The Florida Senate

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

JUDICIARY Senator Burgess, Chair Senator Gibson, Vice Chair

MEETING DATE: Tuesday, November 30, 2021

TIME: 9:00—11:30 a.m.

PLACE: Pat Thomas Committee Room, 412 Knott Building

MEMBERS: Senator Burgess, Chair; Senator Gibson, Vice Chair; Senators Baxley, Boyd, Bradley, Broxson,

Mayfield, Polsky, Rodrigues, Rouson, and Thurston

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and LL NO. and INTRODUCER SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS				
1	SB 190 Brodeur (Similar CS/H 95)	Controlled Substances; Revising the elements that constitute the capital offense of murder in the first degree; prohibiting specified activities involving controlled substances within 1,000 feet of additional specified facilities; providing criminal penalties, etc. JU 11/30/2021 Not Considered CJ RC	Not Considered			
2	SB 432 Wright (Identical H 391)	Public Records/Judicial Assistants; Providing an exemption from public records requirements for certain identifying and location information of current and former judicial assistants and their spouses and children; providing for retroactive application of the exemption; providing for future legislative review and repeal of the exemption; providing a statement of public necessity, etc. JU 11/30/2021 Favorable GO RC	Favorable Yeas 11 Nays 0			
3	SB 156 Broxson (Similar H 275)	Loss Run Statements; Specifying the entities that must receive requests for loss run statements; specifying that insurers must provide loss run statements under certain circumstances; revising the required claims history in loss run statements; providing applicability; limiting loss run statement requests with respect to group health insurance policies to group policyholders, etc. BI 11/03/2021 Favorable JU 11/30/2021 Favorable RC	Favorable Yeas 11 Nays 0			

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
4	SB 552 Boyd (Compare H 397, S 310)	Clerks of the Circuit Court; Revising the distribution of filing fees in specified trial and appellate proceedings; revising the calculations for certain payment plans with clerks of court; revising the duties of the Clerks of Court Operations Corporation; revising the allocation to the fine and forfeiture fund of filing fees of certain claims filed in county courts; authorizing clerks of court to review the property records and motor vehicle title records of applicants for indigent status, etc. JU 11/30/2021 Favorable ACJ	Favorable Yeas 11 Nays 0
		AP	
5	SB 634 Bradley (Similar H 677)	Judicial Notice; Authorizing courts to take judicial notice of certain information taken from web mapping services, global satellite imaging sites, or Internet mapping tools upon request of a party; requiring parties who intend to offer such information into evidence to file a notice of intent containing specified information; authorizing parties to object to the admissibility of such information; requiring courts to overrule such objection unless certain findings are made, etc.	Not Considered
		JU 11/30/2021 Not Considered CM RC	
	Consideration of proposed bill:		
6	SPB 7014	COVID-19-related Claims Against Health Care Providers; Extending the duration of liability protections from COVID-19-related claims against health care providers, etc.	Submitted and Reported Favorably as Committee Bill Yeas 7 Nays 4
7	SB 620 Hutson (Identical H 569)	Local Government; Authorizing certain businesses to claim business damages from a county or municipality if the county or municipality enacts or amends certain ordinances or charter provisions; authorizing businesses to recover costs and fees in a specified manner and if certain requirements are met; requiring courts to consider certain factors and follow specified guidance when assessing costs; specifying requirements for the courts in determining and awarding attorney fees; requiring attorneys and businesses to submit certain documentation relating to attorney fees, etc.	Favorable Yeas 7 Nays 4
		JU 11/30/2021 Favorable RC AP	

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

Judiciary

Tuesday, November 30, 2021, 9:00—11:30 a.m.

TAB	BILL NO. and INTRODUCER	BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS	COMMITTEE ACTION
8	SB 736 Hutson (Similar H 583)	Construction Defect Claims; Revising the limitations period for certain actions founded on the design, planning, or construction of an improvement on real property; requiring a claimant to include the reasons for rejecting an offer in a notice rejecting a settlement offer to remedy a construction defect; requiring the court to stay an action if a claimant initiates an action without first accepting or rejecting a supplemental offer; requiring a court to appoint an expert to examine certain alleged construction defects and to prepare an examination report, under certain circumstances; requiring a claimant to repair a construction defect if the claimant receives compensation for an alleged construction defect from specified persons, etc.	Fav/CS Yeas 6 Nays 4
		JU 11/30/2021 Fav/CS CA RC	

Other Related Meeting Documents

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Judiciary							
BILL:	SB 190							
INTRODUCER:	Senator Brodeur							
SUBJECT:	Controlled	Substance	s					
DATE:	November	29, 2021	REVISED:					
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION		
1. Ravelo		Cibula		JU	Pre-meeting			
2				CJ				
3.				RC				

I. Summary:

SB 190 amends several sections of law regarding the unlawful distribution of controlled substances.

First, the bill amends the causation requirement for the capital offense of "death caused by the unlawful distribution of a controlled substance." Currently, the substance needs to be the "proximate cause" of the death of the victim. Determining the proximate cause may be difficult in certain situations. A victims medical conditions, substance abuse history, and a "cocktail," the use of multiple substances at once, may make it difficult to assess precisely what substance was the proximate cause of death. The bill replaces this standard with the "sufficient to cause death" standard. This language would essentially cover situations where an individual overdoses after taking multiple lethal substances, any of which could have caused his or her death.

Additionally, the bill incorporates two recommendations from the Statewide Task Force on Opioid Abuse (Task Force). The bill amends the list of controlled substances eligible for conviction under the above referenced offense to include methamphetamine. The list of substances eligible for this offense currently includes: Cocaine, Opium, Methadone, Alfentanil, Carfentanil, Fentanyl, Sufentanil or an analog of any of these substances.

The bill incorporates a second recommendation from the Task Force, creating an enhanced penalty for the sale of a controlled substance if the offense is committed within 1,000 feet of certain facilities that often provide health and substance abuse treatment. Depending on the substance, the enhancement may increase the penalty from a third degree felony to a second degree felony, or from a second degree felony to a first degree felony.

The bill provides an effective date of October 1, 2022.

II. Present Situation:

On April 1, 2019, citing an increase in the number of opioid-caused deaths in Florida, Governor DeSantis created the Statewide Task Force on Opioid Abuse (Task Force). The Governor directed the Task Force to develop a statewide strategy to identify best practices to combat the opioid epidemic through education, treatment, prevention, recovery, and law enforcement, and to compile a report containing legislative recommendations. Two specific recommendations made by the Task Force relating to law enforcement included:

- Adding methamphetamine as a controlled substance qualifying for prosecution as a first degree murder offense when its distribution proximately causes the user's death; and
- Enhancing criminal penalties for the sale of a controlled substance within 1,000 feet of substance abuse treatment facilities.²

The Task Force's report did not address the proximate cause requirement for the capital offense of the unlawful distribution certain controlled substances resulting in the death of the user.

First Degree Murder

Under Florida law, first degree murder is a capital felony³ punishable by a sentence of death or life imprisonment without the possibility of parole.⁴ Although first degree murder is commonly thought of as requiring "premeditation," Florida law classifies three separate classes of first degree murder, two of which do not require any sort of premeditation or intent to cause a death.

Premeditated First Degree Murder

Premeditated first degree murder⁵ generally requires that:

- The death of the victim be caused by the criminal act of the defendant, and
- The defendant make a conscious decision to kill the victim.

Felony Murder

First degree felony murder, often termed the "Felony Murder Rule," is an unlawful killing as a result of an individual engaging in the perpetration of, or in the attempt to perpetrate certain felonies, including:

- Certain drug trafficking offenses,⁶
- Arson,
- Sexual battery,
- Robbery,

¹ Fla. Exec. Order No. 19-97 (April 1, 2019), available at https://www.flgov.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/EO-19-97.pdf.

² Florida Statewide Task Force on Opioid Abuse, *Findings and Recommendation of the Statewide Task Force on Opioid Abuse*, 45 (April 1, 2020), *available at* https://doseofrealityfl.com/pdfs/opioid-task-force-findings-recommendations-opioid-abuse.pdf.

³ Section 782.04(1)(a), F.S.

⁴ Section 775.082(1)(a), F.S.

⁵ Section 782.04(1)(a)1, F.S.

⁶ See s. 893.135(1)(a), F.S., which includes knowingly selling, purchasing, manufacturing, delivering or bringing into the state certain amounts of cannabis, cannabis plants, cocaine, morphine, opium, hydromorphone, or any salt, derivative, isomer, or salt of an isomer thereof, including heroin.

- Burglary,
- Kidnapping,
- Escape,
- Aggravated child abuse,
- Aggravated abuse of an elderly person or disabled adult,
- Aircraft piracy,
- Unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a destructive device or bomb,
- Carjacking,
- Home-invasion robbery,
- Aggravated stalking,
- Murder of another human being,
- Resisting an officer with violence to his or her person,
- Aggravated fleeing or eluding with serious bodily injury or death,
- Any felony that is an act of terrorism or is in furtherance of an act of terrorism, and
- Human trafficking.⁷

Death Caused by the Unlawful Distribution of a Controlled Substance

First degree murder offenses include the unlawful distribution of certain controlled substances if committed by a person 18 years of age or older and the distribution *proximately causes* the death of a user. (Emphasis added).⁸ The applicable controlled substances include:

- Cocaine,
- Opium or any synthetic or natural salt, compound, derivative, or preparation of opium,
- Methadone,
- Alfentanil,
- Carfentanil,
- Fentanyl,
- Sufentanil, and
- A controlled substance analog of any of the above controlled substances.⁹

The Florida Standard Jury instructions for death caused by the unlawful distribution of a controlled substance defines *proximate cause* as conduct "that was the *primary* or moving cause of the death; the death would not have occurred but for the defendant's conduct; and the death was a natural and reasonably anticipated consequence of the defendant's conduct." (Emphasis added). Because the instruction requires the substance be the *primary* cause of death, a prosecutor may encounter certain scenarios where he or she cannot prove a specific substance was the primary cause of death. A victim may, for example, have ingested lethal amounts of both cocaine and opium, each of which *equally* could have caused his or her death. Prosecutors have

⁷ Section 782.04(1)(a)2., F.S.

⁸ Section 782.04(1)(a)3., F.S.

⁹ A "controlled substance analog" is defined in s. 893.0356(2)(a), F.S., as a substance which, due to its chemical structure and potential for abuse, meets the following criteria:

[•] Is substantially similar to that of a controlled substance listed in Schedule I or Schedule II of s. 893.03, F.S.; and

[•] Has a stimulant, depressant, or hallucinogenic effect on the central nervous system or is represented or intended to have a stimulant, depressant, or hallucinogenic effect on the central nervous system substantially similar to or greater than that of a controlled substance listed in Schedule I or Schedule II of s. 893.03, F.S.

¹⁰ Fla. Std. Jury Instr. (Crim.) 7.3(a); see also Aumuller v. State, 944 So. 2d 1137 (Fla. 2d DCA 2006).

reported difficulty obtaining convictions in scenarios such as this, as it is virtually impossible determine if something was the *primary* cause if there are multiple sufficient possibilities that were all equally lethal.¹¹

Sale of a Controlled Substance

Florida law prohibits a person from selling, manufacturing, ¹² or delivering ¹³ a controlled substance. ¹⁴ The penalty for selling a controlled substance varies depending on several factors, including the type and amount of the substance sold, and the location where the sale takes place. Generally, the sale of a controlled substance is punishable as either a second degree felony ¹⁵ or third degree felony. ¹⁶ The penalties are enhanced to a second degree felony or first degree felony if the sale occurs within 1,000 feet of the real property of specified locations, including a:

- Child care facility,
- Public or private elementary, middle, or secondary school,
- State, county, or municipal park,
- Community center or publicly owned recreational facility,
- Public or private college, university, or other postsecondary institution,
- Place of worship that conducts religious services,
- Convenience business,
- Public housing facility, or
- Assisted living facility

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill amends several sections of law regarding the unlawful distribution of controlled substances.

Section 1 of the bill amends s. 782.04(1)(a), F.S., to revise the causation requirement for the first degree murder offense of "death caused by the unlawful distribution of a controlled substance." Under current law, a controlled substance is required to be the *proximate cause* of the death of the user. The bill, instead, only requires that the substance be a "substantial factor" in producing

¹¹ Office Memorandum to Bob Cortes from Daniel E. Faggard, Assistant State Attorney, Eighteenth Judicial Circuit, Re: Substantial Factor Test (Feb. 5, 2021) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

¹² "Manufacture" means the production, preparation, propagation, compounding, cultivating, growing, conversion, or processing of a controlled substance, either directly or indirectly, by extraction from substances of natural origin, or independently by means of chemical synthesis, or by a combination of extraction and chemical synthesis, and includes any packaging of the substance or labeling or relabeling of its container, except that this term does not include the preparation, compounding, packaging, or labeling of a controlled substance by:

[•] A practitioner or pharmacist as an incident to his or her administering or delivering of a controlled substance in the course of his or her professional practice.

[•] A practitioner, or his or her authorized agent under the practitioner's supervision, for the purpose of, or as an incident to, research, teaching, or chemical analysis, and not for sale. Section 893.02(15)(a), F.S.

¹³ "Deliver" or "delivery" means the actual, constructive, or attempted transfer from one person to another of a controlled substance, whether or not there is an agency relationship. Section 893.02(6), F.S.

¹⁴ Section 893.13, F.S.

¹⁵ A second degree felony is punishable by up to 15 years imprisonment and a \$10,000 fine. Sections 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.

¹⁶ Section 893.13(1), F.S. A third degree felony is punishable by up to 5 years imprisonment and a \$5,000 fine. Sections 775.082 and 775.083, F.S.

the death of the user. This new language may cover situations where a user dies with a combination of multiple illegal substances in his or her body, each of which could have caused the user's death.

The bill further amends s. 782.04, F.S., to include methamphetamine to the list of substances that may subject the person who distributed the controlled substance to a conviction for first degree murder based any unlawful distribution. This specific provision was recommended by the Statewide Task Force on Opioid Abuse.¹⁷

Section 2 of the bill incorporates a second recommendation from the Task Fork and creates an enhancement to the criminal act of selling, manufacturing, delivering, or possessing with the intent to sell, manufacture, or deliver a controlled substance within 1000 feet of any:

- Mental health facility under ch. 394, F.S.,
- Health care facility licensed under ch. 395, F.S., which provides substance abuse treatment,
- Licensed service provider as defined in s. 397.311, F.S.,
- Facility providing services that include clinical treatment, intervention, or prevention as described in s. 397.311(26), F.S.,
- Recovery residence as defined in s. 397.311, F.S., or
- Pain management clinic as defined in ss. 458.3265(1)(a)1.c. or s. 459.0137(1)(a)1.c., F.S.

Depending on the substance, the enhancement increases the penalty from a third degree felony to a second degree felony, or from a second degree felony to a first degree felony.

Section 3 of the bill provides an effective date of October 1, 2022.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A.	Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:
	None

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

¹⁷ See Fla. Exec. Order No. 19-97 and Florida Statewide Task Force on Opioid Abuse, supra notes 1-2.

V.	Fiscal	Impact	Statement:
٧.	riscai	IIIIDaci	Statement.

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

SB 190, like CS/HB 325 (2021), a somewhat similar bill from last session is likely to increase the prison population by an indeterminate amount.¹⁸

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 782.04 and 893.13.

Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

¹⁸ Criminal Justice Impact Conference, Narrative Analysis of Adopted Impact for PCS for HB 325, (updated Mar. 4, 2021) http://edr.state.fl.us/Content/conferences/criminaljusticeimpact/adoptedimpacts.cfm.



	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Judiciary (Brodeur) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with directory and title amendments)

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

Delete lines 65 - 69

and insert:

punishable as provided in s. 775.082.

- (4) The unlawful killing of a human being, when perpetrated without any design to effect death, by a person engaged in the perpetration of, or in the attempt to perpetrate, any felony other than any:
 - (a) Trafficking offense prohibited by s. 893.135(1),
 - (b) Arson,



12 (c) Sexual battery, 13 (d) Robbery, 14 (e) Burglary, 15 (f) Kidnapping, 16 (g) Escape, 17 (h) Aggravated child abuse, 18 (i) Aggravated abuse of an elderly person or disabled 19 adult, 20 (j) Aircraft piracy, (k) Unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a 21 2.2 destructive device or bomb, 23 (1) Unlawful distribution of any substance listed in subsubparagraphs (1) (a) 3.a.-j. controlled under s. 893.03(1), 2.4 25 cocaine as described in s. 893.03(2)(a)4., or opium or any 26 synthetic or natural salt, compound, derivative, or preparation 27 of opium by a person 18 years of age or older, when such 28 substance drug is proven to have caused, or is proven to have 29 been a substantial factor in producing, be the proximate cause 30 of the death of the user, 31 (m) Carjacking, 32 (n) Home-invasion robbery, 33 (o) Aggravated stalking, 34 (p) Murder of another human being, 35 (q) Aggravated fleeing or eluding with serious bodily 36 injury or death, 37 (r) Resisting an officer with violence to his or her 38 person, or 39 (s) Felony that is an act of terrorism or is in furtherance of an act of terrorism, including a felony under s. 775.30, s. 40



775.32, s. 775.33, s. 775.34, or s. 775.35, 41 42 43 is murder in the third degree and constitutes a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, 44 or s. 775.084. 4.5 46 (5) As used in this section, the term: 47 (a) "Substantial factor" means that the use of the 48 substance or mixture alone is sufficient to cause death, 49 regardless of whether any other substance or mixture used is 50 also sufficient to cause death. 51 (b) "Terrorism" means an activity that: 52 1.a. (a) 1. Involves a violent act or an act dangerous to human life which is a violation of the criminal laws of this 53 state or of the United States; or 54 55 b.2. Involves a violation of s. 815.06; and 2.(b) Is intended to: 56 a.1. Intimidate, injure, or coerce a civilian population; 57 58 b.2. Influence the policy of a government by intimidation 59 or coercion; or 60 c.3. Affect the conduct of government through destruction of property, assassination, murder, kidnapping, or aircraft 61 62 piracy. 63 64 ===== D I R E C T O R Y C L A U S E A M E N D M E N T ====== 65 And the directory clause is amended as follows: Delete lines 13 - 14 66 67 and insert: Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) and subsections 68

(4) and (5) of section 782.04, Florida Statutes, are amended to

69



70	read:
71	
72	========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========
73	And the title is amended as follows:
74	Between lines 4 and 5
75	<pre>insert:</pre>
76	revising the elements that constitute the felony
77	offense of murder in the third degree;

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 190

By Senator Brodeur

```
9-00020A-22
                                                             2022190
                          A bill to be entitled
         An act relating to controlled substances; amending s.
         782.04, F.S.; revising the elements that constitute
         the capital offense of murder in the first degree;
         defining the term "substantial factor"; amending s.
         893.13, F.S.; prohibiting specified activities
         involving controlled substances within 1,000 feet of
         additional specified facilities; providing criminal
         penalties; providing an effective date.
10
11
    Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
12
13
         Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section
14
    782.04, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
15
         782.04 Murder.-
16
         (1) (a) The unlawful killing of a human being:
17
         1. When perpetrated from a premeditated design to effect
18
    the death of the person killed or any human being;
19
         2. When committed by a person engaged in the perpetration
20
    of, or in the attempt to perpetrate, any:
21
         a. Trafficking offense prohibited by s. 893.135(1),
22
         b. Arson,
23
         c. Sexual battery,
24
         d. Robbery,
25
         e. Burglary,
26
         f. Kidnapping,
27
         q. Escape,
28
         h. Aggravated child abuse,
29
         i. Aggravated abuse of an elderly person or disabled adult,
```

Page 1 of 4

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 190

	9-00020A-22 2022190
30	j. Aircraft piracy,
31	k. Unlawful throwing, placing, or discharging of a
32	destructive device or bomb,
33	1. Carjacking,
34	m. Home-invasion robbery,
35	n. Aggravated stalking,
36	o. Murder of another human being,
37	p. Resisting an officer with violence to his or her person,
38	q. Aggravated fleeing or eluding with serious bodily injury
39	or death,
40	r. Felony that is an act of terrorism or is in furtherance
41	of an act of terrorism, including a felony under s. 775.30, s.
42	775.32, s. 775.33, s. 775.34, or s. 775.35, or
43	s. Human trafficking; or
44	3. Which resulted from the unlawful distribution by a
45	person 18 years of age or older of any of the following
46	substances, or mixture containing any of the following
47	substances, when such substance or mixture is proven to $\underline{\text{have}}$
48	caused, or is proven to have been a substantial factor in
49	<pre>producing, be the proximate cause of the death of the user:</pre>
50	a. A substance controlled under s. 893.03(1);
51	b. Cocaine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(a)4.;
52	c. Opium or any synthetic or natural salt, compound,
53	derivative, or preparation of opium;
54	d. Methadone;
55	e. Alfentanil, as described in s. 893.03(2)(b)1.;
56	f. Carfentanil, as described in s. 893.03(2)(b)6.;
57	g. Fentanyl, as described in s. 893.03(2)(b)9.;
58	h. Sufentanil, as described in s. 893.03(2)(b)30.; or

Page 2 of 4

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 190

9-00020A-22 2022190

i. Methamphetamine, as described in s. 893.03(2)(c)5.; or j. A controlled substance analog, as described in s. 893.0356, of any substance specified in sub-subparagraphs a.-h.,

is murder in the first degree and constitutes a capital felony, punishable as provided in s. 775.082. As used in this paragraph, the term "substantial factor" means that the use of the substance or mixture alone is sufficient to cause death, regardless of whether any other substance or mixture used is also sufficient to cause death.

Section 2. Paragraph (h) of subsection (1) of section 893.13, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

893.13 Prohibited acts; penalties.-

(1)

6.5

8.3

(h) Except as authorized by this chapter, a person may not sell, manufacture, or deliver, or possess with intent to sell, manufacture, or deliver, a controlled substance in, on, or within 1,000 feet of the real property comprising a mental health facility, as that term is used in chapter 394; a health care facility licensed under chapter 395 which provides substance abuse treatment; a licensed service provider as defined in s. 397.311; a facility providing services that include clinical treatment, intervention, or prevention as described in s. 397.311(26); a recovery residence as defined in s. 397.311; an assisted living facility, as defined that term is used in chapter 429; or a pain management clinic as defined in s. 458.3265(1)(a)1.c. or s. 459.0137(1)(a)1.c. A person who violates this paragraph with respect to:

Page 3 of 4

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 190

												_
	1. A	cont	rolled	l sul	ostance	e named	or	descr	ibed	d ir	ı s.	
893.0	03(1)	(a),	(1) (b)	, (1)(d),	(2)(a),	(2	2)(b),	or	(2)	(c)5.	
commi	its a	felo	nv of	the	first	degree,	pı	unishal	ble	as	provided	in

s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

9-00020A-22

2. A controlled substance named or described in s. 893.03(1)(c), (2)(c)1., (2)(c)2., (2)(c)3., (2)(c)6., (2)(c)7., (2)(c)8., (2)(c)9., (2)(c)10., (3), or (4) commits a felony of the second degree, punishable as provided in s. 775.082, s. 775.083, or s. 775.084.

3. Any other controlled substance, except as lawfully sold, manufactured, or delivered, must be sentenced to pay a \$500 fine and to serve 100 hours of public service in addition to any other penalty prescribed by law.

Section 3. This act shall take effect October 1, 2022.

Page 4 of 4

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

The Florida Senate

11/30/2021		APF	PEARANCE RECOR	190
Meeting Date Judiciary			Deliver both copies of this form to te professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
	Committee	·		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Matt Dunagan		Phone _	850-877-2165
Address	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	е	Email	mdunagan@flsheriffs.org
	Tallahassee	FL	32312	
	City	State	Zip	
	Speaking: For	Against Info	rmation OR Waive Speak	ing: In Support Against
		PLEAS	E CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWIN	lG:
	n appearing without npensation or sponsorship,		am a registered lobbyist, representing:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance
		Flor	ida Sheriffs Association	(travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules, pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

1/30/21	The Florida Se		58019	0
Meeting Date	Deliver both copies of t Senate professional staff condu		Bill Number	r or Topic
Name Committee	-pSA/1-FPAA	Phone	Amendment Barcoo	de (if applicable) $\frac{60}{2}$
Address State Altons	og's Office	Email		
Street Tollahosson	FL			
City	State Zip	·		
Speaking: For Aga	inst Information OR	Waive Speaking:	In Support Agai	inst
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF T	HE FOLLOWING:		
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyis representing:	t,	I am not a lobbyist, b something of value f (travel, meals, lodgin sponsored by:	for my appearance

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate

11-30-2021 Meeting Date Judicary	APPEARANCE Deliver both copies of the Senate professional staff conductions and the senate professional staff conductions are senated by the senated profession staff conductions are senated	his form to	SB190 Bill Number or Topic
Name Kim White		Phone 5 (2_	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) 466-6323
Address <u>4351 Meadow</u>	pland Dr	Email <u>+exa</u>	sswimmom@ yahow
City State	32757 E Zip		
Speaking: For Against	☐ Information OR	Waive Speaking:	In Support Against
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF TH	HE FOLLOWING:	
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyist, representing:	,	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

10 1	The Florida Senate	$\langle 0.191 \rangle$
11302	APPEARANCE RECORD	38110
Meeting Date	Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
Name LAWR	He Philipson Phone 3	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) 52 - 533 - 7202
Address 724	2 Westwird de Email a	dvocate philipseno
POTT ALC City	hey of 34Ldl8 State State Zip	Smail
Speaking:		ng:
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING	ā:
I am appearing without compensation or sponso	I am a registered lobbyist, representing:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

	The Florida Senate	e	00000
11-30-21	APPEARANCE RE	CORD _	5B190
Judiciary	Deliver both copies of this form Senate professional staff conducting t		904) 635-9493
Name Lorraine L	, e C	Phone <u>(904)</u>	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) 635-9493
Address 2165 CALON	Rd	Email 1ee lor	raine O Toguail
Street State City State	32207 Zip		Cem
Speaking: For Against	☐ Information OR Wai	i ve Speaking:	Support Against
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING:	
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyist, representing:		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

					B E		
APP	ĽΑ	K	AΝ	ICE	KE	CO	KD

Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting

no duplicate
SB190 Bill Number or Topic

Name Katellison

Phone 352-283-5536

Address 971 SE 32 Way

Melvose FL 32666

State Zip

Speaking: For Against Information OR Waive Speaking: In Support Against

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.

1//30/31Meeting Date

l am a registered lobbyist, representing:

I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules, pdf (fisenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate APPEARANCE RECORD Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am appearing without I am a registered lobbyist, I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance compensation or sponsorship. representing: (travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

sponsored by:

The Florida Senate

	4.	THE HOHAUS	criace	
, , commence of the second	130/21	APPEARANCE	RECORD	190
	Meeting Date	Deliver both copies of	this form to	Bill Number or Topic
	dician	Senate professional staff conc	ducting the meeting	
70	Committee		()	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Ida	V. Eskamani	Phone	3764801
Address	134 E	World Dr	Email 1dec	esKamani Ogmad
	Orlando	FC 32801 State Zip		Con
	Speaking: For	Against Information OR	Waive Speaking:	In Support Against
		PLEASE CHECK ONE OF 1	ΓΗΕ FOLLOWING:	
	n appearing without npensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyis representing:		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate 190 11/30/2021 APPEARANCE RECORD Bill Number or Topic Meeting Date Deliver both copies of this form to **Judiciary** Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Committee 850-425-1344 Pamela Burch Fort Phone Name TcgLobby@aol.com 104 S. Monroe Street Email Address Street 32301 FL Tallahassee **Reset Form** Zip State City Waive Speaking: In Support Against Information For Against PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am not a lobbyist, but received I am a registered lobbyist, I am appearing without

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

NAACP Florida State Conference

representing:

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

compensation or sponsorship.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

something of value for my appearance

(travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

sponsored by:

The Florida Senate

APPEARANCE RECORD

Meeting Date

JUIDIC I A RU

Committee

Name

PRANCES A ROSSANO

Address

108 SWAW LK TRAIL

Streetp O BOX 1896

MELROSE FL 32666

City State

Seliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting

APPEARANCE SITUATION SHIP Number or Topic

Amendment Barcode (If applicable)

Amendment Barcode (If applicable)

Amendment Barcode (If applicable)

Email Savossano Q gmau

Lemma

Speaking: For Against Information OR Waive Speaking: In Support Against

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

lam appearing without compensation or sponsorship.

I am a registered lobbyist, representing:

I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022JointRules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate

APPEARANCE RECORD Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Aurelie Colon Larrauri Email aurelie@lating institute. 0/9 **Address** 33136 Miami OR Waive Speaking: In Support Speaking: Against Information PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am appearing without I am a registered lobbyist, I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance compensation or sponsorship. Latina Institute for Reproductive Justice FL (travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

sponsored by:

11/08/01	The Florida Senate	
11/30/21	APPEARANCE RECORD	<u> 56 190 </u>
Meeting Date UCICOM	Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
Committee	11.	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Les Nou-	Rose Hives Phone	786-363-1104
Address 4343	W. Flagler St Email	
Street City	FL 33134 State Zip	
Speaking: For	Against Information OR Waive Speaking:	☐ In Support ☐ Against
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:	
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyist, representing:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance
	ACLU FL	(travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

OFFICE OF THE STATE ATTORNEY

EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL COURT OF FLORIDA BREVARD AND SEMINOLE COUNTIES

PHIL ARCHER

STATE ATTORNEY

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

November 24, 2021

TO: Bob Cortes

FROM: Daniel E. Faggard

SUBJECT: HB325

MESSAGE: Re: Substantial Factor Test

As currently written HB325 reads in part, "3. Which resulted from the unlawful distribution by a person 18 years of age or older of any of the following substances, or mixture containing any of the following substances, when such substance or mixture is proven to have caused, tended to cause, or contributed to the death of the user…"

I understand there is some hesitation to "extend" culpability to situations where the drug distributor played only a minor role. The goal of amending the current statute is not to "cast a wider net." Rather, it is to assist our Medical Examiners in forming an opinion in overdose homicide cases.

"Proximate Cause" is not defined in Chapter 782. However, in <u>Aumuller v. State</u>, 944 So.2d 1137 (Fla. 2d DCA 2006) Florida's Second District Court of Appeal quoted from the jury instruction used in the trial court and seemed to approve of the definition used. The <u>Aumuller</u> court stated, "The State is required to prove the heroin was the proximate cause of the death. This means you must find that the heroin was the primary or moving cause in producing the death, and without it, the death would not have happened." Recently, Florida Standard Criminal Jury Instruction 7.3(a) was promulgated and similarly defines Proximate Cause as, "[T]he primary or moving cause of the death; the death would not have occurred but for the defendant's conduct; and the death was a natural and reasonably anticipated consequence of the defendant's conduct."

The trouble with the current definition stems from the fact that nearly one hundred percent of drug users choose to use multiple controlled substances, and alcohol. As a result, when, for example, the Medical Examiner is reviewing the toxicology of a deceased individual indicating fentanyl, cocaine, alcohol, THC and Diluadid in their system, under the current definition of

Proximate Cause, before the doctor can opine the fentanyl is the Proximate Cause, they must they must determine that every other substance (cocaine, alcohol, THC and Diluadid) could NOT have caused their death. This produces and absurd, and extremely common, result. For example, in the scenario above, suppose the decedent was prescribed Dilaudid and taking it normally. Additionally, picture them going to a party, and several hours before that party they use some cocaine. It does not kill them, but they have a short "high" and their body starts to metabolize that cocaine. Finally, imagine they go to the party and smoke a little THC, drink a little alcohol and then buy some fentanyl from a dealer at the party. They shoot up in the bathroom and immediately die from a massive fentanyl overdose. The medical examiner sees an enormous amount of fentanyl in the blood. The Medical Examiner's opinion is that the level of fentanyl observed would clearly be fatal one hundred percent of the time. Of course they also see some cocaine, Dilaudid and alcohol, which when combined together, the Medical Examiner articulates could have caused the death as well. The result is the Medical Examiner, using the current definition, would say they cannot say what the "primary or moving cause" is, because they cannot determine "the death would not have occurred but for the" drug dealer selling the decedent the fentanyl. This is the case even though we know the decedent would have certainly died if they had only taken the fentanyl. This is an absurd result.

Now envision a different murder case where Defendant Alpha shoots Victim at the same time Defendant Bravo separately and independently shoots victim. The Medical Examiner determines that Defendant Alpha's shot would have been fatal by itself. But the Medical Examiner also determines that Defendant Bravo's shot would have been fatal by itself. If we were to apply the current overdose homicide definition of proximate cause to this situation, the result would be absurd again. Even though Defendant Alpha's shot would have been fatal by itself, Defendant Alpha cannot be responsible for Victim's murder because the statute and jury instruction require that "the death would not have occurred but for the defendant's conduct." The victim is still dead from Defendant Bravo's shot.

Causation in Florida is typically "but for," but there are instances where "two causes, each alone sufficient to bring about the harmful result, operate together to cause it." Eversley v State, 748 So.2d 963 (Fla. 1999) making "but for" testing impossible (Such as the example above). In these circumstances, the State may prove "cause in fact" causation by demonstrating that the defendant's conduct was a substantial factor in bringing about the harm. This is known as the "Substantial Factor Test."

It is my recommendation that the current language in HB325 be amended incorporate the Substantial Factor Test, in lieu of the "tended to cause, or contributed to" language. Additionally, it would be prudent to define "Substantial Factor." For example: "3. Which resulted from the unlawful distribution by a person 18 years of age or older of any of the following substances, or mixture containing any of the following substances, when such substance or mixture is proven to have caused, or is proven to be a substantial factor in producing, the death of the user... As used in this section, 'Substantial factor' means the substance alone is sufficient to cause the death, whether or not any other substance or substances are also sufficient to cause the death."



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

То:	Senator Danny Burgess, Chair Committee on Judiciary
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request
Date:	October 1, 2021
I respectfully	request that Senate Bill 190, relating to Controlled Substances, be placed on the:
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.
	next committee agenda.
	Jasen Buoden
	Senator Jason Brodeur
	Florida Senate, District 9

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Judiciary						
BILL:	SB 432					
INTRODUCER:	Senator Wi	right				
SUBJECT:	Public Records/Judicial Assistants					
DATE:	November	29, 2021	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Davis		Cibula		JU	Favorable	
2.			_	GO		
3.				RC		

I. Summary:

SB 432 creates a public record exemption for judicial assistants. The exemption protects specified information that may identify or locate current or former judicial assistants and their spouses and children. Judicial assistants provide administrative, secretarial, organizational, and clerical support to an assigned judge's office. They are employed in the county and circuit courts, district courts of appeal, and the Florida Supreme Court.

The bill exempts from public disclosure the following information that relates to a current or former judicial assistant:

- A judicial assistant's address, date of birth, and telephone number.
- The names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of a judicial assistant's spouse and children.
- The names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by a judicial assistant's children.

This exemption applies to information held by an agency before, on, or after July 1, 2022.

The bill provides a statement of public necessity as required by the State Constitution.

Because the bill creates a new public records exemption, it requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting in each house of the Legislature for final passage

The bill takes effect July 1, 2022.

II. Present Situation:

Access to Public Records - Generally

The Florida Constitution provides that the public has the right to inspect or copy records made or received in connection with official governmental business. The right to inspect or copy applies to the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, including all three branches of state government, local governmental entities, and any person acting on behalf of the government.²

Additional requirements and exemptions related to public records are found in various statutes and rules, depending on the branch of government involved. For instance, s. 11.0431, F.S., provides public access requirements for legislative records. Relevant exemptions are codified in s. 11.0431(2)-(3), F.S., and adopted in the rules of each house of the legislature.³ Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420 governs public access to judicial branch records.⁴ Lastly, ch. 119, F.S., known as the Public Records Act, provides requirements for public records held by executive agencies.

Executive Agency Records – The Public Records Act

The Public Records Act provides that all state, county and municipal records are open for personal inspection and copying by any person, and that providing access to public records is a duty of each agency.⁵

Section 119.011(12), F.S., defines "public records" to include:

All documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connections with the transaction of official business by any agency.

The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted this definition to encompass all materials made or received by an agency in connection with official business that are used to "perpetuate, communicate, or formalize knowledge of some type."

¹ FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(a).

² Id.

