**DATE**: March 4, 1998

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON REAL PROPERTY & PROBATE BILL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

**BILL #**: HB 3211 (PCB BRCA 98-01)

**RELATING TO**: Real Estate

**SPONSOR(S)**: Committee on Business Regulation and Consumer Affairs and Representatives

Ogles and others

COMPANION BILL(S): 2nd ENG/HB 2011(c), SB 340

# ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) BUSINESS REGULATION AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS YEAS 6 NAYS 0
- (2) REAL PROPERTY & PROBATE
- (3)
- (4)
- (5)

# I. SUMMARY:

The bill makes a variety of changes to the regulation of: real estate brokers, salespersons, and schools; real estate appraisers; and the law related to a statewide uniform system for rating the energy efficiency of buildings.

The bill makes changes to the real estate broker, salespersons, and schools' laws in the areas of business entity registration, salesperson and broker licensure qualifications, inactive license invalidation after a certain period of time, authority to levy a greater fine, broker disclosure requirements in the course of a transaction, instructor qualifications, broker commissions procedures, and the extent to which bankruptcy may relieve the licensee from having to make restitution to the Real Estate Recovery Fund.

The bill exempts real estate licensees from provisions of the "snitch law," wherein other licensed professionals are required to report violations by other professionals in their field.

The bill makes a number of changes to the real estate appraiser law in various areas.

The bill also makes changes to the Florida Building Energy-Efficiency Rating Act, a statewide system that exists for rating energy efficiencies and providing disclosure to prospective purchasers.

The bill restricts the existing requirements to disclose all known facts that materially affect the value of the property being bought or sold to residential properties <u>only</u>. Thus, commercial transactions would have no disclosure requirements.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

PAGE 2

# II. SUBSTANTIVE RESEARCH:

#### A. PRESENT SITUATION:

# The regulation of real estate brokers, salespersons, and schools

Regulation of real estate brokers, salespersons, and schools is established under Part I of chapter 475, Florida Statutes. Regulation is administered by the Florida Real Estate Commission (Commission), under the Department of Business and Professional Regulation.

Currently, the commission has authority to administer examinations and reexaminations. Limited liability partnerships and limited liability companies are presently ineligible to register as brokers. Under s. 475.17, Florida Statutes, broker applicants with out-of-state salesperson or broker experience may sit for the Florida broker exam, although confusion exists as to whether those applicants must still satisfy salesperson post-licensure education requirements; also, no exemption from the salesperson experience requirement exists for state employed real estate investigators desiring licensure as brokers.

Persons with involuntarily inactive licenses have four years before their licenses expire and the department is required to provide notice two years prior to license expiration.

The commission presently has the authority to assess fines up to \$1,000. Section 455.227(1)(i), Florida Statutes, requires all licensees to report to the department known violations of chapter 455 or 475, Florida Statutes. This "snitch provision" is considered by many to undermine the effectiveness of local ethics committees and licensed instructors.

"School instructor" is currently defined, but is ambiguous as to whether a license or permit is required and what requirements exist for that certification.

Brokers that receive advance fees for the listing of real property are required to follow guidelines with regard to the deposit and accounting of those funds. Brokers receiving fees in advance of an auction are currently required to comply with this section, although common industry practice is to outline in a written agreement how advance funds will be spent.

Currently, if payment is made from the Real Estate Recovery Fund due to the settlement of a claim against a broker, the broker's license is suspended and may not be reinstated until the broker has repaid the amount paid from the fund.

Under current provisions in chapters 455 and 475, Florida Statutes, the department has no clear authority to inspect or audit brokers, appraisers, and their respective offices.

Presently, section 475.15, Florida Statutes, provides that each corporation or partnership which acts as a broker shall register with the commission, but it does not specifically identify limited liability partnerships or limited liability companies. Currently, section 475.15, Florida Statutes, provides that the registration of a partnership is canceled automatically during any period that the license or registration of any partner is not in force.

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

PAGE 3

# The regulation of real estate appraisers

Currently, the Florida Real Estate Appraisal Board does not have authority to adopt a seal.

Presently, the fees for real estate appraisers are set in rule, rather than statute.

Chapter 475, Part II, Florida Statutes, does not allow the board to require submission of fingerprint cards during the licensure application process.

