1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	SENATE REAPPORTIONMENT COMMITTEE HEARING
13	WEDNESDAY, MARCH 21, 2012
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	Transcribed by:
22	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
23	Court Reporter
24	
25	

Τ	TAPED PROCEEDINGS
2	SENATOR GAETZ: Good morning. Thank you
3	for being here this morning bright and early,
4	we appreciate all of that, and ask committee
5	members if they would take their seats, and
6	members of the audience who would like to
7	observe and perhaps testify, if you would find
8	a spot. And would the administrative assistant
9	please call the roll for the Senate Committee
10	on Reapportionment?
11	THE CLERK: Senator Gaetz?
12	SENATOR GAETZ: Here.
13	THE CLERK: Senator Margolis?
14	SENATOR MARGOLIS: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Altman?
16	SENATOR ALTMAN: Here.
17	THE CLERK: Senator Benacquisto?
18	SENATOR BENACQUISTO: Here.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Braynon?
20	SENATOR BRAYNON: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Bullard?
22	Senator Dean?
23	SENATOR DEAN: Here.
24	THE CLERK: Senator Detert?
25	SENATOR DETERT: Here

1	THE CLERK: Senator Diaz de la Portilla?
2	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Evers?
4	SENATOR EVERS: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Senator Flores?
6	SENATOR FLORES: Here.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Garcia?
8	Senator Gardiner?
9	SENATOR GARDINER: Here.
10	THE CLERK: Senator Gibson?
11	SENATOR GIBSON: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Hays?
13	SENATOR HAYS: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Senator Joyner?
15	SENATOR JOYNER: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Senator Latvala?
17	SENATOR LATVALA: Here.
18	THE CLERK: Senator Lynn?
19	SENATOR LYNN: Here.
20	THE CLERK: Senator Montford?
21	SENATOR MONTFORD: Here.
22	THE CLERK: Senator Negron?
23	SENATOR NEGRON: Here.
24	THE CLERK: Senator Rich?
0.5	GENTAGO DIGIL. II

SENATOR RICH: Here.

1	THE CLERK: Senator Sachs?
2	SENATOR SACHS: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Simmons?
4	SENATOR SIMMONS: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Senator Siplin?
6	SENATOR SIPLIN: Here.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Smith?
8	SENATOR SMITH: Here.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Sobel?
10	SENATOR SOBEL: Here.
11	THE CLERK: Senator Storms?
12	SENATOR STORMS: Here.
13	THE CLERK: Senator Thrasher?
14	SENATOR THRASHER: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Wise?
16	SENATOR WISE: Here.
17	THE CLERK: Quorum present.
18	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much. A
19	quorum being present, we are called to order.
20	Yesterday, as you will remember, just to
21	put us back into the frame here, we TP'd the
22	proposed committee substitute for SJR-2B after
23	we got into a discussion about renumbering.
24	Today we will need to get through that
25	discussion in some fashion and report the bill

so it would be available for special order tomorrow.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Please recall that I asked Senators to pay particular attention to this. Please recall that the deadline for filing floor amendments is now changed to 5:00 p.m. tonight because we needed to have today's meeting today. So if it is your desire to file an alternative plan, submit it by three o'clock p.m. to the staff.

We had a little problem yesterday where we had one or maybe two Senators who felt as though there were flaws in their plan that might have been caused by not getting all of the kinks worked out, but we had both of those amendments, one filed ten minutes before the deadline, one filed two minutes before the deadline, a little tough then to work out technical problems in Senators' plans with that kind of lead time. So we would -- we would particularly request your indulgence and your help. If you have an alternative plan, file it by 3:00 today if you want the staff to assist If you don't want the staff to assist you and you want to just let it stand as it may be, that is your prerogative and your privilege,

1	and we certainly would respect that. But if
2	you want help, file it by 3:00 today at the
3	latest.
4	Here are three steps members should follow
5	when filing an amendment: First, after
6	completing a complete statewide plan on
7	District Builder, Senators will use the "Submit
8	Plan" feature to publish the plan to the Web.
9	It is a good idea to alert Redistricting
10	Committee professional staff that a plan is in
11	the hopper so they can give it priority
12	attention, kind of know that there is incoming.
13	Once the staff starts file processing, it can
14	take an hour or more to generate all of the
15	maps and statistics and downloads and post them
16	to the Web.
17	Then, secondly, once the plan appears on
18	the Web, Senators would request that the Senate
19	Bill Drafting Office prepare an amendment using
20	the full legal description published on the
21	Web.
22	Third, Senators would file the bar coded
23	amendment with the Secretary's office when the
24	bill reaches the floor.
25	Now, to let you know, I have a proposed

1	I have filed an amendment to the proposed
2	committee substitute, and I want to tell you
3	what the amendment is, although we will not
4	take it up right now. Basically all the
5	amendment does is to remove all reference to
6	the numbering system from the plan, just remove
7	it, because what I would hope to do today, and
8	I have taken this up with the Minority Leader
9	and the incoming Minority Leader good
10	morning. It is time to get up. That was my
11	wake-up call. The Minority Leader and the
12	incoming Minority Leader have both agreed that
13	this morning, what we might do is take some
14	time for members to discuss their preferences
15	as to a numbering system. We had some comments
16	made yesterday about what was wrong or right
17	with certain systems. Why don't we continue
18	that discussion so that everyone has the
19	opportunity to share their views. Then at some
20	point, I will ask Mr. Guthrie to lay out what a
21	random system might look like so that we have a
22	straw man and we can take shots at it, and then
23	at some point we will try to develop an
24	understanding as to what a majority of the
25	Committee or maybe a consensus of the Committee

1	might be as to a numbering system, and then,
2	obviously, any member can file an amendment for
3	the floor tomorrow, and based on if we can get
4	a consensus or get a majority view, I will file
5	an amendment to try to represent that consensus
6	or that majority view. Then, based on my
7	discussion with the Minority Leader and the
8	incoming Minority Leader, before we leave
9	today, we would take a vote on the PCB as it
10	stands after we had removed from the PCB any
11	reference to a numbering system, that on the
12	floor with plenty of notice for everybody, we
13	would take up any amendments that you, any of
14	you, or the Committee as a whole might have as
15	to a numbering system.
16	Does that seem like an okay way to go?
17	Senator Latvala, you are recognized.
18	SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	We do have still to from a procedural
20	standpoint, it is my understanding that we do
21	still have Senator Altman's amendment available
22	since he only temporarily passed that
23	amendment. So if he chose to bring that back
24	up in the meeting today, that is still
25	available, correct?

1	SENATOR GAETZ: Absolutely, and thank you,
2	Senator Latvala. Since there was a comment
3	made yesterday that perhaps the Chair had asked
4	Senator Altman to withdraw his amendment, I
5	made sure Senator Altman knew last night that I
б	encouraged him to bring it forward, and so
7	Senator Latvala is exactly correct, that
8	amendment has been TP'd and it can certainly be
9	brought forward by Senator Altman during this
LO	meeting today or it can be brought forward on
L1	the floor.
L2	Senator Sobel.
L3	SENATOR SOBEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair, good
L4	morning.
L5	Many of us left our very good maps that
L6	were handed out in our computers and they are
L7	gone. Do you have any suggestions?
L8	SENATOR GAETZ: What you mean the maps
L9	that the committee staff handed out?
20	SENATOR SOBEL: They were used yesterday.
21	They were excellent. They are missing.
22	SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Do we have any
23	extra copies for those Senators who may not
24	have
25	MR. GUTHRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,

1	yes, you requested as we were leaving yesterday
2	that the room be secured, but the cleaning team
3	was very efficient last night, so staff right
4	now is reprinting the maps for all the
5	Senators.
6	SENATOR GAETZ: Yeah, if you did not take
7	your map with you or if you don't have it this
8	morning and you need one, we will make sure you
9	get one.
10	Anything else procedurally before we
11	start? Senator Montford.
12	SENATOR MONTFORD: Mr. Chair, if we can
13	too, the other handouts that you provided us,
14	there were three sets, you know, the
15	information that went with it as well.
16	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie.
17	MR. GUTHRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18	Yes, I believe staff also is getting
19	copies of the functional analysis reports for
20	the districts, yes.
21	SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Anything else
22	anybody might need? Yes, Senator Sachs.
23	SENATOR SACHS: I think I had my lunch
24	here no. Good morning, Mr. Chairman.
25	SENATOR GAETZ: It is being brought from

- 1 the 21 Club. It will be here.
- 2 SENATOR SACHS: Very nice. Thank you,
- 3 sir.
- 4 Just to recap, we need to have any floor
- 5 amendments in by 3:00 p.m. at the latest for
- 6 them to be worked on by 5:00?
- 7 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes. The amendment
- 8 deadline that was set yesterday when we learned
- 9 that we would need to carry this meeting over
- until today, the amendment deadline is at 5:00
- 11 today if you don't need any staff help, if you
- are going to walk in with a fully completed
- amendment and all you want is for it to be put
- on the Web, because it is already technically
- perfect and it is a statewide amendment. But
- if you would like staff help, and staff would
- 17 like to help if you desire it, then we would
- ask that you bring your amendment in at the
- 19 latest by three o'clock. But if you've got an
- amendment already and it is burning a hole in
- 21 your pocket, we would love to have that
- amendment sooner rather than later. That way,
- every one will have a chance to see your good
- work.
- 25 Anything else? Yes, President Margolis.

1	SENATOR MARGOLIS: There are those of us
2	who don't I personally don't care if I get a
3	four-year seat or a two-year seat. I wonder if
4	people should volunteer.
5	SENATOR GAETZ: People may say anything
6	they wish to say if we go into a general
7	discussion, which is what I would propose next.
8	I would, however, ask Mr. Bardos to remind us
9	what the Supreme Court said about intent
10	what they said and what they implied about
11	intent as to a numbering system. Mr. Bardos.
12	MR. BARDOS: The Court said that numbering
13	certainly is a is part of the prohibition
14	against an intent to favor or disfavor, and it
15	invalidated the numbering system that we had
16	previously chosen because, in the Court's

words, "It significantly advantages incumbents

by increasing the length of time that they may

manipulating the numbering of the districts in

order to allow incumbents to serve in excess of

eight years would also appear to frustrate the

intent of the voters when the term limits

serve by two years," and it also stated that

the purposefully -- "That purposefully

amendment was adopted."

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	SENATOR GAETZ: So I I am not your
2	lawyer, but I would be I would advise you to
3	just bear in mind what Mr. Bardos has just
4	said, and unless there's anything else yes,
5	Senator Lynn.
6	SENATOR LYNN: I just want to make sure
7	that I am clear on something. Is it is it a
8	plan or is it possible to just consider the
9	numbering system so that we end up with a map
LO	with the numbers on it, according to the way we
L1	all vote, and then the decision as to how to go
L2	for the eight or ten years would be a separate
L3	decision?
L4	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Lynn, my
L5	understanding, and I certainly can be corrected
L6	by counsel, my understanding is that the two
L7	are related; in other words, if a determination
L8	is made that a particular district is numbered
L9	odd or even, based upon the underlying
20	Constitution that is already in place, having
21	nothing to do with Amendment 5, we already know
22	that an odd-numbered district would run for a
23	four-year term in a Presidential year. That is
24	already pre-decided. So I think the issues are
25	interrelated; however, what I've proposed to

1	the Minority Leader and the incoming Minority
2	Leader for our for our procedures today is
3	that I will withdraw I have an amendment
4	that would take out any reference to the
5	numbering system so that we could vote on the
6	plan without the numbering system, then we
7	can we can have an amendment dealing with
8	the numbering system on the floor, and that
9	amendment would be your amendment or anybody
LO	else's amendment, or it could be an amendment
L1	that the Committee itself would work on today.
L2	SENATOR LYNN: Thank you.
L3	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, ma'am. Senator Hays?
L4	SENATOR HAYS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
L5	You know, this is not brain surgery that
L6	we are trying to do here, and I think the Court
L7	has given us very clear indication that they
L8	want this done in a neutral manner, and I don't
L9	know of a better neutral manner than the
20	lottery that you proposed. I am in favor of
21	stripping all the numbers off of it, starting
22	from scratch. To me, we need to send to the
23	Court a complete package that has the whole
24	state numbered and with, you know, the proper
25	numbers that were done by a random system and

1	be done with it. Why do we need to burn three
2	or four more hours talking about it?
3	SENATOR GAETZ: Well, that is certainly an
4	appropriate point to make, but I think
5	yesterday there were Senators who were anxious
6	to discuss the numbering system in concept and
7	in specifics more, so by agreement with the
8	Minority Leaders, we wanted to provide time for
9	that. We will certainly offer up how a random
10	system would work, and it can be discussed and
11	it can be cussed, but if any members wish to
12	discuss other systems, as Senator Latvala
13	pointed out, Senator Altman had a system that
14	he certainly can bring back up.
15	Anybody have any ideas that they would
16	like to share, any criticisms, any points of
17	view? Yes, Senator Dean.
18	SENATOR DEAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	I share with Senator Hays one thought
20	about the going back and presenting it to
21	the Court. Our choices are very limited. If
22	you look and see about the ballots when it says
23	that we shouldn't have any weighted incumbency
24	anywhere, that anything we try to do because

I sat up for a couple of hours last night and

1	we tried to figure out all the issues that were
2	settled ten years ago and try to be fair and
3	put those numbers together. Any way you do it
4	is going to end up to three to four seats and
5	they're going to have an overlapping situation,
б	we will change the complex of incumbency or
7	what we are going to do. So, you know, if
8	there is a way we can just take strip the
9	numbers and just make it a lottery just the way
LO	that they wanted to do, because they are going
L1	to do it to us anyhow and or for us or
L2	however you want to determine that, but I feel
L3	that it is an issue that we can spend a lot of
L4	time on and accomplish nothing, and it is
L5	clearly the directive to have a lottery and
L6	make it work, and I just think that is the best
L7	thing to do.
L8	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator Dean.
L9	Any other comments?
20	Senator Sobel.
21	SENATOR SOBEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22	What are the specific parameters or
23	guidelines we would have to follow? First of
24	all, I believe you just said that if you picked
25	an odd number, it was a four-year term, is that

```
1 correct, that is constitutional? I need some
```

- 2 clarification on that.
- 3 SENATOR GAETZ: Sure. Mr. Bardos, can you
- tell us what the Constitution says? Or Mr.
- 5 Guthrie, either one, or both.
- 6 MR. GUTHRIE: We can turn to the
- 7 Constitution here. Let's go to the Senate
- 8 website and pull down the Constitution, Article
- 9 III, Section --
- 10 A VOICE: Fifteen -- 16.
- 11 MR. GUTHRIE: Article III, Section 15 says
- that "Senators shall be elected for terms of
- four years. Those from odd-numbered districts
- in years which are multiples of four, and those
- from even-numbered districts and even-numbered
- 16 years, the numbers of which are not multiples
- of four."
- 18 2012 is a multiple of four, so Senators
- 19 elected from odd districts in 2012 will get a
- four-year term. Senators elected in 2012 from
- 21 an even-numbered district will get a two-year
- 22 term.
- 23 SENATOR GAETZ: Is that satisfactory,
- 24 Senator Sobel? Did you have a follow-up,
- 25 ma'am?

1	SENATOR SOBEL: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair.
2	SENATOR GAETZ: Please.
3	SENATOR SOBEL: So we pick say I pick
4	an odd number. Then that number would that
5	number in a random picking, would that become
6	my Senate seat, or would we then try to put the
7	numbers in some sort of rational order?
8	SENATOR GAETZ: Well, that presupposes how
9	one might do a random system, and Mr. Guthrie
10	is prepared to give us an example of how that
11	might work, but before we go to any ideas that
12	professional staff might have, Senators may
13	have good ideas. We would like to hear any
14	ideas that you may have.
15	SENATOR SOBEL: One other follow-up?
16	SENATOR GAETZ: Sure.
17	SENATOR SOBEL: Another parameter is that
18	it has to be neutral, no preference for
19	anybody. Are there any other parameters or
20	guidelines that we would have to follow in
21	setting up a numbering system?
22	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie and Mr.
23	Bardos, we will ask both of you to opine. I
24	think we have to follow the law, the
25	Constitution Dleage on shead

1	MR. GUTHRIE: Yeah, the direction we got
2	on that is the direction we got for everything
3	we've been doing during this extraordinary
4	session, and it comes from the Supreme Court's
5	opinion. The pertinent provisions, which Mr.
6	Bardos read just a little bit ago, are on the
7	screen for you all to see and read.
8	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Detert, and then
9	Leader Rich. Senator Detert.
LO	SENATOR DETERT: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
L1	I hope we are going to stick with what you
L2	outlined this morning that we would just go
L3	ahead and vote the maps out and then have this
L4	other discussion so I personally could have
L5	somewhat of a sense of accomplishment for the
L6	day that we accomplished one thing. But since
L7	we are on this road, what would be the matter
L8	with just starting left to right going 1, 2, 3,
L9	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and then to determine who
20	gets the four-year seat and who gets the
21	two-year seat, you would just flip a coin and
22	say all the odd numbers get this and all the
23	even or heads, you get this, tails, you get

that? It is what -- it is -- oddly enough, it

is what we do in the state of Florida, if you

24

```
1
          have an election that is a tie, you flip a
 2
          coin, so what would be the matter with just
          sequentially doing the numbers? I just don't
 3
 4
          -- which we could probably do in six minutes.
                               Well, let's see if we can.
 5
               SENATOR GAETZ:
 6
          Why don't we just do a little -- a little
 7
          real-time example. Without lifting your pencil
 8
          from the map, to use Senator Latvala's phrase,
 9
          why don't you see if you can do -- just walk us
10
          through. You got a big map there, John.
11
          if you can walk us through without using any
12
          subjective judgment at all.
               MR. GUTHRIE: Okay, and before I do that,
13
          let's make sure that we all are understanding
14
15
          the effect of the provision in Article III,
16
          Section 15. We cannot say that an odd number
17
          is going to get a two-year term.
          Constitution says that an odd number is going
18
          to get a four-year term. So that is -- so if I
19
20
          were -- if I had no indication as to -- other
21
          than I wanted to sweep from the northwest down
22
          to the southeast of how these districts ought
          to be numbered and -- what I would do is
23
24
          something like, 1, 2, 3, 4. Now I have a
```

choice to make, because a District 2 actually

```
1 adjoins 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 --
```

- 2 SENATOR GAETZ: Could you move that just
- 3 so that everybody over on that side could see,
- 4 there is just a little confusion, while we want
- 5 to make sure it is on tape and that everyone
- 6 can hear.
- 7 MR. GUTHRIE: So after --
- 8 SENATOR GAETZ: Ben, why don't you hold
- 9 the other end and help John out a little bit.
- 10 That way John can work the middle of the map.
- 11 There we go.
- MR. GUTHRIE: I see what you are saying.
- 13 Come back this way.
- 14 SENATOR GAETZ: There we go.
- MR. GUTHRIE: So we get to District 4 --
- well, we get to District 2, we've got a choice
- 17 to make. I could either very logically make 7
- 18 the next district, or I could make 4 the next
- 19 district, and depending on which choice I made
- there, I would be determining whether this
- 21 district or this district gets a four-year
- 22 term.
- 23 SENATOR GAETZ: There's our problem.
- 24 MR. GUTHRIE: And I run across it that
- 25 quickly. It is easy as we sweep across the

1 Panhandle. As soon as we get out of the Panhandle, it is going to be up to whomever it 2 is assigning those numbers to determine who 3 4 gets four years and who gets two years, unless you have some kind of a random scheme that 5 6 predetermines which of these areas are going to 7 get your odd numbers and which of these areas 8 are going to get your even numbers.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

By the way, the numbering that you see on the map right now, how that was applied is we used the rule that was explained in the staff analysis giving members who had short terms two years or less prior to redistricting preference for an odd-numbered district, giving those who had four-year terms prior to redistricting two-year terms, and then there were three -three exceptions, and that is explained in the staff analysis. That is a system that the Supreme Court found to be wrong. But what I did in this process is step one was using that rule, that systematic rule, based on four years and two years and trying to have those who had terms less than the constitutional provision of four years prior to redistricting have preference for a four-year term after

- 1 redistricting.
- 2 SENATOR GAETZ: But the Court said that
- 3 was invalid.
- 4 MR. GUTHRIE: They said that was invalid,
- 5 but to make the point, the first -- step one
- 6 was I determined that this, this and this were
- going to be odd, this was going to be even, and
- 8 that was simply by applying the rule. So what
- 9 the Court has told us here, I believe, is that
- the rule we use is an invalid rule, we need a
- different rule for determining what gets odd
- and what gets even. After we assign the odds
- and evens to the entire state, then I or
- somebody else, really anybody could go and
- 15 logically number the districts just as I did
- 16 here, okay. They -- first they were odd or
- 17 even, and then I made the choice that -- to
- start here. I had odd, odd, odd, so I went 1,
- 19 3, 5. The next one was an even, so I made it a
- 20 2. This was an even and this was an even, so I
- 21 made them 4 and 6, but that was just a choice
- that I made trying to provide, as Senator
- 23 Latvala has said, a logical sequence of
- 24 districts as we swept across the state.
- 25 SENATOR GAETZ: Leader Rich.