³ See Rule 1.48, Rules and Manual of the Florida Senate, (2018-2020) and Rule 14.1, Rules of the Florida House of Representatives, Edition 2, (2018-2020)

⁴ State v. Wooten, 260 So. 3d 1060 (Fla. 4th DCA 2018).

⁵ Section 119.01(1), F.S. Section 119.011(2), F.S., defines "agency" as "any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency."

⁶ Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Assoc., Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

The Florida Statutes specify conditions under which public access to public records must be provided. The Public Records Act guarantees every person's right to inspect and copy any public record at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record.⁷ A violation of the Public Records Act may result in civil or criminal liability.⁸

The Legislature may exempt public records from public access requirements by passing a general law by a two-thirds vote of both the House and the Senate. The exemption must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the exemption. 10

General exemptions from the public records requirements are contained in the Public Records Act. ¹¹ Specific exemptions often are placed in the substantive statutes relating to a particular agency or program. ¹²

When creating a public records exemption, the Legislature may provide that a record is "exempt" or "confidential and exempt." There is a difference between records the Legislature has determined to be exempt from the Public Records Act and those which the Legislature has determined to be exempt from the Public Records Act *and confidential*. Records designated as "confidential and exempt" are not subject to inspection by the public and may only be released under the circumstances defined by statute. Records designated as "exempt" may be released at the discretion of the records custodian under certain circumstances. 15

Open Government Sunset Review Act

The provisions of s. 119.15, F.S., known as the Open Government Sunset Review Act¹⁶ (the Act), prescribe a legislative review process for newly created or substantially amended¹⁷ public records or open meetings exemptions, with specified exceptions.¹⁸ The Act requires the repeal of

⁷ Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S.

⁸ Section 119.10, F.S. Public records laws are found throughout the Florida Statutes, as are the penalties for violating those laws.

⁹ FLA. CONST. art. I, s. 24(c).

¹⁰ *Id. See, e.g., Halifax Hosp. Medical Center v. News-Journal Corp.*, 724 So. 2d 567 (Fla. 1999) (holding that a public meetings exemption was unconstitutional because the statement of public necessity did not define important terms and did not justify the breadth of the exemption); *Baker County Press, Inc. v. Baker County Medical Services, Inc.*, 870 So. 2d 189 (Fla. 1st DCA 2004) (holding that a statutory provision written to bring another party within an existing public records exemption is unconstitutional without a public necessity statement).

¹¹ See, e.g., s. 119.071(1)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure examination questions and answer sheets of examinations administered by a governmental agency for the purpose of licensure).

¹² See, e.g., s. 213.053(2)(a), F.S. (exempting from public disclosure information contained in tax returns received by the Department of Revenue).

¹³ WFTV, Inc. v. The Sch. Bd. of Seminole County, 874 So. 2d 48, 53 (Fla. 5th DCA 2004).

¹⁴ Id

¹⁵ Williams v. City of Minneola, 575 So. 2d 683 (Fla. 5th DCA 1991).

¹⁶ Section 119.15, F.S.

¹⁷ An exemption is considered to be substantially amended if it is expanded to include more records or information or to include meetings as well as records. Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.

¹⁸ Section 119.15(2)(a) and (b), F.S., provides that exemptions required by federal law or applicable solely to the Legislature or the State Court System are not subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act.

such exemption on October 2nd of the fifth year after creation or substantial amendment, unless the Legislature reenacts the exemption.¹⁹

The Act provides that a public records or open meetings exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and is no broader than is necessary. An exemption serves an identifiable purpose if it meets one of the following purposes *and* the Legislature finds that the purpose of the exemption outweighs open government policy and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- It allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, and administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;²¹
- It protects sensitive, personal information, the release of which would be defamatory, cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of the individual, or would jeopardize the individual's safety. If this public purpose is cited as the basis of an exemption, however, only personal identifying information is exempt;²² or
- It protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, such as trade or business secrets. 23

The Act also requires specified questions to be considered during the review process.²⁴ In examining an exemption, the Act directs the Legislature to question the purpose and necessity of reenacting the exemption.

If the exemption is continued and expanded, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are required.²⁵ If the exemption is continued without substantive changes or if the exemption is continued and narrowed, then a public necessity statement and a two-thirds vote for passage are *not* required. If the Legislature allows an exemption to expire, the previously exempt records will remain exempt unless otherwise provided by law.²⁶

Public Records Exemptions for Enumerated Personnel

Provisions in s. 119.071(4)(d), F.S., exempt from public disclosure the personal identification and location information of enumerated agency personnel, their spouses, and their children. The employing agency as well as the employee may assert the right to the exemption by submitting a

- What specific records or meetings are affected by the exemption?
- Whom does the exemption uniquely affect, as opposed to the general public?
- What is the identifiable public purpose or goal of the exemption?
- Can the information contained in the records or discussed in the meeting be readily obtained by alternative means? If so, how?
- Is the record or meeting protected by another exemption?
- Are there multiple exemptions for the same type of record or meeting that it would be appropriate to merge?

¹⁹ Section 119.15(3), F.S.

²⁰ Section 119.15(6)(b), F.S.

²¹ Section 119.15(6)(b)1., F.S.

²² Section 119.15(6)(b)2., F.S.

²³ Section 119.15(6)(b)3., F.S.

²⁴ Section 119.15(6)(a), F.S. The specified questions are:

²⁵ See generally s. 119.15, F.S.

²⁶ Section 119.15(7), F.S.

written request to each agency which holds the employee's information.²⁷ Additionally, all of these exemptions have retroactive application.²⁸ In order to have such exemption applied to a court record or an official record held by a clerk of court, the party must make a request specifying the document name, type, identification number, and page number.²⁹ Any enumerated personnel who has his or her public records held exempt may file a written and notarized request to any record custodian to have the records released to an identified party.³⁰

Justices and Judges

The state judiciary, as established in Article V of the State Constitution, is composed of the justices of the Supreme Court and the judges in Florida's five District Courts of Appeal, 20 Circuit Courts, and 67 County Courts.³¹ When carrying out their official duties, the judges and justices often preside over matters that are emotionally charged, whether in a trial, appeal, criminal proceeding, dependency hearing, or domestic or family law matter.

In 1991, and in an effort to protect the members of the judiciary, the Legislature enacted a public records exemption for current justices and judges and their families. The exemption protected their home addresses and telephone numbers as well as the home addresses, telephone numbers, and places of employment of their spouses and children, and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by their children.³² In 2012, the Legislature expanded this exemption to include the dates of birth of the enumerated personnel as well as their family members.³³ The public necessity statement provided that dates of birth can be used to perpetrate fraud and that releasing dates of birth can cause great financial harm to an individual. In addition, the Legislature expanded the exemption to include former justices and judges as well as their families. The public necessity statement for this expansion indicated that justices and judges as well as their family members can be targets of revenge and that risk continues after justices and judges complete their public service.³⁴

In 2017, the Legislature expanded this exemption to also exempt from disclosure the names of the justices' or judges' spouses and children.³⁵

Judicial Assistants

Judicial assistants are assigned to individual justices or judges to provide administrative, secretarial, and clerical support. At the trial court level in particular, the judicial assistant is generally responsible for: maintaining the judge's professional and personal calendar; coordinating with attorneys to schedule hearings and trials; prepare orders, notices, and other correspondence; and preparing financial disclosures and travel vouchers. Most significantly, trial

²⁷ Section 119.071(4)(d)3., F.S.

²⁸ Section 119.071(4)(d)6., F.S.

²⁹ Section 119.0714(2)(f) and (3)(f), F.S.

³⁰ Section 119.071(4)(d)5., F.S.

³¹ FLA. CONST. art V. See also Florida Courts, http://www.flcourts.org/florida-courts (last visited Nov. 22, 2021).

³² Ch. 91-149, Laws of Fla. Because public necessity statements were not required for public records exemptions prior to the adoption of Article I, section 24, Florida Constitution, there is no public necessity statement explaining why the exemption was created.

³³ Ch. 2012-149, Laws of Fla.

³⁴ Ch. 2012-149, Laws of Fla.

³⁵ Ch. 2017-66, Laws of Fla.

BILL: SB 432 Page 6

court level judicial assistants interact "with judges, clerks of court, litigants, attorneys, law enforcement personnel, bailiffs, social services, witnesses, and the general public to exchange information or to facilitate task completion." They also interact "with attorneys and litigants and their family members to resolve problems such as scheduling conflicts or other case-related issues." The appellate courts also employ judicial assistants in the district courts of appeal and the Florida Supreme Court. 38

Based on this type of interaction, several trial court judicial assistants have reported that attorneys, litigants, or a litigant's family members have held the judicial assistant responsible for an adverse decision made by the judge. These judicial assistants reported instances of a litigant or litigant's family members showing up at the judicial assistant's home, contacting the judicial assistant on his or her personal cell phone, making threats against the judicial assistant, or naming the judicial assistant in a civil law suit.³⁹

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 119.071(4)(d)2.e., F.S., to exempt certain information relating to judicial assistants from the public disclosure requirements of the public record laws. The following information for a current or former judicial assistant will be exempt:

- A judicial assistant's address, date of birth, and telephone numbers.
- The names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of a judicial assistant's spouse and children.
- The names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by a judicial assistant's children.

The exemption applies to information held by an agency before, on, or after July 1, 2022.

Section 2 contains the public necessity statement which explains why the exemption is necessary. The public necessity statement provides that, because judicial assistants may possibly engender ill will with litigants, the accused, the convicted, and their associates, judicial assistants and their families are at risk. Judicial assistants can become targets of fraud or revenge by disgruntled litigants who know the judicial assistants' names, their personal information, and location. For these reasons, the identifying information of former and current judicial assistants and their family members should be exempt from public disclosure.

Section 3 provides that the bill takes effect July 1, 2022.

³⁶ Florida State Courts System Classification Specification, Classification Title: Judicial Assistant – County Court https://www.flcourts.org/content/download/751310/file/Judicial-Assistant-County-Court.pdf.

³⁷ Florida State Courts System Classification Specification, Classification Title: Judicial Assistant – Circuit Court https://www.flcourts.org/content/download/751317/file/Judicial-Assistant-Circuit-Court.pdf.

³⁸ See also Florida State Courts System Classification Specification, Classification Title: Appellate Judicial Assistant – District Court, https://www.flcourts.org/content/download/751180/file/appellate-judicial-assistant-district-court.pdf and Florida State Courts System Classification Specification, Classification Title: Appellate Judicial Assistant – Supreme Court https://www.flcourts.org/content/download/751181/file/appellate-judicial-assistant-supreme-court.pdf.

³⁹ See Judicial Assistants Association of Florida, JA Threats (2021) (on file with the Senate Committee on Judiciary).

BILL: SB 432 Page 7

Please see section "VII. Related Issues" for a discussion of the shortened review and repeal date of this bill.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

Not applicable. The mandate restrictions do not apply because the bill does not require counties and municipalities to spend funds, reduce counties' or municipalities' ability to raise revenue, or reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties and municipalities.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

Vote Requirement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a two-thirds vote of the members present and voting for final passage of a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements. This bill enacts a new exemption for records pertaining to judicial assistants; thus, the bill requires a two-thirds vote to be enacted.

Public Necessity Statement

Article I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution requires a bill creating or expanding an exemption to the public records requirements to state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption. Section 2 of the bill contains a statement of public necessity for the exemption.

Breadth of Exemption

Article I, s. 24(c), of the State Constitution requires an exemption to the public records requirements to be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law. The purpose of the law is to protect judicial assistants. This bill exempts only records pertaining to judicial assistants from the public records requirements. The exemption does not appear to be broader than necessary to accomplish the purpose of the law.

\sim	Truct	Funda	Doctrictions
U.	Hust	runus	Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

BILL: SB 432 Page 8

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Any individual or business that currently obtains location information that is covered by the definition of "home addresses" in the bill will not be able to obtain that information from the records custodian without a signed waiver if the employee or the employee's agency requests that the home address information be exempted.

C. Government Sector Impact:

SB 432 may have a minimal negative fiscal impact on agencies holding records that contain personal identifying information of judicial assistants because staff responsible for complying with public record requests may require training related to the new public record exemption. Additionally, agencies could incur costs associated with redacting the confidential and exempt information prior to releasing a record. However, the costs would be absorbed as they are part of the day-to-day agency responsibilities.

The Office of the State Courts Administrator (OSCA) submitted a 2022 Judicial Impact Statement for this bill and said that it does not anticipate any judicial or court workload to be created by the bill. Additionally, OSCA does not anticipate any impact to court rules or jury instructions or any fiscal impact on the judiciary..

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

According to s. 119.15(3), F.S., the Open Government Sunset Review Act, a newly enacted or substantially amended exemption is scheduled for review and repeal by the Legislature in the 5th year after creation, unless the Legislature acts to reenact the exemption. The bill inserts the newly created exemption into an existing paragraph with other exemptions that are scheduled for review and repeal in 2024, which is the 2nd year after enactment instead of the 5th year. It can be reasoned, however, that advancing the scheduled review and repeal by 3 years is not problematic because the Open Government Sunset Review Act does not apply to an exemption that applies solely to the State Court System. Additionally, the deviation from the schedule set forth in the Open Government Sunset Review Act is supported by the reasoning that a previous legislature cannot bind a future legislature.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 119.071 of the Florida Statutes.

BILL: SB 432 Page 9

IX. **Additional Information:**

Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes: (Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.) A.

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

By Senator Wright

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2.8

14-00144-22 2022432

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to public records; amending s.
119.071, F.S.; providing an exemption from public records requirements for certain identifying and location information of current and former judicial assistants and their spouses and children; providing for retroactive application of the exemption; providing for future legislative review and repeal of the exemption; providing a statement of public necessity; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (d) of subsection (4) of section 119.071, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

119.071 General exemptions from inspection or copying of public records.—

- (4) AGENCY PERSONNEL INFORMATION.-
- (d) 1. For purposes of this paragraph, the term:
- a. "Home addresses" means the dwelling location at which an individual resides and includes the physical address, mailing address, street address, parcel identification number, plot identification number, legal property description, neighborhood name and lot number, GPS coordinates, and any other descriptive property information that may reveal the home address.
- b. "Telephone numbers" includes home telephone numbers, personal cellular telephone numbers, personal pager telephone numbers, and telephone numbers associated with personal communications devices.

Page 1 of 14

 ${f CODING: Words \ \underline{stricken} \ are \ deletions; \ words \ \underline{underlined} \ are \ additions.}$

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 432

14-00144-22 2022432_

30 2.a. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, 31 and photographs of active or former sworn law enforcement 32 personnel or of active or former civilian personnel employed by a law enforcement agency, including correctional and correctional probation officers, personnel of the Department of 35 Children and Families whose duties include the investigation of abuse, neglect, exploitation, fraud, theft, or other criminal activities, personnel of the Department of Health whose duties 38 are to support the investigation of child abuse or neglect, and 39 personnel of the Department of Revenue or local governments whose responsibilities include revenue collection and enforcement or child support enforcement; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and 42 places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State 46 Constitution.

b. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former nonsworn investigative personnel of the Department of Financial Services whose duties include the investigation of fraud, theft, workers' compensation coverage requirements and compliance, other related criminal activities, or state regulatory requirement violations; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State

48

49

53

Page 2 of 14

14-00144-22 2022432__

Constitution.

8.3

- c. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former nonsworn investigative personnel of the Office of Financial Regulation's Bureau of Financial Investigations whose duties include the investigation of fraud, theft, other related criminal activities, or state regulatory requirement violations; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- d. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former firefighters certified in compliance with s. 633.408; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such firefighters; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such firefighters are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- e. The home addresses, dates of birth, and telephone numbers of current or former justices of the Supreme Court, district court of appeal judges, circuit court judges, and county court judges, and judicial assistants; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of current or former justices, and judges, and judicial assistants; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of current or former justices, and judges, and judicial

Page 3 of 14

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 432

14-00144-22 2022432

<u>assistants</u> are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

- f. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former state attorneys, assistant state attorneys, statewide prosecutors, or assistant statewide prosecutors; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of current or former state attorneys, assistant state attorneys, statewide prosecutors, or assistant statewide prosecutors; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of current or former state attorneys, assistant state attorneys, statewide prosecutors, or assistant statewide prosecutors, or assistant statewide prosecutors are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- g. The home addresses, dates of birth, and telephone numbers of general magistrates, special magistrates, judges of compensation claims, administrative law judges of the Division of Administrative Hearings, and child support enforcement hearing officers; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of general magistrates, special magistrates, judges of compensation claims, administrative law judges of the Division of Administrative Hearings, and child support enforcement hearing officers; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of general magistrates, special magistrates, judges of compensation claims, administrative law judges of the Division of Administrative Hearings, and child support enforcement hearing officers are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State

Page 4 of 14

14-00144-22 2022432__

117 Constitution.

- h. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former human resource, labor relations, or employee relations directors, assistant directors, managers, or assistant managers of any local government agency or water management district whose duties include hiring and firing employees, labor contract negotiation, administration, or other personnel-related duties; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- i. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former code enforcement officers; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- j. The home addresses, telephone numbers, places of employment, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former guardians ad litem, as defined in s. 39.820; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such persons; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such persons are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

Page 5 of 14

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 432

14-00144-22 2022432

k. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former juvenile probation officers, juvenile probation supervisors, detention superintendents, assistant detention superintendents, juvenile justice detention officers I and II, juvenile justice detention officer supervisors, juvenile justice residential officers, juvenile justice residential officer supervisors I and II, juvenile justice counselors, juvenile justice counselor supervisors, human services counselor administrators, senior human services counselor administrators, rehabilitation therapists, and social services counselors of the Department of Juvenile Justice; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

1. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former public defenders, assistant public defenders, criminal conflict and civil regional counsel, and assistant criminal conflict and civil regional counsel; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of current or former public defenders, assistant public defenders, criminal conflict and civil regional counsel, and assistant criminal conflict and civil regional counsel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of current or former public defenders, assistant public defenders, criminal conflict and civil regional counsel, and assistant

Page 6 of 14

14-00144-22 2022432

criminal conflict and civil regional counsel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

- m. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former investigators or inspectors of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such current or former investigators and inspectors; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such current or former investigators and inspectors are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- n. The home addresses, telephone numbers, and dates of birth of county tax collectors; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such tax collectors; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such tax collectors are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- o. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former personnel of the Department of Health whose duties include, or result in, the determination or adjudication of eligibility for social security disability benefits, the investigation or prosecution of complaints filed against health care practitioners, or the inspection of health care practitioners or health care facilities licensed by the Department of Health; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of

Page 7 of 14

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 432

14-00144-22 2022432__

schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

2.07

- p. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former impaired practitioner consultants who are retained by an agency or current or former employees of an impaired practitioner consultant whose duties result in a determination of a person's skill and safety to practice a licensed profession; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such consultants or their employees; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such consultants or employees are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- q. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former emergency medical technicians or paramedics certified under chapter 401; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such emergency medical technicians or paramedics; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such emergency medical technicians or paramedics are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- r. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former personnel employed in an agency's office of inspector general or internal audit department whose duties include auditing or investigating waste,

Page 8 of 14

14-00144-22 2022432

2.57

fraud, abuse, theft, exploitation, or other activities that could lead to criminal prosecution or administrative discipline; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

- s. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former directors, managers, supervisors, nurses, and clinical employees of an addiction treatment facility; the home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution. For purposes of this sub-subparagraph, the term "addiction treatment facility" means a county government, or agency thereof, that is licensed pursuant to s. 397.401 and provides substance abuse prevention, intervention, or clinical treatment, including any licensed service component described in s. 397.311(26).
- t. The home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former directors, managers, supervisors, and clinical employees of a child advocacy center that meets the standards of s. 39.3035(2) and fulfills the screening requirement of s. 39.3035(3), and the members of a Child Protection Team as described in s. 39.303 whose duties include supporting the investigation of child abuse or sexual

Page 9 of 14

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 432

abuse, child abandonment, child neglect, and child exploitation or to provide services as part of a multidisciplinary case review team; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, photographs, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such personnel and members; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel and members are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.

14-00144-22

- u. The home addresses, telephone numbers, places of employment, dates of birth, and photographs of current or former staff and domestic violence advocates, as defined in s. 90.5036(1)(b), of domestic violence centers certified by the Department of Children and Families under chapter 39; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, places of employment, dates of birth, and photographs of the spouses and children of such personnel; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such personnel are exempt from s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution.
- 3. An agency that is the custodian of the information specified in subparagraph 2. and that is not the employer of the officer, employee, justice, judge, or other person specified in subparagraph 2. must maintain the exempt status of that information only if the officer, employee, justice, judge, other person, or employing agency of the designated employee submits a written and notarized request for maintenance of the exemption to the custodial agency. The request must state under oath the statutory basis for the individual's exemption request and confirm the individual's status as a party eligible for exempt

Page 10 of 14

14-00144-22 2022432_

291 status.

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

4.a. A county property appraiser, as defined in s. 192.001(3), or a county tax collector, as defined in s. 192.001(4), who receives a written and notarized request for maintenance of the exemption pursuant to subparagraph 3. must comply by removing the name of the individual with exempt status and the instrument number or Official Records book and page number identifying the property with the exempt status from all publicly available records maintained by the property appraiser or tax collector. For written requests received on or before July 1, 2021, a county property appraiser or county tax collector must comply with this sub-subparagraph by October 1, 2021. A county property appraiser or county tax collector may not remove the street address, legal description, or other information identifying real property within the agency's records so long as a name or personal information otherwise exempt from inspection and copying pursuant to this section are not associated with the property or otherwise displayed in the public records of the agency.

- b. Any information restricted from public display, inspection, or copying under sub-subparagraph a. must be provided to the individual whose information was removed.
- 5. An officer, an employee, a justice, a judge, or other person specified in subparagraph 2. may submit a written request for the release of his or her exempt information to the custodial agency. The written request must be notarized and must specify the information to be released and the party authorized to receive the information. Upon receipt of the written request, the custodial agency must release the specified information to

Page 11 of 14

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 432

14-00144-22 2022432

320 the party authorized to receive such information.

321

322

323

324

325

327

328

329

331

332

334

335

336

338

339

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

- 6. The exemptions in this paragraph apply to information held by an agency before, on, or after the effective date of the exemption.
- 7. Information made exempt under this paragraph may be disclosed pursuant to s. 28.2221 to a title insurer authorized pursuant to s. 624.401 and its affiliates as defined in s. 624.10; a title insurance agent or title insurance agency as defined in s. 626.841(1) or (2), respectively; or an attorney duly admitted to practice law in this state and in good standing with The Florida Bar.
- 8. The exempt status of a home address contained in the Official Records is maintained only during the period when a protected party resides at the dwelling location. Upon conveyance of real property after October 1, 2021, and when such real property no longer constitutes a protected party's home address as defined in sub-subparagraph 1.a., the protected party must submit a written request to release the removed information to the county recorder. The written request to release the removed information must be notarized, must confirm that a protected party's request for release is pursuant to a conveyance of his or her dwelling location, and must specify the Official Records book and page, instrument number, or clerk's file number for each document containing the information to be released.
- 9. Upon the death of a protected party as verified by a certified copy of a death certificate or court order, any party can request the county recorder to release a protected decedent's removed information unless there is a related request

Page 12 of 14

14-00144-22 2022432_

on file with the county recorder for continued removal of the decedent's information or unless such removal is otherwise prohibited by statute or by court order. The written request to release the removed information upon the death of a protected party must attach the certified copy of a death certificate or court order and must be notarized, must confirm the request for release is due to the death of a protected party, and must specify the Official Records book and page number, instrument number, or clerk's file number for each document containing the information to be released. A fee may not be charged for the release of any document pursuant to such request.

10. This paragraph is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act in accordance with s. 119.15 and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2024, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

Section 2. The Legislature finds that it is a public necessity that the home addresses, dates of birth, and telephone numbers of current or former judicial assistants; the names, home addresses, telephone numbers, dates of birth, and places of employment of the spouses and children of such judicial assistants; and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such judicial assistants be made exempt from s. 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. Such identifying and location information can be used as a tool to perpetuate fraud against an individual or to acquire sensitive personal, financial, medical, and familial information, the release of which could cause great financial harm to the individual. In the course of assisting in making rulings, entering judgments,

Page 13 of 14

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 432

i	_
378	imposing sentences, or reviewing cases, judicial assistants may
379	possibly engender ill will with litigants, the accused, the
380	convicted, and their associates and families, thus making the
381	judicial assistants, and their spouses and children, targets for
382	acts of revenge. This risk continues after judicial assistants
383	complete their public service. Disgruntled individuals may wait
384	until the employment of a judicial assistant ends to commit an
385	act of revenge. If such identifying and location information is
386	released, the safety of current or former judicial assistants
387	and their spouses and children could be seriously jeopardized.
388	For these reasons, the Legislature finds that it is a public
389	necessity that such information be made exempt from public
390	records requirements.
391	Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2022.

14-00144-22

Page 14 of 14

1	The Florida :	Senate		
Meeting Date Judicia Ly	APPEARANCI Deliver both copies o Senate professional staff cond	of this form to	58 43 2 Bill Number or Topic	
Name Alison 13.	Dudlex	Phone {	Amendment Barcode (if application $950/559-1/39$	able)
Address 108 5 Monto;	c5+	Email <u>ah .</u>	sondudly a dudly and	1 SS Del
Ta/(F/ City Sta	3230 / Zip	·		Q
Speaking: L For Against	t Information OR	Waive Speaking	: In Support Against	ſ
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF 1	THE FOLLOWING:		
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	Tudicial Ass	sist-nts	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appea (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:	

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

CIRCUIT	THREAT
6 TH CIRCUIT- CLEARWATER - JJ	My Judge served as a referee in a case with the Florida bar. During the 2 nd day of hearings where she was going to disbar the attorney, he brought a loaded 45 gun with extra magazines through security. He was stopped and apprehended however, for the year leading up to the hearing, I had contact with him as he was pro se. My name is on all of the letterhead and business cards for my Judge.
	In addition to speaking to the defendants, I speak to victims as we are on our 5 th year of domestic violence criminal court so I am the one that is blamed for ruined families. Sadly, growing up in this area, I have seen multiple arrests of people from high school and a former neighborhood. It just exposes us too much now.
2 nd DCA-JO	I had to prepare an order once that prevented an individual from pulling money from his account. That individual showed up and also called several times threating me. We had to get the HCSO involved and his picture had to be put up in the courthouse with the hopes that he would not get through to my office. Being a Judicial Assistant can be just as threatening at times. We are the gateway to our Judges. No one can talk to or get to the Judge without going through us, so it does put us at a risk in my opinion. I have been scared quite a few times. Phone calls, people showing up and walking into my office screamingall because they know my name.
1 ST CIRCUIT - PENSACOLA-KA	I have defendant's families friend me and send me messages on Facebook all the time. It really freaks me out. But I know that is just all part of being on social media.
	It has always worried me that all anyone has to do is a google search of my Judge's name and it pulls up my name also. Then all they have to do is pull up my name on property records, etc. to pull up my home address, etc. or type my name in on "anywho" to pull up my address and phone number. It's really scary.
	My Judge sentences murderers, drug addicts and thieves, if they get mad enough and can't find out the judge's information, they just might come after the JA.
18 TH CIRCUIT - VIERA-NA	When I was married to my ex-husband. He is a deputy at the jail, in many instances the inmates were so smart that they would figure out in their orders, or paperwork that my last name was the same as his. Somehow they knew I was married to him and who I worked for. Couple of years ago, an inmate told my ex, "when I come out I will go to your house because I know where you live and rape your wife Nina Aponte and make you watch it." Even if it was just talkthese inmates receive paperwork with our names on there, they can easily find where we live.
6 TH CIRCUIT – CLEARWATER - AF	As my name is a little unusual, it would be very easy to find me, if one knew how to look. I have been a JA since 1997 and recall at least once that I had to call deputies to patrol my neighborhood when I was frightened by a criminal defendant. I usually refuse to give my last name when speaking to defendants or their families on the phone, but again, if one knows where to look, they can easily find my last name.

1 ST CIRCUIT - PENSACOLA-KA	R. Ardis has numerous cases in our county. If he doesn't like the ruling he will file lawsuits on Judges and also list the Judge's JA's names in the lawsuits. He has threatened my Judge and his family, along with several other Judges here in Escambia County. He is a very scary individual. I wouldn't put it past him to wait until we got off work and follow a JA home. He has found out where some judges live and have mentioned it in emails and documents before. If he can find their addresses, he can certainly find the JAs addresses that aren't protected.
1 ST CIRCUIT - MILTON-NM	There is a man in our circuit deemed a vexatious litigant by the DCA and by Circuit Court Judge Bergosh. This man has sued almost every judge in Escambia County, and a few judicial assistants, including myself. This person has a family law case before Judge Dickey. A 2009 family law case involving his child – who is 9 years old.
	Long story short, former wife was granted authorization to relocate to Tampa from Pensacola (Tampa is approx. 450 miles from Pensacola). The distance restricted the former husband's contact w/ his son, who is autistic and enrolled in a special program for autistic children at USF. Basically, this person feels like he lost everything.
	It was the granting of the former wife's relocation that triggered the former husband. He stated in a voice mail message to the maternal grandmother that he was a man with nothing to lose (this was admitted into evidence in the DV case). The former husband emailed me an answer to Judge Dickey's order to show cause and in it he described where Judge Dickey lived and said a lesser person in his shoes would grab Judge Dickey on her way to the check the mail, pull her hair back, tilt her neck back and slice her throat from ear to ear. He also described in great detail how a person could hire a hit man using bitcoins and a TOR browser to kill her.
	No, I am not the judge but I am the one who answers the phone when he calls. A judicial assistant is an extension of their judge so it would be very appreciated if judicial assistants could have their personal info and their family's info protected.
	This man was arrested for threatening Judge Dickey. But it made me wonder how he was able to find her information, so I decided google her name. Her address appeared using google. So, then I googled my name and my address populated. Then, what sickened me, was my house's Zillow listing and all its photos populated.
1 ST CIRCUIT - DEFUNIAK SPRINGS-DA	I have been working for Judge Wells for 15 years and throughout those years I have had numerous defendants contact me via my home phone, cell phone and even show up at my house to try and get me to persuade the Judge to rule differently for them. The one incident that really stood out for me is the following:
	A defendant, a habitual offender was looking at 11month 29 days jail sentence. A few days before he was to be sentenced he showed up at my house very drunk. Only myself and young daughter were there. I told her to stay inside. I managed to keep him on my front porch and he insisted that I could make the Judge change his sentence. I tried to explain that was not possible and to keep him from getting any more upset. He was belligerent, refusing to leave and not wanting to listen to anything I said. I was very afraid. Thankfully, my sister just happened to drive up to my house shortly after he had gotten there. He immediately left. The next day, we learned that he had committed suicide by blowing his head off with a shotgun. I have never really gotten over this, it could have so easily been another scenario. One where he decided that he would take my life and possibly my
	daughter's life and his own.

17 th CIRCUIT- PLANTATION - PG	I was the victim of numerous threats from a Defendant in a Tenant Eviction case. The Defendant eventually went to jail because of his threats and I as well as my Judge had to testify. It was a nightmare on top of being extremely scary for myself and my family. He left messages on the answering machine at the office and threatened to kill me, and my family. The case is documented in the 17th Circuit.
17 th CIRCUIT-	My Judge was threatened and the man threatening him also threatened me. (The man
FT.	harassed many judges in the Broward courthouse and now is in jail pending trial.) It has
LAUDERDALE -	turned into a criminal case. I had to sit for a depo and will be called to testify in trial.
AQ	
	You can read more about the case in the article below.
	https://www.miamiherald.com/news/local/community/broward/article162469033.html
17 th CIRCUIT-FT.	A few years ago, I had 3 young people showed up to my home harassing me and saying I
LAUDERDALE -	had stolen their car. I immediately called the police. It turned out there was a fatality DUI
WM	that happened up the block from me and the driver was a defendant before my judge. I
	was contacted several times by BSO and eventually I was advised the individuals found me
20TH CIDCLUT	on line and were family of the defendant
20 TH CIRCUIT - FORT MYERS -	We have a case pending in Lee County where the Defendant (Randall Thomas Rosado, 16CF275) has 15 pending charges for the following:
LT	-Obstructing Justice Influence/Intimidate/Hinder Leo Duties
	-Fraud Simulate Legal Process Fraudulent Actions
	Trada dimanate Begai Trecess Tradadione Trecess
	The victims in this case include Judges, The Clerk of Court, Attorneys, a CFO and a CEO. The charges allege that the victims were all targeted by the Defendant. It is a general fear to have my name listed on any of the court documents due to his current pending charges as I do not want to become one of his victims.
	I work for Judge Fuller and Judge Fuller is one of the victims in this particular case. It is alleged that the defendant did simulate legal process to wit: fictitious documents from the "International Court of Commerce," which target Circuit Judge Joseph Fuller and include actions affecting title to real estate or personal property, liens, orders, judgments, or other legal documents or proceedings or the basis of any such actions to be fraudulent, contrary to Florida Statute(s) 843.0855(3); 777.011. Judge Fuller was recently served with a summons in this case and they requested I sign the summons and requested my full name be listed on the summons. I requested that the summons simply say it was served on Judicial Assistant to prevent my name from appearing on any documents in the case.
	If I remember correctly, this Defendant was able to successfully place a lien against Judge Fuller in Pinellas County. A Civil lawsuit was filed to get this lien set aside. This is even more scary because Judge Fuller's personal information is kept confidential and the Defendant was still able to obtain enough information to place a lien against him. Since my information is all public it would be much easier for the defendant to place a lien against me.
	We currently handle felony cases and deal with a large number of convicted felons. I am required to interact with the defendants on a daily basis and I often have to tell them things they do not want to hear. They are often very upset with me because I am not able to give them legal advice, I cannot allow them to speak with the judge on the phone, I cannot give them expedited hearing time or just simply because the ruling the court gave was not in their favor.

