The Florida Senate

COMMITTEE MEETING EXPANDED AGENDA

SELECT SUBCOMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT Senator Bradley, Chair

| MEETING DATE: | Monday, January 10, 2022 |
|---------------|---|
| TIME: | 9:00 a.m.—12:00 noon |
| PLACE: | Pat Thomas Committee Room, 412 Knott Building |

MEMBERS: Senator Bradley, Chair; Senators Bean, Harrell, Rouson, and Stewart

| TAB | BILL NO. and INTRODUCER | BILL DESCRIPTION and SENATE COMMITTEE ACTIONS | COMMITTEE ACTION |
|-----|---------------------------------|--|------------------|
| 1 | Workshop on Congressional Maps | | Presented |
| | | | |
| 2 | Public Comment | | Discussed |
| | Other Related Meeting Documents | | |
| | Other Related Meeting Documents | | |

SUBCOMMITTEE ON CONGRESSIONAL REAPPORTIONMENT

Anter Humanin A

*** JUUUUU

WORKSHOP ON CONGRESSIONAL MAPS

JANUARY 10TH, 2022



- Committee staff has produced another series of redistricting plans.
- Staff followed the instruction from the select subcommittee, and looked for improvements and consistency in the application of the various trade-offs presented in the maps.
- Districts retained the same numbers from the original maps.



- Plans being presented today:
 - Present policy choices for the Select Subcommittee;
 - Show improvements in Tier Two metrics; and
 - Do not retrogress and maintain the ability to for racial and language minorities to participate in the political process and elect candidates of their choice.
 - S000C8036 Four African-American districts, and four Hispanic districts.
 - S000C8038 Four African-American districts, and four Hispanic districts.
 - S000C8040 Four African-American districts, and four Hispanic districts.
 - S000C8042 Four African-American districts, and four Hispanic districts.



- Consistent application among the various trade-offs results in a narrowing of some of the options previously workshopped.
- Co-equal criteria outlined in the tier-two standards of Article III, Section 20 of the Florida Constitution and the directives issued by the Committee on October 18, 2021 were balanced to be consistent and to apply similar treatment across different areas of the state.
- As with the plans previously workshopped, a functional analysis of the minority districts in each plan confirms that they do not diminish the ability for racial and language minorities to elect candidates of their choice.



- Plans are published and available on <u>www.floridaredistricting.gov</u>, where they may be viewed interactively or downloaded from the <u>Submitted Plans</u> page for independent analysis:
 - <u>S000C8036</u>
 - <u>S000C8038</u>
 - <u>S000C8040</u>
 - <u>S000C8042</u>
- Plan packets are part of the meeting materials published by the committee and available on the Select Subcommittee's page:
 - <u>Select Subcommittee on Congressional Reapportionment</u>



Congressional Districts 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5





Congressional Districts 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5

| | | | | | | | Plans | S000C803 | 6, SOOOC8 | 038, S000 | C8040, an | nd S000C8 | 042 | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|------|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | g Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | nties: | Citi | ies: | | Politio | cal and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries | |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | ation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 29,464 | 2,488 | 0.75 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 28 | 13 | 120 | 11 | 12% | 76% | 17% | 39% | 1% | 4% |
| 1 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.55% | 6.69% | 4,416 | 329 | 0.87 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 2% | 81% | 14% | 55% | 0% | 2% |
| 2 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.32% | 6.21% | 15,879 | 884 | 0.71 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 15 | 5 | 55 | 4 | 4% | 76% | 19% | 47% | 0% | 4% |
| 3 | 0 | 0.00% | 16.08% | 10.45% | 3,864 | 308 | 0.88 | 0.51 | 0.70 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 17% | 74% | 15% | 24% | 1% | 8% |
| 4 | 0 | 0.00% | 10.79% | 9.27% | 1,553 | 332 | 0.66 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 24% | 73% | 17% | 54% | 2% | 3% |
| 5 | 0 | 0.00% | 43.73% | 9.04% | 3,753 | 635 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 12% | 74% | 22% | 13% | 0% | 2% |

| | | | | | | | | Pla | ns S000C8 | 3018 and 9 | 5000C802 | 2 | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|---------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|------------|----------|-------|-------|------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | ng Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | nties: | Citi | ies: | | Politi | cal and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries | |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | lation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 29,459 | 2,518 | 0.74 | 0.29 | 0.37 | 27 | 14 | 119 | 12 | 12% | 75% | 18% | 38% | 0% | 3% |
| 1 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.54% | 6.68% | 4,416 | 338 | 0.87 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 5% | 78% | 11% | 54% | 0% | 4% |
| 2 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.67% | 6.23% | 15,802 | 871 | 0.72 | 0.26 | 0.31 | 15 | 5 | 56 | 4 | 5% | 75% | 19% | 46% | 0% | 3% |
| 3 | 0 | 0.00% | 15.78% | 10.42% | 3,935 | 342 | 0.82 | 0.42 | 0.56 | 4 | 2 | 25 | 3 | 15% | 73% | 22% | 25% | 0% | 5% |
| 4 | 0 | 0.00% | 10.79% | 9.27% | 1,553 | 332 | 0.66 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 24% | 73% | 17% | 54% | 2% | 3% |
| 5 | 0 | 0.00% | 43.73% | 9.04% | 3,753 | 635 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 12% | 74% | 22% | 13% | 0% | 2% |



Congressional Districts 11 and 28





Congressional Districts 11 and 28

| | | | | | | | Plans | S000C803 | 6, S000C8 | 038, S000 | C8040, an | d S000C8 | 042 | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|----------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | Devi | iation | Votir | ig Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | nties: | Cit | ies: | | Politio | cal and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries: | |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popu | lation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 4,883 | 625 | 0.77 | 0.32 | 0.39 | 3 | 3 | 36 | 5 | 9% | 80% | 11% | 32% | 1% | 6% |
| 11 | 0 | 0.00% | 8.61% | 10.59% | 2,643 | 349 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 12% | 70% | 19% | 38% | 1% | 7% |
| 28 | 0 | 0.00% | 14.78% | 23.18% | 2,240 | 276 | 0.85 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 5% | 90% | 3% | 26% | 0% | 5% |

| | | | | | | | | Pla | ns S000C8 | 8020 and S | 000C8024 | 4 | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|------------|----------|-------|-------|------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | ig Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | ities: | Citi | ies: | | Politio | cal and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries: | |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | ation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 4,883 | 592 | 0.82 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 2 | 5 | 35 | 7 | 7% | 76% | 17% | 33% | 1% | 8% |
| 11 | 0 | 0.00% | 8.58% | 10.50% | 2,540 | 318 | 0.77 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 11% | 64% | 21% | 40% | 1% | 9% |
| 28 | 0 | 0.00% | 14.82% | 23.29% | 2,343 | 274 | 0.87 | 0.39 | 0.42 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 3% | 87% | 13% | 26% | 0% | 6% |



Congressional Districts 7, 9, and 10





Congressional Districts 7, 9, and 10

| | | | | | | | | Pla | ns S000C8 | 8036 and S | 000008038 | 8 | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | ig Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | ties: | Citi | es: | | Politio | cal and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries: | |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | ation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 2,783 | 480 | 0.86 | 0.43 | 0.55 | 2 | 4 | 18 | 11 | 9% | 61% | 18% | 27% | 3% | 16% |
| 7 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.17% | 23.77% | 490 | 120 | 0.84 | 0.43 | 0.66 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 8% | 41% | 11% | 29% | 4% | 39% |
| 9 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.72% | 51.89% | 1,848 | 252 | 0.86 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3% | 76% | 18% | 31% | 0% | 6% |
| 10 | 0 | 0.00% | 28.58% | 23.29% | 445 | 107 | 0.87 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 16% | 65% | 25% | 22% | 5% | 4% |

| | | | | | | | | Pla | ns S000C8 | 8040 and 9 | 000008042 | 2 | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|------|---------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | g Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | ties: | Citi | ies: | | Politio | cal and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries: | |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | ation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 2,783 | 468 | 0.88 | 0.46 | 0.55 | 2 | 4 | 17 | 13 | 7% | 62% | 22% | 29% | 0% | 15% |
| 7 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.32% | 25.39% | 490 | 113 | 0.88 | 0.49 | 0.66 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 6% | 43% | 19% | 32% | 0% | 34% |
| 9 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.81% | 50.24% | 1,840 | 253 | 0.86 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2% | 76% | 17% | 31% | 0% | 8% |
| 10 | 0 | 0.00% | 28.33% | 23.38% | 453 | 103 | 0.89 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 14% | 68% | 31% | 23% | 0% | 2% |



Congressional Districts 18, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 (vertical orientation)





Congressional Districts 18, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 (vertical orientation)

| | | | | | | | | Pla | ns S000C8 | 036 and S | 000C8042 | 2 | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|------|---------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | g Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | ties: | Citi | es: | | Politic | al and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries | : |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | ation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 5,304 | 916 | 0.80 | 0.38 | 0.39 | 2 | 11 | 74 | 44 | 28% | 37% | 27% | 30% | 3% | 15% |
| 18 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.22% | 14.87% | 1,730 | 225 | 0.76 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 7% | 70% | 11% | 48% | 0% | 10% |
| 20 | 0 | 0.00% | 50.04% | 22.15% | 2,556 | 315 | 0.81 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 22% | 42% | 23% | 11% | 4% | 15% |
| 21 | 0 | 0.00% | 15.56% | 24.23% | 261 | 103 | 0.64 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 38% | 4% | 42% | 2% | 5% | 27% |
| 22 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.73% | 21.59% | 342 | 125 | 0.82 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 7 | 20% | 42% | 29% | 49% | 6% | 9% |
| 23 | 0 | 0.00% | 16.90% | 42.18% | 239 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 53% | 30% | 28% | 21% | 0% | 10% |
| 24 | 0 | 0.00% | 42.02% | 37.76% | 176 | 68 | 0.90 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 26% | 36% | 29% | 46% | 1% | 18% |

| | | | | | | | | Pla | in S000C8 | 018 and S | 000C8024 | Ļ | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|-------|------|---------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | ig Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | nties: | Citi | es: | | Politic | al and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries | : |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | ation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 5,304 | 967 | 0.79 | 0.36 | 0.41 | 2 | 11 | 65 | 63 | 25% | 34% | 29% | 24% | 5% | 19% |
| 18 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.12% | 14.82% | 1,741 | 224 | 0.75 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 3% | 70% | 11% | 47% | 0% | 13% |
| 20 | 0 | 0.00% | 51.29% | 22.50% | 2,542 | 337 | 0.80 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 20 | 17% | 39% | 24% | 10% | 7% | 19% |
| 21 | 0 | 0.00% | 15.76% | 24.36% | 259 | 101 | 0.64 | 0.32 | 0.29 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 26% | 5% | 43% | 2% | 6% | 38% |
| 22 | 0 | 0.00% | 11.28% | 21.03% | 375 | 165 | 0.80 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 14 | 13% | 40% | 33% | 42% | 12% | 9% |
| 23 | 0 | 0.00% | 18.49% | 42.55% | 210 | 71 | 0.85 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 66% | 15% | 32% | 0% | 1% | 14% |
| 24 | 0 | 0.00% | 42.14% | 37.69% | 176 | 70 | 0.89 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 24% | 35% | 28% | 45% | 2% | 20% |



Congressional Districts 18, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 (horizontal orientation)





Congressional Districts 18, 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 (horizontal orientation)

| | | | | | | | | Pla | ns S000C8 | 8038 and S | 6000C8040 | 0 | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|------|---------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | g Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | ities: | Citi | ies: | | Politic | al and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries | : |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | ation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 5,304 | 891 | 0.81 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 2 | 10 | 75 | 40 | 29% | 38% | 22% | 34% | 3% | 15% |
| 18 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.22% | 14.87% | 1,730 | 225 | 0.76 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 7% | 70% | 11% | 48% | 0% | 10% |
| 20 | 0 | 0.00% | 50.04% | 22.15% | 2,556 | 315 | 0.81 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 22% | 42% | 23% | 11% | 4% | 15% |
| 21 | 0 | 0.00% | 16.53% | 25.39% | 345 | 98 | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 34% | 25% | 23% | 39% | 0% | 20% |
| 22 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.74% | 20.40% | 259 | 106 | 0.78 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 32% | 25% | 18% | 36% | 12% | 15% |
| 23 | 0 | 0.00% | 16.90% | 42.18% | 239 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 53% | 30% | 28% | 21% | 0% | 10% |
| 24 | 0 | 0.00% | 42.02% | 37.76% | 176 | 68 | 0.90 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 26% | 36% | 29% | 46% | 1% | 18% |

| | | | | | | | | Pla | ns S000C8 | 8020 and 9 | 6000C8022 | 2 | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | ig Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | ities: | Citi | ies: | | Politic | al and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries: | |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | ation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 5,304 | 947 | 0.78 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 2 | 10 | 65 | 61 | 24% | 35% | 26% | 28% | 5% | 18% |
| 18 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.12% | 14.82% | 1,741 | 224 | 0.75 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 3% | 70% | 11% | 47% | 0% | 13% |
| 20 | 0 | 0.00% | 51.29% | 22.50% | 2,542 | 337 | 0.80 | 0.28 | 0.53 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 20 | 17% | 39% | 24% | 10% | 7% | 19% |
| 21 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.79% | 22.85% | 360 | 125 | 0.70 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 8 | 12% | 19% | 33% | 30% | 10% | 27% |
| 22 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.10% | 22.43% | 274 | 121 | 0.69 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 20% | 33% | 25% | 37% | 12% | 16% |
| 23 | 0 | 0.00% | 18.49% | 42.55% | 210 | 71 | 0.85 | 0.53 | 0.57 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 66% | 15% | 32% | 0% | 1% | 14% |
| 24 | 0 | 0.00% | 42.14% | 37.69% | 176 | 70 | 0.89 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 24% | 35% | 28% | 45% | 2% | 20% |



Congressional Districts 21 and 22





Congressional Districts 21 and 22

| | | | | | | | | Pla | ns S000C8 | 8036 and 9 | 5000C8042 | 2 | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|------|---------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | g Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | nties: | Citi | es: | | Politic | cal and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries | |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | ation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 603 | 228 | 0.73 | 0.30 | 0.24 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 12 | 29% | 23% | 36% | 26% | 6% | 18% |
| 21 | 0 | 0.00% | 15.56% | 24.23% | 261 | 103 | 0.64 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 38% | 4% | 42% | 2% | 5% | 27% |
| 22 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.73% | 21.59% | 342 | 125 | 0.82 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 7 | 20% | 42% | 29% | 49% | 6% | 9% |

| | | | | | | | | Pla | ns S000C8 | 8038 and S | 6000C804 | 0 | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-----------|------------|----------|-------|-------|------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Votin | g Age | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | ities: | Citi | es: | | Politic | al and Geo | ographic Bo | oundaries: | |
| Dist. | Total | % | Popul | ation: | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 0 | 0.00% | Black | Hisp. | 603 | 203 | 0.78 | 0.37 | 0.49 | 0 | 3 | 29 | 8 | 33% | 25% | 21% | 38% | 6% | 18% |
| 21 | 0 | 0.00% | 16.53% | 25.39% | 345 | 98 | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 34% | 25% | 23% | 39% | 0% | 20% |
| 22 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.74% | 20.40% | 259 | 106 | 0.78 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 32% | 25% | 18% | 36% | 12% | 15% |



Comparison of Workshopped Congressional Plans

| | | | | | Statev | vide Metri | cs for All W | /orkshopp | ed Congre | essional Plans | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|-------|--------|--------|---------|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|----------------|------|--------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Devi | ation | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Coun | ties: | | Cities: | | Politi | cal and Ge | eographic B | oundaries | : |
| Plan | Total | % | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Whole (by Pop) | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| S000C8002 | 1 | 0.00% | 270.3 | 0.80 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 46 | 54 | 351 | 362 | 10% | 59% | 20% | 37% | 3% | 11% |
| S000C8004 | 1 | 0.00% | 268.5 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 47 | 52 | 352 | 362 | 10% | 60% | 20% | 38% | 3% | 11% |
| S000C8006 | 1 | 0.00% | 269.2 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 46 | 53 | 350 | 360 | 10% | 60% | 20% | 38% | 2% | 10% |
| S000C8008 | 1 | 0.00% | 268.8 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 47 | 53 | 351 | 362 | 10% | 60% | 21% | 37% | 2% | 10% |
| S000C8018 | 1 | 0.00% | 268.8 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 46 | 54 | 356 | 361 | 12% | 60% | 20% | 37% | 2% | 9% |
| S000C8020 | 1 | 0.00% | 267.1 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 47 | 52 | 357 | 361 | 12% | 60% | 20% | 38% | 3% | 9% |
| S000C8022 | 1 | 0.00% | 267.7 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.45 | 46 | 53 | 355 | 359 | 12% | 60% | 20% | 38% | 2% | 9% |
| S000C8024 | 1 | 0.00% | 267.4 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 47 | 53 | 356 | 361 | 12% | 60% | 21% | 37% | 2% | 9% |
| S000C8036 | 1 | 0.00% | 267.1 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 48 | 51 | 367 | 373 | 13% | 61% | 20% | 38% | 2% | 8% |
| S000C8038 | 1 | 0.00% | 266.3 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 48 | 50 | 368 | 373 | 13% | 61% | 19% | 39% | 2% | 8% |
| S000C8040 | 1 | 0.00% | 265.8 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 48 | 50 | 367 | 372 | 13% | 61% | 19% | 39% | 2% | 8% |
| S000C8042 | 1 | 0.00% | 266.7 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 48 | 51 | 366 | 372 | 13% | 61% | 20% | 38% | 2% | 8% |



Comparison of Workshopped Congressional Plans

| Count | of Districts with Non-Poli | tical or Geographic Bound | ary Usage Rates of |
|-----------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Plan | Less than or Equal to 5% | Less than or Equal to 10% | Less than or Equal to 20% |
| S000C8002 | 11 | 20 | 23 |
| S000C8004 | 10 | 20 | 22 |
| S000C8006 | 11 | 19 | 22 |
| S000C8008 | 10 | 21 | 23 |
| S000C8018 | 11 | 22 | 26 |
| S000C8020 | 10 | 21 | 26 |
| S000C8022 | 11 | 21 | 26 |
| S000C8024 | 10 | 22 | 26 |
| S000C8036 | 11 | 24 | 26 |
| S000C8038 | 11 | 23 | 27 |
| S000C8040 | 11 | 23 | 27 |
| S000C8042 | 11 | 24 | 26 |



Census and Boundary Statistics

Page 2

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

67 5 119 48 48 51 51

Counties with all population in a single district

Aggregate number of county splits

Counties split into more than one district

Counties with only one district Districts with only one county

Number of Counties

Aggregate number of splits with population Number of Cities

Cities with only one district

412 367 45 373 373 98 92

> Cities split into more than one district Cities with all population in only one district Aggregate number of city splits Aggregate number of splits with population

| | Deviation | | Voting Age | Population: | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | nties: | Cit | ies: | | Politi | cal and G | eographic | Boundar | ies: | I |
|-------|-----------|----|------------|-------------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|------------|
| Dist. | Total % | 6 | Black | Hisp. | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo | |
| | 1 0.0 | 0% | 15.54% | 24.99% | 2,550.1 | 267.1 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 48 | 51 | 367 | 98 | 13% | 61% | 20% | 38% | 2% | 8% | |
| 1 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 13.55% | 6.69% | 4,416 | 329 | 0.87 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 2% | 81% | 14% | 55% | 0% | 2% | I |
| 2 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 13.32% | 6.21% | 15,879 | 884 | 0.71 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 15 | 5 | 55 | 4 | 4% | 76% | 19% | 47% | 0% | 4% | |
| 3 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 16.08% | 10.45% | 3,864 | 308 | 0.88 | 0.51 | 0.70 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 17% | 74% | 15% | 24% | 1% | 8% | |
| 4 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 10.79% | 9.27% | 1,553 | 332 | 0.66 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 24% | 73% | 17% | 54% | 2% | 3% | 10 |
| 5 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 43.73% | 9.04% | 3,753 | 635 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 12% | 74% | 22% | 13% | 0% | 2% | ц 036 |
| 6 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 9.70% | 11.04% | 2,770 | 332 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 4 | 6% | 74% | 7% | 54% | 2% | 10% | Pla DC8 |
| 7 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 12.17% | 23.77% | 490 | 120 | 0.84 | 0.43 | 0.66 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 8% | 41% | 11% | 29% | 4% | 39% | -1 00 |
| 8 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 9.58% | 10.30% | 2,301 | 272 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0% | 85% | 6% | 46% | 0% | 9% | S |
| 9 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 12.72% | 51.89% | 1,848 | 252 | 0.86 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3% | 76% | 18% | 31% | 0% | 6% | |
| 10 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 28.58% | 23.29% | 445 | 107 | 0.87 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 16% | 65% | 25% | 22% | 5% | 4% | |
| 11 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 8.61% | 10.59% | 2,643 | 349 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 12% | 70% | 19% | 38% | 1% | 7% | T |
| 12 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 6.55% | 14.04% | 1,764 | 194 | 0.90 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 3% | 90% | 10% | 44% | 0% | 2% | |
| 13 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 10.72% | 9.05% | 705 | 123 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 20% | 62% | 20% | 74% | 0% | 6% | es |
| 14 | -1 0.0 | 0% | 11.13% | 26.93% | 422 | 96 | 0.86 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 16% | 27% | 44% | 20% | 10% | 6% | dari |
| 15 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 21.98% | 24.65% | 677 | 109 | 0.97 | 0.71 | 0.59 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9% | 48% | 35% | 1% | 12% | 5% | pue |
| 16 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 7.23% | 13.73% | 1,969 | 202 | 0.89 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 20% | 58% | 16% | 53% | 2% | 5% | es . |
| 17 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 9.02% | 16.71% | 5,797 | 382 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 4% | 79% | 10% | 28% | 1% | 2% | nty III |
| 18 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 12.22% | 14.87% | 1,730 | 225 | 0.76 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 7% | 70% | 11% | 48% | 0% | 10% | Cou |
| 19 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 4.37% | 15.06% | 1,894 | 236 | 0.79 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 3% | 66% | 18% | 61% | 1% | 8% | Dist |
| 20 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 50.04% | 22.15% | 2,556 | 315 | 0.81 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 22% | 42% | 23% | 11% | 4% | 15% | y ai |
| 21 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 15.56% | 24.23% | 261 | 103 | 0.64 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 38% | 4% | 42% | 2% | 5% | 27% | ci |
| 22 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 13.73% | 21.59% | 342 | 125 | 0.82 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 7 | 20% | 42% | 29% | 49% | 6% | 9% | |
| 23 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 16.90% | 42.18% | 239 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 53% | 30% | 28% | 21% | 0% | 10% | |
| 24 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 42.02% | 37.76% | 176 | 68 | 0.90 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 26% | 36% | 29% | 46% | 1% | 18% | |
| 25 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 7.96% | 76.83% | 3,680 | 364 | 0.67 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7% | 68% | 16% | 20% | 0% | 8% | |
| 26 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 10.32% | 73.35% | 6,710 | 591 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1% | 88% | 7% | 86% | 0% | 1% | |
| 27 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 7.07% | 74.18% | 280 | 70 | 0.95 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 9% | 18% | 35% | 59% | 0% | 6% | |
| 28 | 0 0.0 | 0% | 14.78% | 23.18% | 2,240 | 276 | 0.85 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 5% | 90% | 3% | 26% | 0% | 5% | |

Split Counties and Cities

| Coi | unties include | d in more th | an one dist | rict | | Cor | nties included in m | ore than one d | istrict | | | Counties | included in more | than one di | istrict | C | ounties included in n | ore than one | district | |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------|--------|---------------------|----------------|------------|-------|----|----------|------------------|-------------|------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------|------------|-------|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | County | Dist. Total | Pop Pop% | Total Area | Area% | Co | ounty | Dist. Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area Area% | County | Dist. Total | Pop Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
| Broward | 20 | 535,675 | 27.6% | 888.0 | 67.9% | | | | • • • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 21 | 313,820 | 16.1% | 71.1 | 5.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 22 | 233,209 | 12.0% | 97.7 | 7.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 23 | 769,221 | 39.6% | 238.9 | 18.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 24 | 92,450 | 4.8% | 12.6 | 1.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collier | 19 | 215,578 | 57.4% | 639.5 | 24.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collier | 25 | 160,174 | 42.6% | 1,965.6 | 75.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbia | 2 | 51,337 | 73.7% | 350.3 | 43.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbia | 5 | 18,361 | 26.3% | 451.0 | 56.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Duval | 4 | 505,744 | 50.8% | 454.9 | 49.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Duval | 5 | 489,823 | 49.2% | 463.6 | 50.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 14 | 592,149 | 40.6% | 299.1 | 22.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 15 | 769,221 | 52.7% | 676.6 | 50.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 16 | 98,392 | 6.7% | 356.2 | 26.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indian River | 8 | 145,456 | 91.0% | 609.1 | 98.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indian River | 18 | 14,332 | 9.0% | 7.8 | 1.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson | 2 | 4,410 | 30.4% | 400.1 | 59.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson | 5 | 10,100 | 69.6% | 272.5 | 40.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 6 | 35,396 | 9.2% | 379.1 | 32.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 11 | 304,385 | 79.3% | 547.9 | 47.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 28 | 44,175 | 11.5% | 229.8 | 19.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee | 17 | 207,179 | 27.2% | 260.0 | 17.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee | 19 | 553,643 | 72.8% | 1,254.9 | 82.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leon | 2 | 145,318 | 49.7% | 488.5 | 69.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leon | 5 | 146,880 | 50.3% | 213.3 | 30.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marion | 2 | 39,930 | 10.6% | 233.6 | 14.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| warion | 3 | 154,/3/ | 41.2% | 874.2 | 52.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miarron Miarroi Dada | 11 | 181,241 | 48.2% | 554.9 | 33.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Dado | 24 | 5/0,//1 ECO 439 | 23.1% | 105.5 | 22.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 25 | 509,420 686 247 | 21.1% | 1 420 5 | 50.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 20 | 760 221 | 20.4% | 290.2 | 11 7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orango | | 262.060 | 19.4% | 200.3 | 9.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | , | 17 152 | 1 2% | 124.4 | 12 /1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 9 | 380 565 | 26.6% | 3/16 | 34.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 10 | 769 221 | 53.8% | 445.3 | 14.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 18 | 267 232 | 17.9% | 281.3 | 11.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 20 | 233,546 | 15.7% | 1.667.6 | 70.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 21 | 455,401 | 30.5% | 189.7 | 8.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 22 | 536.012 | 35.9% | 244.7 | 10.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 12 | 12.815 | 1.3% | 33.9 | 3.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 13 | 769,221 | 80.2% | 705.3 | 81.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 14 | 177,071 | 18.5% | 123.3 | 14.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarasota | 16 | 271,119 | 62.5% | 649.2 | 66.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarasota | 17 | 162,887 | 37.5% | 326.4 | 33.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Johns | 4 | 173,125 | 63.3% | 371.9 | 45.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Johns | 6 | 100,300 | 36.7% | 449.6 | 54.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Volusia | 6 | 518,147 | 93.6% | 1,370.0 | 95.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Volusia | 7 | 35,396 | 6.4% | 62.4 | 4.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walton | 1 | 47,648 | 63.3% | 821.5 | 58.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walton | 2 | 27,657 | 36.7% | 588.0 | 41.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

