31

An act implementing the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act; providing legislative intent; providing that specified funds are to be allocated based on equity and are not subject to the provisions of s. 394.908, F.S.; amending s. 409.9115, F.S.; specifying how the Agency for Health Care Administration shall make payments for the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health hospitals; requiring the Agency for Health Care Administration to use a specified disproportionate share formula, specified audited financial data, and a specified Medicaid per diem rate in fiscal year 1999-2000 for qualifying hospitals; amending s. 409.9116, F.S.; providing a formula for rural hospital disproportionate share payments; amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Children and Family Services and the Department of Health to advance certain moneys for certain contract services; directing the Agency for Health Care Administration to include health maintenance organization recipients in the county billing for a specified purpose; authorizing the Departments of Children and Family Services, Revenue, Labor and Employment Security, and Health and the Agency for Health Care Administration to transfer positions and funds to comply with the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act or the WAGES Act; amending

31

s. 402.3015, F.S.; providing eligibility guidelines for subsidized child care; amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Children and Family Services to use certain funds for fixed capital outlay expenditures to meet certain federal standards; requiring the Agency for Health Care Administration to take necessary actions to ensure that expenditures for Medicaid transportation do not exceed the amount budgeted and to take certain steps if that becomes impossible; amending s. 39.3065, F.S.; providing for the Broward County Sheriff to provide child protective investigative services; requiring Healthy Families Florida service providers to furnish participants with certain disclaimers and documentation; prohibiting disclosure of certain records by such providers; providing for disposal of records after a specified period; amending s. 409.912, F.S.; exempting health centers meeting certain criteria from licensure requirements; amending s. 409.912, F.S.; providing additional responsibilities of the Agency for Health Care Administration in fostering cost-effective purchasing of health care; amending s. 287.084, F.S.; allowing consideration of certain vendors in a request for proposals relating to telemedicine by the Glades School District; declaring legislative intent to evaluate the Medicaid county ceiling and reimbursement rate caps for certain hospitals; requiring a report;

amending s. 216.181, F.S.; authorizing the Department of Law Enforcement to transfer some positions and associated budget and a certain percentage of salary rate between budget entities and providing requirements with respect thereto; providing that billing agent consulting services related to certain Medicaid provider agreements not be considered billing agent services; requiring the Agency for Health Care Administration to develop a reimbursement schedule; authorizing the Department of Law Enforcement to use certain moneys to provide meritorious-performance bonuses for employees, subject to approval; authorizing the Correctional Privatization Commission and the Department of Juvenile Justice to make certain expenditures to defray costs incurred by a municipality or county as a result of opening a facility of the commission or the department; amending s. 212.20, F.S.; providing for use of moneys allocated to the Solid Waste Management Trust Fund; providing for certain counties to use moneys received for aquatic weed control for recycling purposes; amending s. 403.7095, F.S.; revising the expiration date of the solid waste management grant program; requiring a specified level of funding for counties receiving solid waste management and recycling grants; providing for allocation of funds for innovative programs to address recycling practices and procedures; amending s. 110.1239,

F.S.; providing requirements for the funding of the state group health insurance program; amending s. 373.59, F.S.; requiring release of certain moneys by the Secretary of Environmental Protection to water management districts, upon request; authorizing the Administration Commission to approve exceptions to state personnel, payroll, and benefit rules, policies, and practices and exemptions from certain statutory provisions relating to state employees for a specified pilot project; amending s. 259.032, F.S.; authorizing the appropriation of certain funds in the Conservation and Recreation Lands Trust Fund for outdoor recreation grants; amending s. 86, ch. 93-213, Laws of Florida, as amended; deferring repayment requirements for certain funding provided to the state NPDES program; amending s. 110.205, F.S.; providing additional exemptions from the Career Service System for personnel in the Office of the Governor; providing for employment rights and benefits of pari-mutuel laboratory employees under certain circumstances; amending s. 287.161, F.S.; requiring the Department of Management Services to charge all persons receiving transportation from the executive aircraft pool a specified rate; providing for deposit and use of such fees; amending s. 259.032, F.S.; providing for the use of Conservation and Recreation Lands funds to manage additional lands; providing a

