3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

A bill to be entitled An act relating to planning for educational facilities; amending s. 163.3177, F.S.; requiring that the future land use element of a local government's comprehensive plan include certain criteria relating to location of schools; specifying the date by which such plans must comply and providing effect of noncompliance; providing requirements with respect to the data and analyses on which a public school facilities element should be based; providing for goals, objectives, and policies; providing for a future conditions map and certain reciprocal agreements; amending s. 163.3180, F.S.; revising requirements for imposition of a school concurrency requirement by a local government and for the local government comprehensive plan or plan amendment to implement such requirement; requiring a public schools facilities element; providing requirements for level of service standards; providing requirements for designation of service areas; providing requirements with respect to financial feasibility; specifying an availability standard; requiring that intergovernmental coordination requirements be satisfied and providing that certain municipalities are not required to be a signatory of the required interlocal agreement; providing duties of such municipalities to evaluate their status and enter into the

1 interlocal agreement when required, and 2 providing effect of failure to do so; directing 3 the state land planning agency to adopt by rule 4 minimum criteria for review and determination of compliance of a public schools facilities 5 element and for the associated interlocal 6 7 agreement; amending s. 163.3191, F.S.; 8 providing that the local planning agency's 9 periodic report on the comprehensive plan shall assess the coordination of the plan with public 10 11 schools; amending s. 235.185, F.S.; directing 12 school boards to adopt annually 10-year and 13 20-year work programs in addition to the 14 required 5-year district facilities work 15 program; amending s. 235.186, F.S.; including additional expenditures in a district's planned 16 basic capital outlay expenditures that may be 17 eligible for an effort index grant; including 18 districts that have adopted a public school 19 20 facilities element in districts to which priority consideration for such grants should 21 22 be given under certain circumstances; amending s. 235.19, F.S.; providing a directive to 23 24 school boards with respect to school location; amending s. 235.193, F.S.; providing 25 26 requirements for the 5-year district facilities 27 work program with respect to enrollment and 28 population projections; precluding the siting 29 of new schools in certain jurisdictions; amending s. 235.2155, F.S.; specifying 30 31 additional savings by school districts which

the SIT Program is designed to reward; providing that the SMART Schools Clearinghouse shall examine data relating to educational facilities planning, and favorably consider districts where local governments have adopted a public school facilities element, in recommending SIT Program awards; authorizing use of such awards for offsite infrastructure needs generated by development of educational facilities; providing for interim use of certain criteria and guidelines by the state land planning agency in compliance review of a school concurrency system; providing an effective date.

WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes the need to determine educational facility needs as Florida continues to grow, and the need to ensure that local school districts have adequate funds to finance needed educational facilities, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that the state has an interest in school concurrency because public education is a state responsibility and because of the role of the state in the administration of statewide growth management policy, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature recognizes that state policy on school concurrency is incomplete, and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Legislature that local governments retain the authority to impose school concurrency on a local option basis within clearly defined parameters established by the state in statutes and rules, and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Legislature to increase predictability and minimize conflict and litigation

in local governments which choose to impose school concurrency, and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Legislature that school concurrency, where implemented, should improve the state's educational system as well as advance the state's integrated planning and growth management system, NOW, THEREFORE,

8 9

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (6) of section 163.3177, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsection (12) is added to said section, to read:

163.3177 Required and optional elements of comprehensive plan; studies and surveys .--

- (6) In addition to the requirements of subsections (1)-(5), the comprehensive plan shall include the following elements:
- (a) A future land use plan element designating proposed future general distribution, location, and extent of the uses of land for residential uses, commercial uses, industry, agriculture, recreation, conservation, education, public buildings and grounds, other public facilities, and other categories of the public and private uses of land. The future land use plan shall include standards to be followed in the control and distribution of population densities and building and structure intensities. The proposed distribution, location, and extent of the various categories of land use shall be shown on a land use map or map series which shall be supplemented by goals, policies, and measurable 31 objectives. Each land use category shall be defined in terms

