DATE: March 18, 1999

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON REGULATED SERVICES ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1461

RELATING TO: Public Records

SPONSOR(S): Representative Bitner

COMPANION BILL(S): SB 2178 by Senator Silver

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) REGULATED SÉRVICES YEAS 8 NAYS 0

(2) GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS

GENERAL GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATIONS

(3) (4) (5)

I. SUMMARY:

HB 1461 provides a public records exemption for certain information obtained by the Department of the Lottery in connection with the establishment and operation of video lottery games. These exemptions include: trade secrets; security measures, systems, procedures and reports; information concerning bids and other contractual data; specified personal employee information; personal information concerning winners and investigative matters.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state revenue.

The bill provides a public necessity statement for the exemptions, as is required by Article 1, Section 24 of the State Constitution.

The bill will take effect on the same date as its companion measure, HB 1453.

There is one technical amendment traveling with the bill.

DATE: March 18, 1999

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

Public Records Law

Article I, section 24(a), Florida Constitution, expresses Florida's public policy regarding access to government records. This section provides that:

[e]very person has the right to inspect or copy any public records made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

Article I, section 24, Florida Constitution, also provides that the Legislature may, by general law, exempt public records from the requirements of section 24(a). Such a general law exempting records from public disclosure must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and can be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.

Public policy regarding access to government records is also addressed in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07, F.S., provides:

Every person who has custody of a public record shall permit the record to be inspected and examined by any person desiring to do so, at a reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record or the custodian's designee.

Section 119.15, F.S., the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995, states that an exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and may be no broader than necessary to meet that public purpose. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of the following purposes, and the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and that such purpose cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- 1. Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;
- 2. Protects information of a sensitive personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which information would be defamatory to such individuals or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals or would jeopardize the safety of such individuals. However, in exemptions under this subparagraph, only information that would identify the individuals may be exempted; or
- 3. Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information which is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which information would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.

Chapter 119.011, F.S., gives an expansive definition to the term "public record," meaning, "all documents, papers, letters, maps, books, tapes, photographs, films, sound recordings, data processing software, or other material, regardless of the physical form, characteristics, or means of transmission, made or received pursuant to law or ordinance or in connection with the transaction of official business by any agency."

DATE: March 18, 1999

PAGE 3

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

HB 1461 creates s. 24.1126, F.S., and provides a public records exemption for certain information obtained by the Department of the Lottery in connection with the establishment and operation of video lottery games.

Subsection (1) exempts trade secrets; security measures, systems or procedures; security reports; information concerning bids or other contractual data; certain employee personnel information; and information obtained by the Division of Security pursuant to its investigations which is otherwise confidential.

Subsection (2) exempts the street address and telephone number of any winner of a video lottery game unless the winner consents to the release of the information.

Subsection (3) requires the disclosure of certain of this exempt information to the Auditor General, Legislative leaders and other designated persons.

Subsection (4) makes all exemptions subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995 and will be repealed on October 2, 2004, unless reviewed and saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.

The bill provides a public necessity statement for the exemptions, as is required by Article 1, Section 24, Florida Constitution. This statement provides that:

- these exemptions are necessary to enable the Department of the Lottery to effectively and efficiently administer the establishment and operation of video lottery games;
- if trade secrets and other sensitive business information were subject to disclosure, businesses
 providing video lottery equipment and services could be significantly damaged in the marketplace
 and such businesses would hesitate to cooperate with the department;
- if information about the security of video lottery games were subject to disclosure, the integrity of the lottery system could be breached, resulting in the erosion of the public's confidence in the lottery, reduced participation and reduced revenue; and
- if identifying information about the winner of a video lottery game were disclosed without the winner's consent, the winner could be subject to unwanted publicity and harassment, possibly with criminal intent.

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

- 1. <u>Less Government:</u>
 - a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:
 - (1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

N/A

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

This bill would require the Department of the Lottery to restrict access to public records obtained by the department in connection with the establishment and operation of video lottery games. Public records exemptions are already in place for other lottery game information.

DATE: March 18, 1999

PAGE 4

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

N/A

- b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:
 - (1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

N/A

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

N/A

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

N/A

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

N/A

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

N/A

3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

The bill would restrict public access to certain information relating to the operation of video lottery gaming.

b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

N/A

4. Individual Freedom:

a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

DATE: March 18, 1999

PAGE 5

Yes, if trade secrets and other sensitive business information were subject to disclosure, businesses providing video lottery equipment and services to the department could be significantly damaged in the marketplace.

Additionally, if identifying information about the winner of a video lottery game were disclosed that individual could be subjected to unwanted publicity and harassment, possibly with criminal intent.

b. Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?

N/A

5. Family Empowerment:

a. If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:

This bill does not purport to provide services to families or children.

(1) Who evaluates the family's needs?

N/A

(2) Who makes the decisions?

N/A

(3) Are private alternatives permitted?

N/A

(4) Are families required to participate in a program?

N/A

(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?

N/A

b. Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?

N/A

c. If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:

This bill would not create or change a program providing services to families or children.

(1) parents and guardians?

N/A

(2) service providers?

N/A

(3) government employees/agencies?

N/A

DATE: March 18, 1999

PAGE 6

D. STATUTE(S) AFFECTED:

Creates s. 24.1126, Florida Statutes.

E. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

None.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:
 - 1. Non-recurring Effects:

N/A

2. Recurring Effects:

N/A

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

N/A

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

N/A

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:
 - 1. Non-recurring Effects:

N/A

2. Recurring Effects:

N/A

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

N/A

- C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:
 - 1. <u>Direct Private Sector Costs</u>:

N/A

2. Direct Private Sector Benefits:

N/A

3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:

This bill protects private enterprise businesses by restricting public access to data which could contain trade secrets and other sensitive business information.

E: E 7	March 18, 1999	
D.	FISCAL COMMENTS:	
	N/A	
CO	NSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 1	8 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:
A.	APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROV	/ISION:
	This bill does not require counties or municip expenditure of funds.	valities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the
В.	REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTH	IORITY:
	This bill does not reduce the authority that maggregate.	unicipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the
C.	REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WIT	H COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:
	This bill does not reduce the percentage of s	tate tax shared with counties or municipalities.
CO	MMENTS:	
N/A		
<u>AM</u>	ENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:	
ame	endment inserts the bill number, HB 1453 th	mittee on Regulated Services on March 17, 1999. The main implementing legislation which must also be
SIG	NATURES:	
		Staff Director:
-	Janet Clark Morris	Paul Liepshutz
	E 7 D. CO A. B. C. AM A teamer addo SIG CO	D. FISCAL COMMENTS: N/A CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 1 A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVING This bill does not require counties or municipexpenditure of funds. B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORISM This bill does not reduce the authority that maggregate. C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITTH This bill does not reduce the percentage of state of the percentage of state of the percentage of the commentary of