1 limitation on state agency land management 2 allocation; amending s. 403.1826, F.S.; 3 providing authority of the Department of 4 Environmental Protection to waive requirements 5 related to water pollution control and sewage 6 treatment grants; authorizing the Department of 7 Agriculture and Consumer Services to use certain funds for expenses associated with its 8 9 administrative and regulatory powers and duties; requiring the transfer of described 10 real property from the Board of Trustees of the 11 12 Internal Improvement Trust Fund to the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services 13 14 for sale by it; amending s. 110.12315, F.S.; 15 prescribing copayment amounts for prescriptions for state employees; prescribing required 16 17 premiums and copayments for the state and 18 members of the state group insurance program; 19 amending s. 15.09, F.S.; authorizing the 20 appropriation of funds from the Public Access 21 Data Systems Trust Fund for the operations of 22 the Department of State; amending s. 253.034, 23 F.S.; authorizing the Department of Transportation to sell certain property used by 24 25 the Department of Highway Safety and Motor 26 Vehicles; amending s. 334.0445, F.S.; revising the expiration date for the model career 27 28 service classification and compensation plan; 29 relieving the City of Milton of certain obligations with respect to designated road 30 construction projects; amending s. 216.181, 31

1 F.S.; authorizing the Department of 2 Transportation to transfer salary rate to the 3 turnpike budget entity to facilitate 4 transferring personnel to the turnpike 5 headquarters facility in Orange County; 6 providing for allocation of moneys provided for 7 workforce development and providing for budget amendment when a program is moved; providing 8 9 for future repeal of various provisions; authorizing the Governor to use certain funds 10 to complete infrastructure projects for job 11 12 retention; amending s. 240.3341, F.S.; authorizing community colleges to lease their 13 14 incubator facilities for small business concerns; amending s. 240.2605, F.S.; requiring 15 the Board of Regents to rank certain donations; 16 17 requiring presidents of universities in the 18 State University System to provide lists of 19 certain donations; requiring the Board of 20 Regents to rank such donations; authorizing use 21 of certain funds to match specified projects at 22 USF; authorizing the use of certain funds to 23 accomplish 5-year updates of campus master plans; amending s. 235.014, F.S.; providing 24 25 guidance for the Commissioner of Education in 26 preparation of the fixed capital outlay budget request; providing effect of veto of specific 27 28 appropriation or proviso to which implementing 29 language refers; providing applicability to other legislation; providing performance 30 measures and standards for individual programs 31

```
within state agencies; providing that the
1
2
          performance measures and standards are directly
           linked to the appropriations made in the
3
4
           1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, as
5
           required by the Government Performance and
           Accountability Act of 1994; providing
6
7
           severability; providing an effective date.
8
9
    Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
10
11
           Section 1. It is the intent of the Legislature that
12
    the implementing and administering provisions of this act
13
    apply to the General Appropriations Act for fiscal year
14
    1999-2000.
15
           Section 2. In order to implement Specific
16
   Appropriations 345 through 356C of the 1999-2000 General
17
    Appropriations Act, and notwithstanding section 394.908,
    Florida Statutes, all funds in excess of Fiscal Year 1998-1999
18
19
    appropriations are to be allocated based on equity except
20
    those programs and funds specifically identified in clarifying
    language in the General Appropriations Act. No district shall
21
    receive an allocation of recurring funds that is less than its
22
23
    initial approved operating budget plus any distributions of
    lump sums for the state Fiscal Year 1998-1999.
24
25
           Section 3. In order to implement Specific
26
    Appropriation 268 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
27
    subsection (3) of section 409.9115, Florida Statutes, 1998
    Supplement, is amended to read:
28
29
           409.9115 Disproportionate share program for mental
30
   health hospitals. -- The Agency for Health Care Administration
31
    shall design and implement a system of making mental health
```

disproportionate share payments to hospitals that qualify for disproportionate share payments under s. 409.911. This system of payments shall conform with federal requirements and shall distribute funds in each fiscal year for which an appropriation is made by making quarterly Medicaid payments. Notwithstanding s. 409.915, counties are exempt from contributing toward the cost of this special reimbursement for patients.