1	SENATOR RICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
2	I am just wondering since Mr. Guthrie has
3	a random plan that he could present to us, if
4	we could possibly hear from him and get that
5	plan and see how the body feels about that.
6	SENATOR GAETZ: Okay, Leader. We did have
7	a couple of other Senators who wanted to speak,
8	and then we could do that if it is agreeable to
9	the Committee.
10	Senator Sachs and Senator Montford.
11	SENATOR SACHS: Thank you very much, Mr.
12	Chairman.
13	I think we need to be cautious, because it
14	is not necessarily the way that we number. The
15	Court is very specific, and I think we need
16	also to watch our discussion in talking about
17	member districts or incumbents. These are not
18	member districts. These are districts. They
19	don't belong to anybody. And the issue with
20	the Court is that member districts or
21	incumbents must not be favored one way or the
22	other.
23	So I think if we are looking at territory
24	or land or districts, we can number them anyway
25	we want as long as our discussion today with

1	regard to this issue does not or should not
2	mention member or incumbent. These are
3	territories, these are districts, they don't
4	belong to anybody. And I would just suggest
5	that, Mr. Chairman, if we could in our
б	discussion today possibly refrain from using
7	words such as "members" or "incumbents" so that
8	we follow the dictates strictly of the Court's
9	ruling.
10	SENATOR GAETZ: Well put. Senator
11	Montford.
12	SENATOR MONTFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
13	A couple of observations or questions. I
14	believe in the Court's opinion they did not
15	suggest a lottery, is that correct, they just
16	simply they wanted it neutral?
17	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Bardos.
18	MR. BARDOS: The Court did not direct the
19	method that we should use.
20	SENATOR MONTFORD: Okay. Then what would
21	what would be a concern to me is, you know,
22	we've all put a lot of work into this, and I
23	would hate to see it go down the tubes just
24	because of the numbering. Now, if we use a
25	true lottery type, and it was suggested by a

1	couple of members yesterday that any number of
2	things could happen, I mean, you could have all
3	north Florida one and south Florida another, I
4	mean, you know what the choices are there. If
5	that truly was the result of a lottery, then I
6	can't I mean, I can see the Court saying
7	even though you use the lottery, the end result
8	of this is there is gross inaccuracy gross
9	inequities in the result of the lottery. You
10	know, sometimes we have had people win two or
11	three Lottos in Florida, the same people. Now,
12	the odds of that occurring are one well, one
13	in however many, ten million or trillion, but
14	that could happen. So I would hate to see the
15	whole thing thrown out simply because of a
16	chance.
17	And Mr. Guthrie and others have you
18	know, you've made some tough choices for the
19	last nine months. I mean, these lines didn't
20	just appear up there. You had a very
21	well-defined process, as evidenced yesterday in
22	your discussion about why the line went this
23	block and not that block and so on.
24	And so I would encourage us not just to
25	throw our hands up and say, "Let's do a

```
1
          lottery." Let's dig a little deeper and see if
 2
          there is a way that we can come up, because I
          am afraid that the end result of the lottery
 3
          will be of such magnitude in the discrepancies
 4
          that the Court won't accept it anyway, even
 5
 6
          though it was a lottery.
 7
               SENATOR GAETZ: Well, let us dig deeper,
 8
          and you have a shovel, Senator, so we would be
 9
          happy to have your idea or the idea of any
10
          other Senator who has a proposal or a plan.
11
          Senators have been working on this for some
12
          months.
13
               Senator Latvala.
14
                                 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
               SENATOR LATVALA:
               I am wondering -- Senator Montford raised
15
16
          a good point with regard to could the numbering
          be used to throw out the actual district lines,
17
          and I would -- we have with us on our legal
18
          team someone who has practiced before the
19
20
          Supreme Court for 20 or 30 years, and I would
21
          like to ask her what -- Ms. Tunnicliff, what
22
          she thinks about the propensity of the Court,
          if they don't agree with our numbering scheme,
23
24
          what is her opinion on whether or not that
```

would impact the boundaries or the -- or

1	whether or not the Court would just redo the
2	renumbering. In other words, would that be the
3	occasion to draw new lines just because they
4	didn't like the numbering, or would they just,
5	you know, confine their activity to renumbering
6	correctly the way they think it should be?
7	SENATOR GAETZ: That is a great question.
8	We posed that question to a former Justice of
9	the Supreme Court who is consulting with us,
10	and he has offered his views, and
11	Ms. Tunnicliff, we would love to have yours.
12	MS. TUNNICLIFF: I certainly would take
13	the Justice's words over mine, and in my 30
14	years of practicing in the Court, I never would
15	glean to opine on what they might rule, but I
16	do think we would certainly argue that it was
17	severable, that the numbering system is
18	severable. Whether the Court would do that, I
19	don't know. They said that the numbering
20	system as it was held unconstitutional here
21	violated the Constitution because it favored
22	incumbents. So anything that favors incumbents
23	could be invalidate the whole plan. That is
24	what the Court ruled here. It has to be
25	neutral and not to favor or disfavor any

```
1 incumbents.
```

- 2 SENATOR GAETZ: Any follow-up questions,
- 3 Senator Latvala?
- 4 SENATOR LATVALA: Historically, when the
- 5 Court has found a problem with a specific part
- 6 of a redistricting map, has it not historically
- 7 targeted in on that problem area and only
- 8 redrawn those problem districts as opposed to
- 9 taking on the task of redistricting the whole
- 10 state?
- 11 SENATOR GAETZ: Ms. Tunnicliff?
- 12 MS. TUNNICLIFF: This Court has never done
- that in terms of invalidating the plan. This
- 14 Court has -- this is the first time it has
- undertaken to invalidate a plan.
- 16 SENATOR LATVALA: Well -- Mr. Chairman?
- 17 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, of course, and
- 18 Ms. Tunnicliff, if you could use the mike
- 19 closer.
- 20 MS. TUNNICLIFF: Sorry.
- 21 SENATOR GAETZ: That way, we have a large
- 22 Committee, everybody can hear you, and members
- of the press and the public.
- 24 Senator Latvala.
- 25 SENATOR LATVALA: I do recall that in 1992

```
1
          the Supreme Court drew eight Senate districts
 2
          in the central Florida area, in the Tampa Bay
          area, because of a problem with the map, not
 3
          the whole dis- -- not the whole map. You know,
 4
 5
          can we get -- can we not get a legal opinion as
 6
          opposed to a staff opinion?
 7
               SENATOR GAETZ: We have a legal -- you
 8
          have asked for a legal opinion.
 9
               SENATOR LATVALA: Well, but --
10
               SENATOR GAETZ: You are getting it from
11
          Ms. Tunnicliff.
               SENATOR LATVALA: John is getting ready to
12
          try to answer, and that's --
13
14
               SENATOR GAETZ: No, he is not going to try
15
          -- he will give an answer from his perspective
16
          if I ask him, or any other member does, but
          Ms. Tunnicliff has got the podium, and you are
17
          asking her questions, so please go ahead.
18
                                I believe they did redraw
19
               MS. TUNNICLIFF:
20
          just the section. So what you are saying is by
21
          that, by way of analogy, it is -- might well
22
          just zero on the numbering system and not
          invalidate all of the districts, and that is
23
24
          possible. As I said, the Court can do whatever
25
          it wants to do.
```

1	SENATOR GAETZ: And, Mr. Bardos, since
2	Senator Latvala would like a legal opinion,
3	would you like to chime in?
4	MR. BARDOS: Sure, and as I recall, the
5	Court was involved in the drawing of the
6	districts in '92 not because it invalidated the
7	districts, but because there was a
8	pre-clearance objection from the Department of
9	Justice. And we
10	SENATOR GAETZ: You got to speak into the
11	mike.
12	MR. BARDOS: So in '92, the Court
13	invali drew districts, not because it
14	invalidated them, but because there was a
15	pre-clearance objection from the Department of
16	Justice.
17	There's also a difference in the form of
18	the proceeding here. This is not the
19	traditional remedial proceeding where the Court
20	has no express constitutional authority to draw
21	lines, but instead is remedying a plan that is
22	found invalid. Here we have a constitutional
23	provision which authorizes the Court to draw
24	lines. So we don't know whether the Court
25	would construe that express authorization to

```
1
          draw lines as giving it more than simply
 2
          remedial powers.
               SENATOR GAETZ: And Leader Smith is next.
 3
 4
          Leader.
 5
               SENATOR LATVALA: Can I ask one more
 6
          follow-up?
 7
               SENATOR GAETZ: Of course you can, sure.
 8
               SENATOR LATVALA:
                                 Thank you.
 9
               Is there not a number of places in this
10
          opinion where Justice Pariente, writing for the
11
          majority, indicated a lack of interest in
12
          redrawing the plan? Weren't there a couple of
          specific references to the fact that she did
13
14
          not believe it was the Court -- necessarily the
15
          Court's job to draw the plan?
16
               SENATOR GAETZ: Who would you like that
17
          directed towards?
               SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Bardos. He is the
18
          one that answered the last one.
19
20
               MR. BARDOS: Sure.
                                   There were those
21
          references.
                       There were also references that
22
          stated that it would be the Court's duty to
          draw the plan if it were invalidated a second
23
```

25 It was also instructive the way that the FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

24

time.

1	Court dealt with the U.S. Supreme Court's
2	recent decision in Perry versus Perez. There
3	the U.S. Supreme Court had reversed a trial
4	court's decision where the trial court had
5	decided to redraw the entire plan, and the U.S.
6	Supreme Court stated that the Court should
7	confine itself simply to those areas which were
8	invalidated. And certainly that is the
9	argument that we would make here, but the Court
10	the Florida Supreme Court in this opinion
11	did note that Perry versus Perez also involved
12	issues of federal versus state sovereignty, and
13	that could be a ground of distinction between
14	that case and the role that the Florida Supreme
15	Court would assume here.
16	And I don't mean to suggest by these
17	comments that the Court would redraw the entire
18	map. Certainly we think that the better course
19	would be for the Court to simply remedy those
20	areas that have invalidities, but I do want to
21	make the point that this is a little bit
22	different from the usual proceeding and we have
23	not gone quite this far down the road before.
24	SENATOR GAETZ: Ms. Tunnicliff, did you
25	want to also respond to Senator Latvala's

```
1
          question?
               MS. TUNNICLIFF: No, I think Mr. Bardos
 2.
          did a very good job of that.
 3
 4
               SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Then Leader -- did
          you want to follow up, Senator Latvala, or --
 5
 6
               SENATOR LATVALA: No, thank you.
 7
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Okay. Leader Smith.
 8
               SENATOR SMITH:
                               Thank you, Mr. Chair, for
 9
          a comment and then a question.
10
               Yesterday I was kind of troubled at the
11
          end of the meeting when it was suggested that a
12
          discussion by the Supreme Court of the
          numbering system was in fact a type of judicial
13
          activism, I think was the term used. And so I
14
15
          went and read the opinion again last night in
16
          looking at page 20 and looking at supporting
17
          documents.
                      It seems to me that the Supreme
          Court, because their review of reapportionment
18
          comes directly from the Constitution, that they
19
20
          do have to review the entire map with
21
          compliance for the entire Florida Constitution,
22
          and the eight is enough, like it or not, is a
          part of the Florida Constitution. So when the
23
24
          Supreme Court reviewed our plan, doing their
```

job as granted to them by the Florida

1 Constitution, they had to look at compliance with all provisions of the Constitution. 2 they would be delinquent in their duties if 3 4 they had not looked at the numbering system. So I would suggest that it wasn't a sense of 5 6 judicial activism, but fulfilling their 7 constitutional duty as prescribed by the 8 Florida Constitution. 9 Now, my question on this is to legal 10 counsel, and I discussed this with the Chair 11 over the weekend, my view of this opinion is 12 that the Supreme Court looked more to results. When they said that the numbering system 13 14 favored incumbents to give everyone ten years, 15 it seems that they were looking more towards 16 results, not how it came about. And so to even do a blind system, if the results would still 17 18 bring about ten years for every, you know, incumbent, would the Supreme Court still --19 20 from legal opinion, I guess either counsel,

would -- how do they feel the Supreme Court
would still look upon this, because if they are
going to look at results, then contrary to what
was stated before, we do have to look at
individual members in these districts when

1	doing the numbering, because results is what
2	matter, not how we got there.
3	SENATOR GAETZ: Ms. Tunnicliff, did you
4	hear the Leader's question and his point, and
5	would you care to comment on it?
6	MS. TUNNICLIFF: I think what
7	SENATOR GAETZ: You've got to speak
8	directly into the mike, ma'am.
9	MS. TUNNICLIFF: I think what the Court
10	said was that by granting the ten-year terms in
11	the way it was numbered that there was they
12	inferred intent by that, mal-intent to favor
13	incumbents. So I don't think that necessarily
14	another random selection there was no random
15	selection, there was a purposeful, I think,
16	intent to give everybody ten years, and from
17	that, they inferred that it was to favor
18	incumbents. A random system won't necessarily
19	be viewed in the same light.
20	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Bardos, any comment on
21	Leader Smith's point?
22	MR. BARDOS: I agree with the point that
23	was just made. I think we have to keep in mind
24	that the constitutional test is intent and not

result, but that the Court has looked to result

```
1 as an objective indicator of intent.
```

- I think the Court in this case found that 2 because it was systematic and because the 3 choice of the rule was, in its words, 4 5 purposeful, and because it extended the 6 potential term of all incumbents, that it was enough to infer intent. And so I believe that 7 8 if we were to choose a -- again, a similar rule 9 that gives all incumbents the same advantage, 10 then we would meet with the same result, but 11 there are -- because the ultimate test is 12 intent, the dynamics might be quite different with a random selection. 13
- SENATOR GAETZ: Leader, are you -- did you have any follow-up, sir? Okay. Senator Altman is next.
- 17 SENATOR ALTMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
- I think one of the parts of the

  Constitution that we must consider, and it's

  because it is required in the Constitution that

  we have no less than 30 or no more than 40

  consecutively-numbered Senatorial districts.

  So if we were to follow the consecutive
- concept, that would be, I think, the first starting point in what is required in the

1 Constitution.

2	I know Senator Gaetz and I spoke last
3	night. One option would do a consecutive
4	numbering system from north to south or do a
5	consecutive numbering system from south to
6	north by a flip of a coin or whatever system we
7	would determine which way we would number it.
8	If you number from the north south, that gives
9	you one set of numbers. Then if you were to
10	number from the south north, that gives you the
11	opposite set. So that meets the random test of
12	the Constitution, and at the same time, by
13	determining whether we number from the south or
14	the north would meet the non the
15	non-incumbent, the non-motivation, non-intent,
16	and that was something I was considering as an
17	amendment as well to sort of a hybrid.
18	SENATOR GAETZ: And, President Margolis, I
19	think you were next.
20	SENATOR MARGOLIS: I wonder if I can get
21	someone's opinion. In 1992 when we did the
22	reapportionment, we sent the plan to the
23	Supreme Court to draw the lines, and they were
24	the ones that drew the lines in the
25	congressional was it the congressional map,

1	John?
2	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, I think that question
3	is addressed to you as a historian of the
4	process.
5	MR. GUTHRIE: I am not a lawyer.
6	SENATOR GAETZ: And your other habits are
7	also improving.
8	MR. GUTHRIE: Thank you, Senator
9	Chairman. Thank you, Senator Margolis.
10	In 1992, as Mr. Bardos said, the
11	Legislature passed a legislative joint
12	resolution of apportionment. It was validated
13	by the Florida Supreme Court. Subsequently, it
14	was found to violate Section 5 of the Voting
15	Rights Act in Hillsborough County. The Justice
16	Department denied pre-clearance, sent it back
17	to the Legislature for a fix. The President of
18	the Senate, Gwen Margolis, and the Speaker of
19	the House, T. K. Wetherell, wrote a letter to
20	the Supreme Court saying that it would not be
21	possible for the Legislature to remedy the
22	defect that was enunciated by the Department of
23	Justice, and the Legislature asked the Supreme
24	Court to come up with a remedy for the
25	Hillsborough area, and that is how we got the

1	reconfiguration of districts in the Pinellas,
2	Hillsborough and surrounding areas.
3	SENATOR GAETZ: Madam President?
4	SENATOR MARGOLIS: And since we are having
5	as much difficulty even having a conversation
6	about the numbering issue, it would seem to me
7	that we could we could send it over to the
8	Supreme Court, districts in fact, and say, you
9	know, we are having much difficulty numbering
10	and and probably it would be it would be
11	logical for you, since you have your own ideas
12	about what the numbering should be, to go ahead
13	and put the numbers in the boxes.
14	SENATOR GAETZ: That is certainly one
15	suggestion, if we all heard it, and that was to
16	simply defer the numbering question to the
17	Supreme Court and let them number the
18	districts. I think there may be there may
19	be a little mumble of dissent from the back
20	benches here.
21	SENATOR SACHS: Mr. Chairman, sir?
22	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Sachs, and then
23	Leader Rich had asked specifically that that
24	we do an explanation of how a random system
25	might work so that we can cuse it or discuss

1 it, but Senator Sachs, you are recognized.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 SENATOR SACHS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I would like some direction from the Chair as to how we are going to proceed so that we can begin to move on this issue in terms of are we going to have amendments, are we going to have suggestions, are we going to vote on them, or how do we proceed now that we are discussing this guite thoroughly?