The current requirement for a registered appraiser is up to 75 hours of approved academic courses. For a licensed appraiser the current experience requirement is two years. For a certified residential appraiser, the current standard is two years of experience and up to 165 classroom hours of academic courses. For a certified general appraiser, the current experience requirement is two years.

At present the board cannot discipline licensees who have been convicted of a crime of "moral turpitude".

Presently, there are no exemptions from the so called 'snitch law' for those persons licensed under Chapter 475, Part II, Florida Statutes.

# The Florida Building Energy-Efficiency Rating Act

Under the Florida Building Energy-Efficiency Rating Act, a statewide system exists for rating energy efficiencies and providing disclosure to prospective purchasers. The Department of Community Affairs is required to develop and maintain a training and certification program for raters. The department must also prepare and provide energy efficiency information brochures for all types of buildings. In addition, the energy efficiency rating of a residential or commercial building shall be provided upon the request of the prospective purchaser. Thermal efficiencies of new or renovated buildings must already be disclosed under the provisions of the Florida Thermal Efficiency Code, section 553.900, Florida Statutes. According to representatives of the industry, the cost to rate existing buildings is high; thus, few owners and purchasers seek the rating.

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill makes various changes to the regulation of real estate brokers, real estate appraisers and the Florida Building Energy-Efficiency Rating Act. Some significant changes include:

- 1) Removing the requirement that real estate brokers and real estate appraisers report any violation committed by other licensees;
- 2) Raising the Real Estate Commission's fining authority from \$1,000 to \$5,000; and
- 3) Limiting the requirement that real estate brokers must disclose all known facts that materially affect the value of the property being sold to *residential* (not *commercial*) properties.

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

PAGE 4

 Adopting a seal to authenticate certified letters of licensure and similar documents.

#### C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

- 1. Less Government:
  - a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:
    - (1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

Yes. The bill establishes regulatory provisions, thereby expanding instances in which rules may be promulgated.

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

No.

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

No.

- b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:
  - (1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

# 2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

No.

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

PAGE 5

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

No. The bill *appears* to institute fees. However, the "appearance" of newly instituted appraiser fees is merely the result of placing into statutes the fee limits that are presently in rule.

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

No.

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

No.

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

No.

# 3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

No.

b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

N/A

# 4. Individual Freedom:

a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

Yes. The bill establishes an exception (for real estate brokers and real estate appraisers) from the requirement that licensees must report known violations by other licensees. The bill allows the existing industry local grievance process to work for those licensees who are members of Boards of Realtors, although the majority of licensees do not have the ability to go through this grievance procedure (as it is a membership service).

In that process, several licensees mediate disputes between the consumer and the licensee. If the injured party is satisfied with the result of the process, the state is saved the cost of investigation and prosecution. If the aggrieved party is not satisfied, he or she can then file a complaint.

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

PAGE 6

Under current law, certain designated licensees act as mediators in disputes and they are required to immediately report the possible violation.

However, real estate licensees are not required to belong to the local Boards of Realtors, and thus, the majority of licensees do not participate in any "existing industry local grievance process." According to the Florida Board of Realtors, approximately 65,000 of the approximate 350,000 real estate licensees belong to one of the seventy-five boards within Florida. Thus, less than twenty percent of licensees have the ability to participate in any "existing industry grievance process."

b. Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?

No.

# 5. Family Empowerment:

- a. If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:
  - (1) Who evaluates the family's needs?

N/A

(2) Who makes the decisions?

N/A

(3) Are private alternatives permitted?

N/A

(4) Are families required to participate in a program?

N/A

(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?

N/A

b. Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?

No.

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

PAGE 7

c. If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:

(1) parents and guardians?

N/A

(2) service providers?

N/A

(3) government employees/agencies?

N/A

D. STATUTE(S) AFFECTED:

Chapters 475 and 553, Florida Statutes

E. SECTION-BY-SECTION RESEARCH:

<u>Sections 1 and 2</u>. Amends sections 475.01 and 475.011, Florida Statutes, to make technical changes to reflect that the licensure category "registered appraiser" is now called "registered assistant appraiser."

<u>Section 3.</u> Amends section 475.15, Florida Statutes, to allow the registration of limited liability companies and limited liability partnerships as brokers. The existing provision of section 475.15 that requires that the registration of a partnership is canceled automatically during any period that the license or registration of any partner is not in force is deleted.