(3) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Agency for Health Care Administration shall make payments for the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health hospitals on a monthly basis. If the amounts appropriated for the Medicaid disproportionate share program for mental health hospitals are increased or decreased during the fiscal year pursuant to the requirements of chapter 216, the required adjustment shall be prorated over the remaining payment periods. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 4. During the 1999-2000 fiscal year, the

Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the 1992-1993

disproportionate share formula, the 1989 audited financial

data, and the Medicaid per diem rate as of January 1, 1992,

for those hospitals that qualify for the hospital

disproportionate share program funded in Specific

Appropriation 243 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act.

This section is repealed on July 1, 2000.

Section 5. In order to implement Specific
Appropriation 236 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
subsection (6) of section 409.9116, Florida Statutes, 1998
Supplement, is amended to read:

409.9116 Disproportionate share/financial assistance program for rural hospitals.--In addition to the payments made

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

2526

2728

29

under s. 409.911, the Agency for Health Care Administration shall administer a federally matched disproportionate share program and a state-funded financial assistance program for statutory rural hospitals. The agency shall make disproportionate share payments to statutory rural hospitals that qualify for such payments and financial assistance payments to statutory rural hospitals that do not qualify for disproportionate share payments. The disproportionate share program payments shall be limited by and conform with federal requirements. In fiscal year 1993-1994, available funds shall be distributed in one payment, as soon as practicable after the effective date of this act. In subsequent fiscal years, funds shall be distributed quarterly in each fiscal year for which an appropriation is made. Notwithstanding the provisions of s. 409.915, counties are exempt from contributing toward the cost of this special reimbursement for hospitals serving a disproportionate share of low-income patients.

- (6) For the $\underline{1999-2000}$ $\underline{1998-1999}$ fiscal year only, the Agency for Health Care Administration shall use the following formula for distribution of the funds in Specific Appropriation $\underline{236}$ $\underline{240}$ of the $\underline{1999-2000}$ $\underline{1998-1999}$ General Appropriations Act for the disproportionate share/financial assistance program for rural hospitals.
- (a) The agency shall first determine a preliminary payment amount for each rural hospital by allocating all available state funds using the following formula:

 $PDAER = (TAERH \times TARH)/STAERH$

30 Where:

31

1	PDAER = preliminary distribution amount for each rural
2	hospital.
3	TAERH = total amount earned by each rural hospital.
4	TARH = total amount appropriated or distributed under
5	this section.
6	STAERH = sum of total amount earned by each rural
7	hospital.
8	(b) Federal matching funds for the disproportionate
9	share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals
LO	that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (a).
L1	(c) The state-funds-only payment amount is then
L2	calculated for each hospital using the formula:
L3	
L4	SFOER = Maximum value of (1) SFOL - PDAER or (2) 0
L5	
L6	Where:
L7	SFOER = state-funds-only payment amount for each rural
L8	hospital.
L9	SFOL = state-funds-only payment level, which is set at
20	4 percent of TARH.
21	(d) The adjusted total amount allocated to the rural
22	disproportionate share program shall then be calculated using
23	the following formula:
24	
25	ATARH = (TARH - SSFOER)
26	
27	Where:
28	ATARH = adjusted total amount appropriated or
29	distributed under this section.
30	SSFOER = sum of the state-funds-only payment amount
31	calculated under paragraph (c) for all rural hospitals.
	10