1/5/2022

Split Counties and Cities

| | Cities include | d in more thar | n one district | | | Citie | es included | in more than | n one distr | ict | | | Cities inc | luded in more | than one distric | t | | | Cities inc | luded in m | ore than o | ne district | |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|--------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------|------|------------|----------------|------------------|------------|-------|------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | City | | Dist. Total Po | р Рор% | Total Area | Area% | City | | Dist. Tota | I Pop P | op% Tota | Il Area Area% |
| Belle Isle | 9 | 219 | 3.1% | 0.2 | 4.5% | Orlando | 7 | 22,957 | 7.5% | 5.7 | 4.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| Belle Isle | 10 | 6,813 | 96.9% | 5.0 | 95.5% | Orlando | 9 | 103,806 | 33.8% | 68.7 | 57.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clearwater | 13 | 82,282 | 70.2% | 25.4 | 70.8% | Orlando | 10 | 180,810 | 58.8% | 44.5 | 37.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clearwater | 14 | 35,010 | 29.9% | 10.5 | 29.2% | Palm Beach | 18 | 2,634 | 28.5% | 2.7 | 34.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clermont | 11 | 43,021 | 100.0% | 17.4 | 91.1% | Palm Beach | 22 | 6,611 | 71.5% | 5.1 | 65.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clermont | 28 | 0 | 0.0% | 1.7 | 8.9% | Palm Beach Gardens | 18 | 59,182 | 100.0% | 59.3 | 99.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cutler Bay | 26 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.2% | Palm Beach Gardens | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 0.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cutler Bay | 27 | 45,425 | 100.0% | 10.3 | 99.8% | Pembroke Pines | 23 | 170,725 | 99.7% | 34.7 | 99.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeBary | 6 | 9,468 | 42.5% | 8.0 | 36.7% | Pembroke Pines | 24 | 453 | 0.3% | 0.0 | 0.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeBary | 7 | 12,792 | 57.5% | 13.8 | 63.4% | Pinellas Park | 13 | 53,093 | 100.0% | 16.8 | 100.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 20 | 29,350 | 33.8% | 4.8 | 29.5% | Pinellas Park | 14 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 21 | 41,698 | 48.0% | 8.5 | 52.6% | Plantation | 20 | 41,374 | 45.1% | 9.0 | 40.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 22 | 15,811 | 18.2% | 2.9 | 18.0% | Plantation | 23 | 50,376 | 54.9% | 13.0 | 59.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeFuniak Springs | 1 | 860 | 14.5% | 3.7 | 25.9% | Pompano Beach | 20 | 53,918 | 48.1% | 11.3 | 45.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeFuniak Springs | 2 | 5,059 | 85.5% | 10.6 | 74.1% | Pompano Beach | 21 | 3,327 | 3.0% | 2.9 | 11.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delray Beach | 21 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | Pompano Beach | 22 | 54,801 | 48.9% | 10.5 | 42.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delray Beach | 22 | 66,846 | 100.0% | 16.5 | 100.0% | Riviera Beach | 18 | 9,951 | 26.5% | 3.1 | 32.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deltona | 6 | 76,307 | 81.4% | 33.7 | 82.4% | Riviera Beach | 20 | 27,653 | 73.5% | 6.5 | 67.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deltona | 7 | 17,385 | 18.6% | 7.2 | 17.6% | Royal Palm Beach | 18 | 16,407 | 42.1% | 5.0 | 42.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 20 | 58,993 | 32.3% | 13.0 | 35.7% | Royal Palm Beach | 20 | 17,861 | 45.9% | 5.6 | 48.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 22 | 102,735 | 56.2% | 18.3 | 50.4% | Royal Palm Beach | 21 | 4,664 | 12.0% | 1.1 | 8.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 23 | 21,032 | 11.5% | 5.0 | 13.9% | St. Augustine | 4 | 2,447 | 17.1% | 1.6 | 12.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Myers | 17 | 32,184 | 37.3% | 19.8 | 40.4% | St. Augustine | 6 | 11,882 | 82.9% | 11.3 | 87.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Myers | 19 | 54,211 | 62.8% | 29.2 | 59.6% | St. Petersburg | 13 | 241,005 | 93.3% | 124.6 | 94.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freeport | 1 | 5,587 | 95.3% | 15.3 | 80.4% | St. Petersburg | 14 | 17,303 | 6.7% | 6.7 | 5.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freeport | 2 | 274 | 4.7% | 3.7 | 19.6% | Sunrise | 20 | 85,270 | 87.6% | 14.1 | 77.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groveland | 11 | 16,026 | 86.6% | 24.3 | 93.0% | Sunrise | 23 | 12,065 | 12.4% | 4.0 | 22.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groveland | 28 | 2,479 | 13.4% | 1.8 | 7.1% | Tallahassee | 2 | 82,107 | 41.9% | 69.0 | 66.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hallandale Beach | 23 | 28,737 | 69.7% | 3.0 | 65.9% | Tallahassee | 5 | 114,062 | 58.1% | 34.8 | 33.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hallandale Beach | 24 | 12,480 | 30.3% | 1.6 | 34.1% | Tampa | 14 | 263,534 | 68.5% | 126.1 | 71.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jacksonville | 4 | 461,184 | 48.6% | 412.9 | 47.2% | Tampa | 15 | 121,425 | 31.5% | 49.8 | 28.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jacksonville | 5 | 488,427 | 51.4% | 461.6 | 52.8% | Tarpon Springs | 12 | 11,582 | 46.1% | 10.2 | 57.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake City | 2 | 6,672 | 54.1% | 6.1 | 49.9% | Tarpon Springs | 13 | 10,748 | 42.8% | 5.8 | 32.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake City | 5 | 5,657 | 45.9% | 6.1 | 50.1% | Tarpon Springs | 14 | 2,787 | 11.1% | 1.7 | 9.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Park | 18 | 1,245 | 13.8% | 0.4 | 17.1% | Umatilla | 6 | 1,415 | 38.4% | 1.9 | 45.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Park | 20 | 7,802 | 86.2% | 2.0 | 82.9% | Umatilla | 11 | 2,270 | 61.6% | 2.3 | 54.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Largo | 13 | 75,101 | 91.1% | 17.1 | 87.5% | Venice | 16 | 12,178 | 47.8% | 10.9 | 61.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Largo | 14 | 7,384 | 9.0% | 2.4 | 12.5% | Venice | 17 | 13,285 | 52.2% | 6.7 | 38.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maitland | 7 | 13,655 | 69.9% | 4.8 | 73.3% | West Palm Beach | 18 | 18,256 | 15.6% | 33.2 | 57.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maitland | 10 | 5,888 | 30.1% | 1.7 | 26.7% | West Palm Beach | 20 | 63,092 | 53.7% | 16.2 | 27.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Margate | 20 | 17,409 | 29.7% | 2.5 | 27.6% | West Palm Beach | 22 | 36,067 | 30.7% | 8.6 | 14.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Margate | 21 | 41,303 | 70.4% | 6.6 | 72.4% | Winter Park | 7 | 23,065 | 77.4% | 8.2 | 78.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 24 | 98,349 | 22.2% | 15.0 | 26.7% | Winter Park | 10 | 6,730 | 22.6% | 2.2 | 21.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 25 | 54,725 | 12.4% | 4.8 | 8.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 27 | 289,167 | 65.4% | 36.3 | 64.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Gardens | 24 | 90,649 | 81.2% | 15.2 | /9.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Gardens | 25 | 20,991 | 18.8% | 3.8 | 20.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar | 23 | /6,594 | 56.9% | 24.0 | 11.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar | 24 | 58,127 | 43.2% | /.1 | 22.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| North Palm Beach | 18 | 13,162 | 100.0% | 5.3 | 99.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| North Paim Beach | 20 | 15 007 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Park | 20 | 15,037 | 34.0% | 3./ | 44.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Park | 22 | 29,192 | 66.0% | 4.5 | 55.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upa-locka | 24 | 9,537 | 57.9% | 1.5 | 34.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Opa-locka | 25 | 6,926 | 42.1% | 3.0 | 66.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Functional Analysis - Summary

| | 2020 | Census | | | | | | | | 2020 Genera | al Election Re | egistered Vot | ters | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|----------|--------|----------|
| Dist. | VAP w | ho are: | | RV who are | : | RV wh | no are: | Blac | k Voters who | are: | Hisp | . Voters who | are: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | nho are: | NPAOth | who are: |
| | Black | Hisp | DEM | REP | OTH | Black | Hisp | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. |
| 5 | 43.73% | 9.04% | 54.34% | 26.24% | 19.43% | 43.53% | 4.83% | 84.10% | 2.75% | 13.14% | 44.56% | 21.04% | 34.20% | 67.38% | 3.96% | 4.57% | 3.87% | 29.43% | 8.50% |
| 9 | 12.72% | 51.89% | 42.29% | 22.62% | 35.09% | 9.13% | 46.15% | 71.84% | 3.92% | 24.23% | 46.78% | 13.61% | 39.62% | 15.51% | 51.05% | 1.58% | 27.75% | 6.30% | 52.10% |
| 10 | 28.58% | 23.29% | 45.15% | 26.06% | 28.79% | 24.62% | 16.03% | 77.90% | 3.23% | 18.85% | 45.56% | 15.95% | 38.44% | 42.48% | 16.18% | 3.05% | 9.81% | 16.11% | 21.41% |
| 20 | 50.04% | 22.15% | 61.23% | 13.99% | 24.78% | 46.67% | 14.84% | 81.44% | 2.55% | 16.00% | 46.41% | 17.36% | 36.20% | 62.07% | 11.25% | 8.50% | 18.42% | 30.12% | 21.67% |
| 24 | 42.02% | 37.76% | 60.07% | 12.45% | 27.48% | 43.75% | 26.79% | 82.51% | 2.43% | 15.05% | 42.80% | 20.02% | 37.16% | 60.09% | 19.09% | 8.53% | 43.09% | 23.96% | 36.22% |
| 25 | 7.96% | 76.83% | 31.43% | 36.54% | 32.03% | 6.97% | 64.09% | 79.64% | 3.94% | 16.25% | 29.23% | 35.98% | 34.77% | 17.66% | 59.60% | 0.75% | 63.10% | 3.54% | 69.57% |
| 26 | 10.32% | 73.35% | 33.92% | 32.58% | 33.51% | 8.67% | 63.92% | 77.59% | 3.48% | 18.90% | 28.78% | 35.47% | 35.74% | 19.84% | 54.23% | 0.93% | 69.60% | 4.89% | 68.18% |
| 27 | 7.07% | 74.18% | 34.57% | 33.39% | 32.04% | 6.14% | 62.79% | 78.61% | 3.67% | 17.61% | 28.03% | 38.96% | 33.00% | 13.97% | 50.91% | 0.67% | 73.27% | 3.38% | 64.68% |

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8036

| | 2020 0 | Census | Avera | ge Primary I | Election Tur | nout | | | | | | | Average Ge | eneral Elect | ion Turnou | t | | | | | | G | ieneral Elec | tion Perforn | nance in Sta | atewide Elec | tions 2012-2 | 2020 |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|------------|--------------|------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| Dist. | VAP wi | ho are: | DEM w | no are: | REP wi | no are: | Vo | oters who a | re: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | ho are: | NPAOth | who are: | Black | Voters wh | o are: | Hisp. | Voters wh | o are: | Avg. | Perf. | Wi | ns | | Margins | |
| | Black | Hisp | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | DEM | REP | MAX | MIN | AVG |
| 5 | 43.73% | 9.04% | 66.22% | 1.13% | 2.81% | 1.51% | 58.13% | 28.64% | 13.23% | 65.88% | 2.59% | 3.31% | 2.83% | 25.94% | 6.77% | 89.70% | 2.22% | 8.05% | 45.52% | 25.69% | 28.14% | 58.5% | 40.1% | 14 | 0 | D +32.4% | D +7.1% | D +18.8% |
| 9 | 12.72% | 51.89% | 18.03% | 36.34% | 0.97% | 14.47% | 44.15% | 28.38% | 27.46% | 16.95% | 44.89% | 1.30% | 20.25% | 6.02% | 43.36% | 78.69% | 3.86% | 17.40% | 52.97% | 15.24% | 31.77% | 57.9% | 40.3% | 12 | 2 | D +36.3% | R +0.8% | D +18% |
| 10 | 28.58% | 23.29% | 49.09% | 7.69% | 1.94% | 4.75% | 45.84% | 31.97% | 22.18% | 44.94% | 12.67% | 2.19% | 7.01% | 14.00% | 16.58% | 84.31% | 2.85% | 12.79% | 49.40% | 19.08% | 31.35% | 57.5% | 40.9% | 12 | 2 | D +29.3% | R +1.2% | D +17.2% |
| 20 | 50.04% | 22.15% | 64.04% | 4.47% | 6.25% | 9.69% | 66.46% | 14.61% | 18.92% | 62.17% | 8.59% | 6.94% | 14.36% | 28.30% | 18.16% | 86.61% | 2.12% | 11.23% | 50.45% | 18.81% | 30.57% | 78.1% | 21.0% | 14 | 0 | D +65.1% | D +50.7% | D +57.3% |
| 24 | 42.02% | 37.76% | 67.48% | 10.63% | 7.00% | 47.13% | 66.57% | 12.13% | 21.30% | 62.81% | 15.65% | 7.51% | 42.22% | 23.92% | 34.11% | 87.45% | 1.90% | 10.62% | 45.30% | 22.77% | 31.86% | 80.3% | 18.8% | 14 | 0 | D +68% | D +49.4% | D +61.6% |
| 25 | 7.96% | 76.83% | 26.60% | 42.55% | 0.43% | 61.13% | 32.92% | 41.38% | 25.70% | 20.79% | 53.26% | 0.57% | 61.19% | 3.39% | 66.94% | 85.82% | 2.98% | 11.07% | 29.10% | 42.27% | 28.61% | 45.0% | 53.8% | 3 | 11 | R +20.4% | D +2.6% | R +8.6% |
| 26 | 10.32% | 73.35% | 22.58% | 36.25% | 0.57% | 65.42% | 35.69% | 36.75% | 27.56% | 21.18% | 47.57% | 0.77% | 66.17% | 4.67% | 64.29% | 82.77% | 3.10% | 14.10% | 28.65% | 41.33% | 30.00% | 50.7% | 48.0% | 9 | 5 | D +15.7% | R +2.2% | D +3% |
| 27 | 7.07% | 74.18% | 17.87% | 36.73% | 0.39% | 75.66% | 35.72% | 38.10% | 26.18% | 15.24% | 45.38% | 0.52% | 72.02% | 3.19% | 63.12% | 83.83% | 3.09% | 12.93% | 26.85% | 45.71% | 27.44% | 50.6% | 48.3% | 9 | 5 | D +17.4% | R +0.6% | D +2.7% |

| | | | 5 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|----------|-----------------|
| | Plan S000C8036 | BVAP | 43.73% | 12.72% | 28.58% | 50.04% | 42.02% | 7.96% | 10.32% | 7.07% |
| | Primary Elections | HVAP | 9.04% | 51.89% | 23.29% | 22.15% | 37.76% | 76.83% | 73.35% | 74.18% |
| | | R_Baldauf | 0.70% | 0.89% | 0.69% | 1.36% | 1.92% | 1.93% | 1.83% | 1.50% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 52.44% | 52.06% | 52.08% | 62.76% | 66.52% | 65.93% | 67.74% | 67.69% |
| | | R_Devine | 1.13% | 2.07% | 1.42% | 2.20% | 3.24% | 2.92% | 3.34% | 3.09% |
| | Coverner (BEB) | R_Langford | 1.13% | 1.53% | 1.64% | 1.86% | 1.97% | 1.41% | 1.72% | 1.53% |
| | Governor (REP) | R_Mercadante | 0.42% | 1.30% | 0.75% | 1.51% | 2.13% | 1.93% | 2.06% | 2.14% |
| | | R_Nathan | 0.71% | 1.00% | 0.80% | 1.54% | 2.72% | 1.13% | 1.42% | 1.39% |
| | | R_Putnam | 41.63% | 38.39% | 40.26% | 25.36% | 17.05% | 21.84% | 18.17% | 18.84% |
| | | R_White | 1.62% | 2.66% | 2.07% | 2.89% | 3.92% | 2.63% | 3.54% | 3.46% |
| | | D_Gillum | 58.39% | 29.37% | 45.52% | 52.96% | 50.35% | 32.88% | 31.83% | 28.95% |
| | | D_Graham | 22.26% | 29.78% | 28.36% | 13.34% | 11.17% | 19.31% | 21.15% | 22.65% |
| | | D Greene | 5.72% | 14.10% | 8.70% | 10.39% | 9.34% | 9.66% | 10.62% | 7.94% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D King | 1.43% | 4.42% | 3.73% | 0.94% | 0.75% | 2.33% | 2.11% | 1.54% |
| | | D_Levine | 10.71% | 19.37% | 12.44% | 21.58% | 27.53% | 32.70% | 32.23% | 37.17% |
| 2010 | | D Lundmark | 0.49% | 1.17% | 0.43% | 0.30% | 0.38% | 1.37% | 0.91% | 0.78% |
| 2018 | | D Wetherbee | 0.83% | 1.70% | 0.65% | 0.38% | 0.32% | 1.27% | 0.97% | 0.68% |
| | Atterney Concerd (DED) | R_Moody | 57.78% | 54.13% | 55.38% | 55.57% | 53.16% | 52.08% | 54.82% | 54.79% |
| | Attorney General (REP) | R White | 42.22% | 45.85% | 44.62% | 44.27% | 46.64% | 47.88% | 45.11% | 45.20% |
| | | D Shaw | 78.66% | 60.47% | 74.45% | 81.44% | 82.10% | 67.77% | 69.58% | 74.09% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D Torrens | 21.31% | 39.52% | 25.57% | 18.56% | 17.89% | 32.10% | 30.43% | 25.91% |
| | | R Caldwell | 35.67% | 36.39% | 34.81% | 43.50% | 39.73% | 42.29% | 42.07% | 40.18% |
| | | R Grimsley | 21.36% | 31.75% | 31.44% | 25.91% | 31.44% | 29.71% | 31.57% | 32.70% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (REP) | R McCalister | 8.68% | 16.31% | 15.40% | 21.17% | 17.11% | 12.78% | 16.62% | 16.76% |
| | | R Troutman | 34.12% | 15.47% | 18.25% | 9.04% | 11.06% | 15.05% | 9.61% | 10.37% |
| | | D Fried | 60.09% | 54.87% | 55.24% | 63.92% | 59.04% | 52.18% | 53.25% | 59.89% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) | D Porter | 20.04% | 18.96% | 17.52% | 16.10% | 17.36% | 20.02% | 20.45% | 15.13% |
| | | D Walker | 19.86% | 26.15% | 27.25% | 19.96% | 23.60% | 27.59% | 26.21% | 24.88% |
| | | R De La Fuente | 10.20% | 10.25% | 11.19% | 14.88% | 15.74% | 9.81% | 12.28% | 12.63% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Scott | 89.71% | 89.72% | 88.75% | 84.91% | 84.06% | 90.09% | 87.66% | 87.32% |
| | | R Beruff | 22.31% | 17.31% | 17.64% | 14.64% | 8.73% | 8.85% | 6.43% | 5.58% |
| | | R Rivera | 3.70% | 3.23% | 2.40% | 5.03% | 3.26% | 2.20% | 2.94% | 1.88% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Rubio | 68.00% | 71.67% | 74.47% | 70.56% | 80.12% | 85.24% | 85.70% | 88.87% |
| | | R Young | 5.81% | 7.69% | 5.27% | 9.37% | 7.44% | 3.59% | 4.86% | 3.46% |
| 2016 | | D De La Fuente | 4.12% | 15.25% | 3.90% | 3.17% | 5.51% | 19.30% | 13.76% | 12.16% |
| | | D Grayson | 17.53% | 45.90% | 40.69% | 9.95% | 10.82% | 11.17% | 11.16% | 11.19% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D Keith | 15.18% | 9.58% | 12.67% | 14.56% | 13.82% | 13.73% | 15.63% | 17.86% |
| | | D Luster | 12.08% | 1.26% | 2.28% | 2.23% | 2.68% | 2.02% | 1.68% | 1.54% |
| | | D Murphy | 50.94% | 27.88% | 40.26% | 69.89% | 66.91% | 53.19% | 57.51% | 56.90% |
| | | R Adeshina | 1 29% | 1 74% | 1 64% | 2 66% | 2 97% | 1 46% | 1 77% | 1.80% |
| | Governor (BEP) | R Cuevas-Neunder | 8.09% | 12 32% | 9 54% | 14 56% | 16 32% | 10.61% | 15 19% | 13 26% |
| | | R Scott | 90.47% | 85.83% | 88.60% | 87.42% | 80.36% | 87 73% | 82.95% | 84.83% |
| 2014 | | D_Crist | 7/ 3/% | 76.23% | 78.06% | 82.95% | 84.35% | 76 74% | 78 / 2% | 73 08% |
| 2014 | Governor (DEM) | D_Crist | 25 500/ | 22 710/ | 21 01% | 17.00% | 15 610/ | 22 0 / 10/ | 21 / 20/ | 75.50% |
| | | D_hicii D_shaldan | 23.36% | 23.71/0 | 21.01% | 20.26% | 15.01% | 22.04/0 E0 720/ | 61 40% | 23.05% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D Thurston | 30.00% | 30.01% | +9.00% | 55.20% | +0.77% 53 010/ | 10 010/ | 38 /100/ | 3/1 270/ |
| | | P_Mack | 57.1/% | 33.12% | 50.43% E9 200/ | 65.36% | 71 700/ | 40.91% | 30.40% | 34.3/% |
| | | R_IVIACK | 57.58% | 48.40% | 58.29% | 05.20% | /1./8% | /3.40% | 73.04% | //.15% F 10% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R_IVICCALISTER | 18.05% | 12.02% | 10.84% | 13.11% | 0.85% | 8.01% | /.30% | 5.18% |
| 2012 | | R_Stuart | 5.92% | 0.84% | 4.8/% | 1.25% | 13.13% | 12.37% | 13.26% | 12.99% |
| | | K_weldon | 17.45% | 32.53% | 25.74% | 13.85% | 8.00% | 5.92% | 5.67% | 4.46% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_Burkett | 22.03% | 19.85% | 13.56% | 14.24% | 14.02% | 21.21% | 18.40% | 14.76% |
| | · · · | D_Nelson | 77.91% | 80.11% | 86.33% | 85.70% | 85.93% | 78.58% | 81.49% | 85.11% |

| | | | 5 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|------|--------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Plan S000C8036 | BVAF | 43.73% | 12.72% | 28.58% | 50.04% | 42.02% | 7.96% | 10.32% | 7.07% |
| | General Elections | HVAF | 9.04% | 51.89% | 23.29% | 22.15% | 37.76% | 76.83% | 73.35% | 74.18% |
| 2020 | President | D_Biden | 60.23% | 58.97% | 61.61% | 75.53% | 74.41% | 40.98% | 46.43% | 49.44% |
| 2020 | resident | R_Trump | 38.62% | 40.04% | 37.39% | 23.88% | 25.06% | 58.48% | 52.99% | 50.01% |
| | Governor | D_Gillum | 62.51% | 62.25% | 62.24% | 79.65% | 81.56% | 46.17% | 52.49% | 53.18% |
| | Governor | R_DeSantis | 36.60% | 36.40% | 36.74% | 19.73% | 17.74% | 52.44% | 46.31% | 45.75% |
| | Attorney General | D_Shaw | 59.25% | 58.93% | 58.46% | 78.13% | 80.14% | 44.45% | 50.86% | 51.99% |
| | Attorney General | R_Moody | 39.21% | 39.07% | 39.89% | 20.54% | 18.30% | 53.53% | 46.94% | 46.10% |
| 2019 | Chief Einancial Officer | D_Ring | 60.38% | 61.36% | 60.30% | 79.52% | 81.61% | 45.82% | 51.93% | 52.59% |
| 2010 | Chief Finalicial Officer | R_Patronis | 39.62% | 38.64% | 39.70% | 20.46% | 18.38% | 54.17% | 48.07% | 47.41% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Fried | 61.38% | 62.76% | 62.19% | 79.77% | 82.11% | 46.93% | 53.44% | 54.63% |
| | Agriculture commissioner | R_Caldwell | 38.63% | 37.24% | 37.81% | 20.22% | 17.88% | 53.06% | 46.56% | 45.38% |
| | LIS Sonato | D_Nelson | 62.25% | 60.97% | 62.06% | 79.66% | 81.49% | 46.47% | 53.46% | 54.47% |
| | 05 Senate | R_Scott | 37.75% | 39.02% | 37.93% | 20.33% | 18.51% | 53.52% | 46.54% | 45.52% |
| | President | D_Clinton | 58.51% | 62.85% | 60.06% | 77.52% | 81.10% | 52.56% | 56.46% | 57.42% |
| 2016 | riesident | R_Trump | 38.61% | 33.71% | 36.40% | 20.71% | 17.23% | 45.16% | 40.81% | 40.05% |
| 2010 | LIS Sonato | D_Murphy | 52.82% | 55.80% | 54.84% | 75.52% | 76.02% | 42.42% | 47.69% | 47.78% |
| | 05 Senate | R_Rubio | 43.90% | 40.13% | 41.35% | 22.53% | 21.88% | 55.35% | 49.92% | 50.17% |
| | Governor | D_Crist | 56.54% | 53.29% | 54.73% | 79.64% | 82.25% | 43.00% | 51.20% | 50.00% |
| | Governor | R_Scott | 39.85% | 41.58% | 40.71% | 18.20% | 16.17% | 54.28% | 45.89% | 47.55% |
| | Attornou Conorol | D_Sheldon | 53.20% | 49.61% | 51.87% | 75.88% | 79.86% | 38.72% | 45.82% | 46.03% |
| 2014 | Attorney General | R_Bondi | 44.31% | 47.53% | 45.25% | 22.66% | 18.70% | 58.94% | 51.75% | 51.96% |
| 2014 | Chief Einancial Officer | D_Rankin | 53.57% | 49.60% | 49.35% | 75.36% | 79.06% | 40.24% | 45.88% | 43.49% |
| | Chief Finalicial Officer | R_Atwater | 46.43% | 50.39% | 50.66% | 24.62% | 20.94% | 59.75% | 54.12% | 56.53% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Hamilton | 55.57% | 48.36% | 49.39% | 76.85% | 79.82% | 39.79% | 46.04% | 44.31% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | R_Putnam | 44.41% | 51.64% | 50.60% | 23.15% | 20.18% | 60.19% | 53.95% | 55.69% |
| | President | D_Obama | 61.03% | 62.43% | 59.08% | 80.43% | 82.82% | 51.07% | 54.83% | 52.22% |
| 2012 | riesident | R_Romney | 38.14% | 36.74% | 40.13% | 19.14% | 16.82% | 48.44% | 44.61% | 47.27% |
| 2012 | LIC Consta | D_Nelson | 65.00% | 66.89% | 63.71% | 81.94% | 83.49% | 52.79% | 56.33% | 54.47% |
| | 03 Senate | R_Mack | 32.61% | 30.61% | 34.42% | 16.83% | 15.47% | 45.07% | 42.03% | 44.15% |