Section 4. Amends section 475.17, Florida Statutes, to:

- 1) clarify that a person licensed as a real estate salesperson who applies for broker licensure must complete salesperson post-licensure education requirements;
- 2) permit investigators holding a current salesperson's license for at least 12 months to sit for the broker's examination, if the investigators have been employed by the Division of Real Estate for at least twenty-four months (providing an exemption for investigators employed by the Division who currently do not meet the existing experience requirements).

<u>Section 5.</u> Amends section 475.183, Florida Statutes, to provide that licenses automatically expire after two years of involuntary inactive status. Requires the department to provide notice to the licensee 90 days prior to expiration of inactive license.

<u>Section 6.</u> Amends section 475.25, Florida Statutes, to:

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

PAGE 8

1) change the commission's disciplinary authority for fines from \$1,000 to \$5,000.

Although this provision conforms with the existing provision of section 455.225, Florida Statutes, potential fines of \$5,000.00 per count appears to come impermissibly close to exceeding administrative authority.

 provide that the provisions requiring real estate licensees to report violations by any fellow professionals (hereinafter the "snitch provisions") are not applicable to real estate licensees.

It is unclear why real estate and appraiser licensees should be exempt from the "snitch" provisions, while other professionals listed in chapter 455, who presumably also have professional associations, must comply with the "snitch" provision. Real estate licensees are not required to belong the local Boards of Realtors, and thus, the majority of the licensees who do not belong to the boards cannot participate in any "existing industry local grievance process." According to the Florida Board of Realtors, approximately 65,000 of the approximately 350,000 real estate licensees belong to one of the seventy-five boards within Florida. Thus, no more than twenty per cent of licensees have the ability to participate in any "existing industry grievance process."

3) provide that violation of "any standard for the development or communication of a real estate appraisal" or other provision of the Uniform Standards for Professional Appraisal Practice is a disciplinable offense and to clarify that brokers and salespersons performing comparative market analyses are *not* violating any standard.

A violation of "any standard for the development or communication of a real estate appraisal or other provision of the Uniform Standards Board of Appraisal Foundation" appears to be both broad and vague. While the provisions may be easily ascertainable, "any standard for the development or communication of a real estate appraisal" appears imprecise and does track the exact language used in the Uniform Standards Board of Appraisal Foundation.

<u>Section 7.</u> Reenacts sections 475.180, 475.181, 475.22, 475.422, and 475.482, Florida Statutes, for the purpose of incorporating the changes to s. 475.25, Florida Statutes

<u>Section 8.</u> Amends section 475.272, Florida Statutes, to remove the provision limiting the options of licensed brokers to be either the agent of one of the parties to the sale (single agent) or the agent of neither party (transaction broker). This is not a substantive change because the provisions added last year specifically address the either/or option.

<u>Section 9.</u> Amends section 465.278, Florida Statutes, to restrict existing requirements to disclose all known facts that materially affect the value of the property being bought or sold to *residential* properties only (the disclosure requirements would not apply to commercial transactions).

In 1985, the Florida Supreme Court proclaimed the rule that where the seller of a home knows of facts materially affecting the value of the property which are not readily observable and are not known to the buyer, the seller is under a duty to disclose them to

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

PAGE 9

the buyer. In 1997, the Florida Supreme Court applied this doctrine in a commercial setting. Based upon the supreme court's decision, the bill appears to be a departure from that decision.

<u>Section 10.</u> Amends section 475.451, Florida Statutes, to revise provisions relating to the permitting of instructors for proprietary real estate schools or state institutions and to provide permit renewal requirements for those instructors.

<u>Section 11.</u> Amends section 475.452, Florida Statutes, which currently specifies requirements for the receipt of advance fees by real estate brokers auctioning real property; exempts brokers auctioning property from the required trust accounting if a written agreement between broker and seller provides for anticipated expenses to be incurred and paid; and requires brokers to comply with this subsection in the event funds are received in advance of the auction. This does not exempt trust funds received by the broker.

<u>Section 12.</u> Amends section 475.484, Florida Statutes, to provide that a discharge in bankruptcy may relieve a licensee from the penalties and disabilities of this section, except to the extent that this paragraph conflicts with 11 U.S.C. s. 525.

<u>Section 13.</u> Creates section 475.5016, Florida Statutes, to provide clear authority for the department to inspect or audit a broker or brokerage office to determine if a disciplinable violation has occurred.