of the types of uses included and specific standards for the density or intensity of use. The future land use plan shall 3 be based upon surveys, studies, and data regarding the area, including the amount of land required to accommodate 4 5 anticipated growth; the projected population of the area; the character of undeveloped land; the availability of public 6 7 services; and the need for redevelopment, including the 8 renewal of blighted areas and the elimination of nonconforming uses which are inconsistent with the character of the 9 community. The future land use plan may designate areas for 10 11 future planned development use involving combinations of types of uses for which special regulations may be necessary to 12 13 ensure development in accord with the principles and standards 14 of the comprehensive plan and this act. The future land use plan of a county may also designate areas for possible future 15 16 municipal incorporation. The land use maps or map series shall generally identify and depict historic district 17 boundaries and shall designate historically significant 18 19 properties meriting protection. The future land use element 20 must clearly identify the land use categories in which public schools are an allowable use. When delineating the land use 21 22 categories in which public schools are an allowable use, a local government shall include in the categories sufficient 23 land proximate to residential development to meet the 24 25 projected needs for schools in coordination with public school 26 boards and may establish differing criteria for schools of 27 different type or size. Each local government shall include 28 lands contiguous to existing school sites, to the maximum 29 extent possible, within the land use categories in which public schools are an allowable use. The future land use 30 element shall include criteria which encourage the location of

schools proximate to urban residential areas to the extent possible and shall require that the local government seek to collocate public facilities, such as parks, libraries, and community centers, with schools to the extent possible. All comprehensive plans must comply with this paragraph no later than October 1, 1999, or the deadline for the local government evaluation and appraisal report, whichever occurs first 1996. The failure by a local government to comply with this requirement will result in the prohibition of the local government's ability to amend the local comprehensive plan as provided by s. 163.3187(6). An amendment proposed by a local government for purposes of identifying the land use categories in which public schools are an allowable use is exempt from the limitation on the frequency of plan amendments contained in s. 163.3187.

- (12) A public school facilities element adopted to implement a school concurrency program shall meet the requirements of this subsection.
- (a) A public school facilities element shall be based upon data and analyses that address, among other items, how levels of service will be met and achieved. Such data and analyses must include, at a minimum, such items as: the 5-year school district facilities work program adopted pursuant to s. 235.185; the educational plant survey and an existing educational and ancillary plant map or map series; information on existing development and development anticipated for the next 5 years and the long-term planning period; an analysis of problems and opportunities for existing schools and schools anticipated in the future; an analysis of opportunities to collocate future schools with other public facilities such as parks, libraries, and community centers; an analysis of the

need for supporting infrastructure such as sidewalks and bus stops for existing and future schools; an analysis of opportunities to locate schools to serve as community focal points; projected future population and associated demographics, including development patterns year by year for the upcoming 5-year and long-term planning periods; and anticipated educational and ancillary plants with land area requirements.

- (b) The element shall contain one or more adopted goals which establish the long-term end toward which public school programs and activities are ultimately directed.
- (c) The element shall contain one or more adopted objectives for each goal, setting specific, measurable, intermediate ends that are achievable and mark progress toward the goal.
- (d) The element shall contain one or more adopted policies for each objective which establish the way in which programs and activities will be conducted to achieve an identified goal.
- (e) The objectives and policies shall address items such as: the procedure for an annual update process; the procedure for school site selection; the procedure for school permitting; provision of supporting infrastructure; location of future school sites so they serve as community focal points; measures to ensure compatibility of school sites and surrounding land uses; coordination with adjacent local governments and the school district on emergency preparedness issues; and coordination of the future land use map.
- (f) The element shall include a future conditions map which depicts the anticipated location of educational and ancillary plants. The map will of necessity be general for the

long-term planning period and more specific for the 5-year
period.

(g) The element shall include reciprocal requirements for future land use and intergovernmental coordination elements.

Section 2. Subsection (1) of section 163.3180, Florida Statutes, is amended, and subsections (12) and (13) are added to said section, to read:

163.3180 Concurrency.--

(1)(a) Roads, sanitary sewer, solid waste, drainage, potable water, parks and recreation, and mass transit, where applicable, are the only public facilities and services subject to the concurrency requirement on a statewide basis. Additional public facilities and services may not be made subject to concurrency on a statewide basis without appropriate study and approval by the Legislature; however, any local government may extend the concurrency requirement so that it applies to additional public facilities within its jurisdiction.