Census and Boundary Statistics

Page 2

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

Counties with all population in a single district

Aggregate number of county splits

Counties split into more than one district

Counties with only one district Districts with only one county

Number of Counties

Aggregate number of splits with population Number of Cities

Cities with only one district

Cities split into more than one district Cities with all population in only one district Aggregate number of city splits Aggregate number of splits with population

| | Deviation | Voting Age | Population: | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | nties: | Cit | ies: | | Politi | cal and G | eographic | Boundar | ies: | |
|-------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| Dist. | Total % | Black | Hisp. | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo | |
| | 1 0.00% | 15.54% | 24.99% | 2,550.1 | 266.3 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 48 | 50 | 368 | 94 | 13% | 61% | 19% | 39% | 2% | 8% | |
| 1 | 0 0.00% | 13.55% | 6.69% | 4,416 | 329 | 0.87 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 2% | 81% | 14% | 55% | 0% | 2% | Í |
| 2 | 0 0.00% | 13.32% | 6.21% | 15,879 | 884 | 0.71 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 15 | 5 | 55 | 4 | 4% | 76% | 19% | 47% | 0% | 4% | |
| 3 | 0 0.00% | 16.08% | 10.45% | 3,864 | 308 | 0.88 | 0.51 | 0.70 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 17% | 74% | 15% | 24% | 1% | 8% | |
| 4 | 0 0.00% | 10.79% | 9.27% | 1,553 | 332 | 0.66 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 24% | 73% | 17% | 54% | 2% | 3% | |
| 5 | 0 0.00% | 43.73% | 9.04% | 3,753 | 635 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 12% | 74% | 22% | 13% | 0% | 2% | u38 038 |
| 6 | 0 0.00% | 9.70% | 11.04% | 2,770 | 332 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 4 | 6% | 74% | 7% | 54% | 2% | 10% | Pla DC8 |
| 7 | 0 0.00% | 12.17% | 23.77% | 490 | 120 | 0.84 | 0.43 | 0.66 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 8% | 41% | 11% | 29% | 4% | 39% | 100 |
| 8 | 0 0.00% | 9.58% | 10.30% | 2,301 | 272 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0% | 85% | 6% | 46% | 0% | 9% | S |
| 9 | 0 0.00% | 12.72% | 51.89% | 1,848 | 252 | 0.86 | 0.37 | 0.48 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3% | 76% | 18% | 31% | 0% | 6% | |
| 10 | 0 0.00% | 28.58% | 23.29% | 445 | 107 | 0.87 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 16% | 65% | 25% | 22% | 5% | 4% | 1 |
| 11 | 0 0.00% | 8.61% | 10.59% | 2,643 | 349 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 12% | 70% | 19% | 38% | 1% | 7% | Í |
| 12 | 0 0.00% | 6.55% | 14.04% | 1,764 | 194 | 0.90 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 3% | 90% | 10% | 44% | 0% | 2% | |
| 13 | 0 0.00% | 10.72% | 9.05% | 705 | 123 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 20% | 62% | 20% | 74% | 0% | 6% | es |
| 14 | -1 0.00% | 11.13% | 26.93% | 422 | 96 | 0.86 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 16% | 27% | 44% | 20% | 10% | 6% | dari |
| 15 | 0 0.00% | 21.98% | 24.65% | 677 | 109 | 0.97 | 0.71 | 0.59 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9% | 48% | 35% | 1% | 12% | 5% | and |
| 16 | 0 0.00% | 7.23% | 13.73% | 1,969 | 202 | 0.89 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 20% | 58% | 16% | 53% | 2% | 5% | les / Bc |
| 17 | 0 0.00% | 9.02% | 16.71% | 5,797 | 382 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 4% | 79% | 10% | 28% | 1% | 2% | t lin |
| 18 | 0 0.00% | 12.22% | 14.87% | 1,730 | 225 | 0.76 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 7% | 70% | 11% | 48% | 0% | 10% | CoL |
| 19 | 0 0.00% | 4.37% | 15.06% | 1,894 | 236 | 0.79 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 3% | 66% | 18% | 61% | 1% | 8% | Dist |
| 20 | 0 0.00% | 50.04% | 22.15% | 2,556 | 315 | 0.81 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 22% | 42% | 23% | 11% | 4% | 15% | e > |
| 21 | 0 0.00% | 16.53% | 25.39% | 345 | 98 | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 34% | 25% | 23% | 39% | 0% | 20% | Cit |
| 22 | 0 0.00% | 12.74% | 20.40% | 259 | 106 | 0.78 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 32% | 25% | 18% | 36% | 12% | 15% | |
| 23 | 0 0.00% | 16.90% | 42.18% | 239 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 53% | 30% | 28% | 21% | 0% | 10% | |
| 24 | 0 0.00% | 42.02% | 37.76% | 176 | 68 | 0.90 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 26% | 36% | 29% | 46% | 1% | 18% | |
| 25 | 0 0.00% | 7.96% | 76.83% | 3,680 | 364 | 0.67 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7% | 68% | 16% | 20% | 0% | 8% | |
| 26 | 0 0.00% | 10.32% | 73.35% | 6,710 | 591 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1% | 88% | 7% | 86% | 0% | 1% | |
| 27 | 0 0.00% | 7.07% | 74.18% | 280 | 70 | 0.95 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 9% | 18% | 35% | 59% | 0% | 6% | 1 |
| 28 | 0 0.00% | 14.78% | 23.18% | 2,240 | 276 | 0.85 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 5% | 90% | 3% | 26% | 0% | 5% | 1 |

Split Counties and Cities

| Co | ounties include | ed in more th | nan one dist | rict | | Coun | ties included in more | than one dis | trict | | Counti | es included in more th | han one di | istrict | | Counties | included in more t | han one di | strict | |
|--------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|------------|-------|--------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|----|--------|------------------------|------------|------------------|------|----------|--------------------|------------|------------|-------|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | County | Dist. Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area Area | a% | County | Dist. Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area Area% | Coun | ity | Dist. Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
| Broward | 20 | 535,675 | 27.6% | 888.0 | 67.9% | | | • • • • | ÷ | | | | | • • • • | | | • • • • | | | |
| Broward | 22 | 547,029 | 28.1% | 168.8 | 12.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 23 | 769,221 | 39.6% | 238.9 | 18.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 24 | 92,450 | 4.8% | 12.6 | 1.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collier | 19 | 215,578 | 57.4% | 639.5 | 24.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collier | 25 | 160,174 | 42.6% | 1,965.6 | 75.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbia | 2 | 51,337 | 73.7% | 350.3 | 43.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbia | 5 | 18,361 | 26.3% | 451.0 | 56.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Duval | 4 | 505,744 | 50.8% | 454.9 | 49.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Duval | 5 | 489,823 | 49.2% | 463.6 | 50.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 14 | 592,149 | 40.6% | 299.1 | 22.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 15 | 769,221 | 52.7% | 676.6 | 50.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 16 | 98,392 | 6.7% | 356.2 | 26.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indian River | 8 | 145,456 | 91.0% | 609.1 | 98.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indian River | 18 | 14,332 | 9.0% | 7.8 | 1.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson | 2 | 4,410 | 30.4% | 400.1 | 59.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson | 5 | 10,100 | 69.6% | 272.5 | 40.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 6 | 35,396 | 9.2% | 379.1 | 32.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 11 | 304,385 | 79.3% | 547.9 | 47.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 28 | 44,175 | 11.5% | 229.8 | 19.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee | 17 | 207,179 | 27.2% | 260.0 | 17.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee | 19 | 553,643 | 72.8% | 1,254.9 | 82.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leon | 2 | 145,318 | 49.7% | 488.5 | 69.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leon | 5 | 146,880 | 50.3% | 213.3 | 30.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marion | 2 | 39,930 | 10.6% | 233.6 | 14.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marion | 3 | 154,737 | 41.2% | 874.2 | 52.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marion | 11 | 181,241 | 48.2% | 554.9 | 33.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 24 | 676,771 | 25.1% | 163.3 | 6.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 25 | 569,428 | 21.1% | 525.2 | 22.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 26 | 686,347 | 25.4% | 1,420.5 | 59.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 27 | 769,221 | 28.5% | 280.3 | 11.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 7 | 262,969 | 18.4% | 82.2 | 8.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 8 | 17,153 | 1.2% | 134.4 | 13.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 9 | 380,565 | 26.6% | 341.6 | 34.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 10 | 769,221 | 53.8% | 445.3 | 44.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 18 | 267,232 | 17.9% | 281.3 | 11.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 20 | 233,546 | 15.7% | 1,667.6 | 70.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 21 | 769,221 | 51.6% | 344.5 | 14.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 22 | 222,192 | 14.9% | 89.9 | 3.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 12 | 12,815 | 1.3% | 33.9 | 3.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 13 | 769,221 | 80.2% | 705.3 | 81.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 14 | 177,071 | 18.5% | 123.3 | 14.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarasota | 16 | 2/1,119 | 62.5% | 649.2 | 66.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarasota | 1/ | 162,887 | 37.5% | 326.4 | 33.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Johns | 4 | 1/3,125 | 63.3% | 3/1.9 | 45.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Johns | 6 | 100,300 | 36.7% | 449.6 | 54.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| voiusia | 6 | 518,147 | 93.6% | 1,370.0 | 95.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| voiusia | / | 35,396 | 6.4% | 62.4 | 4.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| waiton | 1 | 47,648 | 63.3% | 821.5 | 58.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| waiton | 2 | 27,657 | 36.7% | 588.0 | 41.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

1/5/2022

Split Counties and Cities

| | Cities include | d in more thar | n one district | t | | Ci | ities include | d in more tha | n one distri | ict | | | Cities ir | cluded in mo | ore than on | ne district | | | Cities incl | uded in mor | e than o | ne district | | |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|------|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|---|
| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | City | | Dist. Tota | l Pop Po | op% Total | Area Area% | City | F | Dist. Total | Pop P | op% Tota | I Area Area | % |
| Belle Isle | 9 | 219 | 3.1% | 0.2 | 4.5% | Palm Beach | 18 | 2,634 | 28.5% | 2.7 | 34.4% | | | | - | | | | = | | - | = | - | - |
| Belle Isle | 10 | 6,813 | 96.9% | 5.0 | 95.5% | Palm Beach | 21 | 6,611 | 71.5% | 5.1 | 65.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clearwater | 13 | 82,282 | 70.2% | 25.4 | 70.8% | Palm Beach Gardens | 18 | 59,182 | 100.0% | 59.3 | 99.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clearwater | 14 | 35,010 | 29.9% | 10.5 | 29.2% | Palm Beach Gardens | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 0.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clermont | 11 | 43,021 | 100.0% | 17.4 | 91.1% | Pembroke Pines | 23 | 170,725 | 99.7% | 34.7 | 99.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clermont | 28 | 0 | 0.0% | 1.7 | 8.9% | Pembroke Pines | 24 | 453 | 0.3% | 0.0 | 0.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cutler Bay | 26 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.2% | Pinellas Park | 13 | 53,093 | 100.0% | 16.8 | 100.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cutler Bay | 27 | 45,425 | 100.0% | 10.3 | 99.8% | Pinellas Park | 14 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeBary | 6 | 9,468 | 42.5% | 8.0 | 36.7% | Plantation | 20 | 41,374 | 45.1% | 9.0 | 40.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeBary | 7 | 12,792 | 57.5% | 13.8 | 63.4% | Plantation | 23 | 50,376 | 54.9% | 13.0 | 59.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 20 | 29,350 | 33.8% | 4.8 | 29.5% | Pompano Beach | 20 | 53,918 | 48.1% | 11.3 | 45.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 22 | 57,509 | 66.2% | 11.4 | 70.6% | Pompano Beach | 22 | 58,128 | 51.9% | 13.4 | 54.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeFuniak Springs | 1 | 860 | 14.5% | 3.7 | 25.9% | Riviera Beach | 18 | 9,951 | 26.5% | 3.1 | 32.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeFuniak Springs | 2 | 5,059 | 85.5% | 10.6 | 74.1% | Riviera Beach | 20 | 27,653 | 73.5% | 6.5 | 67.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deltona | 6 | /6,30/ | 81.4% | 33.7 | 82.4% | Royal Palm Beach | 18 | 16,407 | 42.1% | 5.0 | 42.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deltona | / | 17,385 | 18.6% | 7.2 | 17.6% | Royal Palm Beach | 20 | 17,861 | 45.9% | 5.6 | 48.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 20 | 58,993 | 32.3% | 13.0 | 35.7% | Royal Palm Beach | 21 | 4,664 | 12.0% | 1.1 | 8.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 22 | 102,735 | 56.2% | 18.3 | 50.4% | St. Augustine | 4 | 2,447 | 17.1% | 1.6 | 12.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 23 | 21,032 | 11.5% | 5.0 | 13.9% | St. Augustine | 6 | 11,882 | 82.9% | 11.3 | 87.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Myers | 1/ | 32,184 | 37.3% | 19.8 | 40.4% | St. Petersburg | 13 | 241,005 | 93.3% | 124.6 | 94.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Myers | 19 | 54,211 | 62.8% | 29.2 | 59.6% | St. Petersburg | 14 | 17,303 | b./% | 6.7 | 5.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freeport | 1 | 5,587 | 95.3% | 15.3 | 80.4% | Sunrise | 20 | 85,270 | 87.0% | 14.1 | 77.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freeport | 2 | 274 | 4.7% | 3.7 | 19.6% | Sunrise | 23 | 12,065 | 12.4% | 4.0 | 22.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groveland | 11 | 16,026 | 80.0% | 24.3 | 93.0% | Tallahassee | 2 | 82,107 | 41.9% | 24.0 | 22 5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hallandala Boach | 20 | 2,479 | 15.4% | 1.0 | 7.170 CE 09/ | Tampa | 3 | 262 524 | 20.1% | 126.1 | 55.5% 71 70/ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hallandale Beach | 23 | 12 490 | 20.2% | 5.0 | 2/ 1% | Tampa | 14 | 205,554 | 21 5% | 120.1 | 71.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| lacksonville | 24 | 12,480 | 19.6% | 412.0 | /7 2% | Tampa Tarpon Springs | 13 | 11 592 | JE 1% | 45.8 | 57 5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jacksonville | 5 | 401,184 | 40.0% | 412.9 | 52.8% | Tarpon Springs | 12 | 10 7/18 | 40.1% | 5.8 | 37.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jacksonvine | 2 | 400,427 | 54.1% | 401.0 | /0.0% | Tarpon Springs | 14 | 2 787 | 42.8% | 17 | 9.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake City | 5 | 5 657 | 15.9% | 6.1 | 50.1% | Umatilla | 6 | 1 / 15 | 38.4% | 1.7 | 45.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Park | 18 | 1 245 | 13.8% | 0.4 | 17.1% | Umatilla | 11 | 2 270 | 61.6% | 2.3 | 54 5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Park | 20 | 7 802 | 86.2% | 2.0 | 82.9% | Venice | 16 | 12 178 | 47.8% | 10.9 | 61.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Largo | 13 | 75 101 | 91.1% | 17.1 | 87.5% | Venice | 17 | 13 285 | 52.2% | 6.7 | 38.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Largo | 14 | 7.384 | 9.0% | 2.4 | 12.5% | West Palm Beach | 18 | 18,256 | 15.6% | 33.2 | 57.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maitland | 7 | 13.655 | 69.9% | 4.8 | 73.3% | West Palm Beach | 20 | 63.092 | 53.7% | 16.2 | 27.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maitland | 10 | 5.888 | 30.1% | 1.7 | 26.7% | West Palm Beach | 21 | 36.067 | 30.7% | 8.6 | 14.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Margate | 20 | 17,409 | 29.7% | 2.5 | 27.6% | Winter Park | 7 | 23.065 | 77.4% | 8.2 | 78.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Margate | 22 | 41,303 | 70.4% | 6.6 | 72.4% | Winter Park | 10 | 6,730 | 22.6% | 2.2 | 21.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 24 | 98,349 | 22.2% | 15.0 | 26.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 25 | 54,725 | 12.4% | 4.8 | 8.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 27 | 289,167 | 65.4% | 36.3 | 64.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Gardens | 24 | 90,649 | 81.2% | 15.2 | 79.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Gardens | 25 | 20,991 | 18.8% | 3.8 | 20.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar | 23 | 76,594 | 56.9% | 24.0 | 77.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar | 24 | 58,127 | 43.2% | 7.1 | 22.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| North Palm Beach | 18 | 13,162 | 100.0% | 5.3 | 99.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| North Palm Beach | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Park | 20 | 15,037 | 34.0% | 3.7 | 44.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Park | 22 | 29,192 | 66.0% | 4.5 | 55.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Opa-locka | 24 | 9,537 | 57.9% | 1.5 | 34.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Opa-locka | 25 | 6,926 | 42.1% | 3.0 | 66.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orlando | 7 | 22,957 | 7.5% | 5.7 | 4.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orlando | 9 | 103,806 | 33.8% | 68.7 | 57.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orlando | 10 | 180,810 | 58.8% | 44.5 | 37.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Functional Analysis - Summary

| | 2020 | Census | | | | | | | | 2020 Genera | al Election Re | egistered Vot | ters | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|----------|--------|----------|
| Dist. | VAP w | ho are: | | RV who are | : | RV wh | no are: | Blac | k Voters who | are: | Hisp | . Voters who | are: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | nho are: | NPAOth | who are: |
| | Black | Hisp | DEM | REP | OTH | Black | Hisp | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. |
| 5 | 43.73% | 9.04% | 54.34% | 26.24% | 19.43% | 43.53% | 4.83% | 84.10% | 2.75% | 13.14% | 44.56% | 21.04% | 34.20% | 67.38% | 3.96% | 4.57% | 3.87% | 29.43% | 8.50% |
| 9 | 12.72% | 51.89% | 42.29% | 22.62% | 35.09% | 9.13% | 46.15% | 71.84% | 3.92% | 24.23% | 46.78% | 13.61% | 39.62% | 15.51% | 51.05% | 1.58% | 27.75% | 6.30% | 52.10% |
| 10 | 28.58% | 23.29% | 45.15% | 26.06% | 28.79% | 24.62% | 16.03% | 77.90% | 3.23% | 18.85% | 45.56% | 15.95% | 38.44% | 42.48% | 16.18% | 3.05% | 9.81% | 16.11% | 21.41% |
| 20 | 50.04% | 22.15% | 61.23% | 13.99% | 24.78% | 46.67% | 14.84% | 81.44% | 2.55% | 16.00% | 46.41% | 17.36% | 36.20% | 62.07% | 11.25% | 8.50% | 18.42% | 30.12% | 21.67% |
| 24 | 42.02% | 37.76% | 60.07% | 12.45% | 27.48% | 43.75% | 26.79% | 82.51% | 2.43% | 15.05% | 42.80% | 20.02% | 37.16% | 60.09% | 19.09% | 8.53% | 43.09% | 23.96% | 36.22% |
| 25 | 7.96% | 76.83% | 31.43% | 36.54% | 32.03% | 6.97% | 64.09% | 79.64% | 3.94% | 16.25% | 29.23% | 35.98% | 34.77% | 17.66% | 59.60% | 0.75% | 63.10% | 3.54% | 69.57% |
| 26 | 10.32% | 73.35% | 33.92% | 32.58% | 33.51% | 8.67% | 63.92% | 77.59% | 3.48% | 18.90% | 28.78% | 35.47% | 35.74% | 19.84% | 54.23% | 0.93% | 69.60% | 4.89% | 68.18% |
| 27 | 7.07% | 74.18% | 34.57% | 33.39% | 32.04% | 6.14% | 62.79% | 78.61% | 3.67% | 17.61% | 28.03% | 38.96% | 33.00% | 13.97% | 50.91% | 0.67% | 73.27% | 3.38% | 64.68% |

Functional Analysis - Summary

Plan S000C8038

| | 2020 0 | Census | Avera | ge Primary B | Election Tur | nout | | | | | | | Average Ge | neral Elect | ion Turnou | t | | | | | | G | ieneral Elec | tion Perforn | nance in Sta | tewide Elec | tions 2012-2 | 2020 |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|------------|-------------|------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------|
| Dist. | VAP wi | ho are: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | ho are: | Vo | oters who a | re: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | ho are: | NPAOth | who are: | Black | Voters wh | o are: | Hisp. | Voters wh | o are: | Avg. | Perf. | W | ns | | Margins | |
| | Black | Hisp | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | DEM | REP | MAX | MIN | AVG |
| 5 | 43.73% | 9.04% | 66.22% | 1.13% | 2.81% | 1.51% | 58.13% | 28.64% | 13.23% | 65.88% | 2.59% | 3.31% | 2.83% | 25.94% | 6.77% | 89.70% | 2.22% | 8.05% | 45.52% | 25.69% | 28.14% | 58.5% | 40.1% | 14 | 0 | D +32.4% | D +7.1% | D +18.8% |
| 9 | 12.72% | 51.89% | 18.03% | 36.34% | 0.97% | 14.47% | 44.15% | 28.38% | 27.46% | 16.95% | 44.89% | 1.30% | 20.25% | 6.02% | 43.36% | 78.69% | 3.86% | 17.40% | 52.97% | 15.24% | 31.77% | 57.9% | 40.3% | 12 | 2 | D +36.3% | R +0.8% | D +18% |
| 10 | 28.58% | 23.29% | 49.09% | 7.69% | 1.94% | 4.75% | 45.84% | 31.97% | 22.18% | 44.94% | 12.67% | 2.19% | 7.01% | 14.00% | 16.58% | 84.31% | 2.85% | 12.79% | 49.40% | 19.08% | 31.35% | 57.5% | 40.9% | 12 | 2 | D +29.3% | R +1.2% | D +17.2% |
| 20 | 50.04% | 22.15% | 64.04% | 4.47% | 6.25% | 9.69% | 66.46% | 14.61% | 18.92% | 62.17% | 8.59% | 6.94% | 14.36% | 28.30% | 18.16% | 86.61% | 2.12% | 11.23% | 50.45% | 18.81% | 30.57% | 78.1% | 21.0% | 14 | 0 | D +65.1% | D +50.7% | D +57.3% |
| 24 | 42.02% | 37.76% | 67.48% | 10.63% | 7.00% | 47.13% | 66.57% | 12.13% | 21.30% | 62.81% | 15.65% | 7.51% | 42.22% | 23.92% | 34.11% | 87.45% | 1.90% | 10.62% | 45.30% | 22.77% | 31.86% | 80.3% | 18.8% | 14 | 0 | D +68% | D +49.4% | D +61.6% |
| 25 | 7.96% | 76.83% | 26.60% | 42.55% | 0.43% | 61.13% | 32.92% | 41.38% | 25.70% | 20.79% | 53.26% | 0.57% | 61.19% | 3.39% | 66.94% | 85.82% | 2.98% | 11.07% | 29.10% | 42.27% | 28.61% | 45.0% | 53.8% | 3 | 11 | R +20.4% | D +2.6% | R +8.6% |
| 26 | 10.32% | 73.35% | 22.58% | 36.25% | 0.57% | 65.42% | 35.69% | 36.75% | 27.56% | 21.18% | 47.57% | 0.77% | 66.17% | 4.67% | 64.29% | 82.77% | 3.10% | 14.10% | 28.65% | 41.33% | 30.00% | 50.7% | 48.0% | 9 | 5 | D +15.7% | R +2.2% | D +3% |
| 27 | 7.07% | 74.18% | 17.87% | 36.73% | 0.39% | 75.66% | 35.72% | 38.10% | 26.18% | 15.24% | 45.38% | 0.52% | 72.02% | 3.19% | 63.12% | 83.83% | 3.09% | 12.93% | 26.85% | 45.71% | 27.44% | 50.6% | 48.3% | 9 | 5 | D +17.4% | R +0.6% | D +2.7% |

| | | | 5 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|------------|----------|---------|
| | Plan S000C8038 | BVAP | 43.73% | 12.72% | 28.58% | 50.04% | 42.02% | 7.96% | 10.32% | 7.07% |
| | Primary Elections | HVAP | 9.04% | 51.89% | 23.29% | 22.15% | 37.76% | 76.83% | 73.35% | 74.18% |
| | | R_Baldauf | 0.70% | 0.89% | 0.69% | 1.36% | 1.92% | 1.93% | 1.83% | 1.50% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 52.44% | 52.06% | 52.08% | 62.76% | 66.52% | 65.93% | 67.74% | 67.69% |
| | | R_Devine | 1.13% | 2.07% | 1.42% | 2.20% | 3.24% | 2.92% | 3.34% | 3.09% |
| | Coverner (BEB) | R_Langford | 1.13% | 1.53% | 1.64% | 1.86% | 1.97% | 1.41% | 1.72% | 1.53% |
| | Governor (REP) | R_Mercadante | 0.42% | 1.30% | 0.75% | 1.51% | 2.13% | 1.93% | 2.06% | 2.14% |
| | | R_Nathan | 0.71% | 1.00% | 0.80% | 1.54% | 2.72% | 1.13% | 1.42% | 1.39% |
| | | R_Putnam | 41.63% | 38.39% | 40.26% | 25.36% | 17.05% | 21.84% | 18.17% | 18.84% |
| | | R_White | 1.62% | 2.66% | 2.07% | 2.89% | 3.92% | 2.63% | 3.54% | 3.46% |
| | | D_Gillum | 58.39% | 29.37% | 45.52% | 52.96% | 50.35% | 32.88% | 31.83% | 28.95% |
| | | D_Graham | 22.26% | 29.78% | 28.36% | 13.34% | 11.17% | 19.31% | 21.15% | 22.65% |
| | | D Greene | 5.72% | 14.10% | 8.70% | 10.39% | 9.34% | 9.66% | 10.62% | 7.94% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D King | 1.43% | 4.42% | 3.73% | 0.94% | 0.75% | 2.33% | 2.11% | 1.54% |
| | | D_Levine | 10.71% | 19.37% | 12.44% | 21.58% | 27.53% | 32.70% | 32.23% | 37.17% |
| 2010 | | D Lundmark | 0.49% | 1.17% | 0.43% | 0.30% | 0.38% | 1.37% | 0.91% | 0.78% |
| 2018 | | D Wetherbee | 0.83% | 1.70% | 0.65% | 0.38% | 0.32% | 1.27% | 0.97% | 0.68% |
| | Atterney Concerd (DED) | R_Moody | 57.78% | 54.13% | 55.38% | 55.57% | 53.16% | 52.08% | 54.82% | 54.79% |
| | Attorney General (REP) | R White | 42.22% | 45.85% | 44.62% | 44.27% | 46.64% | 47.88% | 45.11% | 45.20% |
| | | D Shaw | 78.66% | 60.47% | 74.45% | 81.44% | 82.10% | 67.77% | 69.58% | 74.09% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D Torrens | 21.31% | 39.52% | 25.57% | 18.56% | 17.89% | 32.10% | 30.43% | 25.91% |
| | | R Caldwell | 35.67% | 36.39% | 34.81% | 43.50% | 39.73% | 42.29% | 42.07% | 40.18% |
| | | R Grimsley | 21.36% | 31.75% | 31.44% | 25.91% | 31.44% | 29.71% | 31.57% | 32.70% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (REP) | R McCalister | 8.68% | 16.31% | 15.40% | 21.17% | 17.11% | 12.78% | 16.62% | 16.76% |
| | | R Troutman | 34.12% | 15.47% | 18.25% | 9.04% | 11.06% | 15.05% | 9.61% | 10.37% |
| | | D Fried | 60.09% | 54.87% | 55.24% | 63.92% | 59.04% | 52.18% | 53.25% | 59.89% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) | D Porter | 20.04% | 18.96% | 17.52% | 16.10% | 17.36% | 20.02% | 20.45% | 15.13% |
| | с (, , , | D Walker | 19.86% | 26.15% | 27.25% | 19.96% | 23.60% | 27.59% | 26.21% | 24.88% |
| | | R De La Fuente | 10.20% | 10.25% | 11.19% | 14.88% | 15.74% | 9.81% | 12.28% | 12.63% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Scott | 89.71% | 89.72% | 88.75% | 84.91% | 84.06% | 90.09% | 87.66% | 87.32% |
| | | R Beruff | 22.31% | 17.31% | 17.64% | 14.64% | 8.73% | 8.85% | 6.43% | 5.58% |
| | | R Rivera | 3.70% | 3.23% | 2.40% | 5.03% | 3.26% | 2.20% | 2.94% | 1.88% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Rubio | 68.00% | 71.67% | 74.47% | 70.56% | 80.12% | 85.24% | 85.70% | 88.87% |
| | | R Young | 5.81% | 7.69% | 5.27% | 9.37% | 7.44% | 3.59% | 4.86% | 3.46% |
| 2016 | | D De La Fuente | 4.12% | 15.25% | 3.90% | 3.17% | 5.51% | 19.30% | 13,76% | 12.16% |
| | | D Gravson | 17.53% | 45.90% | 40.69% | 9.95% | 10.82% | 11.17% | 11.16% | 11.19% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D Keith | 15.18% | 9.58% | 12.67% | 14.56% | 13.82% | 13.73% | 15.63% | 17.86% |
| | | D Luster | 12.08% | 1 26% | 2 28% | 2 23% | 2 68% | 2 02% | 1 68% | 1 54% |
| | | D Murphy | 50.94% | 27.88% | 40.26% | 69.89% | 66.91% | 53.19% | 57.51% | 56.90% |
| | | B Adeshina | 1 29% | 1 74% | 1 64% | 2.66% | 2 97% | 1.46% | 1 77% | 1.80% |
| | Governor (REP) | R_Cuevas-Neunder | 8.00% | 12 32% | 9.54% | 14 56% | 16 32% | 10.61% | 15 10% | 13 26% |
| | | R_Coevas-Neunder | 00.47% | 85.83% | 88 60% | 82 / 2% | 80.36% | 87 73% | 82.05% | 84 83% |
| 2014 | | D_Crist | 7/ 3/% | 76.23% | 78.06% | 82.95% | 84.35% | 76 74% | 78 / 2% | 73 08% |
| 2014 | Governor (DEM) | D_Crist | 25 500/ | 22 710/ | 21 01% | 17.00% | 15 610/ | 22 0 / 10/ | 21 / 20/ | 75.50% |
| | | D_hitii | 23.30% | 23.71/0 | 21.01/0 | 20.26% | 15.01/0 | ZZ.04/0 | 21.40% | 23.05/0 |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D Thurston | 20 170/ | 20 1 20/ | +5.00% | 55.20% | +0.77% | 40.010/ | 20 /00/ | 2/ 270/ |
| | | D_muiston | 59.1/% | 39.12% | 50.45% | 65.26% | 71 70% | 40.91% | 30.40% | 34.37% |
| | | R_IVIACK | 57.58% | 48.40% | 58.29% | 05.26% | /1./8% | 73.46% | 73.64% | 77.15% |
| | US Senate (REP) | K_IVICCalister | 18.65% | 12.02% | 10.84% | 13.11% | 6.85% | 8.01% | /.36% | 5.18% |
| 2012 | | K_Stuart | 5.92% | 6.84% | 4.8/% | 7.25% | 13.13% | 12.37% | 13.26% | 12.99% |
| | | K_weldon | 17.45% | 32.53% | 25.74% | 13.85% | 8.00% | 5.92% | 5.67% | 4.46% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_Burkett | 22.03% | 19.85% | 13.56% | 14.24% | 14.02% | 21.21% | 18.40% | 14.76% |
| | | D_Nelson | 77.91% | 80.11% | 86.33% | 85.70% | 85.93% | 78.58% | 81.49% | 85.11% |

