A bill to be entitled An act relating to local government planning; amending s. 163.3184, F.S., which provides procedures for adoption of a local government's comprehensive plan or plan amendment; revising the definition of "affected person"; removing a restriction on alleging a new issue as a reason to find a plan or plan amendment not in compliance in certain administrative pleadings; reenacting s. 163.3191(12)(f), F.S., relating to petitions by affected persons for review of the state land planning agency's action with respect to a written agreement with certain local governments regarding requirements for evaluation and appraisal of their comprehensive plans, and s. 163.3244(4) and (5)(a), F.S., relating to petitions by affected persons for review of a local government's compliance with an agreement designating it as a sustainable community or review of comprehensive plan amendments by a local government so designated, to incorporate the amendment to s. 163.3184, F.S., in references thereto; creating s. 163.3190, F.S.; prohibiting any ex parte communication to the Department of Community Affairs regarding a comprehensive plan or plan amendment under review by the department; providing duties of a departmental employee who receives such a communication; providing for rebuttal of such communication; providing a penalty; amending s. 235.193, F.S.; providing

requirements with respect to challenge by an affected person of a local government's determination of a proposed public educational facility's consistency with the local comprehensive plan and land development regulations under certain conditions; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Paragraph (a) of subsection (1) and paragraph (a) of subsection (10) of section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, 1996 Supplement, are amended to read:

163.3184 Process for adoption of comprehensive plan or plan amendment.--

- (1) DEFINITIONS. -- As used in this section:
- (a) "Affected person" includes the affected local government; persons owning property, residing, or owning or operating a business within the boundaries of the local government whose plan is the subject of the review; and adjoining local governments that can demonstrate that the plan or plan amendment will produce substantial impacts on the increased need for publicly funded infrastructure or substantial impacts on areas designated for protection or special treatment within their jurisdiction. Each person, other than an adjoining local government, in order to qualify under this definition, shall also have submitted oral or written comments, recommendations, or objections to the local government during the period of time beginning with the transmittal hearing for the plan or plan amendment and ending with the adoption of the plan or plan amendment.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

2425

26

27

28

2930

31

(10) PROCESS IF LOCAL PLAN OR AMENDMENT IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE.--

(a) If the state land planning agency issues a notice of intent to find the comprehensive plan or plan amendment not in compliance with this act, the notice of intent shall be forwarded to the Division of Administrative Hearings of the Department of Management Services, which shall conduct a proceeding under ss. 120.569 and 120.57 in the county of and convenient to the affected local jurisdiction. The parties to the proceeding shall be the state land planning agency, the affected local government, and any affected person who intervenes. No new issue may be alleged as a reason to find a plan or plan amendment not in compliance in an administrative pleading filed more than 21 days after publication of notice unless the party seeking that issue establishes good cause for not alleging the issue within that time period. Good cause shall not include excusable neglect. In the proceeding, the local government's determination that the comprehensive plan or plan amendment is in compliance is presumed to be correct. The local government's determination shall be sustained unless it is shown by a preponderance of the evidence that the comprehensive plan or plan amendment is not in compliance. The local government's determination that elements of its plans are related to and consistent with each other shall be sustained if the determination is fairly debatable.

Section 2. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment to section 163.3184(1)(a), Florida Statutes, 1996 Supplement, in a reference thereto, paragraph (f) of subsection (12) of section 163.3191, Florida Statutes, 1996 Supplement, is reenacted to read:

163.3191 Evaluation and appraisal of comprehensive plan.--

(12)

(f) The state land planning agency's decision to grant, modify, or terminate a written agreement on local planning requirements authorized by this subsection shall be subject to a formal administrative hearing pursuant to ss. 120.569 and 120.57(1) upon petition by an affected person as defined in s. 163.3184(1).

Section 3. For the purpose of incorporating the amendment to section 163.3184(1)(a), Florida Statutes, 1996 Supplement, in references thereto, subsection (4) and paragraph (a) of subsection (5) of section 163.3244, Florida Statutes, 1996 Supplement, are reenacted to read:

163.3244 Sustainable communities demonstration project.--

(4) The department shall designate all or part of a local government as a sustainable community by written agreement, which shall be considered final agency action. The agreement shall include the basis for the designation, any conditions necessary to comply with the intent of this section, including procedures for mitigation of extrajurisdictional impacts of development in jurisdictions where developments of regional impact would be abolished or modified, and criteria for evaluating the success of the designation. Subsequent to executing the agreement, the department may remove the local government's designation if it determines that the local government is not meeting the terms of the designation agreement. If an affected person, as defined by s. 163.3184(1)(a), determines that a local government is not complying with the terms of the designation

4 5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

agreement, he or she may petition for administrative review of local government compliance with the terms of the agreement, using the procedures and timeframes for notice and conditions precedent described in s. 163.3213.