4 Eth CVD CVVIII DIII	4 747 11 11 6 1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
17 th CIRCUIT-FT. LAUDERDALE - JS	1. While working for my prior judge who happened to be in the middle of a lengthy divorce and domestic violence case, one of the parties somehow obtained my cell phone number and called me wanting to talk about the cases. After that judge retired, a new
,-	judge took over the case and even though I have been away from that division for 3 years,
	the same litigant called me once again on my cell phone. This was about 3 months
	ago. She wanted information about the new staff working on the case and I was worried
	that she was going to be waiting at my home to try to speak to me about the case.
	2. A few years ago our division was handing a child custody case and one of the parties started harassing me by leaving 50-80 voice mail messages after hours each night and over the weekends. The judge hearing the case entered an order preventing the litigant
	entry into the court house. She was still able to enter the court house, walk into my office
	and right up to my desk and threaten me. She was detained by police and then arrested for battery on a law enforcement officer. I was afraid of her because she projected all of her feelings that she had about the judge on to me because she had better access to me. I
OVD D CA CA	was fearful that she would look up property records and find my home.
2 ND DCA - CA	I had a situation about a year ago, when an attorney (Steven Fox) in Sarasota harassed me
	to the point the Judge I was working with at the time, told me to stop answering the phone. He was mad because he promised his client something, but his motion was denied by the
	judge. He was upset that he couldn't get the judge, so he decided to come after me. He was
	crying and screaming every time he called. He would call from three different phone
	numbers to get me to answer him. The last time I spoke to him, he threated to look up my
OTH CIDCILIT	personal information, and file a lawsuit against me.
9 TH CIRCUIT – ORLANDO -JH	I have had an experience with a Respondent in a Domestic case that my Judge and I have had. This Respondent would call and fill our voicemail with loud music nightly. He started
OKLANDO -JH	leaving messages giving detailed information about the Judge's personal life. Enough detail that it showed us that he was doing his research. His calls to me in the office would become more and more aggressive. He was trespassed from the building and was only
	allowed to be here when he would have a court date. He became so well known here in our large courthouse that when any deputy would see him, though he was here for court,
	the deputies would call me to let me know so that I would stay in my office unless I
	absolutely had to go out. The Sheriff's Office did an investigation into the harassment that became a daily issue for my Judge and I. His picture was posted in our office.
	This Respondent knew my name but did not know me by sight. I walked around the courthouse with my hand over my name badge so that when he was here he would not know who I was.
	The Sheriff's Office was able to gather enough evidence to hand over to the State Attorney who did charge him with harassment of a public official. The case went to a jury trial and the Judge and I had to testify. This was the first time this Respondent had seen me and it
	the Judge and I had to testify. This was the first time this Respondent had seen me and it was extremely uncomfortable to know that he now knows what I look like. The jury found
	him guilty as charged and he was given a jail sentence.
	These are the types of people that concern me and with the information age what it is today, I fear for my personal information to be public
9 TH CIRCUIT -	I was sitting at my desk one morning when I received this text attached. It was very early
ORLANDO - LH	and I was probably the only person in the building. I had no idea who this was from and needless to say I was pretty shocked and a little disturbed by it. I immediately contacted
	the corporal for the criminal division to report it to him. He came to my office and took this

	screenshot. He said he would look into it but didn't seem that concerned by it and told me to ignore it. I, of course told my Judge about it.
	I researched the case number and found out that the case referenced was set for arraignment that day so I contacted the State attorney to try to get more info. Apparently, whoever sent this was "probably" the victim in the identity theft case that was set. I reached out to the defense attorney for that case and he said he also was receiving threats of sort via email, personal texts, etc from this person and they were actually a codefendant for this case. Confused? Me too.
	My main concern was how the heck they got my personal cell phone number? I was scared because the Judges receive threatening letters all the time, which we as JAs open. We're the person they call to gripe to. They know our names and due to social media and the accessibility to same, it is easy to find our info, family members info, etc Please express the importance of our personal safety concerns. Thank you so much.
9 TH CIRCUIT – ORLANDO - ML	My judge has had threats made against her from an inmate who said he was in a gang and his gang would kill her, chop up her body and her family would never find her. When you are dealing with people of that mentality it is not unreasonable to believe if they can't find the judge they will get at the judge by attacking the judge's assistant.
9 TH CIRCUIT – ORLANDO-LM	I don't have the specific case # or defendant, but when I was in Civil I had to call and tell someone his Motion to Stay was denied. He asked, "What time does your shift end?" Needless to say, since we give our names when we call, he could easily look up my information if he so desired. In County Civil, we had to make those types of calls almost on a daily basis.
9 TH CIRCUIT – ORLANDO – LS	A girl that was on our list to bring in as a temporary substitute JA if we were out of the office was removed from the list because she was doing some crazy things while in the offices. She mistakenly thought I was to blame (it was another JA as I had never used her in my office). One evening I came out of my garage and she was at the end of my driveway staring at me. I live an hour from the courthouse so it was no accident that she was at my house. I reported it and then asked court administration to remove my personal information from any address lists, including ones that the other JAs may have. I now only give my personal information to those I know I can trust.
	When I worked for Judge Cohen we had a defendant that was charged with attempted murder, stalking, etc. Throughout the pendency of the case he threatened the judge and staff constantly. He went to victims houses and watched them prior to trial. Eventually he was tried, while representing himself, and sent to prison. He continued to send letters to the Court with threats while in prison. Upon release from prison several years later, he was transported back from DOC and told to report directly to probation a block away from where he was released. They had deputies watching him and instead of reporting to probation, he walked towards the courthouse. We were put on lock down until they detained him and a VOP warrant was issued and signed for failure to report. He was put back in prison for VOP. I had his mug shot on the bulletin board for years so others would know he was a problem if he should return for any reason.
	Recently, Judge Munyon had a RICO case where MBI would come to the office weekly and give reports and have the Judge sign warrants, phone orders, etc. This went on for several months. During the investigation it was determined that the defendants were threatening

_	
	witnesses and dismembering people involved in the case. The investigators would warn the judge and she was concerned that her signature was on all these documents but felt a little safer since her personal information was private. It didn't make me feel the same as my information is public record and could be obtained by anyone in attempt to get to her.
12 th CIRCUIT - JH	I've never had an issue that I can recall off the top of my head, but I know of someone that was contacted from an inmate in custody on her home phone since her name was on a document. I've always felt this is an issue. There are other people that are afforded privacy due to their job – probation officers, JPO's, etc – and I think if it's looked from that angle, it may be better understood where we are coming from.
12 TH CIRCUIT - MM	Over the past 9 years I have received threatening phone calls from a man named Patrick Guinan and have been threatened and cussed at approximately 10 other times by pro se litigants and their family members. He has left numerous voice messages threatening the Judge and me.
12 TH CIRCUIT - PL	
5 TH CIRCUIT – TAVARES-SM	Several years ago, my judge and I were notified by the Sheriff's department that one of the defendants had made threats against us and were actually following both of us to our homes.
2 ND CIRCUIT – TALLAHASSEE- KP	I originally worked as the JA for Judge George Reynolds before being hired to work as the JA for Judge Frank Allman. In 2015/16 Judge Reynolds presided over a case involving Florida's bears and how they should be managed. It involved the Florida Wildlife Commission requesting approval to allow a hunt for black bears. There were several people and organizations who opposed the hunt including the Sierra Club.
	I received emails through my work email, one of which was a bit personal from Scott Richards (sandsrwe@yahoo.com) who commented "[E]njoy that a guv'ment paycheck, huh? Bet you do" The subject line was "Kelly & George, the idiot team" which initially made me laugh until I read his message. It was a bit disconcerting to become the focus of this man's attention. There were protests outside the courthouse regarding the case. My response was to forward all similar emails to our Court Administration Director so that security would be aware of any possible threats.
	The emails weren't sent to my personal email, but it made me much more aware that if someone wanted to find me it wouldn't be that difficult.
	[Obviously, Mr. Richards wasn't aware that Circuit Court JAs (and County Court JAs) salaries are near the poverty level, but I love my job and balance happy job with not so happy paycheck.]
2 ND CIRCUIT – APALACHICOLA- LW	Several years ago, we had a local Defendant who was sentenced to Chattahoochee for murdering his grandmother. The Circuit Court handled the case but somehow the Defendant got it in his head it was Judge Russell. After being in Chattahoochee some time; they let him use the phone and every morning he would call our office wanting to talk to the Judge and every day I would have to explain why he could not talk to the Judge. He would get very angry and upset with me and if I did not answer the phone; he would take up my entire answering machine space with his rambling messages. His father was a retired post office clerk who came by my office and told me how upset his son was with me and how the father thought I should know in case they let him out. I did finally call Chattahoochee and had his telephone privileges modified so he could not call our office.

ВС	I had a very scary incident in Circuit Court with this. They had to put up flyers throughout the courthouse and extra security because of the individual threatening me
MS	We have a dependency case in which the mom has left me hundreds of messages (Many of them are saved on a zip drive) she threatens the lives of myself, my child, and my
	grandchild on a regular basis. So I am in total agreement of this passing
18 TH CIRCUIT -	Last year when I was pregnant back in March 10th, 2020, I received a phone call from the
VIERA - KM	defendant's father, he was very upset about his daughter's case and before he hung up he said: "I'm going to go to Florida and f#\$%%& shoot all of you in that office". Of course, being pregnant I was very emotional and scared. I filed a police report with BCSO. The police called the defendant but of course he denied ever saying that. The police said they were going to keep an eye out but thank God nothing occurred after that. But I was scared
	for a few weeks, always watching my back. I'll never forget his mugshot for sure
18 TH CIRCUIT -	This may have been reported to her already, but there was a criminal court case in Martin
VIERA - PA	County that stemmed from a threat from a litigant to a judge & JA, and their families. The defendant's last name is DOLAN and the case number for the criminal charges is 43-2019-CF-000623-A. Judge McKibben was the judge assigned by the Supreme Court to handle it due to all of Martin County judges recusing themselves. Sorry if this is duplicated information
18 TH CIRCUIT -	Judge Segal and I actually have an active case right now where the Respondent (father),
VIERA - DL	Michael Locke, threatened many times in emails to kill us or harm us. The emails started
VILIA - DL	
TTN	to list our exact addresses of our homes
VM	I have personally had two prose litigants appear in chambers demanding to see me, refusing to leave. On both occasions, they got confrontational with the bailiff and ended up having to be escorted out of the building by liaison. Both of these litigants have emailed me after hours, on weekends and have included me in pleadings, etc. I have felt, on both occasions, afraid for my safety. One of the litigants even shoved the bailiff in an attempt to enter chambers to speak to me. There are too many resources available online and I definitely feel like our addresses are easy to find with just the slightest bit of
	research. These two gentlemen could certainly find mine if they wanted to.
SE	I had an experience with a pro se defendant in a foreclosure matter who felt I was hindering his case. He subpoenaed me to be deposed. In his pleadings he made various accusations stating that I was "in cahoots with the banks attorney", he called me "uneducated" and "arrogant". If I were to go back through the court file, I'm sure I could find plenty of examples of him calling me names and accusing me of wrong-doing. There were never threats of violence but when I saw my home address filed in the court file, I was pretty shaken up and had to have a conversation with my family.
	He completed the subpoena with my work address however when the sheriff's office received the subpoena and entered my name into their system my home address printed onto their Deputy Worksheet. That was then filed into the court file, unreacted so, now the pro se defendant, and everyone else, knows where my children and I live
LG	Just yesterday this occurred, I am still waiting to find out if they can download the 5 messages this man left. He kept calling throughout day but did not leave any further messages. I was worried he would find me on social media (my stuff is private anyway but just in case) since he repeatedly said my full name in the messages left, and I was able to locate and blocked him.
	The defendant in the matter he was upset over has a history of mental issues and per report, claims that her parents do all well. This heightens my concern.

	You would have to hear his tone of voice, the anger, the grasping of air – clearly heard from his rambling, and overload of ugly words. Once I get them to download, I will ask if I can send. Or if there's an alternative available. He did make sure we were of his full name and at one point said, "I'm coming for you."
	After my Judge heard the messages and I explained what he initially called and said – that caused me to terminate the call – he said for me to make a report.
19 TH CIRCUIT FORT PIERCE CH	My biggest concern is since I cannot get unlisted number, etc. that if someone was pissed they would be able to find out where I live, etc.
GIT	The incident I am about to speak of did not happen while on JA time but years ago. As a legal secretary, I had a client contact me on a weekend because he wanted an answer to something that could have waited until that upcoming Monday. When asked how he got my number, he indicated that he got my last name from a document I notarized and looked me up. While that is not a threat, that just shows what people will go through to find you.
9TH CIRCUIT ORLANDO DR	I have a permanent injunction from years ago, from a woman who had a divorce case with Judge XXX and she ended up coming to my house, following me in the mall and followed my kids – and it would have been really good to have had something like this then.
	I no longer live in that area, but it was quite scary and that is one of the reasons they need to think about this is the fact that we are the first point of contact for our Judge and division etc Our names are on the website, facebook, etc.
2ND CIRCUIT TALLAHASSEE SB	Received many threatening emails and phone calls in reference to a facemask case. The emails are under criminal investigation, so no details can be provided. At one point, stopped answering the phone because of all of the crazy threatening phone calls.
2ND CIRCUIT TALLAHASSEE KP	Received a threatening email that stated: "Why do you work for a racist judge? Don't you know future employment will be compromised? What goes around comes around."
17 TH CIRCUIT FORT LAUDERDALE KO	A couple years ago my home number and address were inadvertently used as the public number for the Broward County Courthouse on the internetif you googled 17th Judicial Circuit my phone number and address came up as who to call and where to go. I discovered this when I started getting phone calls from a woman who was irate that she was being served for, coincidentally, a Domestic Violence case. I had thought she got my name from the circuit site and looked me up online. It took me calling my Court Administrator completely freaked out to get this changed. In the meantime, I was getting phone call after call regarding court matters It was a little scary that my name and HOME address were listed. Had we fallen under the Records Exemption act they would never have been able to, even though accidently, get this confused.
11 TH CIRCUIT MIAMI DG	I had an incident couple of weeks ago (phone calls lasted for few days). Judge and I were threatened by pro-se litigant. He wanted to get to the judge through me. It was very scary. That gentleman is now in jail. Homeland security detectives had to get involved.

11TH CIRCUIT MIAMI BM	I was contacted through What'sUp by a litigant to discuss her case. It happened last year, when she was not happy with just emailing and calling the office.
8TH CIRCUIT SH	Received threatening emails stating the following: "Come back SH, I almost have you trained. Now, I have to start over with this bitch." Another email: "N, do you understand your vertical infinity? I understand mine. I came up with vertical infinity at Buchholz high school." Another email: "Shit-for-brains N, has anyone told you that willful ignorance and or willful neglect is not a viable defense. They have now shit-for-brains." Another email: "SH, I going to have kill you so I can kill N since you allowing him to hide behind you. N, did you know that felons can have pellet guns? SH, move to one side or the so I can have a clear view of him." Another email: "To Cheating, Cowardly, thieving N, I am going to kill you for stealing my life. You can have traitor Ron Desantis, fruadulent groeb, dumb nigger, drunk, alcoholic Walter Green, liar Mark Moseley on your team. Nothing honerable about you, N. P.S. Full disclosure N. You owe me \$ 4,000.00 per month living expenses since December 1, 2014. You owe me \$ 50,000.00 a year for taking away my right to work since December 1, 2014. You owe me a new vehicle of my choice. You owe me a new drivers license with cdl expiration date December 31, 2099. You owe me your life in state prison for twenty years for kidnapping me. You can add another 20 years on to your sentence for kidnapping Terry Looney. Are you ready to talk, N?"
11TH CIRCUIT MIAMI MR	Received several threatening emails from a gentleman whose family got an injunction against him and he is very angry because five Judges have already recused themselves from the case.
12TH CIRCUIT KZ	There is an increasing amount of defendants that are representing themselves (some sovereign citizens) and filing threats against the Judge so it is only a matter of time before the threat is made against a JA.
8TH CIRCUIT GAINESVILLE TH	Another JA and I, (RD) were personally sued along with our judges by an inmate because his cases were dismissed for failure to serve defendants. The suit was dismissed by the Columbia County Court, Third Circuit, but this guy is supposed to be released by next year and I really do not want him to get my personal address.
7TH CIRCUIT DELAND MW	Email received from a defendant: "I would refrain from ever sending another email if you know what's good for you and your loved ones. Enjoy the rest of your day."

10TH CIRCUIT	One of the threats was received by e-mail that came directly to the JA at her work e-mail
BARTOW	address that stated that he knew her home address and he then listed her home address in
REPORTED BY	the e-mail. He then stated that he would be subpoenaing her for a hearing. The JA is a
KA (Circuit Rep)	single mother of 2 girls she instantly became scared for their safety. And it was reported to
lar (direate Rep)	local law enforcement to investigate.
10TH CIRCUIT	The other 3 calls received stated that they received a voice mail message and one stated
BARTOW	that they know where she lives. Each JA that I spoke to was not sure why our safety would
REPORTED BY	even be a debate or something that should be questioned to as to why should our personal
KA (Circuit Rep)	information not already be private due to the fact we work hand in hand with the judges.
	One of the JAs stated that, "We deal with people that are having life altering events and
	sometimes the repercussions of that make them very angry and the anger is misplaced
	towards us."
10TH CIRCUIT	The Judge and I have received two threats from the same person within the last year. He is
BARTOW	a little off his rocker according to the detective that investigated, so we take it with a grain
REPORTED BY	of salt. That being said, should our personal information be public? ABSOLUTLEY not. I
KA (Circuit Rep)	still have children that I worry about when things like this happen.
10TH CIRCUIT	In the last two years it has happened once. The case the person was upset over wasn't
BARTOW	even assigned to us or ever heard by us, but the threats on social media and voicemail
REPORTED BY	were directed at Judge F's office.
KA (Circuit Rep)	
10TH CIRCUIT	Another JA reported: Mostly upset parents (as we are involved with Dependency and
BARTOW	children being removed from their parents) – a lot of them are not mentally stable;
REPORTED BY	however, they have said "you're going to get yours or yours is coming next" or making
KA (Circuit Rep)	comments to the Judge when she says things/makes findings not in their favor like, "just
	you wait" (people in jail referring to when they are released) etc. Again, they are usually
	upset because of the situation, but do become very angry at me when they believe that I am against them or cannot help them the way they feel I should. I've also received the
	same threats working with Respondents in the Mental Health division that we deal with.
	same till eats working with Respondents in the Mental Health division that we dear with.
9TH CIRCUIT	Pro Se Defendant called Chambers today. She advised she was outside of Courtroom18-B
ORLANDO	for a hearing. I explained this hearing is set as virtual, per the August 27, 2021, Notice of
MM	Hearing. She argued, she read emails, she claimed she didn't agree to this hearing, etc. &
	she claimed I was in favor of the other side. The call was almost 17 minutes long. At one
	point, she asked me what happened to Diane. I advised Diane retired at the end of May.
	She said, "Oh how convenient, I hope she gets cut." I said, excuse me? I sincerely wasn't
	sure I heard her correctly. She said, "She [Diane] knows what she did & I hope somebody
	cuts her."



Committee Agenda Request

To:	Senator Danny Burgess, Chair Committee on Judiciary		
Subject: Committee Agenda Request			
Date: October 25, 2021			
I respectfully placed on the:	request that Senate Bill 432 , relating to Public Records/Judicial Assistants, be		
committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.			
next committee agenda.			

Senator Tom A. Wright
Florida Senate, District 14

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	F	Prepared By: The Professional	Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judiciary			
BILL:	SB 156						
INTRODUC	ER: Senator	Senator Broxson					
SUBJECT:	Loss Ru	Loss Run Statements					
DATE:	Novemb	per 29, 2021 REVISED:					
A	NALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION			
1. Arnold		Knudson	BI	Favorable			
2. Davis		Cibula	JU	Favorable			
3.			RC				

I. Summary:

SB 156 amends two statutes related to insurance loss run statements and repeals a conflicting statute. Specifically, the bill:

- Reduces from 5 years to 3 years the claims history that must be included within a loss run statement.
- Requires an admitted and nonadmitted group health insurer's loss run statement to include certain information.
- Requires an admitted and nonadmitted personal lines insurer to provide loss run statements within 15 days of an insured's request after first providing information on how to obtain a loss run statement from a consumer reporting agency.
- Excludes admitted and nonadmitted life insurers from the requirement to provide loss run statements.
- Specifies that only the group policyholder may request and receive a loss run statement for a group health insurance policy, and repeals a conflicting statute related to group health insurance claims data.

The bill takes effect upon becoming law.

II. Present Situation:

Loss Run Statements

Loss run statements are reports produced by an insurer or consumer reporting agency containing the claims history of a policyholder with an authorized or unauthorized insurer. The loss run statement must contain a claims history for the preceding 5 years or, if the claims history is less than 5 years, a complete claims history with the insurer. Under Florida law, the reports must

¹ See ss. 626.9202 and 627.444, F.S.

BILL: SB 156 Page 2

contain the policy number, period of coverage, number of claims, the paid losses on all claims, and the date of each loss. Reports are not required to include supporting claims file documentation such as copies of claim files, investigation reports, evaluation statements, insureds' statements, and documents protected by a common law or statutory privilege. Upon receipt of the policyholder's written request, the insurer has 15 days to provide the loss run statement or, for personal lines of insurance, information on how to obtain the loss run statement at no cost through a consumer reporting agency A personal lines policyholder may request a loss run statement from the insurer after receiving information from a consumer reporting agency. Upon receiving such request, the personal lines insurer must provide the loss run statement within 15 days.

Release of Claims Experience Under Group Health Insurance Policies

In addition to the statutory provisions governing loss run statements described above, group health insurers must also provide the policyholder with claims experience information required for bid for the previous 3 years or for the entire period of coverage, whichever is shorter.⁵ Required information includes, but is not limited to, claim experience, premiums paid, number of insureds on a monthly basis, and dependent status. The insurer is not required to disclose any information deemed confidential by law.⁶ Upon receipt of the policyholder's written request, the insurer has 21 days to provide the claims experience.⁷

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1 amends s. 626.9202, F.S., and **Section 2** amends s. 627.444, F.S., to revise several provisions governing loss run statement requirements for nonadmitted and admitted insurers. The bill:

- Reduces from the preceding 5 years to the preceding 3 years the claims history that must be included within a loss run statement.
- Requires that reports from group health insurers include premiums paid, the number of insureds on a monthly basis, and the dependent status.
- Requires that each insurer designate an individual or entity to receive written requests for loss run statements from insureds.
- Requires that the personal lines insurer provide the insured a loss run statement within 15 calendar days after receiving the insured's written request subsequent to the insured providing the insurer with information on obtaining a loss run statement from a consumer reporting agency.
- Exempts life insurers from the requirements for loss run statements.
- Provides that, under a group health insurance policy, only the group policyholder may request and be provided a loss run statement.

² Sections 626.9202(1)(a) and 627.444(1)(a), F.S.

 $^{^{3}}$ Id.

⁴ Sections 626.9202(2) and 627.444(2), F.S.

⁵ Section 627.6647(1), F.S.

⁶ Section 627.6647(2), F.S.

⁷ Section 627.6647(1), F.S.

BILL: SB 156 Page 3

Section 3 repeals s. 627.6647, F.S., to remove conflicting statutory language related to group health insurance claims data.

Section 4 provides that this act is effective upon becoming law.

I۱	/	Con	Stitu	ıtion:	al le	ssues:
	<i>,</i> -	CULI	อแเน			sauca.

IV.	Const	Constitutional Issues:			
	A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:				
		None.			
	B.	Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:			
		None.			
	C. Trust Funds Restrictions:				
		None.			
	D.	State Tax or Fee Increases:			
None.					
	E.	Other Constitutional Issues:			
		None identified.			
٧.	Fiscal Impact Statement:				
	A.	Tax/Fee Issues:			
		None.			
	B.	Private Sector Impact:			
		None.			
	C.	Government Sector Impact:			
		None.			
VI.	Techr	nical Deficiencies:			
	None.				

VII. **Related Issues:**

None.

BILL: SB 156 Page 4

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 626.9202 and 627.444.

This bill repeals section 627.6647, Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.



	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate		House
Comm: WD		
11/30/2021		

The Committee on Judiciary (Broxson) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

1 2 3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

Delete lines 35 - 93

and insert:

- (2) Notwithstanding any other law, an insurer or surplus lines agent shall provide to an insured within 15 calendar days after an individual or entity designated by the insurer receives receipt of the insured's written request, either:
 - (a) A loss run statement; or
- (b) For personal lines of insurance, information on how to obtain a loss run statement at no charge through a consumer

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40



reporting agency. However, this section does not prohibit an insured from requesting a loss run statement after receiving information from a consumer reporting agency, in which case the insurer or surplus lines agent shall then provide the loss run statement within 15 calendar days after the individual or entity designated by the insurer receives the insured's subsequent written request.

- (4) A loss run statement provided pursuant to this section must contain a claims history with the insurer for the preceding 3 $\frac{5}{2}$ years or, if the claims history is less than 3 $\frac{5}{2}$ years, a complete claims history with the insurer.
- (7) This section does not apply to life insurance as defined in s. 624.602.
- (8) For group health insurance, only the group policyholder may request and be provided a loss run statement pursuant to this section.

Section 2. Subsections (1), (2), and (4) of section 627.444, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsections (7) and (8) are added to that section, to read:

627.444 Loss run statements for all lines of insurance.

- (1) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Loss run statement" means a report that contains the policy number, the period of coverage, the number of claims, the paid losses on all claims, and the date of each loss. The term does not include supporting claim file documentation, including, but not limited to, copies of claim files, investigation reports, evaluation statements, insureds' statements, and documents protected by a common law or statutory privilege. As applied to group health insurance, the term means a report that

41

42

43

44

4.5 46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64 65

66

67

68 69



also contains the premiums paid, the number of insureds on a monthly basis, and the dependent status.

- (b) "Provide" means to electronically send a document or to allow access through an electronic portal to view or generate a document.
- (2) Notwithstanding any other law, an insurer shall provide to an insured within 15 calendar days after an individual or entity designated by the insurer receives receipt of the insured's written request, either:
 - (a) A loss run statement; or
- (b) For personal lines of insurance, information on how to obtain a loss run statement at no charge through a consumer reporting agency. However, this section does not prohibit an insured from requesting a loss run statement after receiving information from a consumer reporting agency, in which case the insurer shall then provide the loss run statement within 15 calendar days after the individual or entity designated by the insurer receives the insured's subsequent written request.
- (4) A loss run statement provided pursuant to this section must contain a claims history with the insurer for the preceding 3 $\frac{5}{2}$ years or, if the claims history is less than 3 $\frac{5}{2}$ years, a complete claims history with the insurer.
 - (7) This section does not apply to:
 - (a) Life insurance as defined in s. 624.602.
- (b) A workers' compensation or employer's liability insurance policy subject to s. 627.291.

======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ======= And the title is amended as follows:



70 Delete line 3 71 and insert:

72

73

74

75

76

77

78 79

80

81

82

83

626.9202, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "loss run statement"; revising the entities which must provide certain information to insureds after receiving requests for loss run statements; specifying the entities that must receive requests for loss run statements; specifying that insurers or surplus lines agents must provide loss run statements under certain circumstances; revising the required claims history in loss run statements; providing applicability; limiting loss run statement requests with respect to group health insurance policies to group policyholders; amending s. 627.444, F.S.; revising the definition of

By Senator Broxson

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1-00235A-22 2022156

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to loss run statements; amending ss.
626.9202 and 627.444, F.S.; revising the definition of
the term "loss run statement"; specifying the entities
that must receive requests for loss run statements;
specifying that insurers must provide loss run
statements under certain circumstances; revising the
required claims history in loss run statements;
providing applicability; limiting loss run statement
requests with respect to group health insurance
policies to group policyholders; repealing s.
627.6647, F.S., relating to release of claims
experience; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsections (1), (2), and (4) of section 626.9202, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsections (7) and (8) are added to that section, to read:

626.9202 Loss run statements for all lines of insurance.-

- (1) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Loss run statement" means a report that contains the policy number, the period of coverage, the number of claims, the paid losses on all claims, and the date of each loss. The term does not include supporting claim file documentation, including, but not limited to, copies of claim files, investigation reports, evaluation statements, insureds' statements, and documents protected by a common law or statutory privilege. As applied to group health insurance, the term means a report that

Page 1 of 4

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 156

	1-00235A-22 2022156
30	also contains the premiums paid, the number of insureds on a
31	monthly basis, and the dependent status.
32	(b) "Provide" means to electronically send a document or to
33	allow access through an electronic portal to view or generate a
34	document.
35	(2) Notwithstanding any other law, an insurer shall provide
36	to an insured within 15 calendar days after <u>an individual or</u>
37	entity designated by the insurer receives receipt of the
38	insured's written request, either:
39	(a) A loss run statement; or
40	(b) For personal lines of insurance, information on how to
41	obtain a loss run statement at no charge through a consumer
42	reporting agency. However, this section does not prohibit an
43	insured from requesting a loss run statement after receiving
44	information from a consumer reporting agency, in which case the
45	insurer shall then provide the loss run statement within 15
46	calendar days after the individual or entity designated by the
47	insurer receives the insured's subsequent written request.
48	(4) A loss run statement provided pursuant to this section
49	must contain a claims history with the insurer for the preceding
50	$\underline{3}$ 5 years or, if the claims history is less than $\underline{3}$ 5 years, a
51	complete claims history with the insurer.
52	(7) This section does not apply to a life insurer as
53	<u>defined in s. 624.602.</u>
54	(8) For group health insurance, only the group policyholder
55	may request and be provided a loss run statement pursuant to
56	this section.
57	Section 2. Subsections (1), (2), and (4) of section

627.444, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsections (7) and $\mbox{Page 2 of 4}$

1-00235A-22 2022156

(8) are added to that section, to read:

59

60

61

62

64 65

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

85

86

- 627.444 Loss run statements for all lines of insurance.-
- (1) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Loss run statement" means a report that contains the policy number, the period of coverage, the number of claims, the paid losses on all claims, and the date of each loss. The term does not include supporting claim file documentation, including, but not limited to, copies of claim files, investigation reports, evaluation statements, insureds' statements, and documents protected by a common law or statutory privilege. As applied to group health insurance, the term means a report that also contains the premiums paid, the number of insureds on a monthly basis, and the dependent status.
- (b) "Provide" means to electronically send a document or to allow access through an electronic portal to view or generate a document.
- (2) Notwithstanding any other law, an insurer shall provide to an insured within 15 calendar days after <u>an individual or entity designated by the insurer receives</u> receipt of the insured's written request, either:
 - (a) A loss run statement; or
- (b) For personal lines of insurance, information on how to obtain a loss run statement at no charge through a consumer reporting agency. However, this section does not prohibit an insured from requesting a loss run statement after receiving information from a consumer reporting agency, in which case the insurer shall then provide the loss run statement within 15 calendar days after the individual or entity designated by the insurer receives the insured's subsequent written request.

Page 3 of 4

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 156

	1-00235A-22 2022156
88	(4) A loss run statement provided pursuant to this section
89	must contain a claims history with the insurer for the preceding
90	$\underline{3}$ 5 years or, if the claims history is less than $\underline{3}$ 5 years, a
91	complete claims history with the insurer.
92	(7) This section does not apply to a life insurer as
93	defined in s. 624.602.
94	(8) For group health insurance, only the group policyholder
95	may request and be provided a loss run statement pursuant to
96	this section.
97	Section 3. Section 627.6647, Florida Statutes, is repealed.
98	Section 4. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 4 of 4

11/30/2021 156 APPEARANCE RECORD Meeting Date Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to **Judiciary** Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Committee Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Paul Runk 850-527-9761 Name Phone Address 200 W. College Ave. Suite 104 Email paul@fahp.net Street **Tallahassee** FL 32301 City State Zip OR Against Speaking: Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am appearing without I am a registered lobbyist, I am not a lobbyist, but received compensation or sponsorship. representing: something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), Florida Association of Health Plans sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

	1 .				
	11/30/21	APP	EARANCE	RECORD	156
	Meeting Date Deliver both copies of this form to			Bill Number or Topic	
	Judiciany	Senate	e professional staff conduct		
	Committee				Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	George Feijoo			Phone	7207099
Address		Street		_{Email} grfei	joo@flapartners.com
	Street				
	Tallahassee	FL	32312		
	City	State	Zip		
	Speaking: For	Against Inform	mation OR	Waive Speaking:	In Support Against
		PLEASE	CHECK ONE OF THE	E FOLLOWING:	
11: 1:	n appearing without npensation or sponsorship.	re	am a registered lobbyist, presenting:		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance
		Flo	orida Insuranc	ce (ouncil	(travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

Meeting Date Judiciary	APPEARANCE RECORD Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
Name BG Murphy		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Address 3159 Shamvock St. Street Tallabassee F. City Sto	32309	nurphyæfaja.com
Speaking: For Agains	t Information OR Waive Speaking:	In Support Against
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: am a registered lobbyist, representing:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.



Committee Agenda Request

To:	Senator Danny Burgess, Chair Committee on Judiciary
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request
Date:	November 19, 2021
I respectfully	request that Senate Bill #156, relating to Loss Run, be placed on the:
\boxtimes	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.
	next committee agenda.
	Senator Doug Broxson

Florida Senate, District 1

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Pre	pared By: Th	ne Professiona	Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judiciary	
BILL:	SB 552					
INTRODUCER:	Senator Bo	oyd and oth	ers			
SUBJECT:	Clerks of the Circuit Court					
DATE:	November	29, 2021	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Bond		Cibula		JU	Favorable	
2.				ACJ		
3.				AP		

I. Summary:

SB 552 changes laws affecting the clerks of court. It increases revenues of the clerks of court by:

- Decreasing the share of certain foreclosure filing fees transferred to the General Revenue Fund, thereby directing the fees to the clerks of court.
- Changing distribution of a county court filing fee from the General Revenue Fund to the clerks of court.
- Directing the clerks of court to ask the Legislature for increased funding related to increases in trial court judicial positions.
- Allowing the clerks to review property records to verify an application for civil indigent status.
- Requiring that a judge or traffic infraction hearing officer finding an offender guilty, at a minimum, impose the financial penalty that would have been imposed had the offender not elected to contest the infraction.
- Allowing the clerks of court to ask for Legislative funding for filings related to mental health and substance abuse that the clerks must currently file at no charge.

The bill also:

- Modifies the standard terms of a payment plan for an individual who owes money to a clerk to establish a \$25 minimum monthly payment and to limit the down payment to the lesser of 10 percent of the amount owed or \$100.
- Requires the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles to coordinate with the clerks of court on a system for reinstatement of driver licenses upon payment of court-related obligations.

The fund shifts in foreclosure and county courts are estimated to have a recurring negative fiscal impact to the state in FY 2022-23 of \$17.4 million and a corresponding positive fiscal impact of

\$17.4 million to the clerks of court. The remainder of the bill appears to have an indeterminate positive fiscal impact on the clerks of court.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2022.

II. Present Situation:

Clerk of the Circuit Court

The clerk of the circuit court is a constitutional officer. Each of Florida's 67 counties are required to elect a clerk of the circuit court¹ to serve as both the clerk of court, completing judiciary functions, and as the "*ex officio*[²] clerk of the board of county commissioners, auditor, recorder, and custodian of all county funds." In other words, the clerk of the circuit court wears approximately five hats. In wearing the auditor and custodian of county funds hats, the clerk may also be referred to as the comptroller.⁴

Funding for the Clerks' Court-Related Functions

In its capacity as the clerk of the circuit and county courts, the clerk is required to perform various court-related, administrative and ministerial functions. Any court-related function authorized by law or court rule must be funded by the clerk's collection of filing fees, service charges, costs, and fines, including the following:

- Case maintenance.
- Records management.
- Court preparation and attendance.
- Processing the assignment, reopening, and reassignment of cases.
- Processing appeals.
- Collection and distribution of fines, fees, service charges, and court costs.
- Data collection and reporting.
- Determinations of indigent status.
- Paying reasonable administrative support costs to enable the clerks to carry out court-related functions.⁵

Court funding is governed by article V, section 14 of the Florida Constitution. For the clerks of the circuit courts, article V, section 14(b) provides that the clerks are self-sustaining and fund

¹ FLA. CONST. art. V, s. 16; FLA. CONST. art. VIII, s. 1.

² See BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (10th ed. 2014) ("ex officio" means "By virtue or because of an office; by virtue of the authority implied by office.").

³ FLA. CONST. art. V, s. 16. This provision also provides that two officials may split the position, one serving as clerk of court and one serving in the *ex officio* position. Additionally, this provision permits the election of a county clerk of court when authorized by general or special law. *Id*.

⁴ See generally Florida Court Clerks & Comptrollers, About Us, Clerks Duties & Services, available at https://www.flclerks.com/page/ClerksDuties (last visited Nov. 24, 2021). See also BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (10th ed. 2014) ("comptroller" means, "[a]n officer of a business or a private, state, or municipal corporation who is charged with duties usu. relating to fiscal affairs, including auditing and examining accounts and reporting the financial status periodically.").

⁵ Section 28.35(3)(a), F.S. *See also* Florida Court Clerks & Comptrollers, *About Us, Clerks Duties & Services*, available at https://www.flclerks.com/page/ClerksDuties (last visited Nov. 24, 2021).

their court-related functions through the collection of filing fees, service charges, and other costs. Specifically, article V, section 14(b) states:

(b) All funding for the offices of the clerks of the circuit and county courts performing court-related functions, except as otherwise provided in this subsection and subsection (c), shall be provided by adequate and appropriate filing fees for judicial proceedings and service charges and costs for performing court-related functions as required by general law. Selected salaries, costs, and expenses of the state courts system may be funded from appropriate filing fees for judicial proceedings and service charges and costs for performing court-related functions, as provided by general law. Where the requirements of either the United States Constitution or the Constitution of the State of Florida preclude the imposition of filing fees for judicial proceedings and service charges and costs for performing court-related functions sufficient to fund the court-related functions of the offices of the clerks of the circuit and county courts, the state shall provide, as determined by the Legislature, adequate and appropriate supplemental funding from state revenues appropriated by general law.

County Funding Referenced in Article V, Section 14(c)

As referenced above, article V, section 14(c) of the Florida Constitution states that while funding for the state courts system, including the clerks of court, will *not* be required by a county or municipality, the counties are responsible to fund certain types of court infrastructure and maintenance, including "the cost of communications services, existing radio systems, existing multi-agency criminal justice information systems and the cost of construction or lease, maintenance, utilities, and security of facilities for . . . the offices of the clerks of the circuit and county courts performing court-related functions." Additionally, counties pay "reasonable and necessary salaries, costs, and expenses of the state courts system to meet local requirements as determined by general law."