(b) If a local government elects to extend the concurrency requirement to public schools, it should first conduct a study to determine how the requirement would be met and shared by all affected parties. The local government shall provide an opportunity for full participation in this study by the school board. The state land planning agency may provide technical assistance to local governments that study and prepare for extension of the concurrency requirement to public schools. When establishing concurrency requirements for public schools, a local government shall comply with the following criteria for any proposed plan or plan amendment transmitted pursuant to s. 163.3184(3) after July 1, 1995:

1. Adopt level-of-service standards for public schools 1 with the agreement of the school board. Public school 2 3 level-of-service standards shall be adopted as part of the 4 capital improvements element in the local government 5 comprehensive plan, which shall contain a financially feasible 6 public school capital facilities program established in 7 conjunction with the school board that will provide 8 educational facilities at an adequate level of service 9 necessary to implement the adopted local government 10 comprehensive plan. 11 2. Satisfy the requirement for intergovernmental 12 coordination set forth in s. 163.3177(6)(h)1. and 2. 13 (12) School concurrency, if imposed by local option, 14 shall be established on a districtwide basis and shall include 15 all public schools in the district and all portions of the 16 district, whether located in a municipality or an unincorporated area. The application of school concurrency to 17 development shall be based upon the adopted comprehensive 18 plan, as amended. A local government shall comply with the 19 20 following school concurrency requirements for any proposed plan or plan amendment adopted and transmitted for a 21 compliance review pursuant to s. 163.3184(7) and (8): 22 23 (a) Public school facilities element. -- A local 24 government shall adopt and transmit to the state land planning agency a plan or plan amendment which includes a public school 25 26 facilities element which is consistent with the requirements of s. 163.3177(12) and which is determined by the state land 27 28 planning agency to be in compliance as defined in s. 163.3184(1)(b) and pursuant to s. 163.3184(9). 29 30 (b) Level of service standards. -- The Legislature recognizes that an essential requirement for a concurrency

management system is the level of service at which a public facility is expected to operate.

- 1. Local governments and school boards imposing school concurrency shall exercise authority in conjunction with each other to establish jointly an adequate level of service, as defined in rule 9J-5 Florida Administrative Code, necessary to implement the adopted local government comprehensive plan, based on data and analysis.
- 2. Public school level of service standards shall be included and adopted into the capital improvements element of the local comprehensive plan and shall apply districtwide to all schools of the same type. Types of schools may include elementary, middle, and high schools as well as special-purpose facilities such as magnet schools.
- 3. Local governments and school boards shall have the option to utilize tiered levels of service to allow time to achieve an adequate and desirable level of service as circumstances warrant.
- essential requirement for a concurrency system is a designation of the area within which the level of service will be measured when an application for a residential development permit is reviewed for school concurrency purposes. This delineation is also important for purposes of determining whether the local government has a financially feasible public school capital facilities program that will provide schools which will achieve and maintain the adopted level of service.
- 1. In order to balance competing interests, preserve the constitutional concept of uniformity, and avoid disruption of existing educational and growth management processes, local governments are encouraged to apply school concurrency to

development on a districtwide basis so that a concurrency determination for a specific development will be based upon the availability of school capacity districtwide.

- 2. For local governments establishing school concurrency service areas on a less-than-districtwide basis, such as school attendance zones, local governments and school boards shall have the burden to demonstrate that the utilization of school capacity is maximized to the greatest extent possible in the comprehensive plan amendment, taking into account transportation costs and court-approved desegregation plans, as well as other factors. In addition, in order to achieve concurrency within the service area boundaries selected by local governments and school boards, the service area boundaries, together with the standards for establishing those boundaries, shall be identified, included, and adopted as part of the comprehensive plan.
- 3. Where school capacity exists on a districtwide basis, but the adopted level of service standard may not be met in a particular service area as applied to an application for a development permit, the development order shall be issued and mitigation measures shall not be exacted if the needed capacity for the particular service area is available in one or more contiguous service areas as adopted by the local government.
- (d) Financial feasibility.--The Legislature recognizes that financial feasibility is an important issue because the premise of concurrency is that the public facilities will be provided in order to meet the adopted level of service. This part and chapter 9J-5, Florida Administrative Code, contain specific standards to determine the financial feasibility of capital programs. These standards were adopted to make

concurrency more predictable and local governments more accountable.