SENATOR GAETZ: Well, as I mentioned when we began the meeting, my discussion with the Minority Leader and the incoming Minority Leader was that we would have time this morning for an open discussion. Any Senators who had points of view, criticisms that they wanted to share, proposals that they wanted to offer, that we would have a time for that to occur. We've had just now a proposal from President Margolis. There may be others. I have indicated that also we would certainly ask professional staff to give us an explanation of how a random system could work, not the only way it might work, but how it could work, and then what I had proposed was that we take up my amendment, which I have pre-filed, and that

1	amendment simply would remove from the from
2	the bill that is before us any reference to how
3	the numbering system might be done so that we
4	could vote on the rest of the bill, and then we
5	would take up a numbering system amendment on
6	the floor tomorrow, an amendment that would be
7	timely filed and based, hopefully, on the
8	discussion we would have today, but certainly
9	individual Senators would be welcome to file
10	their own amendments for their own favorite
11	methods of doing things, and that would be
12	consistent with what I described this morning.
13	Leader Rich.
14	SENATOR RICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15	I just suggested that we do that because I
16	would like to kind of get us off the dime. I
17	mean, you know, we can just sit here and talk
18	like this, but it would be productive, I think,
19	if we hear this. If people don't like it, then
20	we will know it and then move on to something
21	else, but at least it would get us started.
22	SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Well, what we
23	have and we have two Senators who would like
24	to speak, and we don't want to cut off debate
25	or discussion, but we are arranging now to have

```
1
          a demonstration of how a numbering system might
          work. Chris, are we close?
 2
               Okay. That might be within a couple of
 3
          minutes, and it doesn't -- it is not the only
 4
          way, it is not my way, but it is a way.
 5
 6
               In the meantime, Leader Gardiner and then
 7
          Senator Dean.
 8
               SENATOR GARDINER: Thank you, Mr.
 9
          Chairman.
10
               Just a couple of questions actually very
11
          similar to Leader Rich, but just so I know,
          regardless of what we intend to do based on
12
          your comments, that if you are an odd number,
13
          then you would be a four-year, and then an even
14
          would be a two-year?
15
16
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               That is what the
          Constitution says.
17
18
               SENATOR GARDINER: Okay. I just want to
          know what to be praying for, Mr. Chairman.
19
               SENATOR GAETZ: Relief.
20
21
               SENATOR GARDINER: The -- the -- and I do
          want the shorter one, but neither here nor
22
          there. Actually, question to John, it is along
23
24
          Leader Rich's point. If we do a lottery, is
25
          there the possibility that as you move your way
```

```
down the state -- let's say you get to the last
 1
 2
          ten districts. There is the possibility that
          those could all be even or those could all be
 3
 4
          odd. Is that accurate in a lottery system?
 5
               SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie.
 6
               MR. GUTHRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
               It is possible that -- if you flipped a
 7
 8
          coin 40 times -- or 20 times, it is possible
 9
          that you would get heads 20 times. So it is
10
          possible, it is a very, very low --
11
               SENATOR GARDINER: Sure.
12
               MR. GUTHRIE: -- probability, but that
13
          outcome would be possible.
14
               SENATOR GARDINER: Just to follow up,
          Mr. Chairman?
15
16
               SENATOR GAETZ: Of course, Leader.
17
               SENATOR GARDINER: Is there any -- because
          I think one of the concerns when I hear
18
          individuals talk about the lottery, is there
19
20
          any way that you can -- and I don't even know
          how to do it, is there any way to address that?
21
22
          Is there --
```

25 SENATOR GARDINER: And I guess that is

SENATOR GAETZ:

could do that.

23

24

If we were in Chicago, we

1	obviously just part of if we decide to go
2	random, and I know that legal advice is
3	probably encouraging that, I mean, that is part
4	of the process that you may have a lot of even
5	numbers and located all in one area, that is
6	correct?
7	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie.
8	MR. GUTHRIE: If you were again, we can
9	do the math and determine you will find that
10	it is a very, very, very low probability that
11	that sort of a of an outcome would occur.
12	A way to address that if you were inclined
13	to do so would be to pre-set groups of eight or
14	ten districts to be in a raffle among those
15	eight or ten. And so you could conduct five
16	or, you see, or four separate raffles and
17	assign odds and even numbers evenly in each of
18	those groups, if your concern was ending up
19	with a situation where all the even numbers
20	were in the north and all the odd numbers were

22 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, if we -- I am sure 23 there are -- I am sure Mr. Guthrie or members 24 of the staff have a great deal of mathematical 25 skill. If -- you know, I suppose there is a

21

in the south.

1	formula by which we could show the
2	extraordinarily unlikely odds of that
3	occurring. If that would be of interest, maybe
4	we could get somebody to do that, but your
5	point is well-taken.
6	And Senator Dean and then Speaker
7	Thrasher.
8	SENATOR DEAN: Mr. Chairman, at what point
9	and we have heard your recommendation twice
10	now of what you would like to see us do and
11	your amendment to move forward. At what point
12	this morning could we design and direct a time
13	that we could get around to do what you are
14	suggesting that we do?
15	SENATOR GAETZ: When the words stop
16	flowing. When everyone has spoken out and has
17	had an opportunity to articulate their point of
18	view, then I have filed an amendment which
19	would remove any reference to the method by
20	which district numbers would be assigned so
21	that then we could take up the rest of the map,
22	if it is your pleasure, and then we could amend
23	back in, if it is your pleasure, a methodology.
24	But I want I don't want to cut off anybody
25	who has an idea, a criticism or an observation.

```
1
          We have until two o'clock, but I don't have to
          stay here until two o'clock. I can go have
 2
          lunch with my wife. I love you all, but I love
 3
 4
          her more. So whenever we are all talked out.
               SENATOR DEAN: If I get inpatient, I will
 5
 6
          call you again.
 7
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               You -- sheriff, you are
 8
          looking a little impatient to me this morning.
 9
               Speaker Thrasher.
10
               SENATOR THRASHER: Thank you, Mr.
11
          Chairman.
12
               I am cognizant of your long-time
          admonition about if you can't improve the
13
          silence, you know, don't say much. So all I --
14
          yesterday -- I want to go back to the fact that
15
16
          we went through the Court's ruling on the
          eight, and Senator Simmons said 32 were
17
18
          accepted. We went through eight. The Court
          was very specific about what they said they
19
20
          wanted us to do, they were pretty specific
21
          about the Lakeland issue, and then they said we
22
          had an invalid numbering system. It would be
          helpful, I think, before we actually get into
23
24
          the detailed explanation of the lottery system
25
          which you are proposing, Mr. Chairman, to have
```

1	Mr. Bardos or Ms. Tunnicliff actually give us
2	what the Court said about the numbering system.
3	What are the specifics in the opinion that
4	would call us to go one way or the other?
5	I am of the opinion I am just going to
6	say, and this is the last thing I am going to
7	say, Mr. Chairman, that neutrality, neutrality
8	is the overriding circumstance, and if we
9	fail and I know my good friend and much more
10	learned colleague than I am, Senator Simmons
11	and I probably disagree on this I am very
12	concerned that if we miss on any of the ten
13	major components of what the Court asked us to
14	do, that we do run the risk, the high risk, in
15	my opinion, of having the entire plan thrown
16	out. So, to me, this issue of neutrality and
17	this issue of non-protecting incumbent
18	numbering system is very, very important to the
19	overall plan.
20	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Sobel.
21	SENATOR SOBEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22	We started this conversation about
23	parameters, and I think we are getting there,
24	and probably what will eventually come out of
25	this is something that you are suggesting, Mr.

1	Chair.

But in the Constitution it says that we
should have eight year eight years in the
Senate. There are things in the Constitution
that give us guidelines. It also says not to
favor incumbents, that is the Fair Districts
amendment. It also said it says about odd
numbers. So we do have certain parameters and
guidelines in the Constitution, and I believe
the courts want us to follow those guidelines.

So in order to abide by the eight-year term limit, why not have the people who have served four years like sort of pre-qualify and get an odd number? So that addresses all the constitutional issues.

SENATOR GAETZ: Any other comments?

Senator Storms, you are recognized.

SENATOR STORMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I respect John Guthrie, but the issue is not a flip of the coin. The law of probability is not applicable in the method that is being proposed in the lottery system, because we are not flipping a coin. When you have one coin, you have two sides. You have two sides, that is all you have. The law of probability is

```
1
          that if you have a 50 percent likelihood every
          single time, if the coin doesn't know what it
 2
          flipped the last time, every time you flip that
 3
          one coin, you have a 50 percent likelihood that
 4
          it is going to be either heads or tails every
 5
 6
          single time. So while is it statistically
          improbable that you would flip it 20 times and
 7
 8
          it would come up heads 20 times, that -- you
 9
          know, that is entirely irrelevant to the
10
          proposal that we have here, because in the
11
          proposal you have here, you don't have even two
12
          coins. And if you did have two coins, one of
          those coins has a 75 percent likelihood that
13
          one combination would come up 75 percent of the
14
          time if you had two coins, but you don't have
15
16
          two coins.
               What you have is 40 balls, 40 numbers.
17
          You would have 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10,
18
          11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22,
19
20
          23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, blah, blah, blah,
21
          right? So you have all of that, and then you
          have one coin that has two sides to it.
22
          law of probability is not under those
23
24
          circumstances 50 percent of the time.
25
          have a probability that you would have an
```

occurrence of half of the state coming up with

even numbers, because the law of probability is

not a two-sided -- one two-sided coin.

The probability that occurs when you have 40 separate numbers coupled with two balls, a red ball and a blue ball or whatever it is that you put it in the lottery system, then you are talking about a totally different law of probability.

And so I am just saying that if we are going to be talking about this and you are going to discount the possible appearance of impropriety, I want to call your attention to what the Supreme Court Justice said. The Supreme Court Justice said in a separately concurring opinion on page -- I believe it is Justice Lewis in his separately concurring opinion, that "The appearance of impropriety is as bad as the impropriety itself." So -- and I have lost the page number, but it is -- I believe that is on -- it could be on page 193.

But the point is that if you -- if we are talking about probability and you are injecting the law of probability into a lottery system and the numbering, it is rife with problems.

1	You are not going to be able to create
2	something that is not going to create the
3	appearance of impropriety. And I just have to
4	say that for the record, because I appreciate
5	what we are talking about flipping a coin, and
6	we can sit here and discuss flipping the coin
7	and the law of probability, it is statistically
8	improbable, but that is not the system that we
9	have that we are dealing with here, that is not
LO	the proposal under any circumstances. The fact
L1	is we have 40 separate districts, and so that
L2	is important, Mr. Chair.
L3	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, and to the best
L4	of my knowledge, there is not a proposal in
L5	front of us at the minute. Did you have one in
L6	mind?
L7	SENATOR STORMS: Well, Mr. Chair, thank
L8	you for asking, but I was speaking to the
L9	proposal that you and I spoke about, and I
20	suggested what I what I thought yesterday,
21	and that is that it needs to be you need to
22	decide we need to decide. It needs to be
23	specifically in an orderly fashion across the
24	state, and that is constitutional and

then you announce in advance how you are going

to make those terms that you indicated; for 1 2 instance, when Mr. Guthrie stood up and tried to show us the numbering system across, you'd 3 4 just say, "Here is how we are going to do it." You either start at the bottom or start at the 5 6 top. You announce in advance how you are going 7 to do it so that there's no intent that can be 8 attributed to it, and then you just number in 9 an orderly fashion across the state. 10 my preference, Mr. Chair, but it doesn't have 11 to be the one that prevails, but I think you 12 can do that. That completely removes any intent and also removes any appearance of 13 14 impropriety, in my opinion. And we would welcome, and 15 SENATOR GAETZ: 16 this Committee has from its beginning welcomed 17

this Committee has from its beginning welcomed a proposal that would be described in such a fashion and that would have no appearance of impropriety and no subjectivity, and if there is a way that you might be able to describe that in writing in an amendment, we would be pleased to take it up and discuss it here today.

Yes, Senator Gibson.

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 SENATOR GIBSON: Yes, good morning, Mr.

1	Chair.
2	SENATOR GAETZ: Good morning.
3	SENATOR GIBSON: I think my question goes
4	to Mr. Guthrie for clarity. So the new map
5	that we submitted already, when you numbered
6	that map, you looked at the numbers as they are
7	today, and if someone if one area had an
8	even number, you changed it to odd, and if it
9	had an odd number, you changed it to even?
10	SENATOR GAETZ: What map are you talking
11	about, ma'am?
12	SENATOR GIBSON: The map that we
13	submitted
14	SENATOR GAETZ: To the Supreme Court? You
15	would like to know how the numbering was done
16	on the map we did submit that was where the
17	numbering was rejected? Is that what you would
18	like, ma'am?
19	SENATOR GIBSON: I am trying to get to
20	yes.
21	SENATOR GAETZ: Okay.
22	SENATOR GIBSON: I think you are asking my
23	question.
24	SENATOR GAETZ: I just want to make sure.

We did discuss that earlier, but we will go --

```
1
               SENATOR GIBSON: I want -- I think I must
 2
          have missed it.
               SENATOR GAETZ: That is okay. We will go
 3
 4
          over it again.
 5
               John, what was the method that was used
 6
          that was submitted to the Court that the Court
 7
          found invalid?
 8
               SENATOR GIBSON: Mr. Chair, I think my
 9
          question is a little different than that.
10
               SENATOR GAETZ: Why don't you rearticulate
11
          your question.
12
               SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
          And you may have done this, I am just trying to
13
14
          get to it.
               In the map that we submitted to the Court,
15
16
          did you change the numbers -- for example, my
          current number is a 1. Did you change that to
17
18
          an even number and do that same thing
          throughout the state where someone who
19
20
          currently has -- who currently as we sit today
21
          has an even number, did you change that number
22
          to odd, and if they had an odd number as we sit
23
          today, did you change that number to even --
24
               SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie.
```

-- so it doesn't favor or

SENATOR GIBSON:

```
disfavor anybody?
```

2 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie.

MR. GUTHRIE: And the first thing we need to all bear in mind is what Senator Sachs said earlier. All of these districts have been

6 reconfigured, so --

7 SENATOR GIBSON: I know.

8 MR. GUTHRIE: -- none of them are what

9 they were.

24

25

10 SENATOR GIBSON: Right.

11 MR. GUTHRIE: But the general rule that 12 was applied to the map that was submitted previously to the Supreme Court is that if the 13 14 area -- if the Senator from that area, if that Senator had a short term, less than -- two 15 16 years or less prior to redistricting, we gave that Senator four years after redistricting. 17 18 So it wasn't changing odds to evens or evens to The rule was looking at who the Senator 19 odds. 20 might be that would run for reelection in this 21 newly-configured district. And I did not know 22 for sure where the Senators were going to run. You could choose to run anywhere in the state, 23

guess of that and applied the numbers based on

as could -- as could anyone, but we made a

1	those Senators who got terms of two years or
2	less prior to redistricting.
3	Now, there were 23 such Senators who had
4	short terms prior to redistricting. We
5	explained in the staff analysis the method we
6	used for giving some of those Senators and
7	you were one of them giving some of those
8	Senators even numbers.
9	SENATOR GIBSON: Uh-huh.
10	MR. GUTHRIE: And the rule that was used
11	was that we could avoid giving you multiple
12	hardships by giving you a two-year by
13	choosing you rather than one of the other ones
14	among the 23 for the two-year term.
15	SENATOR GIBSON: Follow-up?
16	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Gibson, now, that
17	method has been declared invalid, so we can
18	talk about it for a while longer if you would
19	like, but it is invalid and it will not be
20	before this Committee today, but if you have
21	any follow-up questions, please go ahead.
22	SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
23	even though some of the map has been
24	reconfigured, how much reconfiguration have we

done where persons -- or not persons, but where

```
1
          districts are totally not contained in lines
 2
          that they were previously contained in, because
          -- and it may not work maybe -- and I think it
 3
          will work towards the bottom.
 4
               For example, if you look at Santa Rosa and
 5
 6
          Okaloosa, they are largely in the same --
 7
          obviously they are in the same place on the
 8
          map, and they are largely contained within even
 9
          a reconfigured district that was formerly a 2.
10
          And so if you change the numbers odd/even, it
11
          takes out the personal person and only goes to
12
          the landscape.
               MR. GUTHRIE: I --
13
14
               SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie, I am not --
15
          would you care to comment on that comment?
16
          then let's move forward.
               MR. GUTHRIE: Well, you could apply such a
17
                 So you could -- you could look at the
18
          underlying territory of each of the new
19
          districts and you could determine -- give each
20
21
          of them a priority for getting an odd number
22
          based on the area of the underlying districts
          that is -- is either even or odd. You could
23
```

The consequence of applying that sort of a FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

use that as a rule.

1	rule though also would be to tends to
2	give by switching. If you have an even
3	before redistricting and you have an even
4	number after redistricting, the way it works
5	today, and with the application of the Supreme
6	Court's opinion in 1982 that Senate terms will
7	be truncated, what those Senators will get is
8	an even number is ran for election in 2010,
9	so they got a two-year term, they will run for
10	election in 2012, get another two-year term,
11	and then they run for reelection in 2014, they
12	will get a four-year term, and at the end of
13	that, their term is up. So during the course
14	of eight years, they will run three times for
15	the State Senate. Whereas other Senators,
16	those who were elected in 2006 excuse me,
17	2008, will get a four-year term prior to
18	redistricting and a four-year term after
19	redistricting.
20	If we were not truncating terms and
21	requiring all Senators to run after
22	redistricting, then this issue would not
23	present itself. The rule that the Senate
24	applied earlier was trying to deal with the
25	equities of that so that all Senators would

1	have the opportunity to run for, as is provided
2	in the Constitution, two full four-year terms.
3	And but as the Chairman said, that was
4	invalid. Applying a rule which switches odds
5	to evens and evens to odds by land area, you
6	might want to consider whether that would be
7	interpreted by the Court as having a systematic
8	advantage to or disadvantage to incumbents.
9	SENATOR GAETZ: President Margolis, and
10	then I would like to move into a series of
11	motions. President Margolis.
12	SENATOR MARGOLIS: The other the other
13	alternative is to make all seats four years
14	now, and everybody runs every four years.
15	SENATOR GAETZ: I think we would have to
16	amend the Florida Constitution to do that.
17	That might be beyond the purview of this
18	Committee.
19	Let me forecast to you a suggestion. I
20	suggest that we take up an amendment, which I
21	have filed, which removes from the PCB that is
22	before us any reference to the numbering
23	system. I suggest that we take up such an
24	amendment and we vote on it, and then if it is
25	passed, I suggest that we take up the PCB that

```
1
          has the maps and the descriptions that we have
 2
          gone through for yesterday and today, and that
          we vote on that, if that is your pleasure.
 3
          Then, if you would like, we can have a
 4
          demonstration of how a random system might
 5
 6
          work, Senator Storms might be working on an
 7
          amendment that she might like to share with us,
 8
          and we would take up, as I mentioned before
 9
          this morning, we would take up the -- a
10
          decision on the numbering system as a floor
11
          amendment that would be timely filed by 5:00
12
          afternoon.
                    Is there any disagreement -- yes,
13
14
     Senator Latvala.
                                 Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
15
               SENATOR LATVALA:
16
               I was out of the room for a couple of
          minutes, and so you might have done this, and
17
18
          if so, I apologize, but before -- I mean,
          before we make a decision on taking it out of
19
20
          the bill, there's a lot of questions about what
21
          your -- what your plan is, what your system is.
               SENATOR GAETZ:
22
                               Well --
23
               SENATOR LATVALA:
                                 It might be something
```

went to leave it in the bill, I mean --

that 23 of us decide is a great idea and we

24

1	SENATOR GAETZ: Well, yeah
2	SENATOR LATVALA: couldn't we see that
3	before we have the vote on the motion?
4	SENATOR GAETZ: You sure can, if that is
5	your pleasure, absolutely. Mr. Meyer? This is
6	an example of how a random system could work.
7	It is not my system, it is not the system, but
8	it is a system.
9	Mr. Meyer, Craig Meyer, Chief of Staff to
10	the Senate President, you are recognized.
11	MR. MEYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and
12	today I am actually in my general counsel hat,
13	so
14	SENATOR GAETZ: General counsel, so he is
15	a lawyer.
16	MR. MEYER: Yes, so there is that.
17	This is a system that is familiar to most
18	of your constituents. I consulted with the
19	Lottery after direction from the Chairman and
20	from the President to think about randomness
21	all weekend. I came up with your basic bingo
22	system. There is no other way to describe it.
23	What we have done is we have purchased
24	from an independent supplier the equipment
25	here. Everybody is familiar with it. Again,

```
1
          all your constituents will recognize it.
 2
          the cage on the right, we have numbers 1
                       In the cage on the left, we have
 3
          through 40.
 4
          20 white balls and 20 green balls. We asked
          the Minority Leader her favorite color.
 5
 6
          responded between white and green with green.
 7
          Green is representing even here for our
 8
          demonstration today. White will be odd.
 9
          you will do is rotate the machine, a number
10
          will come out. Simultaneously, we will rotate
11
          the other machine, and it will either be odd or
                 If we were doing this, we would then --
12
          whatever number comes up, and we will do it in
13
          just a moment, then Mr. Guthrie will designate
14
          that as an odd or an even number. We will take
15
16
          -- we will not replace -- to address Senator
          Storms' concern, we will not replace the white
17
18
          or the green ball in the cage, because there
          are now 39 numbers and there will be 39 -- 20
19
20
          of one and 19 of the other, so that as we go
21
          through, we continually reduce the number of
22
          chances of it being an odd or an even to
          reflect the fact that we have a decreasing
23
24
          population.
```