No-Fee Court Functions

Additionally, as referenced above, there are certain categories of cases and certain types of filings for which the clerks of court cannot charge a filing fee and possibly other service charges or other costs. These types of cases and filings include the following:

- Various services and filings for indigent parties to pending litigation.⁹
- Petitions for Habeas Corpus filed by persons detained as mental health patients. 10
- Filing an ex parte order for involuntary examination (Baker Act).¹¹

⁸ *Id.* Additionally, article V, section 14(a) provides that funding for state court systems as well as state attorney's offices, public defender's offices, and court-appointed counsel will generally be paid from "state revenues appropriated by general law"; and section 14(d) clarifies that the court system has no appropriations authority.

⁶ FLA. CONST. art. V, s. 14(b) (emphasis added).

⁷ FLA. CONST. art. V, s. 14(c).

⁹ Sections 57.081 and 57.082, F.S. This does not include prisoners as defined in s. 57.085, F.S.

¹⁰ Section 394.459, F.S.

¹¹ Section 394.463, F.S. *See also Collins v. State*, 125 So. 3d 1046, 1047 (Fla. 4th DCA 2013) (noting s. 394.463, F.S., is also known as the Florida Statutes Florida Mental Health Act or the Baker Act).

- Petitions for involuntary inpatient placement for mental health. 12
- Appellate filing fees for indigent persons determined to be and involuntarily committed as a sexually violent predator. ¹³
- Petitions for involuntary assessment and stabilization for substance abuse impairment. 14
- Petitions for a risk protection order (Marjory Stoneman Douglas High School Public Safety Act).¹⁵
- Petitions for protective injunctions against domestic violence, ¹⁶ repeat, dating, or sexual violence, ¹⁷ or stalking. ¹⁸

History of the Clerks of Court Funding Model

1998 Article V Revision ("Revision 7") and Implementing Legislation

Article V, section 14, was amended in 1998 to "substantially and significantly revise[] judicial system funding, greatly reducing funding from local governments and placing the responsibility primarily on the state." The statement of intent accompanying the revision of article V, section 14(b), also known as "Revision 7," reflects that the proposers intended for the Legislature to adopt procedures: (1) to fund the clerks' office in the event "filing fees, services charges and costs are insufficient to cover the court-related salaries, costs, and expenses of the offices of the clerks . . . in a given fiscal year"; and (2) for the disposition of excess revenues collected by the clerks' offices in a given fiscal year.²⁰

Further, the statement of intent clarifies that the purpose for Revision 7 is to require legislative oversight and an independent review of clerk funding and spending practices. The reason for independent oversight is set out as follows:

The drafters of subsection (b) recognize that there currently exists significant disparities among what the various clerks' offices spend to perform the same functions. The determination by the [L]egislature as to the appropriate level of spending should not entail an acceptance of the current level of spending by the clerks' offices throughout the state to perform court-related functions. Rather, it is the intent of this proposal that the clerks be held accountable and responsible to a cost standard which is independently established by the [L]egislature.²¹

¹² Section 394.467, F.S.

¹³ Section 394.917, F.S.

¹⁴ Section 397.6814, F.S.

¹⁵ Section 790.401, F.S.; ch. 2018-3, s. 16, Laws of Fla.

¹⁶ Section 741.30, F.S.

¹⁷ Section 784.046, F.S.

¹⁸ Section 784.0485, F.S.

¹⁹ City of Fort Lauderdale v. Crowder, 983 So. 2d 37, 39 (Fla. 4th DCA 2008) ("In its Statement of Intent, the Constitution Revision Commission explained: 'The state's obligation includes, but is not limited to, funding for all core functions and requirements of the state courts system and all other court-related functions and requirements which are statewide in nature.' [e.s.] 26 Fla. Stat. Ann. (Supp.) 67.").

²⁰ William A. Buzzett and Deborah K. Kearney, *Commentary <1998 Amendment (1997-1998 Constitution Revision Commission Revision 7)>*, FLA. STAT. ANN., FLA. CONST. art. V, s. 14.

Revision 7's 1998 amendment to article V had to be implemented by July 1, 2004.²² In order to implement the 1998 amendment, the Legislature responded "in stages, beginning with passage of SB 1212 in 2000 (Chapter 200-237, Laws of Florida), followed by additional changes to that law in 2001, and, finally in 2002, through the funding of a study to assist in the final phase of implementation."²³

The final stage was implemented during the 2003 legislative session. To provide Revision 7's envisioned oversight, accountability, uniformity, and procedures in funding and budgeting for the clerks of court, the Legislature enacted sections 28.35, 28.36, and 28.37, F.S.²⁴:

- **Section 28.35, F.S.**, created the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation (Corporation)²⁵ which is responsible to provide accountability, procedural review, and oversight to the clerks of court budgeting process throughout the state.
- Section 28.36, F.S., established budget review and approval procedures of individual clerk of court budgets by the Corporation.
- Section 28.37, F.S., ensures that a portion of certain fines, fees, service charges, and costs collected by the clerks of court are remitted to the state to fund other court-related salaries, costs, and expenses.

Post-Article V Revision to Clerk Funding: 2004-2008²⁶

Between 2004 and 2008, the clerks collected and deposited into their local fine and forfeiture funds revenues from court filing fees, service charges, court costs, and fines assessed in civil and criminal proceedings.²⁷ A portion of the revenues in a clerk's fine and forfeiture fund was retained to finance the clerk's operations. However, another portion of these revenues were distributed to the General Revenue Fund or other state trust funds to meet other court-related costs. For example, the clerks were required to remit one-third of all fines, fees, service charges, and costs collected to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerk of the Court Trust Fund,²⁸ a fund established to assist the clerks in meeting revenue deficits.

Regarding budget planning, the clerks had discretion to set their individual budgets based on anticipated revenues and expenditures. Each clerk's proposed budget had to be balanced with estimated revenues equaling or exceeding anticipated expenditures, although the budget could include a 10 percent contingency reserve.²⁹ If a clerk estimated that available funds plus projected revenues were insufficient to meet anticipated expenditures for court-related functions, that clerk could follow the statutory procedure for receiving funds from the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund to address the deficit.³⁰

²² Office of State Attorney for Eleventh Judicial Circuit v. Polites, 904 So. 2d 527, 530 (Fla. 3d DCA 2005).

²³ Florida House of Representatives, *House Bill 113A Staff Analysis*, (May 14, 2003).

²⁴ 2003 Fla. Sess. Law Serv. Ch. 2003-402 (H.B. 113–A). *See also City of Ft. Lauderdale v. Crowder*, 983 So. 2d 37, 39 (Fla. 4th DCA 2008). Note also that the bill seeks to amend each of these provisions.

²⁵ See supra note 5, and text. When it was first enacted, section 28.35 the "Clerk of court Operations Conference" which was changed in 2004 to the "Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation." Chapter 2004-265, s. 23, Laws of Fla. All clerks are members of the Corporation.

²⁶ This section adapted or used in its entirety from the Appropriations Committee staff analysis in SB 2506 (2017).

²⁷ Section 142.01, F.S.

²⁸ Section 28.37(2), F.S. (2008).

²⁹ Section 28.36(3)(b), (c), F.S. (2008).

³⁰ Section 28.36(4), F.S. (2008).

Each clerk had to submit its proposed budget to the Corporation for review and certification that the individual budget was complete and complied with budget procedures.³¹ Upon review and certification by the Corporation, revenue exceeding the amount needed to fund each budget was deposited in the General Revenue Fund.³²

During this time, the Legislature's involvement in the clerks' budgets was limited. The Legislative Budget Commission (LBC) had authority to approve increases to the maximum annual budgets approved for individual clerks if the additional funding was necessary to:

- Pay the cost of performing new or additional functions required by changes in law or court rule; or
- Pay the cost of supporting increases in the number of judges or magistrates authorized by the Legislature. ³³

Clerks in the General Appropriations Act: 2009-2012³⁴

In an effort to gain greater oversight and accountability for the operations and funding of the clerks of court, the Legislature passed Chapter 2009-204, Laws of Fla., which substantially amended the clerks' statutory budget process and procedures. The new law brought the clerks into the state budget and appropriated their funding in the annual General Appropriations Act.

More specifically, the 2009 law required that all revenues received by the clerks from court-related fees, fines, costs and service charges be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of Court Trust Fund within the Justice Administrative Commission (JAC).³⁵ The law permitted the clerks, however, to deposit 10 percent of all court-related fines in the Public Records Modernization Trust Fund to be used in addition to state appropriations for operational needs.³⁶

By 2009, revenues accruing to the Clerks of Court Trust Fund began to decline due to the downturn in the economy and the reduction in foreclosure filing fees. As a result, the Legislature reinforced the clerks' budgets with additional moneys from the General Revenue Fund. The 2011 Legislature appropriated \$44.2 million from the General Revenue Fund to address FY 2010-2011 revenue deficits and the 2012 Legislature appropriated \$57.6 million to address FY 2011-2012 deficits.

³¹ Section 28.36(3), F.S. (2008).

³² Section 28.37(4), F.S. (2008).

³³ Section 28.36(6), F.S. (2008).

³⁴ This section adapted or used in its entirety from the Appropriations Committee staff analysis in SB 2506 (2017).

³⁵ Chapter 2009-204, ss. 5-8, 12, 14, 19, Laws of Fla. The clerks' budgets were appropriated within the JAC budget from 2009-2012. *See also* s. 43.16, F.S. (establishes the Justice Administrative Commission, which administratively serves 49 judicial-related entities, as well as provides compliance and financial review of billings for services provided by private court-appointed attorneys representing indigent citizens and associated due process vendors).

³⁶ Section 28.37(5), F.S.

Return to Pre-2009 Funding Model: 2013-2019³⁷

In 2013, the Legislature reversed many of the 2009 funding model changes, but expanded the oversight and accountability in the clerks' budget process. Significantly, the 2013 law³⁸ added the following:

- Monthly accounting: required each clerk to submit all collected revenues exceeding onetwelfth of the clerk's total budget for the prior month to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund.
- Annual accounting: required the transfer of revenue exceeding one-twelfth of the clerks' total budget out of the Clerks of Court Trust Fund into the General Revenue Fund each January *unless* the official estimate by the Revenue Estimating Conference projects a trust fund deficit (based on the current budget) in the current or upcoming fiscal year.
- Corporation audits: directed the Corporation to conduct annual base budget reviews, conduct cost-comparisons of similarly situated clerks, report pay and benefit issues, and provide an explanation of any clerk expenditure increases over 3 percent.³⁹
- Corporation budget standard: required the Corporation to use the official Article V Revenue Estimating Conference revenue estimates for the clerks' budget process. 40

The 2013 law also enhanced the role and responsibilities of the Legislative Budget Commission (LBC), and directed the LBC to review the budgets of the clerks and either: (1) approve, (2) disapprove, or (3) amend and approve the budgets by October 1 of each year. In 2017, however, the Legislature removed these duties from the LBC to review the clerks' budgets.

Most Recent Changes -- 2019 to present

The clerk's budget process was amended again in 2019.⁴³ In addition to the total estimated revenues from fees, service charges, costs, and fines for court-related functions available for court-related expenditures as determined by the most recent Revenue Estimating Conference, the combined budget for the clerks of court may also include:

- The unspent budgeted funds for court-related functions carried forward by the clerks of court from the previous county fiscal year; and
- The balance of funds remaining in the Clerks of the Court Trust Fund after the transfer of funds to the General Revenue Fund required pursuant to s. 28.37, F.S.

In 2019, the requirement that the cumulative excess of all fines, fees, service charges, and costs retained by the clerks of court exceeding the amount needed to fund their authorized budgets was transferred to the General Revenue Fund, was changed as follows:

- No later than February 1, 2020, the cumulative excess of all fines, fees, services charges, and costs exceeding \$10 million will be transferred to the General Revenue Fund.
- No later than February 1, 2021, and no later than February 1, 2022, not less than 50 percent of the cumulative excess of all fines, fees, services charges, and costs will be transferred to

³⁷ This section adapted or used in its entirety from the Appropriations Committee staff analysis in SB 2506 (2017).

³⁸ Chapter 2013-44, Laws of Fla.

³⁹ Section 28.35(2)(f), F.S.

⁴⁰ Section 28.35(2)(f)6., F.S.

⁴¹ Section 11.90(6)(d), F.S.

⁴² Chapter 2017-126, s. 1, Laws of Fla.

⁴³ Chapter 2019-58, Laws of Fla.

the General Revenue Fund; provided, however, that the balance remaining in the Clerks of Courts Trust Fund after the transfer may not exceed \$20 million.

• No later than February 1, 2023, and each February 1 thereafter, the cumulative excess of all fines, fees, services charges, and costs will be transferred to the General Revenue Fund.

In that same act, the 2019 Legislature was looking ahead to 2022 by including this:

Section 32. Before the 2022 Regular Session of the Legislature, the Legislature shall review and consider the results of the analysis submitted pursuant to Specific Appropriation 2754 of the 2019-2020 General Appropriations Act regarding the review of the Clerk of Court Processes for the purpose of considering the extension or reenactment of provisions in this act relating to clerk funding.⁴⁴

Specific appropriation 2754 reads in relevant part:

From the funds in Specific Appropriation 2754, the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability is directed to contract with an independent third party consulting firm to assist with a review of the Clerk of Court processes including collection and compilation of empirical evidence based on observation of a random sample of clerks' offices employees; comparison of clerks' office work patterns to propose efficiency and productivity standards; and assessment and comparison of organizational arrangements and deployment of personnel resources among all clerks' offices. Sample groups must include a broad number of large and small counties and include entities from all areas of the state. The analysis shall be submitted to the chair of the Senate Appropriations Committee and the chair of the House of Representatives Appropriations Committee by November 15, 2019.

The report contains 26 recommendations for operational efficiency and cost savings in five categories.⁴⁵ It is unknown how many recommendations have been implemented.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

SB 552 primarily increases revenues of the clerks of court, and makes fiscal-related operational changes, to wit:

Civil Case Filing Fees Retained by the Clerk

Current law requires a clerk of court to transfer to the General Revenue Fund \$700 of the foreclosure case filing fee for a foreclosure where the value of the claim is more than \$50,000 but less than \$250,000, retaining \$195 for the clerk.⁴⁶ Current law also requires the clerk of court

⁴⁴ Chapter 2019-58, s. 32, Laws of Fla.

⁴⁵ Florida Clerks of Court Study, *Final Report* (November 15, 2019), *available at* https://oppaga.fl.gov/Products/ReportDetail?rn=19-CLERKS

⁴⁶ Section 28.241(1)(a)2.d.(II), F.S.

to transfer to the General Revenue Fund \$930 of the foreclosure filing fee where the value of the claim is more than \$250,000, retaining \$195 for the clerk.⁴⁷ The bill increases the clerk's share of filing fees in foreclosure actions, and decreases the share paid to General Revenue, by \$350 per case where the value of the claim is more than \$50,000 but less than \$250,000, and by \$465 per case where the value of the claim is more than \$250,000.

Current law imposes a \$295 filing fee to file a cross claim, counterclaim, counterpetition, or third party complaint in the county court where the relief sought exceeds \$2,500 but is less than \$15,000. 48 The clerk of court is required to transfer the \$295 to the General Revenue Fund. The bill changes distribution of the county court fee for filing a cross-claim, counterclaim, counterpetition, or third-party complaint from the General Revenue Fund to the fine and forfeiture fund of the respective county. This will have the effect of providing additional funding to the clerk of court.

Clerk of Courts Operations Corporation

The bill adds to the list of duties required of the Clerk of Courts Operations Corporation the duty to:

- Recommend to the Legislature changes in the distribution of monies collected by a clerk.
- Recommend to the Legislature an increase in a clerk's budget representing the total increased
 costs associated with clerk support of a new trial court judicial position, based on a formula
 approved by the Corporation.
- Develop on an annual basis a budget request for the anticipated amount necessary for reimbursement of certain no-fee cases related to mental health and substance abuse (see below). The request is not subject to change by the Justice Administrative Commission, and it must be submitted to the Governor for transmittal to the Legislature.

Payment Plans

Persons who pay money to the clerk of court for an outstanding fine, penalty, fee, service charge, or court cost are expected to pay in full. Many individuals, however, cannot afford to pay. Current law authorizes a clerk to accept partial payments and to enter into payment plans with individuals. Monthly payments of no more than 2 percent of the individual's net pay is presumed to be within an individual's ability to pay. 50

The bill changes the terms of payment plans offered by a clerk to a person unable to immediately pay monies owed to the clerk. The bill establishes a minimum monthly payment of \$25, and establishes a maximum initial payment of the lesser of 10 percent of the debt or \$100. The \$5 partial payment service charge⁵¹ or the alternative one-time \$25 service charge for establishing a payment plan,⁵² are payable in addition and thus not a part of the limits.

⁴⁷ Section 28.241(1)(a)2.d.(III), F.S.

⁴⁸ Section 34.041(1)(c), F.S.

⁴⁹ Section 28.246(4), F.S.,

⁵⁰ Using the 2021 Florida minimum wage at full-time employment and subtracting the standard federal payroll deductions, an unmarried individual at that wage would pay no more than \$26.80 a month on a clerk's payment plan.

⁵¹ Section 28.24(27)(b), F.S.

⁵² Section 28.24(27)(c), F.S.

Civil Indigent Application Investigation

An individual seeking appointment of an attorney in a civil case who is eligible for courtappointed counsel, or seeking relief from prepayment of civil filing fees, must apply to the clerk for a determination of civil indigent status. There is a presumption that an individual is not indigent if the individual has a net equity of \$2,500 or more, excluding homestead and excluding equity in a vehicle of up to \$5,000.⁵³ The clerk must accept the application on its face and may not independently verify the information provided.⁵⁴

The bill allows a clerk of court to make a limited investigation of an individual's application for status as civil indigent. The clerk may conduct a review of the property records for the county in which the applicant resides and the motor vehicle title records of this state to identify any property interests of the applicant. The clerk may evaluate and consider the results of the review in making a determination of civil indigent status. If a review is conducted, the results must be in the court file should the applicant appeal the denial of civil indigent status to the trial court.

Traffic Ticket Minimum Fines

While a few noncriminal traffic infractions require a court appearance, in most cases the offender may elect to waive the court appearance, plead guilty to the offense, and pay a specified financial penalty.⁵⁵ A person may elect to contest the infraction and appear at a court hearing before a judge or hearing officer, but that election requires the person to waive the right to the specified penalty.⁵⁶ If the judge or hearing officer finds the offender guilty at the hearing, the judge or hearing officer may impose a fine of any amount up to \$500 in most infractions (a few have a \$1,000 maximum). Notably, this allows a judge or hearing officer in most cases to impose a penalty less than the penalty imposed on an individual who does not contest the infraction. There are two exceptions where the judge or hearing officer must impose the specified penalty as a minimum.⁵⁷ Traffic infraction penalties are split between numerous funds. The split and the funds affected vary based on the offense, but a portion of every penalty funds the operations of the clerks of court.

Where a person elects to contest a traffic infraction, the bill provides that the minimum civil penalty upon a finding of guilt is the amount that the person would have been required to pay if the person had not contested the traffic ticket.

Driver License Suspensions

Numerous laws provide for driver license suspensions due to nonpayment of court obligations. Currently, there are separate processes for reinstatement of the driver license based on the reason for the suspension. Where the suspension is due to nonpayment of a traffic infraction, upon receipt of full payment the clerk immediately clears the suspension by notice to the Department

⁵³ Section 57.082(2)(a)2., F.S.

⁵⁴ Section 57.082(2)(d), F.S.

⁵⁵ Section 318.18, F.S.

⁵⁶ Section 318.14(5), F.S.

⁵⁷ The exceptions are passing a stopped school bus and failure to secure load.

of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSMV).⁵⁸ Where the suspension is court-related but not traffic-related, notably suspensions for delinquency in payment of child support obligations or criminal court fees and fines⁵⁹, the process is different and longer. There, the clerk of court collects the monies owed and furnishes the person with an affidavit that the financial obligation that led to the suspension has been paid. The person must then go to a county tax collector's office to reinstate the driver license with DHSMV. Until the process is complete, the person's license remains suspended.⁶⁰

The bill requires the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles to coordinate with the clerks of court, through their association, to ensure the capability within the department's technology systems for clerks of court to reinstate suspended driver licenses that have been suspended for failure to pay court-related obligations.

Clerk Reimbursement for Certain No-Fee Cases

Clerks of court are supposed to be primarily funded by filing fees and service charges. ⁶¹ However, some civil cases are processed by the clerks without payment, notably protective injunctions, mental health, substance abuse, and civil actions filed by indigents. During the 2019-20 county fiscal year, the clerks opened 183,991 civil files without payment of a filing fee to the clerk. ⁶²

Subject to appropriations, the bill allows a clerk of court to submit to the Justice Administrative Commission a certified request for \$40 per case reimbursement for services rendered in certain no-fee civil cases related to mental health and substance abuse. The request for reimbursement must be submitted in the form and manner prescribed by the Justice Administrative Commission. The categories of cases are:

- Habeas corpus filed by an individual detained under the Florida Mental Health Act⁶³, pursuant to s. 394.459(8)(d), F.S.
- Determination whether an individual should be subject to an involuntary mental health examination under the Florida Mental Health Act, pursuant to s. 394.463(2)(a), F.S.
- Determination whether an individual should be subject to involuntary mental health placement in a mental health facility under the Florida Mental Health Act, pursuant to s. 394.467(3), F.S.
- Determination whether an individual is a sexually violent predator subject to commitment to a mental health facility for sexually violent predators, pursuant to s. 394.917(3), F.S. and Part V of ch. 394, F.S.
- Determination of whether an individual should be involuntarily assessed and stabilized due to substance abuse, pursuant to s. 397.6814, F.S.⁶⁴

⁵⁸ Section 318.15, F.S.; Florida Court Clerks & Comptrollers, *Bill Analysis of SB* 552 (November 24, 2021).

⁵⁹ Section 322.245, F.S.

⁶⁰ Florida Court Clerks & Comptrollers, *Bill Analysis of SB 552* (November 24, 2021).

⁶¹ FLA. CONST. article V, s. 14(b).

⁶² Florida Court Clerks & Comptrollers, *Bill Analysis of SB* 552 (November 24, 2021). There were 135,672 statutory no-fee cases and 48,319 cases filed by indigents.

⁶³ The Florida Mental Health Act is also known as the Baker Act.

⁶⁴ Chapter 397, F.S., is also known as the Marchman Act.

Effective Date

The bill is effective July 1, 2022.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or limit their authority to raise revenue or receive state-shared revenues as specified in Article VII, s. 18 of the Florida Constitution.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

This traffic infraction minimum fine (Section 6) will negatively impact individuals who may have otherwise convinced a judge or hearing officer to impose a penalty less than the statutory default.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The Revenue Estimating Conference estimates that the fee shifts in foreclosure (Section 1) and county courts (Section 4) will have a recurring negative fiscal impact in FY 2022-23 of \$17.4 million and a corresponding positive fiscal impact of \$17.4 million on clerks of court. The Revenue Estimating Conference estimates that the remainder of the bill has an indeterminate positive fiscal impact on the clerks of court.

In FY 2019-20, there were 59,502 mental health and substance abuse cases opened.⁶⁵ Assuming the same number of cases in future years, it would cost an estimated \$2.4 million should the Legislature elect to fully fund the clerks at \$40 a case.

In FY 2019-20, there were 1,180,927 civil traffic infraction hearings.⁶⁶ It is unknown how many were mandatory hearings vs. voluntary hearings to contest an infraction. It is unknown how many hearings resulted in a finding of guilt and the judge or hearing officer then imposed a fine less than what the offender would have paid had he or she not contested the infraction.

.			-	-
VI.	IACh	กเคลเ	I Inticial	ncide:
V I.	1601	ııııcaı	Deficie	IICICO.

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 28.241, 28.246, 28.35, 34.041, 57.082, 318.14, 322.29, 394.459, 394.463, 394.467, 394.917, and 397.6814, F.S.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

⁶⁵ Florida Office of the State Courts Administrator, *Statistical Reference Guide to Florida's Trial Courts, FY 2019-20*, https://www.flcourts.org/Publications-Statistics/Statistics/Trial-Court-Statistical-Reference-Guide
⁶⁶ *Id*.

By Senator Boyd

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

21-00613A-22 2022552

A bill to be entitled An act relating to clerks of the circuit court; amending s. 28.241, F.S.; revising the distribution of filing fees in specified trial and appellate proceedings; amending s. 28.246, F.S.; revising the calculations for certain payment plans with clerks of court; providing requirements for down payments for such payment plans; providing that the down payment does not include specified service charges; amending s. 28.35, F.S.; revising the duties of the Clerks of Court Operations Corporation; requiring the corporation to recommend to the Legislature the costs associated with clerk support for newly created county court and circuit court judgeships; amending s. 34.041, F.S.; revising the allocation to the fine and forfeiture fund of filing fees of certain claims filed in county courts; amending s. 57.082, F.S.; authorizing clerks of court to review the property records and motor vehicle title records of applicants for indigent status; requiring clerks to maintain the results of such reviews with the applications and provide those results to the court if an applicant seeks review of the clerk's determination; providing construction; amending s. 318.14, F.S.; revising the minimum civil penalty for noncriminal traffic infractions; amending s. 322.29, F.S.; requiring the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles to coordinate with the clerks of court to ensure that their technology systems have the capability to

Page 1 of 22

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

21-00613A-22 2022552 30 reinstate driver licenses suspended for failure to pay 31 court obligations; amending s. 394.459, F.S.; 32 authorizing clerks of court to seek reimbursement from 33 the Legislature for habeas corpus petitions under 34 specified conditions; providing the method to seek 35 such reimbursement; requiring the corporation to 36 annually develop and submit to the Governor a budget 37 request for such reimbursement, not subject to change 38 by the Justice Administrative Commission, to be 39 transmitted to the Legislature; amending s. 394.463, 40 F.S.; authorizing clerks of court to seek 41 reimbursement from the Legislature for the filing of orders of involuntary examination under specified 42 4.3 conditions; providing the method to seek such 44 reimbursement; requiring the corporation to annually 45 develop and submit to the Governor a budget request for such reimbursement, not subject to change by the 46 47 Justice Administrative Commission, to be transmitted 48 to the Legislature; amending s. 394.467, F.S.; 49 authorizing clerks of court to seek reimbursement from 50 the Legislature for the filing of petitions for 51 involuntary inpatient placement; providing the method 52 to seek such reimbursement; requiring the corporation 53 to annually develop and submit to the Governor a 54 budget request for such reimbursement, not subject to 55 change by the Justice Administrative Commission, to be 56 transmitted to the Legislature; amending s. 394.917, 57 F.S.; authorizing clerks of court to seek 58 reimbursement from the Legislature for costs and fees

Page 2 of 22

21-00613A-22 2022552

related to appeals for persons determined to be sexually violent predators; providing the method to seek such reimbursement; requiring the corporation to annually develop and submit to the Governor a budget request for such reimbursement, not subject to change by the Justice Administrative Commission, to be transmitted to the Legislature; amending s. 397.6814, F.S.; authorizing clerks of court to seek reimbursement from the Legislature for petitions for involuntary assessment and stabilization; providing the method to seek such reimbursement; requiring the corporation to annually develop and submit to the Governor a budget request for such reimbursement, not subject to change by the Justice Administrative Commission, to be transmitted to the Legislature; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

76 77 78

79

80

81

82

8.3

85

86

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) of section 28.241, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

28.241 Filing fees for trial and appellate proceedings.-

(1) Filing fees are due at the time a party files a pleading to initiate a proceeding or files a pleading for relief. Reopen fees are due at the time a party files a pleading to reopen a proceeding if at least 90 days have elapsed since the filing of a final order or final judgment with the clerk. If a fee is not paid upon the filing of the pleading as required under this section, the clerk must shall pursue collection of

Page 3 of 22

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

21-00613A-22 2022552

the fee pursuant to s. 28.246.

112

113

114

115

116

89 (a) 1.a. Except as provided in sub-subparagraph b. and 90 subparagraph 2., the party instituting any civil action, suit, or proceeding in the circuit court shall pay to the clerk of that court a filing fee of up to \$395 in all cases in which 93 there are not more than five defendants and an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50, from which the clerk shall remit \$0.50 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue 96 Fund, for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$200 in 97 filing fees, \$195 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, \$4 must be 99 remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial 100 101 Services and used to fund the contract with the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1 must 103 be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial 104 Services to fund audits of individual clerks' court-related 105 106 expenditures conducted by the Department of Financial Services. 107 By the 10th of each month, the clerk shall submit that portion 108 of the filing fees collected in the previous month which is in excess of one-twelfth of the clerk's total budget to the 110 Department of Revenue for deposit into the Clerks of the Court 111 Trust Fund.

b. The party instituting any civil action, suit, or proceeding in the circuit court under chapter 39, chapter 61, chapter 741, chapter 742, chapter 747, chapter 752, or chapter 753 shall pay to the clerk of that court a filing fee of up to \$295 in all cases in which there are not more than five

Page 4 of 22

21-00613A-22 2022552

defendants and an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$100 in filing fees, \$95 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, \$4 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services and used to fund the contract with the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund audits of individual clerks' court-related expenditures conducted by the Department of Financial Services.

c. An additional filing fee of \$4 must shall be paid to the clerk. The clerk shall remit \$3.50 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Court Education Trust Fund and shall remit 50 cents to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk education provided by the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation. An additional filing fee of up to \$18 must shall be paid by the party seeking each severance that is granted, from which the clerk shall remit \$3 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund. The clerk may impose an additional filing fee of up to \$85, from which the clerk shall remit \$10 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, for all proceedings of garnishment, attachment, replevin, and distress. Postal charges incurred by the clerk of the circuit court in making service by certified or registered mail on defendants or other parties must shall be paid by the party at whose instance service is made.

Page 5 of 22

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

21-00613A-22 2022552_

Additional fees, charges, or costs may not be added to the filing fees imposed under this section, except as authorized in this section or by general law.

- 2.a. Notwithstanding the fees prescribed in subparagraph
 1., a party instituting a civil action in circuit court relating to real property or mortgage foreclosure shall pay a graduated filing fee based on the value of the claim.
- b. A party shall estimate in writing the amount in controversy of the claim upon filing the action. For purposes of this subparagraph, the value of a mortgage foreclosure action is based upon the principal due on the note secured by the mortgage, plus interest owed on the note and any moneys advanced by the lender for property taxes, insurance, and other advances secured by the mortgage, at the time of filing the foreclosure. The value <u>must shall</u> also include the value of any tax certificates related to the property. In stating the value of a mortgage foreclosure claim, a party shall declare in writing the total value of the claim, as well as the individual elements of the value as prescribed in this sub-subparagraph.
- c. In its order providing for the final disposition of the matter, the court shall identify the actual value of the claim. The clerk <u>must shall</u> adjust the filing fee if there is a difference between the estimated amount in controversy and the actual value of the claim and collect any additional filing fee owed or provide a refund of excess filing fee paid.
 - d. The party shall pay a filing fee of:
- (I) Three hundred and ninety-five dollars in all cases in which the value of the claim is \$50,000 or less and in which there are not more than five defendants. The party shall pay an

Page 6 of 22

21-00613A-22 2022552

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$200 in filing fees, \$195 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$4 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services and used to fund the contract with the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund audits of individual clerks' court-related expenditures conducted by the Department of Financial Services;

(II) Nine hundred dollars in all cases in which the value of the claim is more than \$50,000 but less than \$250,000 and in which there are not more than five defendants. The party shall pay an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first \$355 \$705 in filing fees, \$350\$700 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, except that the first \$1.5 million in such filing fees remitted to the Department of Revenue and deposited into the General Revenue Fund in fiscal year 2018-2019 shall be distributed to the Miami-Dade County Clerk of Court; \$4 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services and used to fund the contract with the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35; and \$1 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund audits of

Page 7 of 22

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

21-00613A-22 2022552

204 individual clerks' court-related expenditures conducted by the 205 Department of Financial Services; or

206

208

209

210

212

213

214

216

217

219

220

222

223

224

226

227

228

230

231

232

(III) One thousand nine hundred dollars in all cases in which the value of the claim is \$250,000 or more and in which there are not more than five defendants. The party shall pay an additional filing fee of up to \$2.50 for each defendant in excess of five. Of the first $$1,240 $\frac{1,705}{}$ in filing fees, \$465\$930 must be remitted by the clerk to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the General Revenue Fund, \$770 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the State Courts Revenue Trust Fund, \$4 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund the contract with the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation created in s. 28.35, and \$1 must be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund audits of individual clerks' court-related expenditures conducted by the Department of Financial Services.

e. An additional filing fee of \$4 shall be paid to the clerk. The clerk shall remit \$3.50 to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Court Education Trust Fund and shall remit 50 cents to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Administrative Trust Fund within the Department of Financial Services to fund clerk education provided by the Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation. An additional filing fee of up to \$18 must shall be paid by the party seeking each severance that is granted. The clerk may impose an additional filing fee of up to \$85 for all proceedings of garnishment, attachment,

Page 8 of 22

21-00613A-22 2022552

replevin, and distress. Postal charges incurred by the clerk of the circuit court in making service by certified or registered mail on defendants or other parties $\underline{\text{must}}$ $\underline{\text{shall}}$ be paid by the party at whose instance service is made. Additional fees, charges, or costs may not be added to the filing fees imposed under this section, except as authorized in this section or by general law.

2.57

Section 2. Subsection (4) of section 28.246, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

28.246 Payment of court-related fines or other monetary penalties, fees, charges, and costs; partial payments; distribution of funds.—

- (4) (a) Each clerk of the circuit court shall accept partial payments for each case type for court-related fees, service charges, court costs, and fines in accordance with the terms of an established payment plan developed by the clerk.
- (b) An individual seeking to defer payment of fees, service charges, court costs, or fines imposed by operation of law or order of the court under any provision of general law shall apply to the clerk for enrollment in a payment plan. The clerk shall enter into a payment plan with an individual who the court determines is indigent for costs. It is the responsibility of an individual who is released from incarceration and has outstanding court obligations to contact the clerk within 30 days after release to pay fees, service charges, court costs, and fines in full, or to apply for enrollment in a payment plan. A monthly payment amount, calculated based upon all fees and all anticipated fees, service charges, court costs, and fines, is presumed to correspond to the person's ability to pay if the

Page 9 of 22

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

	21-00613A-22 2022552
262	amount does not exceed 2 percent of the person's annual net
263	income, as defined in s. 27.52(1), divided by 12 or $\$25$,
264	whichever is greater. Any amount required by the clerk as down
265	payment to initially establish a payment plan may not be more
266	than 10 percent of the total amount owed or \$100, whichever is
267	less. Such amount does not include the imposition of a per month
268	service charge pursuant to s. 28.24(27)(b) or the imposition of
269	a one-time administrative processing service charge each time a
270	payment plan is established pursuant to s. 28.24(27)(c). The
271	clerk shall establish all terms of a payment plan, and the court
272	may review the reasonableness of the payment plan.
273	Section 3. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of section
274	28.35, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
275	28.35 Florida Clerks of Court Operations Corporation
276	(2) The duties of the corporation shall include the
277	following:
278	(c) $\underline{1.}$ Recommending to the Legislature changes in the
279	amounts and distribution of the various court-related fines,
280	fees, service charges, and costs established by law to ensure
281	reasonable and adequate funding of the clerks of the court in
282	the performance of their court-related functions.
283	2. Recommending to the Legislature the total cost
284	associated with clerk support of circuit and county judges
285	statewide, based on a formula approved by the corporation, for
286	consideration each year in which the Legislature authorizes the
287	establishment of new county court judgeships under s. 34.022 or
288	new circuit court judgeships under s. 26.031.
289	Section 4. Paragraph (c) of subsection (1) of section
290	34.041, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

Page 10 of 22

21-00613A-22 2022552

34.041 Filing fees.-

(1)

2.97

(c) A party in addition to a party described in paragraph (a) who files a pleading in an original civil action in the county court for affirmative relief by cross-claim, counterclaim, counterpetition, or third-party complaint, or who files a notice of cross-appeal or notice of joinder or motion to intervene as an appellant, cross-appellant, or petitioner, shall pay the clerk of court a fee of \$295 if the relief sought by the party under this paragraph exceeds \$2,500 but is not more than \$15,000 and \$395 if the relief sought by the party under this paragraph exceeds \$15,000. The clerk shall deposit remit the fee, if the relief sought by the party under this paragraph exceeds \$2,500 but is not more than \$15,000, to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the fine and forfeiture fund established pursuant to s. 142.01 General Revenue Fund. This fee does not apply if the cross-claim, counterclaim, counterpetition, or third-party complaint requires transfer of the case from county to circuit court. However, the party shall pay to the clerk the standard filing fee for the court to which the case is to be transferred.

