SPONSOR: Governmental Reform and Oversight Committee

and Senator Latvala

Page 1

BILL: CS/SB 1332

### SENATE STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based only on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Date:	March 12, 1998	Revised:	<u> </u>	
Subject:	Rule Authorization for	State Board of Administration.		
	Analyst	Staff Director	Reference	Action
1. Neb 2 3 4 5	pelsiek	Wilson	GO	Favorable/CS

## I. Summary:

The State Board of Administration (SBA) reported 12 administrative rules to the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC) pursuant to s. 120.536, F.S. Section 120.536(2), F.S., requires the Legislature to determine whether specific legislation should be enacted authorizing the rules, or portions thereof, identified by the agency. All of the rules reported by the Board are addressed in CS/SB 1332. CS/SB 1332 addresses SBA rules relating to investment of public funds.

The act will take effect upon becoming law.

### II. Present Situation:

During the 1996 legislative session a comprehensive rewrite of the Florida Administrative Procedures Act was adopted as CS/SBs 2290 and 2288. Among many other changes, the revised APA modified the standards which authorize rulemaking and included provision for periodic review of rules by agencies with rulemaking authority.

In the past, a number of court decisions held that a rule did not exceed the legislative grant of rulemaking authority if it was reasonably related to the stated purpose of the enabling legislation. Additionally, it was accepted that a rule was valid when it implemented general legislative intent or policy. Agencies had wide discretion to adopt rules whether the statutory basis for a rule was clearly conferred or implied from the enabling statute.

Section 120.536, F.S., effectively overturned this line of cases and imposed a much stricter standard for rulemaking authority. Under the new APA, existing rules and proposed rules must **implement, interpret, or make specific** the particular powers and duties granted by the enabling

statute. It is important to note that the revised APA is not intended to eliminate administrative rules or even to discourage rulemaking, but to ensure that administrative rules are no broader than the enabling statute. A grant of rulemaking authority by the Legislature is necessary but not enough by itself for an agency to adopt a rule. Likewise, agencies need more than a statement of general legislative intent for implementing a rule. Rules must be based on specific grants of powers and not address subjects on which the Legislature was silent.

In order to temporarily shield a rule or portion of a rule from challenge under the new provisions, agencies were to report rules which they believed did not meet the new criteria by October 1, 1997. The Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC) reports that some 5,850 rules or portions of rules were reported as exceeding the agency's rulemaking authority under s. 120.536(1), F.S. Of these, 3,610 rules were identified by various local school boards, whose rules are not contained in the FAC. However, 2,240 rules contained in the FAC were reported by various agencies as exceeding statutory authority for rulemaking under s. 120.536, F.S.

Section 120.536(2), F.S., lays out the second step in the process, that of legislative review. The subsection provides:

The Legislature shall, at the 1998 Regular Session, consider whether specific legislation authorizing the identified rules, or portions thereof, should be enacted. By January 1, 1999, each agency shall initiate proceedings pursuant to s. 120.54 to repeal each rule, or portion thereof, identified as exceeding the rulemaking authority permitted by this section for which authorizing legislation does not exist.

Thus, during the 1998 legislative session, each agency has the responsibility to bring forward legislative proposals, as appropriate, which will provide statutory authorization for existing rules or portions thereof which the agency deems necessary but which currently exceed the agencies' rulemaking authority. The Legislature is directed to consider whether such legislation authorizing the identified rules should be enacted.

According to the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC), there are 3500-3600 grants of rulemaking authority contained in the Florida Statutes falling roughly into two categories: specific grants and general grants. Most of them are specific grants of authority, that is, the grant of authority is found coupled in a sentence with a specific power or duty of the agency. General grants of rulemaking authority authorize rulemaking in the context of the agency's mission or as it pertains to the stated purpose of the enabling legislation. Most agencies have a general grant of rulemaking authority and numerous specific grants of rulemaking authority. In most cases, it appears that existing rules exceed statutory authority because a "specific law to be implemented" is missing from the statute, not a legislative grant of rulemaking authority.

SPONSOR: Governmental Reform and Oversight Committee BILL: CS/SB 1332

and Senator Latvala

Page 3

#### III. **Effect of Proposed Changes:**

CS/SB 1332 provides statutory authority for administrative rules: 19-4.0082; 19-5.001; 19-7.001; 19-7.010-.017; and 19B-14.003(5) and (6), F.A.C. If the bill does not pass, s. 120.536(2), F.S., requires that the department initiate rulemaking proceedings by January 1, 1999, to repeal each rule, or portion thereof, identified as exceeding the rulemaking authority permitted by s. 120.536(1), F.S. If the bill passes, the requirements of s. 120.536, F.S., are presumptively satisfied.

The committee substitute includes technical changes to provide supplementary rulemaking authority pursuant to ch. 120, F.S., for existing rules relating to bond terms, Local Government Surplus Funds Trust Fund, local government investment authority, administration of the trust fund, and the Florida Prepaid Postsecondary Education Expense Program.

## IV.

Co	onstitutional Issues:
A.	Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:
	None.
В.	Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:
	None.
C.	Trust Funds Restrictions:
	None.
Ec	onomic Impact and Fiscal Note:

# ٧.

Α.	Tax/Fee Issues:	
	None.	

B. Private Sector Impact:

None

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

VI.	Technical Deficiencies:	
	None.	
VII.	Related Issues:	
	None.	
VIII.	Amendments:	

BILL: CS/SB 1332

Page 4

SPONSOR: Governmental Reform and Oversight Committee

and Senator Latvala

None.

This Senate staff analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's sponsor or the Florida Senate.