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

When we are done with the odds or the

```
1
          evens, then we have two choices, we can go
 2
          either way. We can either just allow Mr.
          Guthrie to start up here, and if this ends up
 3
 4
          being an odd, it stays 1, if it is an even, it
          will be 2, and go on and just reflect whether
 5
 6
          we've selected odd or even for each one and
 7
          renumber.
 8
               The other way you can do this is you could
 9
          just reload the balls in the machine, the one
10
          through 40, and as you pull out a number -- I'm
11
          sorry, I got it backwards. We just do -- and
12
          you just pull out the number and --
13
               SENATOR GAETZ: Let's not do two ways.
14
               MR. MEYER:
                           Yeah --
15
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               We will have a hard enough
16
          time getting our arms around one way.
               MR. MEYER: Yeah, the -- my brain is
17
18
          fried, because it is random --
19
               SENATOR GAETZ: Let's just do one way --
20
               MR. MEYER:
                           Yes. So, anyway --
21
               SENATOR GAETZ: -- to show us as an
22
          illustration.
               MR. MEYER: -- this is how it will work,
23
```

and, again, a couple other little twists that

the Lottery suggested. If we do something like

24

1	this, we have a procedure manual for you to
2	adopt that we would then put in the hands of an
3	independent auditor, CPA, who would observe
4	every step of the way to make sure that there's
5	full compliance. This is what the Lottery does
6	when they conduct a drawing. Every drawing
7	they have is observed by an outside auditor who
8	would test that the procedures have been
9	followed. And so let me just kind of give you
LO	an example here of what we'd do.
L1	SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman?
L2	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Latvala.
L3	SENATOR LATVALA: Could we sell
L4	advertising and help plug the hole in the
L5	budget at the same time? We can do this live
L6	on TV like they do on the Lottery.
L7	SENATOR GAETZ: To fund transportation
L8	projects in Pinellas County.
L9	Now, imagine here, because she has already
20	agreed, that the Attorney General is on the
21	Senate floor overseeing this. She has agreed
22	to oversee it. She is the chief law
23	enforcement officer of the State, and imagine
24	that Attorney General staff are actually
25	turning the tumblers. Now, try to pay close

```
attention so that we can show you how this
 1
 2
          could work.
                       This is a way.
                           We have District 7.
 3
               MR. MEYER:
 4
               SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. So Mr. Guthrie then
 5
          goes to District 7 on the map, because we have
 6
          plug numbers there now, we have placeholder
 7
          numbers there now, so Mr. Guthrie goes to --
 8
               MR. GUTHRIE: Now, before we put any
 9
          stickers on the map, everybody needs to
10
          understand that this is not -- not the lottery.
11
          This will be done again. So don't get attached
12
          to any of these little spots.
               SENATOR GAETZ: Stay calm, everybody stay
13
14
          calm now. We are going to find District 7.
15
               MR. MEYER: District 7 is odd.
16
               SENATOR GAETZ: Okay, District 7 is odd,
17
          you saw how that happened. Okay, whoa, whoa,
18
          whoa, let's stop. Let's make sure everybody
19
          understood what happened. Are there any
20
                                          Senator Diaz de
          questions as to what happened?
          la Portilla was not sure. You are recognized.
21
22
          We will take this one step at a time. We are
```

MR. GUTHRIE: So 7 is an odd number,

Senators.

23

because that is what it is, but what if you

1	would have drawn a green ball?
2	MR. MEYER: It would be even.
3	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Seven would
4	become an even number all of a sudden when it
5	is an odd number?
6	SENATOR GAETZ: Ah, but wait
7	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: That is the
8	wrinkle.
9	SENATOR GAETZ: The district, the
10	district, the district that 7 is now would
11	become an even number when the process is
12	concluded. In other words, it could become
13	either a 6 or an 8. So, again, stay steady in
14	the boat, don't jump.
15	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Okay. Well,
16	thank you, Mr. Chairman for supplying the
17	missing premise, because that wasn't really
18	explained that an odd number would shift over.
19	SENATOR GAETZ: Why we're taking it one
20	step at a time.
21	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: That was not
22	explained by Mr. Meyer. Now with the missing
23	premise that you supplied, we can draw the
24	right conclusion. Thank you.

SENATOR LYNN: I have a question.

```
1
               SENATOR GAETZ: Let's -- all right, order,
 2
          let's do order. Just a second, just a second,
          just a second, just a second.
 3
 4
               Senator Lynn, your are recognized.
               SENATOR LYNN: All right. You assigned
 5
 6
          the even number to 7, but the numbers that --
 7
          well, whichever -- but whichever is on this
 8
          map, I mean, those numbers wouldn't be there
 9
          anymore.
10
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               That is correct.
                                                  Senator
11
          Lynn makes an excellent observation.
                                                Under
12
          this method, when we've finished, and we will
13
          go as far as you would like to show you the
14
          demonstration, when we finish, you would see
          that 7, which has now been assigned what on
15
16
          this system, an odd number, 7 would stay a 7,
          but if an even number, as Senator Latvala --
17
18
          I'm sorry, Senator Diaz de la Portilla raised,
          if it had come up with a green ball as opposed
19
20
          to a white ball, then it would be reassigned at
21
          the end either a 6 or an 8.
22
               SENATOR LYNN: All right. But that wasn't
23
          exactly my question.
```

24 SENATOR GAETZ: Same spot.

25 SENATOR LYNN: Right now what we have is 8

```
1
          is probably closer to my District 7. That is
 2
          the existing number system. Now, as we see
          your current proposal, my District 7 basically
 3
 4
          becomes a District 8. So I am asking, in doing
          this numbering system with the lottery, will
 5
 6
          you be losing --
 7
               SENATOR GAETZ: Let's -- hey, folks,
 8
          members, Senators, may we have order? Senator
 9
          Lynn is asking a question that may be of
10
          interest to others. If you have a
11
          conversation, take it to an alcove.
12
               SENATOR LYNN: You have proposed a map
          with numbers on it.
13
                               They are not necessarily
14
          the numbers that would be closer to some of our
15
          existing districts. My existing district say
16
          is 7. It is now -- has become an 8.
          as close -- it's as closest to my District 7.
17
18
          All right. Are we going to use the lottery and
          assign to the numbers you have on that existing
19
          map in your proposal, or will those numbers go
20
21
          to what is closest to our current?
22
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               The former, not the
          latter, and the reason is because we've had I
23
24
          think 23 districts reconfigured, some of them
25
          reconfigured in a rather substantial way.
```

```
1
          you look, for example, at District 10 that we
 2
          had a great deal of conversation about
          yesterday, District 10 is virtually
 3
 4
          unrecognizable from its previous shape.
          18 percent of the population of District 10 as
 5
 6
          it exists today would exist in the PCB.
 7
          therefore, the answer to Senator Lynn's
 8
          question would be that we would use as
 9
          placeholder numbers, the numbers that you see
10
          on the PCB. Those numbers would then change,
11
          perhaps, to an odd or even number, depending
12
          upon the luck of the draw.
13
               SENATOR LYNN: Thank you.
14
                               Now, let's try another
               SENATOR GAETZ:
          number and see if we begin to understand how
15
16
          this might work.
                            Okay.
17
               MR. MEYER:
                           No. 4 stays even.
18
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Okay. Let's see how this
                  Go to District 4, and it stays even.
19
          works.
          Are we seeing how this might work?
20
21
          only an example. Shall we do another one?
          Senator Simmons.
22
               SENATOR SIMMONS:
                                 Mr. Chair, I have a
23
24
          couple of thoughts. One is I really believe
```

that your theory is excellent, and the method

1	I mean, what you are talking about of having
2	what can be called a white ball and a green
3	ball and 20 of each, so, therefore, no matter
4	what, at the end of the day, there are going to
5	be 20 which are odd and 20 which are even.
6	I would suggest that we use a methodology,
7	however, that is more appropriate for the
8	decorum of the Senate and it is similar to the
9	way that lawsuits are logged in as who you get
10	a judge. I don't believe that this needs to be
11	so much as like having been a white, watching
12	us, I suggest that we
13	SENATOR GAETZ: It's His Eminence the
14	Cardinal who uses these.
15	SENATOR SIMMONS: Yes, and I would suggest
16	that we simply have the Secretary of the Senate
17	on any particular day when it gets time for the
18	allocation to be made, there are multiple kinds
19	of machines that can be used. It can be
20	videotaped so that there can be assurance of

allocation to be made, there are multiple kinds
of machines that can be used. It can be
videotaped so that there can be assurance of
propriety -- of total propriety, that it is
simply done, and if it is even one of these
little clicker things that says odd or even,
that the -- that the Secretary do those things,
allocate, there's not this fanfare. The effect

1	is exactly the same. We have assured total
2	amount of independence and fairness, but we do
3	not have these balls on the floor of the Senate
4	chamber and but the theory that you have
5	suggested here, I bet everyone here, speaking
6	about betting, is that everyone goes along with
7	what you have suggested. I think your
8	suggestion is excellent. I just suggest that
9	we adopt a methodology that is much more
10	restrained for the Senate. And like I say,
11	videotaping in today's world assures absolute
12	integrity to the system. It will happen in a
13	period of probably less than 15 minutes. If
14	somebody wants to come and watch, they can, for
15	those who feel assured that the integrity of
16	the system will be guaranteed by videotaping
17	it. I suspect that most people won't show up
18	and this will be as simple as the allocation
19	and the fairness the same as is done when we do
20	allocation of court cases to a particular
21	judge, and we will not have the fanfare, but we
22	will have the integrity assured.
23	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator
24	Simmons, and, again, I am not married to the
25	idea of balls in a cage. What I am married to

1	is the idea of a random selection process. So
2	I think your idea is a good one. Now, there
3	may be Senators who for their own reasons might
4	want to actually see all of this happening.
5	They may not trust some sort of an electronic
6	process. They might think that the Secretary
7	of the Senate has a dog in the fight. Who
8	knows? That is why, you know, I went to the
9	Attorney General and I said, "If we have a
10	random selection process, would you oversee it
11	as the chief law enforcement officer of the
12	state?" But I would be pleased as one Senator
13	to have the Majority Leader and the Minority
14	Leader develop a system that complies with the
15	decorum of the Senate, as you have suggested
16	SENATOR SIMMONS: That is a great idea.
17	SENATOR GAETZ: you know, whatever
18	whatever you believe would work, but let me
19	since Senator Latvala asked, you know, what my
20	proposal would be, my proposal, it would be
21	that we take out the section that is in the PCB
22	now, let me just read what's in the PCB now
23	that I would suggest taking out, and then I
24	have a suggestion for language that would work,
25	and if it is if this is agreeable that we

```
1
          might discuss this, then I will offer motions
          to do it. Here is what I would take out:
 2
          is in there now says, "Notwithstanding the
 3
          district numbers specified in Section 3 of
 4
          Senate Joint Resolution 1176 or in this Senate
 5
 6
          joint resolution, the 40 Senatorial districts
          shall be renumbered based on a random,
 7
          incumbent-neutral process of assignment
 8
 9
          conducted in public." That got some -- got
10
          into a little trouble yesterday.
11
               What I would suggest we do instead is to
          say, "Notwithstanding the district numbers" --
12
          and we will provide this in writing if you want
13
          to consider it; if you don't, that is fine --
14
          "Notwithstanding the district numbers specified
15
16
          in Section 3 of Senate Joint Resolution 1176 or
          in this Senate joint resolution, the 40
17
          Senatorial districts in the state shall be
18
          renumbered as follows: One, after the vote on
19
20
          final passage of this Senate joint
21
          resolution" -- in other words, the PCB as we
          have it -- "but before it is engrossed, the
22
23
          Attorney General shall oversee an
24
          incumbent-neutral procedure that randomly
25
          assigns Senate district numbers undertaken in
```

1	the Senate chamber in the presence of Senators
2	and open to the public." If you don't like
3	that, we will take it out. "Secondly, the
4	result of this process shall be district
5	numbers that are final and irreversible and on
6	which no further vote will be taken. And
7	third, that the Secretary of the Senate is
8	hereby directed to engross the relevant
9	randomly-selected district numbers into the
LO	appropriate sections of the pending Senate
L1	joint resolution before transmitting it to the
L2	House of Representatives."
L3	Now, you know, I don't specify, you know,
L4	cages, I don't specify a procedure. I am happy
L5	to turn that over to the Majority and Minority
L6	Leaders or their designees.
L7	Senator Simmons.
L8	SENATOR SIMMONS: I think that your idea
L9	is excellent. I think that we ought not do it
20	on the floor of the Senate. I think it should
21	be done with the kind of decorum that is
22	befitting of this highly, highly august body,
23	and that it can be done in the Secretary's

office, it can be done with simple clicking,

odd/even, it is done in less than five minutes,

24

```
1
          maybe ten minutes, it is videotaped to assure
 2
          that it is done with appropriate integrity, and
          no one cares about the numbers after it is odd
 3
 4
          or even, because Mr. Guthrie can easily go from
          the top left and consecutively number, because
 5
 6
          all he needs to know is whether someone is odd
 7
          or even. He doesn't care and we don't care
 8
          what the numbering is. It therefore becomes --
 9
          it could be -- ends up being 1, 3, whatever,
10
          but the point of it is that the numbering is a
11
          simple, what I would call mechanical process
12
          after we have done the allocation of odd or
13
          even.
14
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Senator Hays.
15
               SENATOR HAYS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16
               I am sure that Secretary Cindy O'Connell
          would be happy to offer the studio of the
17
18
          Lottery, which is right down Apalachee Parkway,
          and it can be televised to the entire state if
19
20
          that is what they want to do.
21
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Sadly, not.
22
               SENATOR HAYS: She has a TV studio set up
23
          right there.
24
               SENATOR GAETZ: We asked her, and sadly,
```

25

not.

1	SENATOR HAYS: Oh, really?
2	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Meyer. Yeah.
3	MR. MEYER: Yes, if I may, Mr. Chairman,
4	because the Florida Lottery is also the host
5	lottery for the 26-state Powerball, they are
6	under an intergovernmental agreements relative
7	to security, and part of those agreements are
8	that those machines and that studio are
9	restricted access for only those Lottery people
10	who can conduct the Lottery. They are giving
11	away big bags of money, and they say, "We'd
12	love to help you, but we are restricted in our
13	agreements with the 25 other states that are in
14	the Powerball as to who gets access to these
15	machines."
16	SENATOR DETERT: Mr. Chairman?
17	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator excuse me,
18	Senator Detert has the floor.
19	SENATOR DETERT: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
20	we just keep getting bogged down and the
21	simplest thing is becoming complex. I for one
22	would like to see us complete the process that
23	you are demonstrating here for all 40
24	districts, just I think it would solve a lot
25	of questions later on if we see how the entire

```
1
          map would fall out under this system, and then
 2
          do we end up odd and even. I would just like
          to get a visual of that.
 3
 4
               SENATOR GAETZ: Are there Senators who
 5
          wish to be recognized?
 6
               SENATOR GIBSON: Yes, yes.
 7
               SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Gibson.
 8
               SENATOR LYNN: Mr. Chairman?
 9
               SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Lynn will be next.
10
               SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
11
          I certainly concur with Senator Simmons on not
12
          making a mockery out of this, but I don't
          understand the electric clicking -- I don't
13
          understand what he is suggesting that we do.
14
          just -- I didn't hear all of what he said, but
15
16
          then I don't understand what the electric
17
          clicking -- what is that?
               SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Simmons --
18
19
               SENATOR SIMMONS: Certainly.
20
               SENATOR GAETZ: -- would you please
21
          respond to the question?
22
               SENATOR SIMMONS: Certainly. What I said,
          Senator Gibson, is that befitting the dignity
23
24
          of this body, I suggested a more toned-down way
```

I agree that Senator Gaetz has

of doing this.

1 hit upon the -- and arrived at the appropriate method of doing this in the sense of a theory, 2 but the implementation of it is not and should 3 4 not be as if this is a carnival. This needs to 5 be done in a very dignified manner. His theory 6 of approach is, I believe, a valid and viable 7 method of doing this. All we need to do is it 8 in an appropriately dignified manner. 9 Now, we can choose. It can be -- Senator 10 Thrasher has suggested in John Guthrie's 11 office. It could be anywhere that it is appropriately -- you know, it is videotaped. 12 Those who want to appear, it is going to be in 13 public. It can be in any place other than on 14 the floor of the Senate with balls. It can be 15 16 in a place that is, one, dignified -- I think the Secretary of the Senate's office is an 17 example, it can be done there, and the Majority 18 Leader, the Minority Leader can be there, and 19 20 if anybody else wants to show up, they can be

23 SENATOR GIBSON: Mr. Chair --

the appropriate decorum.

21

22

24 SENATOR LYNN: Mr. Chairman?

25 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Let's take it one

there, but I am looking at this to be done in

```
1
          at a time. Senator Gibson, you will have one
 2
          more question --
 3
               SENATOR GIBSON: Yes.
 4
               SENATOR GAETZ: -- and then we will go to
 5
          other people who like to make comments or ask
 6
          questions.
 7
               SENATOR GIBSON:
                                Yes.
 8
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Senator Gibson, this is
 9
          your question.
10
               SENATOR GIBSON:
                                Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11
               So it is actually to Senator Simmons. You
          are saying that the process should be the same,
12
          just conduct it somewhere else?
13
14
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Senator Simmons.
                                 It should be done in a
15
               SENATOR SIMMONS:
16
          very dignified manner, and I don't believe it
          should be on the floor of the Senate, I believe
17
18
          that we should choose a place, and I don't
          believe that we need to use white and green
19
20
          balls.
                  I really believe that there are other
          devices that actually, through total
21
22
          randomness, can go with odd or even.
          like, you know, many of the little devices you
23
24
          can see where you click it and it just rolls
```

over and you get a one or a two, it is either

```
1
          odd or even. And you do that 40 times, you are
 2
          going to solve the situation. There are
          mechanisms that can be done. The balls don't
 3
 4
          have to be in a roller like that. All I am
          saying is that Senator Gaetz has hit upon the
 5
 6
          appropriate way to do this. Let's do it in a
 7
          respectful manner, that's all I'm saying.
 8
               SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. We will do one more
 9
          speed round of people who would like to make
10
          comments.
11
               SENATOR LYNN: Yes, I had my hand up.
12
               SENATOR GAETZ: And you are next, Senator
13
          Lynn. We will do one more speed round, and
14
          then we will see if we can move a -- move a
          motion.
15
16
               Senator Lynn.
17
               SENATOR LYNN: Yes. As I understand your
18
          amendment, it does not specify exactly how this
          will be done?
19
20
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Correct.
21
               SENATOR LYNN: It leaves it up to a
22
          neutral party to make a decision as to how it
          will be done, but it basically kind of spells
23
```

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

out that it will be very neutral, whatever

procedure is done?