Section 5. Paragraphs (a) and (d) of subsection (2) of section 57.082, Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 57.082 Determination of civil indigent status.—

(2) DETERMINATION BY THE CLERK.—The clerk of the court shall determine whether an applicant seeking such designation is indigent based upon the information provided in the application and the criteria prescribed in this subsection.

(a) 1. An applicant, including an applicant who is a minor

Page 11 of 22

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

21-00613A-22 2022552_

or an adult tax-dependent person, is indigent if the applicant's income is equal to or below 200 percent of the then-current federal poverty guidelines prescribed for the size of the household of the applicant by the United States Department of Health and Human Services.

- 2. There is a presumption that the applicant is not indigent if the applicant owns, or has equity in, any intangible or tangible personal property or real property or the expectancy of an interest in any such property having a net equity value of \$2,500 or more, excluding the value of the person's homestead and one vehicle having a net value not exceeding \$5,000.
- 3. Notwithstanding the information provided by the applicant, the clerk may conduct a review of the property records for the county in which the applicant resides and the motor vehicle title records of this state to identify any property interests of the applicant under this paragraph. The clerk may evaluate and consider the results of the review in making a determination under this subsection. If a review is conducted, the clerk must maintain the results of the review in a file with the application and provide the file to the court if an applicant seeks review under subsection (4) of the clerk's determination of indigent status.
- (d) The duty of the clerk in determining whether an applicant is indigent is limited to receiving the application and comparing the information provided in the application to the criteria prescribed in this subsection. The determination of indigent status is a ministerial act of the clerk and not a decision may not be based on further investigation, other than the review authorized under this subsection, or the exercise of

Page 12 of 22

21-00613A-22 2022552

independent judgment by the clerk. The clerk may contract with third parties to perform functions assigned to the clerk under this section.

Section 6. Subsection (5) of section 318.14, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366 367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

318.14 Noncriminal traffic infractions; exception; procedures.—

(5) Any person electing to appear before the designated official or who is required so to appear is shall be deemed to have waived his or her right to the civil penalty provisions of s. 318.18. The official, after a hearing, shall make a determination as to whether an infraction has been committed. If the commission of an infraction has been proven, the official may impose a civil penalty not to exceed \$500, but no less than the amount of the civil penalty provisions of s. 318.18, except that in cases involving unlawful speed in a school zone or involving unlawful speed in a construction zone, the civil penalty may not exceed \$1,000; or require attendance at a driver improvement school, or both. If the person is required to appear before the designated official pursuant to s. 318.19(1) and is found to have committed the infraction, the designated official must shall impose a civil penalty of \$1,000 in addition to any other penalties and the person's driver license shall be suspended for 6 months. If the person is required to appear before the designated official pursuant to s. 318.19(2) and is found to have committed the infraction, the designated official must shall impose a civil penalty of \$500 in addition to any other penalties and the person's driver license shall be suspended for 3 months. If the official determines that no

Page 13 of 22

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

21-00613A-22 2022552 378 infraction has been committed, no costs or penalties shall be 379 imposed and any costs or penalties that have been paid shall be 380 returned. Moneys received from the mandatory civil penalties imposed pursuant to this subsection upon persons required to appear before a designated official pursuant to s. 318.19(1) or 382 383 (2) must shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue and 384 deposited into the Department of Health Emergency Medical Services Trust Fund to provide financial support to certified 386 trauma centers to assure the availability and accessibility of 387 trauma services throughout this the state. Funds deposited into the Emergency Medical Services Trust Fund under this section shall be allocated as follows: 389 390 (a) Fifty percent shall be allocated equally among all 391 Level I, Level II, and pediatric trauma centers in recognition 392 of readiness costs for maintaining trauma services. 393 (b) Fifty percent shall be allocated among Level I, Level 394 II, and pediatric trauma centers based on each center's relative 395 volume of trauma cases as calculated using the hospital 396 discharge data collected pursuant to s. 408.061. 397 Section 7. Subsection (2) of section 322.29, Florida 398 Statutes, is amended, and subsection (3) is added to that 399 section, to read: 400 322.29 Surrender and return of license.-401 (2) Notwithstanding subsection (1), an examination is not

Page 14 of 22

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

required for the return of a license suspended under s. 318.15

or s. 322.245 unless an examination is otherwise required by

this chapter. A person applying for the return of a license

suspended under s. 318.15 or s. 322.245 shall must present to

the department certification from the court that he or she has

402

403

404

405

406

2022552_complied with all obligations and penalties imposed pursuant to s. 318.15 or, in the case of a suspension pursuant to s. 322.245, that he or she has complied with all directives of the court and the requirements of s. 322.245 and shall pay to the department a nonrefundable service fee of \$60, of which \$37.50 must shall be deposited into the General Revenue Fund and \$22.50 must shall be deposited into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund. If reinstated by the clerk of the court or tax collector, \$37.50 must shall be retained and \$22.50 must shall be remitted to the Department of Revenue for deposit into the Highway Safety Operating Trust Fund. However, the service fee is not required if the person is required to pay a \$45 fee or \$75 fee under s. 322.21(8).

(3) The department shall coordinate with the clerks of court, through their association, to ensure the capability within their technology systems for clerks of court to reinstate suspended driver licenses for failure to pay court obligations.

Section 8. Paragraph (d) of subsection (8) of section 394.459, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

394.459 Rights of patients.-

(8) HABEAS CORPUS.-

(d) \underline{A} No fee \underline{may} not shall be charged for the filing of a petition under this subsection. However, subject to legislative appropriation, the clerk of the circuit court may, on a quarterly basis, submit to the Justice Administrative Commission a certified request for reimbursement for petitions under this subsection, at the rate of \$40 per petition. The request for reimbursement must be submitted in the form and manner prescribed by the Justice Administrative Commission.

Page 15 of 22

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

21-00613A-22

436	Additionally, the Clerks of the Court Operations Corporation
437	shall develop on an annual basis a budget request for the
438	anticipated amount necessary for reimbursement. The request is
439	not subject to change by the Justice Administrative Commission,
440	and it shall be submitted to the Governor for transmittal to the
441	Legislature.
442	Section 9. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section
443	394.463, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
444	394.463 Involuntary examination.—
445	(2) INVOLUNTARY EXAMINATION
446	(a) An involuntary examination may be initiated by any one
447	of the following means:
448	1. A circuit or county court may enter an ex parte order
449	stating that a person appears to meet the criteria for
450	involuntary examination and specifying the findings on which
451	that conclusion is based. The ex parte order for involuntary
452	examination must be based on written or oral sworn testimony
453	that includes specific facts that support the findings. If other
454	less restrictive means are not available, such as voluntary
455	appearance for outpatient evaluation, a law enforcement officer,
456	or other designated agent of the court, shall take the person
457	into custody and deliver him or her to an appropriate, or the
458	nearest, facility within the designated receiving system
459	pursuant to s. 394.462 for involuntary examination. The order of
460	the court shall be made a part of the patient's clinical record.
461	A fee may not be charged for the filing of an order under this
462	subsection. However, subject to legislative appropriation, the
463	clerk of the circuit court may, on a quarterly basis, submit to
464	the Justice Administrative Commission a certified request for

Page 16 of 22

21-00613A-22 2022552

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477 478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

reimbursement for orders under this subsection, at the rate of \$40 per order. The request for reimbursement must be submitted in the form and manner prescribed by the Justice Administrative Commission. Additionally, the Clerks of the Court Operations Corporation shall develop on an annual basis a budget request for the anticipated amount necessary for reimbursement. The request is not subject to change by the Justice Administrative Commission, and it shall be submitted to the Governor for transmittal to the Legislature. A facility accepting the patient based on this order must send a copy of the order to the department within 5 working days. The order may be submitted electronically through existing data systems, if available. The order shall be valid only until the person is delivered to the facility or for the period specified in the order itself, whichever comes first. If a time limit is not specified in the order, the order is valid for 7 days after the date that the order was signed.

- 2. A law enforcement officer shall take a person who appears to meet the criteria for involuntary examination into custody and deliver the person or have him or her delivered to an appropriate, or the nearest, facility within the designated receiving system pursuant to s. 394.462 for examination. The officer shall execute a written report detailing the circumstances under which the person was taken into custody, which must be made a part of the patient's clinical record. Any facility accepting the patient based on this report must send a copy of the report to the department within 5 working days.
- 3. A physician, a physician assistant, a clinical psychologist, a psychiatric nurse, an advanced practice

Page 17 of 22

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

i	21-00613A-22 2022552
494	registered nurse registered under s. 464.0123, a mental health
495	counselor, a marriage and family therapist, or a clinical social
496	worker may execute a certificate stating that he or she has
497	examined a person within the preceding 48 hours and finds that
498	the person appears to meet the criteria for involuntary
499	examination and stating the observations upon which that
500	conclusion is based. If other less restrictive means, such as
501	voluntary appearance for outpatient evaluation, are not
502	available, a law enforcement officer shall take into custody the
503	person named in the certificate and deliver him or her to the
504	appropriate, or nearest, facility within the designated
505	receiving system pursuant to s. 394.462 for involuntary
506	examination. The law enforcement officer shall execute a written
507	report detailing the circumstances under which the person was
508	taken into custody. The report and certificate shall be made a
509	part of the patient's clinical record. Any facility accepting
510	the patient based on this certificate must send a copy of the
511	certificate to the department within 5 working days. The
512	document may be submitted electronically through existing data
513	systems, if applicable.
514	
515	When sending the order, report, or certificate to the
516	department, a facility shall, at a minimum, provide information
517	about which action was taken regarding the patient under
518	paragraph (g), which information shall also be made a part of
519	the patient's clinical record.
520	Section 10. Subsection (3) of section 394.467, Florida
521	Statutes, is amended to read:
522	394.467 Involuntary inpatient placement

Page 18 of 22

21-00613A-22 2022552

523

524

525

526

527

528 529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

(3) PETITION FOR INVOLUNTARY INPATIENT PLACEMENT.-The administrator of the facility shall file a petition for involuntary inpatient placement in the court in the county where the patient is located. Upon filing, the clerk of the court shall provide copies to the department, the patient, the patient's quardian or representative, and the state attorney and public defender of the judicial circuit in which the patient is located. A fee may not be charged for the filing of a petition under this subsection. However, subject to legislative appropriation, the clerk of the circuit court may, on a quarterly basis, submit to the Justice Administrative Commission a certified request for reimbursement for petitions under this subsection, at the rate of \$40 per petition. The request for reimbursement must be submitted in the form and manner prescribed by the Justice Administrative Commission. Additionally, the Clerks of the Court Operations Corporation shall develop on an annual basis a budget request for the anticipated amount necessary for reimbursement. The request is not subject to change by the Justice Administrative Commission, and it shall be submitted to the Governor for transmittal to the Legislature.

Section 11. Subsection (3) of section 394.917, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

394.917 Determination; commitment procedure; mistrials; housing; counsel and costs in indigent appellate cases.—

(3) The public defender of the circuit in which a person was determined to be a sexually violent predator shall be appointed to represent the person on appeal. That public defender may request the public defender who handles criminal

Page 19 of 22

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

2022552

21-006121-22

1	21-00013A-22 2022332
552	appeals for the circuit to represent the person on appeal in the
553	manner provided in s. $27.51(4)$. If the public defender is unable
554	to represent the person on appeal due to a conflict, the court
555	shall appoint other counsel, who shall be compensated at a rate
556	not less than that provided for appointed counsel in criminal
557	cases. Filing fees for indigent appeals under this act are
558	waived. Costs and fees related to such appeals, including the
559	amounts paid for records, transcripts, and compensation of
560	appointed counsel, shall be authorized by the trial court and
561	paid from state funds that are appropriated for such purposes.
562	However, subject to legislative appropriation, the clerk of the
563	circuit court may, on a quarterly basis, submit to the Justice
564	Administrative Commission a certified request for reimbursement
565	for petitions under this subsection, at the rate of \$40 per
566	petition. The request for reimbursement must be submitted in the
567	form and manner prescribed by the Justice Administrative
568	Commission. Additionally, the Clerks of the Court Operations
569	Corporation shall develop on an annual basis a budget request
570	for the anticipated amount necessary for reimbursement. The
571	request is not subject to change by the Justice Administrative
572	Commission, and it shall be submitted to the Governor for
573	transmittal to the Legislature.
574	Section 12. Section 397.6814, Florida Statutes, is amended
575	to read:
576	397.6814 Involuntary assessment and stabilization; contents
577	of petition.—A petition for involuntary assessment and
578	stabilization must contain the name of the respondent, the name
579	of the applicant or applicants, the relationship between the
580	respondent and the applicant, and the name of the respondent's

Page 20 of 22

21-00613A-22 2022552

attorney, if known, and must state facts to support the need for involuntary assessment and stabilization, including:

(1) The reason for the petitioner's belief that the respondent is substance abuse impaired;

- (2) The reason for the petitioner's belief that because of such impairment the respondent has lost the power of selfcontrol with respect to substance abuse; and
- (3) (a) The reason the petitioner believes that the respondent has inflicted or is likely to inflict physical harm on himself or herself or others unless admitted; or
- (b) The reason the petitioner believes that the respondent's refusal to voluntarily receive care is based on judgment so impaired by reason of substance abuse that the respondent is incapable of appreciating his or her need for care and of making a rational decision regarding that need for care. If the respondent has refused to submit to an assessment, such refusal must be alleged in the petition.

A fee may not be charged for the filing of a petition pursuant to this section. However, subject to legislative appropriation, the clerk of the circuit court may, on a quarterly basis, submit to the Justice Administrative Commission a certified request for reimbursement for petitions under this section, at the rate of \$40 per petition. The request for reimbursement must be submitted in the form and manner prescribed by the Justice Administrative Commission. Additionally, the Clerks of the Court Operations Corporation shall develop on an annual basis a budget request for the anticipated amount necessary for reimbursement. The request is not subject to change by the Justice

Page 21 of 22

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 552

21-00613A-22
2022552_
610 Administrative Commission, and it shall be submitted to the
611 Governor for transmittal to the Legislature.
612 Section 13. This act shall take effect July 1, 2022.

Page 22 of 22

11/30/21

APPEARANCE RECORD

SB 552 - Clerks of the

Meeting Date

Senate Judiciary	Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
Committee		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Tom Bexley	Phone_8	50-345-6835
Address 1769 Moody Blvd	d Email tb	exley@FlaglerClerk.com
Brunell	FL 32110 State Zip	
Speaking: For	Against Information OR Waive Speaking	g: 🚺 In Support 🔲 Against
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:	
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	l am a registered lobbyist, representing:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules, pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11/30/21 SB 552 - Clerks of the APPEARANCE RECORD Meeting Date Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to Senate Judiciary Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Committee Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Jason Harrell Phone 850-345-6835 Name Address 215 S. Monroe JasonHarrell@FlClerks.com Street Tallahassee 32312 City State Zip Speaking: For Against | OR Information In Support Against Waive Speaking: PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am appearing without I am a registered lobbyist, I am not a lobbyist, but received compensation or sponsorship. representing: something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), Florida Court Clerks & Comptrollers sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11/30/21 **APPEARANCE RECORD** SB 552 - Clerks of the Meeting Date Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to Senate Judiciary Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Committee Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Nikki Alvarez Sowles Phone 352-521-4542 Name Address 38053 Live Oak Ave Email nalvarez@PascoClerk.com <u>Dade</u> 33523 State OR Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am appearing without I am a registered lobbyist, I am not a lobbyist, but received compensation or sponsorship. representing: something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11 20 1	The Florida Se	nate	
11-00-2	APPEARANCE	RECORD	558
Meeting Date Meeting Date	Deliver both copies of th Senate professional staff conduc		Bill Number or Topic
Name Committee	Hodgens	Phone	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) $13 - 415 - 5708$
Address $\frac{600 \text{E}}{\text{Street}}$	Kennedy Blvd.	Email	nna hodgensahilbelerk
Street Of City	33601 State Zip		Com
Speaking: For	Against Information OR	Waive Speaking:	In Support
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE lam a registered lobbyist, representing:		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

THE FLORIDA SENATE



SENATOR JIM BOYD 21st District

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100

COMMITTEES: Banking and Insurance, Chair Agriculture Agriculture
Appropriations Subcommittee on Agriculture,
Environment, and General Government
Appropriations Subcommittee on Transportation,
Tourism, and Economic Development
Judiciary Rules

JOINT COMMITTEE:
Joint Legislative Auditing Committee

November 4, 2021

Senator Danny Burgess 404 South Monroe Street 515 Knott Building Tallahassee, FL 32399

Dear Chairman Burgess:

I respectfully request Senate Bill 552: Clerks of the Circuit Court, be scheduled for a hearing in the Committee on Judiciary at your earliest convenience.

If I may be of assistance to you on this or any other matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Best regards,

Impale

Jim Boyd

cc: Tom Cibula

Celia Georgiades

□ 312 Senate Building, 404 South Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5021

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prep	ared By: Th	ne Professiona	I Staff of the Commi	ttee on Judiciary	
BILL:	SB 634					
INTRODUCER:	Senator Bra	ıdley				
SUBJECT:	Judicial No	tice				
DATE:	November 2	29, 2021	REVISED:			
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION
1. Ravelo		Cibula		JU	Pre-meeting	
2.				CM		
3.				RC		

I. Summary:

SB 634 creates a process for a court to take "judicial notice" of certain information taken from mapping services, such as Google Maps. Under Florida law, judicial notice may generally be declared for certain facts "not subject to dispute because they are capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be questioned" or "because they are generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the court."

The bill provides a process separate from the above standards for judicial notice of any image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other information taken from any web mapping service, global satellite imaging site, or Internet mapping tool so long as the information in question indicates the date that it was created. Under the process, a party must file a notice of intent to offer the information, and the information will be admitted into evidence unless another party objects. If there is an objection, the court must overrule the objection, unless the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the material sought to be admitted be does not fairly and accurately portray what it is being offered to prove or that it otherwise should not be admitted into evidence under the Florida Evidence Code. If the court overrules the objection, it must take judicial notice of the information and admit it into evidence.

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2022.

II. Present Situation:

The Florida Evidence Code

Florida statutes, such as the Florida Evidence Code (Code) as enacted by the Legislature, contain both procedural and substantive law for the courts to apply. Depending on the type of

_

¹ Section 90.202(11) and (12), F.S.

proceeding, the Code is generally applicable to all proceedings in Florida courts,² including actions based on federal claims.³ However, statutes that are procedural in nature, even those passed by the Legislature, must be approved by Supreme Court. Occasionally, the Court rejects the legislative changes.

In 2000, for example, the Court refused to adopt a recently enacted hearsay exception, noting that applying the statute would go against long standing rules of evidence and violate a defendant's right of confrontation.⁴ A concurring opinion by Justice Lewis also found that the statute was an unacceptable rule of procedure, and therefore infringed on the Court's ability to adopt rules under article v, section 2(a), of the Florida Constitution. In 2014, the Court refused to adopt a statute that was not part of the evidence code requiring certain qualifications for expert witnesses in medical negligence cases on the grounds that the statue was procedural.⁵

Judicial Notice

Judicial notice allows a court to make a finding that a certain piece of evidence is true without any formal introduction for that basis. Generally, this may involve undisputed facts or facts that are so well known they speak for themselves. Often, judicial notice may be used to save time and resources, as presenting evidence for certain situations may prove too much of an unnecessary burden. Courts warn though, that judicial notice "should be exercised with great caution" and "must be of common and general knowledge [and] authoritatively settled and not doubtful. A famous example occurred in Ohio where a trial court took judicial notice that "Bud Lite is beer" in a case involving the sale of beer to an underage person. The conviction was eventually vacated by the Ohio Supreme Court, consistent with an appellate court finding that despite Bud Lite meeting the "common, everyday understanding" of the term "beer," this did not align with the statutory language as enacted by Ohio Legislature, which included that the beverage contain "between one-half of one percent and twelve percent alcohol by volume." The conviction was thus vacated because the government failed to prove that the Bud Lite in question contained required percentage of alcohol.

There are practical considerations when asking a court to take judicial notice of something. In the above referenced case, for example, judicial notice likely allowed the prosecutor to avoid testing a sample of Bud Lite to determine the alcoholic content. The Bud Lite in question did not contain

² Section 90.103, F.S.

³ Byrd v. BT Foods, Inc., 26 So. 3d 600, 605 (Fla. 4th DCA 2009) ("[S]tate evidence codes control evidentiary questions presented in state court. This is so even where federal claims are litigated, unless the state rules would affect substantive federal rights.").

⁴ In re Amendments to the Fla. Evidence Code, 782 So. 2d 339, 341 (Fla. 2000). The statute in question stripped the former testimony of witnesses hearsay exception of the requirement that the witness be unavailable.

⁵ In re: Amendments to the Fla. Evidence Code, 144 So. 3d 536, 537 (Fla. 2014).

⁶ Legal Information Institute, Cornel Law School, https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/judicial notice

⁷ For example, soliciting testimony from members of the Governor, the Secretary of State, and members of the Legislature to verify that an act of the Legislature was enacted into Florida law would put a large burden on those officials in addition to any parties seeking evidence of any Legislative act.

⁸ State v, Coleman, 5 So. 2d 60, 62 (Fla. 1941).

⁹ State v. Kareski, 2012 WL 1717976, *2 (Ohio 9th Dist. Ct. App. 2012), vacated, 998 N.E.2d 410, (Ohio 2013).

¹⁰ Ohio Rev. Code Ann. § 4301.01(6)(b).

an official marker identifying the amount of alcohol.¹¹ The Code differentiates between when a court *may* or *shall* take judicial notice upon request of a party.

Under s. 90.201, F.S., a court shall take judicial notice of:

- Decisional, constitutional, and public statutory law and resolutions of the Florida Legislature and the Congress of the United States,
- Florida rules of court that have statewide application, its own rules, and the rules of United States courts adopted by the United States Supreme Court, and
- Rules of court of the United States Supreme Court and of the United States Courts of Appeal. 12

Under s. 90.202, F.S., a court may take judicial notice of:

- Special, local, and private acts and resolutions of the Congress of the United States and of the Florida Legislature,
- Decisional, constitutional, and public statutory law of every other state, territory, and jurisdiction of the United States,
- Contents of the Federal Register,
- Laws of foreign nations and of an organization of nations,
- Official actions of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of the United States and of any state, territory, or jurisdiction of the United States,
- Records of any court of this state or of any court of record of the United States or of any state, territory, or jurisdiction of the United States,
- Rules of court of any court of this state or of any court of record of the United States or of any other state, territory, or jurisdiction of the United States,
- Provisions of all municipal and county charters and charter amendments of this state, provided they are available in printed copies or as certified copies,
- Rules promulgated by governmental agencies of this state which are published in the Florida Administrative Code or in bound written copies,
- Duly enacted ordinances and resolutions of municipalities and counties located in Florida, provided such ordinances and resolutions are available in printed copies or as certified copies,
- Facts that are not subject to dispute because they are generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the court,
- Facts that are not subject to dispute because they are capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be questioned, and
- Official seals of governmental agencies and departments of the United States and of any state, territory, or jurisdiction of the United States.¹³

When presented with a request under s. 90.202, F.S., a court is required to take judicial notice after the court:

• Gives each adverse party timely written notice of the request, proof of which is filed with the court, to enable the adverse party to prepare to meet the request, and

¹¹ Kareski, 998 N.E.2d at 411.

¹² Section 90.201, F.S.

¹³ Section 90.202, F.S.

• Is furnished with sufficient information to enable it to take judicial notice of the matter. 14

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill creates a process to allow a court to take judicial notice of certain information from web mapping services, such as street information from Google Maps. Specifically, the bill will allow a court to take judicial notice of any image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other information taken from any web mapping service, global satellite imaging site, or internet mapping tool so long as the information in question indicates the date that it was created.

In order for a court to take judicial notice of this type of information, the bill requires a party to file notice within a reasonable time, or as required by a court order. This notice must include a copy of the information and specify the Internet address where it may be inspected.

The bill provides a process for a party to object to a request for judicial notice of such information. The court is required to make a judicial notice, overruling the objection, unless the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence¹⁵ that the material sought to be admitted be does not fairly and accurately portray what it is being offered to prove or that it otherwise should not be admitted into evidence under the Florida Evidence Code.

The bill provides that this section "does not affect, expand, or limit standards for any matters that may otherwise be judicially noticed."

The bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2022.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A.	Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:
	None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

¹⁴ Section 90.203, F.S.

¹⁵ "Under the preponderance standard, the burden of proof is met when the party with the burden convinces the fact finder that there is a greater than 50% chance that the claim is true." Legal Information Institute, *Cornell Law School*, https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/preponderance of the evidence

_	-	_			
ᆫ	()thar	(, ~ ,	2011111101	\sim 1	
⊏.	Omer	()()I	nstitutior	ıaı	เออนฮอ

None identified.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

SB 634 may enable parties in litigation to avoid costs that they would otherwise incur to produce, verify, and authenticate information from web mapping service, a global satellite imaging site, or an Internet mapping tool.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill may enable government parties to litigation avoid costs that they would otherwise incur to produce, verify, and authenticate information from web mapping service, a global satellite imaging site, or an Internet mapping tool. The bill may also reduce costs to the judiciary by reducing the judicial time and resources that would otherwise be required in litigation over the admissibility of such information.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill creates s. 90,2035, Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

By Senator Bradley

10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

5-00450-22 2022634

A bill to be entitled
An act relating to judicial notice; creating s.
90.2035, F.S.; authorizing courts to take judicial
notice of certain information taken from web mapping
services, global satellite imaging sites, or Internet
mapping tools upon request of a party; requiring
parties who intend to offer such information into
evidence to file a notice of intent containing
specified information; authorizing parties to object
to the admissibility of such information; requiring
courts to overrule such objection unless certain
findings are made; providing construction; providing
an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 90.2035, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

90.2035 Judicial notice of information taken from web mapping services, global satellite imaging sites, or Internet mapping tools.—

- (1) (a) Upon request of a party, a court may take judicial notice of an image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other information taken from a web mapping service, a global satellite imaging site, or an Internet mapping tool, if such image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other information indicates the date on which the information was created.
 - (b) A party intending to offer such information in evidence

Page 1 of 2

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 634

	5-00450-22 2022634
30	at trial or hearing must file notice of such intent within a
31	reasonable time, or as defined by court order, which notice must
32	include a copy of the information and specify the Internet
33	address where such information may be inspected.
34	(2) (a) A party may object to the admissibility of the
35	image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other
36	information taken from a web mapping service, a global satellite
37	imaging site, or an Internet mapping tool within a reasonable
38	time or as defined by court order.
39	(b) The court shall overrule the objection unless the court
40	$\underline{\text{finds by a preponderance of evidence that the material sought to}}$
41	be admitted does not fairly and accurately portray what it is
42	being offered to prove or that it otherwise should not be
43	admitted into evidence under the Florida Evidence Code.
44	(c) If the court overrules the objection, the court must
45	take judicial notice of the information and admit the
46	information into evidence.
47	(3) This section does not affect, expand, or limit
48	$\underline{\text{standards}}$ for any matters that may otherwise be judicially
49	<pre>noticed.</pre>
50	Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2022.

Page 2 of 2



Tallahassee, FL 32399-2300

Joshua E. Doyle Executive Director 850/561-5600 www.FLORIDABAR.org

March 15, 2021

Joshua Doyle, Executive Director James Wiley Hicks, Chair of the Trial Lawyers Section The Florida Bar 651 East Jefferson Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: Proposed legislation – Judicial Notice of internet mapping and imaging

Dear Mr. Doyle and Mr. Hicks

I write in my capacity as Chair of the Code and Rules of Evidence Committee ("CREC"). CREC is composed of experienced judges and lawyers whose mission under Florida Rule of General Practice and Judicial Administration 2.140 is to consider proposals to the Florida Code and Rules of Evidence. The law of evidence in Florida contains both substantive and procedural components. The Florida legislative branch enacted the first codified rules of evidence in 1976. See Ch. 76-237. In 1979, the Florida Supreme Court adopted the Florida Evidence Code, to the extent the code was procedural. CREC has historically made recommendations to the Court on whether the Court should adopt changes by the legislature to Chapter 90, Florida Statutes, to the extent the amendment is procedural. However, recently the Committee has studied an issue regarding a court's ability to take judicial notice of internet mapping and imaging. By a vote of 22-0-1, CREC recommends a new statute be adopted to address this matte, and requested that this be forwarded to the appropriate bodies for adoption as a Florida Statute and rule of evidence. A copy of the approved proposed statute is attached.

The ad hoc subcommittee on this issue of judicial notice included Chair Dan Cytryn, Megan Albrecht, Hon. Linda A. Alley, Nicholas Basco, Christopher Drury, Hon. David Haimes, Jeffrey M. Harris, Katelyn Johnston, Hon. Jeffrey R. Levenson, Ilana Marcus, Richardo Martinez-Cid, Mara Marzano, Curry Pajcic, and Christopher Smart.

Pursuant to 5.10 of the Standing Board Policies of the Florida Bar, standing Committees are not given expressed authority to lobby for statute changes. CREC believes that this proposed statute would be of great benefit to the legal community. To that end, CREC requests that to the extent you are able, please have this proposed statute be presented to the legislature for consideration and adoption. CREC requests periodic updates regarding the status of any efforts and members of this ad hoc subcommittee are willing to serve as recipients of those updates. May we share in advance our appreciation for any effort you can offer in advancing this progress within the practice.

Sincerely,

Melisa L. Bodnar, Chair

Code and Rules of Evidence Committee

THE FLORIDA BAR

Enclosures

cc: Alan Lawson, Florida Supreme Court Justice

Megan Albrecht, Hon. Linda A. Alley, Nicholas Basco, Christopher Drury, Hon. David Haimes, Jeffrey M. Harris, Katelyn Johnston, Hon. Jeffrey R. Levenson, Ilana Marcus, Richardo Martinez-Cid, Mara Marzano, Curry Pajcic, Dan Cytryn and Christopher Smart

Evidence Code – Judicial Notice Statutes

The Florida Evidence Code, sections 90.201 - 90.207 establishes judicial notice. There are three "categories" of judicial notice in Florida:

- 1) matters which <u>must</u> be judicially noticed (90.201). This would include statutes, resolutions of the Florida legislature, rules of court etc.
- 2) matters which <u>may</u> be judicially noticed (90.202). This would include statutes and rules of court from other states, laws of foreign nations, court records, facts that are not subject to dispute because they are generally known within the jurisdiction.
- 3) <u>compulsory</u> judicial notice upon request (90.203). This requires judicial notice of a matter listed in 90.202 when notice is provided to the other side and sufficient information is provided to the court to enable it to take judicial notice of the matter.

The proposed legislation would create 90.2035, Judicial notice upon request of web mapping services, global satellite imaging site, or an internet mapping tool. This would allow for the court to take judicial notice of an image, map, location, calculation. The provision would require that the information seeking notice of, containes the date the material was created.

"(1) Upon request of a party, a court may take judicial notice of an image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other information taken from a web mapping service, a global satellite imaging site, or an internet mapping tool, if such image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other information indicates the date such material was created."

Evidence Code – Judicial Notice Statutes

§ 90.201. Matters which must be judicially noticed.

A court shall take judicial notice of:

- (1) Decisional, constitutional, and public statutory law and resolutions of the Florida Legislature and the Congress of the United
- (2) Florida rules of court that have statewide application, its own rules, and the rules of United States courts adopted by the United States Supreme Court.
- (3) Rules of court of the United States Supreme Court and of the United States Courts of Appeal.

§ 90.202. Matters which may be judicially noticed.

A court may take judicial notice of the following matters, to the extent that they are not embraced within s. 90.201:

- (1) Special, local, and private acts and resolutions of the Congress of the United States and of the Florida Legislature.
- (2) Decisional, constitutional, and public statutory law of every other state, territory, and jurisdiction of the United States.
- (3) Contents of the Federal Register.
- (4) Laws of foreign nations and of an organization of nations.
- (5) Official actions of the legislative, executive, and judicial departments of the United States and of any state, territory, or jurisdiction of the United States.
- (6) Records of any court of this state or of any court of record of the United States or of any state, territory, or jurisdiction of the United States.

- (7) Rules of court of any court of this state or of any court of record of the United States or of any other state, territory, or jurisdiction of the United States.
- (8) Provisions of all municipal and county charters and charter amendments of this state, provided they are available in printed copies or as certified copies.
- (9) Rules promulgated by governmental agencies of this state which are published in the Florida Administrative Code or in bound written copies.
- (10) Duly enacted ordinances and resolutions of municipalities and counties located in Florida, provided such ordinances and resolutions are available in printed copies or as certified copies.
- (11) Facts that are not subject to dispute because they are generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the court.
- (12) Facts that are not subject to dispute because they are capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be questioned.
- (13) Official seals of governmental agencies and departments of the United States and of any state, territory, or jurisdiction of the United States.

§ 90.203. Compulsory judicial notice upon request.

A court shall take judicial notice of any matter in s. 90.202 when a party requests it and:

- (1) Gives each adverse party timely written notice of the request, proof of which is filed with the court, to enable the adverse party to prepare to meet the request.
- (2) Furnishes the court with sufficient information to enable it to take judicial notice of the matter.

§ 90.204. Determination of propriety of judicial notice and nature of matter noticed.

- (1) When a court determines upon its own motion that judicial notice of a matter should be taken or when a party requests such notice and shows good cause for not complying with <u>s. 90.203(1)</u>, the court shall afford each party reasonable opportunity to present information relevant to the propriety of taking judicial notice and to the nature of the matter noticed.
- (2) In determining the propriety of taking judicial notice of a matter or the nature thereof, a court may use any source of pertinent and reliable information, whether or not furnished by a party, without regard to any exclusionary rule except a valid claim of privilege and except for the exclusions provided in s. 90.403.
- (3) If a court resorts to any documentary source of information not received in open court, the court shall make the information and its source a part of the record in the action and shall afford each party reasonable opportunity to challenge such information, and to offer additional information, before judicial notice of the matter is taken.
- (4) In family cases, the court may take judicial notice of any matter described in <u>s. 90.202(6)</u> when imminent danger to persons or property has been alleged and it is impractical to give prior notice to the parties of the intent to take judicial notice. Opportunity to present evidence relevant to the propriety of taking judicial notice under subsection (1) may be deferred until after judicial action has been taken. If judicial notice is taken under this subsection, the court shall, within 2 business days, file a notice in the pending case of the matters judicially noticed. For purposes of this subsection, the term "family cases" has the same meaning as provided in the Rules of Judicial Administration.

§ 90.205. Denial of a request for judicial notice.

Upon request of counsel, when a court denies a request to take judicial notice of any matter, the court shall inform the parties at the earliest practicable time and shall indicate for the record that it has denied the request.

§ 90.206. Instructing jury on judicial notice.

The court may instruct the jury during the trial to accept as a fact a matter judicially noticed.

§ 90.207. Judicial notice by trial court in subsequent proceedings.

The failure or refusal of a court to take judicial notice of a matter does not preclude a court from taking judicial notice of the matter in subsequent proceedings, in accordance with the procedure specified in ss. 90.201-90.206.

Narrative Explanation – Judicial Notice of Satellite Mapping and Imagery

The Code and Rules of Evidence Committee (CREC) of the Florida Bar is made up of plaintiff and defense personal injury lawyers, probate lawyers, real estate litigation lawyers, judges, etc., The committee voted unanimously with one abstention 22-0 to recommend adoption of this new statute on judicial notice. The vote was 22-0-1. The sub-committee that drafted the proposal consisted of 14 lawyers and judges.

The proposed legislation sets forth a specific procedure that allows any party seeking admission "of an image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other information taken from a web mapping service, a global satellite imaging site, or an internet mapping tool" into evidence. It sets forth a statutory scheme to guide the judge regarding whether or not to admit it into evidence.

The committee members saw this as a problem that needs a resolution. To attempt to subpoena one of these mapping or similar services who would then have to have a 'qualified' employee of the entity testify as to a business record hearsay exception would be an unnecessary, almost Herculean task.

All voting members of the CREC felt that it's ludicrous to go through this type of Herculean task, and that times have changed. The committee also recognized that at the time that most judicial notice statutes and sub-sections were adopted, there was no such thing as a "web mapping service, global satellite imaging site, or an internet mapping tool", as we now know it today.