- (5) Upon designation as a sustainable community, the local government shall receive the following benefits:
- (a) All comprehensive plan amendments affecting areas within the urban growth boundary or functional equivalent shall be adopted and reviewed in the manner described in ss. 163.3184(1), (2), (7), (14), (15), and (16) and 163.3187, such that state and regional agency review is eliminated. department shall not issue an objections, recommendations, and comments report on proposed plan amendments or a notice of intent on adopted plan amendments; however, affected persons, as defined by s. 163.3184(1)(a), may file a petition for administrative review pursuant to the requirements of s. 163.3187(3)(a) to challenge the compliance of an adopted plan amendment. Plan amendments that would change the adopted urban development boundary, impact lands outside the urban development boundary, or impact lands within the coastal high-hazard area shall be reviewed pursuant to ss. 163.3184 and 163.3187.

Section 4. Section 163.3190, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

163.3190 Ex parte communications. --

(1) No ex parte communication relative to the merits or consistency of a plan or plan amendment shall be made to the department during the review of a plan or plan amendment by any person who, directly or indirectly, would have a substantial interest in the proposed agency action, or by the person's authorized representative or counsel.

- (2) An employee of the department who is involved in the decisional process involving a plan or plan amendment and who receives an ex parte communication in violation of this section shall place on the record of the pending matter all written communications received, all written responses to such communications, and a memorandum stating the substance of all oral communications received and all oral responses made, and shall also advise all parties that such matters have been placed on the record. Any party desiring to rebut the ex parte communications shall be allowed to do so, if such party requests the opportunity for rebuttal within 10 days after notice of such communication. The employee may, if necessary to eliminate the effect of an ex parte communication, withdraw from the decisional process.
- (3) Any person who makes an ex parte communication prohibited by this section, and any department employee who fails to place in the record any such communication, violates this section and may be assessed a civil penalty not to exceed \$500.

Section 5. Subsection (5) of section 235.193, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

235.193 Coordination of planning with local governing bodies.--

(5) As early in the design phase as feasible, but at least before commencing construction of a new public educational facility, the local governing body that regulates the use of land shall determine, in writing within 90 days after receiving the necessary information and a school board's request for a determination, whether a proposed public educational facility is consistent with the local comprehensive plan and local land development regulations, to

```
1
    the extent that the regulations are not in conflict with or
    the subject regulated is not specifically addressed by this
3
    chapter or the State Uniform Building Code, unless mutually
    agreed. If the determination is affirmative, school
4
5
    construction may proceed and further local government
6
   approvals are not required, except as provided in this
7
    section. Failure of the local governing body to make a
    determination in writing within 90 days after a school board's
8
   request for a determination of consistency shall be considered
    an approval of the school board's application. If the local
10
    government and the school board have adopted joint procedures
11
    for school siting, any affected person, as defined by s.
12
13
    163.3184, who challenges the local government's determination
    of consistency must have submitted oral or written comments,
14
15
    recommendations, or objections to the local government during
    the period of time beginning with the transmittal of the
16
17
   necessary information and the school board's request for a
18
    determination, and ending with the issuance of the
19
    determination by the local government.
20
           Section 6. This act shall take effect October 1, 1997.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
```

HOUSE SUMMARY Revises the definition of "affected person" for purposes of provisions which provide procedures for adoption of a local government's comprehensive plan or plan amendment. Removes a restriction on alleging a new issue as a reason to find a plan or plan amendment not in compliance in certain administrative pleadings. Prohibits any ex parte communication to the Department of Community Affairs regarding a comprehensive plan or plan amendment under review by the department. Provides duties of a departmental employee who receives such a communication. Provides for rebuttal of such communication. Provides a penalty. Provides requirements with respect to challenge by an affected person of a local government's determination of a proposed public educational facility's consistency with the local comprehensive plan and land development regulations when the local government and the school board have adopted joint procedures for school siting. 2.6