24

- 1 SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, ma'am.
- 2 SENATOR LYNN: Whether we are going ahead
- 3 with Senator Simmons' very conservative ideas
- 4 or we go with this kind of whatever or wherever
- 5 we do it, that is unimportant to your
- 6 amendment. I think your amendment is very
- generic. It basically spells out that we
- 8 should have an approach that will be fair, and
- 9 whether -- I am sure it will be transparent, I
- don't think the press would let us do it any
- other way. And I would like to move that we
- 12 consider your amendment, because all of these
- other things that we are talking about do not
- 14 really pertain to your amendment, they pertain
- to many more specific kinds of things, so I
- 16 would like to move your amendment.
- 17 SENATOR GAETZ: Well, thank you, Senator
- 18 Lynn. If you would permit those who have a
- 19 need to speak, and then we will get back to
- that. We have several people who have their
- 21 hands up. We will go to Senator Sobel next,
- and then we are going to move to a -- we are
- 23 going to move to try to get a motion before
- this Committee.
- 25 SENATOR SOBEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Τ	I agree with Senator Lynn, the amendment
2	is basically very generic, but we were
3	addressing the process and how to implement
4	some form of the amendment, and Senator Detert
5	talked about seeing it out, I think maybe we
6	should see it out a little bit more. But this
7	really deals with the concept of neutrality and
8	randomness, and what Senator Simmons was
9	saying I mean, Senator Gibson had an issue
10	with it because she didn't understand it, and a
11	lot of us didn't understand it, and the most
12	important thing we need to do is to show the
13	public that we are being fair and neutral and
14	this is something that everybody understands.
15	So dignity is one thing, but understanding the
16	process so there is no question of authenticity
17	in the process is very obvious. And the
18	public everybody could relate to this. So I
19	think this is a good idea, I think this is
20	something that will be historical throughout
21	the nation, but I do have concerns about also
22	addressing the term limit issue. I mean, this
23	addresses neutrality in terms of who gets how
24	many years, but how do we address the issue of
25	term limits? And that is why I said people who

```
1
          have served four years should pre-qualify for
 2
          a -- I think an even number -- an odd number.
 3
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Okav. Senator Thrasher
 4
          and then Senator Dean and then Senator Latvala.
 5
          Senator Thrasher.
 6
               SENATOR THRASHER: Well, you know, along
          the lines of Senator Simmons, Mr. Chairman, and
 7
 8
          we can sit here and debate in details, but I
 9
          think he's agreed that your concept is a good
10
          concept, and what I am hearing from the
11
          members, I think they like your concept. Would
12
          it be appropriate to ask Senator Simmons, the
13
          Majority Leader and the Minority Leader maybe
          to get together and work out the details of
14
          such a system outside of the scope of what
15
16
          Senator Simmons said, outside of the chamber,
          in wherever office we determine, but let them
17
18
          get together, come back with a proposal that we
          could -- that we could digest as opposed to
19
20
          trying to create it here in this Committee,
21
          which we obviously are having difficulty doing
22
          over the last two days. It seems to me we are
23
          in concurrence on the concept. Senator Simmons
24
          has raised, I think, a legitimate question
```

about doing it on the floor of the Senate.

1	think the decorum issue is one that is
2	appropriate to raise, and I think that he has
3	some great ideas, and I think along with the
4	Majority Leader and the Minority Leader could
5	come up with a way of doing this in a fair,
6	open, transparent way that all the Senators
7	certainly if they wanted to participate in
8	could, and certainly it would be transparent to
9	the public also. So that would be my
10	suggestion so we could move on to hopefully
11	getting ready to vote on what we came here to
12	vote on, and that is the adoption of the plan.
13	SENATOR GAETZ: We will take we will
14	take two more Senators' comments, and then we
15	will see if we can move a motion.
16	Senator Dean, then Senator Latvala.
17	SENATOR DEAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18	I think your recommendation is right on
19	the money of where we need to be. We talk
20	about transparency, nothing more than the
21	Sunshine than the folks in the state of Florida
22	watching us in this Committee roll green balls
23	or white balls and the numbers. I don't and
24	I really don't believe we need to have any
25	other smaller group making decisions. I think

1	as the Chairman, you have been more than open,
2	we are out in the Sunshine and I agree with my
3	members, let's do move on.
4	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Latvala, you are
5	recognized.
б	SENATOR LATVALA: Could I ask a question
7	before I make a comment?
8	SENATOR GAETZ: Of course you may, sir.
9	SENATOR LATVALA: The motion that you have
LO	before us actually specifies it has to be on
L1	the Senate floor, does it not?
L2	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, but based on the
L3	conversation here, I have struck that already,
L4	and, again, if I if I were to offer this
L5	amendment, it would be on the Senate floor, it
L6	would not be here. We are not going to do any
L7	surprise amendments. The only amendment that
L8	has been prefiled for this committee meeting
L9	today is an amendment to strike the language
20	that folks objected to yesterday so that we may
21	either vote up or down on a redistricting plan.
22	If we can agree upon an amendment that
23	addresses the numbering system, it would be
24	offered with plenty of notice to all Senators,
25	the press and the public, and would be timely

filed today and taken up on the floor tomorrow.

2 SENATOR LATVALA: Well, then, my comment

is very strongly and vigorously, I believe that

4 if we are going to go through this process,

5 which I really don't agree with, and you know

6 that, I think we can reasonably number the

districts in sequence and without going through

8 this, whether or not we need to pull the

9 balls -- the red and green balls out, but it

needs to be done on the floor of the Senate in

front of everyone, every member of the Senate,

in front of the press corps and in front of the

people of Florida. This is an important issue,

14 much too important. The last time I was in the

15 Secretary's office, I do not believe the

Secretary's office was big enough for the press

17 corps and all the members of the Senate.

So I understand where Senator Simmons is

coming from with the carnival-like atmosphere

of using lottery balls, and perhaps there is a

different variation of that, but I believe

strongly that whatever we do needs to be done

on the floor of the Senate in front of God and

everybody.

25 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay, thank you very much.

1 Now, here is what I would like to do -are there -- is there a passionate need to 2 If not, here is what I would -- does 3 somebody else have something? 4 Here is what I would like to do at 5 6 this meeting now, if you are agreeable. 7 have an amendment that has been prefiled, and 8 that amendment -- I am going to turn the Chair 9 over to our Vice-Chair, President Margolis, I 10 am going to offer my amendment, which only 11 takes out the section that describes numbering, 12 so that we may vote on the rest of the plan, and then when we get back after we do that, if 13 we do it, then what I will do with -- unless a 14 majority of the Committee objects, is I will 15 16 ask the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader to meet and to help craft the amendment that I 17 will offer tomorrow, which would describe how 18 we would do a random system. That would be my 19 20 method of proceeding, unless the Committee 21 objects. 22 SENATOR HAYS: Mr. Chairman? Yes, Senator Hays. 23 SENATOR GAETZ: 24 SENATOR HAYS: I feel that it is 25 imperative that before we leave this

1 extraordinary session, that we, the Senate, 2 have a complete plan that includes the numbering. I am not opposed to your proposal 3 4 there, but my question is, what happens -- how soon will those people meet, et cetera, et 5 6 cetera? Candidly, I think we need to decide on 7 the methodology today, and when we come in 8 tomorrow or Friday to complete our action in this extraordinary session, it needs to be with 9 10 numbers. 11 SENATOR GAETZ: That is exactly what I am proposing, Senator Hays. We are obliged to 12 complete our business during the extraordinary 13 14 special session. Any plan that we would take final action on on the Senate floor must 15 16 include the numbers in order for us to transmit a message that is full, complete and legal to 17 our colleagues in the House of Representatives, 18 and so I am talking about moving forward very 19 20 soon, like now.

SENATOR HAYS: Would it be -- would it be all right to go ahead then and do the deletion of that language that you spoke of, but then go ahead right here today on this Committee and appoint the Majority and Minority Leaders to

21

22

23

24

1	get that so that tomorrow when they come in,
2	instead of us authorizing them to do it, they
3	will have completed this?
4	SENATOR GAETZ: That is exactly what I
5	have contemplated, if it is the will of this
6	Committee.
7	SENATOR HAYS: Okay.
8	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Diaz de la
9	Portilla for a final comment.
10	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Mr. Chair,
11	it is not really a comment, just a couple of
12	questions to our counsel just to understand the
13	issue of the numbering a little further.
14	My understanding and my reading of what
15	the Supreme Court said was that the methodology
16	that we used in sending 1176 over to them was
17	an was an improper methodology because it
18	favored incumbents, and the way they did that
19	or arrived at that conclusion is they inferred
20	an intent based on the result that a majority
21	of the incumbents ended up with potentially
22	ten-year terms. Obviously they would have to
23	run for office and get elected, but the
24	inference was that because the result was that
25	the majority of incumbents would end

```
1
          potentially with ten-year terms, that,
          therefore, they could impute or infer any --
 2
          some intent to favor an incumbent.
 3
 4
               My question of staff is, if we were to use
 5
          a purposeful, deliberate method which does not
 6
          result in the majority of incumbents
 7
          potentially being favored, wouldn't that be
 8
          something that we could present where it would
 9
          be a lot more difficult to imply or infer or
10
          impute any kind of intent to favor incumbents?
11
          And that is to Mr. Bardos. That is it, I just
12
          want to --
                               Mr. Bardos, any comment?
13
               SENATOR GAETZ:
14
               MR. BARDOS: If there were a rule that did
          not involve a random event that produced
15
16
          results that seemed to be even-handed, I think
          that would stand a much better chance than the
17
18
          one that was previously adopted. But there
          could still be allegations that that specific
19
20
          rule was chosen because the result was known,
```

and that that result favored particular
members. So there could still be an argument
about that. I think it would be -- it would
stand on better ground than the one that was

25 previously chosen, but perhaps not. It

1	wouldn't be immune from attack.
2	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Just a quick
3	follow-up?
4	SENATOR GAETZ: A quick follow-up.
5	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: And so a
6	totally random method, whether it is balls on
7	the floor or something else, with more decorum,
8	is a safer way, because there is absolutely no
9	chance or would be absolutely no chance of
10	imputing, implying, inferring any kind of
11	intent to anyone, but it is not the only way,
12	because we could purposefully, deliberately,
13	like we are supposed to make all decisions as
14	Senators as a deliberative body, we could
15	purposely and deliberately come up with a
16	method that isn't random, that would stand
17	legal muster, as long as the result is one
18	where it would be very difficult for a court to
19	impute any kind of improper motive or intent.
20	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Bardos, any comment?
21	MR. BARDOS: I agree with that. I think
22	it is more difficult to infer intent when there
23	is an intervening chance event, but I agree
24	that there are rules that could be chosen. The
25	only question would be was that specific rule

1	chosen because of the results that it produces.
2	SENATOR GAETZ: All right. That being the
3	case, President Margolis, will you take the
4	Chair, and I have an amendment?
5	SENATOR MARGOLIS: Certainly. Senator
6	Gaetz with an amendment.
7	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.
8	Madam Chair, I have amendment which is bar
9	coded 825072. That amendment simply deletes
10	from the PCB the reference to numbering which
11	was there yesterday. The reference which would
12	be deleted, again, is, "Notwithstanding the
13	district numbers specified in Section 3 of
14	Senate Joint Resolution 1176 or this Senate
15	joint resolution, the 40 Senatorial districts
16	of the state shall be renumbered based on a
17	random, incumbent-neutral process of assignment
18	conducted in public."
19	Madam Chair, if this amendment is adopted,
20	then it would be my suggestion that we move
21	forward to take up the redistricting plan, and
22	then we would move forward, as we have
23	discussed in the Committee, to ask the Majority
24	and Minority Leaders to assist in developing a
25	dignified but but integral system that would

1	be open to the public dealing with the
2	numbering of districts, and I will offer the
3	amendment that I discussed before timely filed
4	on the floor. That is the that is the
5	amendment and that would be the effect of the
6	amendment, Madam Chair.
7	SENATOR MARGOLIS: The immediate amendment
8	is to remove all of the numbers from the map?
9	SENATOR GAETZ: No, ma'am. The amendment
LO	is to remove from the PCB the following
L1	sentence: "Notwithstanding the district
L2	numbers specified in Section 3 of Senate Joint
L3	Resolution 1176 or in this Senate joint
L4	resolution, the 40 Senatorial districts of the
L5	state shall be renumbered based on a random,
L6	incumbent-neutral process of assignment
L7	conducted in public." The amendment is to
L8	remove those words from the PCB so that we can
L9	vote on the PCB without reference to the
20	numbering system, and then take up the
21	numbering system as a separate matter.
22	SENATOR MARGOLIS: Thank you. The
23	secretary will call the roll on the amendment.
24	THE CLERK: Senator Altman?
25	SENATOR ALTMAN: Yes

1	THE CLERK: Senator Benacquisto?
2	SENATOR BENACQUISTO: Yes.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Braynon?
4	SENATOR BRAYNON: Yes.
5	THE CLERK: Senator Bullard?
6	Senator Dean?
7	SENATOR DEAN: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Senator Detert?
9	SENATOR DETERT: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Senator Diaz de la Portilla?
11	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Evers?
13	SENATOR EVERS: Yes.
14	THE CLERK: Senator Flores?
15	SENATOR FLORES: Yes.
16	THE CLERK: Senator Garcia?
17	Senator Gardiner?
18	SENATOR GARDINER: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Gibson?
20	SENATOR GIBSON: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Hays?
22	SENATOR HAYS: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Senator Joyner?
24	SENATOR JOYNER: Yes.
25	THE CLERK: Senator Latvala?

1	SENATOR LATVALA: Yes.
2	THE CLERK: Senator Lynn?
3	SENATOR LYNN: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Senator Montford?
5	SENATOR MONTFORD: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Senator Negron?
7	SENATOR NEGRON: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Senator Rich?
9	SENATOR RICH: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Senator Sachs?
11	SENATOR SACHS: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Simmons?
13	SENATOR SIMMONS: Yes.
14	THE CLERK: Senator Siplin?
15	SENATOR SIPLIN: Yes.
16	THE CLERK: Senator Smith?
17	SENATOR SMITH: Yes.
18	THE CLERK: Senator Sobel?
19	SENATOR SOBEL: Yes.
20	THE CLERK: Senator Storms?
21	SENATOR STORMS: Yes.
22	THE CLERK: Senator Thrasher?
23	SENATOR THRASHER: Yes.
24	THE CLERK: Senator Wise?

SENATOR WISE: Yes.

1	THE CLERK: Senator Margolis?
2	SENATOR MARGOLIS: Yes.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Gaetz?
4	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes.
5	SENATOR MARGOLIS: So the motion passes.
6	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Madam Chair.
7	Now before us is the PCB. We have
8	discussed it yesterday and today. Is there
9	further discussion on the PCB? Further
10	discussion? If not, the secretary will call
11	the roll on the PCB. There are amendments that
12	were TP'd. Is there anybody who would like to
13	bring those amendments back up?
14	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Mr. Chair?
15	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Diaz de la
16	Portilla.
17	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: I have an
18	amendment that was TP'd yesterday, it
19	specifically wasn't withdrawn, so it is
20	available today, but I will withdraw it today.
21	It isn't my intent to bring it up.
22	SENATOR GAETZ: Without objection, show
23	the amendment by Senator Diaz de la Portilla
24	withdrawn.
25	Are there any other amenders or proposers

```
1
          of amendments that were with- -- that are TP'd
 2
          who would like to comment, bring your
          amendments forward? Anybody? I believe that
 3
 4
          would be Senator Latvala and Senator Altman.
               SENATOR LATVALA: Show mine withdrawn.
 5
 6
               SENATOR GAETZ: Without objection, show
 7
          the Latvala amendment withdrawn.
 8
               SENATOR ALTMAN: Show mine withdrawn.
 9
               SENATOR GAETZ: Without objection, show
10
          the Altman amendment withdrawn.
11
               Now the bill is in proper posture.
12
          PCB is before you unamended, except for the
          amendment which you just adopted. Is there a
13
          further discussion? Is there further
14
          discussion?
15
16
               Senator Gibson.
               SENATOR GIBSON: Yes, Mr. Chair. I am
17
18
          wondering, are we able to debate before we
19
          vote?
20
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Yes, ma'am, I called for
          debate three times.
21
                               This will be the fourth
          time I call for it.
22
               SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, I didn't hear
23
24
          it.
              So am I recognized?
```

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

SENATOR GAETZ:

Yes, ma'am, you are

1 recognized in debate, of course.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SENATOR GIBSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
thank you so much for certainly all of your

patience and fairness in allowing us to ask as

many questions as we needed and also to

certainly make as many comments as we needed

for clarity.

And I think what we have before us in some areas works for the people, and in other areas, I don't think not so much. I believe that some changes in the map have unfairly placed some minorities in a disadvantage to have their voices heard. Particularly between northeast Florida and certainly central Florida, there have been numbers of minorities who were previously at the table and could have their voices heard have now been sucked into other districts where they will not have that opportunity. And I understand the issue of compactness that we -- that must be addressed when we send our map back to the Supreme Court, but I also believe that the overriding issue, the Tier 1 issue, was not properly and fully addressed as it should be to afford everyone a seat at the table and everyone an opportunity

1	to make sure that their concerns are addressed
2	in the Legislature.
3	And so that said, I also do not think that
4	we have provided sufficient opportunities to
5	increase minority representation in the
6	Legislature, and so today I will not be able to
7	support, as I had before, the PCB. Thank you.
8	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much,
9	Senator Gibson.
LO	Further in debate? Further in debate?
L1	Leader Rich.
L2	SENATOR RICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and I
L3	do want to say that I first of all, I
L4	appreciate all the work that the staff has
L5	done, particularly Mr. Guthrie, who I don't
L6	know how many hours of sleep he has had in the
L7	last week, but it can't be too many, and I
L8	think everyone really appreciates the
L9	tremendous commitment to this job that you have
20	had.
21	Having said that, I think we have
22	corrected some issues that the Court sent our
23	way, but I think that the Court made clear in
24	its opinion that for the purposes of its
25	constitutionally required review, that this map

1	still, I think, has the effect of protecting
2	incumbents, and as we all know, that is part of
3	the new amendments to the Florida Constitution
4	that prohibit legislative districts from being
5	drawn with the intent of favoring or
6	disfavoring an incumbent or candidate. I think
7	that this map still indicates that it favors
8	incumbents, and that is clearly what would be
9	called incumbent protection.
10	So I think, as I said, we have fixed a few
11	things, but I think the map simply does not fix
12	a number of the things that the Court suggested
13	to us that needed to be that needed to be
14	that needed to be corrected, and,
15	unfortunately, I will be voting against the
16	map, because I don't think that it has complied
17	with what the Court suggested to us.
18	SENATOR GAETZ: Further in debate?
19	Senator Diaz de la Portilla.
20	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Mr. Chairman
21	and members, my intent is to support the PCB,
22	but I also intend to offer an amendment on the
23	floor when we meet to address certain issues.
24	Just so that the public and those who are
25	watching can understand and follow the process,

L	my staff was not able to see a map until late
2	in the evening on Saturday, so we had very
3	little time to address what I saw as some
1	potential inequities in the plan, and so we had
5	to very little time really to put together a
5	map that addressed those inequities.

I believe that there is an opportunity to create an additional Hispanic seat -- Hispanic access seat in south Florida. I believe that the demographics justify it, I believe that the numbers are there and that they have been there for a while, and I believe that Hispanics, which are a language minority, and the first tier analysis mandates that we draw districts so as to allow racial and language minorities to select a candidate of their choice, that opportunity is there in south Florida, and I intend to present an amendment on the floor that addresses that.

I think that the key there rather than having maps that potentially, some could argue, would favor incumbents, we should draw maps that allow minorities, language or racial, to select a candidate of their choice, and there is such an opportunity justified by the numbers

1	and by the demographics in the south Florida
2	area, and so I will work with staff today to
3	try to address those issues in a way that makes
4	sense.
5	I commend you, Mr. Chair and the staff,
6	for the terrific job you have done in
7	addressing the issues that the Supreme Court
8	found on the eight districts that they felt
9	were not compliant, but I think that there is
10	an historic opportunity to enfranchise people
11	in south Florida. The three so-called Hispanic
12	seats in south Florida right now have in excess
13	of 80 percent Hispanic population. The numbers
14	are there, like I said, to justify an intent to
15	make the case on the floor when we meet
16	tomorrow, but I will be supporting this bill,
17	Mr. Chairman and members, because I think this
18	is a really, really good start, although there
19	are some things that I would like to address,
20	and I just wanted to say that for the record,
21	Mr. Chair.
22	SENATOR CAFTY: Of course Thank you

- 22 SENATOR GAETZ: Of course. Thank you, 23 Senator Diaz de la Portilla.
- Senator Negron and then Senator Detert and then Speaker Thrasher.

