STORAGE NAME: h3481.go DATE: March 10, 1998

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS BILL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL #: HB 3481

RELATING TO: Information Resource Management **SPONSOR(S)**: Representative Culp and others

COMPANION BILL(S): SB 832(i)

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

(2) GENERAL GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATIONS

(3)

(4)

(5)

I. <u>SUMMARY</u>:

This bill

- Exempts from inclusion in the agency strategic plan and from the mandatory review for budget amendments certain information resources management projects that are a continuation of hardware or software maintenance or software licensing agreements, or certain desktop replacement;
- Clarifies that a member of the State Technology Council may appoint a designee, who
 must be in a position that reports directly to the member, to serve on the member's
 behalf;
- Requires that coordinating councils and boards, like agencies, provide an annual performance report to the Executive Office of the Governor, with copies to the Senate President, House Speaker and Auditor General.
- Changes the due dates, from November 1 to September 1 for the agency annual
 information resources management performance report and from March 1 to January 15
 for the state annual report on information resources management. This coincides with
 the budget review process and provides ample time for legislative review prior to
 session.
- Eliminates the chair of the Geographic Information Advisory Council as an ex officio, nonvoting member of the Geographic Information Board.
- Provides that the state annual report shall include information resources management information from the Justice Administrative Commission on behalf of state attorneys and public defenders.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local governments.

DATE: March 10, 1998

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE RESEARCH:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

The 1997 Legislature passed Chapter 97-286, Laws of Florida, which set forth comprehensive changes to the State's use and management of its information technology resources. These changes repealed statutes that created the Information Resource Commission, the Information Technology Resource Procurement Advisory Council, and the Information Resource Management Advisory Council.

Chapter 97-286, Laws of Florida, also established a new governance structure for information resources management, thereby creating new organizations and processes. The legislation created

- (1) the State Technology Council to develop a statewide vision and to make recommendations on statewide policies for information resources management to the Executive Office of the Governor and the Legislature;
- (2) the State Technology Office to provide administrative support to specified organizations and to facilitate state education and training opportunities;
- (3) the Chief Information Officers (CIO) Council to provide a forum for enhancing communication, consensus building, coordination, and facilitation of statewide information resources management issues among the agency CIO's; and
- (4) the Technology Review Workgroup to provide a mechanism to review and make recommendations to the Governor's Office and the Legislature with respect to the portion of agency strategic plans that pertain to information resources management (IRM) needs and with respect to agency legislative budget requests for IRM.

After the passage of Chapter 97-286, Laws of Florida, staff of the Joint Legislative Information Technology Resource Committee found that various provisions required minor modification in order to increase the overall effectiveness of the original legislation. This bill is a result of those findings.

Section 43.16, F.S., creates a Justice Administrative Commission of the Judicial Branch of Florida. The duties of the commission already include the maintenance of a central state office for administrative services and assistance when possible to and on behalf of the state attorneys and public defenders of Florida, the office of capital collateral representative of Florida, and the Judicial Qualifications Commission.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This bill

 Exempts from inclusion in the agency strategic plan certain information resources management projects that are a continuation of hardware or software maintenance or software licensing agreements, or certain desktop replacement.

DATE: March 10, 1998

PAGE 3

Information resources management projects other than those specified above would continue to be included in agency strategic plans if they:

- --- Involve more than one agency,
- --- Have an outcome that impacts another agency, or
- --- Exceed \$500,000 in total cost over a 1-year period.
- Exempts from mandatory review by the Governor and Administration
 Commission for the executive branch or the Chief Justice for the judicial branch,
 any amendments to an agency's original approved operating budget for certain
 information resource management projects that are a continuation of hardware
 or software maintenance or software licensing agreements, or certain desktop
 replacement. Such amendments to the approved operating budget would
 continue, however, to be reviewed through the existing notice and review
 requirements set forth in s. 216.177, F.S.

Information resources management projects other than those specified above would continue to be subject to the mandatory review requirements if they:

- --- Involve more than one agency,
- --- Have an outcome that impacts another agency, or
- --- Exceed \$500,000 in total cost over a 1-year period.
- Clarifies that a member of the State Technology Council may appoint a designee, who must be in a position that reports directly to that member, to serve on the member's behalf;
- Requires that coordinating councils and boards, like agencies, provide an annual performance report to the Executive Office of the Governor, with copies to the Senate President, House Speaker and Auditor General;
- Changes the due date of the Agency Annual Information Resources
 Management Report from November 1 to September 1, to coincide with budget review of the agencies' legislative budget requests;
- Changes the due date of the State Annual Report from March 1 to January 15 to provide additional time for the legislature to review the information prior to the legislative session.
- Eliminates the chair of the Geographic Information Advisory Council as an ex officio, nonvoting member of the Geographic Information Board.
- Requires that the chair of the Geographic Information Advisory Council, or his or her designee, attend all meetings of the Geographic Information Board.
- Provides that the state annual report shall include information resources
 management information from the Justice Administrative Commission (JAC) on
 behalf of state attorneys and public defenders. Currently the state attorneys and
 public defenders send directly to the State Technology Office (STO) information
 regarding IRM. The STO includes that information in the State Annual Report
 on Information Resources Management. This change in the statute would

DATE: March 10, 1998

PAGE 4

simply route the information from the public defenders and state attorneys to the JAC and the JAC would forward same as needed. This function already comports with the duties and functions of the JAC as are set forth in s. 43.16, F.S., discussed above.

