of benefits argue that the ability to recover attorney's fees under s. 627.428, F.S., leads to more litigation in cases involving assignment of benefits because an assignee can recover full attorney's fees even if the award is small. However, courts have explained that the purpose of s. 627.428, F.S., is to encourage the prompt payment of valid claims and place the insured in the same position he or she would have been had the insurer paid the claim. 13

Recent Litigation in Cases Involving Assignment of Benefits

Several recent cases have addressed the assignment of post-loss benefits. In *Accident Cleaners*, *Inc. v. Universal Ins. Co.*, ¹⁴ the Fifth District Court of Appeal rejected a claim that only those having an insurable interest at the time of loss could enforce an insurance contract and held that

⁹ See Security First Insurance Company v. State of Florida, Office of Insurance Regulation, Case 1D14-1864 (Fla. 1st DCA), Appellant's Initial Brief at pp. 3-4. (appellate record citations omitted).

¹⁰ See One Call Property Services, Inc. v. Security First Insurance Company, Case No. 4D14-0424 (Fla. 4th DCA), Appellant's Initial Brief at 46-48.

¹¹ Memorandum to Members of the House Insurance and Banking Subcommittee from Dale S. Dobuler, Florida Justice Association (October 26, 2015) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

¹² See Florida Justice Reform Institute, White Paper: Restoring Balance in Insurance Litigation, (2015) at pp. 9-10. (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

¹³ See e.g. Travelers Indemnity Insurance Company of Illinois v Meadows MRI, LLP, 900 So. 2d 676, 678-679 (Fla. 4th DCA 2005).

¹⁴ Case No. 5D14-352 (5th DCA April 10, 2015). See s. 627.405, F.S.

the right to recover post-loss insurance benefits could be assigned. The court explained that nothing in the statute indicated the Legislature intended to change the "well-settled" law of assignability of contractual rights" or the "inability of insurers to restrict post-loss assignments."

In *One Call Property Services, Inc. v. Security First Ins. Co.*, ¹⁵ the Fourth District Court of Appeal explained that even "when an insurance policy contains a provision barring assignment of a policy, an insured may assign a post-loss claim." The court rejected arguments that the insured had nothing to assign at the time the assignment was executed because benefits were not yet due under the policy. ¹⁶

The court explained the competing policy arguments raised by the assignment of benefits issue:

Turning to the practical implications of this case, we note that this issue boils down to two competing public policy considerations. On the one side, the insurance industry argues that assignments of benefits allow contractors to unilaterally set the value of a claim and demand payment for fraudulent or inflated invoices. On the other side, contractors argue that assignments of benefits allow homeowners to hire contractors for emergency repairs immediately after a loss, particularly in situations where the homeowners cannot afford to pay the contractors up front.¹⁷

The court noted that if "studies show that these assignments are inviting fraud and abuse, then the legislature is in the best position to investigate and undertake comprehensive reform." ¹⁸

In Security First Ins. Co. v. State of Florida, Office of Ins. Regulation, ¹⁹ an insurer sought approval from the Office of Insurance Regulation to amend its policy forms to prohibit assignment unless the insurer agreed to the assignment. The Office of Insurance Regulation disapproved the form filing based on Florida court cases holding post-loss benefits are freely assignable. ²⁰ The First District Court of Appeal affirmed the Office of Insurance Regulation's order but noted evidence of abuse of the assignment of benefit process. ²¹ The court concluded "it is for the legislative branch to consider this public policy problem, not the courts" and noted that "legislative review provides a more detailed inquiry into the current situation in the industry and greater flexibility in achieving meaningful reform, if deemed necessary."²²

In One Call Property Services, Inc., A/A/O Carl and June Schlanger v. St. Johns Insurance Company, ²³ the circuit court granted summary judgment in an assignment of benefits case. A homeowner executed an assignment of benefits to One Call Property Services (One Call) after a water loss. When the insurer did not pay the amount demanded, One Call sued for breach of

¹⁵ 165 So. 3d 749, 753 (4th DCA 2015).

¹⁶ *Id.* at 754.

¹⁷ *Id.* at 755.

¹⁸ *Id*.

¹⁹ 177 So. 3d 627 (Fla. 1st DCA 2015).

²⁰ *Id.* at 628.

²¹ *Id*.

²² Id. at 630.

²³ Case No. 13-000868-CA (Fla. 19th Circuit, November 20, 2014).

contract. The court ruled that One Call did not have standing to bring the action and granted the insurer's motion for summary judgment. The court explained that the "proceeds of any insurance recovery from homestead property are constitutionally protected to the same extent as the property itself, and a homeowner cannot be divested of those proceeds through an unsecured agreement" and ruled that the assignment was invalid. The court held the assignment of benefits "impermissibly seeks to divest the homeowners of these constitutionally protected insurance proceeds and, therefore, the assignment is invalid." The court said this was "particularly true where, as here, the contract was [only executed by one spouse]." The court further ruled that One Call was unlawfully acting as a public adjuster.

One Call appealed the case in the Fourth District Court of Appeal. In the briefs, the parties argued whether the provision of the State Constitution prohibiting the forced sale of a homestead²⁴ prohibited the assignment of insurance proceeds. The briefs also addressed whether both spouses were required to agree to the assignment and whether One Call was unlawfully acting as a public adjuster. The court affirmed without issuing a written opinion;²⁵ so the exact reasoning behind the court's affirmance is not known.²⁶ The opinion should be final on February 15 if there is no motion for rehearing.²⁷

In *Bioscience West, Inc., v. Gulfstream Property and Casualty*, ²⁸ the Second District Court of Appeal recently reversed a circuit court's holding that precluded a homeowner from assigning the benefits of her insurance policy to an emergency water mitigation company without first receiving consent from her insurance company. The court noted that nearly 100 years ago the Florida Supreme Court held that provisions in an insurance policy requiring consent to assignment of an insurance policy do not apply to assignments after a loss. As a result, the court held that post-loss insurance claims are freely assignable without the consent of the insurer.

There are at least two other cases pending in the district courts of appeal relating to assignment of benefits in water mitigation cases.²⁹ In one of the cases, both the homeowner and the assignee filed suit against the insurer. The trial court granted the insurer's motion for summary judgment after finding that the homeowner never intended to assign her right to sue the insurance company. In other cases, there are disputes over whether the assignee unlawfully acted as a public adjuster, whether the assignment is prohibited under Article X, s. 4, Fla. Const., and whether the assignment at issue is an invalid partial assignment. There is no timetable for the courts to decide these pending cases.

²⁴ Article X, s. 4, Fla. Const.

²⁵ Case No. 4D14-4585 (Fla. 4th DCA January 28, 2016).

²⁶ In Florida appellate courts, most cases are decided with a "per curiam affirmed" opinion. Such an opinion is binding on the parties to the litigation but is not binding precedent for other cases. *See Department of Legal Affairs v. District Court of Appeal*, 5th District, 434 So.2d 310 (Fla. 1983).

²⁷ Motions for rehearing must be filed within 15 days of the opinion unless another time is set by the court.

²⁸ Bioscience West, Inc., v. Gulfstream Property and Casualty Insurance Co., 2016 WL 455723 (Fla. 2d DCA 2016).

²⁹ Start to Finish Restoration, LLC v. Homeowners Choice Property & Casualty Insurance, Case No. 2D15-2206 (Fla. 2d DCA) (briefs have been filed; oral argument set for February 24, 2016); Restoration 1 CFL a/a/o I. Joy White v. State Farm Florida Insurance Company, Case No. 5D15-1049 (Fla. 5th DCA) (briefs have been filed; oral argument is set for April 5, 2016).

Data Provided by Insurers

On October 6, 2015, the Insurance Consumer Advocate issued a data call to gather information relating to assignment of benefits. On October 23, 2015, the Office of Insurance Regulation issued a data call to insurance companies relating to assignment of benefits and its relationship to property insurance rates. Most insurers did not respond to the data call by the Insurance Consumer Advocate data call due to concerns about the disclosure of trade secrets. Insurance companies submitted information to the Office of Insurance Regulation during December and January.

The Office of Insurance Regulation released the results of the Assignment of Benefits Data Call on February 8, 2016.³⁰ The report stated that there has been an increase of approximately 10 per cent in the claim severity³¹ from 2010 to 2015 for claims with an assignment of benefits, while the severity for claims without an assignment of benefits increased by only 1 percent. The report, however, cautioned that very few of the insurers that responded to the data call were able to consistently track the use of assignment of benefits over the period of the data call. The report noted that "one should still be careful about relying too heavily on the results" of the report given the data supplied and noted that the more "granular that you get into the data, the less likely the data would be fully credible."³²

The report stated that claims with an assignment of benefits have a much higher severity than claims without an assignment, generally at least 50 per cent more. But the report then stated that the cause of these results could not be determined from the information collected in the call. Two possible arguments were offered: assignment of benefits were generally used on more serious claims, or perhaps costs are inflated for claims with an assignment of benefits.³³

Citizens Property Insurance Corporation ("Citizens") provided a summary of information it provided in response to the OIR data call. Citizens randomly sampled 983 claims reported in 2015 that were settled without a lawsuit being filed. The statewide average that Citizens paid for the loss and loss adjustment expense was \$15,822 if the claim had an assignment of benefits but \$8,507 if the claim did not have an assignment of benefits. If a lawsuit was filed, Citizens paid an average of \$37,677 per claim if the claim had an assignment of benefits and \$30,526 if the claim did not. In South East Florida (Miami-Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach counties), the percentage of claims litigated increased from 15.8 percent in 2010 to 38.4 percent in 2014. Citizens also reported that 31.9 percent of its claimants had representation either by an attorney or public adjuster at the first notice of loss in 2014. That percentage increased to 45.6 percent through the first 9 months of 2015.³⁴

³¹ Severity, according to the report, means the amount of losses paid for a claim.