- 1. A comprehensive plan amendment seeking to impose school concurrency shall contain appropriate amendments to the capital improvements element of the comprehensive plan, consistent with the requirements of s. 163.3177(3) and rule 9J-5.016, Florida Administrative Code. The capital improvements element shall set forth a financially feasible public school capital facilities program, established in conjunction with the school board, that demonstrates that the adopted level of service standards will be achieved and maintained.
- 2. Such amendments shall demonstrate that the public school capital facilities program meets all of the financial feasibility standards of this part and chapter 9J-5, Florida Administrative Code, that apply to capital programs which provide the basis for mandatory concurrency on other public facilities and services.
- 3. When the financial feasibility of a public school capital facilities program is evaluated by the state land planning agency for purposes of a compliance determination, the evaluation shall be based upon the service areas selected by the local governments and school board.
- (e) Availability standard.--Consistent with the public welfare, public school facilities to serve new developments shall be in place or be under actual construction at least 3 years after issuance by the local government of a local development order authorizing the residential development.
  - (f) Intergovernmental coordination. --
- 30 1. When establishing concurrency requirements for31 public schools, a local government shall satisfy the

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

requirements for intergovernmental coordination set forth in 1 s. 163.3177(6)(h)1. and 2., except that a municipality is not 2 required to be a signatory to the interlocal agreement 3 4 required by s. 163.3177(6)(h)2. as a prerequisite for imposition of school concurrency, and as a nonsignatory shall 5 6 not participate in the adopted local school concurrency 7 system, if the municipality meets all of the following 8 criteria for having no significant impact on school 9 attendance:

- a. The municipality has issued development orders for fewer than 50 residential dwelling units during the preceding 5 years, or the municipality has generated fewer than 25 additional public school students during the preceding 5 years.
- b. The municipality has not annexed new land during the preceding 5 years in land use categories which permit residential uses that will affect school attendance rates.
- c. The municipality has no public schools located within its boundaries.
- d. At least 80 percent of the developable land within the boundaries of the municipality has been built upon.

2. A municipality which qualifies as having no

- significant impact on school attendance pursuant to the criteria of subparagraph 1. must review and determine at the time of its evaluation and appraisal report pursuant to s.

  163.3191 whether it continues to meet the criteria. If the municipality determines that it no longer meets the criteria, it must adopt appropriate school concurrency goals, objectives, and policies in its plan amendments based on the
- objectives, and policies in its plan amendments based on the
  evaluation and appraisal report, and enter into the existing
  interlocal agreement required by s. 163.3177(6)(h)2., in order

to fully participate in the school concurrency system. If such a municipality fails to do so, it will be subject to the enforcement provisions of s. 163.3191.

(13) The state land planning agency shall, by October 1, 1998, adopt by rule minimum criteria for the review and determination of compliance of a public school facilities element adopted by a local government for purposes of imposition of school concurrency. It shall also adopt by rule guidelines and criteria for the interlocal agreement required by s. 163.3177(6)(h)2. when serving as a prerequisite for imposition of school concurrency. Such minimum criteria and guidelines and criteria shall be consistent with this part and with the Proposed Consensus Text by the Department of Community Affairs Public School Construction Working Group, dated February 18, 1998.

Section 3. Paragraph (i) is added to subsection (2) of section 163.3191, Florida Statutes, to read:

163.3191 Evaluation and appraisal of comprehensive plan.--

- (2) The report shall present an assessment and evaluation of the success or failure of the comprehensive plan, or element or portion thereof, and shall contain appropriate statements (using words, maps, illustrations, or other forms) related to:
- (i) The coordination of the comprehensive plan with existing and recommended public schools as identified in the applicable 5-year school district facilities work program adopted pursuant to s. 235.185. The assessment shall address, where relevant, the success or failure of the coordination of the future land use map and associated planned residential development with existing and recommended schools and their

capacities, as well as the joint decisionmaking processes engaged in by the local government and the school board in regard to establishing appropriate population projections and the planning and siting of public school facilities. If the issues are not relevant, the local government shall demonstrate that they are not relevant.

Section 4. Subsection (5) is added to section 235.185, Florida Statutes, as created by chapter 97-384, Laws of Florida, to read:

235.185 School district facilities work program; definitions; preparation, adoption, and amendment; long-term work programs.--

the adopted district facilities work program covering the 5-year work program, the district school board shall adopt annually a 10-year and a 20-year work program which include the information set forth in subsection (2), but based upon enrollment projections and facility needs for the 10-year and 20-year periods. It is recognized that the projections in the 10-year and 20-year timeframes are tentative and should be used only for general planning purposes.

