28-1199A-99

1

3 4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

23

24

25

2627

2.8

2930

31

A bill to be entitled An act relating to criminal appeals and collateral review; amending s. 924.051, F.S.; providing standards of evidence for the appellate court for purposes of reviewing a claim of insufficient evidence; prohibiting the appellate court from reversing a conviction on the ground of insufficiency of evidence under certain circumstances; providing standards of review for the Supreme Court in determining whether harmless error was committed on appeal; prohibiting the court from presuming that error affected the defendant's substantial rights; providing certain limitations on filing successive motions for collateral relief or other postconviction relief; limiting circumstances under which a postconviction proceeding may be stayed based upon a claim that the defendant has certain mental deficiencies; requiring that the state be notified of defense witnesses; providing that a judgment under appeal or collateral review may be used to enhance or reclassify other sentences or dispositions; amending s. 924.055, F.S., relating to time limitations for postconviction proceedings in capital cases; providing that an improperly filed postconviction motion or petition does not toll the time limitations; providing for severability; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 924.051, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

924.051 Terms and conditions of appeals and collateral review in criminal cases.--

(1) As used in this section:

(a) "Prejudicial error" means an error in the trial court that harmfully affected the judgment or sentence.

 (b) "Preserved" means that an issue, legal argument, or objection to evidence was timely raised before, and ruled on by, the trial court, and that the issue, legal argument, or objection to evidence was sufficiently precise that it fairly apprised the trial court of the relief sought and the grounds

therefor.

(2) The right to direct appeal and the provisions for collateral review created in this chapter may only be implemented in strict accordance with the terms and conditions of this section.

(3) An appeal may not be taken from a judgment or order of a trial court unless a prejudicial error is alleged and is properly preserved or, if not properly preserved, would constitute fundamental error. A judgment or sentence may be reversed on appeal only when an appellate court determines after a review of the complete record that prejudicial error occurred and was properly preserved in the trial court or, if not properly preserved, would constitute fundamental error.

 (4) When reviewing a claim that the evidence is insufficient to support the conviction, the appellate court must view the evidence in the light most favorable to the state, give the state the benefit of all inferences that

logically may be drawn from the evidence, and sustain the conviction if it is supported by competent substantial evidence. A conviction may be based in whole or in part on circumstantial evidence. The evidence need not exclude every reasonable hypothesis except guilt. The appellate court may not reverse the judgment of conviction on the ground of insufficiency of the evidence unless a rational trier of fact could not have found the essential elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt.

(5)(a)(4) If a defendant pleads nolo contendere without expressly reserving the right to appeal a legally dispositive issue, or if a defendant pleads guilty without expressly reserving the right to appeal a legally dispositive issue, the defendant may not appeal the judgment or sentence.

(b) A judgment in a capital case may not be reversed unless the Supreme Court is of the opinion, after an examination of the entire appellate record, that error was committed that so injuriously affected the substantial rights of the defendant that the error is not harmless. The Supreme Court may not presume that error injuriously affected the substantial rights of the defendant.

 $\underline{(6)}$ (5) Collateral relief is not available on grounds that were or could have been raised at trial and, if properly preserved, on direct appeal of the conviction and sentence.

(7)(6)(a) In a noncapital case, a petition or motion for collateral or other postconviction relief may not be considered if it is filed more than 2 years after the judgment and sentence became final, unless the petition or motion alleges that:

- 1. The facts upon which the claim is predicated were unknown to the petitioner or his or her attorney and could not have been ascertained by the exercise of due diligence;
- 2. The fundamental constitutional right asserted was not established within the period provided for in this subsection and has been held to apply retroactively; or
- 3. The sentence imposed was illegal because it either exceeded the maximum or fell below the minimum authorized by statute for the criminal offense at issue. Either the state or the defendant may petition the trial court to vacate an illegal sentence at any time.
- (b) In a capital case in which the sentence of death has been imposed:
- 1. A motion for collateral or other postconviction relief may not be considered if the motion is filed more than 1 year after the judgment and sentence became final, unless the facts upon which the claim is predicated were unknown to the petitioner or his or her attorney and could not have been ascertained by the exercise of due diligence, or the fundamental constitutional right asserted was not established within the period provided for in this subsection and has been held to apply retroactively.
- 2. A successive motion for collateral relief or other postconviction relief may not be considered unless the conditions set forth in subparagraph 1. are satisfied and the facts underlying the claim, if proven and viewed in light of the evidence as a whole, are sufficient to establish by clear and convincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, a reasonable factfinder would not have found the defendant guilty of the underlying offense.

