

STORAGE NAME: h3921a.tr
DATE: March 24, 1998

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON
TRANSPORTATION
BILL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

BILL #: HB 3921 (PCB FT 98-02)

RELATING TO: Drivers' Licenses

SPONSOR(S): Committee on Finance and Taxation and Representative Starks

STATUTE(S) AFFECTED: s. 322.21

COMPANION BILL(S): None

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) FINANCE AND TAXATION YEAS 9 NAYS 5
- (2) TRANSPORTATION YEAS 8 NAYS 0
- (3) TRANSPORTATION & ECON. DEV. APPROPRIATIONS
- (4)
- (5)

I. SUMMARY:

When an original driver's license expires after 6 years, the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles (DHSM&V) issues a 4-year renewal driver's license. However, if the renewal applicant's driving record reflects no convictions for the preceding 3 years and no revocations, disqualifications, or suspensions over the preceding 7 years, DHS&MV issues a renewal driver's license that is valid for 6 years.

Currently, Class D (an original operator) and Class E (restricted) 4-year and 6-year driver's license renewals are \$15. The bill provides that drivers eligible for a 6-year renewal driver's license will not have to pay the \$15 renewal fee. In addition, the bill provides for an increase of \$25 for drivers eligible for the 4-year renewal driver's license, for a total of \$40 for a 4-year renewal driver's license.

This bill is projected to reduce revenue to the General Revenue Fund by approximately \$11 million in FY 1998-99 and \$10 million in FY 1999-00.

II. SUBSTANTIVE RESEARCH:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

DHS&MV charges \$20 for a Class D and Class E original driver's license, which is valid for 6 years and expires on the applicant's birthday.

A renewal driver's license is \$15 and is valid for 4 years; however, if the applicant's driving record reflects no convictions for the preceding 3 years or no revocations, disqualifications, or suspensions over the preceding 7 years, the renewal driver's license is valid for 6 years.

A conviction, according to s. 322.01(10), F.S., is an offense relating to the operation of motor vehicles on highways which is a violation of chapter 322, F.S., or any such law of this state or any other state, including an admission or determination of a noncriminal traffic infraction pursuant to s. 318.14, F.S., or a judicial disposition of an offense committed under any federal law substantially conforming to the aforesaid state statutory provisions.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill provides that drivers eligible for a 6-year renewal driver's license will not have to pay the \$15 renewal fee. In addition, the bill provides for an increase of \$25 for drivers eligible for the 4-year renewal driver's license, for a total of \$40 for a 4-year renewal driver's license.

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

1. Less Government:

a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:

(1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

No.

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

No.

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

No.

b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:

(1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

No.

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

Yes. Those drivers classified as "unsafe drivers" will have a fee increase of \$25 on their 4-year driver's license renewal.

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

No.

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

Yes. The bill allows safe drivers (those renewing a six-year driver's license) to obtain their renewal license for free.

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

No.

3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

No.

- b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

No.

4. Individual Freedom:

- a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

No.

- b. Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?

No.

5. Family Empowerment:

- a. If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:

- (1) Who evaluates the family's needs?

N/A

- (2) Who makes the decisions?

N/A

- (3) Are private alternatives permitted?

N/A

- (4) Are families required to participate in a program?

N/A

- (5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?

N/A

- b. Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?

No.

- c. If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:

(1) parents and guardians?

N/A

(2) service providers?

N/A

(3) government employees/agencies?

N/A

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION RESEARCH:

N/A

III. FISCAL RESEARCH & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

	<u>FY 1998-99</u>
General Revenue Fund Data Processing Cost	(\$0.06) M

2. Recurring Effects:

	<u>FY 1998-99</u>	<u>FY 1999-00</u>
<u>REVENUES</u>		
General Revenue Fund		
Renewals 6-year license	(\$24.96) M	(\$23.44) M
Renewals 4-year license	<u>\$13.87 M</u>	<u>\$13.02 M</u>
Total	(\$11.09)M	(\$10.42)M

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None.

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

<u>REVENUES</u>	<u>FY 1998-99</u>	<u>FY 1999-00</u>
General Revenue Fund	(\$11.09) M	(\$10.42) M

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

None.

2. Recurring Effects:

None.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

1. Direct Private Sector Costs:

None.

2. Direct Private Sector Benefits:

There would be incentive for drivers to become eligible for the 6-year renewal, thereby lowering the probability of traffic-related crashes and encouraging increased compliance with traffic laws.

3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

STORAGE NAME: h3921a.tr

DATE: March 24, 1998

PAGE 7

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

The bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

V. COMMENTS:

None.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

None.

VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON FINANCE AND TAXATION:

Prepared by:

Legislative Research Director:

Carol L. Dickson-Carr

Keith G. Baker, Ph.D.

AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION:

Prepared by:

Legislative Research Director:

Thomas E. Duncan

John R. Johnston