DATE: March 19, 1998

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS REGULATION AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS BILL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL #: HB 3793

RELATING TO: Itinerant Merchants

SPONSOR(S): Representative Meek and others

COMPANION BILL(S): SB 1068 (s)

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) BUSINESS REGULATION AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS
- (2) LAW ENFORCEMENT AND PUBLIC SAFETY
- (3) GOVERNMENTAL RULES AND REGULATIONS
- (4) FINANCE AND TAXATION

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

This bill places new regulations on flea market vendors who are defined as "itinerant merchants". These merchants are required to obtain and keep for one year invoices or receipts for the merchandise they are selling. Law enforcement officers may request the merchant to produce the receipts and are authorized to confiscate any merchandise for which a merchant does not have a receipt.

A violation of the record keeping provisions is considered a first degree misdemeanor. Selling stolen property is considered a second degree felony.

The act takes effect July 1 of the year in which enacted.

There is no fiscal impact to government and the cost to itinerant merchants is indeterminate.

DATE: March 19, 1998

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE RESEARCH:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

Flea market vendors are required to register with the Department of Revenue (s. 212.18, F.S.) and to collect and remit sales tax (s. 212.06, F.S.), however, compliance varies widely. Business activities that include "occasional and isolated sales" are exempt from collecting sales tax (s. 212.02 (2), F.S.) and many flea market vendors assume their activities fall under this exemption. The Department of Revenue is unable to distinguish between flea market vendors who are actually conducting ongoing businesses from those who are making only occasional sales.

Additionally, law enforcement officials believe that flea market sales are often fencing operations where significant amounts of stolen merchandise are sold. However, since these vendors are not required to keep proof-of-purchase records, law enforcement officials have no basis for taking action.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This bill provides a means for law enforcement to recover stolen goods. It defines "itinerant merchant" in such a way as to include flea market vendors, but excludes those holding five or fewer garage sales per year from their homes. Itinerant merchants are required to obtain an invoice or receipt for any merchandise they purchase for resale. Those invoices or receipts must be kept for one year after the merchandise is sold, and they must specifically identify the merchandise and the person from whom it was purchased.

Law enforcement officers are authorized to examine the invoices and receipts. A sworn affidavit may be substituted for an invoice or receipt. Failure by the itinerant merchant to produce a receipt is prima facie evidence that the merchandise is stolen, and the law enforcement officer may confiscate the merchandise.

An itinerant merchant who does not adhere to the record keeping requirements commits a first degree misdemeanor, punishable by up to one year in prison or a fine of up to \$1,000. Those merchants who sell stolen property commit a second degree felony, punishable by up to 15 years in prison, a fine of up to \$10,000 and may be subject to the habitual felony offender provisions.

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

1. <u>Less Government:</u>

a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:

DATE: March 19, 1998

PAGE 3

(1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

Yes. Law enforcement officers could use these provisions to recover and return stolen property.

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

No.

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

No.

- b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:
 - (1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

No.

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

No.

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

No.

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

No.

DATE: March 19, 1998

PAGE 4

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

No.

3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

N/A

b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

N/A

4. Individual Freedom:

a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

No.

b. Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?

Yes. New requirements are placed on flea market vendors.

5. Family Empowerment:

- a. If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:
 - (1) Who evaluates the family's needs?

N/A

(2) Who makes the decisions?

N/A

(3) Are private alternatives permitted?

N/A

DATE: March 19, 1998

PAGE 5

(4) Are families required to participate in a program?

N/A

(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?

N/A

b. Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?

N/A

- c. If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:
 - (1) parents and guardians?

N/A

(2) service providers?

N/A

(3) government employees/agencies?

N/A

D. STATUTE(S) AFFECTED:

None. The bill does not assign the provisions to a particular statute.

- E. SECTION-BY-SECTION RESEARCH:
 - Section 1. Creates statutory language defining "itinerant merchant", placing certain requirements on those merchants, and providing penalties for violations of the act.
 - <u>Section 2.</u> Provides for the act to take effect July 1 of the year in which enacted.

III. FISCAL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

DATE: March 19, 1998

PAGE 6

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

None.

2. Recurring Effects:

None.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None.

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

None.

2. Recurring Effects:

None.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

1. <u>Direct Private Sector Costs</u>:

Flea market vendors will have added record keeping expense. Additionally, those vendors not currently collecting and remitting sales tax may be subject to closer scrutiny which could result in penalties and/or their assuming the responsibility and cost of collecting the tax.

2. <u>Direct Private Sector Benefits:</u>

Merchants and individuals victimized by theft may recover their merchandise and possessions.

DATE: March 19, 1998

PAGE 7

3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:

Indeterminate.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This act does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This act does not reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This act does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

V. COMMENTS:

- 1. The definition of "itinerant merchant" includes a vague phrase stating "who does not intend to become". (page 1, lines 23 -24) Intent is difficult to prove.
- 2. Proponents state that one intent of the bill is to clarify that these merchants are subject to the tax code provisions. However, the bill does not achieve that goal.
- 3. A law enforcement officer may request a receipt or invoice if the officer "reasonably believes that merchandise may have been stolen...". This language may place a difficult burden of proof on law enforcement, yet it also may protect flea market vendors from over zealous enforcement of the act. (page 2, lines 24 25)

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

None.

DATE: PAGE 8	March 19, 1998	
VII.	SIGNATURES:	
	COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS REGULATION A Prepared by:	AND CONSUMER AFFAIRS: Legislative Research Director:
	Rebecca R. Everhart	Lucretia Shaw Collins