³² *Supra* note 30 at 11.

³³ *Id*.

³⁴ Citizens Property Insurance Corporation, *Non-Catastrophic Homeowners Water Claims* (Jan. 2016) *available at* https://www.citizensfla.com/web/public/media-resources.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

This bill creates a new section of law to provide that an agreement that purports to assign or transfer the right to enforce post-loss benefits in a property insurance policy is void. This provision prevents the assignee from filing an action against the insurance company to enforce payment. Because the assignee may not file an action to enforce payment, the assignee may not collect attorney's fees under existing s. 627.428, F.S. This bill does not change current law regarding the right of insured to file an action against the insurance company and does not change current law regarding the rights of those who perform home repairs filing actions against homeowners.

This bill requires that all of the following conditions be met for an assignment agreement to be valid:

- The agreement must authorize a person or entity to be named as a payee or copayee for the benefit of payment as provided in the policy for services rendered and materials provided to mitigate or repair covered damage only.
- The agreement must be provided to the insured's property insurer within 3 business days after execution.
- The agreement must contain an estimate for proposed services and materials to be provided.
- The agreement must allow the insured to cancel the agreement within 3 business days³⁵ after the agreement is executed or submitted to the insurer, whichever is later. The assignee is entitled to be reimbursed for work already performed before cancellation of the agreement.

In addition to providing that an agreement that purports to transfer the right to enforce payment is void, the bill provides that an agreement is void if any of the following conditions are met:

- The agreement imposes an agreement cancellation fee, a check processing fee, a mortgage
 processing fee, or adds an amount for overhead and profit. This addresses concerns that some
 vendors are inflating the costs and overcharging consumers.³⁶
- The final invoice issued under the agreement exceeds the estimated cost for work performed and the increase was not authorized by the insurer.
- The agreement prevents or inhibits an insurer from communicating with the insured at any time. This addresses the problem, reported by some insurers, that assignees are preventing insureds from discussing the claim with the insurance company.
- The agreement purports to transfer or create any authority to adjust, negotiate, or settle any portion of a claim to a person not authorized to adjust, negotiate, or settle a claim under part VI of ch. 626, F.S. This provision prevents a person not licensed as an insurance adjuster from acting as an adjuster.

The agreement must contain the following notice, in 14-point type:

³⁵ The bill extends this period to 5 days if the agreement is executed to perform work resulting from an event for which the Governor has declared a state of emergency and is within 1 year of the declaration.

³⁶ See Florida's Assignment of Benefits Problem prepared by American Strategic Insurance (on file with the Banking and Insurance Committee). It provides examples of charges for mortgage processing fees ranging from \$300-\$1,500, examples of charges of 10 percent of the total bill for "overhead" and "profit," and cancellation charges of 15 percent to 30 percent.

WARNING: YOU ARE AGREEING TO GIVE UP CERTAIN RIGHTS YOU HAVE UNDER YOUR INSURANCE POLICY TO A THIRD PARTY. PLEASE READ AND UNDERSTAND THIS DOCUMENT BEFORE SIGNING IT. YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO CANCEL THIS AGREEMENT WITHOUT PENALTY WITHIN 3 BUSINESS DAYS AFTER THE DATE THIS AGREEMENT IS EXECUTED OR WITHIN 3 BUSINESS DAYS AFTER YOUR PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY HAS RECEIVED A COPY OF THIS AGREEMENT, WHICHEVER IS LATER. IF WORK IS BEING PERFORMED AS A RESULT OF DAMAGES CAUSED BY AN EVENT FOR WHICH THE GOVERNOR HAS DECLARED A STATE OF EMERGENCY AND IS WITHIN 1 YEAR AFTER SUCH DECLARATION, YOU HAVE 5 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF EXECUTION TO CANCEL. THIS AGREEMENT DOES NOT CHANGE YOUR DUTIES UNDER YOUR PROPERTY INSURANCE POLICY, SUCH AS PROMPTLY NOTIFYING YOUR INSURANCE COMPANY OF A LOSS AND MITIGATING YOUR PROPERTY FROM FURTHER DAMAGE.

The bill does not apply to a power of attorney granted to a management company, family member, guardian, or similarly situated person which may include the authority to act in place of the principal on property insurance claims. The bill also does not apply to assignments relating to liability coverage in the property insurance policy.

This bill is effective upon becoming a law and its provisions apply to assignments executed after the effective date. The provisions do not apply to assignments executed before the bill's effective date.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues:

Access to Courts

The bill provides that any assignment that purports to transfer the right to enforce payment for post-loss benefits is void. It could argue that the effect of this bill is to remove the right for an assignee to sue for breach of the insurance contract. The Florida Supreme Court addressed the ability to limit an assignee's access to courts in *Nationwide*

*Mut. Fire Ins. Co. v. Pinnacle Medical Inc.*³⁷ In that case, Pinnacle, a medical provider, provided medical services to a person injured in an automobile accident. The injured person assigned his rights to receive benefits to Pinnacle. When the insurer refused to pay, Pinnacle, as assignee, brought suit against the insurer for breach of contract. A statute required that a medical provider who had accepted an assignment of benefits must submit to binding arbitration so the insurer argued that Pinnacle could not bring the action.³⁸

The court held that the statute prohibiting an assignee from bringing an action to enforce payment violated the Access to Courts³⁹ provision of the state constitution. The court explained that the right of an assignee to sue for breach of contract to enforce assigned rights predates the Florida Constitution. If a right to seek redress in the courts predates the Florida Constitution, the Legislature cannot abolish that right without providing a reasonable alternative or commensurate benefit unless the Legislature can show an overpowering public necessity for its abolishment and no alternative means of meeting the public necessity.⁴⁰

However, it could be argued that the bill is not impairing access to courts and is a statute restricting assignments. "Generally, causes of action derived from a contract are assignable and contract rights can be assigned unless forbidden by the terms of the contract itself, or unless the assignment would violate some rule of public policy or some statute, or the contract rights involve obligations of a personal nature." Because statutes or public policy are valid reasons for limiting or prohibiting assignments and this bill declares an assignment "void" if it purports to transfer the right to enforce, it can be argued that there is no impairment of access to courts and that the bill is an example of the Legislature declaring by statute the public policy of this state relating to the assignment of benefits of property insurance contracts.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The data provided by Citizens Property Insurance Company indicates that the bill may be effective in lowering property insurance claim costs that are currently associated with an executed post-loss assignment of benefits.

³⁷ 753 So. 2d 55 (2000).

³⁸ *Id.* at 56.

³⁹ Art. 1, s. 21, Fla. Const.

⁴⁰ See Pinnacle Medical, 753 So. 2d at 57; Kluger v. White, 281 So. 2d 1, 4 (Fla. 1973); Smith v. Department of Insurance, 507 So. 2d 1080, 1088 (Fla. 1987).

⁴¹ 3A Fla.Jur.2d Assignments s. 6; Restatement 2d Contracts 317. *See Kohl v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Florida*, 955 So.2d 1140, 1143 (Fla. 4th DCA 2007) (upholding language prohibiting assignments to out of network medical providers).

C. Government Sector Impact:

If the changes in this bill reduce litigation, judicial workloads will also be reduced. Whether the bill will reduce litigation, however, is unknown.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill creates section 627.70133 of the Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Banking and Insurance on February 1, 2016:

The CS removed a provision that limited the assignment to \$2,500.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.



L	EGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
The Committee on Judiciar	ry (Simmons) recom	mended the following:
Senate Amendment (wi	ith title amendmen	t)
Delete everything af	fter the enacting	clause
and insert:		
Section 1. Section 5	501.172, Florida S	tatutes, is created to
read:		
501.172 Agreements w	vith service provi	ders entered into
under urgent or emergency	y circumstances; a	ssignment of benefits
relating to property insu	ırance; limitation	<u>s</u>

(a) "Consumer" means a person who has an interest in or who

(1) For purposes of this section, the term:

8 9

10

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40



12 has a right to manage real property, including improvements upon such real property, regardless of whether for personal or 13 business purposes, including an owner, a tenant, a licensee, or 14 15 a property manager.