<u>Sections 14 and 15.</u> Amend sections 475.611 and 475.612, Florida Statutes, to make technical changes to reflect that the licensure category "registered appraiser" is now called "registered assistant appraiser." The registration and licensure requirements change the use of the direction of "shall" to "does."

<u>Section 16.</u> Creates section 475.6145, Florida Statutes, to authorize the Florida Real Estate Appraisal Board to adopt a seal and provide that the authentication of the seal shall be prima facie evidence of the proceedings, acts and records of the board.

<u>Section 17.</u> Creates section 475.6147, Florida Statutes, to establish in statute various fees that are statutorily authorized, but whose exact amount is presently specifically established *in rule* by the board. The cost of the initial application shall not exceed \$150 for each year of duration of the license; the combined cost of the application and examination shall not exceed \$300. These fees are intended to ensure the continued operation of the board and are based upon estimates of the revenue necessary to implement provisions of the law related to real estate appraisers. Application may be made for a refund of the fees if: (1) it is determined that the board is not entitled to the fees, (2) should the costs expended in processing the application the fees be much less than the fee, or (3) if an application is not completely processed.

<u>Section 18.</u> Amends section 475.615, Florida Statutes, adding a new subsection (4) to authorize the Florida Real Estate Appraisal Board to require that fingerprints through law enforcement agencies must accompany all applications. If a currently registered assistant appraiser or licensed or certified appraiser applies for a different status of licensure within 180 days of renewal, the charge for the application will be the costs established in section 475.6147, Florida Statutes.

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

**PAGE 10** 

<u>Section 19.</u> Amends section 475.616, Florida Statutes, making a technical change and changing several references from "this section" to "this part."

<u>Section 20.</u> Amends section 475.617, Florida Statutes, making technical changes. The number of classroom educational hours for a certified residential appraiser is changed from "up to 165 hours" to "at least 120 hours." The requirements for experience is changed from 2 years to "at least 2 years." The education requirement for an assistant appraiser is changed from "up to 75 hours" to "at least 75 hours." The requirement of successful completion of "at least 75 hours" or "at least 120 classroom hours" is unclear because it appears to give the Division discretion to set the hours at 75 or 120 or substantially over those minimum hours. This terminology, however, is presently used within the section, referring to "at least 75 hours" or "at least 165 hours."

<u>Sections 21-25.</u> Amend sections 475.618, 475.619, 475.620, 475.622, and 475.623, Florida Statutes, making technical changes to reflect that the licensure category "registered appraiser" is now called "registered assistant appraiser," and changing several references from "this section" to "this part."

<u>Section 26.</u> Amends section 475.624, Florida Statutes, providing authority for the board to levy a \$5,000 administrative fine, and providing an exemption for appraisers from the "snitch law" (section 455.227(1)(i), Florida Statutes) similar to the exemption provided in this bill to real estate brokers.

Section 475.624, Florida Statutes, is amended to permit the Commission to impose administrative fines for up to \$5,000.00 for each count or offense. Although this provision conforms with the existing provision of section 455.225, Florida Statutes, Department of Business & Professional Regulation, potential fines of \$5,000.00 per count appears to come impermissibly close to exceeding administrative authority.

It is unclear, as discussed above, why real estate and appraiser licensees should be exempt from the "snitch" provisions, while other professionals listed in chapter 455, who presumably also have professional associations, must comply with the snitch provision. Real estate licensees are not required to belong to the local Boards of Realtors, and thus, the majority who do not belong to the boards do not have the ability to participate in any "existing industry local grievance process." According to the Florida Board of Realtors, approximately 65,000 of the approximately 350,000 real estate licensees belong to one of the seventy-five boards within Florida. Thus, less than twenty percent of licensees have the ability to participate in any "existing industry grievance process."

<u>Sections 27-30.</u> Amends sections 475.626, 475.627, 475.628, and 475.629, Florida Statutes, making technical changes to reflect that the licensure category "registered appraiser" is now called "registered assistant appraiser."

<u>Section 31.</u> Creates section 475.6295, Florida Statutes, clarifying the Division's authority to inspect and audit appraisers and appraisal offices pursuant to Chapters 475 and 455, Florida Statutes

<u>Section 32.</u> Amends section 475.630, Florida Statutes, making technical changes to reflect that the licensure category "registered appraiser" is now called "registered assistant appraiser."

