DATE: April 7, 1997

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON Rules, Resolutions & Ethics BILL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL #: HM 1261

RELATING TO: Federal Judiciary; Memorial

SPONSOR(S): Sanderson and others

STATUTE(S) AFFECTED:

COMPANION BILL(S): None

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) Rules, Resolutions & Ethics YEAS 9 NAYS 0

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

This memorial urges Congress to prepare and submit to the states an amendment to the United States Constitution prohibiting federal courts from ordering a state to levy or increase taxes.

This memorial has no fiscal impact.

DATE: April 7, 1997

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE RESEARCH:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

Recently, federal courts have, by way of statute, rule, or judicial decision, forced state and local governments to levy or increase taxes to comply with federal mandates.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This amendment to the U.S. Constitution would prevent the Supreme Court or any inferior court of the United States from levying or increasing taxes without the representation of the people.

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

- 1. Less Government:
 - a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:
 - (1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

No

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

No

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

No

- b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:
 - (1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

No

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

No

DATE: April 7, 1997

PAGE 3

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

No

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

No

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

No

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

No

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

No

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

No

3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

No

b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

No

4. <u>Individual Freedom:</u>

a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

N/A

STORAGE DATE: A PAGE 4			h1261.rre 97	
		b.	Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?	
			N/A	
	5.	<u>Far</u>	mily Empowerment:	
		a.	If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:	
			(1) Who evaluates the family's needs?	
			N/A	
			(2) Who makes the decisions?	
			N/A	
			(3) Are private alternatives permitted?	
			N/A	
			(4) Are families required to participate in a program?	
			N/A	
			(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?	
			N/A	
		b.	Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?	
			N/A	
		C.	If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:	
			(1) parents and guardians? N/A	

DATE : April 7, 1997 PAGE 5						
			(2) service providers?			
			N/A			
			(3) government employees/agencies?			
			N/A			
	D.	SE	CTION-BY-SECTION RESEARCH:			
III.	FIS	CAL	RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:			
	A.	FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:				
		1.	Non-recurring Effects:			
			None			
		2.	Recurring Effects:			
			None			
		3.	Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:			
			None			
		4.	Total Revenues and Expenditures:			
			None			
	B.	FIS	CAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:			
		1.	Non-recurring Effects:			
			N/A			
		2.	Recurring Effects:			
			N/A			
		3.	Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:			
			N/A			

DATE : April 7, 1997 PAGE 6						
	C.	DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:				
		1.	Direct Private Sector Costs:			
			N/A			
		2.	Direct Private Sector Benefits:			
			N/A			
		3.	Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:			
			N/A			
	D.	D. FISCAL COMMENTS:				
		N/A				
IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION						
	A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:					
		N/A				
	B.	REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:				
		N/A				
	C.	C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPA				
		N/A				
V.	<u>CO</u>	MME	<u>ENTS</u> :			
VI.	<u>AM</u>	END	DMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:			

	GE NAME: h1261.rre April 7, 1997 7	
VII.	SIGNATURES:	
	COMMITTEE ON Rules, Resolutions & Ethics: Prepared by:	Legislative Research Director:
	Greg Turbeville	Fred McDowell