SPONSOR: Senators Brown-Waite and Forman

Page 1

BILL: SB 292

SENATE STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based only on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Date:	March 27, 1998	Revised:		
Subject: Public Records Law Exemption; Patients of Home Medical Equipment Providers				t Providers
	<u>Analyst</u>	Staff Director	Reference	<u>Action</u>
1. <u>Car</u> 2. <u>Rhe</u> 3. 4. 5.		Wilson Wilson	HC GO	Fav/1 amendment Favorable

I. Summary:

Senate Bill 292 creates two related exemptions from the Public Records Law as provided under s. 119.07, F.S., and s. 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution. Both exemptions relate to home medical equipment providers. The first exempts certain information about patients of a home medical equipment provider which is received by persons employed by, or providing services to, a home medical equipment provider or which is received by the licensing agency through reports or inspection. The second exempts information obtained by the Agency for Health Care Administration or by a home medical equipment provider in connection with employment screening of a prospective employees' background.

This bill creates four undesignated sections of law.

II. Present Situation:

Florida has a long history of providing public access to the records of governmental and other public entities. The first law affording access to public records was enacted by the Florida Legislature in 1909. In 1992, Floridians voted to adopt an amendment to the State Constitution that raised the statutory right of public access to public records to a constitutional level. Article I, s. 24, State Constitution, provides:

(a) Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public records made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically

¹Article I, s. 24 of the State Constitution.

BILL: SB 292

includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

In addition to the State Constitution, the Public Records Law² specifies conditions under which public access must be provided to governmental records of the executive branch and other governmental agencies. Section 119.07(1)(a), F.S., requires:

Every person who has custody of a public record shall permit the record to be inspected and examined by any person desiring to do so, at any reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record or the custodian's designee. . . .

The Public Records Law states that, unless specifically exempted, all agency³ records are to be available for public inspection. The term "public record" is broadly defined to mean:

All documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency.⁴

The Florida Supreme Court has interpreted this definition to encompass all materials made or received by an agency in connection with official business which are used to perpetuate, communicate or formalize knowledge.⁵ All such materials, regardless of whether they are in final form, are open for public inspection unless made exempt.⁶

Exemptions to the Public Records Law are permitted by the Florida Constitution and by statute. Article I, s. 24, State Constitution, permits the Legislature to provide by general law for the exemption of records. A law that exempts a record must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and the exemption must be no broader than necessary to accomplish the

²Chapter 119, F.S.

³The word "agency" is defined in s. 119.011(2), F.S., to mean "... any state, county, district, authority, or municipal officer, department, division, board, bureau, commission, or other separate unit of government created or established by law including, for the purposes of this chapter, the Commission on Ethics, the Public Service Commission, and the Office of Public Counsel, and any other public or private agency, person, partnership, corporation, or business entity acting on behalf of any public agency." The Florida Constitution also establishes a right of access to any public record made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except those records exempted by law or the state constitution.

⁴Section 119.011(1), F.S.

⁵Shevin v. Byron, Harless, Schaffer, Reid and Associates, Inc., 379 So. 2d 633, 640 (Fla. 1980).

⁶Wait v. Florida Power & Light Company, 372 So. 2d 420 (Fla. 1979).

stated purpose of the law. Additionally, a bill that contains an exemption may not contain other substantive provisions, although it may contain multiple exemptions that relate to one subject.⁷

The Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995⁸ states that an exemption may be created or expanded only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and if the exemption is no broader than necessary to meet the public purpose it serves. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of three specified criteria and if the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption. The three statutory criteria are if the exemption:

- 1) Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;
- 2) Protects information of a sensitive personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which would be defamatory or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals, or would jeopardize their safety; or
- 3) Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information that is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.⁹

Article I, s. 23 of the State Constitution, also provides Floridians with a right of privacy. That constitutional right, however, does contain a limitation relating to public records:

Every natural person has the right to be let alone and free from governmental intrusion into his private life except as otherwise provided herein. This section shall not be construed to limit the public's right of access to public records and meetings as provided by law.

The Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995 provides for the systematic review, through a 5-year cycle ending October 2nd of the 5th year following enactment, of an exemption from the Public Records Act or the Public Meetings Law. Each year, by June 1, the Division of Statutory Revision of the Joint Legislative Management Committee is required to certify to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives the language and statutory citation of each exemption scheduled for repeal the following year.

⁷Art. I, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution.

⁸Section 119.15, F.S.

⁹Section 119.15(4)(b), F.S.

BILL: SB 292

There are more than 250 provisions in law relating to the confidentiality of medical records. Under state law, patient information that is in the possession of a health care practitioner or a state agency is confidential, ¹⁰ except under certain specific circumstances. As confidential information, patient records may not be furnished to, and the medical condition of a patient may not be discussed with, any person other than the patient or the patient's legal representative or other health care providers involved in the care or treatment of the patient, except upon written authorization of the patient.

There are some significant exceptions to the, otherwise, exclusive control given patients over such information. These exceptions include:

- Release, without written authorization, of physical or mental examination or administered treatment information to a person that procures such examination or treatment with the patient's consent;
- Forwarding of examination results obtained when a compulsory physical examination is performed for purposes of civil litigation in conformity with the Rules of Civil Procedure; or
- Upon issuance of a subpoena in a civil or criminal action.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Senate Bill 292 creates two related exemptions from s. 119.07, F.S., and Art. I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution. The bill makes confidential and exempt information about a patient of a home medical equipment provider that is received by persons employed by, or providing services to, a home medical equipment provider or which is received by the licensing agency through reports or inspection. The public necessity underlying the exemption is that the information is of a personal and sensitive nature, as it usually includes medical information, and that its release could cause harm to a recipient of such services. As a result, the potential harm outweighs any public benefit derived from the release of such information.

The bill also makes confidential and exempt information obtained by the Agency for Health Care Administration or by a home medical equipment provider in connection with employment screening of a prospective employee's background. The stated public necessity for the exemption is that the health and safety of the public requires that there be a pool of personnel available to work for home medical equipment providers and that publication of background screening information could discourage persons from applying for positions. An applicant who is concerned or fearful about public disclosure of information about past misbehavior contained in juvenile records or criminal records or in the central abuse registry may not seek employment in the field even if he or she is fully rehabilitated.

¹⁰Section 455.667, F.S. (formerly 455.241, F.S.).

BILL: SB 292

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

This bill makes confidential and exempt from s. 119.07(1), F.S., and Art. I, s. 24(a) of the State Constitution, two types of information: (1) information about patients of home medical equipment providers; and (2) information about prospective employees and employees of home medical equipment providers, as provided in SB 294.

Article 1, s. 24(c) of the State Constitution, provides:

... Laws enacted pursuant to this subsection shall contain only exemptions from the requirements of subsections (a) or (b) and provisions governing the enforcement of this section, and shall relate to one subject (emphasis added).

While the bill creates one exemption that applies to patients of home medical equipment providers and creates another exemption that applies to employees and prospective employees of home medical equipment providers, the nexus between the two exemptions is that both relate to home medical equipment providers.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Economic Impact and Fiscal Note:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

None.

SPONSOR: Senators Brown-Waite and Forman BILL: SB 292

Page 6

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Amendments:

#1 by Health Care:

Corrects the contingent effective date cross reference to refer to SB 294.

This Senate staff analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's sponsor or the Florida Senate.