| | | | 5 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|------|--------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Plan S000C8038 | BVAP | 43.73% | 12.72% | 28.58% | 50.04% | 42.02% | 7.96% | 10.32% | 7.07% |
| | General Elections | HVAP | 9.04% | 51.89% | 23.29% | 22.15% | 37.76% | 76.83% | 73.35% | 74.18% |
| 2020 | President | D_Biden | 60.23% | 58.97% | 61.61% | 75.53% | 74.41% | 40.98% | 46.43% | 49.44% |
| 2020 | riesident | R_Trump | 38.62% | 40.04% | 37.39% | 23.88% | 25.06% | 58.48% | 52.99% | 50.01% |
| | Governor | D_Gillum | 62.51% | 62.25% | 62.24% | 79.65% | 81.56% | 46.17% | 52.49% | 53.18% |
| | Governor | R_DeSantis | 36.60% | 36.40% | 36.74% | 19.73% | 17.74% | 52.44% | 46.31% | 45.75% |
| | Attornov Conoral | D_Shaw | 59.25% | 58.93% | 58.46% | 78.13% | 80.14% | 44.45% | 50.86% | 51.99% |
| | Attorney General | R_Moody | 39.21% | 39.07% | 39.89% | 20.54% | 18.30% | 53.53% | 46.94% | 46.10% |
| 2019 | Chief Einancial Officer | D_Ring | 60.38% | 61.36% | 60.30% | 79.52% | 81.61% | 45.82% | 51.93% | 52.59% |
| 2010 | Chief Financial Officer | R_Patronis | 39.62% | 38.64% | 39.70% | 20.46% | 18.38% | 54.17% | 48.07% | 47.41% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Fried | 61.38% | 62.76% | 62.19% | 79.77% | 82.11% | 46.93% | 53.44% | 54.63% |
| | Agriculture commissioner | R_Caldwell | 38.63% | 37.24% | 37.81% | 20.22% | 17.88% | 53.06% | 46.56% | 45.38% |
| | LIS Sonato | D_Nelson | 62.25% | 60.97% | 62.06% | 79.66% | 81.49% | 46.47% | 53.46% | 54.47% |
| | 05 Senate | R_Scott | 37.75% | 39.02% | 37.93% | 20.33% | 18.51% | 53.52% | 46.54% | 45.52% |
| | President | D_Clinton | 58.51% | 62.85% | 60.06% | 77.52% | 81.10% | 52.56% | 56.46% | 57.42% |
| 2016 | Fresident | R_Trump | 38.61% | 33.71% | 36.40% | 20.71% | 17.23% | 45.16% | 40.81% | 40.05% |
| 2010 | LIC Consta | D_Murphy | 52.82% | 55.80% | 54.84% | 75.52% | 76.02% | 42.42% | 47.69% | 47.78% |
| | 05 Senate | R_Rubio | 43.90% | 40.13% | 41.35% | 22.53% | 21.88% | 55.35% | 49.92% | 50.17% |
| | Governor | D_Crist | 56.54% | 53.29% | 54.73% | 79.64% | 82.25% | 43.00% | 51.20% | 50.00% |
| | Governor | R_Scott | 39.85% | 41.58% | 40.71% | 18.20% | 16.17% | 54.28% | 45.89% | 47.55% |
| | Atterney Ceneral | D_Sheldon | 53.20% | 49.61% | 51.87% | 75.88% | 79.86% | 38.72% | 45.82% | 46.03% |
| 2014 | Attorney General | R_Bondi | 44.31% | 47.53% | 45.25% | 22.66% | 18.70% | 58.94% | 51.75% | 51.96% |
| 2014 | Chief Financial Officer | D_Rankin | 53.57% | 49.60% | 49.35% | 75.36% | 79.06% | 40.24% | 45.88% | 43.49% |
| | | R_Atwater | 46.43% | 50.39% | 50.66% | 24.62% | 20.94% | 59.75% | 54.12% | 56.53% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Hamilton | 55.57% | 48.36% | 49.39% | 76.85% | 79.82% | 39.79% | 46.04% | 44.31% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | R_Putnam | 44.41% | 51.64% | 50.60% | 23.15% | 20.18% | 60.19% | 53.95% | 55.69% |
| | Drasidant | D_Obama | 61.03% | 62.43% | 59.08% | 80.43% | 82.82% | 51.07% | 54.83% | 52.22% |
| 2012 | President | R_Romney | 38.14% | 36.74% | 40.13% | 19.14% | 16.82% | 48.44% | 44.61% | 47.27% |
| 2012 | LIC Consta | D_Nelson | 65.00% | 66.89% | 63.71% | 81.94% | 83.49% | 52.79% | 56.33% | 54.47% |
| | US Senate | R_Mack | 32.61% | 30.61% | 34.42% | 16.83% | 15.47% | 45.07% | 42.03% | 44.15% |



Census and Boundary Statistics

Page 2

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

67 6 6 119 48 48 50 50

Counties with all population in a single district

Aggregate number of county splits

Counties split into more than one district

Counties with only one district Districts with only one county

Number of Counties

Aggregate number of splits with population Number of Cities

Cities with only one district

412 367 45 372 372 96 91

> Cities split into more than one district Cities with all population in only one district Aggregate number of city splits Aggregate number of splits with population

In Plan S000C8040

District lines and City and County Boundaries

| | Deviation | | Voting Age | Population: | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | ties: | Citi | ies: | | Politic | al and G | eographic I | Boundari | es: |
|-------|-----------|-----|------------|-------------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|
| Dist. | Total 9 | % | Black | Hisp. | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 1 0.0 | 00% | 15.54% | 24.99% | 2,550.1 | 265.8 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 48 | 50 | 367 | 96 | 13% | 61% | 19% | 39% | 2% | 8% |
| 1 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 13.55% | 6.69% | 4,416 | 329 | 0.87 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 2% | 81% | 14% | 55% | 0% | 2% |
| 2 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 13.32% | 6.21% | 15,879 | 884 | 0.71 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 15 | 5 | 55 | 4 | 4% | 76% | 19% | 47% | 0% | 4% |
| 3 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 16.08% | 10.45% | 3,864 | 308 | 0.88 | 0.51 | 0.70 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 17% | 74% | 15% | 24% | 1% | 8% |
| 4 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 10.79% | 9.27% | 1,553 | 332 | 0.66 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 24% | 73% | 17% | 54% | 2% | 3% |
| 5 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 43.73% | 9.04% | 3,753 | 635 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 12% | 74% | 22% | 13% | 0% | 2% |
| 6 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 9.70% | 11.04% | 2,770 | 332 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 4 | 6% | 74% | 7% | 54% | 2% | 10% |
| 7 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 12.32% | 25.39% | 490 | 113 | 0.88 | 0.49 | 0.66 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 6% | 43% | 19% | 32% | 0% | 34% |
| 8 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 9.58% | 10.30% | 2,301 | 272 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0% | 85% | 6% | 46% | 0% | 9% |
| 9 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 12.81% | 50.24% | 1,840 | 253 | 0.86 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2% | 76% | 17% | 31% | 0% | 8% |
| 10 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 28.33% | 23.38% | 453 | 103 | 0.89 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 14% | 68% | 31% | 23% | 0% | 2% |
| 11 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 8.61% | 10.59% | 2,643 | 349 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 12% | 70% | 19% | 38% | 1% | 7% |
| 12 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 6.55% | 14.04% | 1,764 | 194 | 0.90 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 3% | 90% | 10% | 44% | 0% | 2% |
| 13 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 10.72% | 9.05% | 705 | 123 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 20% | 62% | 20% | 74% | 0% | 6% |
| 14 | -1 0.0 | 00% | 11.13% | 26.93% | 422 | 96 | 0.86 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 16% | 27% | 44% | 20% | 10% | 6% |
| 15 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 21.98% | 24.65% | 677 | 109 | 0.97 | 0.71 | 0.59 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9% | 48% | 35% | 1% | 12% | 5% |
| 16 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 7.23% | 13.73% | 1,969 | 202 | 0.89 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 20% | 58% | 16% | 53% | 2% | 5% |
| 17 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 9.02% | 16.71% | 5,797 | 382 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 4% | 79% | 10% | 28% | 1% | 2% |
| 18 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 12.22% | 14.87% | 1,730 | 225 | 0.76 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 7% | 70% | 11% | 48% | 0% | 10% |
| 19 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 4.37% | 15.06% | 1,894 | 236 | 0.79 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 3% | 66% | 18% | 61% | 1% | 8% |
| 20 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 50.04% | 22.15% | 2,556 | 315 | 0.81 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 22% | 42% | 23% | 11% | 4% | 15% |
| 21 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 16.53% | 25.39% | 345 | 98 | 0.77 | 0.45 | 0.48 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 34% | 25% | 23% | 39% | 0% | 20% |
| 22 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 12.74% | 20.40% | 259 | 106 | 0.78 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 32% | 25% | 18% | 36% | 12% | 15% |
| 23 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 16.90% | 42.18% | 239 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 53% | 30% | 28% | 21% | 0% | 10% |
| 24 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 42.02% | 37.76% | 176 | 68 | 0.90 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 26% | 36% | 29% | 46% | 1% | 18% |
| 25 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 7.96% | 76.83% | 3,680 | 364 | 0.67 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7% | 68% | 16% | 20% | 0% | 8% |
| 26 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 10.32% | 73.35% | 6,710 | 591 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1% | 88% | 7% | 86% | 0% | 1% |
| 27 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 7.07% | 74.18% | 280 | 70 | 0.95 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 9% | 18% | 35% | 59% | 0% | 6% |
| 28 | 0 0.0 | 00% | 14.78% | 23.18% | 2,240 | 276 | 0.85 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 5% | 90% | 3% | 26% | 0% | 5% |

Split Counties and Cities

| CoarsIndData byData byDat | Co | ounties include | ed in more th | an one distr | ict | | Countie | s included in more than one district | | (| Counties included in more | e than one district | t | Cou | nties included in mo | ore than one distri | ct |
|---|--------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Binane202121.0021.0021.00Binane2021.0021.0021.0021.00Binane2021.0021.0021.0021.00Binane2121.0021.0021.0021.00Binane2121.0021.0021.0021.00Calar2021.0021.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00Calar221.0021.0021.00< | County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | otal Area | Area% | County | Dist. Total Pop Pop% Tota | al Area Area% | County | Dist. Total Pop | p Pop% Tot | tal Area Area% | County | Dist. Total P | op Pop% T | otal Area Area% |
| HandianYaYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaControlYaYaYaYaControl <td>Broward</td> <td>20</td> <td>535,675</td> <td>27.6%</td> <td>888.0</td> <td>67.9%</td> <td>· · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · · ·</td> <td>• •</td> | Broward | 20 | 535,675 | 27.6% | 888.0 | 67.9% | · · · · · | | | - | | | | | | · · · · | • • |
| bin101010101010101010101010101010101010101110101010101011101010101010111010101010111010101010111010101010111010101010111010101010111010101010111010101011101010101110101010111010101011101010101110101010111010101011101010101110101010111010101011101010111010101110101011101010111010101110101011101010111010101110101011101010 <td>Broward</td> <td>22</td> <td>547,029</td> <td>28.1%</td> <td>168.8</td> <td>12.9%</td> <td></td> | Broward | 22 | 547,029 | 28.1% | 168.8 | 12.9% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VectorVectorVectorVectorVectorColumb <td< td=""><td>Broward</td><td>23</td><td>769,221</td><td>39.6%</td><td>238.9</td><td>18.3%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | Broward | 23 | 769,221 | 39.6% | 238.9 | 18.3% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control <t< td=""><td>Broward</td><td>24</td><td>92,450</td><td>4.8%</td><td>12.6</td><td>1.0%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Broward | 24 | 92,450 | 4.8% | 12.6 | 1.0% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Control <t< td=""><td>Collier</td><td>19</td><td>215,578</td><td>57.4%</td><td>639.5</td><td>24.6%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Collier | 19 | 215,578 | 57.4% | 639.5 | 24.6% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Countom23.1337.137.137.137.137.13Countom49.139.139.139.139.13Countom49.139.139.139.13Countom49.139.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.139.13Hillborgen19.139.13 <td< td=""><td>Collier</td><td>25</td><td>160,174</td><td>42.6%</td><td>1,965.6</td><td>75.5%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | Collier | 25 | 160,174 | 42.6% | 1,965.6 | 75.5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SoundSSindSindSindSindDockSSindSindSindDockSSindSindSindHibborgSSindSindSindHibborgSSindSindSindHibborgSSindSindSindHibborgSSindSindSindHibborgSSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSindSindHibborgSindSind <td>Columbia</td> <td>2</td> <td>51,337</td> <td>73.7%</td> <td>350.3</td> <td>43.7%</td> <td></td> | Columbia | 2 | 51,337 | 73.7% | 350.3 | 43.7% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DoalVictorVictorVictorVictorVictorHaboroVictorVictorVictor< | Columbia | 5 | 18,361 | 26.3% | 451.0 | 56.3% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| bailbailsilesilesilesilesileHildborgh12333333Hildborgh12333333Hildborgh1233333Hindborgh1233333Hindborgh1233333Hindborgh1333333Hindborgh1433333Hindborgh133333Hindborgh133333Hindborgh133333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh13333Hindborgh1333 | Duval | 4 | 505,744 | 50.8% | 454.9 | 49.5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NaibongYaValueV | Duval | 5 | 489,823 | 49.2% | 463.6 | 50.5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| HisborgFVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2Vac2Vac2Vac2HisborgIVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2Vac2Vac2HisborgIVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweIVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweIVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweIVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweIVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2Vac2Vac2LindenweVac2Vac2 | Hillsborough | 14 | 592,149 | 40.6% | 299.1 | 22.5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nikbo With Idami NegNikbo With Nikbo WithNikbo With Nikbo WithNikbo With Nikbo WithNikbo With Nikbo WithIdami NegIVitaVitaVitaVitaVitaIdami NegVitaVitaVitaVitaVitaVitaIdami NegVitaVitaVitaVita | Hillsborough | 15 | 769,221 | 52.7% | 676.6 | 50.8% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| India NerrII | Hillsborough | 16 | 98,392 | 6.7% | 356.2 | 26.7% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indem of a111111International111111International1111111International1111111International1111111International1111111International1111111International111111International111111International111111International111111International111111International111111International111111International111111International111111International11111International11111International11111International1111International1111International1111International1111 | Indian River | 8 | 145,456 | 91.0% | 609.1 | 98.7% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| interimentiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiiiiiiiiiiinterimentiiiiii | Indian River | 18 | 14,332 | 9.0% | 7.8 | 1.3% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| inference | Jefferson | 2 | 4,410 | 30.4% | 400.1 | 59.5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| lakedesolesolesolelake118/38/38/38/3lake128/32/38/3lake178/38/38/3lake178/38/38/3lake128/38/38/3lake128/38/38/3lake128/38/38/3lake138/38/38/3lake148/38/38/3lake138/38/3lake148/38/3lake158/38/3lake148/38/3lake158/3lake158/3lake158/3lake158/3lake168/3lake168/3lake158/3lake168/3lake168/3lake168/3lake168/3lake168/3lake168/3lake178/3lake188/3lake198/3lake198/3lake198/3lake198/3lake198/3lake198/3lake198/3lake198/3lake198/3lake19 </td <td>Jefferson</td> <td>5</td> <td>10,100</td> <td>69.6%</td> <td>272.5</td> <td>40.5%</td> <td></td> | Jefferson | 5 | 10,100 | 69.6% | 272.5 | 40.5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| jakejakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakejakeiakejakejakeiakejakejakeiakejakejakeiakejakejakeiakejakejakeiakejakejakeiakejakejakeiakejakejakeiakejakejakeiakejakejakeiakejakeiakejakeiakejakeiakejakejakejakejakejakejakejakejakejakejakejake< | Lake | 6 | 35.396 | 9.2% | 379.1 | 32.8% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| lakevaluevaluevaluevaluevalue1055.437.541.5402.5410255.437.541.5452.541021.5483.541.543.641021.5483.541.543.641011.541.543.641011.543.541.54101.51.543.543.64101.51.543.543.64101.51.543.543.64101.51.543.543.64101.51.543.543.64101.51.543.543.64101.51.543.541.54101.51.543.541.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.543.54101.51.54 | Lake | 11 | 304,385 | 79.3% | 547.9 | 47.4% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| iee <td< td=""><td>Lake</td><td>28</td><td>44,175</td><td>11.5%</td><td>229.8</td><td>19.9%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | Lake | 28 | 44,175 | 11.5% | 229.8 | 19.9% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| teen1253,48748748748teon24488604teon24488604Marino218,49223.841.4Marino11818,47218.454.9Marino267.7157.8457.84Marino267.7157.8457.94Marino268.4718.457.92Marino268.4718.457.92Marino268.4718.457.92Marino268.4718.418.4Marino268.4718.418.4Marino268.4718.418.4Marino268.4718.418.4Marino268.4718.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.6218.418.4Marino280.72 <t< td=""><td>Lee</td><td>17</td><td>207,179</td><td>27.2%</td><td>260.0</td><td>17.2%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | Lee | 17 | 207,179 | 27.2% | 260.0 | 17.2% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ien i iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii | Lee | 19 | 553,643 | 72.8% | 1,254.9 | 82.8% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| len s 14680 S.34 1.34 Marion 2 15473 4.28 8.43 Marion 1 15473 4.28 5.44 Marion 2 15473 5.34 3.44 MarionDato 2 6.771 2.18 3.43 MarionDato 2 6.86,342 2.18 3.20 MarionDato 2 6.86,342 2.18 3.20 MarionDato 2 6.86,342 2.18 3.20 MarionDato 2 8.652 2.68 3.42 Orange 2 7.92 3.48 3.44 Orange 3 2.73 1.84 3.43 PalmBach 2 7.92 3.45 1.54 PalmBach 2 7.92 1.54 3.43 PalmBach 2 7.92 1.54 3.49 PalmBach 2 7.45 3.49 3.44 PalmBach 2 7.14 | Leon | 2 | 145,318 | 49.7% | 488.5 | 69.6% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marian3333344Marian1141,44287,4057,64Marian1141,44287,4033Marian-Maria256,07216,036Marian-Maria256,047250,052Marian-Maria256,047222Marian-Maria256,047233Marian-Maria2726,29933Marian-Maria2726,29933Orange336,25223Orange336,25223Orange226,2921,343,34Orange336,2521,343,34Orange326,2521,343,34Orange326,2521,343,34Orange326,2521,343,34Orange326,2521,343,34Orange326,2521,353,34Pill Beach272,2521,353,35Pill Beach272,2521,353,34Pill Beach272,2523,543,54Pill Beach272,553,35Stabba33,45Pill Beach274,553,35Stabba33,45Stabba33,45Stabba33,55Stabba3 | Leon | 5 | 146,880 | 50.3% | 213.3 | 30.4% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marino1112.4747.2757.40Marino1247.1757.4037.40Marino257.4757.4037.40Marino256.47422Marino256.47422Marino256.47422Marino256.47422Marino256.47422Marino256.47422Marino276.27227.8753.54Marino276.27227.8723.33Orage7223.548.3Orage7223.548.3Palme Bach223.541.84Palme Bach222.553.45Palme Bach222.553.45Palme Bach222.553.45Palme Bach222.553.45Palme Bach222.553.45Palme Bach222.553.45Palme Bach222.553.45Palme Bach222.553.45Palme Bach222.553.56Palme Bach222.553.56Palme Bach222.553.56Palme Bach222.553.56Palme Bach222.553.56Palme Bach222.553.56Palme Bach223.553.56Palme Bach23.563.5 | Marion | 2 | 39,930 | 10.6% | 233.6 | 14.1% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Main Main <th< td=""><td>Marion</td><td>3</td><td>154,737</td><td>41.2%</td><td>874.2</td><td>52.6%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<> | Marion | 3 | 154,737 | 41.2% | 874.2 | 52.6% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maminolam 24 67.71 25.14 63.29 26.74 Maminolam 26 56424 21.4 52.2 20.00 Maminolam 26 56642 21.42.5 20.00 Maminolam 70 762.59 18.4 18.20 17.12 Orange 7 25.05 18.4 8.24 3.34 Orange 10 762.59 18.4 8.24 3.34 Orange 10 762.72 18.34 18.4 3.44 Orange 10 762.72 18.34 18.4 3.44 Orange 10 762.72 18.34 18.4 3.44 Palme Bach 10 762.72 18.4 18.4 3.44 Palme Bach 10 769.21 18.4 18.9 3.44 Palme Bach 12 17.45 18.34 18.4 3.44 Palme Bach 12 17.45 18.34 18.4 3.44 Palme Bach | Marion | 11 | 181,241 | 48.2% | 554.9 | 33.4% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Main-Dade Z S S2.0 Z Main-Dade Z S63.0 Z.140 S2.0 S2.0 Main-Dade Z S62.0 Z.83.0 S2.00 | Miami-Dade | 24 | 676,771 | 25.1% | 163.3 | 6.8% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Main-Dade Z6 Vision Vision Vision Viani-Dade Z7 Vision Vision Vision Vision Orange Z Vision Vision Vision Vision PalmeBach Z Z Z Vision Xision Vision PalmBach Z < | Miami-Dade | 25 | 569,428 | 21.1% | 525.2 | 22.0% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miani-GadeZiViscoluViscoluViscoluOrange7ViscoluViscoluViscoluOrange8ViscoluViscoluViscoluOrange9ViscoluViscoluViscoluOrange0ViscoluViscoluViscoluOrange0ViscoluViscoluViscoluPalm Beach18ViscoluViscoluViscoluPalm Beach20ViscoluViscoluViscoluPalm Beach21ViscoluViscoluViscoluPalm Beach22ViscoluViscoluViscoluPalm Beach22ViscoluViscoluViscoluPalm Beach21ViscoluViscoluViscoluPalm Beach22ViscoluViscoluViscoluPalm Beach21ViscoluViscoluViscoluPalm Beach22ViscoluViscoluViscoluPalm Beach22Viscolu <td< td=""><td>Miami-Dade</td><td>26</td><td>686,347</td><td>25.4%</td><td>1,420.5</td><td>59.5%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | Miami-Dade | 26 | 686,347 | 25.4% | 1,420.5 | 59.5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange 7 26.269 18.4 8.18 8.24 Orange 8 1.73 1.24 1.34.4 1.34.4 Orange 9 360.55 1.65.4 3.3.4 Orange 10 762.1 5.3.6 4.5.4 5.3.6 Orange 10 762.1 5.3.6 4.5.4 5.3.6 Palm Beach 20 23.5.6 1.6.7.6 7.0.6 Palm Beach 21 7.0.7 1.6.8 3.3.6 Pinelas 22 22.1.9 1.6.8 1.6.8 Pinelas 12 12.1.9 13.8 3.34 Sarasota 16 7.1.9 12.8 3.3.6 Sarasota 16 7.1.9 12.8 3.5.7 Starbas 16 7.1.9 12.8 13.5 Starbas 6 5.1.9 5.5.6 Starbas 16 7.3.9 5.4.9 5.5.8 Starbas 16 5.8.4 5.8.4 5.8 | Miami-Dade | 27 | 769,221 | 28.5% | 280.3 | 11.7% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange 8 17,153 12,40 13,44 13,47 Orange 9 30,655 26,66 33,42 33,37 Orange 10 762,723 53,88 54,33 Palm Beach 12 267,323 13,85 26,153 Palm Beach 20 23,344 16,656 70,074 Palm Beach 20 23,234 16,845 70,074 Palm Beach 20 22,2392 16,845 33,84 Palm Beach 22 22,192 16,84 38,94 Pinelas 17 6,285 38,84 38,94 Pinelas 16 70,713 81,84 31,84 Stansota 17 16,287 37,8 31,84 Volusi 17 16,387 37,9 36,45 St.ohns 48 17,125 63,37 37,9 35,46 Volusi 16 53,395 64,74 34,45 35,34 Volusi 16 < | Orange | 7 | 262,969 | 18.4% | 81.8 | 8.2% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange 9 380,56 26.6% 334.2 33.3% Orange 10 769,221 53.8% 45.0 45.10 Palm Beach 20 233,54 15.7% 16.67. 70.0% Palm Beach 21 759,221 51.6% 34.5 11.8% Palm Beach 22 22.12 16.4% 33.9% 35.0% Palm Beach 22 22.21 14.9% 33.9% 35.0% Palm Beach 22 22.21 14.9% 33.9% 35.0% Pinellas 13 769,221 8.1% 33.8% 35.0% Sarasota 17 16.28% 33.5% 36.5% St.ohns 4 17.31% 6.3% 45.3% Volusia 6 58.14% 93.6% 58.3% | Orange | 8 | 17,153 | 1.2% | 134.4 | 13.4% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange 10 769.21 53.8% 453.0 451.% Palm Beach 18 267.22 17.9% 281.3 11.8% Palm Beach 20 23.56 15.7% 16.67.6 70.0% Palm Beach 21 769.21 51.6% 344.5 14.5% Palm Beach 22 221.92 14.9% 39.9 3.8% Pinellas 13 769.21 81.8% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 14 17.07 18.5% 13.3% 14.3% Sarasota 16 71.1 62.5% 645.4 65.5% Sarasota 17 16.887 37.5% 32.6 54.7% Subsh 4 173.12 63.3% 31.9 45.3% Subsh 4 173.12 64.3% 54.7% Volusia 7 35.3% 13.7% 54.4% Volusia 7 35.3% 82.1 4.4% | Orange | 9 | 380,565 | 26.6% | 334.2 | 33.3% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Paim Beach 18 267,232 17.9% 281.3 11.8% Paim Beach 20 233,546 15.7% 1.667.6 70.0% Paim Beach 21 769,221 51.6% 34.5 14.5% Paim Beach 22 22,192 14.9% 83.9 3.8% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177.1 82.5 63.5% 13.5% Sarasota 17 162.887 37.5% 32.64 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,12 63.3% 33.9 Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 13.70.0 Volusia 7 35.39 6.4% 52.6% | Orange | 10 | 769,221 | 53.8% | 453.0 | 45.1% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Paim Beach 20 23,346 15.7% 1,667.6 70.0% Paim Beach 21 769.221 51.6% 344.5 14.5% Paim Beach 22 22.92 14.9% 89.9 3.8% Pinellas 12 72.81 3.39 3.9% Pinellas 13 769.221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 77.17 18.5% 12.33 14.3% Sarasota 16 27.119 62.5% 35.5% 35.6% St. Johns 4 17.37 81.5% 35.4% Volusia 6 518,47 93.6% 54.5% Volusia 7 35.3% 64.4 62.4 Volusia 7 35.3% 64.4 62.4 | Palm Beach | 18 | 267,232 | 17.9% | 281.3 | 11.8% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach 2 769,221 51.6% 344.5 14.5% Palm Beach 2 222,192 14.9% 89.9 3.8% Pinellas 12 12.815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 12 77.071 18.5% 123.3 8.18% Pinellas 6 77.11 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,19 62.5% 649.2 65.5% Sarasota 17 18.28% 37.5% 32.6% 33.5% St. Johns 6 103.00 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 64.4% 54.7% Volusia 7 35.39 6.4% 62.4 4.4% | Palm Beach | 20 | 233,546 | 15.7% | 1,667.6 | 70.0% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach 2 22,212 14.9% 89.9 3.8% Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 12 62,921 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 17,071 16.8 13.3 14.3% Sarasota 17 16.887 37.9% 32.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 17.15 63.3% 32.64 33.5% St. Johns 6 103,00 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 62.4 4.4% Valton 7 35.396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% | Palm Beach | 21 | 769,221 | 51.6% | 344.5 | 14.5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas 12 12,815 1.3% 33.9 3.9% Pinellas 13 765,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 17,71 18.5% 12.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 16,887 37.5% 32.64 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,12 63.3% 37.9 45.3% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 137.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35.3% 6.4% 6.4% 4.4% Valton 1 4.748 53.3% 83.5% | Palm Beach | 22 | 222,192 | 14.9% | 89.9 | 3.8% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas 13 769,221 80.2% 705.3 81.8% Pinellas 14 177,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,19 62.5% 66.9% 65.5% Sarasota 17 16,287 37.5% 32.64 33.5% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 62.4 4.4% Waton 1 47,648 63.3% 821.5 58.3% | Pinellas | 12 | 12,815 | 1.3% | 33.9 | 3.9% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas 14 17,071 18.5% 123.3 14.3% Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 17,32 63.3% 31.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35.396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% | Pinellas | 13 | 769,221 | 80.2% | 705.3 | 81.8% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarasota 16 271,119 62.5% 649.2 66.5% Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 31.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 103,01 86.7% 449.6 54.7% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35.396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% Walton 1 47,648 63.3% 82.15 58.3% | Pinellas | 14 | 177,071 | 18.5% | 123.3 | 14.3% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarasota 17 162,887 37.5% 326.4 33.5% St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,36 6.4% 62.4 4.4% Walton 1 47,648 63.3% 821.5 58.3% | Sarasota | 16 | 271,119 | 62.5% | 649.2 | 66.5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Johns 4 173,125 63.3% 371.9 45.3% St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% Walton 1 47,648 63.3% 821.5 58.3% | Sarasota | 17 | 162,887 | 37.5% | 326.4 | 33.5% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Johns 6 100,300 36.7% 449.6 54.7% Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% Walton 1 47,648 63.3% 821.5 58.3% | St. Johns | 4 | 173,125 | 63.3% | 371.9 | 45.3% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Volusia 6 518,147 93.6% 1,370.0 95.6% Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% Walton 1 47,648 63.3% 821.5 58.3% | St. Johns | 6 | 100,300 | 36.7% | 449.6 | 54.7% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Volusia 7 35,396 6.4% 62.4 4.4% Walton 1 47,648 63.3% 821.5 58.3% | Volusia | 6 | 518,147 | 93.6% | 1,370.0 | 95.6% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walton 1 47,648 63.3% 821.5 58.3% | Volusia | 7 | 35,396 | 6.4% | 62.4 | 4.4% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Walton | 1 | 47,648 | 63.3% | 821.5 | 58.3% | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walton 2 27,657 36.7% 588.0 41.7% | Walton | 2 | 27,657 | 36.7% | 588.0 | 41.7% | | | | | | | | | | | |