The proposed legislation requires the party intending to offer such image or information into evidence to file a notice within a reasonable time or as set forth by court order, as follows:

- 1. The notice must contain a copy of the document sought to be admitted.
- 2. The document attached to the notice which the proponent seeks to have admitted must indicate the date "such material was created."
- 3. The party intending to introduce the item into evidence shall specify the internet address where the image or information can be found, when filing the notice.

The proposed legislation allows any party to object to the admissibility. It requires the court to rule on any objection and it requires the court to take judicial notice if the objection(s) is overruled. The proposed legislation states that the court shall overrule the objection unless the court finds that it "does not fairly and accurately portray that which it is being offered to prove or that it should otherwise not be admitted into evidence."

The committee was concerned that based upon existing statutes or the lack thereof, a trial judge could come down on either side of the 'fence' and allow it, or not. So, this section creates a rebuttable presumption that if it "fairly and accurately portrays that which it is being offered to prove", it should be admitted into evidence, and that the court then does not have the discretion to preclude admission of the evidence.

The committee felt that, in general, judges are used to having documents admitted into evidence "authenticated" by records custodians.

The committee felt that this rule needs to be passed now to simplify what should be a simple process. For example, with a Google vehicle driving down the street taking a picture of an area with the date on the picture, the picture should simply be admitted into evidence unless there is some extraordinary reason why it shouldn't; or the condition of a parking lot on a certain date; or to replicate exactly how the roadway looked at a particular time or to avoid the expense of having to send an airplane up to take an aerial view when Google or Bing or other search engines have virtually most of the earth available with the tap of a few keystrokes.

The statute section is intended to guide the judiciary and to simplify the process and avoid conflicting decisions on something that should be very basic regarding introduction of something that is essentially incontrovertible.

The committee also discussed the expense and difficulty of going through the process of seeking to obtain the admission of documents through a "web mapping service, global satellite imaging site, or internet mapping tool entity", and determined that it was essential for the administration of justice to simplify the process and adopt this statute specifically authorizing the court to take judicial notice.

The committee felt that to have to rely upon a very general rule concerning judicial notice, 90.202 (12), perhaps which you may call a "catch all" subsection, to accomplish the task, would potentially result in different trial judges taking different views of whether to admit this type of material, resulting in the ultimate review by appellate courts, and not resolving the issue until such time that a case ultimately went up to the Florida Supreme Court, which could take many years to get up there, if ever.

Although technically the court could consider Section 90.202 (12) in whether to allow it because it could be argued that what we are trying to admit are "facts that are not subject to dispute because they are capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be questioned", the quoted portion is simply subject to judicial discretion and can potentially be argued from both sides equally without it being an abuse of discretion on the part of the trial judge whichever way the trial judge decided. This is what we are trying to avoid. This legislation simplifies the process of admission when there is no reasonable reason for not admitting the information. It does so without the cost, expense, and time that would otherwise be necessary to 'jump through hoops' for something which is essentially basic, and in most cases, inarguable.

Just as Rule 1.010 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure states in relevant part: "these rules shall be construed to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action", the legislature should consider that legislation that improves our system of justice by decreasing the expense of litigation and needless consumption of time without sacrificing any protections is always the preferential route. It should be our goal to try to ensure that our system of justice is not unnecessarily or inordinately expensive, and that is our goal and public policy: to simplify what should be a basic process, when justice requires, which it does here.

Proposed Florida Statute 90.2035 – Judicial notice upon request of web mapping services, global satellite imaging site, or an internet mapping tool

- (1) Upon request of a party, a court may take judicial notice of an image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other information taken from a web mapping service, a global satellite imaging site, or an internet mapping tool, if such image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other information indicates the date such material was created.
- (2) A party intending to offer such image or information in evidence at a trial or hearing shall file a notice of such intent within a reasonable time, or as defined by court order, providing a copy and specifying the internet address at which such image or information may be inspected.
- (3) A party may object to the admissibility of the image, map, location, distance, calculation, or other information taken from a web mapping service, a global satellite imaging site, or an internet mapping tool within a reasonable time or as defined by court order.
- (4) The objection shall be overruled unless the court finds by a preponderance of evidence that the material sought to be admitted does not fairly and accurately portray that which it is being offered to prove or that it should otherwise not be admitted into evidence.
- (5) If the objection is overruled, the court shall take judicial notice and admit into evidence such image, map, location, distance, calculation or other information.
- (6) This section is not intended to supplant, limit, or establish standards for any matters that may otherwise be judicially noticed.

11/30/2021 **APPEARANCE RECORD**

SB 634

Deliver both copies of this form to

Bill Number or Topic

I am not a lobbyist, but received

(travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

sponsored by:

something of value for my appearance

Judio	ciary	Sena	te professional staff conductin	g the meeting
	Committee			Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Katelyn Johnst	ton		Phone
Address	311 W Monroe	Street		Email kjohnston@coj.net
	Street Jacksonville	FL	32202	
	City	State	Zip	_
	Speaking: For	Against Info	ormation OR W	aive Speaking: In Support Against
		PLEAS	E CHECK ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules. pdf (flsenate.gov)

I am a registered lobbyist,

representing:

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

I am appearing without

compensation or sponsorship.

Meeting Date

APPEARANCE RECORD

SB 634

Meeting Date

Deliver both copies of this form to

Senate professional staff conducting the meeting

State

Bill Number or Topic

	•		
	Committee		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Michelle DeLong		Phone561-625-6260
Address	2401 PGA Blvd., Suite 14		Email mnd@dcwlaw.com
	Street		
	Palm Beach Gardens FL	33410	

Speaking:	✓ For	Against	Information	OR	Waive Speaking:	In Support	Against

Zip

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.

11/30/2021

City

Judiciary

I am a registered lobbyist, representing:

I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

1. <u>Davis</u> 2.		Cibula			JU Submitted as Comm. Bill/Fav			
ANAL	STAFF DIRECTOR		REFERENCE	ACTION				
DATE:	November 3	30, 2021	REVISED:					
SUBJECT:	Extension of COVID-19 Liability Protections for Health Care Providers							
INTRODUCER:	TRODUCER: Judiciary Committee							
BILL:	SPB 7014	SPB 7014						
	Prepared By The Professional Staff of the Committee on Judiciary:							

I. Summary:

SPB 7014 extends the length of time that health care providers receive certain liability protections from COVID-19-related claims. According to legislation passed during the 2021 Legislative Session, liability protections from COVID-19-related claims apply to claims accruing within 1 year after the effective date of the act, which was March 29, 2022.

The bill extends the application period of the liability protections, making them applicable to claims accruing before June 1, 2023. The net result of the bill is to extend the liability protections for about 14 months, from March 29, 2022, to June 1, 2023.

II. Present Situation:

The COVID-19 pandemic gripped the state and nation in 2020 and 2021 in ways that were previously unimaginable. The toll on individuals, businesses, and the economy were catastrophic. The Legislature determined that special civil liability protections against COVID-19-related claims were essential for the survival of individuals, businesses, health care providers, and other organizations. In an effort to protect those entities that contributed to the overall well-being of the state, the Legislature passed CS/SB 72¹ which established liability protections from COVID-19 related claims for healthcare providers in s. 768.381, F.S.

The liability protections in s. 768.381, F.S., require a plaintiff to:

- Satisfy heightened pleading requirements of alleging facts in sufficient detail to support each element of his or her claim;
- Prove by the greater weight of the evidence that the health care provider was grossly negligent or engaged in intentional misconduct; and
- Overcome any affirmative defense recognized in the statute that is properly raised by the health care provider defendant.

¹ Chapter 2021-1, Laws of Fla.

BILL: SPB 7014 Page 2

Under the "application period" established for the liability protections, the liability protections apply to a "COVID-19-related claims that have "accrued before the effective date of the act" which was March 29, 2021, and "within 1 year after the effective date of this act."

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

This bill extends the length of time, or application period, that a health care provider receives certain liability protections for COVID-19-related claims. Pursuant to legislation passed in 2021, the application period applies to claims accruing before March 29, 2022. This bill extends the application period of the liability protections from March 29, 2022 to June 1, 2023.

The act takes effect upon becoming law.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

The private sector fiscal impacts of SPB 7014 are indeterminate. If the effect of the bill is to codify what the courts would have found to be the common law of the state, then the bill will have a significant positive impact on the private sector in general through the

² Section 768.381(6), F.S.

BILL: SPB 7014 Page 3

avoidance of litigation and its attendant costs. If the effect of the bill is to limit lawsuits that otherwise would have yielded recoveries for injured parties, the bill will have a positive fiscal impact on the health care industry and a corresponding negative fiscal impact on injured individuals.

\sim	C	0	1
C.	Government	Sector	impact

None.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends section 768.381, Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

590-01059A-22

20227014pb

FOR CONSIDERATION By the Committee on Judiciary

A bill to be entitled An act relating to COVID-19-related claims against health care providers; amending s. 768.381, F.S.; extending the duration of liability protections from COVID-19-related claims against health care providers; providing an effective date. Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 10 Section 1. Subsection (6) of section 768.381, Florida 11 Statutes, is amended to read: 12 768.381 COVID-19-related claims against health care 13 providers .-14 (6) APPLICATION PERIOD.—This section applies to claims that have accrued before the effective date of this act and before 15 16 June 1, 2023 within 1 year after the effective date of this act. 17 Section 2. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

Page 1 of 1

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

11/30/2021 APPEARANCE			ARANCE R	ECORD	7014
		Bill Number or Topic			
	Committee				Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Jason Hand, V	ice President of Pu	ublic Policy	_ Phone <u>850-4</u>	43-0024
Address	2292 Wednesd	lay Street		_{Email} jhand	@floridaseniorliving.org
	Tallahassee	FL	32308	_	
	City	State	Zip	-	
	Speaking: For	Against Information	tion OR Wa	nive Speaking:	In Support
		PLEASE CH	HECK ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING:	
111 16	n appearing without npensation or sponsorship.	repre	a registered lobbyist, senting: Senior Living A	ssociation	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11/30	0/2021	APPE	ARANCE	RECORD	SB 7014	
Meeting Date Judiciary		De	Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting		Bill Number or Topic	
	Committee				Amendment Barcode (if applicable)	
Name	Steve Cain			Phone	358-6644	
Address	One Southeast	Third Ave., Suite	3000	_{Email} scain	@stfblaw.com	
	Miami	FL	3313			
	City	State	Zip			
	Speaking: For	Against Informat	tion OR	Waive Speaking: [[In Support Against	
		PLEASE CH	IECK ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING:		
	n appearing without npensation or sponsorship.		a registered lobbyist, senting:		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:	
con	npensation or sponsorship.	repres	senting:	ne heard at this hearing. The	something of value for my ap (travel, meals, lodging, etc.),	

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate APPEARANCE RECORI

11 20 21

Meeting Date Judiciary		APPEAR	LANCE F	SPB 7014	
		Deliver b	both copies of this tonal staff conductin	form to	Bill Number or Topic
	Committee				Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Robin Khanal			Phone 407-8	72-6011
Address		255 South Orange Avenue		_ _{Email} RKhai	nal@qpwblaw.com
	Street Orlando	FL	32801		
	City	State	Zip	_	
	Speaking: For	Against Information	OR w	√aive Speaking: ☐	In Support
		PLEASE CHECK	ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING:	
I an con	n appearing without mpensation or sponsorship.	I am a regis representin	stered lobbyist, ng:		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

The Florida Senate APPEARANCE RECORD Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Amendment Barcode (if applicable) State OR Speaking: Information Against Waive Speaking: In Support

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

Tam a registered lobbyist,

representing:

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

I am appearing without

compensation or sponsorship.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

I am not a lobbyist, but received

(travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

sponsored by:

something of value for my appearance

APPEARANCE RECORD

SIE	70	14	
Bill N	umber oi	Topic	

Deliver both copies of this form to

Julianz	Senate professional stat	f conducting the meeting	
Committee			Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name STEVE (2	MHMER	Phone	671 3700
Address 1812 RG	GINS ROAM	Email Sbahn	er e leading age florida or
City A Hassee	State Zip	108	·
Speaking: Fo	r 🗌 Against 📗 Information C	R Waive Speaking:	In Support
	PLEASE CHECK ONE	OF THE FOLLOWING:	
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	Tam a registered le representing: LEAMNG AGE		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11/3	0/21	APPEA	APPEARANCE RECORD SB7014			
Judio	Meeting Date Eiary	Deliv	ver both copies of this form to essional staff conducting the n	to Bill Number or Topic		
Name	Chris Lyon		Ph	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Phone 850-222-5702		
Address	315 S. Calhou	ın St. , Ste 830	Em	mail clyon@llw-law.com		
	Tallahassee	FL	32301			
	Speaking: For	State Against Information	zip on OR Waive S	Speaking: 🚺 In Support 🔲 Against		
	n appearing without npensation or sponsorship.	I am a re represer	CK ONE OF THE FOLLO egistered lobbyist, nting: steopathic Medical As	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals lodging, etc.)		

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules. pdf (fisenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11/30/2021 **APPEARANCE RECORD** SB 7014 Meeting Date Deliver both copies of this form to Bill Number or Topic **Judiciary** Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Committee Amendment Barcode (if applicable) David Mica, Jr. (352) 222-8700 Name Address 306 E College Ave DavidM@fha.org Street Tallahassee FL 32312 City State Zip For Against Information OR Waive Speaking: In Support Against PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am appearing without I am a registered lobbyist, I am not a lobbyist, but received compensation or sponsorship. representing: something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), Florida Hospital Association sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

11.30		AP	PEARANCE I	RECORD	SPB 7014		
Judio	Meeting Date Ciary		Deliver both copies of this nate professional staff conducti	form to	m to Bill Number or Topic		
	Committee				Amendment Barcode (if applicable)		
Name	William Large			Phone <u>850</u>	-222-0170		
Address	210 South Mor	roe Street		_ Email Will	iam@fljustice.org		
	Tallahassee	FL	32301				
	City	State	Zip				
	Speaking: For	Against Info	ormation OR w	/aive Speaking:	In Support Against		
		PLEAS	SE CHECK ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING:			
	n appearing without npensation or sponsorship.	I commenced	I am a registered lobbyist, representing: rida Justice Reform	n Institute	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:		
					sponsored by:		

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules, pdf (fisenate.gov)

APPEARANCE RECORD

10	(Characopy as to the		
R	ill	Num	ber or Topic

Characteristics.	Deliciavy	Deliver both copies Senate professional staff co	Bill Number or Topic	
	Committee			Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Mary	Thomas	Phone	50 224 6496
Address	Street P	redmont Ov E	Email	Momas @ flmedical.o
	City	FL 3230 8 State Zip		
	Speaking: For	Against Information OR	Waive Speaking:	In Support Against
		PLEASE CHECK ONE OF	THE FOLLOWING:	
	n appearing without mpensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobb representing:		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance
		Florida Medical	1 Association	(travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11/30		APP	EARANCE	RECORD	SB 7014
Judio	Meeting Date ciary	Senate	Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Bill Number or Topic		
Name	Carolyn Johnson			Phone	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) 4-521-1200
Address	136 S Bronough	St		_{Email} cjoh	nson@flchamber.com
	Tallahassee	FL	32301		
	Speaking: For	State Against Inforr	Zip mation OR N	Vaive Speaking:	In Support Against
	appearing without opensation or sponsorship.	I a	CHECK ONE OF THE m a registered lobbyist, presenting: hamber of Comr		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

11/20/2021

1 1/0	0/2021		APPEARANCE RECORD 7014		
Judio	Meeting Date Ciary	Se	Deliver both copies o enate professional staff cond	of this form to ducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
	Committee				Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Grace Lovett	,		Phone <u>85</u>	0.222.4082
Address	227 S. Adams	Street		Email gr a	ace@frf.org
	Tallahassee	FL	32301		
	City	State	Zip		
The second distribution of the second distributi	Speaking: For	Against In	nformation OR	Waive Speaking	: 🔽 In Support 🔲 Against
		PLEA	ASE CHECK ONE OF T	THE FOLLOWING:	
	n appearing without npensation or sponsorship.	V	I am a registered lobbyis representing:	st,	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance
		Flo	PRIDA Reta Federativ		(travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11/30	0/21	APP	EARANCE RE	form to Bill Number or Topic		
Judio	Meeting Date Elary		Deliver both copies of this form professional staff conducting th			
Name	James McFadd	lin		Phone _	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)	
Address	123 S. Adams S	St.		_{Email} n	ncfaddin@thesoutherngroup.com	
	Tallahassee	FL	32301			
	City	State	Zip			
	Speaking: For	Against Inform	mation OR Waiv	re Speaki	ng: 🚺 In Support 🔲 Against	
		PLEASE	CHECK ONE OF THE FO	LLOWIN	G:	
	n appearing without npensation or sponsorship.	re	am a registered lobbyist, presenting: et Healthcare		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:	

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11/30/21 Meeting Date Judiceran	APPEARANCE RECORD Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	FOIY Bill Number or Topic
Name Brewster Bei	11'S Phone 571	
Address 5/6 W Ada =	Email bbe	us Carken
TLU RC City State	323cl Zip	
Speaking: For Against	☐ Information OR Waive Speaking: V	In Support Against
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:	
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyist, representing: Diaded Industries of Florid	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

	Meeting Date Judici un		PEARANCE Deliver both copies of ate professional staff conductions	this form to	Bill Number or Topic
Name	George Feijoo			Phone 30572	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Address	108 S. Monroe	Street		Email grfeijo	oo@flapartners.com
	Tallahassee	FL	32312		
	Speaking: For	State Against Info	Zip ormation OR	Waive Speaking: 🔽	In Support
	appearing without pensation or sponsorship.		Tam a registered lobbyist representing:		I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. § 11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules. pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

	0,	14	
Bill	Numb	er or Topic	

	1/ -	THE HOHIGA SEH	iate		4.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	11-30-21	APPEARANCE F	RECOR	D	7014	
	Meeting Date Judicles	Deliver both copies of this Senate professional staff conduction	form to		Bill Number or Topic	
Name	Committee	n Parson	Phone	850-	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) $910-2678$	
Address	Street		Email	Him A.	11 bests pelts A. v	
	City Speaking: For	State Zip Against Information OR w	— Vaive Speakir	ng: 🖺 ín S	Support Against	
	appearing without pensation or sponsorship.	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE Tam a registered lobbyist, representing: Plor Ida ASSI Sed Co			I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:	:
Address	Street City Speaking: For appearing without	State Zip Against Information OR V PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE	Email	ng: Min S	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appear (travel, meals, lodging, etc.),	ance

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules, pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

Senate	
E RECORD	90B 7014
of this form to anducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Phone <u>63</u>	1-525-6875
Email	ry onwoods Io
75	gmail.com
Waive Speaking:	In Support 🔀 Against
THE FOLLOWING:	
yist,	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:
	THE FOLLOWING:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

		The F	lorida Senate	, and the second se
4/30	,/21	APPEAR	ANCE RECORD	SB 7014
Serate:	Meeting Date Tudiculary		h copies of this form to Il staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
- WIN-16	Committee	ž.		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	TOBY P	hilpot	Phone	856-224-3907
Address Street	07 W. É	Este Avenue	Email	tphilpot@Fhea.org
Talla	ahusser		230(
Spea	aking: For	Against Information	OR Waive Speaking	ng: In Support
		PLEASE CHECK C	ONE OF THE FOLLOWING	G:
I am appeari compensatio	ing without on or sponsorship.	I am a register representing: Floridu floud	red lobbyist, the Care Association	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

	Prepared By: The Professional Staff of the Committee on Judiciary						
BILL:	SB 620						
INTRODUCER:	Senator Hu	tson					
SUBJECT:	Local Gove	ernment					
DATE:	November 2	29, 2021	REVISED:				
ANAL	YST	STAFF	DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION	
1. Bond		Cibula		JU	Favorable		
2				RC			
3.				AP			

I. Summary:

SB 620 creates a cause of action for an established business to recover loss of business damages from a county or municipality whose regulatory action has caused a significant impact on the business.

Currently, landowners have a cause of action under the Bert J. Harris Act to compensate them for the lost value of their land caused by certain local government actions; landowners have a cause of action for onerous local regulation in the form of exactions; and business landowners have a cause of action under eminent domain law for business damages related to a taking of real property. Similarly, this bill creates a cause of action for a business to sue a local government when the enactment or amendment of an ordinance or charter provision causes at least a 15 percent loss of income or profits to the business. The business must have been in operation for at least 3 years to qualify. Business damages recoverable are the probable damages to such business which the application of the enactment or amendment of the ordinance or charter provision may reasonably cause. Compliance with a 180-day presuit notice and settlement period is required. A prevailing business may also be awarded costs and attorney fees payable by the county or municipality. If the parties settle the matter pre-trial, attorney fees are limited to a reasonable rate. If the business prevails after the presuit process, attorney fees are a percentage of the difference between the county or municipality's counteroffer and the final award.

The bill may have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact on local governments. The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state government.

The bill is effective July 1, 2022, and applies to enactment or amendment of an ordinance or charter provision on or after July 1, 2022.

II. Present Situation:

Home Rule Powers

The Florida Constitution

The Florida Constitution establishes and describes the duties, powers, structure, function, and limitations of government in Florida. Article VIII, sections 1 and 2 of the Florida Constitution, endows counties and municipalities the power of self-government or home rule power. Under the home rule power, local governments have broad authority to exercise the state's sovereign police powers and legislate on any matter that is not inconsistent with federal law and the State Constitution and state laws.

Counties

A county without a charter has such power of self-government as provided by general or special law and may enact county ordinances not inconsistent with general law. Counties operating under county charters have all the powers of local self-government not inconsistent with general law or with special law approved by a vote of the electors. General law authorizes counties "the power to carry on county government" and to "perform any other acts not inconsistent with law, which acts are in the common interest of the people of the county, and exercise all powers and privileges not specifically prohibited by law."

Municipalities

Municipalities may be established or abolished, and their charters amended by general or special law. Municipalities have governmental, corporate, and proprietary powers to conduct municipal government, perform municipal functions, and render municipal services. They may exercise any of these powers for municipal purposes except as otherwise provided by law.⁵ Chapter 166, F.S., also known as the Municipal Home Rule Powers Act,⁶ acknowledges these constitutional grants of police power and better defines municipal powers of self-government.⁷ Chapter 166, F.S., provides municipalities with broad home rule powers to act in a manner not inconsistent with the Florida Constitution, general and special law, and a charter for the county in which the municipality is located.⁸

¹ FLA. CONST. art. VIII, s. 1(f).

² FLA. CONST. art. VIII. s. (1)(g).

³ Section 125.01(1), F.S.

⁴ Section 125.01(1)(w), F.S.

⁵ FLA. CONST. art. VIII, s. 2.

⁶ Section 166.011, F.S.

⁷ Florida House of Representatives, Publications, *The Local Government Formation Manual* 2017-2018, p. 16, *available at:* <a href="http://www.myfloridahouse.gov/Sections/Documents/loaddoc.aspx?PublicationType=Committees&CommitteeId=2911&Session=2017&DocumentType=General Publications&FileName=2017-2018 Local Government Formation Manual Final Pub.pdf (last visited Nov. 23, 2021).

⁸ Section 166.021(4), F.S.

Current Laws Providing Compensation for County and Municipality Governmental Actions

Eminent Domain

Both the Federal Constitution and State Constitution guarantee that a person's private property may not be taken for public use without reimbursement. The Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution states that no private property shall "be taken for public use without just compensation." Similarly, the Florida Constitution provides that no private property shall be taken except for a public purpose and that each owner must be fully compensated. Florida eminent domain law compensates a landowner for the value of real property taken for a public purpose. If the taking impacts an ongoing business, the law also provides for payment of business damages related to the eminent domain taking. The term business damages refers to "the probable damages to such business which the denial of the use of the property so taken may reasonably cause."

State eminent domain law also provides an affected landowner the right to attorney fees. ¹¹ Where the parties settle, the state or local government must pay a reasonable attorney fee, but where the issue is litigated the fee is based on benefit to the landowner. Examples of how this works in the context of business damages payable to a landowner in an eminent domain case:

Business Damages in Eminent Domain Attorney Fee Examples	
Description	Attorney Fee Calculation
Business owner's offer is \$500,000. The government accepts the offer.	Attorney's fees would be based on a reasonable amount of time at a reasonable rate.
Business owner's offer is \$500,000. The government's counteroffer is \$400,000, which is accepted by the business owner.	Attorney's fees would be based on a reasonable amount of time at a reasonable rate.
Business owner's offer is \$500,000. The government's counteroffer is \$100,000. Business owner rejects the counteroffer. At trial, the jury awards \$200,000. The "benefit" is \$100,000	Attorney's fees, based on that benefit, would be \$100,000 x 33% = \$33,000.
Business owner's offer is \$50,000. The government's counteroffer is \$10,000. The claim does not go to trial and is settled for \$20,000.	Attorney's fees based on the \$10,000 benefit would be $$10,000 \times 33\% = $3,300$.

⁹ FLA. CONST. art. X, s. 6.

¹⁰ Section 73.071(2)(b), F.S.

¹¹ Section 73.092, F.S.

The Bert J. Harris, Jr., Private Property Rights Protection Act

The Legislature enacted the "Bert J. Harris, Jr., Private Property Rights Protection Act" in 1995. The act provides relief to a property owner whose property is inordinately burdened by government regulation. The act is limited in scope and applies only to:

- Real, and not personal, property;
- A property owner and not a leaseholder;
- "As-applied" challenges for specific government actions, not to broad, facial challenges of government regulations; and
- Challenges that are not based on temporary impacts. 12

The Legislature recognized that some laws, regulations, and ordinances of the state and its entities could inordinately burden, restrict, or limit private property rights *without* amounting to a taking 13 under either the State Constitution or the United States Constitution. The Legislature declared that there is "an important state interest in protecting the interests of private property owners from those inordinate burdens." Accordingly, the Legislature created a separate and distinct cause of action for governmental actions that might not rise to the level of taking under the State Constitution or United States Constitution. The Legislature provided a process for private landowners to seek relief, or payment of compensation, when a new law, rule, regulation, or ordinance of the state or a political entity, as applied, unfairly affects real property. 14

The phrases "inordinate burden" and "inordinately burdened" mean that an action by one or more governmental entities has directly restricted or limited the use of real property to the extent that:

- The property owner is permanently unable to attain the reasonable, investment-backed
 expectation for the existing use of the real property or a vested right to a specific use of the
 real property with respect to the real property as a whole; or
- The property owner is left with existing or vested uses that are unreasonable such that the property owner bears a disproportionate share of a burden imposed for the good of the public, which in fairness should be borne by the public at large. 15

Before a property owner files an action for compensation under the Bert Harris Act, he or she must present a written claim to the head of the government entity at least 90 days before filing an action. In addition to the claim, the property owner must submit a valid appraisal that supports the claim and demonstrates the loss in fair market value to the property. ^{16,17} If other parties are

¹² W. Thomas Hawkins, *Land Use Law in Florida*, 17-3 (Routledge, 2021).

¹³ A "taking" is generally understood to mean a government action that deprives an owner of the use or enjoyment of his or her property. A regulatory taking occurs when a government regulation seriously restricts a property owner's rights. BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (10th ed. 2014).

¹⁴ Section 70.001(1), F.S.

¹⁵ Section 70.001(3)(e)1., F.S. The definition further explains in s. 70.001(3)(e)2., F.S., what the terms do not include with regard to other impacts.

¹⁶ Section 70.001(4)(a), F.S.

¹⁷ The appraisal should contain valuations of the property both before and after the government's restriction was imposed. This will enable the government to adequately evaluate the property owner's potential claim for the purpose of developing a settlement offer during the pre-suit period. Margaret L. Cooper, Ronald L. Weaver, and Joanne M. Connor, *Statutory Private Property Rights Protection*, 6,The Florida Bar, 2018 Florida Real Property Litigation (2018), https://l.next.westlaw.com/Link/Document/FullText?findType=Y&serNum=0368929390&pubNum=0116933&originatingD

involved, the governmental entity must notify them, including all owners of real property that is contiguous to the owner's property.¹⁸

During the 90-day notice period, which may be extended by an agreement of the parties, the government is required to make a written settlement offer to the claimant. The settlement may contain an offer to:

- Adjust land development, permit standards, or similar provisions controlling the development or use of the land.
- Increase or modify density, intensity, or use of areas of development.
- Transfer development rights.
- Entertain land swaps or exchanges.
- Mitigate, including payments in lieu of onsite mitigation.
- Locate on the least sensitive portion of the property.
- Condition the amount of development or use permitted.
- Require that issues be addressed on a more comprehensive basis.
- Issue a development order, variance, special exception, or other extraordinary relief.
- Purchase the property or an interest in it.
- Make no changes to the proposed action. 19

If the property owner rejects the settlement offer with the allowable uses, the property owner may file a claim in circuit court and the county where the real property is located.²⁰ A cause of action may not be filed more than 1 year after a law or regulation is "first applied" by the government to the property at issue. The 1-year time frame begins when the law or regulation is clear and unequivocal in its terms and notice is provided by mail to the affected property owner or registered agent. Otherwise, the law or regulation is considered first applied to the property when there is a formal denial of a written request for a development order or variance, unless under the terms of the regulation at issue, such requests would be a waste of resources.²¹

The court then conducts a bench trial to determine whether an existing use of the real property or a vested right to a specific use of the property existed and whether the government inordinately burdened the owner's property. If the court determines that an inordinate burden was imposed, the court must also determine the percentage of responsibility each governmental entity must bear.²² The property owner may decide whether the amount of compensation is to be determined by the court or jury.²³

The court, and not the jury, will determine what constitutes reasonable costs and attorney fees.²⁴

 $[\]underline{oc=N090388C02AB211E5823BE24E38CB0B04\&refType=SA\&originationContext=contextAnalysis\&contextData=\%28sc.}\\ \underline{UserEnteredCitation\%29\&transitionType=ContextAnalysisItem.}$

¹⁸ Section 70.001(4)(b), F.S.

¹⁹ Section 70.001(4)(c), F.S.

²⁰ Section 70.001(5)(b), F.S.

²¹ Section 70.001(11), F.S.

²² Section 70.001(6)(a), F.S.

²³ Section 70.001(6)(b), F.S.

²⁴ Section 70.001(6)(c)3., F.S.

The property owner is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney fees from the government from the date the action was filed in circuit court if:

- The property owner prevails; and
- The court determines that the government's settlement offer did not constitute a bona fide offer which reasonably would have resolved the claim during the 90-day notice period. 25

Similarly, the government is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney fees incurred from the date the action was filed in circuit court if:

- The government prevails; and
- The court determines that the property owner did not accept a bona fide settlement offer which reasonably would have fairly resolved the claim if the offer had been accepted by the property owner during the 90 day notice period.²⁶

Governmental Exactions

In response to a 2013 U.S. Supreme Court case, *Koontz v. St. John's River Water Management District*,²⁷ the Legislature enacted s. 70.45, F.S., in 2015, and created a cause of action for a property owner to recover damages caused by a "prohibited exaction."²⁸ Essential phrases from the *Koontz* decision are embedded in the statute. A prohibited exaction is defined as any condition imposed by a governmental entity on a property owner's proposed use of real property that does not have "an essential nexus to a legitimate public purpose and is not roughly proportionate to the impacts of the proposed use that the governmental entity" is seeking to avoid, minimize, or mitigate.²⁹

The action may not be brought until a prohibited exaction is actually imposed or required in writing as a final condition of approval for the requested use of real property. The right to bring the action may not be waived.³⁰

The property owner must provide a written notice to the relevant governmental entity of his or her proposed action at least 90 days before filing an action but no later than 180 days after imposition of the prohibited exaction. The notice must identify the exaction that the property owner believes is prohibited and briefly explain why he or she believes the action is prohibited along with an estimate of the damages. The relevant governmental entity must review the notice of the claim, respond in writing and identify the basis for the exaction, and explain why it maintains that the exaction is proportionate to the harm created by the proposed use of real property, or propose to remove all or a proportion of the exaction.³¹

The government has the burden of proving that the exaction has an essential nexus to a legitimate public purpose and that it is roughly proportionate to the impact the government seeks to avoid.

²⁵ Section 70.001(6)(c)1., F.S.

²⁶ Section 70.001(6)(c)2., F.S.

²⁷ Koontz v. St. Johns River Water Management Dist., 570 U.S. 595 (2013).

²⁸ Chapter 2015-142, s. 2, Laws of Fla.

²⁹ Section 70.45(1)(c), F.S.

³⁰ Section 70.45(2), F.S.

³¹ Section 70.45(3), F.S.

The burden of proving damages that result from the prohibited exaction rests upon the property owner.³²

The court may award attorney fees and costs to the prevailing party. However, if the court finds that the exaction lacks an essential nexus to a legitimate public purpose, the court must award attorney fees and costs to the property owner.³³

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

SB 620 creates s. 70.91, F.S. to create a cause of action for a business that has been operating for at least 3 years to recover business damages from a county or municipality who enacts or amends an ordinance or charter provision that causes a 15 percent or greater loss of revenue or profit. Unlike the three forms of recovery for government actions described above, this new cause of action is not tied to real property ownership.

Legislative Statements of Need for the Bill

The bill provides the following statements:

WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that the continued economic growth and economic prosperity of this state are tied to the protection of private property rights and the stability of laws, ordinances, and charter provisions, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that the protection of private property rights and the stability of laws and local rules and regulations affecting business activities encourage investments by businesses in their real property, facilities, operations, and workforces, and

WHEREAS, investments by businesses drive the economic growth of a community, and

WHEREAS, the economic costs of local rules and regulations that are primarily for the benefit of a county or municipality as a whole should be borne by the county or municipality as a whole, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature intends to require counties and municipalities to compensate businesses for business damages when an ordinance or a charter provision causes a business significant economic harm.

Exceptions to Application of the Bill

A county or city is not liable for business damages for any enactment or amendment of an ordinance or charter provision that is:

³² Section 70.45(4), F.S.

³³ Section 70.45(5), F.S.

- Required to comply with state or federal law;
- An emergency ordinance, declaration or order enacted pursuant to the State Emergency Act:³⁴
- A temporary emergency ordinance that remains in effect no more than 90 days; or
- An ordinance or charter amendment that increases economic freedom.

Additionally, a business may not claim damages under the bill if:

- The business has been in operation for fewer than 3 years;
- The business may claim damages under eminent domain law; or ³⁵
- Recovery under another legal theory would lead to a double recovery by the business.

Presuit Requirements

Similar to eminent domain actions and claims under the Bert J. Harris, Jr. Act or the law on exactions, the bill requires the parties to engage in a presuit process before litigation ensues. The presuit process starts with a demand letter from the business followed by an opportunity for negotiation and settlement before a lawsuit may be filed. Specifically, the following procedures are required:

An initial demand letter from the business must be presented to the county or city within 180 days after the effective date of the enactment or amendment to the ordinance or charter provision. The initial demand letter must include a written offer to settle the claim. It must be made in good faith, and must include an explanation or the nature, extent, and amount of business damages. The initial demand letter must be prepared by the business owner, a certified public accountant, or a business damages expert. Copies of relevant business records that substantiate the claim must be attached to the demand. The county or city may request additional information that the owner may agree to provide. The business records that may be attached include, but are not limited to:

- Federal income tax returns.
- Federal income tax withholding statements.
- Federal miscellaneous income tax statements.
- State sales tax returns.
- Balance sheets.
- Profit and loss statements.
- State corporate income tax returns for the 3 years preceding the enactment of or amendment to an ordinance or a charter.
- Other records relied upon by the business to substantiate a claim for business damages.

The county or city has 120 days to review the demand letter and furnish a response to it by certified mail. The response must either be acceptance, rejection, or rejection with a counteroffer. A failure to respond is considered a counteroffer of zero.³⁶ If the parties agree on the amount of business damages, the business may in addition collect costs and attorney fees. Costs and

³⁴ Sections 252.31-.60, F.S.

³⁵ Chapter 73, F.S.

³⁶ A counteroffer of zero is significant when calculating attorney fees based on the results of the litigation.

attorney fees may be litigated separately even though the amount of business damages is agreed upon.

If the parties cannot agree on the amount of business damages, and if 180 days has elapsed since service of the initial demand letter, the business may file a lawsuit.