1 The determination of the amount of rural 2 disproportionate share hospital funds is calculated by the 3 following formula: 4 5 $TDAERH = [(TAERH \times ATARH)/STAERH]$ 6 7 Where: 8 TDAERH = total distribution amount for each rural 9 hospital. (f) Federal matching funds for the disproportionate 10 share program shall then be calculated for those hospitals 11 12 that qualify for disproportionate share in paragraph (e). 13 (g) State-funds-only payment amounts calculated under 14 paragraph (c) are then added to the results of paragraph (f) to determine the total distribution amount for each rural 15 16 hospital. 17 (h) This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 18 Section 6. In order to implement Specific 19 Appropriations 292 through 425 and 445 through 540 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, paragraph (c) of 20 subsection (15) of section 216.181, Florida Statutes, 1998 21 22 Supplement, is amended to read: 23 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed capital outlay .--24 25 (15)26 (c) For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, 27 funds appropriated to the Department of Children and Family 28 Services in Specific Appropriations 292 293 through 425 446A 29 and the Department of Health in Specific Appropriations 445 466 through 540 555 of the 1999-2000 1998-1999 General 30 Appropriations Act may be advanced, unless specifically 31

prohibited in such General Appropriations Act, for those 2 contracted services that were approved for advancement by the 3 Comptroller in fiscal year 1993-1994, including those services 4 contracted on a fixed-price or unit cost basis. This 5 paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 6 Section 7. In order to implement Specific 7 Appropriation 243 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 8 and for the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the Agency for Health 9 Care Administration shall include health maintenance organization recipients in the county billing for inpatient 10 hospital stays for the purpose of shared costs with counties 11 in accordance with the Florida Statutes. This section is 12 13 repealed on July 1, 2000. 14 Section 8. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 15 Departments of Children and Family Services, Revenue, Labor and Employment Security, and Health and the Agency for Health 16 17 Care Administration may transfer positions and general revenue funds as necessary to comply with any provision of the 18 19 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act or WAGES Act which 20 requires or specifically authorizes the transfer of positions 21 and general revenue funds between these agencies. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. 22 23 Section 9. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 372 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 24 subsection (1) of section 402.3015, Florida Statutes, is 25 26 amended to read: 27 402.3015 Subsidized child care program; purpose; fees; 28 contracts.--29 (1) The purpose of the subsidized child care program is to provide quality child care to enhance the development, 30

including language, cognitive, motor, social, and self-help

skills of children who are at risk of abuse or neglect and children of low-income families, and to promote financial self-sufficiency and life skills for the families of these children, unless prohibited by federal law. Priority for participation in the subsidized child care program shall be accorded to children under 13 years of age who are:

- (a) Determined to be at risk of abuse, neglect, or exploitation and who are currently clients of the department's Children and Families Services Program Office;
- (b) Children at risk of welfare dependency, including children of participants in the WAGES Program, children of migrant farmworkers, children of teen parents, and children from other families at risk of welfare dependency due to a family income of less than 100 percent of the federal poverty level; and
- (c)1. Children of working families whose family income is equal to or greater than 100 percent, but does not exceed 150 percent, of the federal poverty level.
- 2. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, eligibility under this paragraph may be expanded to children of working families whose family income does not exceed 200 percent of the federal poverty level and who are enrolled in the Child Care Executive Partnership Program established in s. 409.178. This subparagraph expires July 1, 2000.

Section 10. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 420 through 425 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (16) of section 216.181, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed capital outlay.--

(16) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to the contrary and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Department of Children and Family Services is authorized to use operating funds budgeted for Developmental Services Institutions for fixed capital outlay expenditures as needed to bring any currently unlicensed beds up to Federal Intermediate Care Facility for the Developmentally Disabled licensure standards. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 11. In order to implement Specific

Appropriation 255 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
the Agency for Health Care Administration shall take any
necessary lawfully authorized action to ensure that total
expenditures for Medicaid transportation remain within the
amount budgeted in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act.
In the event that the agency finds that it is impossible to
constrain Medicaid transportation expenditures to within the
budgeted amount, it shall notify the Legislature of this and
provide suggestions for statutory revisions necessary to
alleviate future deficits as well as a description of all
action taken under its current authority. This section is
repealed on July 1, 2000.

Section 12. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 359E of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, section 39.3065, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

- 39.3065 Sheriffs of Pasco, Manatee, and Pinellas Counties to provide child protective investigative services; procedures; funding.--
- (1) As described in this section, the Department of Children and Family Services shall, by the end of fiscal year

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

1112

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

2829

30

31

1999-2000, transfer all responsibility for child protective investigations for Pinellas County, Manatee County, and Pasco County to the sheriff of that county in which the child abuse, neglect, or abandonment is alleged to have occurred. Each sheriff is responsible for the provision of all child protective investigations in his or her county. Each individual who provides these services must complete the training provided to and required of protective investigators employed by the Department of Children and Family Services.