1/5/2022

Split Counties and Cities

| | Cities include | d in more thar | one district | | | Citie | es included | in more than | n one distri | ict | | Cities included in more than one district | | Cities | included in mor | e than one d | istrict | |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|--------|------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------|--|------|--------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|----------|
| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | City Dist. Total Pop Pop% Total Area Area% | City | v | Dist. Total I | Pop Pop% | Total Are | ea Area% |
| Belle Isle | 9 | 216 | 3.1% | 0.2 | 3.7% | Orlando | 9 | 86,183 | 28.0% | 63.9 | 53.7% | | | | • • | | • | • |
| Belle Isle | 10 | 6,816 | 96.9% | 5.0 | 96.3% | Orlando | 10 | 185,916 | 60.5% | 46.0 | 38.7% | | | | | | | |
| Clearwater | 13 | 82,282 | 70.2% | 25.4 | 70.8% | Palm Beach | 18 | 2,634 | 28.5% | 2.7 | 34.4% | | | | | | | |
| Clearwater | 14 | 35,010 | 29.9% | 10.5 | 29.2% | Palm Beach | 21 | 6,611 | 71.5% | 5.1 | 65.6% | | | | | | | |
| Clermont | 11 | 43,021 | 100.0% | 17.4 | 91.1% | Palm Beach Gardens | 18 | 59,182 | 100.0% | 59.3 | 99.9% | | | | | | | |
| Clermont | 28 | 0 | 0.0% | 1.7 | 8.9% | Palm Beach Gardens | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 0.1% | | | | | | | |
| Cutler Bay | 26 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.2% | Pembroke Pines | 23 | 170,725 | 99.7% | 34.7 | 99.9% | | | | | | | |
| Cutler Bay | 27 | 45,425 | 100.0% | 10.3 | 99.8% | Pembroke Pines | 24 | 453 | 0.3% | 0.0 | 0.1% | | | | | | | |
| DeBary | 6 | 9,468 | 42.5% | 8.0 | 36.7% | Pinellas Park | 13 | 53,093 | 100.0% | 16.8 | 100.0% | | | | | | | |
| DeBary | 7 | 12,792 | 57.5% | 13.8 | 63.4% | Pinellas Park | 14 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 20 | 29,350 | 33.8% | 4.8 | 29.5% | Plantation | 20 | 41,374 | 45.1% | 9.0 | 40.9% | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 22 | 57,509 | 66.2% | 11.4 | 70.6% | Plantation | 23 | 50,376 | 54.9% | 13.0 | 59.1% | | | | | | | |
| DeFuniak Springs | 1 | 860 | 14.5% | 3.7 | 25.9% | Pompano Beach | 20 | 53,918 | 48.1% | 11.3 | 45.8% | | | | | | | |
| DeFuniak Springs | 2 | 5,059 | 85.5% | 10.6 | 74.1% | Pompano Beach | 22 | 58,128 | 51.9% | 13.4 | 54.2% | | | | | | | |
| Deltona | 6 | 76,307 | 81.4% | 33.7 | 82.4% | Riviera Beach | 18 | 9,951 | 26.5% | 3.1 | 32.5% | | | | | | | |
| Deltona | 7 | 17,385 | 18.6% | 7.2 | 17.6% | Riviera Beach | 20 | 27,653 | 73.5% | 6.5 | 67.5% | | | | | | | |
| Eatonville | 7 | 1,202 | 51.2% | 0.5 | 41.7% | Royal Palm Beach | 18 | 16,407 | 42.1% | 5.0 | 42.9% | | | | | | | |
| Eatonville | 10 | 1,147 | 48.8% | 0.7 | 58.3% | Royal Palm Beach | 20 | 17,861 | 45.9% | 5.6 | 48.1% | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 20 | 58,993 | 32.3% | 13.0 | 35.7% | Royal Palm Beach | 21 | 4,664 | 12.0% | 1.1 | 8.9% | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 22 | 102,735 | 56.2% | 18.3 | 50.4% | St. Augustine | 4 | 2,447 | 17.1% | 1.6 | 12.1% | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 23 | 21,032 | 11.5% | 5.0 | 13.9% | St. Augustine | 6 | 11,882 | 82.9% | 11.3 | 87.9% | | | | | | | |
| Fort Myers | 1/ | 32,184 | 37.3% | 19.8 | 40.4% | St. Petersburg | 13 | 241,005 | 93.3% | 124.6 | 94.9% | | | | | | | |
| Fort Myers | 19 | 54,211 | 62.8% | 29.2 | 59.6% | St. Petersburg | 14 | 17,303 | 6.7% | 6.7 | 5.1% | | | | | | | |
| Freeport | 1 | 5,587 | 95.3% | 15.3 | 80.4% | Sunrise | 20 | 85,270 | 87.6% | 14.1 | 77.8% | | | | | | | |
| Freeport | 2 | 2/4 | 4.7% | 3.7 | 19.6% | Sunrise | 23 | 12,065 | 12.4% | 4.0 | 22.2% | | | | | | | |
| Groveland | 11 | 16,026 | 86.6% | 24.3 | 93.0% | Tallanassee | 2 | 82,107 | 41.9% | 69.0 | 66.5% | | | | | | | |
| Groveland | 28 | 2,479 | 13.4% | 1.8 | 7.1% | Tananassee | 5 | 262 524 | 58.1% | 34.8 | 33.5% | | | | | | | |
| Hallandale Beach | 25 | 20,757 | 20.29/ | 5.0 | 24 19/ | Tampa | 14 | 100,004 | 21 59/ | 120.1 | 71.7% | | | | | | | |
| lacksonville | 24 | 12,480 | 19 6% | 412.0 | 47.2% | Tampa Tamon Springs | 12 | 11 597 | JE 1% | 49.0 | 57.5% | | | | | | | |
| Jacksonville | 4 | 401,184 | 40.0% 51.4% | 412.9 | 52.8% | Tarpon Springs | 12 | 10 7/18 | 40.1% | 5.8 | 37.5% | | | | | | | |
| Lake City | 2 | 6 672 | 54.1% | -01.0 | 49.9% | Tarnon Springs | 14 | 2 787 | 11 1% | 17 | 9.8% | | | | | | | |
| Lake City | 5 | 5 657 | 45.9% | 6.1 | 50.1% | Umatilla | 6 | 1 415 | 38.4% | 19 | 45.5% | | | | | | | |
| Lake Park | 18 | 1 245 | 13.8% | 0.1 | 17.1% | Umatilla | 11 | 2 270 | 61.6% | 23 | 54.5% | | | | | | | |
| Lake Park | 20 | 7.802 | 86.2% | 2.0 | 82.9% | Venice | 16 | 12,178 | 47.8% | 10.9 | 61.9% | | | | | | | |
| Largo | 13 | 75.101 | 91.1% | 17.1 | 87.5% | Venice | 17 | 13,285 | 52.2% | 6.7 | 38.1% | | | | | | | |
| Largo | 14 | 7.384 | 9.0% | 2.4 | 12.5% | West Palm Beach | 18 | 18,256 | 15.6% | 33.2 | 57.2% | | | | | | | |
| Maitland | 7 | 13.664 | 69.9% | 4.8 | 73.6% | West Palm Beach | 20 | 63.092 | 53.7% | 16.2 | 27.9% | | | | | | | |
| Maitland | 10 | 5,879 | 30.1% | 1.7 | 26.4% | West Palm Beach | 21 | 36,067 | 30.7% | 8.6 | 14.9% | | | | | | | |
| Margate | 20 | 17,409 | 29.7% | 2.5 | 27.6% | Winter Park | 7 | 28,902 | 97.0% | 10.0 | 96.6% | | | | | | | |
| Margate | 22 | 41,303 | 70.4% | 6.6 | 72.4% | Winter Park | 10 | 893 | 3.0% | 0.4 | 3.4% | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 24 | 98,349 | 22.2% | 15.0 | 26.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 25 | 54,725 | 12.4% | 4.8 | 8.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 27 | 289,167 | 65.4% | 36.3 | 64.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Gardens | 24 | 90,649 | 81.2% | 15.2 | 79.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Gardens | 25 | 20,991 | 18.8% | 3.8 | 20.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar | 23 | 76,594 | 56.9% | 24.0 | 77.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar | 24 | 58,127 | 43.2% | 7.1 | 22.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| North Palm Beach | 18 | 13,162 | 100.0% | 5.3 | 99.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| North Palm Beach | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Park | 20 | 15,037 | 34.0% | 3.7 | 44.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Park | 22 | 29,192 | 66.0% | 4.5 | 55.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Opa-locka | 24 | 9,537 | 57.9% | 1.5 | 34.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Opa-locka | 25 | 6,926 | 42.1% | 3.0 | 66.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orlando | 7 | 35,474 | 11.5% | 9.0 | 7.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Functional Analysis - Summary

| P | lan | S0 | 00 | C8 | 040 | |
|---|-----|----|----|----|-----|--|
|---|-----|----|----|----|-----|--|

| | 2020 | Census | | | | | | | | 2020 Genera | al Election Re | egistered Vot | ters | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|---------|--------|----------|
| Dist. | VAP w | ho are: | | RV who are | : | RV wh | no are: | Blac | k Voters who | are: | Hisp | . Voters who | are: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | ho are: | NPAOth | who are: |
| | Black | Hisp | DEM | REP | OTH | Black | Hisp | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. |
| 5 | 43.73% | 9.04% | 54.34% | 26.24% | 19.43% | 43.53% | 4.83% | 84.10% | 2.75% | 13.14% | 44.56% | 21.04% | 34.20% | 67.38% | 3.96% | 4.57% | 3.87% | 29.43% | 8.50% |
| 9 | 12.81% | 50.24% | 41.80% | 23.16% | 35.03% | 9.28% | 44.52% | 71.84% | 4.02% | 24.11% | 46.58% | 13.81% | 39.60% | 15.95% | 49.60% | 1.61% | 26.55% | 6.39% | 50.32% |
| 10 | 28.33% | 23.38% | 45.07% | 26.06% | 28.86% | 24.37% | 16.10% | 77.81% | 3.25% | 18.92% | 45.58% | 15.94% | 38.45% | 42.08% | 16.28% | 3.04% | 9.85% | 15.98% | 21.45% |
| 20 | 50.04% | 22.15% | 61.23% | 13.99% | 24.78% | 46.67% | 14.84% | 81.44% | 2.55% | 16.00% | 46.41% | 17.36% | 36.20% | 62.07% | 11.25% | 8.50% | 18.42% | 30.12% | 21.67% |
| 24 | 42.02% | 37.76% | 60.07% | 12.45% | 27.48% | 43.75% | 26.79% | 82.51% | 2.43% | 15.05% | 42.80% | 20.02% | 37.16% | 60.09% | 19.09% | 8.53% | 43.09% | 23.96% | 36.22% |
| 25 | 7.96% | 76.83% | 31.43% | 36.54% | 32.03% | 6.97% | 64.09% | 79.64% | 3.94% | 16.25% | 29.23% | 35.98% | 34.77% | 17.66% | 59.60% | 0.75% | 63.10% | 3.54% | 69.57% |
| 26 | 10.32% | 73.35% | 33.92% | 32.58% | 33.51% | 8.67% | 63.92% | 77.59% | 3.48% | 18.90% | 28.78% | 35.47% | 35.74% | 19.84% | 54.23% | 0.93% | 69.60% | 4.89% | 68.18% |
| 27 | 7.07% | 74.18% | 34.57% | 33.39% | 32.04% | 6.14% | 62.79% | 78.61% | 3.67% | 17.61% | 28.03% | 38.96% | 33.00% | 13.97% | 50.91% | 0.67% | 73.27% | 3.38% | 64.68% |

Functional Analysis - Summary

1/5/2022

| | 2020 0 | Census | Avera | ge Primary I | Election Tur | nout | | | | | | | Average Ge | neral Elect | ion Turnou | t | | | | | | G | eneral Elec | tion Perforr | nance in Sta | atewide Elec | tions 2012-2 | 020 |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|------------|-------------|------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| Dist. | VAP wh | no are: | DEM w | ho are: | REP with | no are: | V | oters who a | re: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | ho are: | NPAOth | who are: | Black | Voters wh | o are: | Hisp. | Voters wh | o are: | Avg. | Perf. | W | ins | | Margins | |
| | Black | Hisp | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | DEM | REP | MAX | MIN | AVG |
| 5 | 43.73% | 9.04% | 66.22% | 1.13% | 2.81% | 1.51% | 58.13% | 28.64% | 13.23% | 65.88% | 2.59% | 3.31% | 2.83% | 25.94% | 6.77% | 89.70% | 2.22% | 8.05% | 45.52% | 25.69% | 28.14% | 58.5% | 40.1% | 14 | 0 | D +32.4% | D +7.1% | D +18.8% |
| 9 | 12.81% | 50.24% | 18.82% | 35.24% | 1.05% | 14.10% | 43.37% | 29.02% | 27.61% | 17.51% | 43.60% | 1.32% | 19.42% | 6.11% | 41.61% | 78.50% | 3.95% | 17.46% | 52.57% | 15.53% | 31.90% | 57.3% | 40.9% | 12 | 2 | D +34.4% | D +0.9% | D +16.7% |
| 10 | 28.33% | 23.38% | 48.65% | 7.79% | 1.94% | 4.80% | 45.72% | 32.02% | 22.26% | 44.50% | 12.76% | 2.18% | 7.05% | 13.88% | 16.65% | 84.23% | 2.88% | 12.87% | 49.38% | 19.11% | 31.43% | 57.5% | 40.9% | 12 | 2 | D +29.1% | R +1.5% | D +17.2% |
| 20 | 50.04% | 22.15% | 64.04% | 4.47% | 6.25% | 9.69% | 66.46% | 14.61% | 18.92% | 62.17% | 8.59% | 6.94% | 14.36% | 28.30% | 18.16% | 86.61% | 2.12% | 11.23% | 50.45% | 18.81% | 30.57% | 78.1% | 21.0% | 14 | 0 | D +65.1% | D +50.7% | D +57.3% |
| 24 | 42.02% | 37.76% | 67.48% | 10.63% | 7.00% | 47.13% | 66.57% | 12.13% | 21.30% | 62.81% | 15.65% | 7.51% | 42.22% | 23.92% | 34.11% | 87.45% | 1.90% | 10.62% | 45.30% | 22.77% | 31.86% | 80.3% | 18.8% | 14 | 0 | D +68% | D +49.4% | D +61.6% |
| 25 | 7.96% | 76.83% | 26.60% | 42.55% | 0.43% | 61.13% | 32.92% | 41.38% | 25.70% | 20.79% | 53.26% | 0.57% | 61.19% | 3.39% | 66.94% | 85.82% | 2.98% | 11.07% | 29.10% | 42.27% | 28.61% | 45.0% | 53.8% | 3 | 11 | R +20.4% | D +2.6% | R +8.6% |
| 26 | 10.32% | 73.35% | 22.58% | 36.25% | 0.57% | 65.42% | 35.69% | 36.75% | 27.56% | 21.18% | 47.57% | 0.77% | 66.17% | 4.67% | 64.29% | 82.77% | 3.10% | 14.10% | 28.65% | 41.33% | 30.00% | 50.7% | 48.0% | 9 | 5 | D +15.7% | R +2.2% | D +3% |
| 27 | 7.07% | 74.18% | 17.87% | 36.73% | 0.39% | 75.66% | 35.72% | 38.10% | 26.18% | 15.24% | 45.38% | 0.52% | 72.02% | 3.19% | 63.12% | 83.83% | 3.09% | 12.93% | 26.85% | 45.71% | 27.44% | 50.6% | 48.3% | 9 | 5 | D +17.4% | R +0.6% | D +2.7% |

| | | | 5 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|-------------------|---------|
| | Plan S000C8040 | BVAP | 43.73% | 12.81% | 28.33% | 50.04% | 42.02% | 7.96% | 10.32% | 7.07% |
| | Primary Elections | HVAP | 9.04% | 50.24% | 23.38% | 22.15% | 37.76% | 76.83% | 73.35% | 74.18% |
| | | R_Baldauf | 0.70% | 0.84% | 0.71% | 1.36% | 1.92% | 1.93% | 1.83% | 1.50% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 52.44% | 52.75% | 52.09% | 62.76% | 66.52% | 65.93% | 67.74% | 67.69% |
| | | R_Devine | 1.13% | 1.98% | 1.43% | 2.20% | 3.24% | 2.92% | 3.34% | 3.09% |
| | Coverner (RED) | R_Langford | 1.13% | 1.44% | 1.65% | 1.86% | 1.97% | 1.41% | 1.72% | 1.53% |
| | Governor (REP) | R_Mercadante | 0.42% | 1.28% | 0.76% | 1.51% | 2.13% | 1.93% | 2.06% | 2.14% |
| | | R_Nathan | 0.71% | 1.00% | 0.82% | 1.54% | 2.72% | 1.13% | 1.42% | 1.39% |
| | | R_Putnam | 41.63% | 37.93% | 40.26% | 25.36% | 17.05% | 21.84% | 18.17% | 18.84% |
| | | R_White | 1.62% | 2.61% | 2.11% | 2.89% | 3.92% | 2.63% | 3.54% | 3.46% |
| | | D_Gillum | 58.39% | 29.99% | 45.49% | 52.96% | 50.35% | 32.88% | 31.83% | 28.95% |
| | | D_Graham | 22.26% | 29.75% | 28.40% | 13.34% | 11.17% | 19.31% | 21.15% | 22.65% |
| | | D_Greene | 5.72% | 13.96% | 8.69% | 10.39% | 9.34% | 9.66% | 10.62% | 7.94% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D King | 1.43% | 4.29% | 3.76% | 0.94% | 0.75% | 2.33% | 2.11% | 1.54% |
| | | D_Levine | 10.71% | 19.18% | 12.46% | 21.58% | 27.53% | 32.70% | 32.23% | 37.17% |
| 2010 | | D Lundmark | 0.49% | 1.12% | 0.44% | 0.30% | 0.38% | 1.37% | 0.91% | 0.78% |
| 2018 | | D Wetherbee | 0.83% | 1.64% | 0.66% | 0.38% | 0.32% | 1.27% | 0.97% | 0.68% |
| | | R_Moody | 57.78% | 54.44% | 55.46% | 55.57% | 53.16% | 52.08% | 54.82% | 54.79% |
| | Attorney General (REP) | R White | 42.22% | 45.50% | 44.57% | 44.27% | 46.64% | 47.88% | 45.11% | 45.20% |
| | | D Shaw | 78.66% | 61.11% | 74.44% | 81.44% | 82.10% | 67.77% | 69.58% | 74.09% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D Torrens | 21.31% | 38.88% | 25.57% | 18.56% | 17.89% | 32.10% | 30.43% | 25.91% |
| | | R Caldwell | 35.67% | 36.42% | 34.83% | 43.50% | 39.73% | 42.29% | 42.07% | 40.18% |
| | | R Grimsley | 21.36% | 31.97% | 31.49% | 25.91% | 31.44% | 29.71% | 31.57% | 32.70% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (REP) | R McCalister | 8.68% | 16.25% | 15.43% | 21.17% | 17.11% | 12.78% | 16.62% | 16.76% |
| | | R Troutman | 34.12% | 15.22% | 18.23% | 9.04% | 11.06% | 15.05% | 9.61% | 10.37% |
| | | D Fried | 60.09% | 55.10% | 55.25% | 63.92% | 59.04% | 52.18% | 53.25% | 59.89% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) | D Porter | 20.04% | 18.57% | 17.46% | 16.10% | 17.36% | 20.02% | 20.45% | 15.13% |
| | | D Walker | 19.86% | 26.32% | 27.30% | 19.96% | 23.60% | 27.59% | 26.21% | 24.88% |
| | | R De La Fuente | 10.20% | 10.06% | 11.29% | 14.88% | 15.74% | 9.81% | 12.28% | 12.63% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Scott | 89.71% | 89.89% | 88.72% | 84.91% | 84.06% | 90.09% | 87.66% | 87.32% |
| | | R Beruff | 22.31% | 17.11% | 17.64% | 14.64% | 8.73% | 8.85% | 6.43% | 5.58% |
| | | R Rivera | 3.70% | 3.21% | 2.45% | 5.03% | 3.26% | 2.20% | 2.94% | 1.88% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Rubio | 68.00% | 71.92% | 74.53% | 70.56% | 80.12% | 85.24% | 85.70% | 88.87% |
| | | R Young | 5.81% | 7.56% | 5.31% | 9.37% | 7.44% | 3.59% | 4.86% | 3.46% |
| 2016 | | D De La Fuente | 4.12% | 14.95% | 3.93% | 3.17% | 5.51% | 19.30% | 13.76% | 12.16% |
| | | D Grayson | 17.53% | 45.27% | 40.72% | 9.95% | 10.82% | 11.17% | 11.16% | 11.19% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D Keith | 15.18% | 9.79% | 12.71% | 14.56% | 13.82% | 13.73% | 15.63% | 17.86% |
| | | D Luster | 12.08% | 1.26% | 2.28% | 2.23% | 2.68% | 2.02% | 1.68% | 1.54% |
| | | D Murphy | 50.94% | 28.53% | 40.28% | 69.89% | 66.91% | 53.19% | 57.51% | 56.90% |
| | | R Adeshina | 1.29% | 1.69% | 1.67% | 2.66% | 2.97% | 1.46% | 1.77% | 1.80% |
| | Governor (REP) | R Cuevas-Neunder | 8.09% | 12.04% | 9.60% | 14.56% | 16.32% | 10.61% | 15.19% | 13.26% |
| | | R Scott | 90.47% | 86.09% | 88 64% | 82 42% | 80.36% | 87 73% | 82.95% | 84.83% |
| 2014 | | D Crist | 74 34% | 76.41% | 78.84% | 82.85% | 84 35% | 76 74% | 78 42% | 73 98% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D Rich | 25 58% | 23 44% | 21 17% | 17.09% | 15 61% | 22.84% | 21.48% | 25.89% |
| | | D_Sheldon | 60.86% | 60.66% | 49.68% | 39.26% | 46 77% | 58 73% | 61.40% | 65 55% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D Thurston | 39.17% | 39.26% | 50 37% | 60.66% | 53 21% | 40.91% | 38 48% | 34 37% |
| | | B Mack | 57 58% | 49 35% | 58 32% | 65.26% | 71 78% | 73.46% | 73 64% | 77 15% |
| | | R McCalister | 18 65% | 45.55% | 10 03% | 13 11% | 6.85% | 8 01% | 7 36% | 5 18% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Stuart | 5 0 2 % | 6 5 8% | 4 88% | 7 25% | 13 12% | 12 27% | 13 26% | 12 00% |
| 2012 | | R_Woldon | J.JZ/0 | 21 060/ | 4.00/0 | 12 000/ | 20.13% | E 020/ | 13.20/0 E 670/ | 12.33/0 |
| | | n_weldoll | 17.45% | 31.90% | 12 660/ | 13.05% | 0.00% | 3.92% 21.210/ | 3.07% | 4.40% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_Burkett | 22.05% | 19.36% | 15.00% | 14.24% | 14.02% | 21.21% | 10.40% | 14.70% |
| | | D_ivelson | /7.91% | 80.61% | 86.30% | 85.70% | 85.93% | 78.58% | 81.49% | 85.11% |

| | | | 5 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|------|--------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Plan S000C8040 | BVAP | 43.73% | 12.81% | 28.33% | 50.04% | 42.02% | 7.96% | 10.32% | 7.07% |
| | General Elections | HVAP | 9.04% | 50.24% | 23.38% | 22.15% | 37.76% | 76.83% | 73.35% | 74.18% |
| 2020 | President | D_Biden | 60.23% | 58.79% | 61.66% | 75.53% | 74.41% | 40.98% | 46.43% | 49.44% |
| 2020 | rresident | R_Trump | 38.62% | 40.22% | 37.34% | 23.88% | 25.06% | 58.48% | 52.99% | 50.01% |
| | Governor | D_Gillum | 62.51% | 61.81% | 62.29% | 79.65% | 81.56% | 46.17% | 52.49% | 53.18% |
| | Governor | R_DeSantis | 36.60% | 36.87% | 36.70% | 19.73% | 17.74% | 52.44% | 46.31% | 45.75% |
| | Attorney General | D_Shaw | 59.25% | 58.41% | 58.50% | 78.13% | 80.14% | 44.45% | 50.86% | 51.99% |
| | Attorney General | R_Moody | 39.21% | 39.61% | 39.86% | 20.54% | 18.30% | 53.53% | 46.94% | 46.10% |
| 2018 | Chief Einancial Officer | D_Ring | 60.38% | 60.81% | 60.33% | 79.52% | 81.61% | 45.82% | 51.93% | 52.59% |
| 2010 | | R_Patronis | 39.62% | 39.19% | 39.67% | 20.46% | 18.38% | 54.17% | 48.07% | 47.41% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Fried | 61.38% | 62.27% | 62.23% | 79.77% | 82.11% | 46.93% | 53.44% | 54.63% |
| | Agriculture commissioner | R_Caldwell | 38.63% | 37.73% | 37.77% | 20.22% | 17.88% | 53.06% | 46.56% | 45.38% |
| | LIS Senate | D_Nelson | 62.25% | 60.52% | 62.11% | 79.66% | 81.49% | 46.47% | 53.46% | 54.47% |
| | 05 Senate | R_Scott | 37.75% | 39.48% | 37.89% | 20.33% | 18.51% | 53.52% | 46.54% | 45.52% |
| | President | D_Clinton | 58.51% | 61.95% | 60.09% | 77.52% | 81.10% | 52.56% | 56.46% | 57.42% |
| 2016 | rresident | R_Trump | 38.61% | 34.53% | 36.37% | 20.71% | 17.23% | 45.16% | 40.81% | 40.05% |
| 2010 | LIS Senate | D_Murphy | 52.82% | 54.92% | 54.84% | 75.52% | 76.02% | 42.42% | 47.69% | 47.78% |
| | 05 Senate | R_Rubio | 43.90% | 41.03% | 41.35% | 22.53% | 21.88% | 55.35% | 49.92% | 50.17% |
| | Governor | D_Crist | 56.54% | 52.80% | 54.65% | 79.64% | 82.25% | 43.00% | 51.20% | 50.00% |
| | Governor | R_Scott | 39.85% | 42.13% | 40.77% | 18.20% | 16.17% | 54.28% | 45.89% | 47.55% |
| | Attornov Conoral | D_Sheldon | 53.20% | 49.01% | 51.79% | 75.88% | 79.86% | 38.72% | 45.82% | 46.03% |
| 2014 | Attorney General | R_Bondi | 44.31% | 48.13% | 45.30% | 22.66% | 18.70% | 58.94% | 51.75% | 51.96% |
| 2014 | Chief Einancial Officer | D_Rankin | 53.57% | 48.88% | 49.22% | 75.36% | 79.06% | 40.24% | 45.88% | 43.49% |
| | chief i mancial officer | R_Atwater | 46.43% | 51.12% | 50.78% | 24.62% | 20.94% | 59.75% | 54.12% | 56.53% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Hamilton | 55.57% | 47.75% | 49.27% | 76.85% | 79.82% | 39.79% | 46.04% | 44.31% |
| | Agriculture commissioner | R_Putnam | 44.41% | 52.25% | 50.73% | 23.15% | 20.18% | 60.19% | 53.95% | 55.69% |
| | President | D_Obama | 61.03% | 61.43% | 58.97% | 80.43% | 82.82% | 51.07% | 54.83% | 52.22% |
| 2012 | Fresident | R_Romney | 38.14% | 37.76% | 40.24% | 19.14% | 16.82% | 48.44% | 44.61% | 47.27% |
| 2012 | LIS Sonato | D_Nelson | 65.00% | 65.98% | 63.62% | 81.94% | 83.49% | 52.79% | 56.33% | 54.47% |
| | 05 Sellate | R_Mack | 32.61% | 31.57% | 34.51% | 16.83% | 15.47% | 45.07% | 42.03% | 44.15% |



