STORAGE NAME: h0419.tr DATE: February 27, 1997

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION BILL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

BILL #: HB 419

RELATING TO: Dade County Road Designation

SPONSOR(S): Rep. Bush

STATUTE(S) AFFECTED: None COMPANION BILL(S): None

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) TRANSPORTATION

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

This bill designates portions of two intersecting highways, State Road 9 (N.W. 27th Avenue) and State Road 944 (N.W. 54th Street), in Dade County as "Brownsville Commercial District". The Department of Transportation (DOT) is directed to erect suitable designation markers.

The bill has a negative fiscal impact of \$1300 to the State Transportation Trust Fund.

STORAGE NAME: h0419.tr **DATE**: February 27, 1997

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

State Road 944 (N.W. 54th Street) in Miami from 32th Avenue to Biscayne Boulevard was designated the "Jefferson Reaves, Sr., Boulevard" by the Legislature in 1991. State Road 9 (N.W. 27th Avenue), in Dade County does not have any previous legislative designations.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill designates portions of two intersecting highways in Dade County as "Brownsville Commercial District". The highways being designated include:

- -State Road 9 (N.W. 27th Avenue) from N.W. 50th Street to N.W. 54th Street, and
- -State Road 944 (N.W. 54th Street), from N.W. 23rd Avenue to N.W. 31st Avenue.

The segment of State Road 944 being designated by this bill is within the limits of the 1991 designation, and that segment will have two designations.

DOT is directed to erect suitable designation markers.

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

- 1. Less Government:
 - a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:
 - (1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

No.

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

No.

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

No.

b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:

Not applicable.

STORAGE NAME: h0419.tr **DATE**: February 27, 1997 PAGE 3 (1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity? (2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency? (3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed? 2. Lower Taxes: a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes? No. b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees? No. c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues? No. d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues? No. e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government? No. 3. Personal Responsibility: Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy? No. b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation? No.

STORAG DATE: PAGE 4			h0419.tr 27, 1997		
	4.	4. <u>Individual Freedom:</u>			
		a.	Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?		
			No.		
		b.	Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?		
			No.		
	5.	<u>Far</u>	mily Empowerment:		
		a.	If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:		
			Not applicable.		
			(1) Who evaluates the family's needs?		
			(2) Who makes the decisions?		
			(3) Are private alternatives permitted?		
			(4) Are families required to participate in a program?		
			(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?		
		b.	Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?		
			No.		
		C.	If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:		
			Not applicable		
			CTANDADD FORM (DE)/ICED 4/07)		

STORAGE NAME: h0419.tr **DATE**: February 27, 1997

PAGE 5

- (1) parents and guardians?
- (2) service providers?
- (3) government employees/agencies?
- D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Not applicable.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:
 - 1. Non-recurring Effects:

<u>Expenditures:</u>	<u> 1997-98</u>	<u> 1998-99</u>
Department of Transportation		
Expenses (Erection of Markers)		
State Transportation Trust Fund	<u>\$1200</u>	
TOTAL	<u>\$1200</u>	

[**Note**: Assumes that four signs will be erected, one at each end of the two highway segments being designated.]

2. Recurring Effects:

Expenditures: Department of Transportation	<u>1997-98</u>	<u>1998-98</u>
Expenses (Repair and Replacement) State Transportation Trust Fund	<u>\$100</u>	<u>\$100</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$100</u>	<u>\$100</u>

[**Note**: Costs included for recurring effects show estimates of the cost incurred by DOT for sign damage, vandalism, and overall deterioration over time.]

STORAGE NAME: h0419.tr DATE: February 27, 1997

PAGE 6

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None.

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

Expenditures:	<u> 1997-98</u>	<u> 1998-99</u>
Department of Transportation		
Expenses State Transportation Trust Fund	<u>\$1300</u>	<u>\$100</u>
TOTAL	\$1300	\$100

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:
 - 1. Non-recurring Effects:

None.

2. Recurring Effects:

None.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None.

- C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:
 - 1. <u>Direct Private Sector Costs</u>:

None.

2. Direct Private Sector Benefits:

None.

3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION: A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION: Not applicable. This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take action which requires the expenditure of funds. B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY: Not applicable. This bill does not reduce the authority of counties or municipalities to raise revenues. C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES: Not applicable. This bill does not reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties and municipalities. V. <u>COMMENTS</u>: None. VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES: None. VII. <u>SIGNATURES</u>: COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION: Prepared by: Legislative Research Director: Phillip B. Miller John R. Johnston

STORAGE NAME: h0419.tr **DATE**: February 27, 1997

PAGE 7