

SENATE STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based only on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

BILL: SB 1974

SPONSOR: Diaz-Balart

SUBJECT: Building designation

DATE: March 12, 1999

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	<u>Johnson</u>	<u>Johnson</u>	<u>JU</u>	<u>Favorable</u>
2.	_____	_____	<u>GO</u>	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____

I. Summary:

The bill provides that the courthouse for the Third District Court of Appeal in Miami be designated as the "Thomas H. Barkdull, Jr., District Courthouse." The Third District Court of Appeal is authorized to erect suitable markers.

II. Present Situation:

The Third District Court of Appeal has its courthouse in Miami, Florida and it is not presently named. Judge Thomas H. Barkdull served as Judge of that court from 1961 through 1996, serving as Chief Judge from 1963 through 1965 and 1972 through 1977, and as Acting Chief Judge in 1980. He currently resides in Tallahassee.

Presently, s. 267.062, F.S., states that no state building, road, bridge, park, recreational complex, or other similar facility is to be named for any living person, except as specifically provided by law.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill would designate the Third District Court of Appeals Courthouse as the "Thomas H. Barkdull, Jr., District Courthouse." Funds required to erect suitable markers indicating this designation can be provided for within the current operating budget of the Third District Court of Appeal. No additional State appropriation is required.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

V. Economic Impact and Fiscal Note:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Funds required to erect suitable markers indicating this designation can be provided for within the current operating budget of the Third District Court of Appeal. No additional State appropriation is required.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Amendments:

None.