Census and Boundary Statistics

Page 2

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

67 5 119 48 48 51 51

Counties with all population in a single district

Aggregate number of county splits

Counties split into more than one district

Counties with only one district Districts with only one county

Number of Counties

Aggregate number of splits with population Number of Cities

Cities with only one district

412 366 46 372 372 100 94

> Cities split into more than one district Cities with all population in only one district Aggregate number of city splits Aggregate number of splits with population

| | Devia | tion | Voting Age | Population: | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | nties: | Cit | ies: | | Politi | cal and G | eographic | Boundar | ies: | [|
|-------|-------|-------|------------|-------------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------------|--------------|
| Dist. | Total | % | Black | Hisp. | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo | 1 |
| | 1 | 0.00% | 15.54% | 24.99% | 2,550.1 | 266.7 | 0.80 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 48 | 51 | 366 | 100 | 13% | 61% | 20% | 38% | 2% | 8% | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.55% | 6.69% | 4,416 | 329 | 0.87 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 2% | 81% | 14% | 55% | 0% | 2% | Í |
| 2 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.32% | 6.21% | 15,879 | 884 | 0.71 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 15 | 5 | 55 | 4 | 4% | 76% | 19% | 47% | 0% | 4% | |
| 3 | 0 | 0.00% | 16.08% | 10.45% | 3,864 | 308 | 0.88 | 0.51 | 0.70 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 17% | 74% | 15% | 24% | 1% | 8% | |
| 4 | 0 | 0.00% | 10.79% | 9.27% | 1,553 | 332 | 0.66 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 24% | 73% | 17% | 54% | 2% | 3% | |
| 5 | 0 | 0.00% | 43.73% | 9.04% | 3,753 | 635 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 12% | 74% | 22% | 13% | 0% | 2% | ц 047 |
| 6 | 0 | 0.00% | 9.70% | 11.04% | 2,770 | 332 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 4 | 6% | 74% | 7% | 54% | 2% | 10% | Pla DC8 |
| 7 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.32% | 25.39% | 490 | 113 | 0.88 | 0.49 | 0.66 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 6% | 43% | 19% | 32% | 0% | 34% | 1 00 |
| 8 | 0 | 0.00% | 9.58% | 10.30% | 2,301 | 272 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0% | 85% | 6% | 46% | 0% | 9% | S |
| 9 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.81% | 50.24% | 1,840 | 253 | 0.86 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2% | 76% | 17% | 31% | 0% | 8% | |
| 10 | 0 | 0.00% | 28.33% | 23.38% | 453 | 103 | 0.89 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 14% | 68% | 31% | 23% | 0% | 2% | |
| 11 | 0 | 0.00% | 8.61% | 10.59% | 2,643 | 349 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 12% | 70% | 19% | 38% | 1% | 7% | í |
| 12 | 0 | 0.00% | 6.55% | 14.04% | 1,764 | 194 | 0.90 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 3% | 90% | 10% | 44% | 0% | 2% | |
| 13 | 0 | 0.00% | 10.72% | 9.05% | 705 | 123 | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.53 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 20% | 62% | 20% | 74% | 0% | 6% | es |
| 14 | -1 | 0.00% | 11.13% | 26.93% | 422 | 96 | 0.86 | 0.58 | 0.53 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 16% | 27% | 44% | 20% | 10% | 6% | dari |
| 15 | 0 | 0.00% | 21.98% | 24.65% | 677 | 109 | 0.97 | 0.71 | 0.59 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 9% | 48% | 35% | 1% | 12% | 5% | pue |
| 16 | 0 | 0.00% | 7.23% | 13.73% | 1,969 | 202 | 0.89 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 20% | 58% | 16% | 53% | 2% | 5% | es a |
| 17 | 0 | 0.00% | 9.02% | 16.71% | 5,797 | 382 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 4% | 79% | 10% | 28% | 1% | 2% | nty |
| 18 | 0 | 0.00% | 12.22% | 14.87% | 1,730 | 225 | 0.76 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 7% | 70% | 11% | 48% | 0% | 10% | Cou |
| 19 | 0 | 0.00% | 4.37% | 15.06% | 1,894 | 236 | 0.79 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 3% | 66% | 18% | 61% | 1% | 8% | Dist nd (|
| 20 | 0 | 0.00% | 50.04% | 22.15% | 2,556 | 315 | 0.81 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 22% | 42% | 23% | 11% | 4% | 15% | - a |
| 21 | 0 | 0.00% | 15.56% | 24.23% | 261 | 103 | 0.64 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 38% | 4% | 42% | 2% | 5% | 27% | cit |
| 22 | 0 | 0.00% | 13.73% | 21.59% | 342 | 125 | 0.82 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 7 | 20% | 42% | 29% | 49% | 6% | 9% | |
| 23 | 0 | 0.00% | 16.90% | 42.18% | 239 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 53% | 30% | 28% | 21% | 0% | 10% | |
| 24 | 0 | 0.00% | 42.02% | 37.76% | 176 | 68 | 0.90 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 26% | 36% | 29% | 46% | 1% | 18% | |
| 25 | 0 | 0.00% | 7.96% | 76.83% | 3,680 | 364 | 0.67 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7% | 68% | 16% | 20% | 0% | 8% | |
| 26 | 0 | 0.00% | 10.32% | 73.35% | 6,710 | 591 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1% | 88% | 7% | 86% | 0% | 1% | i —— |
| 27 | 0 | 0.00% | 7.07% | 74.18% | 280 | 70 | 0.95 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 9% | 18% | 35% | 59% | 0% | 6% | 1 |
| 28 | 0 | 0.00% | 14.78% | 23.18% | 2,240 | 276 | 0.85 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 5% | 90% | 3% | 26% | 0% | 5% | 1 |

Split Counties and Cities

| Cou | unties include | d in more th | an one distr | ict | | Cor | inties included in mo | re than one dis | strict | | Counties i | included in more than one | district | Co | ounties included in more t | an one di | strict | |
|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------|----------------------------|-----------|------------|-------|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | otal Area | Area% | County | Dist. Total Po | op Pop% | Total Area Areas | 6 Count | ty | Dist. Total Pop Pop% | Total Area Area% | County | Dist. Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
| Broward | 20 | 535,675 | 27.6% | 888.0 | 67.9% | , | ••• | | • • | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 21 | 313,820 | 16.1% | 71.1 | 5.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 22 | 233,209 | 12.0% | 97.7 | 7.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 23 | 769,221 | 39.6% | 238.9 | 18.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 24 | 92,450 | 4.8% | 12.6 | 1.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collier | 19 | 215,578 | 57.4% | 639.5 | 24.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collier | 25 | 160,174 | 42.6% | 1,965.6 | 75.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbia | 2 | 51,337 | 73.7% | 350.3 | 43.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbia | 5 | 18,361 | 26.3% | 451.0 | 56.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Duval | 4 | 505,744 | 50.8% | 454.9 | 49.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Duval | 5 | 489,823 | 49.2% | 463.6 | 50.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 14 | 592,149 | 40.6% | 299.1 | 22.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 15 | 769,221 | 52.7% | 676.6 | 50.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 16 | 98,392 | 6.7% | 356.2 | 26.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indian River | 8 | 145,456 | 91.0% | 609.1 | 98.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indian River | 18 | 14,332 | 9.0% | 7.8 | 1.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson | 2 | 4,410 | 30.4% | 400.1 | 59.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson | 5 | 10,100 | 69.6% | 272.5 | 40.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 6 | 35,396 | 9.2% | 379.1 | 32.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 11 | 304,385 | 79.3% | 547.9 | 47.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 28 | 44,175 | 11.5% | 229.8 | 19.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee | 17 | 207,179 | 27.2% | 260.0 | 17.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee | 19 | 553,643 | 72.8% | 1,254.9 | 82.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leon | 2 | 145,318 | 49.7% | 488.5 | 69.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leon | 5 | 146,880 | 50.3% | 213.3 | 30.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marion | 2 | 39,930 | 10.6% | 233.6 | 14.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marion | 3 | 154,/3/ | 41.2% | 8/4.2 | 52.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marion | 11 | 181,241 | 48.2% | 554.9 | 33.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 24 | 6/6,//1 | 25.1% | 163.3 | 6.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 25 | 509,428 | 21.1% | 525.Z | 22.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Dada | 20 | 760 221 | 20.4% | 1,420.5 | 39.3% 11.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orango | 2/ | 262.060 | 10 40/ | 200.3 | 0.70/ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | , | 17 152 | 1 2% | 124.4 | 0.270 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 0 | 290 565 | 26.6% | 224.2 | 22 2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 10 | 760 221 | 52 9% | 452.0 | /5 1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 18 | 267 232 | 17.9% | 281.3 | 11.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 20 | 233 546 | 15.7% | 1 667 6 | 70.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 20 | 455 401 | 30.5% | 189.7 | 8.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 22 | 536.012 | 35.9% | 244.7 | 10.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 12 | 12.815 | 1.3% | 33.9 | 3.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 13 | 769.221 | 80.2% | 705.3 | 81.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 14 | 177,071 | 18.5% | 123.3 | 14.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarasota | 16 | 271,119 | 62.5% | 649.2 | 66.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarasota | 17 | 162,887 | 37.5% | 326.4 | 33.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Johns | 4 | 173,125 | 63.3% | 371.9 | 45.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Johns | 6 | 100,300 | 36.7% | 449.6 | 54.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Volusia | 6 | 518,147 | 93.6% | 1,370.0 | 95.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Volusia | 7 | 35,396 | 6.4% | 62.4 | 4.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walton | 1 | 47,648 | 63.3% | 821.5 | 58.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walton | 2 | 27,657 | 36.7% | 588.0 | 41.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Split Counties and Cities

| | Cities include | d in more thar | one district | | | Citi | es included | l in more tha | n one distr | ict | | | Cities incl | uded in more th | an one district | | | | Citie | s included | in more tha | n one distric | t | |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|--------|--------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|------------|--------|------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---|------|-------|------------|-------------|---------------|------------|-------|
| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | City | | Dist. Total Pop | Pop% To | tal Area Area% | % | City | / | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Fotal Area | Area% |
| Belle Isle | 9 | 216 | 3.1% | 0.2 | 3.7% | Opa-locka | 24 | 9,537 | 57.9% | 1.5 | 34.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Belle Isle | 10 | 6,816 | 96.9% | 5.0 | 96.3% | Opa-locka | 25 | 6,926 | 42.1% | 3.0 | 66.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clearwater | 13 | 82,282 | 70.2% | 25.4 | 70.8% | Orlando | 7 | 35,474 | 11.5% | 9.0 | 7.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clearwater | 14 | 35,010 | 29.9% | 10.5 | 29.2% | Orlando | 9 | 86,183 | 28.0% | 63.9 | 53.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clermont | 11 | 43,021 | 100.0% | 17.4 | 91.1% | Orlando | 10 | 185,916 | 60.5% | 46.0 | 38.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clermont | 28 | 0 | 0.0% | 1.7 | 8.9% | Palm Beach | 18 | 2,634 | 28.5% | 2.7 | 34.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cutler Bay | 26 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.2% | Palm Beach | 22 | 6,611 | 71.5% | 5.1 | 65.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cutler Bay | 27 | 45,425 | 100.0% | 10.3 | 99.8% | Palm Beach Gardens | 18 | 59,182 | 100.0% | 59.3 | 99.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeBary | 6 | 9,468 | 42.5% | 8.0 | 36.7% | Palm Beach Gardens | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 0.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeBary | 7 | 12,792 | 57.5% | 13.8 | 63.4% | Pembroke Pines | 23 | 170,725 | 99.7% | 34.7 | 99.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 20 | 29,350 | 33.8% | 4.8 | 29.5% | Pembroke Pines | 24 | 453 | 0.3% | 0.0 | 0.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 21 | 41,698 | 48.0% | 8.5 | 52.6% | Pinellas Park | 13 | 53,093 | 100.0% | 16.8 | 100.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 22 | 15,811 | 18.2% | 2.9 | 18.0% | Pinellas Park | 14 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeFuniak Springs | 1 | 860 | 14.5% | 3.7 | 25.9% | Plantation | 20 | 41,374 | 45.1% | 9.0 | 40.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeFuniak Springs | 2 | 5,059 | 85.5% | 10.6 | /4.1% | Plantation | 23 | 50,376 | 54.9% | 13.0 | 59.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delray Beach | 21 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | Pompano Beach | 20 | 53,918 | 48.1% | 11.3 | 45.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delray Beach | 22 | 66,846 | 100.0% | 16.5 | 100.0% | Pompano Beach | 21 | 3,327 | 3.0% | 2.9 | 11.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deltona | 6 | /6,30/ | 81.4% | 33.7 | 82.4% | Pompano Beach | 22 | 54,801 | 48.9% | 10.5 | 42.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deitona | / | 17,385 | 18.6% | 7.2 | 17.6% | Riviera Beach | 18 | 9,951 | 26.5% | 3.1 | 32.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eatonville | / | 1,202 | 51.2% | 0.5 | 41.7% | Riviera Beach | 20 | 27,653 | /3.5% | 6.5 | 67.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eatonville | 10 | 1,147 | 48.8% | 0.7 | 58.3% | Royal Palm Beach | 18 | 10,407 | 42.1% | 5.0 | 42.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 20 | 58,993 | 32.3% EC 20/ | 10.0 | 35.7% | Royal Palm Beach | 20 | 17,801 | 45.9% | 5.0 | 48.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 22 | 102,755 | 11 E9/ | 10.5 | 12.0% | St. Augustino | 21 | 4,004 | 17.1% | 1.1 | 0.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Myors | 17 | 21,032 | 27.2% | 10.9 | 15.9% | St. Augustine | 4 | 2,447 | 97.0% | 11.0 | 97.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Myers | 10 | 54 211 | 67.9% | 19.8 | 50.6% | St. Augustine | 12 | 241.005 | 02.5% | 124.6 | 0/.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freenort | 15 | 5 5 8 7 | 95.3% | 15.2 | 80.4% | St. Petersburg | 13 | 17 303 | 6.7% | 67 | 5 1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freeport | 2 | 274 | 4.7% | 37 | 19.6% | Sunrise | 20 | 85 270 | 87.6% | 14.1 | 77.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groveland | 11 | 16 026 | 86.6% | 24.3 | 93.0% | Sunrise | 20 | 12 065 | 12 4% | 4.0 | 22.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groveland | 28 | 2 479 | 13.4% | 1.8 | 7.1% | Tallahassee | 25 | 82 107 | 41 9% | 69.0 | 66.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hallandale Beach | 23 | 28,737 | 69.7% | 3.0 | 65.9% | Tallahassee | 5 | 114.062 | 58.1% | 34.8 | 33.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hallandale Beach | 24 | 12,480 | 30.3% | 1.6 | 34.1% | Tampa | 14 | 263,534 | 68.5% | 126.1 | 71.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| lacksonville | 4 | 461,184 | 48.6% | 412.9 | 47.2% | Tampa | 15 | 121,425 | 31.5% | 49.8 | 28.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jacksonville | 5 | 488,427 | 51.4% | 461.6 | 52.8% | Tarpon Springs | 12 | 11.582 | 46.1% | 10.2 | 57.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake City | 2 | 6,672 | 54.1% | 6.1 | 49.9% | Tarpon Springs | 13 | 10,748 | 42.8% | 5.8 | 32.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake City | 5 | 5,657 | 45.9% | 6.1 | 50.1% | Tarpon Springs | 14 | 2,787 | 11.1% | 1.7 | 9.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Park | 18 | 1,245 | 13.8% | 0.4 | 17.1% | Umatilla | 6 | 1,415 | 38.4% | 1.9 | 45.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Park | 20 | 7,802 | 86.2% | 2.0 | 82.9% | Umatilla | 11 | 2,270 | 61.6% | 2.3 | 54.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Largo | 13 | 75,101 | 91.1% | 17.1 | 87.5% | Venice | 16 | 12,178 | 47.8% | 10.9 | 61.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Largo | 14 | 7,384 | 9.0% | 2.4 | 12.5% | Venice | 17 | 13,285 | 52.2% | 6.7 | 38.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maitland | 7 | 13,664 | 69.9% | 4.8 | 73.6% | West Palm Beach | 18 | 18,256 | 15.6% | 33.2 | 57.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maitland | 10 | 5,879 | 30.1% | 1.7 | 26.4% | West Palm Beach | 20 | 63,092 | 53.7% | 16.2 | 27.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Margate | 20 | 17,409 | 29.7% | 2.5 | 27.6% | West Palm Beach | 22 | 36,067 | 30.7% | 8.6 | 14.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Margate | 21 | 41,303 | 70.4% | 6.6 | 72.4% | Winter Park | 7 | 28,902 | 97.0% | 10.0 | 96.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 24 | 98,349 | 22.2% | 15.0 | 26.7% | Winter Park | 10 | 893 | 3.0% | 0.4 | 3.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 25 | 54,725 | 12.4% | 4.8 | 8.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 27 | 289,167 | 65.4% | 36.3 | 64.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Gardens | 24 | 90,649 | 81.2% | 15.2 | 79.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Gardens | 25 | 20,991 | 18.8% | 3.8 | 20.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar | 23 | 76,594 | 56.9% | 24.0 | 77.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar | 24 | 58,127 | 43.2% | 7.1 | 22.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| North Palm Beach | 18 | 13,162 | 100.0% | 5.3 | 99.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| North Palm Beach | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Park | 20 | 15,037 | 34.0% | 3.7 | 44.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Park | 22 | 29,192 | 66.0% | 4.5 | 55.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Functional Analysis - Summary

| | 2020 | Census | | | | | | | | 2020 Genera | al Election Re | egistered Vot | ters | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------|--------|----------|-------|----------|--------|----------|
| Dist. | VAP w | ho are: | | RV who are | : | RV wh | no are: | Blac | k Voters who | are: | Hisp | . Voters who | are: | DEM w | /ho are: | REP w | /ho are: | NPAOth | who are: |
| | Black | Hisp | DEM | REP | OTH | Black | Hisp | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. |
| 5 | 43.73% | 9.04% | 54.34% | 26.24% | 19.43% | 43.53% | 4.83% | 84.10% | 2.75% | 13.14% | 44.56% | 21.04% | 34.20% | 67.38% | 3.96% | 4.57% | 3.87% | 29.43% | 8.50% |
| 9 | 12.81% | 50.24% | 41.80% | 23.16% | 35.03% | 9.28% | 44.52% | 71.84% | 4.02% | 24.11% | 46.58% | 13.81% | 39.60% | 15.95% | 49.60% | 1.61% | 26.55% | 6.39% | 50.32% |
| 10 | 28.33% | 23.38% | 45.07% | 26.06% | 28.86% | 24.37% | 16.10% | 77.81% | 3.25% | 18.92% | 45.58% | 15.94% | 38.45% | 42.08% | 16.28% | 3.04% | 9.85% | 15.98% | 21.45% |
| 20 | 50.04% | 22.15% | 61.23% | 13.99% | 24.78% | 46.67% | 14.84% | 81.44% | 2.55% | 16.00% | 46.41% | 17.36% | 36.20% | 62.07% | 11.25% | 8.50% | 18.42% | 30.12% | 21.67% |
| 24 | 42.02% | 37.76% | 60.07% | 12.45% | 27.48% | 43.75% | 26.79% | 82.51% | 2.43% | 15.05% | 42.80% | 20.02% | 37.16% | 60.09% | 19.09% | 8.53% | 43.09% | 23.96% | 36.22% |
| 25 | 7.96% | 76.83% | 31.43% | 36.54% | 32.03% | 6.97% | 64.09% | 79.64% | 3.94% | 16.25% | 29.23% | 35.98% | 34.77% | 17.66% | 59.60% | 0.75% | 63.10% | 3.54% | 69.57% |
| 26 | 10.32% | 73.35% | 33.92% | 32.58% | 33.51% | 8.67% | 63.92% | 77.59% | 3.48% | 18.90% | 28.78% | 35.47% | 35.74% | 19.84% | 54.23% | 0.93% | 69.60% | 4.89% | 68.18% |
| 27 | 7.07% | 74.18% | 34.57% | 33.39% | 32.04% | 6.14% | 62.79% | 78.61% | 3.67% | 17.61% | 28.03% | 38.96% | 33.00% | 13.97% | 50.91% | 0.67% | 73.27% | 3.38% | 64.68% |

Functional Analysis - Summary

| | 2020 0 | Census | Avera | ge Primary I | Election Tur | nout | | | | | | | Average Ge | eneral Elect | ion Turnou | t | | | | | | G | ieneral Elec | tion Perforr | nance in Sta | atewide Elec | tions 2012-2 | 2020 |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|------------|--------------|------------|--------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| Dist. | VAP w | ho are: | DEM w | ho are: | REP with | no are: | Vo | oters who a | re: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | ho are: | NPAOth | who are: | Black | Voters wh | o are: | Hisp. | Voters wh | o are: | Avg. | Perf. | W | ins | | Margins | |
| | Black | Hisp | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | DEM | REP | MAX | MIN | AVG |
| 5 | 43.73% | 9.04% | 66.22% | 1.13% | 2.81% | 1.51% | 58.13% | 28.64% | 13.23% | 65.88% | 2.59% | 3.31% | 2.83% | 25.94% | 6.77% | 89.70% | 2.22% | 8.05% | 45.52% | 25.69% | 28.14% | 58.5% | 40.1% | 14 | 0 | D +32.4% | D +7.1% | D +18.8% |
| 9 | 12.81% | 50.24% | 18.82% | 35.24% | 1.05% | 14.10% | 43.37% | 29.02% | 27.61% | 17.51% | 43.60% | 1.32% | 19.42% | 6.11% | 41.61% | 78.50% | 3.95% | 17.46% | 52.57% | 15.53% | 31.90% | 57.3% | 40.9% | 12 | 2 | D +34.4% | D +0.9% | D +16.7% |
| 10 | 28.33% | 23.38% | 48.65% | 7.79% | 1.94% | 4.80% | 45.72% | 32.02% | 22.26% | 44.50% | 12.76% | 2.18% | 7.05% | 13.88% | 16.65% | 84.23% | 2.88% | 12.87% | 49.38% | 19.11% | 31.43% | 57.5% | 40.9% | 12 | 2 | D +29.1% | R +1.5% | D +17.2% |
| 20 | 50.04% | 22.15% | 64.04% | 4.47% | 6.25% | 9.69% | 66.46% | 14.61% | 18.92% | 62.17% | 8.59% | 6.94% | 14.36% | 28.30% | 18.16% | 86.61% | 2.12% | 11.23% | 50.45% | 18.81% | 30.57% | 78.1% | 21.0% | 14 | 0 | D +65.1% | D +50.7% | D +57.3% |
| 24 | 42.02% | 37.76% | 67.48% | 10.63% | 7.00% | 47.13% | 66.57% | 12.13% | 21.30% | 62.81% | 15.65% | 7.51% | 42.22% | 23.92% | 34.11% | 87.45% | 1.90% | 10.62% | 45.30% | 22.77% | 31.86% | 80.3% | 18.8% | 14 | 0 | D +68% | D +49.4% | D +61.6% |
| 25 | 7.96% | 76.83% | 26.60% | 42.55% | 0.43% | 61.13% | 32.92% | 41.38% | 25.70% | 20.79% | 53.26% | 0.57% | 61.19% | 3.39% | 66.94% | 85.82% | 2.98% | 11.07% | 29.10% | 42.27% | 28.61% | 45.0% | 53.8% | 3 | 11 | R +20.4% | D +2.6% | R +8.6% |
| 26 | 10.32% | 73.35% | 22.58% | 36.25% | 0.57% | 65.42% | 35.69% | 36.75% | 27.56% | 21.18% | 47.57% | 0.77% | 66.17% | 4.67% | 64.29% | 82.77% | 3.10% | 14.10% | 28.65% | 41.33% | 30.00% | 50.7% | 48.0% | 9 | 5 | D +15.7% | R +2.2% | D +3% |
| 27 | 7.07% | 74.18% | 17.87% | 36.73% | 0.39% | 75.66% | 35.72% | 38.10% | 26.18% | 15.24% | 45.38% | 0.52% | 72.02% | 3.19% | 63.12% | 83.83% | 3.09% | 12.93% | 26.85% | 45.71% | 27.44% | 50.6% | 48.3% | 9 | 5 | D +17.4% | R +0.6% | D +2.7% |