- (b) "Service provider" means a person who enters into an agreement with a consumer for the stabilization, repair, improvement, or remediation of real property.
- (2) If a consumer, including a consumer who is a policyowner of a property insurance policy, acts under urgent or emergency circumstances to protect property from damage and enters into an agreement with a service provider to stabilize, protect, repair, or improve such property, the service provider may only contract for or receive from the consumer at such time the right to payment for the amount of work necessary to stabilize, protect, and prevent additional damage from occurring to the property. Such right to payment may include a post-loss assignment of benefits under a property insurance policy or a grant of a lien upon the property as permitted under chapter 713. A consumer's agreement to provide greater rights to a service provider under such urgent or emergency circumstances, including alleged rights to do further repairs, remediation, or improvements or an assignment of rights, benefits, causes of action, or other contractual rights in violation of this subsection, is void.
- (3) In all circumstances, an agreement entered into by a consumer and a service provider after a loss or damage has occurred to the consumer's property which contains a post-loss assignment of benefits to the service provider or some third person is not valid:

42

43 44

45

46

47 48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60 61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68



- (a) Unless the consumer or service provider provides a copy of the agreement to the consumer's property insurer within 3 business days after execution by both the service provider and consumer;
- (b) Unless, with the exception of payment for work already performed by a service provider to prevent additional damage from occurring to the property as provided in subsection (2), the agreement allows the consumer to rescind the agreement in writing and without penalty or obligation within 3 business days after the date the agreement is executed or within 3 business days after the insurer has been provided with the agreement, whichever is later. However, if the agreement is executed to perform work resulting from an event for which the Governor has declared a state of emergency and is within 1 year after such declaration, the consumer has 5 business days after the date the agreement is executed to rescind the agreement;
- (c) To the extent that the agreement imposes any fee for rescinding the agreement, a check processing fee, or a mortgage processing fee;
- (d) To the extent that the agreement prevents or inhibits an insurer from communicating with the consumer at any time;
- (e) To the extent that the agreement purports to transfer or create any authority to adjust, negotiate, or settle any portion of a claim to a person or an entity who is not authorized to adjust, negotiate, or settle a claim on behalf of the insured or claimant under part VI of chapter 626; or
- (f) Unless the agreement contains the following notice in 14-point type to the consumer:



WARNING: IF YOU HAVE PROPERTY INSURANCE, YOU MAY BE AGREEING TO GIVE UP CERTAIN RIGHTS YOU HAVE UNDER YOUR INSURANCE POLICY TO A THIRD PARTY. PLEASE READ AND UNDERSTAND THIS DOCUMENT BEFORE SIGNING IT. WITH THE EXCEPTION OF PAYMENT FOR WORK ALREADY PERFORMED BY A SERVICE PROVIDER TO PREVENT ADDITIONAL DAMAGE FROM OCCURRING TO THE PROPERTY RESULTING FROM EMERGENCY OR URGENT CIRCUMSTANCES, YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO RESCIND THIS AGREEMENT WITHOUT PENALTY WITHIN 3 BUSINESS DAYS AFTER THE DATE THIS AGREEMENT IS EXECUTED OR WITHIN 3 BUSINESS DAYS AFTER YOUR PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY HAS RECEIVED A COPY OF THIS AGREEMENT, WHICHEVER IS LATER. IF WORK IS BEING PERFORMED AS A RESULT OF DAMAGES CAUSED BY AN EVENT FOR WHICH THE GOVERNOR HAS DECLARED A STATE OF EMERGENCY AND IS WITHIN 1 YEAR AFTER SUCH DECLARATION, THE 3 BUSINESS DAY PERIOD TO RESCIND THIS AGREEMENT IS EXTENDED TO 5 BUSINESS DAYS. THIS AGREEMENT DOES NOT CHANGE YOUR DUTIES UNDER YOUR PROPERTY INSURANCE POLICY, SUCH AS PROMPTLY NOTIFYING YOUR INSURANCE COMPANY OF A LOSS AND MITIGATING YOUR PROPERTY FROM FURTHER DAMAGE.

91 92

93

94

95

70

71

72

73 74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85 86

87

88

89

90

(4) This section does not apply to a power of attorney granted to a management company, family member, guardian, or similarly situated person which complies with chapter 709 and which may include, as part of the authority granted, the authority to act in place of a principal as it relates to a

96 97

98

(5) A policyholder who assigns the right to receive the

property insurance claim.

100

101

102

103

104

105 106

107 108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117 118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127



benefit of payment under the policy is not liable to the assignee for services and materials for which the insurer is liable, and the assignee may not collect or attempt to collect money from, maintain any action at law against, or claim a lien on the real property of a policyholder or report a policyholder to a credit agency for payment for which the insurer is liable under the policy. However, this subsection does not prohibit the assignee from collecting or attempting to collect money from, maintaining an action at law against, or claiming a lien on the real property of a policyholder or reporting a policyholder to a credit agency for payment of the amount of the insurance deductible or any amount attributable to services and materials ordered by the policyholder which are not covered under the insurance policy.

Section 2. Section 627.422, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.422 Assignment of policies; restrictions on post-loss assignments of policy benefits.-

(1) A policy may be assignable, or not assignable, as provided by the policy its terms. Subject to its terms relating to assignability, any life or health insurance policy under the terms of which the beneficiary may be changed upon the sole request of the policyowner may be assigned either by pledge or transfer of title, by an assignment executed by the policyowner alone and delivered to the insurer, regardless of whether or not the pledgee or assignee is the insurer. Any such assignment entitles shall entitle the insurer to deal with the assignee as the owner or pledgee of the policy in accordance with the terms of the assignment, until the insurer has received at its home



office written notice of termination of the assignment or pledge or written notice by or on behalf of some other person claiming some interest in the policy in conflict with the assignment.

(2) A property insurer may include policy provisions in property insurance policies which limit the post-loss assignability of its policy rights only in accordance with s. 501.172. The commission may adopt rules to administer and enforce this subsection.

Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2016.

137 138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145 146

147

148

149 150

151

152

153

154

155

156

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========= And the title is amended as follows:

Delete everything before the enacting clause and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to consumer protection; creating s. 501.172, F.S.; defining terms; specifying limitations to the assignment of specified rights by a consumer to a service provider for certain services provided under urgent or emergency circumstances to stabilize, protect, repair, or improve real property; providing that an agreement that provides certain greater rights to a service provider under such circumstances is void; providing that a specified agreement assigning certain rights is not valid unless specified conditions are met; providing applicability; providing that a policyholder who assigns a certain right is not liable to the assignee for specified services and materials; prohibiting an assignee from taking certain

158

159

160

161 162

163

164



actions for payments for which the insurer is liable; providing applicability; amending s. 627.422, F.S.; providing that a property insurer may include provisions in property insurance policies limiting post-loss assignability of policy rights only in accordance with a specified provision; authorizing the Financial Services Commission to adopt rules; providing an effective date.

	LEGISLATIVE AC	TION
Senate	•	House
	•	
	•	
The Committee on Ju-	diciary (Ring) reco	ommended the following:
Consta Amondmo	/:+b +:+1	admonth)
Senate Amendme	nt (with title amer	idment)
Delete lines 1	8 - 23	

and insert:

1

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11

to residential property insurance policies, this section governs the post-loss assignment or transfer of rights, benefits, or policy provisions unrelated to liability coverage to a person or entity other than the named insured. This section does not affect the post-loss assignment or transfer of rights, benefits, or other policy provisions related to liability coverage in the residential property



12	
13	Delete line 84
14	and insert:
15	residential property insurance claim.
16	
17	========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========
18	And the title is amended as follows:
19	Delete line 4
20	and insert:
21	providing requirements under a residential property
22	insurance



	LEGISLATIVE A	CTION
Senate		House
	·	
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
The Committee on Judio	ciary (Soto) red	commended the following:
Senate Amendment		
Delete lines 70	- 74	
and insert:		
(c) It prevents	or inhibits an :	insurer from communicating
with the insured at an		
		create any authority to
ve, r barbaras		- <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>



	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	N
Senate		House
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
he Committee on Ju	diciary (Soto) recomme	ended the following:
Senate Amendme	nt	
Delete line 33		
nd insert:		
business days aft	er execution;	
	<u> </u>	



	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Judiciary (Soto) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment

2 3

4

5

6

1

Delete lines 47 - 61

and insert:

(e) The residential property insurance policy contains a notice that includes the following statement in at least 14point, bold, uppercase type:

8 9

10

11

AS THE INSURED, YOU HAVE A LEGAL CONTRACTUAL RIGHT TO ASSIGN YOUR POST-LOSS BENEFITS FOR NEEDED REPAIRS OR REPLACEMENT OF DAMAGED PROPERTY.



14 15

(f) Upon an assignment of benefits, a policyholder is not liable for billing and payment disputes between an insurer and the assignee, if the reason for the repairs is found by the insurer or a court of competent jurisdiction to be covered under the policy.



	111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
The Committee on Ju	diciary (Soto) recommend	ded the following:
Senate Amendme	ent	
Delete line 67		
and insert:		
(b) A final ir	rvoice issued under the a	agreement
substantially excee	eds the	

	LEGISLATIV	E ACTION	
Senate			House
	•		
	•		
The Committee on	Judiciary (Soto)	recommended the	e following:
Senate Amend	lment		
Delete line	73		
and insert:			
with the insured	at any time; and		

1 2 3

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
The Committee on Judic	iary (Ring) recommer	nded the following:
Senate Amendment (with title amendment)		
Delete lines 85 -	88	
and insert:		
Section 2. Section 627.70133, Florida Statutes, applies to		
post-loss assignments or transfers of rights, benefits, or		
policy provisions not related to liability coverage which are		

Page 1 of 7

Section 3. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) and paragraph

(a) of subsection (5) of section 627.70131, Florida Statutes,

executed after the effective date of this act.

are amended to read:

8

9

10

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40



627.70131 Insurer's duty to acknowledge communications regarding claims; investigation.-

(1)(a) Upon an insurer's receiving a communication with respect to a claim, the insurer shall, within 7 14 calendar days, review and acknowledge receipt of such communication unless payment is made within that period of time or unless the failure to acknowledge is caused by factors beyond the control of the insurer which reasonably prevent such acknowledgment. If the acknowledgment is not in writing, a notification indicating acknowledgment shall be made in the insurer's claim file and dated. A communication made to or by an agent of an insurer with respect to a claim shall constitute communication to or by the insurer. If a residential property insurer receives a communication in writing from a third party identified in s. 627.422 with respect to the claim requesting that the insurer acknowledge the existence of a policy of insurance on the property, the insurer must respond to the communication within 7 days after the request. If the insurer's acknowledgment is not in writing, a notification indicating acknowledgment must be made in the insurer's claim file and dated.