Τ	SENATOR NEGRON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
2	Florida Supreme Court rulings are not to
3	be trifled with, and I want to commend you and
4	the staff and members for this PCB.
5	If you look at an important footnote that
6	we haven't talked about before, on page 189, it
7	is footnote 64 of their opinion, it says,
8	"Accordingly, any ultimate responsibility of
9	the Court regarding reapportionment would be
LO	limited to the redrawing of the Senate plan,
L1	and this would occur only if the revised Senate
L2	apportionment plan is declared to be invalid,"
L3	and that is clearly this Court stating that if
L4	the Legislature, the Senate in this case,
L5	doesn't address the issues of the eight
L6	districts, then the Supreme Court would redraw
L7	the plan. And the reason I support this PCB is
L8	because I think there was a very logical,
L9	coherent and compliant effort made to go
20	district by district in the eight districts and
21	in the affected areas and solve the problems
22	that the Supreme Court found. They are part of
23	the process in reapportionment. And the reason
24	I support this effort is because it was done in
25	a way that was completely responsive to the

1	Court's ruling. And, you know, courts don't
2	make suggestions, they make findings, they
3	issue rulings, they make decisions, and the PCB
4	in front of us took the eight districts where
5	there were constitutional issues and it
6	corrected them.
7	And then secondly, just a process point
8	and I certainly respect the right of any
9	member, including myself, to file an amendment
10	on the floor to any bill at any time, we all
11	have that prerogative and there is nothing
12	wrong with that. I would say, however, that it
13	is probably not the best practice for all of
14	us and I include myself in this, I am not
15	lecturing other people, I am talking about all
16	of us working together as a Senate I don't
17	think it is a good practice to file an
18	amendment to the only committee that is going
19	to hear this bill and then withdraw the
20	amendment and then, "Well, now, I am just going
21	to do it on the floor." The whole purpose of a
22	committee is so that an amendment can be
23	offered and can be discussed, can be voted up

24

25

or down, and, of course, a member has a right,

if they get a bad result, to come to the floor.

```
1
          No one is saying they should waive that right.
 2
          But I just think that for all of us, if you
          file an amendment in a committee to a bill, you
 3
          should either be in or you are out. And I have
 4
          had amendments voted down this session in
 5
 6
          committee, and, you know, that is the way it
 7
          goes, you didn't meet your burden of proof on
 8
          that day, your amendment got voted down, but
 9
          there is no dishonor in that. And so I would
10
          hope that we can, when amendments are filed,
11
          particularly to important bills like this and
          the only committee, that we can have a
12
          discussion, have an up-or-down vote and not
13
          have the floor used as the -- as the initial
14
15
          place to resolve amendments, because I don't
16
          think that's the best practice.
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Thank you, Senator Negron.
17
18
               Senator Detert.
                               Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19
               SENATOR DETERT:
20
               I would just like to speak in support of
21
          the maps. I followed it from beginning to end,
22
          went around the state, heard the public input,
23
          I had one of my aides work on this pretty much
24
          full-time from day one, we have a huge notebook
```

on it, and when these maps came out Saturday,

she kept saying, "Isn't it beautiful, isn't it 1 2 beautiful," because the progress that we have had to make and it is like walking through 3 Jello, unfortunately, and painful to watch, but 4 to make sure everyone is included, that is the 5 6 process. 7 This is the second time I have been 8 through this process, and I really think there 9 could be no disagreement with the fact that 10 this is the most transparent, inclusive system 11

ever in the history of the State of Florida. And those of us that have been through this before know how it was done, and thanks to technology, thanks to leadership, this is truly the most open and transparent, and the staff has done did a great job to try to answer everyone's questions and to bend over backwards, and I think we have a very -- this is as good a product as you can possibly get. You're never going to have a thousand percent buy in, but I wholeheartedly support the work of the Committee, and thank you very much.

of the Committee, and thank you very much.

SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator Detert.

24 Speaker Thrasher.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25 SENATOR THRASHER: Thank you, Mr.

1 Chairman.

24

25

2	First of all, I want to start out, too,
3	congratulating you and the staff. I don't
4	think any of us can appreciate, I know I can't,
5	the number of hours that you and they have put
6	in on this incredible project. And, you know,
7	a little bit of help that any of us have been
8	able to give you is probably very de minimis
9	and should have been a lot more probably as we
10	have gone through the process, and for that, I
11	almost apologize to you and to the staff for
12	not us being more readily available to you.
13	But you have done a great job, John and its
14	team, and after many, many years of doing this,
15	you are still as good as always, and I
16	appreciate it very much.
17	Mr. Chairman, I just want to reiterate one
18	thing while we are here, remind everybody why
19	we are here. Why we are here is because the
20	Supreme Court took our plan under the
21	Constitution, they looked at it and they
22	basically said there were basically ten areas
23	that were of concern to them. And methodically

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

of those areas, and today, particularly the

yesterday I believe we went through every one

1	districts that they found that were in
2	violation of the constitutional requirements.
3	I think we went through every one of them. I
4	think we at the end of that process, we are
5	satisfied that we had done our best efforts to
6	respond to the concerns raised by the Supreme
7	Court, whether it was compactness or
8	geographical districts or however the numbering
9	was done, all of those things. I think we went
LO	through it in a way that I am very, very
L1	comfortable with. We also addressed the City
L2	of Lakeland, and I think you did a great job in
L3	doing that. And lastly, today, we have
L4	certainly spent enough time, I believe, on the
L5	numbering process, and I think have come up
L6	with a plan that will make everybody
L7	comfortable, you know, and I think Senator
L8	Simmons had a great idea about how to address
L9	that.
20	So I am I am totally comfortable with
21	where we are in responding to what the Supreme
22	Court asked us to do, and that is why we are
23	here, we are responding to those very specific
24	things that they asked us to do.

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

And I don't mean to pile onto Senator Diaz

1	de la Portilla either what Senator Negron had,
2	but, you know, I would remind the members we
3	are we are really halfway through the
4	special session. We have another whole week.
5	We could go to the President and ask for more
6	time in this Committee if we want to address
7	additional plans that potentially could be
8	offered on the floor tomorrow. And I agree
9	with Senator Negron that when we take the bold
10	step to have gone through this process,
11	withdraw a committee a particular amendment
12	and then offer it on the floor when we won't
13	have the benefit perhaps of the professional
14	staff to do the kind of analysis that we have
15	done in every single aspect of this process, I
16	think is doing a disservice to the members of
17	this Committee and the other members of the
18	Senate who will be there to vote on this. So I
19	guess I would say to Senator Diaz de la
20	Portilla, certainly you have the right,
21	Senator, to do that, but we do have another
22	week left in this special session, and,
23	frankly, if we need more time to look at
24	additional maps, we ought to take the time to
25	do it. And I would I would be more than

1	willing to stay here tomorrow, Friday and
2	through the weekend until next Wednesday to
3	make sure we get the job done and get it
4	completed appropriately, particularly if there
5	are going to be new maps addressed on the floor
6	of the Senate tomorrow.
7	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much,
8	Senator.
9	And, Leader Smith, what I wanted to do,
10	Leader, was to give you and Senator Rich the
11	final word, but, Leader, you are recognized.
12	SENATOR SMITH: My comment, I guess, is
13	for procedurally for when we are on the floor.
14	Being that this is an extraordinary session
15	once every ten years, is there a way and I
16	guess this is for the Rules Chair also that
17	we can allow staff on the floor to answer
18	questions, because I think because this is an
19	extraordinary situation, we have professional
20	staff with the numbers and the capability, if
21	there is a way through the rules that we can
22	allow staff on the floor to address any
23	amendments or things that are filed and have
24	the our professional staff be available on
25	the floor during this extraordinary session?

1	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Rules Chair.
2	SENATOR THRASHER: Certainly like any
3	other major piece of legislation, the staff is
4	available to be on the floor. But my point,
5	Senator Smith, I don't think the staff is there
6	to sit in front of us like this and address,
7	you know, as we are in a committee today. I
8	think that is the responsibility of the
9	individual Senator to bring whatever process he
10	has or questions they have to the Senate floor.
11	Certainly the staff can assist in whoever is
12	responding to that, i.e., Senator Gaetz, since
13	it is his proposed committee bill, but to have
14	the staff there to analyze anything that goes
15	on on the floor of the Senate as we are doing
16	here today, I don't think would be appropriate
17	to do.
18	SENATOR GAETZ: Leader, did you wish to
19	follow up?
20	SENATOR SMITH: I guess you answered in
21	the tail end of your explanation the opposite
22	of, I guess, what I would like to see, because
23	during normal session, staff is on the floor if
24	there is a big bill, and they are giving the
25	anguers to the hill sponger. I wanted to take

1	the extraordinary step and have staff be able
2	to answer questions on the floor as we do in
3	committee. I think that would be appropriate
4	being that this is an extraordinary situation.
5	SENATOR GAETZ: Leader Smith, if I might,
б	Rules Chair, I think you have raised a rules
7	question as well as a decorum question for the
8	floor. I can tell you this, that I am not
9	going on the Senate floor tomorrow unless
LO	unless John Guthrie and Andy Bardos are in good
L1	health and unless they are there on the floor
L2	sitting next to me so that they can advise me
L3	and I can give accurate answers. But as to
L4	whether they would have the ability to respond
L5	themselves on the floor of the Senate, may I
L6	ask the Rules Chair to take that under
L7	advisement and get back to Senator Smith on
L8	that?
L9	And I believe that Senator Latvala was
20	next. Senator Latvala, sir.
21	SENATOR LATVALA: Mr. Chairman, the
22	members of the Senate and the members of this
23	Committee have been operating under a schedule
24	that you, as the Chairman, put together and
25	that we adopted on the floor last week, and

1	that schedule had you know, it had a
2	deadline for amendments for the floor today.
3	We didn't put out a schedule that said there
4	couldn't be any amendments after committee. We
5	put out a schedule that said there were going
6	to be floor amendments. We and you and I
7	had a conversation last week about the time
8	frame between when your amendment came out,
9	which you know, which the staff had, you
10	know, about eight days to work on after the
11	Supreme Court decision came out, and of an
12	adequate amount of time between when your
13	amendment came out and when other people's
14	amendments would be allowed, and your amendment
15	came out at noon on a Saturday
16	SENATOR GAETZ: 10:20 a.m.
17	SENATOR LATVALA: Okay, a Saturday, an
18	hour and 40 minutes before noon on Saturday,
19	and then the amendment deadline was Monday
20	morning at noon. And there was a lot of for
21	those people working on amendments, we were
22	scurrying around, we had people helping us like
23	Senator Simmons working with the staff over the
24	weekend, and even with the staff-prepared
25	amendments, we had glitches in them, you know,

because of, you know, really three or four 1 hours of office time on the Senate schedule on 2 Monday morning to get them together before the 3 deadline. And we have heard from you several 4 times about how close we were to adhering to 5 6 that deadline when we really only had four working hours for the staff, you know, from 7 8 eight o'clock in the morning until noon on 9 Monday to get those amendments ready.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now today we are hearing a drum beat of we shouldn't have any amendments tomorrow that hadn't been vetted before the Committee. know, I think that effectively disenfranchises all the members of the Senate that are on this Committee, number one, and number two, there are issues that have come up during the week, like, for instance, in Senator Storms' district with the nipple that was put on Hillsborough County from Lakeland and Plant City that I have had a lot of local feedback about from the county commissioner from out there and the city commission and the Mayor and the Chamber of Commerce, that it has taken a couple of days to assimilate this week to get that local input, and, you know, very well may have an amendment

```
1
          on that tomorrow. And, you know, I don't think
 2
          it is fair to be starting a drum beat of
          because we couldn't get an amendment in by noon
 3
          two days ago, we can't have it considered or we
 4
          shouldn't have it considered or there is
 5
 6
          something wrong with having it considered.
 7
          And, you know, this is the Florida Senate, and,
 8
          you know, individual Florida Senators who want
 9
          to submit amendments, who want to be involved
10
          in this process, should be allowed to be
11
          involved in this process without fear or
          intimidation. And, you know, I just -- I just
12
          think it is -- this drum beat of no amendments
13
          for tomorrow is -- I quess it is peremptorily
14
15
          in nature to try to discourage people from
16
          filing amendments, but I think it is
          unfortunate. And, you know, with this -- we
17
18
          are dealing with the schedule that you set up,
          Mr. Chairman, and we're trying our best to deal
19
20
          with -- to work within that schedule, and we
21
          all have a limited amount of time up here,
          there is a limited amount of staff and we are
22
23
          going to do the best we can on it.
24
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Thank you, Senator
25
          Latvala, and since you raised a couple of
```

1 points, let me respond just procedurally.

2 First of all, you have heard opinions from different Senators today. You haven't heard a 3 drum beat, unless you wish to characterize it 4 5 that way. You have heard opinions from 6 different Senators about the approach that they use or that they feel is appropriate when an 7 8 amendment is discussed and then withdrawn in a 9 committee. You have not heard the Chair state 10 that amendments would not be welcomed on the 11 floor. You have heard the Chair say that in order for amendments to be proper and to be 12 technically correct, please give us those 13 amendments in advance so that we can make sure 14 15 that this professional staff can help.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Let me say as well that contrary to what was said earlier, maybe some Senator didn't see the map until late on Saturday, but the map was published. The proposed -- the Chair- -- what was styled as the Chairman's amendment, which is the PCB, was published at 10:20 a.m. on Saturday, not Saturday night. Number two, there weren't just four hours, working hours, to work on the amendatory process. I can tell you because I was here that this professional

1 staff worked virtually around the clock during 2 those weekend days to assist Senators who were contacting them, who were discussing amendments 3 4 with them as late as ten and eleven o'clock at So it wasn't four working hours. 5 6 And this is the Florida Senate, and 7 everybody is entitled to offer an amendment 8 according to the rules, but let's not -- let's 9 not get, you know, too emotional here on any 10 side of this issue. You can offer amendments. 11 The amendment deadline is five o'clock today. 12 We ask that you get your amendments in, if possible, by 3:00 or earlier so that we can 13 make sure that they are technically correct. 14 You will not see me on the floor tomorrow 15 16 saying that people should not offer amendments. You will see me saying that if there is a 17 wholesale change in the map, if suddenly we 18 discover a whole new plan, fully grown, sprung 19 from the brow of Zeus, suddenly at the end 20 21 after a year of waiting, you might have me lift 22 my eyebrows rather rhetorically at that. 23 amendments of the kind that you are describing, 24 Senator Latvala, for the area that you -- that 25 you mentioned, absolutely, you will see no

1	criticism from me now or then. This is the
2	Florida Senate.
3	Other comments? You have already spoken
4	in debate. Other comments in debate? If not,
5	we will go to the Majority Leader and the
6	Minority Leader to close I'm sorry?
7	A VOICE: You addressed your comments to
8	me?
9	SENATOR GAETZ: I addressed no comment to
10	you. We will be in order. Is there a Senator
11	back there who wished to speak? Senator Dean.
12	SENATOR DEAN: Mr. Chair, I want to
13	reiterate what you said and about the fact that
14	everybody has a chance to present amendments,
15	but also they remember that we have a chance to
16	vote those amendments up or down, and it just
17	didn't start today or on a time clock on
18	Saturday or on Friday or Thursday. We have
19	been a year in this process. And I feel it is
20	important to take all the time necessary to
21	expose any good idea, but we as a Senate have a
22	right to vote those amendments up or down. And
23	I want to commend you on the process so far,
24	and let's keep moving on. Thank you.
25	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much.

```
1
               Other Senators in debate who have not had
 2
          a chance to speak? If not, Leader Gardiner,
          and then we will go to Leader Rich.
 3
 4
               SENATOR GARDINER: Mr. Chairman, is this
          in debate or in regards to what we talked about
 5
 6
          for the --
 7
                               We are in debate on the
               SENATOR GAETZ:
 8
          PCB, and then we --
 9
               SENATOR GARDINER:
                                  Okay.
10
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               If there's no further
11
          debate on the PCB, we will call the roll.
12
               SENATOR GARDINER:
                                  Thank you, Mr.
13
          Chairman, and I want to thank you, I want to
          thank John Guthrie and his team.
14
                                            There were a
15
          few of us that have spent a lot of time trying
16
          to understand every aspect, and I got a real
          lesson last week as to how difficult it truly
17
18
          is to draw a map. You know, you can have an
          idea, and, you know, people talk about
19
20
          appendages, some of these appendages are a
21
          hundred sixty, 180,000 people. That is the
22
          size of a House district. And while people
          talk about appendages, you look around the map,
23
24
          there are some appendages, and that is just the
25
          way it is, but those are real people and those
```

```
1
          are constituents and those are communities that
 2
          have a history that deserve to have the best
 3
          map put forward that represents all interests.
 4
          And Senator Gaetz, Chairman, I want to thank
 5
          you for your openness to ideas and suggestions
 6
          from all of us.
                           I think every one of us can
 7
          say at one time or another that we have come in
 8
          with an idea.
 9
               We have been very specific that the
10
          Florida Supreme Court picked out eight areas
11
          that they felt as though we needed to address,
12
          and we have focused on those eight areas, and I
13
          think we are bringing forward a very good,
14
          comprehensive plan that we can be proud of.
15
          And, Chairman, I want to thank you, and again,
16
          John, thank you to you and your team, and I
17
          look forward to supporting this good amendment.
18
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Thank you, Leader.
19
               Leader Rich, I know you have spoken in
20
          debate, but out of courtesy, we will allow you
21
          to close debate.
```

22 SENATOR RICH: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23 I am not going to repeat what I said

before, but I do just have one comment. It is

kind of how fast we forget.

Τ	I remember when we were closing on the map
2	the original time, that I had an amendment, and
3	the amendment I actually TP'd because of the
4	fact that there were some errors in it, through
5	no fault of anybody here. And I don't know if
6	some of you remember the conversation at the
7	committee meeting, because Senator Thrasher
8	thought that I might be looking to put it in or
9	the floor the next day, and Senator Lynn and
10	others commented very strongly about the fact
11	that they thought that that was inappropriate,
12	especially if it were, as you kind of stated, a
13	wholesale map, because we have had a process
14	that has gone on for months and months and
15	months, we have had people all over this state
16	commenting, coming to our reapportionment
17	hearings, we have had ours, and I took that
18	into consideration at that time. And I think
19	that the kinds of amendments that were being
20	discussed here today are fine, and I certainly
21	believe that any Senator can put in any map at
22	any time up until, you know, the time that we
23	vote on this, but I think that there has to be
24	a respect for the people that we come up here
25	to serve, and accountability to them, because

1	we have promised them, and I believe we have
2	lived up to that throughout this entire
3	process, to keep it open and transparent to
4	them through these hearings and through other
5	mechanisms that you have used. So I, for one,
6	would be very distressed to see maps come in
7	here to go onto the floor tomorrow that were
8	entirely new and maps which nobody has seen and
9	nobody could comment on other than the 40
LO	Senators on the floor. Thank you.
L1	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Leader Rich,
L2	and let me just thank you. You and I have
L3	voted differently on this issue, but you
L4	have you have been you have played by the
L5	rules that we helped to develop and you have
L6	been extraordinarily courteous and thoughtful
L7	and fair in this process, as has your caucus.
L8	There being no further debate, the
L9	secretary will call the roll on the PCB.
20	THE CLERK: Senator Altman?
21	SENATOR ALTMAN: Yes.
22	THE CLERK: Senator Benacquisto?
23	SENATOR BENACQUISTO: Yes.
24	THE CLERK: Senator Braynon?
25	SENATOR BRAYNON: No

1	THE CLERK: Senator Bullard?
2	Senator Dean?
3	SENATOR DEAN: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Senator Detert?
5	SENATOR DETERT: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Senator Diaz de la Portilla?
7	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Senator Evers?
9	SENATOR EVERS: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Senator Flores?
11	SENATOR FLORES: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Garcia?
13	Senator Gardiner?
14	SENATOR GARDINER: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Gibson?
16	SENATOR GIBSON: No.
17	THE CLERK: Senator Hays?
18	SENATOR HAYS: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Joyner?
20	SENATOR JOYNER: No.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Latvala?
22	SENATOR LATVALA: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Senator Lynn?
24	SENATOR LYNN: Yes.