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

**PAGE 11** 

<u>Section 33.</u> Amends section 553.991, Florida Statutes, to delete a provision that states that the purpose of the Florida Building Energy-Efficiency Rating Act is to ensure that energy ratings are disclosed to prospective purchasers at their request.

<u>Section 34.</u> Amends section 553.994, Florida Statutes, to provide a technical change deleting obsolete language.

<u>Section 35.</u> Amends section 553.996, Florida Statutes, to delete the requirement that an energy-efficiency rating report be provided to prospective purchasers at their request. Provides for an information brochure to be provided to prospective purchasers of all real property upon which a building for occupancy exists at the time of or before the execution of the purchase contract. The brochure shall be prepared and made available for distribution by the department and provided at no charge.

Section 36. Provides an effective date of July 1 of the year in which enacted.

# III. FISCAL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

Δ	FISCAL	IMPACT	ON STATE	AGENCIES/STATE FUND	9.
А.	FIOCAL	IIVIFACI	ONSIAIE	AGENCIES/STATE FUND	o.

1.	Non-recurring	Effects:

None.

2. Recurring Effects:

None.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None.

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

None.

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

None.

2. Recurring Effects:

None.

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

**PAGE 12** 

# 3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None.

#### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

#### 1. <u>Direct Private Sector Costs</u>:

None, except that licensed real estate brokers can now be subject to a greater fine.

# 2. Direct Private Sector Benefits:

None.

# 3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:

None.

#### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

## IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

# A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds.

#### B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

# C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

# V. <u>COMMENTS</u>:

### Section 5.

The reduction in the period of time from the present four year provision to a period of two years before an involuntarily inactive license terminates may violate the vested property interests of present licensees and thus deprive them of due process. Typically, Florida case law addresses this issue where due process notice is required before a license is revoked. See Robinson v. Treasurer of State Dept. of Ins., 676 So.2d 1378, 1379 (Fla. 2d DCA 1996)

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

**PAGE 13** 

"A license to sell insurance is a valuable property interest and it cannot be taken away without due process of law. (citing Barry v. Barchi, 443 U.S. 55, 99 S. Ct. 2642, 61 L. Ed.2d 365 (1979)). "Ordinarily, unless waived, due process requires an appropriate hearing and opportunity to contest the charges prior to the suspension or revocation of a license to sell insurance." (citing Cleveland Bd. of Educ. v. Loudermill, 470 U.S. 532, 105 S. Ct. 1487, 84 L. Ed.2d 494 (1985); Robinson v. Florida Bd. of Dentistry, 447 So.2d 930 (Fla. 3d DCA 1984)).

It appears reasonable for a licensee to argue that they were unconstitutionally denied their vested property right in maintaining a license (although involuntarily inactive) if the shorter time frame is not postponed beyond due process concerns for those licensees who presently have inactive licenses. Notice alone does not appear to provide sufficient constitutional protection. The notice provision does not appear to specify certified mail or heightened protection in order that the inactive license holder receives the notice and recognizes its relationship to the potential of loss of his or her inactive license and the ability to reactivate the license. The Division stated that it mails the notices via regular first class mail, to the licensee's last known address and that the licensee is required to provide the Division with a current address.

Similarly, it is unclear how this provision would be applied to present inactive license holders.

# Section 9.

It is unclear why the required disclosure of all known facts that would materially affect the value of the property should be excluded for non-residential property. Although section 475.276, Florida Statutes, defines "residential sales," for the purpose of requiring provision of written disclosure, the definition is limited to that section and may not apply here. It is also unclear if residential property is intended to include an apartment building, which is normally referred as commercial property. The definition and use of residential often varies from community to community.

In <u>Johnson v. Davis</u>, 480 So. 2d 625 (1985), the Florida Supreme Court proclaimed a broad-based rule, rooted in notions of modern justice and fair dealing, that "where the seller of a home knows of facts materially affecting the value of the property which are not readily observable and are not known to the buyer, the seller is under a duty to disclose them to the buyer." <u>Id.</u> at 629. The court applied this duty of disclosure "to all forms of real property, new and used." <u>See id</u>. This holding, derived from other jurisdictions, effectively placed a "harness" on the doctrine of caveat emptor within the context of the sale of a home and "resulted in the seller of a home being liable for failing to disclose material defects of which he is aware." <u>Id.</u> at 628.