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

- 1. Less Government:
 - a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:
 - (1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

No

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

Yes. This bill provides that information resources management projects which are a continuation of hardware or software maintenance or software licensing agreements, or certain desktop replacement do not have to be included in agency strategic plans.

Such projects would also be excluded from the mandatory review requirements set forth in s. 216.181(4), F.S., for amendments to the original approved operating budgets which require review of certain information resource management projects by the Governor and Administration Commission for the executive branch and the Chief Justice for the judicial branch.

Additionally, the State Technology Office would benefit from some streamlined reporting activities from state attorneys and public defenders as these reporting activities would be coordinated through the Justice Administrative Commission.

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

No

b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:

An agency or program is not eliminated or reduced.

(1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

DATE: March 10, 1998

PAGE 5

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

No

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

No

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

No

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

No

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

No

3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

No

b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

No

4. <u>Individual Freedom:</u>

DATE: March 10, 1998

PAGE 6

a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

No

b. Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?

No

5. Family Empowerment:

a. If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:

This bill does not purport to provide services to families or children.

(1) Who evaluates the family's needs?

N/A

(2) Who makes the decisions?

N/A

(3) Are private alternatives permitted?

N/A

(4) Are families required to participate in a program?

N/A

(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?

N/A

b. Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?

No

c. If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:

This bill does not create or change a program providing services to families or children.

DATE: March 10, 1998

PAGE 7

(1) parents and guardians?

N/A

(2) service providers?

N/A

(3) government employees/agencies?

N/A

D. STATUTE(S) AFFECTED:

ss. 186.021(1), 186.022(9), 216.181(4), 282.2063(1), 282.3091(3), 282.404, 215.96(2), and 282.310(1)

E. SECTION-BY-SECTION RESEARCH:

Section 1: Amends s. 186.021(1), F.S. Provides that state agency strategic plans do not have to include information resources management projects that are a continuation of hardware or software maintenance or software licensing agreements, or desktop replacement that is similar to the technology currently in use.

Section 2: Amends s. 216.181(4), F.S. Excludes from the mandatory review any information resource management projects that are a continuation of hardware or software maintenance or software licensing agreements, or desktop replacement that is similar to the technology currently in use. (Currently the law provides that any amendments to the original approved operating budgets for certain information resource management projects *must* be reviewed by the Governor and Administration Commission for the executive branch or the Chief Justice for the judicial branch.)

Section 3: Amends s. 186.022(9), F.S. Requires that the Geographic Information Board, the Financial Management Information Board, the Criminal and Juvenile Justice Information System Council, and the Health Information System Council shall, like state agencies, prepare an annual performance report. Such report would be submitted by September 1 to the Executive Office of the Governor, with copies to the Senate President, House Speaker, and Auditor General.

Section 4: Amends s. 282.3063(1), F.S. Changes the due date of the Agency Annual Information Resources Management Report from November 1 to September 1 to coincide with the review process for agencies' legislative budget requests.

Section 5: Amends s. 282.310(1) and (2), F.S. Changes the due date of the State Annual Report on Information Resources Management from March 1 to January 15 to provide the Legislature ample time for review prior to the legislative session; and, provides that the state annual report shall include information resources management information from the Justice Administrative Commission on behalf of state attorneys and public defenders.

DATE: March 10, 1998

PAGE 8

Section 6: Amends s. 282.3091(3), F.S. Provides that a State Technology Council member may appoint a designee to serve on that member's behalf; however, such designee must be in a position that reports directly to that member.

Section 7: Amends s. 282.404(3), (7), and (8), F.S. Makes a technical correction relating to the statutory placement of the State Geologist as a member of the Geographic Information Advisory Council; and, provides that the chair of the Geographic Information Advisory Council, or his or her designee, will be required to attend all meetings of the Geographic Information Board, but the chair will not be an ex-officio member of the Board.

Section 8: Amends s. 215.96(2), F.S. Makes a technical correction in the name of the State Agency Administrative Services Directors.

Section 9: Provides an effective date upon becoming law.

III. FISCAL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:
 - 1. Non-recurring Effects:

None

Recurring Effects:

None

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

None

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:
 - 1. Non-recurring Effects:

None

2. Recurring Effects:

None

DATE: March 10, 1998 PAGE 9 3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth: None C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR: 1. Direct Private Sector Costs: None 2. Direct Private Sector Benefits: None 3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets: None D. FISCAL COMMENTS: None IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION: A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION: This bill does not require counties or municipalities to expend funds. B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY: This bill does not reduce revenue raising authority. C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or

municipalities.

STORAGE NAME: h3481.go

None

STORAGE NAME: h3481.go DATE: March 10, 1998 PAGE 10		
VI.	AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUT	<u>TE CHANGES</u> :
	N/A	
VII.	SIGNATURES:	
	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERAT Prepared by:	IONS: Legislative Research Director:
	J. Marleen Ahearn, Ph.D., J.D.	Jimmy O. Helms