1 2 3

4

6 7

5

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

20

21

22 23 24

26 27

25

28

29 30

31

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to child passenger restraint; amending s. 316.613, F.S.; deleting the provision that failure to provide and use a child passenger restraint is not considered comparative negligence and that such failure is not admissible as evidence in a civil action for negligence; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 316.613, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

316.613 Child restraint requirements.--

- (1)(a) Every operator of a motor vehicle as defined herein, while transporting a child in a motor vehicle operated on the roadways, streets, or highways of this state, shall, if the child is 5 years of age or younger, provide for protection of the child by properly using a crash-tested, federally approved child restraint device. For children aged through 3 years, such restraint device must be a separate carrier or a vehicle manufacturer's integrated child seat. For children aged 4 through 5 years, a separate carrier, an integrated child seat, or a seat belt may be used.
- (b) The Division of Motor Vehicles shall provide notice of the requirement for child restraint devices, which notice shall accompany the delivery of each motor vehicle license tag.
- (2) As used in this section, the term "motor vehicle" means a motor vehicle as defined in s. 316.003 that is

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

operated on the roadways, streets, and highways of the state. The term does not include:

- (a) A school bus as defined in s. 316.003(45).
- (b) A bus used for the transportation of persons for compensation, other than a bus regularly used to transport children to or from school, as defined in s. 316.615(1)(b), or in conjunction with school activities.
 - (c) A farm tractor or implement of husbandry.
 - (d) A truck of net weight of more than 5,000 pounds.
 - (e) A motorcycle, moped, or bicycle.
- (3) The failure to provide and use a child passenger restraint shall not be considered comparative negligence, nor shall such failure be admissible as evidence in the trial of any civil action with regard to negligence.
- (3) $\frac{(4)}{(4)}$ It is the legislative intent that all state, county, and local law enforcement agencies, and safety councils, in recognition of the problems with child death and injury from unrestrained occupancy in motor vehicles, conduct a continuing safety and public awareness campaign as to the magnitude of the problem.
- (4) (4) Any person who violates the provisions of this section commits a moving violation, punishable as provided in chapter 318 and shall have 3 points assessed against his or her driver's license as set forth in s. 322.27. In lieu of the penalty specified in s. 318.18 and the assessment of points, a person who violates the provisions of this section may elect, with the court's approval, to participate in a child restraint safety program approved by the chief judge of the circuit in which the violation occurs, and upon completing such program, the penalty specified in chapter 318 and associated costs may 31 be waived at the court's discretion and the assessment of

points shall be waived. The child restraint safety program must use a course approved by the Department of Children and Family Health and Rehabilitative Services, and the fee for the course must bear a reasonable relationship to the cost of providing the course.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 1999.

SENATE SUMMARY

Deletes the provision that the failure to provide and use a child passenger restraint is not considered comparative negligence and that such failure is not admissible as evidence in a civil trial for negligence.