(2) During fiscal year 1998-1999, the Department of Children and Family Services and each sheriff's office shall enter into a contract for the provision of these services. Funding for the services will be appropriated to the Department of Children and Family Services, and the department shall transfer to the respective sheriffs for the duration of fiscal year 1998-1999, funding for the investigative responsibilities assumed by the sheriffs, including federal funds that the provider is eligible for and agrees to earn and that portion of general revenue funds which is currently associated with the services that are being furnished under contract, and including, but not limited to, funding for all investigative, supervisory, and clerical positions; training; all associated equipment; furnishings; and other fixed capital items. The contract must specify whether the department will continue to perform part or none of the child protective investigations during the initial year. The sheriffs may either conduct the investigations themselves or may, in turn, subcontract with law enforcement officials or with properly trained employees of private agencies to conduct investigations related to neglect cases only. If such a subcontract is awarded, the sheriff must take full

responsibility for any safety decision made by the 2 subcontractor and must immediately respond with law 3 enforcement staff to any situation that requires removal of a 4 child due to a condition that poses an immediate threat to the 5 child's life. The contract must specify whether the services are to be performed by departmental employees or by persons 6 7 determined by the sheriff. During this initial year, the 8 department is responsible for quality assurance, and the 9 department retains the responsibility for the performance of all child protective investigations. The department must 10 identify any barriers to transferring the entire 11 12 responsibility for child protective services to the sheriffs' offices and must pursue avenues for removing any such barriers 13 14 by means including, but not limited to, applying for federal 15 waivers. By January 15, 1999, the department shall submit to 16 the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of 17 Representatives, and the chairs of the Senate and House committees that oversee departmental activities a report that 18 19 describes any remaining barriers, including any that pertain to funding and related administrative issues. Unless the 20 Legislature, on the basis of that report or other pertinent 21 information, acts to block a transfer of the entire 22 23 responsibility for child protective investigations to the sheriffs' offices, the sheriffs of Pasco County, Manatee 24 County, and Pinellas County, beginning in fiscal year 25 26 1999-2000, shall assume the entire responsibility for such 27 services, as provided in subsection (3). (3)(a) Beginning in fiscal year 1999-2000, the 28 29 sheriffs of Pasco County, Manatee County, and Pinellas County have the responsibility to provide all child protective 30

investigations in their respective counties.

31

- (b) The sheriffs of Pasco County, Manatee County, and Pinellas County shall operate, at a minimum, in accordance with the performance standards established by the Legislature for protective investigations conducted by the Department of Children and Family Services.
- (c) Funds for providing child protective investigations in Pasco County, Manatee County, and Pinellas County must be identified in the annual appropriation made to the Department of Children and Family Services, which shall award grants for the full amount identified to the respective sheriffs' offices. Funds for the child protective investigations may not be integrated into the sheriffs' regular budgets. Budgetary data and other data relating to the performance of child protective investigations must be maintained separately from all other records of the sheriffs' offices.
- (d) Program performance evaluation shall be based on criteria mutually agreed upon by the respective sheriffs and a committee of seven persons appointed by the Governor and selected from those persons serving on the Department of Children and Family Services District 5 Health and Human Services Board and District 6 Health and Human Services Board. Two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of Pasco County, two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of Manatee County, and two of the Governor's appointees must be residents of Pinellas County. Such appointees shall serve at the pleasure of the Governor. The individuals appointed must have demonstrated experience in outcome evaluation, social service areas of protective investigation, or child welfare supervision. The committee shall submit an annual report regarding quality performance, outcome-measure attainment and

cost efficiency, to the President of the Senate, the Speaker of the House of Representatives, and to the Governor no later than January 31 of each year the sheriffs are receiving general appropriations to provide child protective investigations.

(4) For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the Sheriff of Broward County shall perform the same child protective investigative services according to the same standards as are performed by the sheriffs of Pinellas County, Manatee County, and Pasco County under this section. This subsection expires July 1, 2000.