Following <u>Johnson</u>, the appellate courts generally refused to hold that nondisclosure of known facts materially affecting the value of commercial property was actionable under Florida law. <u>See Wasser v. Sasoni</u>, 652 So. 2d 411 (Fla. 3d DCA 1995) <u>Green Acres, Inc. v. First Union Nat'l Bank of Fla.</u>, 637 So. 2d 363 (Fla. 4th DCA 1994); <u>Mostoufi v. Presto Food Stores, Inc.</u>, 618 So. 2d 1372 (Fla. 2d DCA), <u>review denied</u>, 626 So. 2d 207 (Fla. 1993); <u>Futura Realty v. Lone Star Bldg. Ctrs. (Eastern), Inc.</u>, 578 So. 2d 363 (Fla. 3d DCA), <u>review denied</u>, 591 So. 2d 181 (Fla. 1991). In these cases, the courts held that the doctrine of caveat emptor, or "buyer beware," was still the common law rule applied to purchasers of commercial property.

**DATE**: March 4, 1998

**PAGE 14** 

In 1997, however, the Florida Supreme Court, specifically applied Johnson in a commercial setting when it considered a question certified from federal court regarding the duty of a vendor in the misrepresentation of a purchase of a 22,641 acre tract of timberland. The purchaser's known intent in purchasing the 22,641 acres was to harvest and sell the timber on the land and then subdivide the cleared land into residential lots. See Gilchrist Timber Co. v. ITT Rayonier, Inc., 696 So. 2d 334 (Fla. 1997). ITT provided Gilchrist a one-year old appraisal of the property that listed the property as being zoned agricultural, which allowed residential use. In reality, the vast majority of the property was zoned "preservation," which permitted no residential use. Thus, the zoning prevented Gilchrist from cutting down the timber on the property and then selling the land for residential use. The question certified to the supreme court related to ITT's alleged negligent misrepresentation and its liability for such a misrepresentation where Gilchrist had relied upon the erroneous information, despite the fact that an investigation by Gilchrist would have revealed the falsity of the information. The Gilchrist court affirmatively found that the party who transmitted the false information may be held liable for negligent misrepresentation, although holding that the doctrine of comparative negligence may apply if the buyer fails to use reasonable care. In the federal case, the jury decided in favor of the buyer, but the district court thereafter granted the defendant a judgment notwithstanding the verdict. See Gilchrist Timber Co. v. ITT Rayonier, Inc., 95 F. 3d 1033 (11th Cir. 1996). The supreme court's affirmation in Gilchrist quoted the language in Johnson that "[o]ne should not be able to stand behind the impervious shield of caveat emptor and take advantage of another's ignorance," apparently extending the broad-based rule to a commercial setting. Gilchrist, 696 So.2d at 339. Similarly, the court concluded that "[t]he law appears to be working toward the ultimate conclusion that full disclosure of all material facts must be made whenever elementary fair conduct demands it." Id. (citing Johnson, 480 So. 2d at 628). The federal court of appeals reversed and remanded the district court decision, after receipt of the Florida Supreme Court's answer to their certified question. See Gilchrist Timber Co. v. ITT Rayonier, Inc., 127 F. 3d 1390 (11th Cir. 1997) (Affirming that "[t]he Florida Supreme Court stated that the law appears to be working toward the ultimate conclusion that full disclosure of all material facts must be made whenever elementary fair conduct demands it.") Id. at 1398.

In <u>McCarthy v. So. States Utilities</u>, 698 So. 2d 590 (Fla. 5th DCA 1997), the appellate court reversed the trial court's grant of summary judgment where the buyer had relied upon erroneous information provided by the utility company in the buyer's decision to purchase an apartment building from the Resolution Trust Corporation. Citing <u>Gilchrist</u>, the court found that there is implicitly liability in tort for the utility company's negligence in giving false information related to the property and relied upon in the purchase decision.

Based upon <u>Gilchrist</u> and <u>McCarthy</u>, the bill appears to be a departure from the current direction of the law.

# VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

None.

DATE: PAGE 1	March 4, 1998 1 <b>5</b>				
VII.	. <u>SIGNATURES</u> :				
	COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS REGULATION A Prepared by:	ND CONSUMER AFFAIRS: Legislative Research Director:			
	Gip Arthur	Lucretia Shaw Collins			
	AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON REAL Prepared by:	PROPERTY & PROBATE: Legislative Research Director:			
	Jeanne Slizyk	P.K. Jameson			