THE CLERK: Senator Montford?

1	SENATOR MONTFORD: Yes.
2	THE CLERK: Senator Negron?
3	SENATOR NEGRON: Yes.
4	THE CLERK: Senator Rich?
5	SENATOR RICH: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Senator Sachs?
7	Senator Simmons?
8	SENATOR SIMMONS: Yes.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Siplin?
10	SENATOR SIPLIN: Yes.
11	THE CLERK: Senator Smith?
12	SENATOR SMITH: No.
13	THE CLERK: Senator Sobel?
14	SENATOR SOBEL: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Storms?
16	SENATOR STORMS: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Senator Thrasher?
18	SENATOR THRASHER: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Wise?
20	SENATOR WISE: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Margolis?
22	SENATOR MARGOLIS: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Senator Gaetz?
24	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes. Senators Sachs, the
25	roll call is still going on. Did you wish to

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

1	be recorded yes or no on the PCB?
2	SENATOR SACHS: I wish to be recorded no.
3	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Sachs shall be
4	recorded no. The PCB passes by your action,
5	and it will be reported to the Senate.
6	Now, I would like to just forecast what
7	might happen next. It will be my intention to
8	file an amendment by three o'clock today that
9	everyone will have the opportunity to see,
10	which will comport with the conversation that
11	we have had here having to do with a random and
12	incumbent-neutral selection of for assigning
13	Senatorial districts.
14	I would ask that the Minority Leader and
15	the Majority Leader meet now after we break in
16	order to determine the specific methodology
17	that would be used under that amendment should
18	it pass on the Senate floor tomorrow.
19	Leader Rich, do I is that acceptable to
20	you, ma'am?
21	SENATOR RICH: Yes, Senator Gardiner and I
22	have already met
23	SENATOR GAETZ: Okay.
24	SENATOR RICH: and we what we have

determined is that we will ask in about an

1	hour, or whatever time you set, we would come
2	back here, and Debbie Brown, Secretary of the
3	Senate, would come and she would do the drawing
4	and the numbers would be put on the map and it
5	would be over with, finished and not done in
6	the chamber, which we all agree is not
7	appropriate, and then it could be the
8	numbers would be able to be used and engrossed
9	into the bill, if that is
10	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Gardiner, is that
11	your understanding?
12	SENATOR GARDINER: Yes, Mr. Chairman, and
13	one of the ideas of why we wanted to go ahead
14	and do that, that way, we have a product that
15	is going to the floor, that everybody not only
16	knows where the lines are, but they also know
17	where the numbers are, and it gives members
18	through the amendment process by five o'clock
19	today to file alternative ideas if they choose
20	to on a numbering system. So I am in complete
21	agreement with Leader Rich.
22	While I may have some reservations about a
23	lottery system, I do think that it is important
24	that what goes to the floor is the full
25	package, and this gives us the opportunity to

1	do that. So at your request, Chairman, and
2	when you would like us to come back, I would
3	suggest that the we have enough time so that
4	everything can be tested and everybody can be
5	ready and everybody can be notified of when to
6	come back and actually, if they choose to sit
7	here, personally I probably will not, but if
8	somebody feels like they need to, they can have
9	plenty of time to get here.
10	SENATOR GAETZ: Let me be sure that I
11	understand how you want to proceed. So you
12	intend to use the material that is here, the
13	baskets and so forth, is that correct, Leader?
14	SENATOR RICH: Yes, that is correct.
15	SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. And then just
16	procedurally, and I ask the Rules Chair to help
17	us here a little bit if we are straying far
18	afield, it is your intent, then, to have these
19	numbers developed and assigned today so that
20	they can go into the PCB, and if that is the
21	case, Mr. Rules Chair, does this Committee need
22	to go back into session this afternoon and then
23	adopt an amendment that would go into the PCB,
24	a late-filed amendment?
25	SENATOR THRASHER: It would, Mr. Chairman.

1	I think we have we are scheduled until two
2	o'clock.
3	SENATOR GAETZ: Okay.
4	SENATOR THRASHER: Obviously before noon,
5	on a two-hour notice if we needed additional
6	time, we could ask the President for additional
7	time, but it seems to me, based on what I have
8	heard from Senator Rich and Senator Gardiner,
9	that that could be accomplished by two o'clock
10	today, and if it is accomplished by two o'clock
11	today, we could, I think, finish our business.
12	SENATOR GAETZ: Is there any objection by
13	any yes, sir, Leader Smith.
14	SENATOR SMITH: One question about the
15	numbering system I don't think that has even
16	come up. It was mentioned briefly. Has it
17	been determined that we are going to do a north
18	to south in the numbering, or is it a
19	possibility to do south to north?
20	SENATOR GAETZ: The it could be it
21	could be either way, but the conversation up to
22	this point and my proposed amendment would be
23	to follow along with what Senator Altman and
24	Senator Latvala had originally suggested, and
25	that is to go from north to south and use the

1	numbers that are on the map now as placeholder
2	numbers. That is our intention at this point,
3	unless it is the will of the Committee to
4	operate differently.
5	And I believe that Senator Diaz de la
6	Portilla was reserving the right to object to
7	the process, is that right? Please state your
8	objection.
9	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: My objection
LO	is that I don't agree with having a random
L1	lotto here today before two o'clock. I just
L2	think that we had a discussion on how we were
L3	going to do the numbering. There was an intent
L4	expressed to have an amendment by yourself, Mr.
L5	Chairman, expressed to have an amendment
L6	regarding how to go about the numbering
L7	tomorrow on the floor. I think that tomorrow
L8	on the floor, as you said you intended to do
L9	initially, is the right way to go, and I don't
20	believe that this last-minute quick pick that
21	we are intending to do here today is the right
22	way to do it, and that is and I reserve the
23	right to make that objection as a Senator.
24	I mean, I would also like to clarify that

what I was referring to when I talked about

1	receiving the map at six o'clock was a physical
2	map that you could actually feel and touch, not
3	when it was posted on the website and in
4	microprint. So that is my objection to the
5	quick pick. Thank you.
6	SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Thank you very
7	much, Senator Diaz de la Portilla. Your
8	objection is noted.
9	We will go to Senator Storms, and then we
10	will go to Leader Gardiner, then we are going
11	to take a vote on the Gardiner/Rich proposal.
12	Senator Storms.
13	SENATOR STORMS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
14	on behalf of the many citizens of the State of
15	Florida who I believe feel the exact same way
16	that I do, I object to casting lots. I object
17	to casting lots for making a decision, I object
18	to casting lots on the floor of the Senate. At
19	the very least, I am glad that we are not
20	casting lots on the Florida Senate, but I
21	object to casting to the usage of casting
22	lots, and I believe that there are grave
23	there are people all across the state of
24	Florida that will be very, very deeply offended
25	by the Florida Senate casting lots to make a

1 decision, and I feel like those decisions and 2 those words have to be said here today. 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 SENATOR GAETZ: Leader Gardiner. 5 SENATOR GARDINER: Thank you, Mr. 6 Chairman, and just to address the comments from 7 Senator Diaz de la Portilla, I think it is 8 important to realize that I think we fully went 9 into this Committee with an understanding that 10 there would be an amendment to draw the numbers 11 out and that there would be some type of a 12 proposal to the floor, or potentially a lottery or whatever we want to call it, but this 13 particular recommendation and suggestion is not 14 from the Chairman, it is actually from me as 15 16 the Leader of the Republicans, and, of course, Leader Rich. And the concept behind it -- it 17 18 is important to me that we go to the floor and every member know everything about that map, 19

it is done, that they can draft an amendment to do that.

20

21

22

23

they know the changes that we have made, they

know the numbering that we made, and then they

have an objection to the numbering system, how

can determine if by five o'clock today they

So, again, I want to thank Leader Rich for 1 2 working together. I think this is about as open as it can be, that we go ahead and put 3 4 something in place. And I actually agree with Senator Storms, I have some personal 5 6 convictions and reservations about casting 7 lots, but this would at least give us an 8 opportunity for those that want to come up with 9 something different, that they could do it by 10 five o'clock. So, you know, there's always a 11 process in the Committee that you have to just 12 understand the will of the majority, and here 13 is where we are. 14 Mr. Chairman, I -- at your recommendation, but I would suggest just to Chairman Thrasher's 15 point, if there is a need to meet longer than 16 two o'clock, that you would need to do that 17 prior to noon, I believe, according to Chairman 18 Thrasher. So I think if we are going to move 19 20 forward, we probably need to set some time, 21 maybe 11:30-ish, to give everybody time, and 22 then if there is any issues and we feel like we need more time for committee, then we can do 23

recommendation going forward.

24

25

that prior to noon, but that would be my

1	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Leader.
2	Senator Evers. Just a second, we will get to
3	you.
4	SENATOR EVERS: Mr. Chairman, members of
5	the Committee, you know, we were elected to
6	come up here to represent the people, to be
7	fair in our representation of the people in the
8	State of Florida. I cannot believe that we
9	would get down to as, quote Senator Storms,
10	Senator Gardiner, of casting lots on districts.
11	I feel like that, you know, there should be
12	equal proposal of addressing left to right,
13	north to south and just numbering the
14	districts.
15	What my concern is is if the Panhandle or
16	south Florida or Jacksonville area were to get
17	all even numbers or all odd numbers, it would
18	interfere in those areas those particular
19	areas that wound up with that would lose some
20	experience in this process because of term
21	limits itself, and with that, I cannot support
22	the idea of just casting lots and when our
23	Constitution says that we shall use consecutive
24	numbers. And, to me, using consecutive numbers

are numbers that are in order, and our map

1	makes some sense, whether it be north to south,
2	south to north or east to west. And with that,
3	I cannot support this idea. Thank you.
4	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much,
5	Senator Evers.
6	Senator Sobel and then Senator Latvala.
7	SENATOR SOBEL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
8	I believe with the extensive discussion
9	that we have had, we haven't really come up
10	with an alternative process. And the lottery
11	is legal in the state of Florida, so there
12	shouldn't be any hesitation on our parts
13	whether it is legal or not legal.
14	Senator Smith brought up a good point
15	about starting with the south in terms of
16	choosing the numbers, and I think we should do
17	a coin toss with that regard so it is totally
18	random and there's no preconceived notions.
19	In addition, I would like to again address
20	the issue of people who were term limited. It
21	was not addressed in your process I mean,
22	the process we are going to go through. In
23	some way, we need to address that. Thank you.
24	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much,
25	Senator Sobel.

1	Senator Latvala.
2	SENATOR LATVALA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
3	I think Senator Storms makes a very cogent
4	point for me, the example that this sets for
5	the rest of the state, and so I join her in
6	opposing this idea.
7	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator
8	Latvala. Is there further debate? Is there
9	further debate?
10	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Mr. Chair?
11	SENATOR GAETZ: You have already been
12	heard in debate.
13	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: It's not
14	debate, it's a point.
15	SENATOR GAETZ: A point? Please state
16	your point.
17	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Mr. Chair, I
18	would like to state a point of order. I think
19	this motion is in the form of an amendment. It
20	would be a late-filed amendment since it wasn't
21	on our agenda, wasn't submitted beforehand that
22	we would have the quick pick today, and so I
23	would say I would object and raise that
24	point, Mr. Chair, this is a last-minute,

late-filed amendment that would require a

1	two-thirds vote.
2	SENATOR GAETZ: That is an excellent
3	observation, except that this is not an
4	amendment. This is simply a request by the
5	Chairman of the Committee to the Majority and
6	Minority Leaders that they provide input to an
7	amendment that would be offered on the floor
8	tomorrow. The amendment that would be voted or
9	tomorrow would be would lay out the results
10	of what is being done today. And so,
11	therefore, your point is not well taken.
12	Are there further comments? Yes, Senator
13	Montford.
14	SENATOR MONTFORD: I just want to make
15	sure we have not decided to do the lotto
16	thing yet, we haven't voted on that, correct?
17	Or that is
18	SENATOR GAETZ: We have not
19	SENATOR MONTFORD: Has that decision been
20	made?
21	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Montford, here is
22	my understanding of where we are from a
23	parliamentary standpoint, and I am sure the
24	Rules Chair will correct me if I am wrong: I
25	have indicated that I intend to file an

amendment, a timely-filed amendment, tomorrow. 1 2 The Minority and Majority Leaders have, at my -- I have asked them if they would assist in 3 determining the methodology and how that 4 5 timely-filed amendment would be laid out. 6 have indicated that they have already met and that they would -- that they intend to 7 8 undertake to provide through a -- through a 9 random system the numbers that they would 10 advise me to put in that amendment that would 11 be voted on tomorrow. What we will vote on in this Committee now 12 is an advisory vote on the -- on the 13 Gardiner/Rich motion, which is to, within the 14 15 next hour, bring the Secretary of the Senate to 16 this room, and through a random process as described by Craig a few moments ago, assign 17 18 those numbers. The numbers would then go into my amendment. You can vote my amendment up or 19 20 down tomorrow on the floor. You can offer an 21 alternative to my amendment. As Senator Evers 22 indicated, this should -- you know, there ought to be an opportunity for alternatives. 23 24 Senator Evers has an alternative system that he

would like to propose to the amendment that I

1	would offer using the input from the Minority
2	and Majority Leaders, he is welcome to do so,
3	as is Senator Storms or any other member of
4	this Committee. That is where we are from a
5	parliamentary standpoint.
6	SENATOR MONTFORD: May I ask a question,
7	please?
8	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, you certainly may.
9	SENATOR MONTFORD: So, Mr. Chair, your
LO	amendment tomorrow then would incorporate
L1	whatever the numbers that were generated
L2	today?
L3	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, sir, yes, sir, and if
L4	you don't like that system, if you don't like
L5	those numbers, if you are just having a bad
L6	day, you can vote no or you can offer an
L7	alternative.
L8	SENATOR MONTFORD: Voting but we will
L9	vote it makes me nervous that we got this
20	far down the road, and you have worked harder
21	than anybody up here, and your staff, to get
22	this far, almost a year, and then, you know,
23	think have the potential of falling apart at
24	the very end, and that is what that is what
25	makes me really nervous

1	SENATOR GAETZ: What do you think is
2	falling apart, Senator Montford?
3	SENATOR MONTFORD: Well, if we get
4	SENATOR GAETZ: We have already passed the
5	PCB.
6	SENATOR MONTFORD: Well, if we get to the
7	floor tomorrow and your amendment is voted
8	down, then we will be subjected tomorrow, I
9	assume, to amendments on the floor which hasn't
10	had the value of this discussion that we have
11	had today.
12	SENATOR GAETZ: Well, but we that is
13	true, but as Senator Latvala properly points
14	out, amendments are allowed, and I am sure
15	there are Senators who have already said they
16	don't like the idea of random selection, they
17	like the idea of a different system, I am
18	confident that there will be amendments filed
19	that will be timely filed and that will be
20	properly debated and discussed on the floor of
21	the Senate tomorrow, just as we have indicated
22	in the schedule that the Senate agreed to and
23	that we have published and adhered to.
24	SENATOR MONTFORD: Thank you.
25	SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Sachs for what

1 purpose?

Mr. Chairman, I would just 2 SENATOR SACHS: like to say -- comment on the procedure that 3 you are conducting today, and I think that it 4 is a good procedure, because I think that there 5 6 are 40 Senators, this numbering system affects 7 every Senator in the state, whether they are in 8 this Committee or not. You have given every 9 Senator in this state ample opportunity to file 10 amendments tomorrow on the floor. This is an 11 issue that should be openly discussed amongst 12 all the members, all the Senators, all 40 13 districts, and I think that this is a proper 14 procedure to move forward on, and I just wanted to make that comment, because I think even 15 16 though I might have voted no on the PCB, I 17 think the procedure that we are following today 18 is that which will give everyone an opportunity to weigh in on a numbering system that affects 19 not only the 40 Senators, but 40 Senators for 20 21 the next ten years. I think it is very, very 22 important, and I absolutely agree with the 23 procedure and I would like to move forward, 24 sir.

25 SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Leader Rich, so

1	that we will all know what you and Leader
2	Gardiner are proposing, would you please state
3	your motion? It is not in the form of an
4	amendment. It is in the form of a motion as to
5	a procedure we would use for filling in what
6	will be a Chairman's amendment tomorrow. And,
7	Leader Rich, would you please make your motion?
8	SENATOR RICH: If you would just tell me
9	what time you would like us to reconvene to do
LO	this, I will make the motion.
L1	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Rules Chair, what
L2	would you suggest?
L3	SENATOR THRASHER: I didn't hear the
L4	question.
L5	SENATOR GAETZ: The question is what time
L6	should we reconvene.
L7	SENATOR RICH: What time do we reconvene
L8	to do this drawing?
L9	SENATOR GAETZ: One hour, or 11:30?
20	SENATOR THRASHER: 11:30.
21	SENATOR GAETZ: 11:30 a.m., ma'am.
22	SENATOR RICH: Okay. I move that at 11:30
23	the Reapportionment Committee reconvene for the
24	purpose of determining the numbers that will be

affixed to the districts in the form of a

```
random drawing -- in the form of a random
 1
 2
          drawing.
                               The numbers that would be
 3
               SENATOR GAETZ:
          affixed in the Gaetz amendment tomorrow?
 4
               SENATOR RICH: In the Gaetz amendment
 5
 6
          tomorrow, correct.
 7
               SENATOR GAETZ: And, Leader Gardiner, do
 8
          you co-sponsor that motion?
 9
               The motion is before you.
                                          Is there
10
          further debate? Is there further debate?
11
          Senator Simmons.
12
               SENATOR SIMMONS: I am raising a question,
13
          and I am -- and this is because I am just
          thinking, and that is that -- which is
14
          dangerous, it is scary.
15
16
               SENATOR GAETZ: Senator Simmons, when you
          are thinking, we need to pack our lunch.
17
                                 That is right. And that
18
               SENATOR SIMMONS:
          is that the Constitution requires that we
19
20
          number consecutively. The process that we are
          talking about doing could result in the
21
22
          numbering, I am just going to give you a
          theoretical, using even/odd, is that from the
23
24
          northwest, it would be 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14,
25
          16, 18 --
```

1	SENATOR GAETZ: Pass those down, please.
2	SENATOR SIMMONS: and 20, and then all
3	of the south Florida would be odds, which is a
4	possibility. And the question then becomes,
5	does that meet the constitutional requirement
6	of consecutive numbering of the districts? And
7	I am just raising a question as we move into
8	this that needs to be thought out and probably
9	analyzed as we as we discuss this.
LO	SENATOR GAETZ: That is an excellent point
L1	and excellent question. What you are being
L2	handed out now, and I think there are copies
L3	for the press, is simply a couple of historical
L4	maps, the '82 and '92 maps governed by this
L5	Constitution. And you will notice, for
L6	example, in the '92 map, which was used until
L7	2002, the districts, looking at northwest
L8	Florida, for example, go from 1 to 7 to 3 to 4
L9	to 5 to 6 to 2 to 8 to 11 to 16 to 14. In the
20	old Senate and in the old way of doing business
21	and in the constitutional method, there has
22	never been there has been consecutive
23	numbering, but there has not been precise
24	numbering that has districts that are exactly
25	next to each other. And so I think the answer

1	to your question is that we are on fairly solid
2	ground with that.
3	Mr. Bardos.
4	MR. BARDOS: The Florida Supreme Court in
5	its decision in '82 or '92, I don't remember
6	which, decided that consecutive numbers the
7	consecutive numbering requirement does not mean
8	that adjacent districts must have consecutive
9	numbers. So as long as there are consecutive
LO	numbers somewhere on the map, they don't need
L1	to be the districts don't need to be
L2	abutting each other.
L3	SENATOR SIMMONS: Mr. Chairman, Mr.
L4	Chairman all I was doing is raising
L5	SENATOR GAETZ: A very good point.
L6	SENATOR SIMMONS: the issue so that we
L7	will have deliberated that in the process of
L8	doing this, and I feel comfortable, based upon
L9	Mr. Bardos' statements and your statements, Mr.
20	Chair, that we have analyzed that and
21	deliberated on it.
22	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you very much.
23	Are there further comments on the
24	Rich/Gardiner motion?