Calculation of Business Damages

The bill does not specify criteria calculating business damages. The term is used in eminent domain litigation, is a familiar concept in that area of the law, and will likely be interpreted by the courts in a similar fashion. Thus, business damages are the probable damages to such business which application of the enacted or amended ordinance or charter provision may reasonably cause.³⁷ Business damages include lost profits attributable to the reduced profit-making capacity of the business caused by the enactment of amendment of an ordinance or charter provision.³⁸

The business must state in its complaint the nature and extent of the business damages believed to be owed. If contested, the amount is set by the jury, unless the business waives the right to a jury and elects trial by a judge.

At trial, due to the similarities with the business damages under eminent domain law, each party will likely be "entitled to approach the 'inherently fact-intensive' task of business-damage valuation by presenting the opinions of qualified experts 'based upon generally accepted accounting principles as to what should be included in the jury's calculation." These experts in calculating damages, depending upon the specific circumstances would seem to be authorized to rely on various valuation methods including an:

income-based approach (i.e., value based on current and future revenue stream discounted to a total present value), market-based approach (i.e., value based on comparison to comparable businesses existing in the particular market adjusted for the individual characteristics and risks associated with the specific business), or asset-based approach (i.e., value based on total assets net liabilities; typically used when the business is not profitable).⁴⁰

Costs and Attorney Fees

The initial offer only includes business damages, the issue of costs and attorney fees only arises upon settlement of, or judgment for, the business damages. A business is entitled to an additional award of costs and attorney fees if the parties reach a settlement on business damages or if the

³⁷ See, s. 73.071(3)(b), F.S.

³⁸ See, *LeSuer v. State Rd. Dep't*, 231 So. 2d 265, 268 (Fla. 1st DCA 1970)

³⁹ System Components Corp. V. Florida Dept. of Transp., 14 So. 3d 967, 980 (Fla. 2009).

⁴⁰ *Id.* (citing s. 73.071(3)(b), Fla. Stat. (2004); Jeffrey M. Risius, *Business Valuation: A Primer For The Legal Professional* chs. 8, 10, 12 (2007); American Society of Appraisers, *Business Valuation Standards Glossary*, available at www.bvappraisers. org/glossary/glossary.pdf).

business prevails in court. The calculation of attorney fees differs based on when the business damages matter was resolved. Prejudgment interest for costs and attorney fees is not allowed.

Calculation of Costs

In general, a statutory reference to costs is interpreted by the courts by reference to the *Statewide Uniform Guidelines for Taxation of Costs in Civil Actions*, promulgated by the Florida Supreme Court. ⁴¹ Those uniform guidelines include payment of the reasonable costs of experts and professionals who assist the court. The bill provides procedural requirements and makes the following changes or clarifications to the uniform guidelines:

- Accountant fees are specifically named as a cost.
- At least 30 days prior to the hearing on costs, the business must submit to the county or
 municipality billing records of any expert witness. Billing records must include details of the
 expert's time and services by date, the nature of the services performed, the time spent
 performing the services, and costs incurred. The business must also submit a copy of the fee
 agreement.
- The court must consider all factors relevant to the reasonableness of the costs, including, but not limited to, the fees paid to similar experts retained in the case by the county or municipality or other parties and the reasonable costs of similar services by similarly qualified persons.
- The court must consider the amount the business would ordinarily have been expected to pay for the services rendered if the county or municipality was not responsible for the costs.
- The court must make specific findings that justify each sum awarded as an expert witness fee.

Attorney Fees when Business Damages are Settled in Pre-Suit Initial Phase

If the county or municipality accepts the initial offer from the business, or if the business accepts the initial counteroffer of the county or municipality, the business is entitled to an award for attorney fees.

The parties may negotiate the fee. If they cannot agree, the business has one year from the effective date of the enactment or amendment to the ordinance or charter provision to file suit in the circuit court to recover a reasonable attorney fee.

To make a claim for fees after agreement on the business damages amount, the attorney for the business must submit a claim for fees to the county or municipality at least 30 days prior to any hearing. The claim must include:

- Complete time records.
- A detailed statement of services rendered by date, the nature of the services rendered, and the time spent performing the services.
- A list of all costs incurred.
- A copy of the fee agreement.

⁴¹ Statewide Uniform Guidelines for Taxation of Costs in Civil Actions, Fla.R.Civ.Pro., Appendix II.

The court must be guided by the attorney fees the business would ordinarily be expected to pay for these services if the county or municipality was not responsible for the payment of those fees, and must be based on the following factors:

- The novelty, difficulty, and importance of the questions involved.
- The skill employed by the attorney in conducting the case.
- The amount of money involved.
- The responsibility incurred and fulfilled by the attorney.
- The attorney's time and labor reasonably required to adequately represent the client in relation to the benefits resulting to the client.
- The fee, or rate of fee, customarily charged for legal services of a comparable or similar nature.

Evidence related to negotiations or mediation are admissible when determining the reasonable costs or attorney fee. Attorney fees awarded by the court must be used to reduce the amount owed or paid by the business.

Attorney Fees when Business Damages are not Determined in the Initial Phase

If the county or municipality does not accept the initial good faith demand, the business does not accept the initial counteroffer of the county or municipality, and the business thereafter prevails by settlement or judgment, the court must award the prevailing business an attorney fee in addition to the business damage award. The attorney fee is based on the benefit to the business:

- 33 percent of the benefit up to \$250,000; plus
- 25 percent of the benefit between \$250,000 and \$1 million; plus
- 20 percent of the benefit above \$1 million.

The benefit to the business is calculated as follows:

- The term "benefits" means the difference, exclusive of interest, between the final judgment or settlement and the last written offer made by the county or municipality before the business hires an attorney. If the county or municipality does not make a written settlement offer before the business hires an attorney, benefits must be measured from the first written offer after the attorney is hired.
- If business records kept by the owner in the ordinary course of business were provided to the
 county or municipality to substantiate the business damage offer made by the business,
 benefits for amounts awarded for business damages are based upon the difference between
 the final judgment or settlement and the written counteroffer made by the county or
 municipality.
- If existing business records kept by the owner in the ordinary course of business were not provided to the county or municipality to substantiate the business damage offer made by the business and those records that were not provided are later deemed material to the determination of business damages, benefits for amounts awarded for business damages are based upon the difference between the final judgment or settlement and the first written counteroffer made by the county or municipality within 90 days after the receipt of the business records previously not provided to the county or municipality.
- The court may also consider nonmonetary benefits obtained for the business through the efforts of the attorney, to the extent such nonmonetary benefits are specifically identified by the court and can, within a reasonable degree of certainty, be quantified.

Effective Date

The bill takes effect July 1, 2022, and applies to an enactment or amendment of a county or municipal ordinance or charter provision that is enacted or amended on or after July 1, 2022.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

The bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or limit their authority to raise revenue or receive state-shared revenues as specified in Article VII, s. 18 of the Florida Constitution.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Indeterminate. The private sector in general may be positively impacted by a more favorable regulatory climate created by SB 620. Businesses harmed by certain county or municipality actions may recover business damages to compensate them for losses.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Indeterminate. The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on those counties and municipalities that refrain from substantially impacting businesses when enacting or amending an ordinance or charter provision. The bill may have a fiscal impact on counties and municipalities that elect to enact or amend ordinances or charter provisions in a manner that negatively and significantly impacts established businesses. The extent

to which counties or municipalities may elect in the future to be impacted by this bill cannot be estimated.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill creates section 70.91, Florida Statutes.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

None.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 620

By Senator Hutson

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

7-00457D-22 2022620

A bill to be entitled An act relating to local government; creating s. 70.91, F.S.; defining the term "business records"; authorizing certain businesses to claim business damages from a county or municipality if the county or municipality enacts or amends certain ordinances or charter provisions; providing exceptions; requiring businesses and counties or municipalities to follow certain presuit procedures before businesses file an action for business damages; authorizing businesses to recover costs and fees in a specified manner and if certain requirements are met; specifying that certain evidence relating to mediations and negotiations is inadmissible as evidence in certain proceedings; requiring courts to consider certain factors and follow specified guidance when assessing costs; defining the term "benefits"; specifying requirements for the courts in determining and awarding attorney fees; requiring attorneys and businesses to submit certain documentation relating to attorney fees; requiring businesses claiming the right to recover business damages to state the nature and extent of the damages; requiring a jury to determine whether a business is entitled to business damages and the amount of such damages unless the business elects to have the business damages determined by the court; providing applicability and construction; providing an effective date.

Page 1 of 9

CODING: Words $\underline{\textbf{stricken}}$ are deletions; words $\underline{\textbf{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 620

7-00457D-22 2022620 30 WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that the continued 31 economic growth and economic prosperity of this state are tied 32 to the protection of private property rights and the stability 33 of laws, ordinances, and charter provisions, and 34 WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that the protection of private property rights and the stability of laws and local 35 rules and regulations affecting business activities encourage investments by businesses in their real property, facilities, 38 operations, and workforces, and 39 WHEREAS, investments by businesses drive the economic 40 growth of a community, and 41 WHEREAS, the economic costs of local rules and regulations that are primarily for the benefit of a county or municipality 42 4.3 as a whole should be borne by the county or municipality as a whole, and 45 WHEREAS, the Legislature intends to require counties and municipalities to compensate businesses for business damages 46 when an ordinance or a charter provision causes a business significant economic harm, NOW, THEREFORE, 49 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 50 51 52 Section 1. Section 70.91, Florida Statutes, is created to 53 read: 54 70.91 Compensation for business damages caused by county or municipal ordinances or charter provisions.-55 56 (1) DEFINITION.-For purposes of this section, the term

Page 2 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

"business records" includes, but is not limited to, copies of

federal income tax returns, federal income tax withholding

57

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 620

7-00457D-22 2022620

statements, federal miscellaneous income tax statements, state sales tax returns, balance sheets, profit and loss statements, state corporate income tax returns for the 3 years preceding the enactment of or amendment to an ordinance or a charter, and other records relied upon by a business to substantiate a claim for business damages.

(2) CLAIMS FOR BUSINESS DAMAGES.-

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

8.3

84

85

86

- (a) Except as provided in paragraph (b), a business that has engaged in a lawful business in this state for at least 3 years may claim business damages from a county or municipality if the county or municipality enacts or amends an ordinance or a charter that will cause a reduction of at least 15 percent of the business' revenue or profit.
- (b) A county or municipality is not liable for business damages caused by:
- 1. An ordinance or a charter provision that is required to comply with state or federal law;
- 2. Emergency ordinances, declarations, or orders adopted by a county or municipality under ss. 252.31-252.60, the State Emergency Management Act;
- 3. A temporary emergency ordinance enacted pursuant to s. 125.66 or s. 166.041 which remains in effect for no more than 90days; or
- 4. An ordinance or a charter provision that increases economic freedom.
 - (3) PRESUIT PROCEDURES.-
- (a) At least 180 days before a business files an action under this section against a county or municipality and within 180 days after the effective date of the relevant ordinance or

Page 3 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 620

7-00457D-22 2022620 charter provision, the business must present a written offer to settle the business' claim for business damages to the head of the county or municipality enacting or amending the ordinance. 90 The settlement offer must be made in good faith and include an 92 explanation of the nature, extent, and monetary amount of damages and must be prepared by the owner, a certified public 93 accountant, or a business damage expert familiar with the nature of the operations of the business. The business must also 96 provide copies of the business' records that substantiate the 97 offer to settle the business damage claim. If additional information is needed beyond the data that may be obtained from 99 business records existing at the time of the offer, the business 100 and county or municipality may agree on a schedule for the 101 submission of that information. 102 (b) Within 120 days after receipt of the good faith 103 business damage offer and accompanying business records, the

county or municipality must, by certified mail, accept or reject the business' offer or make a counteroffer. Failure of the county or municipality to respond to or reject the business damage offer must be deemed to be a counteroffer of zero dollars for purposes of calculating attorney fees under subsection (5) solely based upon the benefits achieved for the business.

104

105

106

107

108

109

114

110 (c) If the business and the county or municipality reach a 111 settlement before a lawsuit is filed, the business that settles 112 the claim for business damages in lieu of litigation is entitled 113 to recover costs in the same manner as provided in subsection (4) and attorney fees in the same manner as provided in 115 subsection (5), more specifically as follows: 116 1. If the business recovers business damages based upon the

Page 4 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 620

7-00457D-22 2022620 117 county or municipality accepting the business' initial offer or 118 the business accepting the county's or municipality's initial 119 counteroffer, attorney fees must be calculated in accordance 120 with paragraphs (5)(c), (d), (e), and (f) for the attorney's 121 time required to present the business' good faith offer. 122 Otherwise, attorney fees for the award of business damages must 123 be calculated as provided in paragraphs (5)(a) and (b), based 124 upon the difference between the final judgment or settlement of 125 business damages and the county's or municipality's counteroffer 126 to the business owner's offer.

- 2. Presuit costs must be presented, calculated, and awarded in the same manner as provided in subsection (4), after the business owner submits to the county or municipality all business damage reports or other work products for which recovery is sought and upon the county or municipality paying any amounts due for business damages or upon final judgment.
- 3. If the parties cannot agree on the amount of costs and attorney fees to be paid by the county or municipality, the business owner may file a complaint in the circuit court in the county in which the business is located to recover attorney fees and costs. If a business files a complaint for business damages, it must be filed within 1 year after the effective date of the relevant ordinance, ordinance amendment, or charter provision.
- (d) Evidence of negotiations or of any written or oral statements used in mediation or negotiations between the parties under this section is inadmissible in any proceeding for business damages, except in a proceeding to determine reasonable costs and attorney fees.

(4) COSTS.-

127

128

129 130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

Page 5 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 620

2022620

7-004570-22

	7-00437D-22 2022620
146	(a) If a business recovers business damages, the county or
147	municipality must pay the business' reasonable costs, including
148	a reasonable accountant's fee. Prejudgment interest may not be
149	paid on costs or attorney fees.
150	(b) At least 30 days before a hearing to assess costs under
151	this subsection, the attorney for the business shall submit to
152	the county or municipality for each expert witness the expert
153	witness' complete time records and a detailed statement of
154	services rendered by date, nature of services performed, time
155	spent performing the services, and costs incurred and a copy of
156	any fee agreement that may exist between the expert witness and
157	the business or the business' attorney.
158	(c) In assessing costs, the court shall consider all
159	factors relevant to the reasonableness of the costs, including,
160	but not limited to, the fees paid to similar experts retained in
161	the case by the county or municipality or other parties and the
162	reasonable costs of similar services by similarly qualified
163	persons.
164	(d) In assessing costs to be paid by the county or
165	municipality, the court shall be guided by the amount the
166	business would ordinarily have been expected to pay for the
167	services rendered if the county or municipality was not
168	responsible for the costs.
169	(e) The court shall make specific findings that justify
170	each sum awarded as an expert witness fee.
171	(5) ATTORNEY FEES.—
172	(a) As used in this subsection, the term "benefits" means
173	the difference, exclusive of interest, between the final

Page 6 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

judgment or settlement and the last written offer made by the

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 620

1	7-00457D-22 2022620
L75	county or municipality before the business hires an attorney. If
L76	the county or municipality does not make a written settlement
L77	offer before the business hires an attorney, benefits must be
L78	measured from the first written offer after the attorney is
L79	hired.
L80	(b) 1. In determining attorney fees, if business records
181	kept by the owner in the ordinary course of business were
182	provided to the county or municipality to substantiate the
L83	business damage offer made by the business, benefits for amounts
L84	awarded for business damages must be based upon the difference
L85	between the final judgment or settlement and the written
L86	counteroffer made by the county or municipality.
L87	2. In determining attorney fees, if existing business
L88	records kept by the owner in the ordinary course of business
L89	were not provided to the county or municipality to substantiate
L90	the business damage offer made by the business and those records
191	that were not provided are later deemed material to the
192	determination of business damages, benefits for amounts awarded
193	for business damages must be based upon the difference between
194	the final judgment or settlement and the first written
L95	counteroffer made by the county or municipality within 90 days
L96	after the receipt of the business records previously not
L97	provided to the county or municipality.
L98	3. The court may also consider nonmonetary benefits
L99	obtained for the business through the efforts of the attorney,
200	to the extent such nonmonetary benefits are specifically
201	identified by the court and can, within a reasonable degree of
202	certainty, be quantified.
203	4. Attorney fees based upon benefits achieved shall be

Page 7 of 9

 ${f CODING:}$ Words ${f stricken}$ are deletions; words ${f underlined}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 620

	7-00457D-22 2022620
204	awarded in accordance with the following schedule:
205	a. Thirty-three percent of any benefit up to \$250,000; plus
206	b. Twenty-five percent of any portion of the benefit
207	between \$250,000 and \$1 million; plus
208	c. Twenty percent of any portion of the benefit exceeding
209	\$1 million.
210	(c) In assessing attorney fees in a claim for business
211	damages, when not otherwise provided for, the court shall
212	<pre>consider:</pre>
213	1. The novelty, difficulty, and importance of the questions
214	involved.
215	2. The skill employed by the attorney in conducting the
216	case.
217	3. The amount of money involved.
218	4. The responsibility incurred and fulfilled by the
219	attorney.
220	5. The attorney's time and labor reasonably required to
221	adequately represent the client in relation to the benefits
222	resulting to the client.
223	6. The fee, or rate of fee, customarily charged for legal
224	services of a comparable or similar nature.
225	(d) In determining the amount of attorney fees to be paid
226	by the county or municipality under paragraph (c), the court
227	shall be guided by the fees the business would ordinarily be
228	<pre>expected to pay for these services if the county or municipality</pre>
229	was not responsible for the payment of those fees.
230	(e) At least 30 days before a hearing to assess attorney
231	fees under paragraph (c), the attorney for the business shall
232	submit to the county or municipality and to the court complete

Page 8 of 9

 ${f CODING:}$ Words ${f stricken}$ are deletions; words ${f underlined}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 620

2022620__

33	time records and a detailed statement of services rendered by
34	date, nature of services performed, time spent performing the
35	services, and costs incurred.
36	(f) The business shall provide to the court a copy of any
37	fee agreement that may exist between the business and its
38	attorney, and the court must reduce the amount of attorney fees
39	to be paid by the business by the amount of any attorney fees
40	awarded by the court.
41	(6) TRIAL.—A business claiming the right to recover
42	business damages must state in its complaint the nature and
43	extent of those damages. At trial, a jury shall determine
44	whether a business is entitled to business damages and the
45	amount of damages, if any. However, the business may elect to
46	have business damages determined by the court.
47	(7) APPLICATION; CONSTRUCTION.—This section does not apply
48	to a business that may claim business damages under chapter 73
49	and may not be construed to authorize double recoveries.
50	Section 2. This act applies to county and municipal
51	ordinances or charter provisions enacted or amended on or after
52	July 1, 2022.
53	Section 3. This act shall take effect July 1, 2022.

7-00457D-22

Page 9 of 9

 ${\bf CODING:}$ Words ${\bf stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\bf \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

The Florida Senate	
APPEARANCE RECORD	SB 620
Deliver both copies of this form to	Bill Number or Topic
seriate professional staff conducting the meeting	
	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Phone 954	- 789.9293
Email dewe	est @ broward. org
$\frac{3330}{Z_{ip}}$ Information OR Waive Speaking:	In Support
PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:	
I am a registered lobbyist, representing: Broward County Board of County Commissione.	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:
	APPEARANCE RECORD Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Phone 95 H Email dew Information OR Waive Speaking: PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am a registered lobbyist,

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate

620

sponsored by:

11/30/21 **APPEARANCE RECORD** Bill Number or Topic Meeting Date Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Judiciary 412 KB Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Committee 941-323-2404 **David Cullen** Name cullenasea@gmail.com Address 9830 Elm St Street 21842 Ocean City MD City State Zip OR Speaking: For Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am not a lobbyist, but received I am a registered lobbyist, I am appearing without representina: something of value for my appearance compensation or sponsorship. (travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules. pdf (flsenate.gov)

Sierra Club Florida

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

(08/10/2021) S-001

The Florida Senate APPEARANCE RECORD Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Street City State Speaking: Against Information Waive Speaking: PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am appearing without l am a registered lobbyist, I am not a lobbyist, but received

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

representing:

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

compensation or sponsorship.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

something of value for my appearance

(travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

sponsored by:

1 - 1 - 1	The Florida Senate	
11:13012021	APPEARANCE RECOR	D5B620
Meeting Date Judiciary	Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
Name <u>Senator</u> Lee	Constantine country signal	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) $\{407)221-555\}$
Address 640 Jusmi	ne Road Email	100. constantine 22 Q yahoo, con
Altamonte.	Springs, FC 32701 State Zip	Jahoo, con
Speaking: For Aga	inst 🗌 Information OR Waive Speaki	ng:
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWIN	G:
l am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyist, representing:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:
		FLA ASSO. COUNTIES

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

12 /2	The Florida Senate	
11/30/2021	APPEARANCE REC	ORD 5B620
Meeting Date Juliciary	Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the	meeting,
NameCommittee′	Ralph Thomas Cou	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) $350-251-0415$ hone
Street .		nail rthomas @ mync.Kn/19.co
Cran tordville	FL 32327 ate Zip	
Speaking: For Again	st 🗌 Information OR Waive	Speaking: In Support Against
JA:	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLL	OWING:
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyist, representing:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

/ /	The Florida Senate	
11/30/2021	APPEARANCE RECORD	5B620
Meeting Date Judiciary	Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
Name Bob McKe	Phone	Amendment Barcode (if applicable) 850 922 - 4300
Address 100 5 Monro	DC Email	bmckee@fl-counties.co
Tullahussee City Sto	FL 32308 ate Zip	
Speaking: For Agains	t Information OR Waive Speaking:	:
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:	
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyist, representing: Flovida Association of Counties	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate APPEARANCE RECORD Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Name Address Street City Speaking: OR Against Information Waive Speaking: In Support PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am appearing without I am a registered lobbyist, I am not a lobbyist, but received compensation or sponsorship. representing: something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate APPEARANCE RECORD Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Waive Speaking: In Support Against Speaking: For Against Information PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

I am a registered lobbyist,

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

I am appearing without

compensation or sponsorship.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

I am not a lobbyist, but received

(travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

sponsored by:

something of value for my appearance

The Florida Senate 11/30/2021 APPEARANCE RECORD 620 Meeting Date Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to Judiciary Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Committee Amendment Barcode (if applicable) **Grace Lovett** 850.222.4082 Name Phone Address 227 S. Adams Street Email grace@frf.org Street Tallahassee FL 32301 City State Zip Speaking: For Against Information OR Waive Speaking: In Support Against PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am appearing without I am a registered lobbyist, representing: I am not a lobbyist, but received

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. \$11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules pdf (flsenate.gov)

Florida Retail

Federation

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

compensation or sponsorship.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

something of value for my appearance

(travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

sponsored by:

2	- Constitution of the Cons	The	Florida Senate	
	30 21	APPEAR	ANCE RECOR	(D) (20)
<u></u>	Meeting Date		oth copies of this form to nal staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
	Committee 1	. 4		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	gonathon	Weller	Phone _	
Address	Street Street	Morroe #11	-286 Email _	pueblu o foroters or y
	Tally	FC 32	1303	
	City ()	State	Zip	
	Speaking: For [Against Information	OR Waive Speak	ing: 🔲 In Support 📈 Against
		PLEASE CHECK	ONE OF THE FOLLOWIN	IG:
	appearing without pensation or sponsorship.	I am a regist representing	ered lobbyist, g:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:
		Florida con	1 servation vo	Hus

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate APPEARANCE RECORD Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Amendment Barcode (if applicable) State Speaking: Against OR Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so

I am a registered lobbyist,

that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules pdf (flsenate.gov)

representing:

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

I am appearing without

compensation or sponsorship.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

I am not a lobbyist, but received

(travel, meals, lodging, etc.),

sponsored by:

something of value for my appearance

The Florida Senate APPEARANCE RECORD Meeting Date Deliver both senies of this forms to

513	620
	<i>O</i> -

)D 600

Se	Deliver both copies of this form to nate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
Committee		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Dr. Rich Templia	Phone	850 - 224 - 6926
Address 135 S. Monrue	Email	
Tallahass le FL City State	3 230 <u>4</u>	
Speaking: For X Against In	formation OR Waive Speaking	:
PLEA	SE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:	
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	l am a registered lobbyist, representing:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance
Flori	ida AFZ - C10	(travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate 11/30/2021 620 APPEARANCE RECORD Meeting Date Bill Number or Topic Deliver both copies of this form to **Judiciary** Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Committee Amendment Barcode (if applicable) Matthew Choy 561-386-3451 Name 136 S. Bronough St mchoy@flchamber.com Street **Tallahassee** FL 32301 City Zip State OR Against Speaking: Information Waive Speaking: In Support Against PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: I am appearing without I am a registered lobbyist, I am not a lobbyist, but received compensation or sponsorship. representing: something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), The Florida Chamber of sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules, pdf (flsenate.gov)

Commerce

The Florida Senate

APPEARANCE RECORD

		m
b	1	U

		Meeting Date
-	١.	_

Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Bill Number or Topic

	Committe	e			Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Phillip	Suderman		Phone	
Address	Street			Email	
	Sifeet				
	City	State	Zip		
	Speaking	☐ For ☐ Against ☐ Inform	nation OR	Waive Speaking:	In Support

PLEASE, CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

I am appearing	without	

compensation or sponsorship.

I am a registered lobbyist, representing:

Americans for Prosperity

I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

The Florida Senate

\/3	Meeting Date		APPEARA Deliver bo Senate profession	oth copies of th	nis form to	<u> </u>	620 Bill Number or Topic	
Name _	Committee Jin Hann	is Many	er		Phone	Amen	dment Barcode (if applica	ble)
Address _ Si	201 E. Partreet	k Ave.,	Ste 200A 323	501	Email	nhamis @ E	quality Heri	Ja.03
C.	Speaking: For	State Against [Information	OR	Waive Speaking:	☐ In Support	Against	
	ppearing without ensation or sponsorship.		PLEASE CHECK of I am a registed representing	ered lobbyist, g:		somethi	a lobbyist, but received ng of value for my appea neals, lodging, etc.), ed by:	arance

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11/25/21		da Senate	620	\checkmark
Meeting Date	Deliver both cop	ies of this form to conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic	
Name Committee	Fskamani	Phone	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Address 134 E. (plonial Dr.	Email <u>)</u>	da eskaman	
Orland	TC 378 State Zip	01	agmal. co	~
Speaking: For	Against Information O	R Waive Speaking:	:	
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	Tam a registered lo representing:	OF THE FOLLOWING: Obbbyist, Rising mmia (ant (a	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appeara (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:	nce

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.



The Florida Senate

Committee Agenda Request

To:	Senator Danny Burgess, Chair Committee on Judiciary				
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request				
Date:	November 18, 2021				
I respectf	ully request that Senate Bill #620, relating to Local Government, be placed on the:				
	committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience.				
\boxtimes	next committee agenda.				

Senator Travis Hutson Florida Senate, District 7

The Florida Senate BILL ANALYSIS AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

DATE: December 1, 2021 REVISED:					
ACTION Fav/CS					
147.05					
-					

Please see Section IX. for Additional Information:

COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE - Substantial Changes

I. Summary:

CS/SB 736 expands on the existing "right to cure procedures" in chapter 558, F.S. These procedures establish an alternative dispute resolution process requiring a claimant and a contractor or similar person to attempt to resolve a construction defect claim before proceeding to litigation. Under the revised procedures, a claimant, in rejecting a settlement offer, must detail the reasons for rejecting the offer. The contractor must then be given an opportunity to make a supplemental offer. The bill encourages a claimant to accept a reasonable settlement offer or supplemental offer by making the claimant ineligible for an award of attorney fees in some circumstances.

Additionally, the bill provides for a 4-year limitations period for bringing a construction defect action, whether the action is based on a patent or obvious defect or a latent or hidden defect. The statutory language authorizing a 10-year statute of repose for latent defects is repealed.

The bill takes effect July 1, 2022.

II. Present Situation:

Alternative Dispute Resolution Mechanism for Construction Defects

Chapter 558, F.S., titled, "Construction Defects," creates an alternative dispute resolution mechanism that must be used in an attempt to resolve many construction defect claims before the

claimant may resort to litigation. Under this dispute resolution mechanism, a claimant is required to file a notice of claim with a contractor or other similar person who is responsible for an alleged defect. Upon receipt of the notice of claim, the contractor or other person has an opportunity to resolve the claim through confidential settlement negotiations. If a claimant files a civil action or arbitration proceeding before completing the requirements of chapter 558, F.S., the court must stay the action. Legislation like chapter 558, F.S., is known as "right to cure" legislation.

A construction defect, for purposes of chapter 558, F.S., is a:

deficiency in, or a deficiency arising out of, the design, specifications, surveying, planning, supervision, observation of construction, or construction, repair, alteration, or remodeling of real property resulting from:

- Defective material, products, or components used in the construction or remodeling;
- A violation of the applicable codes in effect at the time of construction or remodeling which gives rise to a cause of action pursuant to s. 553.84 [for a violation of the building code];
- A failure of the design of real property to meet the applicable professional standards of care at the time of governmental approval; or
- A failure to construct or remodel real property in accordance with accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike construction at the time of construction.⁴

Notice of Claim

The specifics of the notice and cure process of chapter 558, F.S., begin with the service of a "written notice of claim" by the claimant upon the contractor, subcontractor, supplier, or design professional, who may be responsible for the alleged defect. The claimant must serve this notice "at least 60 days before filing any action, or at least 120 days before filing an action involving an association representing more than 20 parcels." The notice must "describe in reasonable detail the nature of each alleged construction defect and, if known, the damage or loss resulting from the defect." The location of each alleged construction defect must also be sufficiently described in the notice.

Inspection of Alleged Defect

Upon receipt of the notice of claim, the contractor or other person served with the notice may inspect the property or each unit described in the notice to assess the alleged defects and to determine the extent of necessary repairs. The inspections must occur with 30 days after service

¹ Section 558.001, F.S.

² Section 558.003, F.S.

³ 4 TIFFANY REAL PROP. § 986.60 (3d ed.).

⁴ Section 558.002(5), F.S.

⁵ Section 558.004(1)(a), F.S.

⁶ *Id*.

⁷ *Id*.

of the notice or within 50 days after service of the notice involving an association representing more than 20 parcels.⁸

Response to Notice of Claim & Settlement Offers

The contractor, or other person served with the notice of claim, must serve a written response to the claimant within 45 days after service of the notice of claim or within 75 days after service of a copy of the notice of claim involving an association representing more than 20 parcels. The written response must provide:

- A written offer to remedy the alleged construction defect at no cost to the claimant, a detailed description of the proposed repairs necessary to remedy the defect, and a timetable for the completion of such repairs;
- A written offer to compromise and settle the claim by monetary payment, that will not obligate the person's insurer, and a timetable for making payment;
- A written offer to compromise and settle the claim by a combination of repairs and monetary payment, that will not obligate the person's insurer, that includes a detailed description of the proposed repairs and a timetable for the completion of such repairs and making payment;
- A written statement that the person disputes the claim and will not remedy the defect or compromise and settle the claim; or
- A written statement that a monetary payment, including insurance proceeds, if any, will be determined by the person's insurer within 30 days after notification to the insurer by means of serving the claim, which service shall occur at the same time the claimant is notified of this settlement option, which the claimant may accept or reject.⁹

Initiation of Legal Action

The claimant may initiate an action against the contractor or other person served with the notice of claim if the contractor or other person served with the notice disputes the claim or fails to timely respond. ¹⁰ If the contractor or other person makes a settlement offer, the claimant may not initiate an action, unless the claimant rejects the settlement offer in writing within 45 days after receiving it. ¹¹ The claimant may also initiate an action for the construction defect if the person making the settlement offer does not make the agreed upon repairs or payments within the agreed-upon time or manner. ¹²

Statutes of Limitation and Repose

Legal actions must be brought within the timeframes authorized by law. With respect to construction defect actions, there are two timeframes—a 4-year limitations period¹³ and a

⁸ Section 558.004(2), F.S.

⁹ Section 558.004(5), F.S.

¹⁰ See s. 558.004(7), F.S.

¹¹ Section 558.004(6), F.S.

¹² Section 558.004(8), F.S.

¹³ A statute of limitations "set[s] a time limit within which an action must be filed as measured from the accrual of the cause of action, after which time obtaining relief is barred." *National Auto Service Centers, Inc., v. F/R 500, LLC*, 192 So. 3d 498, 509 (Fla. 2d DCA 2016) (quoting *Hess v. Philip Morris USA, Inc.*, 175 So. 3d 687, 695 (Fla. 2015) (quoting *Merkle v. Robinson*, 737 So. 2d 540, 542 n. 6 (Fla.1999)). The purposes of a statute of limitations are to "require that a plaintiff with a known cause of action prosecute that claim diligently and within a predictable time that will allow for finality of claims prior

10-year statute of repose.¹⁴ The 4-year limitations period applies to actions based on a patent defect, ¹⁵ which is an obvious defect, and to a latent or hidden defect¹⁶ once it is discovered or should have been discovered.¹⁷ However, any action based on a latent or hidden defect must be brought within the 10-year statute of repose.¹⁸

The 4-and 10-year periods for bringing a construction defect action begin to run from:

the date of actual possession by the owner, the date of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the date of abandonment of construction if not completed, or the date of completion of the contract or termination of the contract between the professional engineer, registered architect, or licensed contractor and his or her employer, whichever date is latest.

The specific point at which the 4-or 10-year periods commence has been a subject of litigation and refined somewhat through legislation over the years.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

As detailed below, the bill expands on the existing policy in chapter 558, F.S., of requiring the parties to a potential construction defect action to attempt to resolve their disputes before initiating a civil action or arbitration proceeding.

Supplemental Offers, Attorney Fees, & Settlement Payments (Section 2)

Supplemental Offers

Existing law requires a contractor or other person who is served a notice of claim for a construction defect to make an offer to settle the claim or dispute the claim. If a settlement offer is made, the claimant may not initiate a civil action or an arbitration proceeding based on the claim unless the claimant timely serves a written notice of rejection on the offeror.

The bill requires the claimant to include more information in a notice of rejection. Specifically, the notice must state the reasons for rejecting the offer, identify any items that the claimant believes were omitted from the offer, and detail all reasons why the claimant believes that the offer is unreasonable. The claimant's provision of additional information seems to function as a counteroffer or a request for clarification of the offer. Once the offeror is served the claimant's

to the potential loss of available evidence over time." *Id.* at 510 (citing Statute of limitations, BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (10th ed. 2014).

¹⁴ A statute of repose "precludes a right of action after a specified time . . . rather than establishing a time period within which the action must be brought measured from the point in time when the cause of action accrued." *Id.* at 509 (citing *Univ. of Miami v. Bogorff*, 583 So. 2d 1000, 1003 (Fla.1991)).

¹⁵ A patent defect is "either one about which the owner had actual knowledge or one about which the owner would have known had he or she made a reasonably careful inspection." *U.S. Lodging of Jacksonville, Ltd., v H.B. Daniel Const. Co.*, Inc., 617 So. 2d 448, 449 (Fla. 1st DCA 1993).

¹⁶ A latent defect is a defect that is not discernable by the exercise of reasonable care. *Kala Investments, Inc. v. Sklar*, 538 So. 2d 909, 914 (Fla. 3d DCA 1989) (citing *Maas Bros., Inc. v. Bishop*, 204 So.2d 16 (Fla. 2d DCA 1967)).

¹⁷ Section 95.11(3)(c), F.S.

¹⁸ *Id*.

notice of rejection, the bill authorizes the offeror to make a supplemental offer of repair or monetary payment, or both, to the claimant within 15 days after service of the notice of rejection.

A claimant who rejects an initial settlement offer may not initiate an action for a construction defect until the claimant rejects any supplemental offer or the offeror has had 15 days to make a supplemental offer.