| | | | 5 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|------|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|------------------|---------|----------|
| | Plan S000C8042 | BVAP | 43.73% | 12.81% | 28.33% | 50.04% | 42.02% | 7.96% | 10.32% | 7.07% |
| | Primary Elections | HVAP | 9.04% | 50.24% | 23.38% | 22.15% | 37.76% | 76.83% | 73.35% | 74.18% |
| | | R_Baldauf | 0.70% | 0.84% | 0.71% | 1.36% | 1.92% | 1.93% | 1.83% | 1.50% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 52.44% | 52.75% | 52.09% | 62.76% | 66.52% | 65.93% | 67.74% | 67.69% |
| | | R_Devine | 1.13% | 1.98% | 1.43% | 2.20% | 3.24% | 2.92% | 3.34% | 3.09% |
| | Coverner (BEB) | R_Langford | 1.13% | 1.44% | 1.65% | 1.86% | 1.97% | 1.41% | 1.72% | 1.53% |
| | Governor (REP) | R_Mercadante | 0.42% | 1.28% | 0.76% | 1.51% | 2.13% | 1.93% | 2.06% | 2.14% |
| | | R_Nathan | 0.71% | 1.00% | 0.82% | 1.54% | 2.72% | 1.13% | 1.42% | 1.39% |
| | | R_Putnam | 41.63% | 37.93% | 40.26% | 25.36% | 17.05% | 21.84% | 18.17% | 18.84% |
| | | R_White | 1.62% | 2.61% | 2.11% | 2.89% | 3.92% | 2.63% | 3.54% | 3.46% |
| | | D_Gillum | 58.39% | 29.99% | 45.49% | 52.96% | 50.35% | 32.88% | 31.83% | 28.95% |
| | | D_Graham | 22.26% | 29.75% | 28.40% | 13.34% | 11.17% | 19.31% | 21.15% | 22.65% |
| | | D_Greene | 5.72% | 13.96% | 8.69% | 10.39% | 9.34% | 9.66% | 10.62% | 7.94% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D King | 1.43% | 4.29% | 3.76% | 0.94% | 0.75% | 2.33% | 2.11% | 1.54% |
| | | D_Levine | 10.71% | 19.18% | 12.46% | 21.58% | 27.53% | 32.70% | 32.23% | 37.17% |
| 2010 | | D Lundmark | 0.49% | 1.12% | 0.44% | 0.30% | 0.38% | 1.37% | 0.91% | 0.78% |
| 2018 | | D Wetherbee | 0.83% | 1.64% | 0.66% | 0.38% | 0.32% | 1.27% | 0.97% | 0.68% |
| | | R_Moody | 57.78% | 54.44% | 55.46% | 55.57% | 53.16% | 52.08% | 54.82% | 54.79% |
| | Attorney General (REP) | R White | 42.22% | 45.50% | 44.57% | 44.27% | 46.64% | 47.88% | 45.11% | 45.20% |
| | | D Shaw | 78.66% | 61.11% | 74.44% | 81.44% | 82.10% | 67.77% | 69.58% | 74.09% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D Torrens | 21.31% | 38.88% | 25.57% | 18.56% | 17.89% | 32.10% | 30.43% | 25.91% |
| | | R Caldwell | 35.67% | 36.42% | 34.83% | 43.50% | 39.73% | 42.29% | 42.07% | 40.18% |
| | | R Grimsley | 21.36% | 31.97% | 31.49% | 25.91% | 31.44% | 29.71% | 31.57% | 32.70% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (REP) | R McCalister | 8.68% | 16.25% | 15.43% | 21.17% | 17.11% | 12.78% | 16.62% | 16.76% |
| | | R Troutman | 34.12% | 15.22% | 18.23% | 9.04% | 11.06% | 15.05% | 9.61% | 10.37% |
| | | D Fried | 60.09% | 55.10% | 55.25% | 63.92% | 59.04% | 52.18% | 53.25% | 59.89% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) | D Porter | 20.04% | 18.57% | 17.46% | 16.10% | 17.36% | 20.02% | 20.45% | 15.13% |
| | | D Walker | 19.86% | 26.32% | 27.30% | 19.96% | 23.60% | 27.59% | 26.21% | 24.88% |
| | | R De La Fuente | 10.20% | 10.06% | 11.29% | 14.88% | 15.74% | 9.81% | 12.28% | 12.63% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Scott | 89.71% | 89.89% | 88.72% | 84.91% | 84.06% | 90.09% | 87.66% | 87.32% |
| | | R Beruff | 22.31% | 17.11% | 17.64% | 14.64% | 8.73% | 8.85% | 6.43% | 5.58% |
| | | R Rivera | 3.70% | 3.21% | 2.45% | 5.03% | 3.26% | 2.20% | 2.94% | 1.88% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Rubio | 68.00% | 71.92% | 74.53% | 70.56% | 80.12% | 85.24% | 85.70% | 88.87% |
| | | R Young | 5.81% | 7.56% | 5.31% | 9.37% | 7.44% | 3.59% | 4.86% | 3.46% |
| 2016 | | D De La Fuente | 4.12% | 14.95% | 3.93% | 3.17% | 5.51% | 19.30% | 13.76% | 12.16% |
| | | D Grayson | 17.53% | 45.27% | 40.72% | 9.95% | 10.82% | 11.17% | 11.16% | 11.19% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D Keith | 15.18% | 9.79% | 12.71% | 14.56% | 13.82% | 13.73% | 15.63% | 17.86% |
| | , | D Luster | 12.08% | 1.26% | 2.28% | 2.23% | 2.68% | 2.02% | 1.68% | 1.54% |
| | | D Murphy | 50.94% | 28.53% | 40.28% | 69.89% | 66.91% | 53.19% | 57.51% | 56.90% |
| | | R Adeshina | 1.29% | 1.69% | 1.67% | 2.66% | 2.97% | 1.46% | 1.77% | 1.80% |
| | Governor (REP) | R Cuevas-Neunder | 8.09% | 12 04% | 9.60% | 14 56% | 16 32% | 10.61% | 15 19% | 13 26% |
| | | R Scott | 90.47% | 86.09% | 88 64% | 82 42% | 80.36% | 87 73% | 82.95% | 84.83% |
| 2014 | | D_Crist | 74 34% | 76.41% | 78 84% | 82.85% | 84 35% | 76 74% | 78.42% | 73.98% |
| 2011 | Governor (DEM) | D Bich | 25 58% | 23 44% | 21 17% | 17.09% | 15 61% | 22.84% | 21.48% | 25.89% |
| | | D_Nich D_Sheldon | 60.86% | 60.66% | /0.68% | 30.26% | 15.01% | 58 73% | 61.40% | 65 55% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D Thurston | 30.00% | 39.26% | 50 27% | 60.66% | 53 21% | 40 01% | 38 / 8% | 34 27% |
| | | P_Mack | 55.17/0 | 40.25% | EQ 220/ | 6E 26% | 71 700/ | 72 469/ | 72 640/ | 77 1 50/ |
| | | R_WcCaliston | 37.30% 19.6E% | 49.55% | 10.02% | 12 110/ | /1./0% | / 3.40% 9.01% | 7 2 60/ | F 10% |
| | US Senate (REP) | | 10.03% 5.020/ | LT.93% | 1 0.93% | 7 2 5.11% | 0.00% | 0.01% | 12 260/ | 12 00% |
| 2012 | | n_studit D_Wolden | 3.92% | 0.56% | 4.00% | 12.050/ | 13.13% | 12.3/% | 13.20% | 12.99% |
| | | R_weldon | 17.45% | 31.96% | 25.74% | 13.85% | 8.00% | 5.92% | 5.0/% | 4.40% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_BURKett | 22.03% | 19.38% | 13.66% | 14.24% | 14.02% | 21.21% | 18.40% | 14.76% |
| | | D_INEISON | 77.91% | 80.61% | 86.30% | 85.70% | 85.93% | 78.58% | 81.49% | 85.11% |

| | | | 5 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|------|--------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Plan S000C8042 | BVAP | 43.73% | 12.81% | 28.33% | 50.04% | 42.02% | 7.96% | 10.32% | 7.07% |
| | General Elections | HVAP | 9.04% | 50.24% | 23.38% | 22.15% | 37.76% | 76.83% | 73.35% | 74.18% |
| 2020 | President | D_Biden | 60.23% | 58.79% | 61.66% | 75.53% | 74.41% | 40.98% | 46.43% | 49.44% |
| 2020 | resident | R_Trump | 38.62% | 40.22% | 37.34% | 23.88% | 25.06% | 58.48% | 52.99% | 50.01% |
| | Governor | D_Gillum | 62.51% | 61.81% | 62.29% | 79.65% | 81.56% | 46.17% | 52.49% | 53.18% |
| | Governor | R_DeSantis | 36.60% | 36.87% | 36.70% | 19.73% | 17.74% | 52.44% | 46.31% | 45.75% |
| | Attornov Gonoral | D_Shaw | 59.25% | 58.41% | 58.50% | 78.13% | 80.14% | 44.45% | 50.86% | 51.99% |
| | Attomey General | R_Moody | 39.21% | 39.61% | 39.86% | 20.54% | 18.30% | 53.53% | 46.94% | 46.10% |
| 2018 | Chief Einancial Officer | D_Ring | 60.38% | 60.81% | 60.33% | 79.52% | 81.61% | 45.82% | 51.93% | 52.59% |
| 2010 | chief i mancial officer | R_Patronis | 39.62% | 39.19% | 39.67% | 20.46% | 18.38% | 54.17% | 48.07% | 47.41% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Fried | 61.38% | 62.27% | 62.23% | 79.77% | 82.11% | 46.93% | 53.44% | 54.63% |
| | Agriculture commissioner | R_Caldwell | 38.63% | 37.73% | 37.77% | 20.22% | 17.88% | 53.06% | 46.56% | 45.38% |
| | LIS Sonato | D_Nelson | 62.25% | 60.52% | 62.11% | 79.66% | 81.49% | 46.47% | 53.46% | 54.47% |
| | 03 Senate | R_Scott | 37.75% | 39.48% | 37.89% | 20.33% | 18.51% | 53.52% | 46.54% | 45.52% |
| | President | D_Clinton | 58.51% | 61.95% | 60.09% | 77.52% | 81.10% | 52.56% | 56.46% | 57.42% |
| 2016 | rresident | R_Trump | 38.61% | 34.53% | 36.37% | 20.71% | 17.23% | 45.16% | 40.81% | 40.05% |
| 2010 | LIS Senate | D_Murphy | 52.82% | 54.92% | 54.84% | 75.52% | 76.02% | 42.42% | 47.69% | 47.78% |
| | 03 Senate | R_Rubio | 43.90% | 41.03% | 41.35% | 22.53% | 21.88% | 55.35% | 49.92% | 50.17% |
| | Covernor | D_Crist | 56.54% | 52.80% | 54.65% | 79.64% | 82.25% | 43.00% | 51.20% | 50.00% |
| | Governor | R_Scott | 39.85% | 42.13% | 40.77% | 18.20% | 16.17% | 54.28% | 45.89% | 47.55% |
| | Attornov Conoral | D_Sheldon | 53.20% | 49.01% | 51.79% | 75.88% | 79.86% | 38.72% | 45.82% | 46.03% |
| 2014 | Attorney General | R_Bondi | 44.31% | 48.13% | 45.30% | 22.66% | 18.70% | 58.94% | 51.75% | 51.96% |
| 2014 | Chief Financial Officer | D_Rankin | 53.57% | 48.88% | 49.22% | 75.36% | 79.06% | 40.24% | 45.88% | 43.49% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | R_Atwater | 46.43% | 51.12% | 50.78% | 24.62% | 20.94% | 59.75% | 54.12% | 56.53% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Hamilton | 55.57% | 47.75% | 49.27% | 76.85% | 79.82% | 39.79% | 46.04% | 44.31% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | R_Putnam | 44.41% | 52.25% | 50.73% | 23.15% | 20.18% | 60.19% | 53.95% | 55.69% |
| | Drosidont | D_Obama | 61.03% | 61.43% | 58.97% | 80.43% | 82.82% | 51.07% | 54.83% | 52.22% |
| 2012 | riesident | R_Romney | 38.14% | 37.76% | 40.24% | 19.14% | 16.82% | 48.44% | 44.61% | 47.27% |
| 2012 | LIC Consta | D_Nelson | 65.00% | 65.98% | 63.62% | 81.94% | 83.49% | 52.79% | 56.33% | 54.47% |
| | US Senale | R_Mack | 32.61% | 31.57% | 34.51% | 16.83% | 15.47% | 45.07% | 42.03% | 44.15% |



Census and Boundary Statistics

Page 2

Overall numbers of county and city splits:

Counties with all population in a single district

Aggregate number of county splits

Counties split into more than one district

Counties with only one district Districts with only one county

Number of Counties

Aggregate number of splits with population Number of Cities

Cities with only one district

67 5 5 20 20 20 20 412 412 452 45 45 45 97 97 97

> Cities split into more than one district Cities with all population in only one district Aggregate number of city splits Aggregate number of splits with population

In Plan S019C8052

District lines and City and County Boundaries

| | Deviation | Voting Age | Population: | Area | Perim. | Convex | Polsby- | Reock | Cour | nties: | Cit | ies: | | Politic | al and G | eographic | Boundari | ies: |
|-------|-----------|------------|-------------|----------|--------|--------|---------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|
| Dist. | Total % | Black | Hisp. | (sq.mi.) | (mi.) | Hull | Popper | Ratio | Whole | Parts | Whole | Parts | City | County | Road | Water | Rail | Non-Pol/Geo |
| | 1 0.00% | 15.54% | 24.99% | 2,550.1 | 269.4 | 0.80 | 0.41 | 0.44 | 47 | 52 | 367 | 97 | 15% | 61% | 18% | 40% | 1% | 9% |
| 1 | 0 0.00% | 13.55% | 6.69% | 4,416 | 329 | 0.87 | 0.51 | 0.54 | 3 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 2% | 81% | 14% | 55% | 0% | 2% |
| 2 | 0 0.00% | 13.32% | 6.21% | 15,879 | 884 | 0.71 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 15 | 5 | 55 | 4 | 4% | 76% | 19% | 47% | 0% | 4% |
| 3 | 0 0.00% | 16.08% | 10.45% | 3,864 | 308 | 0.88 | 0.51 | 0.70 | 5 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 17% | 74% | 15% | 24% | 1% | 8% |
| 4 | 0 0.00% | 10.79% | 9.27% | 1,553 | 332 | 0.66 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 24% | 73% | 17% | 54% | 2% | 3% |
| 5 | 0 0.00% | 43.73% | 9.04% | 3,753 | 635 | 0.65 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 4 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 12% | 74% | 22% | 13% | 0% | 2% |
| 6 | 0 0.00% | 9.70% | 11.04% | 2,770 | 332 | 0.73 | 0.31 | 0.33 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 4 | 6% | 74% | 7% | 54% | 2% | 10% |
| 7 | 0 0.00% | 12.32% | 25.39% | 490 | 113 | 0.88 | 0.49 | 0.66 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 6% | 43% | 19% | 32% | 0% | 34% |
| 8 | 0 0.00% | 9.58% | 10.30% | 2,301 | 272 | 0.75 | 0.39 | 0.32 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 0 | 0% | 85% | 6% | 46% | 0% | 9% |
| 9 | 0 0.00% | 12.81% | 50.24% | 1,840 | 253 | 0.86 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2% | 76% | 17% | 31% | 0% | 8% |
| 10 | 0 0.00% | 28.33% | 23.38% | 453 | 103 | 0.89 | 0.54 | 0.51 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 14% | 68% | 31% | 23% | 0% | 2% |
| 11 | 0 0.00% | 8.61% | 10.59% | 2,643 | 349 | 0.68 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 2 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 12% | 70% | 19% | 38% | 1% | 7% |
| 12 | 0 0.00% | 4.87% | 11.57% | 1,628 | 224 | 0.80 | 0.41 | 0.46 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 9% | 73% | 8% | 46% | 0% | 8% |
| 13 | 0 0.00% | 11.45% | 9.76% | 625 | 112 | 0.91 | 0.63 | 0.68 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 3 | 40% | 69% | 5% | 88% | 0% | 2% |
| 14 | 0 0.00% | 18.04% | 30.22% | 330 | 88 | 0.87 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 53% | 49% | 13% | 44% | 0% | 9% |
| 15 | -1 0.00% | 15.82% | 23.27% | 985 | 172 | 0.88 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 13% | 44% | 35% | 12% | 2% | 16% |
| 16 | 0 0.00% | 7.23% | 13.73% | 1,969 | 202 | 0.89 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 20% | 58% | 16% | 53% | 2% | 5% |
| 17 | 0 0.00% | 9.02% | 16.71% | 5,797 | 382 | 0.82 | 0.50 | 0.47 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 4% | 79% | 10% | 28% | 1% | 2% |
| 18 | 0 0.00% | 12.22% | 14.87% | 1,730 | 225 | 0.76 | 0.43 | 0.45 | 2 | 2 | 13 | 7 | 7% | 70% | 11% | 48% | 0% | 10% |
| 19 | 0 0.00% | 4.37% | 15.06% | 1,894 | 236 | 0.79 | 0.43 | 0.33 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 3% | 66% | 18% | 61% | 1% | 8% |
| 20 | 0 0.00% | 50.04% | 22.15% | 2,556 | 315 | 0.81 | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 22% | 42% | 23% | 11% | 4% | 15% |
| 21 | 0 0.00% | 15.56% | 24.23% | 261 | 103 | 0.64 | 0.31 | 0.28 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 38% | 4% | 42% | 2% | 5% | 27% |
| 22 | 0 0.00% | 13.73% | 21.59% | 342 | 125 | 0.82 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 7 | 20% | 42% | 29% | 49% | 6% | 9% |
| 23 | 0 0.00% | 16.90% | 42.18% | 239 | 80 | 0.85 | 0.47 | 0.43 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 53% | 30% | 28% | 21% | 0% | 10% |
| 24 | 0 0.00% | 42.02% | 37.76% | 176 | 68 | 0.90 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 26% | 36% | 29% | 46% | 1% | 18% |
| 25 | 0 0.00% | 7.96% | 76.83% | 3,680 | 364 | 0.67 | 0.35 | 0.40 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7% | 68% | 16% | 20% | 0% | 8% |
| 26 | 0 0.00% | 10.32% | 73.35% | 6,710 | 591 | 0.55 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 1% | 88% | 7% | 86% | 0% | 1% |
| 27 | 0 0.00% | 7.07% | 74.18% | 280 | 70 | 0.95 | 0.73 | 0.71 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 9% | 18% | 35% | 59% | 0% | 6% |
| 28 | 0 0.00% | 14.78% | 23.18% | 2,240 | 276 | 0.85 | 0.37 | 0.44 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 5% | 90% | 3% | 26% | 0% | 5% |

Split Counties and Cities

| Cour | nties include | d in more th | nan one dist | rict | | Cou | nties included in mo | re than one di | strict | | | Counties i | included in mor | re than one d | istrict | | | Counties | included in m | ore than one o | istrict | |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|-------|--------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|-------|------|------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|-------|-------|----------|---------------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| County | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | County | Dist. Total Po | ор Рор% | Total Area A | Area% | Cour | nty | Dist. Total Po | ор Рор% | Total Area A | Area% | Count | у | Dist. Total | Pop Pop% | Total Area | Area% |
| Broward | 20 | 535,675 | 27.6% | 888.0 | 67.9% | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | · · · · · |
| Broward | 21 | 313,820 | 16.1% | 71.1 | 5.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 22 | 233,209 | 12.0% | 97.7 | 7.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 23 | 769,221 | 39.6% | 238.9 | 18.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Broward | 24 | 92,450 | 4.8% | 12.6 | 1.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collier | 19 | 215,578 | 57.4% | 639.5 | 24.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collier | 25 | 160,174 | 42.6% | 1,965.6 | 75.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbia | 2 | 51,337 | 73.7% | 350.3 | 43.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Columbia | 5 | 18,361 | 26.3% | 451.0 | 56.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Duval | 4 | 505,744 | 50.8% | 454.9 | 49.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Duval | 5 | 489,823 | 49.2% | 463.6 | 50.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 14 | 769,221 | 52.7% | 329.9 | 24.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 15 | 592,149 | 40.6% | 645.8 | 48.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hillsborough | 16 | 98,392 | 6.7% | 356.2 | 26.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indian River | 8 | 145,456 | 91.0% | 609.1 | 98.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Indian River | 18 | 14,332 | 9.0% | 7.8 | 1.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson | 2 | 4,410 | 30.4% | 400.1 | 59.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jefferson | 5 | 10,100 | 69.6% | 272.5 | 40.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 6 | 35,396 | 9.2% | 3/9.1 | 32.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 20 | 304,385 | 79.3% 11.E% | 220.9 | 47.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake | 20 | 44,175 | 27.2% | 229.0 | 17.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee | 10 | 552 6/2 | 77 9% | 1 254 0 | 97.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lee | 2 | 1/15 318 | /9.7% | 1,234.5 | 69.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Leon | 5 | 146 880 | 50.3% | 213.3 | 30.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marion | 2 | 39 930 | 10.6% | 233.6 | 14.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marion | 3 | 154 737 | 41 2% | 874.2 | 52.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Marion | 11 | 181,241 | 48.2% | 554.9 | 33.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 24 | 676,771 | 25.1% | 163.3 | 6.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 25 | 569,428 | 21.1% | 525.2 | 22.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 26 | 686,347 | 25.4% | 1,420.5 | 59.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami-Dade | 27 | 769,221 | 28.5% | 280.3 | 11.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 7 | 262,969 | 18.4% | 81.8 | 8.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 8 | 17,153 | 1.2% | 134.4 | 13.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 9 | 380,565 | 26.6% | 334.2 | 33.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orange | 10 | 769,221 | 53.8% | 453.0 | 45.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 18 | 267,232 | 17.9% | 281.3 | 11.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 20 | 233,546 | 15.7% | 1,667.6 | 70.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 21 | 455,401 | 30.5% | 189.7 | 8.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Palm Beach | 22 | 536,012 | 35.9% | 244.7 | 10.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pasco | 12 | 384,820 | 68.5% | 675.5 | 66.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pasco | 15 | 177,071 | 31.5% | 339.2 | 33.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 12 | 189,886 | 19.8% | 237.5 | 27.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pinellas | 13 | 769,221 | 80.2% | 625.1 | 72.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarasota | 16 | 271,119 | 62.5% | 649.2 | 66.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sarasota | 17 | 162,887 | 37.5% | 326.4 | 33.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Johns | 4 | 173,125 | 63.3% | 371.9 | 45.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St. Johns | 6 | 100,300 | 36.7% | 449.6 | 54.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| voiusia | 6 | 518,147 | 93.6% | 1,3/0.0 | 95.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| voiusia | / | 35,396 | 6.4% | 62.4 931 F | 4.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Walton | 1 | 47,048 | 26.7% | 821.5 | 58.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| wait0fi | 2 | 27,057 | 30.7% | 588.0 | 41.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

1/7/2022

Split Counties and Cities

| | Cities include | d in more thar | n one district | t | | Cit | ties included | l in more tha | n one distri | ct | | | Cities i | ncluded in m | nore than or | ne district | | | | Cities inc | luded in mo | re than o | ne district | |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------|----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|------------|---|------|------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|
| City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | City | Dist. | Total Pop | Pop% | Total Area | Area% | City | | Dist. Tota | al Pop Po | op% Tota | Area Area% | | City | | Dist. Total | Pop F | op% Tota | Il Area Area% |
| Belle Isle | 9 | 216 | 3.1% | 0.2 | 3.7% | Oldsmar | 12 | 14,887 | 99.9% | 10.1 | 100.0% | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| Belle Isle | 10 | 6,816 | 96.9% | 5.0 | 96.3% | Oldsmar | 13 | 11 | 0.1% | 0.0 | 0.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clearwater | 12 | 1,498 | 1.3% | 0.2 | 0.5% | Opa-locka | 24 | 9,537 | 57.9% | 1.5 | 34.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clearwater | 13 | 115,794 | 98.7% | 35.7 | 99.5% | Opa-locka | 25 | 6,926 | 42.1% | 3.0 | 66.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clermont | 11 | 43,021 | 100.0% | 17.4 | 91.1% | Orlando | 7 | 35,474 | 11.5% | 9.0 | 7.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clermont | 28 | 0 | 0.0% | 1.7 | 8.9% | Orlando | 9 | 86,183 | 28.0% | 63.9 | 53.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cutler Bay | 26 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.2% | Orlando | 10 | 185,916 | 60.5% | 46.0 | 38.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cutler Bay | 27 | 45,425 | 100.0% | 10.3 | 99.8% | Palm Beach | 18 | 2,634 | 28.5% | 2.7 | 34.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeBary | 6 | 9,468 | 42.5% | 8.0 | 36.7% | Palm Beach | 22 | 6,611 | 71.5% | 5.1 | 65.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeBary | 7 | 12,792 | 57.5% | 13.8 | 63.4% | Palm Beach Gardens | 18 | 59,182 | 100.0% | 59.3 | 99.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 20 | 29,350 | 33.8% | 4.8 | 29.5% | Palm Beach Gardens | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.1 | 0.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 21 | 41,698 | 48.0% | 8.5 | 52.6% | Pembroke Pines | 23 | 170,725 | 99.7% | 34.7 | 99.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deerfield Beach | 22 | 15,811 | 18.2% | 2.9 | 18.0% | Pembroke Pines | 24 | 453 | 0.3% | 0.0 | 0.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeFuniak Springs | 1 | 860 | 14.5% | 3.7 | 25.9% | Plantation | 20 | 41,374 | 45.1% | 9.0 | 40.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DeFuniak Springs | 2 | 5,059 | 85.5% | 10.6 | 74.1% | Plantation | 23 | 50,376 | 54.9% | 13.0 | 59.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delray Beach | 21 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | Pompano Beach | 20 | 53,918 | 48.1% | 11.3 | 45.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Delray Beach | 22 | 66,846 | 100.0% | 16.5 | 100.0% | Pompano Beach | 21 | 3,327 | 3.0% | 2.9 | 11.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deltona | 6 | 76,307 | 81.4% | 33.7 | 82.4% | Pompano Beach | 22 | 54,801 | 48.9% | 10.5 | 42.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deltona | 7 | 17,385 | 18.6% | 7.2 | 17.6% | Riviera Beach | 18 | 9,951 | 26.5% | 3.1 | 32.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dunedin | 12 | 36,068 | 100.0% | 22.9 | 100.0% | Riviera Beach | 20 | 27,653 | 73.5% | 6.5 | 67.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dunedin | 13 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.0% | Royal Palm Beach | 18 | 16,407 | 42.1% | 5.0 | 42.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eatonville | / | 1,202 | 51.2% | 0.5 | 41.7% | Royal Palm Beach | 20 | 17,861 | 45.9% | 5.6 | 48.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Eatonville | 10 | 1,14/ | 48.8% | 0.7 | 58.3% | Royal Palm Beach | 21 | 4,664 | 12.0% | 1.1 | 8.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 20 | 58,993 | 32.3% | 13.0 | 35.7% | St. Augustine | 4 | 2,447 | 17.1% | 1.6 | 12.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 22 | 102,735 | 56.2% | 18.3 | 50.4% | St. Augustine | 6 | 11,882 | 82.9% | 11.3 | 87.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Lauderdale | 23 | 21,032 | 11.5% | 5.0 | 13.9% | Sunrise | 20 | 85,270 | 87.6% | 14.1 | 77.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort Myers | 17 | 32,184 | 37.3% | 19.8 | 40.4% | Sunrise | 23 | 12,065 | 12.4% | 4.0 | 22.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fort iviyers | 19 | 54,211 | 62.8% | 29.2 | 59.0% | Tallanassee | 2 | 82,107 | 41.9% | 69.0 | 22.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freeport | 1 | 5,587 | 95.3% | 15.3 | 80.4% | Tallanassee | 5 | 114,062 | 58.1% | 34.8 | 33.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Freeport | 2 | 2/4 | 4.7% | 3.7 | 19.6% | Tampa | 14 | 339,835 | 88.3% | 154.0 | 87.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groveland | 20 | 10,020 | 12 49/ | 24.5 | 95.0% 7 10/ | Tomple Terrace | 13 | 45,124 | 100.0% | 21.5 | 12.1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hallandala Baash | 28 | 2,475 | 10.4% | 1.0 | CE 00/ | Tomple Terrace | 14 | 20,050 | 100.0% | 7.0 | 1.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hallandale Beach | 25 | 20,/3/ | 20 29/ | 5.0 | 24 10/ | Umatilla | 15 | 1 415 | 20.0% | 1.0 | 1.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| lacksonville | 24 | 12,400 | 30.3% 49.6% | 112.0 | 34.1% 47.2% | Umatilla | 11 | 2 270 | 50.4% | 1.9 | 43.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jacksonville | 4 | 401,104 | 40.0% | 412.9 | 47.2% 57.9% | Vonico | 16 | 2,270 | 47.9% | 2.5 | 54.5% 61.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jacksonvine | 2 | 408,427 | 54.1% | 401.0 | 10.0% | Venice | 10 | 12,170 | 47.0% 52.2% | 6.7 | 20 1% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake City | 5 | 5 657 | /5.9% | 6.1 | 49.9% 50.1% | West Palm Beach | 18 | 18 256 | 15.6% | 33.2 | 57.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Park | 18 | 1 245 | 13.8% | 0.1 | 17.1% | West Palm Beach | 20 | 63 092 | 53.7% | 16.2 | 27.9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lake Park | 20 | 7 802 | 86.2% | 2.0 | 82.9% | West Palm Beach | 20 | 36.067 | 30.7% | 8.6 | 1/1 9% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maitland | 7 | 13 664 | 69.9% | 4.8 | 73.6% | Winter Park | 7 | 28 902 | 97.0% | 10.0 | 96.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maitland | 10 | 5 879 | 30.1% | 1.0 | 26.4% | Winter Park | 10 | 893 | 3.0% | 0.4 | 3.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Margate | 20 | 17.409 | 29.7% | 2.5 | 27.6% | | 10 | 000 | 5.070 | 0.1 | 5.170 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Margate | 20 | 41,303 | 70.4% | 6.6 | 72.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 24 | 98.349 | 22.2% | 15.0 | 26.7% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 25 | 54,725 | 12.4% | 4.8 | 8.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami | 27 | 289,167 | 65.4% | 36.3 | 64.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Gardens | 24 | 90,649 | 81.2% | 15.2 | 79.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miami Gardens | 25 | 20,991 | 18.8% | 3.8 | 20.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar | 23 | 76,594 | 56.9% | 24.0 | 77.2% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Miramar | 24 | 58,127 | 43.2% | 7.1 | 22.8% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| North Palm Beach | 18 | 13,162 | 100.0% | 5.3 | 99.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| North Palm Beach | 20 | 0 | 0.0% | 0.0 | 0.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Park | 20 | 15,037 | 34.0% | 3.7 | 44.6% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland Park | 22 | 29,192 | 66.0% | 4.5 | 55.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Functional Analysis - Summary

| Ρl | an | S0 | 190 | C80 | 52 |
|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|
|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|

| | 2020 | Census | | 2020 General Election Registered Voters | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|---|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|----------|--------|----------|
| Dist. | VAP w | ho are: | | RV who are | : | RV wh | no are: | Blac | k Voters who | are: | Hisp | . Voters who | are: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | nho are: | NPAOth | who are: |
| | Black | Hisp | DEM | REP | OTH | Black | Hisp | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. |
| 5 | 43.73% | 9.04% | 54.34% | 26.24% | 19.43% | 43.53% | 4.83% | 84.10% | 2.75% | 13.14% | 44.56% | 21.04% | 34.20% | 67.38% | 3.96% | 4.57% | 3.87% | 29.43% | 8.50% |
| 9 | 12.81% | 50.24% | 41.80% | 23.16% | 35.03% | 9.28% | 44.52% | 71.84% | 4.02% | 24.11% | 46.58% | 13.81% | 39.60% | 15.95% | 49.60% | 1.61% | 26.55% | 6.39% | 50.32% |
| 10 | 28.33% | 23.38% | 45.07% | 26.06% | 28.86% | 24.37% | 16.10% | 77.81% | 3.25% | 18.92% | 45.58% | 15.94% | 38.45% | 42.08% | 16.28% | 3.04% | 9.85% | 15.98% | 21.45% |
| 20 | 50.04% | 22.15% | 61.23% | 13.99% | 24.78% | 46.67% | 14.84% | 81.44% | 2.55% | 16.00% | 46.41% | 17.36% | 36.20% | 62.07% | 11.25% | 8.50% | 18.42% | 30.12% | 21.67% |
| 24 | 42.02% | 37.76% | 60.07% | 12.45% | 27.48% | 43.75% | 26.79% | 82.51% | 2.43% | 15.05% | 42.80% | 20.02% | 37.16% | 60.09% | 19.09% | 8.53% | 43.09% | 23.96% | 36.22% |
| 25 | 7.96% | 76.83% | 31.43% | 36.54% | 32.03% | 6.97% | 64.09% | 79.64% | 3.94% | 16.25% | 29.23% | 35.98% | 34.77% | 17.66% | 59.60% | 0.75% | 63.10% | 3.54% | 69.57% |
| 26 | 10.32% | 73.35% | 33.92% | 32.58% | 33.51% | 8.67% | 63.92% | 77.59% | 3.48% | 18.90% | 28.78% | 35.47% | 35.74% | 19.84% | 54.23% | 0.93% | 69.60% | 4.89% | 68.18% |
| 27 | 7.07% | 74.18% | 34.57% | 33.39% | 32.04% | 6.14% | 62.79% | 78.61% | 3.67% | 17.61% | 28.03% | 38.96% | 33.00% | 13.97% | 50.91% | 0.67% | 73.27% | 3.38% | 64.68% |

