SPONSOR: Senator Grant BILL: SB 2314

Page 1

SENATE STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based only on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Date:	March 20, 1998	Revised:		
Subject:	Community College B	oard of Trustees (RAB)		
	Analyst	Staff Director	Reference	Action
1. <u>O'F</u> 2 3 4 5.	arrell	O'Farrell	ED	Favorable

I. Summary:

Five local community college boards of trustees reported a combined total of 320 administrative rules to the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC) as lacking appropriate statutory authorization, as required by s. 120.536, F.S. Subsection (2) of s. 120.536, F.S., also directs the Legislature to determine whether specific legislation should be enacted to authorize the rules, or portions of rules, identified by the agencies. The rules reported by the boards of trustees are addressed by SB 2314, and relate to the boards' role as the policy making bodies directly overseeing the operation of their respective community colleges.

This bill substantially amends section 240.319 of the Florida Statutes.

II. Present Situation:

During the 1996 legislative session a comprehensive rewrite of the Florida Administrative Procedures Act was adopted as CS/SBs 2290 and 2288. Among many other changes, the revised APA modified the standards which authorize rulemaking and included provision for periodic review of rules by agencies with rulemaking authority.

In the past, a number of court decisions held that a rule did not exceed the legislative grant of rulemaking authority if it was reasonably related to the stated purpose of the enabling legislation. Additionally, it was accepted that a rule was valid when it implemented general legislative intent or policy. Agencies had wide discretion to adopt rules whether the statutory basis for a rule was clearly conferred or implied from the enabling statute.

Section 120.536, F.S., effectively overturned this line of cases and imposed a much stricter standard for rulemaking authority. Under the new APA, existing rules and proposed rules must

SPONSOR: Senator Grant BILL: SB 2314

Page 2

implement, interpret, or make specific the particular powers and duties granted by the enabling statute. It is important to note that the revised APA is not intended to eliminate administrative rules or even to discourage rulemaking, but to ensure that administrative rules are no broader than the enabling statute. A grant of rulemaking authority by the Legislature is necessary but not enough by itself to for an agency to adopt a rule. Likewise, agencies need more than a statement of general legislative intent for implementing a rule. Rules must be based on specific grants of powers and not address subjects on which the Legislature was silent.

In order to temporarily shield a rule or portion of a rule from challenge under the new provisions, agencies were to report rules which they believed did not meet the new criteria by October 1, 1997.

The Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC) reports that some 5,850 rules or portions of rules were reported as exceeding the agency's rulemaking authority under s. 120.536(1), F.S. Of these, 3,610 rules were identified by various local school boards, whose rules are not contained in the FAC. However, 2,240 rules contained in the FAC were reported by various agencies as exceeding statutory authority for rulemaking under s. 120.536, F.S.

Section 120.536(2), F.S., also lays out the second step in the process, that of legislative review. The subsection provides:

The Legislature shall, at the 1998 Regular Session, consider whether specific legislation authorizing the identified rules, or portions thereof, should be enacted. By January 1, 1999, each agency shall initiate proceedings pursuant to s. 120.54 to repeal each rule, or portion thereof, identified as exceeding the rulemaking authority permitted by this section for which authorizing legislation does not exist.

Thus, during the 1998 Regular Session, each agency has the responsibility to bring forward legislative proposals, as appropriate, which will provide statutory authorization for existing rules or portions thereof which the agency deems necessary but which currently exceed the agencies' rulemaking authority. The Legislature is directed to consider whether such legislation authorizing the identified rules should be enacted.

According to the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC), there are 3500-3600 grants of rulemaking authority contained in the Florida Statutes falling roughly into two categories: specific grants and general grants. Most of them are specific grants of authority, that is, the grant of authority is found coupled in a sentence with a specific power or duty of the agency. General grants of rulemaking authority authorize rulemaking in the context of the agency's mission or as it pertains to the stated purpose of the enabling legislation. Most agencies have a general grant of rulemaking authority and numerous specific grants of rulemaking authority. In most cases, it appears that existing rules exceed statutory authority because a "specific law to be implemented" is missing from the statute, not a legislative grant of rulemaking authority.

SPONSOR: Senator Grant BILL: SB 2314

As of October 1, 1997, five community colleges filed reports with JAPC identifying a total of 320 rules believed to lack appropriate statutory authority according to the provisions of s. 120.536, F.S. The colleges, in concert with the other 23 community colleges, drafted proposed legislation to correct these identified deficiencies.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Senate Bill 2314 would amend s. 240.319, F.S., which assigns powers and duties to community college boards of trustees, to clarify and make more specific the boards' rulemaking authority. General phrases such as "shall provide for" and "authorized to establish a policy" are replaced by authority to adopt rules to perform specific actions or services. In addition, four new paragraphs would be added to s. 240.319, F.S., authorizing each board of trustees to adopt rules:

- To comply with federal laws, regulations, and requirements;
- Related to institutional governance, administration, and management;
- Related to data or technology; and
- Related to the use, maintenance, protection, and control of buildings, grounds, and property.

If the bill does not pass, s. 120.536(2), F.S., requires that each of the five boards of trustees initiate rulemaking proceedings by January 1, 1999, to repeal each rule, or portion of a rule, identified as exceeding the rulemaking authority permitted by s. 120.536(1), F.S. If the bill passes, the requirements of s. 120.536, F.S., will be presumed to be satisfied.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

	None.
В.	Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:
	None.

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Economic Impact and Fiscal Note:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

			Page 4
	B.	Private Sector Impact:	
		None.	
	C.	Government Sector Impact:	
		None.	
VI.	Te	echnical Deficiencies:	
	No	one.	
VII.	Re	elated Issues:	
	No	one.	

This Senate staff analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's sponsor or the Florida Senate.

BILL: SB 2314

SPONSOR: Senator Grant

VIII.

Amendments:

None.