Section 5. Paragraph (a) of subsection (4) and paragraph (d) of subsection (5) of section 235.186, Florida Statutes, as created by chapter 97-384, Laws of Florida, are amended to read:

235.186 Effort index grants for school district facilities work program projects.--

(4) COMPUTATION OF BASIC DISTRICT CAPITAL OUTLAY EXPENDITURES ELIGIBLE FOR INCLUSION IN CALCULATION FOR EFFORT INDEX GRANTS.--

- (a) When reviewing a district facilities work program, the clearinghouse shall calculate the district's planned basic capital outlay expenditures that may be eligible for an effort index grant. For each district, this calculation shall consist of:
- 1. Expenditures for district capital outlay projects described in subsection (1).
- 2. Expenditures for debt service payments for outstanding capital outlay bonds sold to finance new construction, remodeling, renovation, or major repair of educational facilities.
- 3. Expenditures for scheduled payments on outstanding certificates of participation used to finance new construction, remodeling, renovation, or major repair of educational facilities.
- 4. Expenditures for long-term lease agreements between a district and a local government.
- 5. Expenditures for collocation of public school facilities with local government facilities, including expenditures avoided as a result of the collocation.
- 6. Expenditures for joint use of public school facilities with local government facilities, including expenditures avoided as a result of the joint use.

Expenditures relating to the replacement of relocatable classrooms that meet standards shall not qualify as expenditures eligible for inclusion in the calculation for effort index grants.

(5) ALLOCATION OF EFFORT INDEX GRANTS FOR DISTRICT FACILITIES.--

- (d) If legislative appropriations are insufficient to fully fund the eligible total statewide qualified effort index grants as calculated by the clearinghouse, priority consideration shall be given to providing effort index grants to those districts based upon:
- 1. The extent to which they have exceeded the district effort index in subsection (2);  $\frac{1}{2}$
- 2. The extent to which they have maximized their revenue generating potential from the district effort index in subsection (2) through the purchase of certificates of participation, the sale of bonds, or other appropriate long-term financing; and.
- 3. Whether the district has adopted a public school facilities element pursuant to s. 163.3177.

Section 6. Subsection (1) of section 235.19, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

235.19 Site planning and selection. --

shall determine the location of proposed educational centers or campuses for the board. In making this determination, the board shall consider existing and anticipated site needs and the most economical and practicable locations of sites. The board shall coordinate with the long-range or comprehensive plans of local, regional, and state governmental agencies to assure the compatibility of such plans with site planning.

Boards shall seek to locate schools proximate to urban residential areas to the extent possible, and shall seek to collocate schools with other public facilities, such as parks, libraries, and community centers, to the extent possible.

Section 7. Subsection (2) of section 235.193, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

1 235.193 Coordination of planning with local governing 2 bodies.--(2) A school board and the local governing body must 3 4 share and coordinate information related to existing and 5 planned public school facilities; proposals for development, 6 redevelopment, or additional development; and infrastructure 7 required to support the public school facilities, concurrent 8 with proposed development. A school board shall use Department 9 of Education enrollment projections when preparing the 5-year district facilities work program pursuant to s. 235.185, and a 10 11 school board shall affirmatively demonstrate in the 12 educational facilities report consideration of local 13 governments' population projections to ensure that the 5-year 14 work program not only reflects enrollment projections but also 15 considers applicable municipal and county growth and 16 development projections. A school board is precluded from siting a new school in a jurisdiction where the school board 17 has failed to provide the annual educational facilities report 18 19 for the prior year required pursuant to s. 235.194 unless the 20 failure is corrected and the school board receives written permission of the local government body to site such a school. 21 22 Section 8. Paragraph (a) of subsection (3), paragraph (b) of subsection (6), and subsection (7) of section 235.2155, 23 24 Florida Statutes, as amended by chapter 97-384, Laws of Florida, are amended to read: 25 26 235.2155 School Infrastructure Thrift Program Act.--27 (3) The SIT Program is designed as: 28 (a) An incentive program to reward districts for: 29 1. Savings realized through functional, frugal 30 construction.