Functional Analysis - Summary

| | 2020 0 | Census | Avera | ge Primary B | Election Tur | nout | | Average General Election Turnout | | | | | | | G | General Election Performance in Statewide Elections 2012-2020 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------|----------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|---------|--------|----------|---|-----------|--------|----------------------------|--------|--------|------------|-------|------|-----|----------|----------|----------|
| Dist. | VAP w | ho are: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | ho are: | Vo | oters who a | re: | DEM w | ho are: | REP w | ho are: | NPAOth | who are: | Black | Voters wh | o are: | are: Hisp. Voters who are: | | o are: | Avg. Perf. | | Wins | | Margins | | |
| | Black | Hisp | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | Black | Hisp. | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | NPAOth | DEM | REP | DEM | REP | MAX | MIN | AVG |
| 5 | 43.73% | 9.04% | 66.22% | 1.13% | 2.81% | 1.51% | 58.13% | 28.64% | 13.23% | 65.88% | 2.59% | 3.31% | 2.83% | 25.94% | 6.77% | 89.70% | 2.22% | 8.05% | 45.52% | 25.69% | 28.14% | 58.5% | 40.1% | 14 | 0 | D +32.4% | D +7.1% | D +18.8% |
| 9 | 12.81% | 50.24% | 18.82% | 35.24% | 1.05% | 14.10% | 43.37% | 29.02% | 27.61% | 17.51% | 43.60% | 1.32% | 19.42% | 6.11% | 41.61% | 78.50% | 3.95% | 17.46% | 52.57% | 15.53% | 31.90% | 57.3% | 40.9% | 12 | 2 | D +34.4% | D +0.9% | D +16.7% |
| 10 | 28.33% | 23.38% | 48.65% | 7.79% | 1.94% | 4.80% | 45.72% | 32.02% | 22.26% | 44.50% | 12.76% | 2.18% | 7.05% | 13.88% | 16.65% | 84.23% | 2.88% | 12.87% | 49.38% | 19.11% | 31.43% | 57.5% | 40.9% | 12 | 2 | D +29.1% | R +1.5% | D +17.2% |
| 20 | 50.04% | 22.15% | 64.04% | 4.47% | 6.25% | 9.69% | 66.46% | 14.61% | 18.92% | 62.17% | 8.59% | 6.94% | 14.36% | 28.30% | 18.16% | 86.61% | 2.12% | 11.23% | 50.45% | 18.81% | 30.57% | 78.1% | 21.0% | 14 | 0 | D +65.1% | D +50.7% | D +57.3% |
| 24 | 42.02% | 37.76% | 67.48% | 10.63% | 7.00% | 47.13% | 66.57% | 12.13% | 21.30% | 62.81% | 15.65% | 7.51% | 42.22% | 23.92% | 34.11% | 87.45% | 1.90% | 10.62% | 45.30% | 22.77% | 31.86% | 80.3% | 18.8% | 14 | 0 | D +68% | D +49.4% | D +61.6% |
| 25 | 7.96% | 76.83% | 26.60% | 42.55% | 0.43% | 61.13% | 32.92% | 41.38% | 25.70% | 20.79% | 53.26% | 0.57% | 61.19% | 3.39% | 66.94% | 85.82% | 2.98% | 11.07% | 29.10% | 42.27% | 28.61% | 45.0% | 53.8% | 3 | 11 | R +20.4% | D +2.6% | R +8.6% |
| 26 | 10.32% | 73.35% | 22.58% | 36.25% | 0.57% | 65.42% | 35.69% | 36.75% | 27.56% | 21.18% | 47.57% | 0.77% | 66.17% | 4.67% | 64.29% | 82.77% | 3.10% | 14.10% | 28.65% | 41.33% | 30.00% | 50.7% | 48.0% | 9 | 5 | D +15.7% | R +2.2% | D +3% |
| 27 | 7.07% | 74.18% | 17.87% | 36.73% | 0.39% | 75.66% | 35.72% | 38.10% | 26.18% | 15.24% | 45.38% | 0.52% | 72.02% | 3.19% | 63.12% | 83.83% | 3.09% | 12.93% | 26.85% | 45.71% | 27.44% | 50.6% | 48.3% | 9 | 5 | D +17.4% | R +0.6% | D +2.7% |

| | | | 5 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|------|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|------------------|--------|---------|
| | Plan S019C8052 | BVAP | 43.73% | 12.81% | 28.33% | 50.04% | 42.02% | 7.96% | 10.32% | 7.07% |
| | Primary Elections | HVAP | 9.04% | 50.24% | 23.38% | 22.15% | 37.76% | 76.83% | 73.35% | 74.18% |
| | | R_Baldauf | 0.70% | 0.84% | 0.71% | 1.36% | 1.92% | 1.93% | 1.83% | 1.50% |
| | | R_DeSantis | 52.44% | 52.75% | 52.09% | 62.76% | 66.52% | 65.93% | 67.74% | 67.69% |
| | | R_Devine | 1.13% | 1.98% | 1.43% | 2.20% | 3.24% | 2.92% | 3.34% | 3.09% |
| | Coverner (BEB) | R_Langford | 1.13% | 1.44% | 1.65% | 1.86% | 1.97% | 1.41% | 1.72% | 1.53% |
| | Governor (REP) | R_Mercadante | 0.42% | 1.28% | 0.76% | 1.51% | 2.13% | 1.93% | 2.06% | 2.14% |
| | | R_Nathan | 0.71% | 1.00% | 0.82% | 1.54% | 2.72% | 1.13% | 1.42% | 1.39% |
| | | R_Putnam | 41.63% | 37.93% | 40.26% | 25.36% | 17.05% | 21.84% | 18.17% | 18.84% |
| | | R_White | 1.62% | 2.61% | 2.11% | 2.89% | 3.92% | 2.63% | 3.54% | 3.46% |
| | | D_Gillum | 58.39% | 29.99% | 45.49% | 52.96% | 50.35% | 32.88% | 31.83% | 28.95% |
| | | D_Graham | 22.26% | 29.75% | 28.40% | 13.34% | 11.17% | 19.31% | 21.15% | 22.65% |
| | | D_Greene | 5.72% | 13.96% | 8.69% | 10.39% | 9.34% | 9.66% | 10.62% | 7.94% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D_King | 1.43% | 4.29% | 3.76% | 0.94% | 0.75% | 2.33% | 2.11% | 1.54% |
| | | D_Levine | 10.71% | 19.18% | 12.46% | 21.58% | 27.53% | 32.70% | 32.23% | 37.17% |
| 2010 | | D_Lundmark | 0.49% | 1.12% | 0.44% | 0.30% | 0.38% | 1.37% | 0.91% | 0.78% |
| 2018 | | D_Wetherbee | 0.83% | 1.64% | 0.66% | 0.38% | 0.32% | 1.27% | 0.97% | 0.68% |
| | Atterney Conoral (PED) | R_Moody | 57.78% | 54.44% | 55.46% | 55.57% | 53.16% | 52.08% | 54.82% | 54.79% |
| | Attorney General (REP) | R_White | 42.22% | 45.50% | 44.57% | 44.27% | 46.64% | 47.88% | 45.11% | 45.20% |
| | | D_Shaw | 78.66% | 61.11% | 74.44% | 81.44% | 82.10% | 67.77% | 69.58% | 74.09% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D_Torrens | 21.31% | 38.88% | 25.57% | 18.56% | 17.89% | 32.10% | 30.43% | 25.91% |
| | | R Caldwell | 35.67% | 36.42% | 34.83% | 43.50% | 39.73% | 42.29% | 42.07% | 40.18% |
| | | R Grimsley | 21.36% | 31.97% | 31.49% | 25.91% | 31.44% | 29.71% | 31.57% | 32.70% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (REP) | R McCalister | 8.68% | 16.25% | 15.43% | 21.17% | 17.11% | 12.78% | 16.62% | 16.76% |
| | | R Troutman | 34.12% | 15.22% | 18.23% | 9.04% | 11.06% | 15.05% | 9.61% | 10.37% |
| | | D Fried | 60.09% | 55.10% | 55.25% | 63.92% | 59.04% | 52.18% | 53.25% | 59.89% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner (DEM) | D Porter | 20.04% | 18.57% | 17.46% | 16.10% | 17.36% | 20.02% | 20.45% | 15.13% |
| | | D Walker | 19.86% | 26.32% | 27.30% | 19.96% | 23.60% | 27.59% | 26.21% | 24.88% |
| | | R De La Fuente | 10.20% | 10.06% | 11.29% | 14.88% | 15.74% | 9.81% | 12.28% | 12.63% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R_Scott | 89.71% | 89.89% | 88.72% | 84.91% | 84.06% | 90.09% | 87.66% | 87.32% |
| | | R Beruff | 22.31% | 17.11% | 17.64% | 14.64% | 8.73% | 8.85% | 6.43% | 5.58% |
| | | R Rivera | 3.70% | 3.21% | 2.45% | 5.03% | 3.26% | 2.20% | 2.94% | 1.88% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Rubio | 68.00% | 71.92% | 74.53% | 70.56% | 80.12% | 85.24% | 85.70% | 88.87% |
| | | R Young | 5.81% | 7.56% | 5.31% | 9.37% | 7.44% | 3.59% | 4.86% | 3.46% |
| 2016 | | D De La Fuente | 4.12% | 14.95% | 3.93% | 3.17% | 5.51% | 19.30% | 13.76% | 12.16% |
| | | D Grayson | 17.53% | 45.27% | 40.72% | 9.95% | 10.82% | 11.17% | 11.16% | 11.19% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D Keith | 15.18% | 9.79% | 12.71% | 14.56% | 13.82% | 13.73% | 15.63% | 17.86% |
| | | D Luster | 12.08% | 1.26% | 2.28% | 2.23% | 2.68% | 2.02% | 1.68% | 1.54% |
| | | D Murphy | 50.94% | 28.53% | 40.28% | 69.89% | 66.91% | 53.19% | 57.51% | 56.90% |
| | | R Adeshina | 1.29% | 1.69% | 1.67% | 2.66% | 2.97% | 1.46% | 1.77% | 1.80% |
| | Governor (REP) | R Cuevas-Neunder | 8.09% | 12.04% | 9.60% | 14.56% | 16.32% | 10.61% | 15.19% | 13.26% |
| | | R Scott | 90.47% | 86.09% | 88.64% | 82.42% | 80.36% | 87.73% | 82.95% | 84.83% |
| 2014 | | D Crist | 74.34% | 76.41% | 78.84% | 82.85% | 84.35% | 76.74% | 78.42% | 73.98% |
| | Governor (DEM) | D Rich | 25 58% | 23 44% | 21 17% | 17.09% | 15.61% | 22.84% | 21.48% | 25.89% |
| | | D Sheldon | 60.86% | 60.66% | 49.68% | 39.26% | 46 77% | 58 73% | 61 40% | 65 55% |
| | Attorney General (DEM) | D Thurston | 39.17% | 39.26% | 50 37% | 60.66% | 53 21% | 40.91% | 38 48% | 34 37% |
| | | B Mack | 57 58% | 49 35% | 58 32% | 65.26% | 71 78% | 73.46% | 73 64% | 77 15% |
| | | R McCalister | 18 65% | 11 93% | 10.93% | 13 11% | 6.85% | 8 01% | 7 36% | 5 18% |
| | US Senate (REP) | R Stuart | 5 92% | 6.58% | 4 88% | 7 25% | 13 13% | 12 37% | 13 26% | 12 99% |
| 2012 | | R Weldon | J.JZ/0 17 /E0/ | 31 060/ | 4.00/0 | 13 950/ | 8 00% | 5 0 20/ | 5 670/ | 12.33/0 |
| | | n_weldon | 17.45% | 31.90% | 12 660/ | 14 240/ | 0.00% | 3.92% 21.210/ | 3.07% | 4.40% |
| | US Senate (DEM) | D_Burkett | 22.05% | 19.36% | 13.00% | 14.24% | 14.02% | 21.21% | 10.40% | 14.70% |
| | | | //.91% | 80.61% | 80.30% | 65.70% | 65.93% | /8.58% | 61.49% | 65.11% |

| | | | 5 | 9 | 10 | 20 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
|------|--------------------------|------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | Plan S019C8052 | BVAF | 43.73% | 12.81% | 28.33% | 50.04% | 42.02% | 7.96% | 10.32% | 7.07% |
| | General Elections | HVAF | 9.04% | 50.24% | 23.38% | 22.15% | 37.76% | 76.83% | 73.35% | 74.18% |
| 2020 | President | D_Biden | 60.23% | 58.79% | 61.66% | 75.53% | 74.41% | 40.98% | 46.43% | 49.44% |
| 2020 | resident | R_Trump | 38.62% | 40.22% | 37.34% | 23.88% | 25.06% | 58.48% | 52.99% | 50.01% |
| | Governor | D_Gillum | 62.51% | 61.81% | 62.29% | 79.65% | 81.56% | 46.17% | 52.49% | 53.18% |
| | Governor | R_DeSantis | 36.60% | 36.87% | 36.70% | 19.73% | 17.74% | 52.44% | 46.31% | 45.75% |
| | Attornov Conoral | D_Shaw | 59.25% | 58.41% | 58.50% | 78.13% | 80.14% | 44.45% | 50.86% | 51.99% |
| | Attorney General | R_Moody | 39.21% | 39.61% | 39.86% | 20.54% | 18.30% | 53.53% | 46.94% | 46.10% |
| 2019 | Chief Einancial Officer | D_Ring | 60.38% | 60.81% | 60.33% | 79.52% | 81.61% | 45.82% | 51.93% | 52.59% |
| 2010 | Chief Financial Officer | R_Patronis | 39.62% | 39.19% | 39.67% | 20.46% | 18.38% | 54.17% | 48.07% | 47.41% |
| | Agriculturo Commissionor | D_Fried | 61.38% | 62.27% | 62.23% | 79.77% | 82.11% | 46.93% | 53.44% | 54.63% |
| | Agriculture commissioner | R_Caldwell | 38.63% | 37.73% | 37.77% | 20.22% | 17.88% | 53.06% | 46.56% | 45.38% |
| | LIS Sonato | D_Nelson | 62.25% | 60.52% | 62.11% | 79.66% | 81.49% | 46.47% | 53.46% | 54.47% |
| | 03 Senate | R_Scott | 37.75% | 39.48% | 37.89% | 20.33% | 18.51% | 53.52% | 46.54% | 45.52% |
| | President | D_Clinton | 58.51% | 61.95% | 60.09% | 77.52% | 81.10% | 52.56% | 56.46% | 57.42% |
| 2016 | rresident | R_Trump | 38.61% | 34.53% | 36.37% | 20.71% | 17.23% | 45.16% | 40.81% | 40.05% |
| 2010 | LIS Sonato | D_Murphy | 52.82% | 54.92% | 54.84% | 75.52% | 76.02% | 42.42% | 47.69% | 47.78% |
| | 05 Senate | R_Rubio | 43.90% | 41.03% | 41.35% | 22.53% | 21.88% | 55.35% | 49.92% | 50.17% |
| | Governor | D_Crist | 56.54% | 52.80% | 54.65% | 79.64% | 82.25% | 43.00% | 51.20% | 50.00% |
| | Governor | R_Scott | 39.85% | 42.13% | 40.77% | 18.20% | 16.17% | 54.28% | 45.89% | 47.55% |
| | Atterney Conoral | D_Sheldon | 53.20% | 49.01% | 51.79% | 75.88% | 79.86% | 38.72% | 45.82% | 46.03% |
| 2014 | Attorney General | R_Bondi | 44.31% | 48.13% | 45.30% | 22.66% | 18.70% | 58.94% | 51.75% | 51.96% |
| 2014 | Chief Einancial Officer | D_Rankin | 53.57% | 48.88% | 49.22% | 75.36% | 79.06% | 40.24% | 45.88% | 43.49% |
| | Chief Financial Officer | R_Atwater | 46.43% | 51.12% | 50.78% | 24.62% | 20.94% | 59.75% | 54.12% | 56.53% |
| | Agriculture Commissioner | D_Hamilton | 55.57% | 47.75% | 49.27% | 76.85% | 79.82% | 39.79% | 46.04% | 44.31% |
| | Agriculture commissioner | R_Putnam | 44.41% | 52.25% | 50.73% | 23.15% | 20.18% | 60.19% | 53.95% | 55.69% |
| | President | D_Obama | 61.03% | 61.43% | 58.97% | 80.43% | 82.82% | 51.07% | 54.83% | 52.22% |
| 2012 | Fresident | R_Romney | 38.14% | 37.76% | 40.24% | 19.14% | 16.82% | 48.44% | 44.61% | 47.27% |
| 2012 | LIC Consta | D_Nelson | 65.00% | 65.98% | 63.62% | 81.94% | 83.49% | 52.79% | 56.33% | 54.47% |
| | 03 Senale | R_Mack | 32.61% | 31.57% | 34.51% | 16.83% | 15.47% | 45.07% | 42.03% | 44.15% |

| Please I help | Provide Completed Form To: p@floridaredistricting.gov | STATE OF FLOR |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|
| House Committee on Redistricting | | Senate Committee on Reapportionment |
| 402 House Office Building | | 2000 The Capitol |
| 402 South Monroe Street | | 404 South Monroe Street |
| Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300 | | Tananassee, FL 32399-1100 |
| *Field is required. Prefix *First Name_Darryl Organization Name (if applicable) | *Last Name_Rouson | Suffix |
| | Ot Deterrely way | E I 20704 |
| *Your Address 535 Central Ave Suite 302 | *City_St Petersburg | *State FL *Zip 33701 |
| *Your County Pinellas | _Your Email rouson.darryl(| @flsenate.gov |
| *Your Phone Number 727-822-6828 | | |
| | | |

*Have you received compensation or anything of value (travel, meals, lodging, etc.) from any groups or organizations that have an interest in redistricting as part of, or in exchange for, your comments, suggestions, or map?

YES



If YES, please list what you received and who provided it to you below:

List the name of every person(s), group(s), or organization(s) you collaborated with on your comment, suggestion, or submitted map below:

Barry Edwards Robert Heere

By submitting this form, I acknowledge and agree to the following terms and conditions:



YES, I understand that my comments, suggestions, or map submission may be displayed on www.FloridaRedistricting.gov or other public websites maintained by the Florida Legislature.



YES, I understand that my communications with the Florida Legislature, including this form and any submitted materials, are subject to public records laws in Florida.



YES, I understand that I may be contacted by a member of the legislature or their staff to answer questions about my comments, suggestions, or map submission.



YES, I understand that similar to other pieces of legislation, input and ideas from members of the public must be proposed by Legislators in order to become part of bills or amendments.

The Florida Legislature acknowledges the importance of transparency throughout the entire redistricting process. By engaging in the redistricting process – through comments, suggestions, or map submissions – it is possible your detailed communications and submissions may be included, reviewed, and examined in all steps of the legislative process until, and even after, new district maps are enacted into law.

Slowson *Your Signature

1/6/2021 *Date

Please provide detailed comments regarding your suggestion. Florida's redistricting plans must be drawn and approved in alignment with Florida's constitutional standards and federal law.

*If you are submitting a map, please select the Plan Type and provide the unique Plan Number included in your Submission Receipt email: bff4eefb86d9420fa2293d83b9e13fc3

Congressional

| State House |
|-------------|
|-------------|

State Senate

*If you are submitting comments or suggestions about a plan already published at www.FloridaRedistricting.gov, please provide the name of the plan:

Details:



THE FLORIDA SENATE

COMMITTEE ON REAPPORTIONMENT

Location 2000 The Capitol

Mailing Address 404 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100 (850) 487-5855

Senator Ray Wesley Rodrigues, Chair Senator Doug Broxson, Vice Chair

Professional Staff: Jay Ferrin, Staff Director

Senate's Website: www.flsenate.gov

MEMORANDUM

| To: | Mr. Jay Ferrin, Staff Director |
|----------|--|
| From: | Senator Ray Rodrigues, Chair |
| Subject: | Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing |
| Date: | October 18, 2021 |

Senators of the Committee on Reapportionment have reviewed the census data, the features of the map-drawing application, and the relevant criteria, history, and legal standards. I believe that we have the proper foundation upon which to direct you and your staff to produce a series of maps for our consideration.

First and foremost, you are directed to the plain language of the constitution, federal law, and the judicial precedent that exists today in regards to that language. The Constitution sets forth two tiers of redistricting standards, and provides that the Tier-Two standards apply unless complying with them would conflict with the Tier-One standards or with federal law. The Tier-One standards control in the event of a conflict with Tier-Two standards, but in all other circumstances the Tier-Two standards must control the drawing of district lines. Therefore staff is directed to comply with the objective criteria outlined in Tier Two of Article III Sections 20 and 21 of the Florida Constitution, balancing them in a manner that does not establish any priority of one standard over another, unless complying with the Tier-Two standards would conflict with Tier-One standards or federal law.

In accordance with the Tier Two standard of the constitutional requirements related to equal population, you are directed to prepare Senate plans with district population deviations not to exceed 1% of the ideal population of 538,455 people, and to prepare Congressional plans with population deviations of plus or minus one person of the ideal population of 769,221 people.

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to compactness, you are directed to draw districts that are visually compact in relation to their shape and geography, and to use mathematical compactness scores where appropriate.

Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing October 18, 2021 Page 2

To comply with the Tier Two standard related to utilizing existing political boundaries, you are directed to examine the use of county boundaries where feasible. Furthermore, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, result in districts consisting of whole counties in less populated areas, and to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep districts wholly within a county in the more densely populated areas.

With respect to municipal boundaries, you are directed to explore concepts that, where feasible, keep cities whole while also considering the impermanent and changing nature of municipal boundaries.

You are further directed to examine the use of existing geographic boundaries where feasible. Specifically railways, interstates, federal and state highways, and large water bodies such as those that were deemed to be easily recognizable and readily ascertainable by Florida's Supreme Court. We recognize that these geographic features afford us an opportunity to create districts with static boundaries, and would ask that Staff present the boundary analysis report with each plan so that we can determine coincidence of districts' boundaries with these features.

Further, you are directed, when drawing compact districts consistent with the population equality requirements, and that utilize political and geographic boundaries where feasible, to confirm that the districts comply with the Tier-One constitutional standards and with federal law, specifically, that that districts are not drawn with the result of denying or abridging the equal opportunity of racial or language minorities to participate in the political process or diminish their ability to elect representatives of their choice. You are directed to conduct a functional analysis on relevant districts to confirm that any map presented for consideration by this Committee or its Select Subcommittees complies with these Tier-One requirements of the Florida Constitution and with the federal Voting Rights Act.

Regarding compliance with the Tier One standard related to the intent to favor or disfavor a political party, you are directed to draw districts without reviewing political data other than where a review of political data is required to perform an appropriate functional analysis to evaluate whether a minority group has the ability to elect representatives of choice.

To comply with the Tier One standard related to intent to favor or disfavor an incumbent, you are directly to draw districts without the use of any residence information of any sitting member of the Florida Legislature or Congress and to draw districts without regard to the preservation of existing district boundaries.

We believe that by limiting the considerations to those adopted by the citizens of Florida, this process will produce constitutionally compliant maps. While the standards that are to be considered require a balancing act it, is important to remember that the standards themselves are

Committee Directives to Staff on Map-Drawing October 18, 2021 Page 3

not optional. Choices made by staff and approved by this committee should be made based on compliance with the objective constitutional criteria.

You are directed to produce a series of plans for each of our Select Subcommittees to workshop. All plans you bring forward must comply with the complex layering of federal and state standards. You will be asked to explain the various trade-offs within the co-equal Tier Two standards presented in each plan. It is within the balancing of these tradeoffs that Senators on the committee must exercise our legislative discretion and produce a constitutionally compliant map.

If staff receives any suggestion that a plan be drafted or changed with the intent to favor or disfavor any incumbent or political party, staff is directed to disregard the suggestion entirely, document the conversation in writing, and report the conversation directly to the Senate President.

Thank you for your attention to these directives. Please notify me, as well as Chairs Bradley and Burgess when you have completed work pertinent to their respective select subcommittees so that workshops can be noticed. Again, thank you and we look forward to reviewing your work.

| The Florida Senate Redictor |
|--|
| Meeting Date Realistic burg, Corre, Meeting Date Realistic burg, Corre, Meeting Date Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting Deliver both copies of this form to Senate professional staff conducting the meeting |
| Name Committee Scoon Phone 850-319-1975 |
| Address 512 Bunkeres Core Rol Email emscoon1@knology. |
| City State Zip Speaking: For Against Information OR Waive Speaking: In Support Against |
| PLEASE CHECK ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: |
| I am appearing without compensation or sponsorship. I am a registered lobbyist, representing: I am a registered lobbyist, but received I am not a lobbyist, but received |

While it is a tradition to encourage public testimony, time may not permit all persons wishing to speak to be heard at this hearing. Those who do speak may be asked to limit their remarks so that as many persons as possible can be heard. If you have questions about registering to lobby please see Fla. Stat. §11.045 and Joint Rule 1. 2020-2022 Joint Rules. pdf (flsenate appl)

This form is part of the public record for this meeting.

S-001 (08/10/2021)

CourtSmart Tag Report

Room: KB 412 Case No.: -Caption: Select Subcommitee on Congressional Reapportionment Type: Judge:

Started: 1/10/2022 9:03:26 AM Ends: 1/10/2022 9:46:27 AM

Length: 00:43:02

- 9:03:39 AM Meeting is called to order; Danna Ivey calls the roll
- 9:04:53 AM Chair Bradley makes introductory remarks
- 9:05:50 AM Tab 1: Workshop on Congressional Maps presented by Jay Ferrin, Staff Director
- **9:31:11 AM** Chair Bradley asks if there are any questions or discussion
- 9:31:20 AM Chair Bradley gives a comment
- 9:32:17 AM Sen. Harrell also gives a comment
- 9:33:46 AM Sen. Rouson is recognized to explain his submitted plan
- 9:36:16 AM Sen. Harrell asks a question
- 9:36:57 AM Sen. Rouson responds
- 9:37:23 AM Chair Bradley asks Sen. Rouson a quesiton
- 9:38:35 AM Sen. Rouson responds
- 9:39:41 AM Tab 2: Public Comment
- 9:39:59 AM Cecile Scoon, President of Florida League of Women Voters gives public comment
- 9:42:06 AM Chair Bradley proposes a recommendation to the Committee on Reapportionment
- 9:43:10 AM No objections to the recommendation
- 9:44:55 AM Meeting adjourned