(5) (a) Within 45 90 days after an insurer receives notice of an initial, reopened, or supplemental property insurance claim from a policyholder, the insurer shall pay or deny such claim or a portion of the claim unless the failure to pay is caused by factors beyond the control of the insurer which reasonably prevent such payment. Any payment of an initial or supplemental claim or portion of such claim made 45 90 days after the insurer receives notice of the claim, or made more than 15 days after there are no longer factors beyond the

43

44

45

46 47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60 61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69



control of the insurer which reasonably prevented such payment, whichever is later, bears interest at the rate set forth in s. 55.03. Interest begins to accrue from the date the insurer receives notice of the claim. The provisions of this subsection may not be waived, voided, or nullified by the terms of the insurance policy. If there is a right to prejudgment interest, the insured shall select whether to receive prejudgment interest or interest under this subsection. Interest is payable when the claim or portion of the claim is paid. Failure to comply with this subsection constitutes a violation of this code. However, failure to comply with this subsection does not form the sole basis for a private cause of action.

Section 4. Section 627.7142, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

627.7142 Homeowner Claims Bill of Rights.—An insurer issuing a personal lines residential property insurance policy in this state must provide a Homeowner Claims Bill of Rights to a policyholder within 7 14 days after receiving an initial communication with respect to a claim, unless the claim follows an event that is the subject of a declaration of a state of emergency by the Governor. The purpose of the bill of rights is to summarize, in simple, nontechnical terms, existing Florida law regarding the rights of a personal lines residential property insurance policyholder who files a claim of loss. The Homeowner Claims Bill of Rights is specific to the claims process and does not represent all of a policyholder's rights under Florida law regarding the insurance policy. The Homeowner Claims Bill of Rights does not create a civil cause of action by any individual policyholder or class of policyholders against an



insurer or insurers. The failure of an insurer to properly deliver the Homeowner Claims Bill of Rights is subject to administrative enforcement by the office but is not admissible as evidence in a civil action against an insurer. The Homeowner Claims Bill of Rights does not enlarge, modify, or contravene statutory requirements, including, but not limited to, ss. 626.854, 626.9541, 627.70131, 627.7015, and 627.7074, and does not prohibit an insurer from exercising its right to repair damaged property in compliance with the terms of an applicable policy or ss. 627.7011(5)(e) and 627.702(7). The Homeowner Claims Bill of Rights must state:

80 81

82

83

84

85 86

87 88

89 90

91 92

93

94

70

71

72

73

74

75

76 77

78

79

HOMEOWNER CLAIMS

BILL OF RIGHTS

This Bill of Rights is specific to the claims process and does not represent all of your rights under Florida law regarding your policy. There are also exceptions to the stated timelines when conditions are beyond your insurance company's control. This document does not create a civil cause of action by an individual policyholder, or a class of policyholders, against an insurer or insurers and does not prohibit an insurer from exercising its right to repair damaged property in compliance with the terms of an applicable policy.

95 96

97

98

YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO:

1. Receive from your insurance company an acknowledgment of your reported claim within 7 14 days 99

100

101

102

103

104 105

106

107 108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127



after the time you communicated the claim.

- 2. Upon written request, receive from your insurance company within 15 30 days after you have submitted a complete proof-of-loss statement to your insurance company, confirmation that your claim is covered in full, partially covered, or denied, or receive a written statement that your claim is being investigated.
- 3. Within 45 90 days, subject to any dual interest noted in the policy, receive full settlement payment for your claim or payment of the undisputed portion of your claim, or your insurance company's denial of your claim.
- 4. Free mediation of your disputed claim by the Florida Department of Financial Services, Division of Consumer Services, under most circumstances and subject to certain restrictions.
- 5. Neutral evaluation of your disputed claim, if your claim is for damage caused by a sinkhole and is covered by your policy.
- 6. Contact the Florida Department of Financial Services, Division of Consumer Services' toll-free helpline for assistance with any insurance claim or questions pertaining to the handling of your claim. You can reach the Helpline by phone at...(toll-free phone number)..., or you can seek assistance online at the Florida Department of Financial Services, Division of Consumer Services' website at... (website address)



128

YOU ARE ADVISED TO: 129

130 131

132

133

134 135

136

137

138

139 140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

- 1. Contact your insurance company before entering into any contract for repairs to confirm any managed repair policy provisions or optional preferred vendors.
- 2. Make and document emergency repairs that are necessary to prevent further damage. Keep the damaged property, if feasible, keep all receipts, and take photographs of damage before and after any repairs.
- 3. Carefully read any contract that requires you to pay out-of-pocket expenses or a fee that is based on a percentage of the insurance proceeds that you will receive for repairing or replacing your property.
- 4. Confirm that the contractor you choose is licensed to do business in Florida. You can verify a contractor's license and check to see if there are any complaints against him or her by calling the Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulation. You should also ask the contractor for references from previous work.
- 5. Require all contractors to provide proof of insurance before beginning repairs.
- 6. Take precautions if the damage requires you to leave your home, including securing your property and turning off your gas, water, and electricity, and contacting your insurance company and provide a phone number where you can be reached.



========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========== 157

And the title is amended as follows:

Delete line 11

and insert: 160

158

159

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

applicability; amending s. 627.70131, F.S.; revising the timeframe under which an insurer is required to review and acknowledge a received communication with respect to a claim; requiring a residential property insurer to respond to a specified communication within a specified timeframe; requiring a dated notification indicating acknowledgment to made in the insurer's claim file under certain circumstances; revising the timeframe under which an insurer must pay or deny a certain claim, except under certain circumstances, before interest accrues on the payment; amending s. 627.7142, F.S.; revising the timeframe under which a certain insurer must provide a Homeowner Claims Bill of Rights to a policyholder after receiving a specified communication; revising timeframes contained in the Homeowner Claims Bill of Rights under which a policyowner is entitled to receive a certain acknowledgement, confirmation, and settlement payment; providing an effective date.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 596

 ${\bf By}$ the Committee on Banking and Insurance; and Senator Hukill

597-02873-16 2016596c1

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to assignment or transfer of property insurance rights; creating s. 627.70133, F.S.; providing requirements under a property insurance policy for the post-loss assignment or transfer of rights, benefits, or policy provisions not related to liability coverage; providing requirements for an agreement to assign or transfer such rights, benefits, or policy provisions; providing prohibitions and conditions that void such an agreement; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 627.70133, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

627.70133 Assignment of benefits or transfer of rights.—As to property insurance policies, this section governs the post-loss assignment or transfer of rights, benefits, or policy provisions unrelated to liability coverage to a person or entity other than the named insured. This section does not affect the post-loss assignment or transfer of rights, benefits, or other policy provisions related to liability coverage in the property insurance policy.

- (1) An agreement entered into under this section to assign or transfer rights, benefits, or policy provisions is not valid unless:
- (a) It authorizes a person or entity to be named as a payee or copayee for the benefit of payment as provided in the policy for services rendered and materials provided to mitigate or repair covered damage only;
 - (b) It is provided to the insured's property insurer within

Page 1 of 3

 ${\bf CODING:}$ Words ${\bf stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 596

597-02873-16 2016596c1

3 business days after execution;

- (c) It contains an estimate for proposed services and materials to be provided;
- (d) With the exception of reimbursement for work already performed to mitigate or repair covered damage, it allows the insured to cancel the agreement, in writing, without penalty or obligation within 3 business days after the date the agreement is executed or within 3 business days after the insurer has been provided with the agreement, whichever is later. However, if the agreement is executed to perform work resulting from an event for which the Governor has declared a state of emergency and is within 1 year after such declaration, the insured has 5 business days after the date the agreement is executed to cancel the agreement without penalty; and
- (e) It contains the following notice in 14-point type:
 WARNING: YOU ARE AGREEING TO GIVE UP CERTAIN RIGHTS YOU HAVE
 UNDER YOUR INSURANCE POLICY TO A THIRD PARTY. PLEASE READ AND
 UNDERSTAND THIS DOCUMENT BEFORE SIGNING IT. YOU HAVE THE RIGHT
 TO CANCEL THIS AGREEMENT WITHOUT PENALTY WITHIN 3 BUSINESS DAYS
 AFTER THE DATE THIS AGREEMENT IS EXECUTED OR WITHIN 3 BUSINESS
 DAYS AFTER YOUR PROPERTY INSURANCE COMPANY HAS RECEIVED A COPY
 OF THIS AGREEMENT, WHICHEVER IS LATER. IF WORK IS BEING
 PERFORMED AS A RESULT OF DAMAGES CAUSED BY AN EVENT FOR WHICH
 THE GOVERNOR HAS DECLARED A STATE OF EMERGENCY AND IS WITHIN 1
 YEAR AFTER SUCH DECLARATION, YOU HAVE 5 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF
 EXECUTION TO CANCEL. THIS AGREEMENT DOES NOT CHANGE YOUR DUTIES
 UNDER YOUR PROPERTY INSURANCE POLICY, SUCH AS PROMPTLY NOTIFYING
 YOUR INSURANCE COMPANY OF A LOSS AND MITIGATING YOUR PROPERTY
 FROM FURTHER DAMAGE.