Attorney Fee Limitation

Existing law does not directly discourage a claimant from rejecting a reasonable settlement offer and initiating an action for a construction defect claim. However, the bill discourages the claimant from rejecting a reasonable settlement offer by limiting the potential for an award of attorney fees. Specifically, the bill provides that if:

[t]he claimant rejects a timely settlement offer or supplemental offer provided to remedy the alleged construction defect at no cost to the claimant, in any action brought for that defect, the claimant may not recover attorney fees from the offeror on any basis unless the claimant proves by a preponderance of the evidence that, at the time of the offer, additional repairs beyond those offered were necessary to remedy the defect. This [provision, however,] does not apply to any claim for attorney fees based on a contract between the claimant and the offeror.¹⁹

Court Appointed Expert (Section 3)

The bill requires the court in a civil action for a construction defect to appoint an engineer, contractor, building code inspector, or another expert to examine the alleged defect. The expert must then submit a written report which contains the expert's findings to the court for its consideration and to the parties. But the expert may not be appointed if all of the parties object or if the court finds that the costs of an expert outweigh any potential benefits to the resolution of the action. If appointed, the expert's report must:

- Describe how the expert conducted the examination of the alleged defect.
- Identify persons present at the site of the improvement while the expert conducted the examination.
- Include photographs or other documentation of the alleged defect including any relevant test results.
- State whether the damages claimed by the claimant are more likely than not the result of a construction defect, another identified cause, or a construction defect and another identified cause.
- Address other matters related to the alleged defect as directed by the court.

If the expert's report wholly or partially validates the claimant's construction defect claim, the report "must state the actions necessary to repair the defect and any repairs related to the defect, provide an estimate of the reasonable cost of repairs, and state the anticipated time needed for repairs under the current market conditions for construction services and materials."²⁰

¹⁹ SB 736, lines 136-143.

²⁰ SB 736, lines 193-198.

The bill provides for the expert to be compensated by the parties, but the prevailing party is entitled to reimbursement by the nonprevailing party. Moreover, the expert may not be employed to repair the alleged defect or recommend contractors to repair the defect.

Payment of Repair Costs (Sections 2 & 4)

Nothing in chapter 558, F.S., currently requires a claimant to use any funds recovered as a result of a construction defect claim to repair the defect.

The bill requires a claimant who accepts a settlement offer that includes a monetary payment for repairs to enter into a contract for repairs within 90 days. The payments, however, are to be made by the offeror directly to the claimant's contractor as the work is performed and expenses are incurred. The repairs generally must be completed within 12 months after the claimant contracts for repairs. The provision of payment from the offeror to the claimant's contractor is similar to procedures that an insurer may follow to pay for the repair of property damaged by a sinkhole.²¹

If a claimant recovers funds for a construction defect as the result of a civil action or arbitration, the bill requires the claimant to use the funds to repair the defect. If the claimant fails to fully repair the defect, the claimant is liable to a subsequent purchaser of the property for any damages resulting from the failure to disclose the defect.

The liability for failing to repair or disclose the defect is consistent with the duties of a seller of real property under existing law. The seller of real property has a duty to disclose "facts materially affecting the value of the property which are not readily observable and are not known to the buyer."²²

Timeframe for Construction Defect Action (Section 1)

Existing law specifies a 4-year statute of limitations for a claimant to bring a construction defect action based on a patent or obvious defect and a 10-year statute of repose for a claimant to bring a construction defect action based on a latent or hidden defect. The bill requires all actions for a construction defect, whether patent or latent, to be brought within 4 years after the commencement of the limitations period.

Effective Date, Application & Savings Cause (Sections 5 & 6)

The bill takes effect on July 1, 2022, and the provisions of the bill amending the presuit procedures of ch. 558, F.S., apply to civil actions and arbitration proceedings initiated on or after that date.

The reduced time period for bringing a construction defect action applies to construction defects occurring before the effective date of the bill. However, the bill provides a savings clause that

²¹ Section 627.707(5)(e), F.S., upon approval by any lienholder, authorizes an insurer to pay for repairs due to a sinkhole directly to the persons selected by the policyholder to perform the land and building stabilization and foundation repairs. ²² *Johnson v. Davis*, 480 So. 2d 625, 629 (Fla. 1985).

enables a person to bring an action for a construction defect within 1 year after the effective date of the bill if the action would otherwise be time barred by the bill.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

Α.	Municipa	lity/Count	/ Mandates	Restrictions:
----	----------	------------	------------	---------------

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. State Tax or Fee Increases:

None.

E. Other Constitutional Issues:

None identified.

V. Fiscal Impact Statement:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

CS/SB 736 may reduce litigation costs to parties to a construction contract by requiring a claimant to detail the reasons for rejecting a presuit settlement offer and by authorizing counteroffers. Provisions requiring the use of any recovery for a construction defect to repair the defect may discourage the bringing of claims that are not well-founded.

C. Government Sector Impact:

The bill may reduce costs of the judicial branch to the extent that the bill reduces litigation.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

BILL: CS/SB 736 Page 8

VIII. Statutes Affected:

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 95.11 and 558.004.

This bill creates the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 558.0045 and 558.0046.

IX. Additional Information:

A. Committee Substitute – Statement of Substantial Changes:

(Summarizing differences between the Committee Substitute and the prior version of the bill.)

CS by Judiciary on November 30, 2021:

The committee substitute does not include section 5 of the original bill. The section required a claimant who served a notice of claim on a contractor or similar person to also provide notice of the claim to a mortgagee or assignee having a security interest in the relevant property. Additionally, the section required the claimant to notify the mortgagee or assignee of the completion of repairs that correct a construction defect.

B. Amendments:

None.

This Senate Bill Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

207164

	LEGISLATIVE ACTION	
Senate	•	House
Comm: RCS	•	
11/30/2021	•	
	•	
	•	
	•	

The Committee on Judiciary (Hutson) recommended the following:

Senate Amendment (with title amendment)

Delete lines 214 - 241 3

and insert:

1 2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

Section 5. (1) The amendments by this act to s. 95.11(3)(c), Florida Statutes, apply to any action commenced on or after July 1, 2022, regardless of when the cause of action accrued. However, any action that would not have been barred under s. 95.11(3)(c), Florida Statutes, before the amendments made by this act to that section may be commenced before July 1, 2023. If such action is not commenced by July 1, 2023, and is



12	barred by the amendments made by this act to s. 95.11(3)(c),		
13	Florida Statutes, the action is barred.		
14	(2) Sections 2 through 4 of this act apply to compensation		
15			
16	========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ==========		
17	And the title is amended as follows:		
18	Delete lines 40 - 44		
19	and insert:		
20	purchaser of a property; providing		

By Senator Hutson

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

7-00786-22 2022736

A bill to be entitled An act relating to construction defect claims; amending s. 95.11, F.S.; revising the limitations period for certain actions founded on the design, planning, or construction of an improvement on real property; amending s. 558.004, F.S.; requiring a claimant to include the reasons for rejecting an offer in a notice rejecting a settlement offer to remedy a construction defect; authorizing the person served with a notice rejecting a settlement offer to make a supplemental offer within a specified timeframe; providing notice requirements for a claimant who rejects a supplemental offer; requiring the court to stay an action if a claimant initiates an action without first accepting or rejecting a supplemental offer; limiting entitlement to attorney fees if a claimant rejects certain settlement offers to fully repair an alleged construction defect; requiring a claimant who accepts a certain offer to enter into a contract to complete repairs to remedy an alleged construction defect; requiring the offeror or insurer to pay the contractor or contractors directly for the repairs; prohibiting an offeror or insurer from requiring a claimant to advance payment for repairs; requiring that the repairs be completed within a specified timeframe; creating s. 558.0045, F.S.; requiring a court to appoint an expert to examine certain alleged construction defects and to prepare an examination report, under certain circumstances;

Page 1 of 9

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 736

7-00786-22 2022736 30 requiring that the report contain specified 31 information; requiring the parties to compensate the 32 expert; prohibiting the expert from being employed to 33 make repairs or from recommending contractors to make repairs; creating s. 558.0046, F.S.; requiring a 34 35 claimant to repair a construction defect if the 36 claimant receives compensation for an alleged 37 construction defect from specified persons; providing 38 that a claimant is liable for damages resulting from 39 failure to disclose a construction defect to a 40 purchaser of a property; creating s. 558.006, F.S.; 41 requiring a claimant to serve certain notices relating to construction defects on the mortgagee or assignee 42 4.3 of the relevant real property within a specified timeframe under certain circumstances; providing 45 applicability; providing an effective date. 46 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 47 48 49 Section 1. Paragraph (c) of subsection (3) of section 95.11, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 50 51 95.11 Limitations other than for the recovery of real 52 property.-Actions other than for recovery of real property shall 53 be commenced as follows: (3) WITHIN FOUR YEARS.-54 55 (c) An action founded on the design, planning, or 56 construction of an improvement to real property, with the time 57 running from the date of actual possession by the owner, the date of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the date of

Page 2 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2022736

7-00786-22

59

60

61

62

63

64

6.5

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

8.3

85

86

abandonment of construction if not completed, or the date of completion of the contract or termination of the contract between the professional engineer, registered architect, or licensed contractor and his or her employer, whichever date is latest; except that, when the action involves a latent defect, the time runs from the time the defect is discovered or should have been discovered with the exercise of due diligence. In any event, the action must be commenced within 10 years after the date of actual possession by the owner, the date of the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the date of abandonment of construction if not completed, or the date of completion of the contract or termination of the contract between the professional engineer, registered architect, or licensed contractor and his or her employer, whichever date is latest. However, counterclaims, cross-claims, and third-party claims that arise out of the conduct, transaction, or occurrence set out or attempted to be set out in a pleading may be commenced up to 1 year after the pleading to which such claims relate is served, even if such claims would otherwise be time barred. With respect to actions founded on the design, planning, or construction of an improvement to real property, if such construction is performed pursuant to a duly issued building permit and if a local enforcement agency, state enforcement agency, or special inspector, as those terms are defined in s. 553.71, has issued a final certificate of occupancy or certificate of completion, then as to the construction which is within the scope of such building permit and certificate, the correction of defects to completed work or repair of completed work, whether performed under warranty or otherwise, does not extend the period of time

Page 3 of 9

 ${\tt CODING:}$ Words ${\tt stricken}$ are deletions; words ${\tt \underline{underlined}}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 736

7-00786-22 2022736 within which an action must be commenced. Completion of the contract means the later of the date of final performance of all the contracted services or the date that final payment for such services becomes due without regard to the date final payment is 92 made. 93 Section 2. Present subsections (8) through (15) of section 558.004, Florida Statutes, are redesignated as subsections (9) through (16), respectively, a new subsection (8) is added to that section, and paragraph (c) of subsection (1) and subsection 96 97 (7) of that section are amended, to read: 558.004 Notice and opportunity to repair.-99 (c) The claimant shall endeavor to serve the notice of 100 101 claim within 15 days after discovery of an alleged defect, but the failure to serve notice of claim within 15 days does not bar 103 the filing of an action, subject to s. 558.003. This subsection does not preclude a claimant from filing an action sooner than 104 105 60 days, or 120 days as applicable, after service of written 106 notice as expressly provided in subsection (6), subsection (7), 107 or subsection (9) $\frac{(8)}{}$. 108 (7) (a) A claimant who receives a timely settlement offer must accept or reject the offer by serving written notice of 109 110 such acceptance or rejection on the person making the offer 111 within 45 days after receiving the settlement offer. 112 (b) If the claimant rejects the settlement offer, the 113 claimant must include the reasons for rejecting the offer in the

Page 4 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

notice rejecting the offer. If the claimant believes that the

settlement offer omitted reference to any portion of the claim or was unreasonable in any manner, the claimant must include in

114

115

116

7-00786-22 2022736

the notice the items that the claimant believes were omitted and state in detail all known reasons why the claimant believes the settlement offer is unreasonable.

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

- (c) Upon receipt of a claimant's notice of rejection and the reasons for such rejection, the person served with the rejection, within 15 days after receipt of the notice, may make a supplemental offer of repair or monetary payment, or both, to the claimant.
- (d) If the claimant rejects a supplemental offer to repair the construction defect or to settle the claim by monetary payment or a combination of both, the claimant must serve written notice of the claimant's rejection on the person making the supplemental offer. The notice must include all known reasons for the claimant's rejection of the supplemental settlement offer.
- (e) If a claimant initiates an action without first accepting or rejecting the offer or supplemental offer, the court shall stay the action upon timely motion until the claimant complies with this subsection.
- (8) (a) If the claimant rejects a timely settlement offer or supplemental offer provided to remedy the alleged construction defect at no cost to the claimant, in any action brought for that defect, the claimant may not recover attorney fees from the offeror on any basis unless the claimant proves by a preponderance of the evidence that, at the time of the offer, additional repairs beyond those offered were necessary to remedy the defect. This paragraph does not apply to any claim for attorney fees based on a contract between the claimant and the offeror.

Page 5 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 736

(b) If a claimant accepts an offer made pursuant to

paragraph (5) (b), paragraph (5) (c), or paragraph (5) (e) or a

supplemental offer made pursuant to paragraph (7) (c), the

claimant must, within 90 days after the acceptance, enter into a

2022736

250 contract with one or more appropriately licensed contractors to

151 complete the repairs necessary to remedy the alleged

152 construction defect. The offeror or insurer shall pay directly
153 to the contractor or contractors, from the accepted monetary

154 payment, the amounts necessary to begin and to continue the

155 repairs as the work is performed and expenses are incurred. The

offeror or insurer may not require the claimant to advance

payment for the repairs. The repairs must be completed within 12

months after the claimant enters into the contract for repairs,

absent mutual agreement between the offeror or insurer and the

160 <u>claimant.</u>

7-00786-22

Section 3. Section 558.0045, Florida Statutes, is created

162 to read:

558.0045 Construction defect actions; attorney fees and

court shall appoint an engineer, a contractor, a building code

164 <u>costs.-</u>

161

163

(1) In a civil action alleging a construction defect, the

166 167

inspector, or another expert having experience in the type of construction that is the basis of the claimant's claim to

examine the alleged defect or, if repairs have been made, any

evidence of the alleged defect. However, the court may not appoint an expert if all of the parties object or if the court

finds that the costs of an expert outweigh any potential

172 IIIIds that the costs of an expert outwelgh any potential

173 <u>benefits to the resolution of the action. If an expert is</u>

174 appointed, the expert must coordinate and communicate with the

Page 6 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

	7-00786-22 2022736
175	parties as directed by the court. Within 15 days after
176	conducting the examination, or as otherwise determined by the
177	court, the expert shall submit a written report to the court for
178	its consideration and to the parties which contains the expert's $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($
179	findings. The report must do all of the following:
180	(a) Describe how the expert conducted the examination of
181	the alleged defect.
182	(b) Identify persons present at the site of the improvement
183	while the expert conducted the examination.
184	(c) Include photographs or other documentation of the
185	alleged defect including any relevant test results.
186	(d) State whether the damages claimed by the claimant are
187	more likely than not the result of a construction defect,
188	another identified cause, or a construction defect and another
189	identified cause.
190	(e) Address other matters related to the alleged defect as
191	directed by the court.
192	(2) If the expert concludes that the damages are wholly or
193	partially the result of a construction defect, the report must
194	state the actions necessary to repair the defect and any repairs
195	related to the defect, provide an estimate of the reasonable
196	cost of repairs, and state the anticipated time needed for
197	repairs under the current market conditions for construction
198	services and materials.
199	(3) The parties shall compensate the expert, but the
200	prevailing party is entitled to reimbursement from the
201	nonprevailing party.
202	(4) An expert appointed by the court under this section may

Page 7 of 9

 $\underline{\text{not be employed to repair the alleged defect or recommend}}$

 ${f CODING:}$ Words ${f stricken}$ are deletions; words ${f underlined}$ are additions.

Florida Senate - 2022 SB 736

2022736

7-00786-22

204	contractors to repair the defect.
205	Section 4. Section 558.0046, Florida Statutes, is created
206	to read:
207	558.0046 Duty to repair construction defect.—If a claimant
208	receives compensation for an alleged construction defect from a
209	contractor, a subcontractor, a supplier, a design professional,
210	or an insurer, the claimant must repair the defect. A claimant
211	who receives compensation and fails to fully repair the defect
212	is liable to a purchaser of the property for any damages
213	resulting from the failure to disclose the defect.
214	Section 5. Section 558.006, Florida Statutes, is created to
215	read:
216	558.006 Notice to mortgagee or assignee.—
217	(1) If a notice of claim alleging a construction defect is
218	made with respect to real property to which a mortgagee or an
219	assignee has a security interest, the claimant must, within 30
220	days after service of the notice of claim on the contractor,
221	subcontractor, supplier, or design professional, provide the
222	mortgagee or assignee with a copy of the notice of claim, by
223	certified mail, return receipt requested.
224	(2) If repairs relating to the defect are completed after
225	the claimant notifies the mortgagee or assignee as required
226	under subsection (1), or if any settlement, partial settlement,
227	arbitration award, or judgment is obtained by the claimant, the
228	claimant must provide an additional notice to the mortgagee or
229	assignee within 60 days after completion of the repairs or any
230	settlement, partial settlement, arbitration award, or judgment,
231	whichever is later, by certified mail, return receipt requested.
232	Section 6. (1) The amendments by this act to s.

Page 8 of 9

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

	7-00786-22 2022736
233	95.11(3)(c), Florida Statutes, apply to any action commenced on
234	or after July 1, 2022, regardless of when the cause of action
235	accrued. However, any action that would not have been barred
236	under s. 95.11(3)(c), Florida Statutes, before the amendments
237	made by this act to that section may be commenced before July $1_{m{L}}$
238	2023. If such action is not commenced by July 1, 2023, and is
239	barred by the amendments made by this act to s. 95.11(3)(c),
240	Florida Statutes, the action is barred.
241	(2) Sections 2 through 5 of this act apply to compensation
242	for construction defects received on or after July 1, 2022, and
243	to civil actions and proceedings for a construction defect which
244	are initiated on or after July 1, 2022.
245	Section 7. This act shall take effect July 1, 2022.

Page 9 of 9

 ${f CODING:}$ Words ${f stricken}$ are deletions; words ${f underlined}$ are additions.

	The Florida Senate	
11.30.21	APPEARANCE RECOR	D /56
Meeting Date Judici Sy	Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
Committee	j /	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Dary Ho	sbacher Phone_	904.568.1000
Address 888 Goodbys	Exec Dr. Email	bbap ansbacher-net
Jax FC	32217	
City State	e Zip	
Speaking: For Against	☐ Information OR Waive Speaki	ng:
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING	G:
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyist, representing:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (fisenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

APPEARANCE RECORD

Bill Number or Topic

Deliver both copies of this form to
Senate professional staff conducting the meeting

		Senate profes	sional staff conducting t	the meeting	
Name	Committee	HEBRADK	-	Phone 950	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Addres		Monool	St	Email 4	markfa carlton
	Street ALA TO	State 1	32301 Zip		tillas.com
	Speaking: For [Against Information	n OR Wai	ive Speaking: 🔲 I	n Support
	,	PLEASE CHEC	CK ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING:	
	nm appearing without ompensation or sponsorship.	I am a regressen	gistered lobbyist, ting: PFT	VILDERS	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

Meeting Date

APPEARANCE DECORD

	J/2021	APF	EARANCI	E RECORD	736
Judio	Meeting Date Diary		Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Bill Number or Topic		Bill Number or Topic
	Committee			_	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Dan Olson			Dh a n	, interternent barcode (ii applicable)
				Phone	
Address	300 S. Duval S	Street		Email dan(@meenanlawfirm.com
	Tallahassee	FL	32301		
	City	State	Zip		
	Speaking: For	Against Infor	mation OR	Waive Speaking:	In Support Against
		PLEASE	CHECK ONE OF T	HE FOLLOWING:	
	appearing without pensation or sponsorship.	I re	am a registered lobbyist epresenting:	t,	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance
		Florid	da Fire Sprinkle	er Association	(travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules pdf (fisenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

11/30/2021

//-39-21 Meeting Date TUDICIARY	APPEARANCE RECORI Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	D 736 Bill Number or Topic
Committee Name DANE BENNETT	Phone	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Address 2600 CENTENNIAL A Street TALLAHASEE F City State Speaking: For Against	32308 Zip	DBENNETT @ FHBA. com ng:
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING	G:
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship. FLORIDA HOR	I am a registered lobbyist, representing: ME BUILDERS ANDCIAT	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

	1 6		The Florida Sen	ate	
1	1/30/21	APP	EARANCE R	RECORD	736
7.4	Meeting Date	Senate	Deliver both copies of this to professional staff conducting		Bill Number or Topic
	Committee		•	3	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	TRAVIS N	loore		_ Phone _ 727.	421.6902
	P.O. Box	7010		_ Email travisa) moore-relations, com
Stro <u>-</u> Cit _j	St. Peters b	State	33731 Zip	_	
·	Speaking: For	Against Inform	mation OR V	Vaive Speaking:	In Support
		PLEASE	CHECK ONE OF THE	FOLLOWING:	. •
1 1 1	pearing without nsation or sponsorship.	la re COMMUN	am a registered lobbyist, epresenting: Ly ASSOCIATIONS (CAI)	Task: Lule	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

11/30/2021	The Florida Senate	
Meeting Date Judiciary	APPEARANCE RECORD Deliver both copies of this form to	SB 736
Committee	Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number or Topic
Name Ron Woods		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
	Phone 904-	219-7994
Address 6260-D Dupont	Station Court	
30.660	Email rWOO	ds@woodsengineering.com
Jacksonville	3 I	Chrodochiginleening.com
City	State State	
	Zip	
Speaking: For	Against Information OR Waive Speaking Information	
	Information OR Waive Speaking:	In Support
·	PLFASE CHECK ONE CO.	Summing 5
I am appearing without	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:	
compensation or sponsorship.	l am a registered lobbyist, representing:	l am not a lobbyist, but received
		something of Value for much
		(travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:
le it is a tradition to encourage public testimos		1

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

11/30/2	1
Meeting Date	

APPEARANCE RECORD

	5	B	7	3	6
--	---	---	---	---	---

Bill Number or Topic

Meeting Date	Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting	Bill Number of Topic
Committee		Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name Martin Langeste	Phone	305-494-4787
Address	Lane Email n	nartinlangesfeld agmail.com
Street Doral FL City Sta	3317-6 te Zip	
Speaking: For Agains	Information OR Waive Speakin	g: In Support Against
	PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING	i:
I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship.	I am a registered lobbyist, representing:	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

			111011011010	- C1101C	
11/30/2021		APP	EARANC	SB 736	
Meeting Date Judiciary			Deliver both copies or e professional staff con-	Bill Number or Topic	
	Committee			3	Amendment Barcode (if applicable)
Name	Neil O'Brien			Phone 727-	786-5000
Address	777 Alderman	Rd		_{Email} nobr	ien@florinroebig.com
	Street	, services and a			
	Palm Harbor	FL	34683	3	
	City	State	Zip		
	Speaking: For	Against Infor	rmation OR	Waive Speaking:	In Support Against
		PLEASE	CHECK ONE OF	THE FOLLOWING:	
	n appearing without npensation or sponsorship.		am a registered lobby epresenting:	ist,	I am not a lobbyist, but received something of value for my appearance (travel, meals, lodging, etc.), sponsored by:

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules.pdf (flsenate.gov)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.



Committee Agenda Request

To:	Senator Danny Burgess, Chair Committee on Judiciary
Subject:	Committee Agenda Request
Date:	November 26, 2021
I respectfully the:	request that Senate Bill #736 , relating to Construction Defect Claims, be placed on committee agenda at your earliest possible convenience. next committee agenda.

Senator Travis Hutson Florida Senate, District 7

CourtSmart Tag Report

Room: KB 412 Case No.: -Type:

Caption: Committee on Judiciary Judge:

Started: 11/30/2021 9:03:41 AM

Ends: 11/30/2021 11:29:51 AM Length: 02:26:11

9:03:46 AM Good morning everybody, the Committee on Judiciary will now come to order.

9:04:44 AM Roll call taken, quorum present.

9:05:11 AM Sen. Wright's bill, SB 432, will be taken up first

9:05:51 AM Question from Sen. Rouson 9:06:37 AM response from Sen. Wright

9:06:48 AM Alison Dudley representing Judicial Assistants Assoc. of Fla. waives in support

9:07:42 AM no debate; Sen. Wright waives close 9:07:54 AM call roll on SB 432; the bill passes

9:08:21 AM SB 156 by Broxson it's been TP'd 9:08:28 AM 9:08:39 AM Sen. Bovd's SB 552

We will press on with this bill 9:08:50 AM

9:10:46 AM Sen. Boyd is recognized to explain the bill

9:10:48 AM Questions?

9:10:53 AM Sen. Thurston is recognized 9:10:59 AM follow up from Sen. Thurston 9:10:59 AM response from Sen. Boyd 9:11:03 AM response from Sen. Boyd

9:11:27 AM any further questions? Seeing none, there are appearance cards Hillsborough Co. Clerk of Courts Jenna Hodgens waives in support 9:11:43 AM

9:11:48 AM Nikki Alvarez Sowles, Pasco Co. Clerk of Court, is recognized

9:12:20 AM They are in support of SB 552 9:15:25 AM Chair recognizes Sen. Broxson question from Sen. Broxson 9:15:26 AM Clerk of Court answers 9:17:16 AM 9:18:16 AM Sen. Broxson for a comment 9:19:59 AM Clerk of Court responds to comment

9:20:49 AM Sen. Thurston has a question 9:21:20 AM Clerk Sowles responds

9:21:41 AM follow up question 9:22:02 AM Ms. Sowles answers

another follow up from Sen. Thurston re: late fees & costs 9:22:45 AM

9:23:18 AM Clerk Sowles is recognized follow up from Thurston 9:24:05 AM 9:24:33 AM Clerk Sowles responds 9:24:48 AM follow up from Thurston

9:25:07 AM Clerk Sowles responds & will get info for Sen. Thurston

9:25:34 AM no other questions

9:25:41 AM 2 waiving in support: Tom Bexley & Jason Harrel on behalf of Fla. Clerks & Comptrollers

9:26:05 AM debate from Sen. Thurston, who'll support the bill 9:27:02 AM no other debate; Sen. Boyd closes on his bill

9:28:17 AM roll call on SB 552 SB 552 passes 9:28:27 AM

9:28:46 AM Sen. Broxson to bring up SB 156

9:29:11 AM having explained his bill, any questions? 9:29:18 AM None, but there is an amendment (774698)

9:29:27 AM the amendment was w/d

9:29:40 AM BG Murphy, George Feijoo, & Paul Runk all waive in support

9:29:56 AM no debate

9:30:00 AM Broxson waives close

9:30:04 AM SB 156 passes

9:30:30 AM SB 620 by Sen. Hutson

```
9:31:02 AM
               relating to local gov't
               Sen. Hutson explains the bill
9:31:04 AM
9:31:19 AM
               any questions?
               Sen. Gibson is recognized
9:31:23 AM
9:32:00 AM
               Sen. Gibson is recognized
9:32:00 AM
               Sen. Hutson answers
9:33:14 AM
               Sen. Polsky has questions
9:33:33 AM
               response from Sen. Hutson
9:34:20 AM
               follow up from Sen. Polsky
9:34:31 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds
9:35:03 AM
               Polsky follows up
               Hutson responds
9:35:32 AM
9:35:59 AM
               Sen. Polsky asks another question
9:36:58 AM
               Sen. Hutson thanks her for the question & answers
9:37:55 AM
               follow up from Polsky
9:38:26 AM
               Hutson responds
               Polsky follows up
9:39:09 AM
9:39:50 AM
               Sen. Hutson answers
               Sen. Polsky has another question
9:40:26 AM
9:40:39 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds
9:41:29 AM
               Chair wants to give other senators a chance for questions
9:41:44 AM
               Sen. Thurston asks about eminent domain
9:41:53 AM
               response from Sen. Hutson
9:42:52 AM
               follow up from Sen. Thurston
9:43:14 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds
9:43:47 AM
               Sen. Thurston asks question
9:44:37 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds
9:46:17 AM
               one more follow up from Sen. Thurston
               Sen. Hutson responds
9:47:04 AM
               Sen. Broxson is recognized for a question
9:48:21 AM
9:48:44 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds
9:49:17 AM
               Sen. Broxson follows up
9:49:39 AM
               response from Sen. Hutson
               Question from Sen. Gibson?
9:50:00 AM
9:50:10 AM
               Sen. Polsky will be next
9:50:16 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds to Sen. Gibson's question
9:51:26 AM
               follow up from Sen. Gibson
9:51:51 AM
               Sen. Hutson answers
9:52:45 AM
               Sen. Polsky is recognized for more questions
9:53:25 AM
               Sen. Hutson answers
9:54:03 AM
               Question from Sen. Polsky
9:54:27 AM
               Answer from Sen. Hutson
               2 more questions from Sen. Polsky
9:55:23 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds to questions
9:55:52 AM
9:56:15 AM
               last question from Sen. Polsky
9:56:25 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds
               any appearance cards? There are quite a few
9:57:31 AM
9:57:47 AM
               please keep comments to 3 minutes or less, & keep to the bill
9:58:05 AM
               Ida Eskamani
9:58:38 AM
               with Fla. Rising & Fla. Immigration Coalition
9:59:25 AM
               Asks they please oppose the bill
9:59:31 AM
               Jon Harris Maurer w/ Equality Fla. is recognized to speak against
10:00:43 AM
               next is Phillip Suderman, who waives in support
               Next is Matthew Choy w/ Fla. Chamber of Commerce, waiving in support
10:00:55 AM
10:01:04 AM
               Dr. Rich Templin w/ Fla. AFL-CIO speaks against
10:04:25 AM
               Rebecca O'Hara w/ Fla. League of Cities, speaking against
10:04:45 AM
               w/ the Fla. League of Cities
10:09:06 AM
               Ms. O'Hara asked to wrap it up
10:09:33 AM
               Sen. Rodrigues has a question for Ms. O'Hara
10:10:08 AM
               Ms. O'Hara responds
               Sen. Rodrigues yields to Sen. Thurston for a question
10:11:27 AM
10:12:15 AM
               Ms. O'Hara responds
```

```
10:13:15 AM
               no further questions
               Jonathan Webber w/ Fla. Conservation Voters waives against
10:13:20 AM
10:13:29 AM
               Grace Lovett w/ Fla. Retail Federation waives in support
               Sean Pittman w/ City of Port Orange waives against
10:13:30 AM
               Jack Cory representing Village of Tequesta
10:13:36 AM
10:13:42 AM
               Mr. Cory waives against
               Bob McKee speaks against
10:13:51 AM
               w/ the Fla. Ass'n of Counties
10:14:23 AM
10:18:08 AM
               Chair asks for speaker to wind down
10:18:41 AM
               Cmsr. Lee Constantine for Seminole Co. asks that Ralph Thomas of Wakulla Co. speak first
10:19:27 AM
               Commissioner of Wakulla Co.
10:19:41 AM
               He's speaking against
10:21:52 AM
               Sen. Rodrigues has a question for Cmsr. Thomas
10:22:21 AM
               Cmsr. Thomas responds
10:23:13 AM
               follow up from Sen. Rodrigues
10:23:51 AM
               back & forth
10:23:58 AM
               next up is Cmsr. Constantine
               Speaking against
10:24:17 AM
               Brewster Bevis waives in support
10:28:21 AM
10:28:33 AM
               David Cullen w/ Sierra Club Fla. speaks against
10:30:41 AM
               last is Devon West w/ Broward co. speaking against
10:31:15 AM
               Now in debate, but short on time
10:31:27 AM
               Sen. Thurston debates
10:33:47 AM
               he'll be voting against it
10:33:53 AM
               Sen. Polsky debates against
               Sen. Broxson debates for the bill
10:36:22 AM
10:37:34 AM
               Sen. Baxley debates in support
10:38:06 AM
               agrees that there needs to be a lot done to narrow the bill down
               Sen. Boyd debates in favor
10:38:39 AM
10:39:44 AM
               Sen. Gibson debates against
               Sen. Hutson is recognized to close
10:41:11 AM
10:42:59 AM
               roll call
               SB 620 is reported favorably
10:43:06 AM
               we have an SPB to get through
10:43:27 AM
               chair is given over to Sen. Gibson, tab 6, SB 7014 by Sen. Burgess
10:43:43 AM
10:44:33 AM
               any questions?
               Sen. Rouson
10:44:37 AM
10:44:51 AM
               asks a question
10:44:57 AM
               Sen. Burgess responds
               Sen. Thurston has a question
10:45:46 AM
10:46:18 AM
               Sen. Burgess responds
10:46:42 AM
               no further questions
               Jason Hand, VP of Public Policy for Fla. Senior Living Ass'n., speaking for the bill
10:46:46 AM
               Sen. Thurston has a question for speaker
10:48:27 AM
               Mr. Hand responds
10:49:03 AM
10:49:22 AM
               follow up from Sen. Thurston
10:49:44 AM
               Mr. Hand responds
10:50:13 AM
               Steve Cain speaking against
               Mr. Robin Khanal speaking for bill
10:52:08 AM
               Madam Chair asks that he wrap up
10:54:21 AM
               Sen. Thurston has a question of speaker
10:54:30 AM
10:55:53 AM
               follow up from Sen. Thurston
10:56:09 AM
               Mr. Khanal responds
               Mike Cusick, representing Fla. Ass'n of Children's Hospitals, waives in support
10:56:29 AM
10:56:38 AM
               Steve Bauhmer, representing Leading Age Fla.
10:56:59 AM
               waives in support
10:57:02 AM
               David Mica Jr., representing Fla. Hospital Ass'n, waives in support
10:57:07 AM
               Wm. Large w/ Fla. Justice Reform Institute waives in support
10:57:40 AM
               Mary Thomas of the Fla. Medical Ass'n. waives in support
               Carolyn Johnson of the Fla. Chamber of Commerce waives in support
10:57:47 AM
               Grace Lovett of Fla. Retail Federation
10:57:56 AM
10:58:04 AM
               waives in support
```

```
10:58:08 AM
               Brewster Bevis, AIF, waives in support
10:58:15 AM
               Greg ? w/ Fla. Insurance Council waives in support
10:58:24 AM
               Tim Parson - Fla. Assisted Living Ass'n - waives in support
               Mary Lou Woods waives against
10:58:31 AM
               Sen. Polsky debates
10:58:45 AM
               Sen. Burgess is recognized to close
11:01:38 AM
               SB 7014 roll call
11:01:53 AM
               SB 7014 is reported favorably
11:02:18 AM
               Sen. Mayfield asks that it be reported as a committee bill
11:02:44 AM
11:02:59 AM
               Chair Burgess brings Sen. Hutson back up to explain SB 736
11:04:11 AM
               Sen. Rouson called on for a question
11:06:07 AM
               Sen. Thurston has a question
11:06:38 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds
11:08:18 AM
               Sen. Polsky has a question
               follow up from Sen. Polsky
11:09:11 AM
11:09:19 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds
11:10:51 AM
               final question from Sen. Polsky
11:11:24 AM
               Sen. Hutson answers
11:12:06 AM
               Sen. Gibson for a question
               Sen. Hutson responds
11:12:37 AM
               Sen. Gibson has follow up; Sen. Hutson responds
11:13:07 AM
               Question from Sen. Gibson
11:13:19 AM
               Sen. Hutson answers Gibson's question
11:13:48 AM
11:14:29 AM
               final question from Sen. Gibson
11:14:57 AM
               Sen. Hutson responds
               Amend. 207164 is explained by Sen. Hutson
11:15:10 AM
11:15:37 AM
               no questions, appearance forms, or debate
11:15:46 AM
               amend. is adopted
11:15:53 AM
               back on bill as amended
11:16:05 AM
               testimony limited to 1 minute or less
               Ron Woods speaks against
11:16:14 AM
               Martin Langesfeld speaks against
11:17:28 AM
               Neil O'Brien (for the Fla. Justice Ass'n?) speaks against
11:20:20 AM
               Sen. Thurston has a question of speaker
11:21:57 AM
               Barry Ansbacher speaks against; he's an attn'y from Jax
11:23:00 AM
11:24:38 AM
               Travis Moore w/ Community Assocs. Institute waives against
               Dane Bennett w/ Fla. Home Builders Ass'n, waives in support
11:24:43 AM
11:24:49 AM
               Dan Olson, representing the Fla. Fire Sprinkler Ass'n, waives in support
               Kari Hebrank w/ the Fla. Home Builders, waives in support
11:24:51 AM
11:25:15 AM
               Sen. Rodrigues debates in support, but says there's more work to be done
11:25:47 AM
               Sen. Gibson debates
11:27:35 AM
               Sen. Thurston debates against
```

Sen. Hutson's recognized to close, & waives close

SB 190 & SB 634 get rolled to next meeting agenda

Leader Mayfield sees that the meeting is adjourned

roll call; CS/SB 736 passes

11:28:28 AM 11:28:44 AM

11:29:14 AM

11:29:34 AM