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: I have a

```
1
          question.
 2.
               SENATOR GAETZ: President Margolis. Just
 3
          a moment, we will get to you, Senator Diaz de
 4
          la Portilla.
               SENATOR MARGOLIS: I am trying to -- if
 5
 6
          the people are not here, they are not the ones
 7
          that are choosing these little balls, are they?
 8
          T mean --
 9
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               The intent, I think, and
10
          Leader Rich and Leader Gardiner can correct me,
11
          my belief is the intent is that this will be
12
          conducted by the Secretary of the Senate --
13
               SENATOR MARGOLIS: And it will be her --
14
               SENATOR GAETZ: -- but it will be -- yes,
15
          ma'am.
16
               SENATOR MARGOLIS: She will say in
          District 1 --
17
18
               SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, ma'am.
19
               SENATOR MARGOLIS: In listing this
20
          District 1, the number will -- this will be odd
21
          or this will be even?
22
               SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, ma'am, just as was
23
          shown in the demonstration by Mr. Meyer.
24
               Senator Diaz de la Portilla.
```

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

Thank you,

SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA:

- 1 Mr. Chairman.
- Just a question to Mr. Bardos. Is there
- 3 anything in -- you just mentioned that, in
- 4 response to Senator Simmons' question, that the
- 5 numbering doesn't need to -- while the
- 6 numbering has to be sequential, it does not
- 7 require -- case law does not require that
- 8 districts be adjacent to each other in
- 9 sequence. Is there anything that could
- 10 potentially change that with the Fair Districts
- 11 amendment, because I think that -- that
- 12 predates Fair Districts, or the case that you
- may have mentioned?
- 14 SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Bardos.
- MR. BARDOS: I don't believe so. The
- 16 requirement that districts be consecutively
- 17 numbered is in Article III, Section 16(a), I
- 18 believe, and that was not amended by the Fair
- 19 Districts amendment, and the Fair Districts
- amendment doesn't otherwise speak to numbering,
- 21 except to the extent that it is involved in the
- 22 prohibition against an intent to favor or
- disfavor.
- 24 SENATOR GAETZ: A good question, and good
- 25 that we should know that prior to taking any

1	further action.
2	Any other comments or questions, points of
3	procedure, debate on the Rich/Gardiner motion?
4	If not, the secretary will call the roll.
5	I'm sorry, did I miss someone? The secretary
6	will please call the roll.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Altman?
8	SENATOR ALTMAN: No.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Benacquisto?
10	Senator Braynon?
11	SENATOR BRAYNON: Yes.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Bullard?
13	Senator Dean?
14	SENATOR DEAN: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Detert?
16	SENATOR DETERT: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Senator Diaz de la Portilla?
18	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: No.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Evers?
20	SENATOR EVERS: No.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Flores?
22	SENATOR FLORES: Yes.
23	THE CLERK: Senator Garcia?
24	Senator Gardiner?

SENATOR GARDINER: Yes.

1	THE CLERK: Senator Gibson?
2	SENATOR GIBSON: Yes.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Hays?
4	SENATOR HAYS: Yes.
5	THE CLERK: Senator Joyner?
6	SENATOR JOYNER: Yes.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Latvala?
8	SENATOR LATVALA: No.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Lynn?
10	SENATOR LYNN: Yes.
11	THE CLERK: Senator Montford?
12	SENATOR MONTFORD: Yes.
13	THE CLERK: Senator Negron?
14	SENATOR NEGRON: Yes.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Rich?
16	SENATOR RICH: Yes.
17	THE CLERK: Senator Sachs?
18	SENATOR SACHS: Yes.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Simmons?
20	SENATOR SIMMONS: Yes.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Siplin?
22	SENATOR SIPLIN: No.
23	THE CLERK: Senator Smith?
2.4	SENATOR SMITH: Yes

THE CLERK: Senator Sobel?

1	SENATOR SOBEL: Yes.
2	THE CLERK: Senator Storms?
3	SENATOR STORMS: No.
4	THE CLERK: Senator Thrasher?
5	SENATOR THRASHER: Yes.
6	THE CLERK: Senator Wise?
7	SENATOR WISE: Yes.
8	THE CLERK: Senator Margolis?
9	SENATOR MARGOLIS: Yes.
10	THE CLERK: Senator Gaetz?
11	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes. And by your action,
12	the motion passes, and I would ask the Minority
13	and Majority Leaders then to take the action
14	which is described in the motion. I would ask
15	Mr. Meyer to call for the Secretary of the
16	Senate and her staff to come forward and to be
17	here at 11:30.
18	This Committee stands in recess until
19	11:30 a.m.
20	(Brief recess taken.)
21	SENATOR GAETZ: The Committee will be in
22	order, please. Members of the Committee,
23	please take your seats. Members of the press
24	and the public, please find a place to be.

We welcome Secretary of the Senate and

1	members of her staff, able members of her
2	staff. And, Madam Secretary, would you please
3	explain what you are about to do? And do we
4	have all members of the Committee, if you will
5	give the Secretary your attention.
6	Madam Secretary.
7	SECRETARY BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
8	We are prepared with 40 balls, numbered
9	consecutively from 1 to 40. We are prepared
10	with 20 green and 20 white. They will be
11	loaded into these two machines. The staff will
12	take simultaneously rotations to mix and drop a
13	ball from each machine, which I will then
14	present to the Committee, outlining whether it
15	is a the Senate district and what color, at
16	which time Mr. Guthrie will place the
17	appropriate sticker to the map and we will
18	place that in this tray for your review as we
19	proceed through the 40 districts.
20	SENATOR GAETZ: Okay. Have the Majority
21	Leader and Minority Leader satisfied themselves
22	that there are indeed 40 balls up here, that
23	there are 20 green and 20 white? Are you
24	satisfied, Madam Minority Leader?
25	SENATOR RICH: Yes.

1	SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Majority Leader, are
2	you satisfied? Senator Dean, you have a
3	question?
4	SENATOR DEAN: White and green, which
5	one's odd and which one's even?
6	SENATOR GAETZ: There is a sign there
7	is a sign at the front of the podium, in front
8	of the Secretary, that says green are even and
9	white are odd.
10	Are there any questions as to the
11	procedure as to what is going to occur?
12	SENATOR THRASHER: Mr. Chairman?
13	SENATOR GAETZ: Yes, of course, Mr. Rules
14	Chair.
15	SENATOR THRASHER: I suggest a quorum and
16	call the roll of the members.
17	SENATOR GAETZ: The Rules Chair suggests
18	the absence of a quorum. Please call the roll.
19	THE CLERK: Senator Gaetz?
20	SENATOR GAETZ: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Margolis?
22	SENATOR MARGOLIS: Here.
23	THE CLERK: Senator Altman?
24	SENATOR ALTMAN: Here.
25	THE CIEDK: Senator Benacquisto?

1	SENATOR BENACQUISTO: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Senator Braynon?
3	SENATOR BRAYNON: Here.
4	THE CLERK: Senator Bullard?
5	Senator Dean?
6	SENATOR DEAN: Here.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Detert?
8	SENATOR DETERT: Here.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Diaz de la Portilla?
10	SENATOR DIAZ DE LA PORTILLA: Here.
11	THE CLERK: Senator Evers?
12	SENATOR EVERS: Here.
13	THE CLERK: Senator Flores?
14	SENATOR FLORES: Here.
15	THE CLERK: Senator Garcia?
16	Senator Gardiner?
17	SENATOR GARDINER: Here.
18	THE CLERK: Senator Gibson?
19	SENATOR GIBSON: Here.
20	THE CLERK: Senator Hays?
21	SENATOR HAYS: Here.
22	THE CLERK: Senator Joyner?
23	SENATOR JOYNER: Here.
24	THE CLERK: Senator Latvala?

SENATOR LATVALA: Here.

1	THE CLERK: Senator Lynn?
2	SENATOR LYNN: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Senator Montford?
4	SENATOR MONTFORD: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Senator Negron?
6	SENATOR NEGRON: Yes, here.
7	THE CLERK: Senator Rich?
8	SENATOR RICH: Here.
9	THE CLERK: Senator Sachs?
10	Senator Simmons?
11	SENATOR SIMMONS: Here.
12	THE CLERK: Senator Siplin?
13	SENATOR SIPLIN: Here.
14	THE CLERK: Senator Smi
15	SENATOR SMITH: Here.
16	THE CLERK: Senator Sobel?
17	SENATOR SOBEL: Here.
18	THE CLERK: Senator Storms?
19	Senator Thrasher?
20	SENATOR THRASHER: Here.
21	THE CLERK: Senator Wise?
22	Quorum is present.
23	SENATOR GAETZ: A quorum being present,
24	the Committee is in order.
25	Are there any questions of procedure that

FOR THE RECORD REPORTING TALLAHASSEE FLORIDA 850.222.5491

```
1
          anyone has at this point?
 2
               If not, Madam Minority Leader,
          Mr. Majority Leader, are you pretty ready to
 3
          proceed with your process?
 4
               Okay. Madam Secretary, please proceed and
 5
 6
          do whatever it is you do.
 7
               SECRETARY BROWN: We will now load the
 8
          bins with the appropriate balls.
 9
               SENATOR GAETZ: Yeah, district numbers.
10
          You're going to pull the district number out
11
          and then pull the red and green. They pull the
          district number out, and then if that is the
12
          district, it is determined to either be odd or
13
14
          even, and then they put odd or even on the map.
               Sergeant Severance, would you make sure
15
16
          the front of the room is secured, please,
17
          during this time?
               SECRETARY BROWN: Mr. Chairman, we will be
18
          drawing for State Senate Plan S000S9016.
19
20
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               That is correct.
21
               SECRETARY BROWN: Okay. We are ready.
22
          Three to mix and one to draw.
               District 18 is green.
23
24
               District 27 is white.
```

District 22 is green.

1	SENATOR STORMS: Point of order. Point of
2	order.
3	SENATOR GAETZ: What is the point?
4	SENATOR STORMS: The point is this is a
5	violation of Florida Statutes 849.08, and I
6	would like an Attorney General's opinion
7	whether or not this is gambling. According to
8	849.08, Florida Statutes, "Whoever plays or
9	engages in any game at cards, keno, roulette,
10	faro or other game of chance at any place by
11	any device whatever for money or other thing of
12	value shall be guilty of a misdemeanor of the
13	second degree, punishable as provided in
14	775.082 or 775.083."
15	The other thing of value is the difference
16	between two years and four years, a difference
17	in salary between two years and four years,
18	that is my point, and I would like an Attorney
19	General's opinion. Thank you.
20	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator Storms.
21	Your point will be referred to the Attorney
22	General.
23	Please continue, Madam Secretary.
24	SENATOR STORMS: Mr. Chair, point of

order. Point of order.

1	SENATOR GAETZ: Excuse me, stop, stop.
2	Yes, Senator Storms.
3	SENATOR STORMS: The person who is
4	subjected to the point and who is guilty of the
5	misdemeanor are the three people standing in
6	front of us, the Secretary and the two helpers,
7	and so they are the persons who will be guilty
8	of the misdemeanor and not us.
9	SENATOR GAETZ: Thank you, Senator Storms,
10	for your legal opinion. This is being referred
11	to the Attorney General, as you asked.
12	Please continue.
13	SECRETARY BROWN: District 26 is white.
14	District 20 is green.
15	District 32 is green.
16	District 13 is green.
17	District 37 is green.
18	SENATOR GAETZ: Stop, please. What is
19	your question?
20	A VOICE: My question is, are the green
21	balls from the factory in that color, or are
22	the green balls painted, therefore, making them
23	heavier than the white balls so that they will
24	fall to the bottom more than the white?
25	SENATOR GAETZ: We asked the Minority

```
1
          Leader and Majority Leader to satisfy
          themselves as to the fairness of the method
 2
          that they had suggested. I would suggest you
 3
 4
          contact your Leader and inquire as to that
 5
          question.
 6
               Please continue.
 7
               SENATOR RICH: Mr. Chair? My
          understanding is now from the General Counsel
 8
 9
          that they were ordered in that color.
10
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Thank you very much,
11
          Leader.
               Please continue.
12
13
               SECRETARY BROWN: District 19 is white.
14
               District 17 is green.
15
               District 24 is green.
               District 30 is white.
16
               District 16 is white.
17
               District 11 is white.
18
               District 34 is white.
19
20
               District 10 is white.
21
               District 28 is green.
22
               District 33 is green.
               District 2 is white.
23
24
               District 15 is white.
```

District 4 is green.

```
1
               District 14 is green.
 2
               District 29 is white.
               District 36 is white.
 3
               District 25 is green.
 4
 5
               District 39 is white.
 6
               District 38 is green.
               District 6 is white.
 7
 8
               District 23 is green.
               District 21 is green.
 9
10
               District 12 is green.
11
               District 3 is white.
               District 5 is white.
12
13
               District 8 is green.
14
               District 31 is white.
15
               District 35 is white.
16
               District 1 is green.
               District 40 is white.
17
18
               District 7 is white.
               District 9 is green, and that completes
19
20
          your random selection process, Mr. Chairman.
               SENATOR GAETZ:
21
                               Thank you very much.
               Let me forecast for the Committee what we
22
23
          will do next. I will work with the Majority
24
          and Minority Leaders to craft an amendment,
25
          which will be offered on the floor tomorrow,
```

```
1
          which will follow the numbering system that --
 2
          I'm sorry, the odd/even system that has been
          laid out here in this random selection.
 3
               Mr. Guthrie, now that numbers mean less in
 4
          this random selection than do the odds and the
 5
 6
          evens, Mr. Guthrie will in front of the
 7
          Committee go through and number the districts,
 8
          starting in northwest Florida and moving to the
 9
          south. And, again, I point out that there is
10
          no relevance now to the numbers unless you have
          a favorite number, and my favorite number,
11
          unfortunately, is not possible for me to get,
12
          but then we will take that amendment to the
13
          floor. We will timely file that amendment
14
          today by three o'clock so that it is available
15
16
          for the press, the public and other Senators,
          and I will -- then, of course, we will welcome
17
18
          any counter-proposals that also might be filed.
               Mr. Guthrie, under everyone's watchful
19
20
          eye, why don't you place numbers on the
21
          districts?
22
               SENATOR HAYS: Mr. Chairman?
23
               SENATOR GAETZ:
                               Yes, sir.
24
               SENATOR HAYS: Would you please reiterate
```

once again what the Constitution calls for in

```
1
          two-year terms versus four-year terms?
 2.
               SENATOR GAETZ: Mr. Guthrie -- I'm sorry,
          Mr. Bardos, could you recall for Senator Hays
 3
 4
          on the Committee what the Constitution calls
          for?
 5
 6
               MR. BARDOS: Members elected from
 7
          odd-numbered districts will run for four years,
 8
          and members elected from even numbers will run
 9
          for two years.
10
               SENATOR HAYS: We can't hear you.
11
               MR. BARDOS: So odd is four years, and
12
          even is two years.
               SENATOR GAETZ: Did everyone hear that?
13
14
               Please continue, Mr. Guthrie.
15
               These then become the new numbers assigned
16
          to the districts, and it has already been
17
          determined by random selection whether those
          districts are even-numbered or odd-numbered
18
          districts.
19
20
               MR. GUTHRIE: You keep track of evens,
          Jay, you keep track of odds, so I am going to
21
          -- so tell me the next one.
22
               SENATOR GAETZ: While we are waiting for
23
24
          Mr. Guthrie to finish taking the numbers onto
25
          the map and offer the map onto the documents
```

1	that will be used in preparation of the
2	amendment, I am prepared to rule on the point
3	of order raised by Senator Storms. Senator
4	Storms has raised a point of order that the
5	procedure followed this morning in selecting
6	State Senate districts and assigning numbers
7	constitutes gambling, and as such, violates
8	state law. The purpose of the process followed
9	this morning achieves a legislative purpose and
10	applies a procedure based on a decision by the
11	Committee. Insofar as legislative rules are
12	established to accomplish a purely procedural
13	purpose, there is no violation of law. No
14	member stands to gain or lose more than any
15	other member under the random process agreed to
16	this morning by the Committee. Furthermore,
17	there is nothing in the rules of the Senate
18	that contradict the process agreed to this
19	morning, and consequently, Senator Storms'
20	point is not well-taken. However, Senator
21	Storms has every right, just as any Senator
22	does, or any citizen of Florida does, to
23	request an opinion of the Attorney General.
24	Are there any questions as to that?
25	SENATOR THRASHER: Mr. Chairman, I assume

1	you then would rule the point out of order?
2	SENATOR GAETZ: The point is out of order,
3	the point is not well-taken.
4	And following as soon as we adjourn, I
5	would ask the Majority Leader, Senator
6	Gardiner, and the Minority Leader, Senator
7	Rich, and also I would ask Senator Smith if he
8	could remain just for a moment so that here we
9	might just make sure we are all together on the
10	styling of the amendment for timely filing.
11	I would point out to the Committee that
12	neither yesterday nor today did any member of
13	the public turn in a speaker card, so we did
14	not have any requests for appearance before the
15	Committee, and consequently, there was no
16	public testimony. However, I would mention
17	again that we did send out e-mails to all of
18	those who gave us their e-mail addresses in our
19	public hearings, as well as those who contacted
20	us in various ways and left their e-mail
21	addresses, and we have gotten some feedback,
22	and we would urge you to go to the
23	redistricting website, with which you are all
24	familiar, and look at that feedback that we
25	have gotten from those with for whom we work

1	before we cast any votes tomorrow on the floor.
2	Are you concluded with your work, Mr.
3	Guthrie?
4	MR. GUTHRIE: Almost.
5	SENATOR GAETZ: All right. I know some of
6	those districts are hard to write numbers into
7	because they're small on the map.
8	Yes. Senator Hays will now sing.
9	Are we concluded with our business? Okay.
10	We have a record of the meeting, obviously,
11	that was the signal part of the meeting, and we
12	have concluded, I believe, all of the other
13	business. And, again, to let the committee
14	members know what will happen next, I will ask
15	the Majority Leader and the Minority Leader and
16	Senator Smith to remain afterwards, and right
17	here we will make sure that we are together on
18	what the amendment will state tomorrow. Any
19	member of the Senate may file an amendment for
20	a counter-proposal or may amend the amendment
21	in any way, as long as they are within the
22	rules of the Senate.
23	Is there further business to come before
24	the Senate Committee on Reapportionment? If

not, with great thanks to Leader Rich and

1	Leader	Gardiner,	Senator	Montford	moves	we
2	rise.					
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	CERTIFICATE
2	STATE OF FLORIDA )
3	COUNTY OF LEON )
4	I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript
5	is of a tape-recording taken down by the undersigned,
6	and the contents thereof were reduced to typewriting
7	under my direction;
8	That the foregoing pages 2 through 165
9	represent a true, correct, and complete transcript of
10	the tape- recording;
11	And I further certify that I am not of kin or
12	counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the
13	regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor
14	am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.
15	Dated this 26th day of March, 2012.
16	
17	
18	
19	CLARA C. ROTRUCK
20	Notary Public
21	State of Florida at Large
22	Commission Expires:
23	November 13, 2014
24	
25	