Section 13. (1) In order to implement Specific

Appropriation 363B of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations

Act, all Healthy Families Florida contracted service providers shall:

- (a) Present the following disclaimer both orally and in writing at the initial contact with the parent: "Participation in the Healthy Families Program is voluntary. You are not required to answer any questions other than those required for birth registration and you have the right to decline participation in the program at any time."
- (b) Furnish, at the participant's request, a copy of all documentation concerning services provided to the participant, including applications and assessments. The private, nonprofit corporation and other applicable service providers shall dispose of all records or documents relating to that individual 5 years after termination from the program.
- (2) No information other than the name, date of birth, social security number, zip code, and county of residence of participants and their children may be forwarded from the private, nonprofit corporation or other service provider to

the Department of Children and Family Services. This information is to be used for evaluation purposes only. No individual participant data may be forwarded to the National Committee to Prevent Child Abuse or any other organization collecting and recording such information.

(3) This section expires July 1, 2000.

Section 14. For the purpose of implementing Specific Appropriation 260 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, paragraph (c) of subsection (3) of section 409.912, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

409.912 Cost-effective purchasing of health care.--The agency shall purchase goods and services for Medicaid recipients in the most cost-effective manner consistent with the delivery of quality medical care. The agency shall maximize the use of prepaid per capita and prepaid aggregate fixed-sum basis services when appropriate and other alternative service delivery and reimbursement methodologies, including competitive bidding pursuant to s. 287.057, designed to facilitate the cost-effective purchase of a case-managed continuum of care. The agency shall also require providers to minimize the exposure of recipients to the need for acute inpatient, custodial, and other institutional care and the inappropriate or unnecessary use of high-cost services.

(3) The agency may contract with:

(c) 1. A federally qualified health center or an entity owned by one or more federally qualified health centers or an entity owned by other migrant and community health centers receiving non-Medicaid financial support from the Federal Government to provide health care services on a prepaid or fixed-sum basis to recipients. Such prepaid health care services entity must be licensed under parts I and III of

chapter 641 by January 1, 1998, but shall be prohibited from serving Medicaid recipients on a prepaid basis, until such licensure has been obtained. However, such an entity is exempt from s. 641.225 if the entity meets the requirements specified in subsections (14) and (15).

2. Until March 1, 2000, only, the licensure requirements under parts I and III of chapter 641 shall not apply to a federally qualified health center, an entity owned by one or more federally qualified health centers, or an entity owned by other migrant and community health centers receiving non-Medicaid financial support from the Federal Government to provide health care services on a prepaid or fixed-sum basis to recipients. These entities are not prohibited from serving Medicaid recipients on a prepaid basis. This subparagraph expires March 1, 2000.

Section 15. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 261 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (13) of section 409.912, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

409.912 Cost-effective purchasing of health care.--The agency shall purchase goods and services for Medicaid recipients in the most cost-effective manner consistent with the delivery of quality medical care. The agency shall maximize the use of prepaid per capita and prepaid aggregate fixed-sum basis services when appropriate and other alternative service delivery and reimbursement methodologies, including competitive bidding pursuant to s. 287.057, designed to facilitate the cost-effective purchase of a case-managed continuum of care. The agency shall also require providers to minimize the exposure of recipients to the need for acute

inpatient, custodial, and other institutional care and the inappropriate or unnecessary use of high-cost services.

- (13)(a) The agency shall identify health care utilization and price patterns within the Medicaid program which are not cost-effective or medically appropriate and assess the effectiveness of new or alternate methods of providing and monitoring service, and may implement such methods as it considers appropriate. Such methods may include disease management initiatives, an integrated and systematic approach for managing the health care needs of recipients who are at risk of or diagnosed with a specific disease by using best practices, prevention strategies, clinical-practice improvement, clinical interventions and protocols, outcomes research, information technology, and other tools and resources to reduce overall costs and improve measurable outcomes.
- (b) The responsibility of the agency under this subsection shall include the development of capabilities to identify actual and optimal practice patterns; patient and provider educational initiatives; methods for determining patient compliance with prescribed treatments; fraud, waste, and abuse prevention and detection programs; and beneficiary case management programs.
- 1. The practice pattern identification program shall evaluate practitioner prescribing patterns based on national and regional practice guidelines, comparing practitioners to their peer groups. The agency and its Drug Utilization Review Board shall consult with a panel of practicing health care professionals appointed by the director of the agency, consisting of six physicians licensed under chapter 458 or chapter 459, two pharmacists licensed under chapter 465, and