31

- 2. Savings realized through the operation of charter schools in non-school-district facilities.
- 3. Savings realized through the capital outlay expenditure avoided by the district's use of long-term lease agreements with local governments.
- 4. Savings realized through the capital outlay expenditure avoided by the district's collocation of educational facilities with compatible local government facilities.
- 5. Savings realized through the capital outlay expenditure avoided by the district's joint use of facilities with local governments.

(6)

- (b) The SMART Schools Clearinghouse shall examine the supporting data from each school district, including evidence of coordination and cooperation by school boards with local governments in the planning and siting of educational facilities, and evidence of the submission of the educational facilities report required pursuant to s. 235.194, and shall report to the commissioner each district's eligibility pursuant to s. 235.216. Based on the clearinghouse's report and pursuant to ss. 235.217 and 235.218, the clearinghouse shall make recommendations, ranked in order of priority, for SIT Program awards. In prioritizing its recommendations, the clearinghouse shall favorably consider school districts in which local governments have adopted a public school facilities element.
- (7) Awards from the SIT Program shall be made by the commissioner from funds appropriated by the Legislature and may be used for any lawful capital outlay expenditure.

including required offsite infrastructure needs that are 1 2 generated by the development of educational facilities. Section 9. Until the minimum criteria for a public 3 4 school facilities element adopted by a local government for 5 purposes of imposition of school concurrency, and the 6 guidelines and criteria for the interlocal agreement required 7 by s. 163.3177(6)(h)2., Florida Statutes, when serving as a 8 prerequisite for imposition of school concurrency, have been 9 adopted by the state land planning agency and are in effect, the state land planning agency shall utilize the minimum 10 criteria and guidelines and criteria contained in the Proposed 11 12 Consensus Text by the Department of Community Affairs Public 13 School Construction Working Group, dated February 18, 1998, 14 for purposes of any compliance review of an adopted school 15 concurrency system. 16 Section 10. This act shall take effect July 1 of the 17 year in which enacted. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

## HOUSE SUMMARY

Requires that the future land use element of a local government's comprehensive plan include certain criteria relating to location of schools. Specifies the date by which such plans must comply and provides effect of noncompliance. Provides requirements with respect to the data and analyses on which a public school facilities element should be based. Provides for goals, objectives, and policies. Provides for a future conditions map and certain reciprocal agreements. Revises requirements for certain reciprocal agreements. Revises requirements for imposition of a school concurrency requirement by a local government and for the local government comprehensive plan or plan amendment to implement such requirement. Requires a public schools facilities element. Provides requirements for level of service standards. Provides requirements for designation of service areas. Provides requirements with respect to financial feasibility. Specifies an availability standard. Requires that intergovernmental coordination requirements be satisfied intergovernmental coordination requirements be satisfied and provides that certain municipalities are not required to be a signatory of the required interlocal agreement. Provides duties of such municipalities to evaluate their status and enter into the interlocal agreement when required, and provides effect of failure to do so. Directs the state land planning agency to adopt by rule minimum criteria for review and determination of compliance of a public schools facilities element and for the associated interlocal agreement. Provides that the local planning agency's periodic report on the comprehensive plan shall assess the coordination of the plan with public schools.

Directs school boards to adopt annually 10-year and 20-year work programs in addition to the required 5-year district facilities work program. Includes additional expenditures in a district's planned basic capital outlay expenditures that may be eligible for an effort index grant. Includes districts that have adopted a public school facilities element in districts to which priority consideration for such grants should be given under consideration for such grants should be given under certain circumstances. Provides a directive to school boards with respect to school location. Provides requirements for the 5-year district facilities work program with respect to enrollment and population projections. Precludes the siting of new schools in certain jurisdictions. Specifies additional savings by school districts which the SIT Program is designed to reward. Provides that the SMART Schools Clearinghouse shall examine data relating to educational facilities planning, and favorably consider districts where local governments have adopted a public school facilities element, in recommending SIT Program awards. Authorizes use of such awards for offsite infrastructure needs generated by development of educational facilities. consideration for such grants should be given under generated by development of educational facilities.

Provides for interim use of certain criteria and 21

```
guidelines by the state land planning agency in compliance review of a school concurrency system.
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
```