Page 2 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2016 CS for SB 596

597-02873-16 2016596c1

(2) An agreement is void if:

62

63

64

65

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87 88

89

- (a) It imposes an agreement cancellation fee, a check processing fee, or a mortgage processing fee or adds an amount for overhead and profit to the amount for mitigation and repair of covered property;
- (b) A final invoice issued under the agreement exceeds the estimated cost for work performed and the increase in cost was not authorized by the insurer;
- (c) It purports to assign or transfer the right to enforce payment for post-loss benefits in the policy;
- (d) It prevents or inhibits an insurer from communicating with the insured at any time; or
- (e) It purports to transfer or create any authority to adjust, negotiate, or settle any portion of a claim to a person or entity who is not authorized to adjust, negotiate, or settle a claim on behalf of the insured or claimant under part VI of chapter 626.
- (3) This section does not apply to a power of attorney granted to a management company, family member, guardian, or similarly situated person which complies with chapter 709 and which may include, as part of the authority granted, the authority to act in place of a principal as it relates to a property insurance claim.
- Section 2. This act applies to post-loss assignments or transfers of rights, benefits, or policy provisions not related to liability coverage which are executed after the effective date of this act.

Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 3 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES: Finance and Tax, Chair Communications, Energy, and Public Utilities, Vice Chair Appropriations Appropriations Subcommittee on Transportation, Tourism, and Economic Development Banking and Insurance Fiscal Policy

JOINT COMMITTEE: Joint Committee on Public Counsel Oversight

SENATOR DOROTHY L. HUKILL 8th District

February 1, 2016

The Honorable Miguel Diaz de la Portilla 515 Knott Building 404 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

Re: Senate Bill 596 – Assignment or Transfer of Property Insurance Rights

Dear Chairman Diaz de la Portilla:

Senate Bill 596, relating Assignment or Transfer of Property Insurance Rights has been referred to the Judiciary Committee. I am requesting your consideration on placing SB 596 on your next agenda. Should you need any additional information please do not hesitate to contact my office.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely

Dorothy L. Hukill, District 8

Tom Cibula, Staff Director of the Judiciary Committee cc:

Shy L. Shokell

Joyce Butler, Administrative Assistant of the Judiciary Committee

REPLY TO:

209 Dunlawton Avenue, Unit 17, Port Orange, Florida 32127 (386) 304-7630 FAX: (888) 263-3818

☐ Ocala City Hall, 110 SE Watula Avenue, 3rd Floor, Ocala, Florida 34471 (352) 694-0160

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

2/10/2010 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator of Meeting Date	or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Staff Conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic ACR Name CHRISTIAN CANARA	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title STATE LIRECTOP	
Address Po Box 10577	Phone 305 608-4300
TALLAHASSEE FL 32302 City State	Email CCAHARA @RSTREET, ORG
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing R STREET / NSTITUTE	(1110 Shah Wiii 10dd tino imormation into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time in meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks	may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

02/16/16	590
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Assignment of Benefits	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Rita Vilay Sach	_
Job Title Wher	_
Address 1211 Hamlet Ave Suite B	Phone 727-686-6864
Clearwater, Fr 33756 City State Zip	Email Riturnarienznayhoo.
	Speaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis	tered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	ll persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 596 Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) Prohibited Insurance Practices Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Walter Lafreniere Job Title Owner Address 6428 NW 28th Lane Phone 954-984-5740 Street Margate FL 33063 Email City State Zip Speaking: Information In Support Waive Speaking: (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing All Hours Emergency Water Removal Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

12.16.16	(Deliver BOTH	copies of this form to the Senat	or or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting th	e meeting)	596
Meeting Date					_	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Prohibite	d Insurance Practic	es		-	Amendn	nent Barcode (if applicable)
Name Brian Ch	ristensen					
Job Title						
Address 2202 F	Hoffner Ave			Phone 3	21-234-04	164
Orland	0	FL	32809	Email		
City Speaking:	For 🖊 Against	State Information		peaking:	In Sup	pport Against tion into the record.)
Representin	g Restoration 1 o	Central Florida				
Appearing at re	quest of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist regist	ered with L	.egislatu	re: Yes 🗸 No
While it is a Senate meeting. Those wh	e tradition to encoura no do speak may be	ge public testimony, tim asked to limit their rema	ne may not permit all arks so that as many	persons wish persons as p	hing to spe possible ca	eak to be heard at this an be heard.
This form is part o	of the public record	for this meeting.				S-001 (10/14/14)

22:10:10	TH copies of this form to the Sen	ator or Senate Professional	Staff conducting the meeting)	596 ·
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Prohibited Insurance Prac	ctices		Ameno	lment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Richie Kidwell			_	ment Darovao (n apphoable)
Job Title Owner				
Address 941 W. Morse Blvd.			Phone 407-233-0)493
Winter Park	FL	32789	Email_richie@airq	ualityassessors.com
<i>City</i> Speaking:	State Information		peaking: In Su	pport Against
Representing Air Quality As	sessors			
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislatu	ıre: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encoเ meeting. Those who do speak may b	ırage public testimony, tir e asked to limit their rem	ne may not permit all	nersons wishing to an	
This form is part of the public reco	ord for this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or S	enate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Assignment of Benefits	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Carolyn Johnson	
Job Title Policy Director	
Address 130 S Bronbugh St.	Phone
Tallahassee	Email
Speaking: State Speaking: Against Information	Zip Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing FL Chamber of Com	merce
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lo	obbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time ma meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks s	ay not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this o that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

2-16-2016 (Beliver BOTH copies of this form	T to the Senator or a	Senate Professional S	staff conducting the meeting)	5B 596
Meeting Date				Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Assignment of Benef	9/3	70-L	Amend	ment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Cateb Sussio				
Job Title Pasident				
Address 3433 L. Mia Pinecles	RJ 12	361	Phone	
Vallico F		33576	Email	
City	ate	Zip		
Speaking: For Against Informa	ation		peaking: In Sup ir will read this informa	
Representing				
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes	No L	obbyist registe	ered with Legislatu	re: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public test meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit	imony, time m their remarks :	ay not permit all so that as many _l	persons wishing to spe persons as possible ca	eak to be heard at this an be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this mee	ting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

2/10/10	BOTH copies of this form to the Senator	or Senate Professional Staff conductin	070
Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic			Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Lyvay		
Job Title <u>Divector</u>	of Governon	- Affairs	
Address		Phone	
		Email	
City	State	Zip	
Speaking: For Agai	nst Information	Waive Speaking: (The Chair will read	In Support Against this information into the record.)
Representing Offic	e of Insuranc	legulation	
Appearing at request of Cha	r: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with	Legislature: Kes No
While it is a Senate tradition to end meeting. Those who do speak ma	ourage public testimony, time be asked to limit their reman	nay not permit all persons was so that as many persons a	vishing to speak to be heard at this s possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public re	cord for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

Machine Date	aff conducting the meeting) <u>58 596</u>
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic assignment of Berefits	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name 1011 17/4/65	
Job Title Project MANAPER	
Address 121 S ORANDE Ave #1526	Phone
Ontando Fl 32801 City State Zip	Email AHSCF atombo SMAIL
	eaking: In Support Against will read this information into the record.)
Representing Advanced Mome Solutions Co	onstruction Firm
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registe	red with Legislature: 🔲 Yes 📈 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all presenting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many p	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Meeting Date	Staff conducting the meeting) Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic <u>AOB</u> 58596	
Name Bleanor Posner	_
Job Title Cotizen - Business Executive	
Address/3505 Shell Beach Court	Phone 5614980078
Gity Beach FC 33446 State Zip	Email floridaabbe Quahoo.com
Speaking: For Against Information Waive S	peaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing Self	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis	tered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit al meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	l persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

Weeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Assignment of Benefits Name Steve Pociask	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title President - AMERICAN CONSUMER (NST	rithte
Address 1701 Pennsylvania Avenue, Suite 300 Pho	one 850 -39/-7677
Street WAShington, DC 2006 City State Zip	ail Consumer or G
Speaking: For Against Millinformation Waive Speaking	ng: In Support Against read this information into the record.)
Representing AMERICAN CONSUMER INSTITUTE	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes Mo Lobbyist registered	with Legislature: Yes 🔀 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all perso meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many perso	ns wishing to speak to be heard at this ns as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

2-16-2016 (consider the senator of senate Professional s	SA 59/
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Name Carole Hays	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title RE Braher	
Address 535 Grunbrus ave.	Phone 407-361-6650
Celebration, H 34747 State Zip	Email Carolcahaya Qgmay. un
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Sp	peaking: In Support Against read this information into the record.)
Representing Mw Wald Realty, One.	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many j	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

2-16-2016 (Control 2016)	5A 596
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Name CHRISTREAT	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title	•
Address 3636ERINDALE DR #105	Phone <u>613-663-4600</u>
VALPICO PL 33594	Email drywild de
City State Zip	12 hou town
	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing DRYWIZARD DRYWALL	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Ffice Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: | In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) to Finish Restoration Appearing at request of Chair: [Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Job Title **Address** Street State Speaking: For Information **Against** Waive Speaking: | In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

2-16-2016 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Profession	al Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic assignment of Benefits	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name KAPA VOKORNY	
Job Title President	
Address 1130 P BNERLINE RO # 101	Phone <u>\$6-305-0321</u>
Deechelo Bereh FL 33442 City State Zin	_ Email ROOKORNY@ RestORATION
Speaking: For Against Information Waive	Speaking: In Support Against hair will read this information into the record.)
Representing (Cestopation Spects Inc.	Tan Will road ting imorrhador into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regi	stered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as mar	all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ny persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