 $\underline{3.2.}$ An expert witness may not be called to testify unless approved by the court.

- (8)(7) In a direct appeal or a collateral proceeding, the party challenging the judgment or order of the trial court has the burden of demonstrating that a prejudicial error occurred in the trial court. A conviction or sentence may not be reversed absent an express finding that a prejudicial error occurred in the trial court.
- (9) A postconviction proceeding under this chapter may not be stayed based upon a claim that the defendant is mentally ill, mentally deficient, mentally incompetent, or insane, unless such stay is issued under s. 922.07.
- (10) Upon demand by the state in a collateral proceeding, the defendant must timely provide the state copies of all documents to be introduced into evidence by the defense and the names and addresses of all defense witnesses in the collateral proceeding.
- (11) A trial court judgment in a criminal case or juvenile delinquency case is final until overturned on appeal or collateral review. A judgment that is being appealed or that is under collateral review must be treated as final and may be used to enhance or reclassify any other conviction, sentence, adjudication, or disposition, including, but not limited to, the following uses:
 - (a) In computing a sentence under chapter 921;
 - (b) As an aggravating circumstance;
- (c) As grounds for revoking probation or community control; and
- (d) As a predicate conviction for purposes of imposing a penalty provided for habitual offenders or repeat offenders or for imposing another enhanced or reclassified sentence.

(12)(8) It is the intent of the Legislature that all terms and conditions of direct appeal and collateral review be strictly enforced, including the application of procedural bars, to ensure that all claims of error are raised and resolved at the first opportunity. It is also the Legislature's intent that all procedural bars to direct appeal and collateral review be fully enforced by the courts of this state.

(13)(9) Funds, resources, or employees of this state or its political subdivisions may not be used, directly or indirectly, in appellate or collateral proceedings unless the use is constitutionally or statutorily mandated.

Section 2. Section 924.055, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

924.055 Time limitations for postconviction proceedings in capital cases.--

- (1) The Legislature recognizes that unjustified delay in postconviction proceedings in capital cases frustrates justice and diminishes public confidence in the criminal justice system. It is the intent of the Legislature that postconviction proceedings in capital cases progress in a fair but timely fashion and that, absent extreme circumstances, the participants in such proceedings abide by the time limitations set forth in this section.
- (2) Within 1 year after the date the Supreme Court issues a mandate on a direct appeal or the United States Supreme Court denies a petition for certiorari, whichever is later, all postconviction motions and petitions that challenge the judgment, sentence, or appellate decision must be properly filed in the appropriate court. As used in this section, the term "properly filed" means that a postconviction motion or

petition is complete, is accompanied by sworn affidavits substantiating any factual allegations therein, and is not subject to amendment. A postconviction motion or petition that is not properly filed does not toll the time limitations in this section.

- (3) Within 90 days after the date the state files a response to a postconviction motion that challenges the judgment or sentence, the circuit court shall conduct all necessary hearings and render a decision.
- (4) Within 200 days after the date a notice is filed appealing an order of the trial court or an extraordinary writ is filed in a postconviction proceeding, the Supreme Court shall render a decision.
- (5) A convicted person must file any petition for habeas corpus in the district court of the United States within 90 days after the date the Supreme Court issues a mandate in a postconviction proceeding.

Section 3. If any provision of this act or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of the act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and to this end the provisions of this act are declared severable.

Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 1999.

SENATE SUMMARY Revises various standards of evidence and grounds for appeal in criminal cases. Specifies standards under which the appellate court is required to sustain a conviction. Provides standards of review for the Supreme Court in determining whether harmless error was committed on appeal. Limits circumstances under which a defendant may file a successive motion for collateral relief or other postconviction relief. Limits circumstances under which a postconviction proceeding may be stayed based upon a claim that the defendant has certain mental deficiencies. Authorizes the court to use a judgment under appeal or collateral review to enhance or reclassify another sentence or disposition. Provides that an improperly filed postconviction motion or petition does not toll certain time limitations in a capital case. (See bill for details.) details.)