Meeting Date	or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 535596 Dill Mumbon (if an illustration)
Topic assignment of Beref Name Riche Michiel	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title President	
Address all w Morse Bud	#100 Phone 401733-0483
City Park Raste	37789 Email Rube adayulty 95505
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against
Representing Ac Walty	(The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their reman	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

Meeting Date	<u> 3B 596</u>
	Bill Number (if applicable)
Name RAINO DINITRIPEVIC	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title MARKETING DIRECTOR	
Address 3255 POTTER ST	Phone 550 7 /2 /933
PENSACOLA JE 32574 City State Zip	Email RAJK G PROCLEM RENTWA
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Sp	eaking: In Support Against r will read this information into the record.)
Representing	· · ·
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist register	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all properties. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many properties.	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Job Title <u>OPERATIONS MANAGER</u> Address 3255 POTTER ST #C 🛂 Against Speaking: For Information Waive Speaking: | In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: Yes Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)

2-16-2016	Stail conducting the meeting) 58596
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Name_ John Mir Berefits	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title 6 M	
Address 7906-21AVLW,	Phone 94/792-1148
City State Zip	Email DANGSHFRESLEAMIN
Speaking: For Against Information Waive S	Speaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing Start to Typich Rostorale	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist regis	tered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit a meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	ll persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting) \$ 596
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Assignment of Bene Fits	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
NameRaggle Sarcio	
Job Title	
Address <u>Po.30X</u> 1069	Phone 933-7150
Street Tallassee La. 32302 City State Zip	Email (Eggi egarina /ama) ichord.
	Con
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking:	peaking: In Support Against
Representing THE FLA. Justice Association	ir will read this information into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

2 - 1 6 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic <u>assignment of Post loss Benefits</u> Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
NameLisa Miller
Job Title CEO, Lisa Miller + Associates
Address 331 N monroe St Phone 8505289229
Tallahassee ft 32301 Email
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Jim & Lillian Hetrich (pronounced Het-Rick)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Job Title Address For Speaking: Against Information (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Appearing at request of Chair: Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

Meeting Date (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic <u>assignment of post loss Benefits</u> Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name <u>William Ryan</u>
Job Title CEO
Address 1882 King Nightfall Dr Phone
City State Zip Email
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Rytech Water Danage Restoration
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 588	
Bill Number	(if applicable)
Topic SIMMONS AMENDMENT Amendment Barcode	e (if applicable)
Name Tim Meenan	
Job Title	
Address 375 W Wege Ave Phone 850 47	5-4000
City State State Email Time Mean	Nav. Com
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the	Against record.)
Representing Tower Hill Insurance Couphry.	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: XY	es No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be he meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard	eard at this
This form is part of the public record for this westing	S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Sen. WKII)

	liver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or S	enate Professional Staff conducting	the meeting) 596
Meeting Date	Eranment of Ber	ne fits)	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic / / 3 }	78 4111		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Veggie	Garcia		(Ser. Soto)
Job Title	The state of the s		
Address P.O. Soy	11069	Phone_	933-7/50
City	Cherry Fa.	3236) Email_	reggiegacialan
	State	Zip	Da johnd.com
Speaking: For A	gainst Information	Waive Speaking:	In Support Against
		(The Chair will read th	nis information into the record.)
Representing	The Florida Justra	e Hisocia:	tion
Appearing at request of C	hair: Yes No Lo	bbyist registered with I	_egislature: Yes ☐ No
While it is a Senate tradition to meeting. Those who do speak	encourage public testimony, time ma may be asked to limit their remarks so	y not permit all persons wis o that as many persons as p	hing to speak to be heard at this possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public	c record for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

2/14/10	(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Sen	ator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting	the meeting)
Meeting Pate Topic ASSI M	nart of Ber	rer75	_	Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	che Have	t.		to Simmons
Job Title <u> </u>	ally		<u>.</u>	Smerchnert
Address Street	W. Morse Etro		Phone_	401-2253-0483
City	State	27)8eq Zip	Email_	Kuhzalargeldyassase
Speaking: For	Against Information			In Support Against his information into the record.)
Representing	Air Quality As	<u> </u>	" Will Toda's	mo momation into the record.)
Appearing at request of	of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist regist	ered with	Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate traditio meeting. Those who do spe	on to encourage public testimony, ti eak may be asked to limit their rem	me may not permit all arks so that as many	persons wi persons as	shing to speak to be heard at this possible can be heard.
This form is part of the p	ublic record for this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)	596
Topic ASSIGNMENT OF BENEFITS Amendment Name Topic ASSIGNMENT OF BENEFITS Amendment Amendment	nt Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title <u>GM</u>	nhohs
Address 7906 - TANEN Phone 9917	792-1146
City State State Email TO HAVE	STROSTORATION
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information)	
Representing Start to line & Rostoration LLC	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature	Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to spea meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can	nk to be heard at this be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date Topic ASS IQ WM OF BONOFTS Amendment Barçode (if applicable) Amendment Barçode (if applicable)
Name Water LaFreniere Sminons Amen Inont
Job Title Owpenen
Address 6478 NW 28 Love Phone 2397779588
Manyate I. 3306/ Email WaltlaFReniene
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing All Hours Emergency Water Renaul
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

ALL CAMAGE RECORD
2/16/2016 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Mark Delegal
Job Title Counce
Address 315 S. Calhoun Street #600 Phone 274-7000
Street 323D1 Email
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against
Simmons LSoto/Ring (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing State Faim Horida Insurance Company
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to an active to the least of the second wishing to be a second with the second with the second wishing to be a second with the second wishing to be a second with the second

While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (10/14/14)

2-16-16 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	staff conducting the meeting) 546
Meeting Date	/ Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic ASSignment of Benefits	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name CHRIS TREAT	to Simmung
Job Title Production Coardinater	Amendment
Address 3433 Littie Pinecuro Du	Phone 813.684 - 4800
Street City State Zip	Email
City State Zip	
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Sp	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing Daywizard Negwar Services	
	ered with Legislature: Yes 🗹 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many j	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Assignment of Bener 15 Name Caleb Sustro	Lak filed el mendment Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Caleb Sustho	to Simmurs
Job Title Pasident	- ammedment
Address 3433 Lithia Pinecest Rd. Street	Phone 813, 684, 4800
Valaco FL 33596	Email
City State Zip	
Speaking: V For Against Information Waive S (The Character)	peaking: In Support Against air will read this information into the record.)
Representing Dequizand Degman Services	
	tered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit ali meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	l persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

2-16-2016	(Deliver BOTH copies of this to	orm to the Senator of	Senate Professional St	taff conducting the meeting)	5B59L
Meeting Date					Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic assignment of Benefits Name Caleb S. 57160			Amend	Iment Barcode (if applicable)	
Job Title President	The state of the s	911 2 1			
Address 3433 Z	This Pine cong.	LA	#36/	Phone	77.5
City		PL State	33596 Zip	Email	
Speaking: For	Against Inforr	nation	Waive Sp	eaking: In Sup	
Representing	ryou raid	Deywa	u Service	25	
Appearing at request o	of Chair: Yes	No I	_obbyist registe	ered with Legislatu	ıre: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition meeting. Those who do spe	n to encourage public te eak may be asked to lim	estimony, time n nit their remarks	nay not permit all p so that as many p	persons wishing to sp persons as possible o	peak to be heard at this ean be heard.
This form is part of the pu	ublic record for this m	eeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Bill Number (if applicable) Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Job Title State Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Representing Appearing at request of Chair: [Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

2 - 16 - 2016 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional S	staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic assignment of Benefits	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name_John Calib	,
Job Title GM	
Address 7906 - 274N AVe CD	Phone 941-792-1146
Street $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Email John @ STF restoration
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: (The Chair	peaking: In Support Against ir will read this information into the record.)
Representing Start to Findsh Restora	t don
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislature: Yes 📈 No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

1—/6 20/6 (Deliver BOTH copies of this	form to the Senator or Senat	te Professional Staff conducting the meeting) KA 50L
Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic assignment of	Berefeto	Amer	1025 V adment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Tom L-layes			
Job Title Project MANAS	ren		
Address 1315. On Aype	Aul	Phone <u>407</u>	-810-4328
	04.7	Email AHSCH	tombo pMAIlia
City	State	Zip	
Speaking: For Against Info	rmation	Waive Speaking: In St	
Representing Advanced	1 fome	(The Chair will read this inform	nation into the record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes	No Lobb	yist registered with Legisla	ture: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to li	testimony, time may n mit their remarks so th	ot permit all persons wishing to s nat as many persons as possible	speak to be heard at this can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this n	neeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)	594
Meeting Date Bill Number	er (if applicable)
Topic Assignment of Benefits Amendment Barcoc Name Hully & Kylwell Amendment Barcoc Amendment Barc	W.
Job Title Vrsident	
Address 44 W Mase Advil #100 Phone 47733-8	2493
City State 32789 Email Riche Dairqual	Hasses Ma
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support	Against
(The Chair will read this information into the Representing	e record.)
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature:	res No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heartness. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heart	neard at this d.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff of	conducting the meeting) $\frac{1}{58.59}$
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Assignment & Benefits Name RALPH POKORNY	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Job Title PRESIDENT	
	hone <u>501-305-0321</u>
	mail V pokovrye vestozy
City State Zip	xperts net
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Spea	king: In Support Against ill read this information into the record.)
Representing	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registere	d with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all per meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many per	sons wishing to speak to be heard at this sons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
<u> </u>
Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic Assignment of Benefits 2025 P Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Waiter LAFReniere
Job Title Ownen All Hours Emengency Wasen Renoval
Address 6475 NW 75 Comp Phone 239 777 9568
Maryore Fl. 33063 Email Wall Coffrey ened Com
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing All Houns Emergency Water Renow
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

2/16/16 (Deliver BOT)	(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)			SB 596
Meeting Date				imber (if applicable) 上くん
Topic Assignment or Transfer of	Property Insurance R	tights		arcode (if applicable)
Name Foyt Ralston			-	
Job Title			_	
Address 101 North Monroe Stre	et, Suite 900		Phone 850-222-8611	
<i>Street</i> Tallahassee	FL	22204	frolaton@hmolau	
City	State	32301	Email fralston@bmolaw	/.com
Speaking: For Against	Information		peaking: In Support	
Representing Florida Assoc	iation of Restoration S	pecialist		
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes 🗸 No	Lobbyist regist	ered with Legislature:	✓ Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encou meeting. Those who do speak may be	rage public testimony, tin asked to limit their rema	ne may not permit al arks so that as many	persons wishing to speak to persons as possible can be i	be heard at this heard.
This form is part of the public reco	d for this meeting.			S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Sen	ate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)590
'Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic $\underline{\mathcal{A}()\mathcal{B}}$	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name <u>Caitlin Hurvaly</u>	
Job Title Divoctor of Government A	Heirs
Address	Phone
	Email
City State	Zip
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Office of TUSUCANCE 1	eagulation
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lob	byist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so	not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

(Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable) (0410050 Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Address Email State Zip Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: | In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.) Appearing at request of Chair: L Lobbyist registered with Legislature: While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. This form is part of the public record for this meeting. S-001 (10/14/14)

2/14//4 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator	r or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date	Bill Number (if applicable)
Tamia ASB	644050
Topic HOIS	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Lee Jacobson	
Job Title Attorney	
Address 2874 S Osceola Ave	Phone
Street PC	32804 Email
City State	Zip
Speaking: For Against Information	Waive Speaking: In Support Against (The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Hale Hale tracolson	
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No	Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remark	e may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this ks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public record for this meeting.	S-001 (10/14/14)

Deliver BOTT	n copies of this form to the Senator	or Senate Professional St	an conducting the meeting)
Meeting Date			Bill Number (if applicable)
Topic ASSIGNYTIER	t of Benef	and the second second	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Christine	Achburn		
Job Title 19 Open	noncations	w legista	etive offais
Address Street	un Center	<u>BNA</u>	Phone <u>513-3146</u>
City	State	32309 Zip	Email
Speaking: For Against	Information		eaking: In Support Against r will read this information into the record.)
Representing	vens Prope	ity los.	Corp
Appearing at request of Chair:	Yes No	Lobbyist registe	ered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encountermeeting. Those who do speak may be	rage public testimony, time asked to limit their remar	e may not permit all p ks so that as many p	persons wishing to speak to be heard at this persons as possible can be heard.
This form is part of the public recoi	d for this meeting.		S-001 (10/14/14)

APPEARANCE RECORD

2 16.16 (Deliver BOTH copies of this form to the Senator or Senate Professional Staff conducting the meeting) 596
Meeting Date Bill Number (if applicable)
15TZ (146 03 O
Topic Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Ashly Koutifeh Coa-leafy)
Job Title Lobby 1
Address Phone $222-9070$
Email akaliha capaf
City State Zip State
Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against
(The Chair will read this information into the record.)
Representing Floreda Justice Reform Short I
Appearing at request of Chair: Yes No Lobbyist registered with Legislature: Yes No
While it is a Senate tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this meeting. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard.

S-001 (10/14/14)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

CourtSmart Tag Report

Room: EL 110 Case No.: Type:

Caption: Senate Judiciary Committee Judge:

Started: 2/16/2016 4:08:22 PM

Ends: 2/16/2016 6:00:19 PM Length: 01:51:58

4:08:22 PM Meeting called to order by Chair Diaz de la Portilla **4:08:24 PM** Roll call by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler

4:08:33 PM Quorum present

4:08:47 PM Tab 4, SB 64 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:08:56 PM Explanation of SB 64, Relief of the Estate of Danielle Maudsley by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles by Senator Negron

4:09:35 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:09:39 PM Amendment Barcode #511738 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:09:46 PM Explanation of Amendment Barcode #511738 by Senator Negron

4:09:54 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:10:00 PM Amendment Barcode #511738 adopted without objection

4:10:08 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:10:13 PM Speaker Ralph Guito, Attorney, Estate of Danielle Maudsley

4:12:07 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:12:15 PM Closure waived

4:12:17 PM Roll call on CS/SB 64 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler

4:12:34 PM CS/SB 64 reported favorably

4:12:42 PM Tab 8, SB 32 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:12:51 PM Explanation of SB 32, Relief of O'Brien and Stephenson by Department of

Transportation by Senator Flores

4:13:29 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:13:38 PM Closure waived

4:13:42 PM Roll call on SB 32 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler

4:13:55 PM SB 32 reported favorably

4:14:06 PM Tab 9, CS/SB 1104 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:14:15 PM Explanation of CS/SB 1104, Service of Process on Financial Institutions by Senator Flores

4:14:34 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:14:44 PM Jennifer Martin, Director of Governmental Affairs, Florida Credit Union Association waives in support

4:14:51 PM Mike Fields, State President, Bank of America waives in support

4:14:59 PM Kimberly Siomkos, Vice President of Governmental Affairs, Florida Bankers Association waives in support

4:15:08 PM Jamie Champion-Mongiovi, Director of Communications & Gov't Affairs, Florida Office of Financial Regulation waives in support

4:15:17 PM Speaker Paul Jess, Florida Justice Association

4:17:48 PM Question from Senator Soto

4:17:54 PM Response from Mr. Jess

4:18:22 PM Follow-up question from Senator Soto

4:18:30 PM Response from Mr. Jess

4:19:35 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla

4:19:46 PM Closure by Senator Flores

```
4:19:52 PM Roll call on CS/SB 1104 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
4:20:09 PM CS/SB 1104 reported favorably
4:20:28 PM Tab 3, SB 1298 introduced by chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:20:40 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:20:47 PM Explanation of SB 1298, Bad Faith Assertions of Patent Infringements by Senator
Brandes
4:21:09 PM Introduction of Amendment Barcode #618428 by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:21:19 PM Explanation of Amendment Barcode #618428 by Senator Brandes
4:21:55 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:21:59 PM Amendment Barcode #618428 adopted
4:22:12 PM Amendment to Amendment Barcode #632174 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:22:19 PM Amendment to Amendment Barcode #632174 explained by Senator Brandes
4:22:24 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:22:31 PM Amendment to Amendment Barcode #632174 adopted
4:22:45 PM Amendment as amended adopted
4:22:56 PM Kimberly Siomkos, Vice President of Governmental Affairs Florida Bankers Association
waives in support
4:23:02 PM Carolyn Thompson waives in support
4:23:08 PM Samantha Padgett, Vice President & General Counsel, Florida Retail Federation waives
in support
4:23:13 PM Jared Ross, Senior Vice President Governmental Affairs, Florida Credit Union
Association waives in support
4:23:18 PM Aimee Diaz Leon, The Business Law Section of the Florida Bar waives in support
4:23:25 PM Stephen Shiver, Partner, Caterpillar Corporation waives in support
4:23:34 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:23:36 PM Closure waived
4:23:39 PM Roll call on CS/SB 1298 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
4:23:45 PM CS/SB 1298 reported favorably
4:24:06 PM Introduction of Tab 2 by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:24:09 PM Explanation of SB 1024, Health Care Providers by Senator Simmons
4:24:43 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:24:53 PM Amendment Barcode #582748 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:25:01 PM Explanation of Amendment Barcode #582748 by Senator Bean
4:25:07 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:25:12 PM Question from Senator Joyner
4:25:20 PM Response from Senator Bean
4:25:42 PM Response from Senator Simmons
4:26:01 PM Follow-up question from Senator Joyner
4:26:22 PM Response from Senator Simmons
4:27:24 PM Amendment Barcode #582748 adopted
4:27:30 PM Question from Senator Soto
4:28:01 PM Response from Senator Simmons
4:28:26 PM Follow-up question from Senator Soto
4:28:38 PM Response from Senator Simmons
4:29:53 PM Follow-up guestion from Senator Soto
4:30:01 PM Response from Senator Simmons
4:31:04 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:31:22 PM Bill Mincy, Vice President, Independent Pharmacies in Florida waives in support
4:31:26 PM Mike Fisher, Florida Independent Pharmacy Network waives in support
4:31:33 PM Speaker Greg Pound
4:33:06 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:33:13 PM Closure by Senator Simmons
```

```
4:33:55 PM Roll call on CS/SB 1034 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
4:34:10 PM CS/SB 1034 reported favorably
4:34:19 PM Tab 11, SB 1432 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:34:32 PM Explanation of SB 1432, Service of Process by Senator Stargel
4:34:47 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:35:02 PM Amendment Barcode #800506 introduced
4:35:10 PM Explanation of Amendment Barcode #800506 by Senator Stargel
4:35:21 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:35:27 PM Amendment Barcode #800506 adopted
4:35:38 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:36:09 PM Closure waived
4:36:13 PM Roll call on CS/SB 1432 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
4:36:22 PM CS/SB 1432 reported favorably
4:36:45 PM Tab 10, SB 1294 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:37:13 PM Explanation of SB 1294 by Anne Bell, Legislative Aide to Senator Grimsley
4:37:55 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:38:12 PM Barney Bishop, President & CEO, Florida Smart Justice Alliance waives in support
4:38:27 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:38:33 PM Closure waived
4:38:37 PM Roll call on SB 1294 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
4:38:46 PM SB 1294 reported favorably
4:39:01 PM Tab 5, SB 572 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:39:15 PM Explanation of SB 572, Involuntary Examinations Under the Baker Act by Lindy Smith,
Legislative Aide to Senator Altman
4:40:22 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:40:31 PM Allison Carvajal, Consultant, Florida Nurse Practitioner Network waives in support
4:40:45 PM Chris Floyd, Consultant, Florida Association of Nurse Practitioners waives in support
4:40:51 PM Alisia LaPolt Lobbyist, Florida Nurses Association waives in support
4:40:57 PM Stan Whittaker, Chairman, Florida Association of Nurse Practitioners waives in support
4:41:05 PM Barney Bishop, President & CEO, Florida Smart Justice Alliance waives in support
4:41:10 PM Dan Hendrickson, Advocacy Committee Chair, Big Bend Mental Health Coalition, NAMI
Tallahassee waives in support
4:41:21 PM Corrine Mixon, Lobbyist, Florida Academy of Physician Assistants waives in support
4:41:35 PM Closure waived
4:41:37 PM Roll call on SB 572 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
4:41:46 PM SB 572 reported favorably
4:41:57 PM Tab 7, CS/SB 970 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:42:17 PM Explanation of CS/SB 970 by Michael Nachef, Legislative Aide to Senator Richter
4:42:51 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:42:55 PM Question from Senator Joyner
4:43:02 PM Response from Mr. Nachef
4:43:36 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:43:47 PM Speaker Elizabeth Boyd, Legislative Director, Department of Financial Services
4:44:22 PM Follow-up guestion from Senator Joyner
4:44:40 PM Response from Ms. Boyd
4:45:10 PM Follow-up question from Senator Joyner
4:45:16 PM Response from Ms. Boyd
4:45:47 PM Additional question from Senator Joyner
4:45:54 PM Response from Ms. Boyd
4:46:40 PM Follow-up question from Senator Joyner
4:46:48 PM Response from Ms. Boyd
4:46:56 PM Additional guestion from Senator Joyner
```

```
4:47:01 PM Response from Ms. Boyd
4:47:24 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:47:40 PM Closure waived
4:47:44 PM Roll call on CS/SB 970 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
4:47:58 PM CS/SB 970 reported favorably
4:48:08 PM Tab 6, CS/SB 670 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:48:19 PM Explanation of CS/SB 670, Child Protection Teams by Senator Gaetz
4:48:53 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
4:49:22 PM Question from Senator Soto
4:49:28 PM Response from Senator Gaetz
4:49:51 PM Follow-up guestion from Senator Soto
4:50:16 PM Response from Senator Gaetz
4:50:26 PM Follow-up question from Senator Soto
4:50:34 PM Response from Senator Gaetz
4:51:41 PM Follow-up question from Senator Soto
4:51:52 PM Response from Senator Gaetz
4:52:34 PM Question from Senator Joyner
4:52:41 PM Response from Senator Gaetz
4:54:14 PM Follow-up question from Senator Joyner
4:54:20 PM Response from Senator Gaetz
4:54:35 PM Additional question from Senator Joyner
4:55:05 PM Response from Senator Gaetz
4:57:03 PM Follow-up question from Senator Joyner
4:57:49 PM Response from Senator Gaetz
5:00:33 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
5:00:48 PM Response from Tom Cibula, Staff Director
5:01:22 PM Question from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
5:01:30 PM Response from Mr. Cibula
5:01:50 PM Speaker Greg Pound
5:03:37 PM Speaker Alisa LaPolt, Lobbyist, Florida Nurses Association
5:04:51 PM Ron Watson, Lobbyist, Florida CHAIN waives in support
5:04:56 PM Jarrod Fowler, Director of Payment Advocacy, Florida Medical Association waives in
support
5:05:00 PM Speaker Doug Bell, Florida Chapter American Academy of Pediatrics
5:06:11 PM Speaker Lynn Keefe, MD, CPT Medical Director
5:08:54 PM Mike Cusick, Florida Association of Children's Hospitals waives in support
5:09:05 PM Speaker Paul Jess, Florida Justice Association
5:12:17 PM Question from Senator Soto
5:13:16 PM Response from Mr. Jess
5:13:54 PM Question from Senator Simmons
5:14:00 PM Response from Mr. Jess
5:15:14 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
5:15:24 PM Comments from Senator Jovner in debate
5:16:39 PM Comments from Senator Bean
5:17:06 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
5:17:11 PM Closure by Senator Gaetz
5:19:09 PM Roll call on SB 670 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
5:19:18 PM CS/SB 670 reported favorably
5:19:40 PM Tab 1, SB 668 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
5:19:47 PM Explanation of SB 668, Family Law by Senator Stargel
5:23:23 PM Comments from Chair Diaz da la Portilla
5:23:29 PM Question from Senator Joyner
```

```
5:23:37 PM Response from Senator Stargel
5:24:54 PM Question from Senator Soto
5:25:01 PM Response from Senator Stargel
5:26:59 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
5:27:08 PM Chair passed to Senator Ring
5:27:21 PM Amendment Barcode #399458 introduced by Chair Ring
5:27:30 PM Explanation of Amendment Barcode #399458 by Senator Diaz de la Portilla
5:28:22 PM Comments from Chair Ring
5:28:30 PM Corey Hoffman, Wellington, Florida waives in opposition
5:29:14 PM Barbara DeVane, Florida Now waives in opposition
5:29:24 PM Speaker Cynthia Wheeler, South Bay Florida in opposition
5:30:02 PM James Horrisberger, Commercial Pilot waives in support
5:30:13 PM Terrance Power waives in support
5:30:18 PM Speaker Deborah Gray in opposition
5:30:53 PM Question from Senator Soto
5:31:00 PM Response from Ms. Gray
5:32:38 PM Suzanne Stawski, Attorney waives in opposition
5:32:57 PM Robin Patrowicz, Attorney/Senior Advisor waives in opposition
5:33:44 PM Vicki Stoughton, Physical Assistant Therapist waives in opposition
5:34:01 PM Camille Fiveash waives in opposition
5:34:11 PM Elizabeth Newmeyer, Veterinary Technician waives in opposition
5:34:29 PM Speaker Jordan Miles speaking in opposition
5:36:59 PM Question from Senator Stargel
5:37:09 PM Response from Mr. Miles
5:38:14 PM Theresa Miles waives in opposition
5:38:51 PM Lisa Rawson waives in opposition
5:38:56 PM Karen Librizzi waives in opposition
5:39:20 PM Comments from Senator Stargel regarding Amendment
5:39:41 PM Closure waived
5:39:47 PM Amendment Barcode #399458 reported favorably
5:40:16 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla regarding time certain
5:40:32 PM Motion by Senator Simpson regarding time-certain at 5:50
5:40:46 PM Roll call on time-certain motion by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
5:40:59 PM Time certain motion passes for 5:50 time certain
5:41:15 PM Amendment Barcode #306662 introduced by Chair Diaz de la Portilla
5:41:26 PM Explanation of Amendment Barcode #306652 by Senator Soto
5:42:22 PM Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
5:42:35 PM Senator Stargel in debate on Amendment Barcode # 306652 in opposition
5:43:13 PM Closure on Amendment Barcode #306652 by Senator Soto
5:43:36 PM Amendment Barcode #306652 fails
5:44:03 PM Motion for time-certain failed because of 2/3 vote failure per Chair Diaz de la Portilla
5:44:36 PM Steven Schang, MD, FACP, FACC waives in support
5:45:02 PM Speaker Robert Showers, President/Owner of "Any Lab Test Now" in support
5:46:17 PM Speaker Larry Rutah, Florida Family Law Reform in support
5:47:47 PM Speaker Barbara DeVane, Florida Now in opposition
5:51:39 PM Speaker Karen Librizzi, Bradenton, Florida in opposition
5:54:51 PM Speaker Lisa Rawson, Gulf Breeze, FL in opposition
5:56:11 PM Camille Fiveash waives in opposition
5:57:54 PM Jordon Miles, Merritt Island, Florida waives in opposition
5:57:58 PM Time certain - 5:59
5:58:16 PM Roll call on Time-certain motion at 5:59
5:58:43 PM Motion passes for time-certain
```

	Elizabeth Newmeyer waives in opposition Suzanne Przystawski, Attorney waives in opposition
	Linda Miklowitz J.D. waives in opposition
5:59:09 PM	Theresa Miles waives in opposition
5:59:12 PM	Vicki Soughton waives in opposition
5:59:27 PM	Comments from Senator Joyner
5:59:33 PM	Comments from Chair Diaz de la Portilla
5:59:38 PM	Roll call CS/SB 668 by Administrative Assistant Joyce Butler
5:59:45 PM	Bill CS/SB 668 reported favorably
6:00:03 PM	Senator Beans moves to rise