one dentist licensed under chapter 466 who is an oral surgeon. 1 2 The advisory panel shall be responsible for evaluating treatment guidelines and recommending ways to incorporate 3 4 their use in the practice pattern identification program. 5 Practitioners who are prescribing specific drugs 6 inappropriately or inefficiently, according to the practice 7 guidelines, may have their prescribing of only those drugs 8 subject to prior authorization after an appropriate education 9 intervention and opportunity to correct inappropriate prescribing by the practitioner. 10

- 2. The agency shall also develop educational interventions designed to promote the proper use of medications by providers and beneficiaries.
- 3. The agency shall implement a pharmacy fraud, waste, and abuse initiative that may include a surety bond or letter of credit requirement for participating pharmacies, enhanced provider auditing practices, the use of additional fraud and abuse software, recipient management programs for beneficiaries inappropriately using their benefits, and other steps that will eliminate provider and recipient fraud, waste, and abuse. The initiative shall address enforcement efforts to reduce the number and use of counterfeit prescriptions.
- 4. The agency may apply for any federal waivers needed to implement this paragraph.
 - 5. This paragraph expires July 1, 2000.

Section 16. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 490 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (3) is added to section 287.084, Florida Statutes, to read:

287.084 Preference to Florida businesses.--

3031

1112

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

29

1	(3) For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only,
2	notwithstanding any statutory authority or adopted local
3	government policy under which the Glades School District
4	operates, the district is hereby authorized to give
5	consideration to Florida vendors in the issuance of a request
6	for proposal for a pilot program for telemedicine within the
7	district. This subsection expires July 1, 2000.
8	Section 17. In order to implement Specific
9	Appropriation 243 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
LO	it is the intent of the Legislature to evaluate the
L1	implications of removing the Medicaid county ceiling and
L2	individual provider target reimbursement rate caps for the
L3	state's teaching and specialty hospitals. The Senate Budget
L4	Committee, the Senate Health, Aging and Long-term Care
L5	Committee, the House of Representatives Fiscal Responsibility
L6	Council, and the House of Representatives Health Care Services
L7	Committee shall analyze the short-term and long-term public
L8	policy and cost implications of implementing the removal of
L9	such Medicaid caps. The report shall consider all direct and
20	ancillary costs associated with outpatient services provided
21	by teaching and specialty hospitals. Any potential increase in
22	Medicaid fee-for-service reimbursement resulting from the
23	removal of these rate limitations shall be excluded in the
24	calculation of rates paid to Medicaid HMOs and prepaid
25	clinics. The report shall be presented to the President of the
26	Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives by
27	September 1, 1999. This section expires July 1, 2000.
28	Section 18. <u>In order to implement Specific</u>
29	Appropriation 276 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act:
30	(1) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection (1)
31	of section 409.9071, Florida Statutes, billing agent
	23

consulting services shall not be considered billing agent services. This subsection expires February 14, 2000.

(2) Notwithstanding subsections (5) and (6) of section 409.9071, Florida Statutes, and subject to federal approval, the agency shall develop a reimbursement schedule based on actual costs specific to school-based services which is based on the federal rehabilitative services option. Subject to federal approval, retroactive reimbursements for services as specified in section 236.0812, Florida Statutes, shall be in accordance with federal laws. This subsection expires July 1, 2000.

Section 19. In order to implement Specific
Appropriations 973, 982, 987, and 993 of the 1999-2000 General
Appropriations Act, subsection (17) of section 216.181,
Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed capital outlay.--

(17) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section to the contrary, and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement may transfer up to 20 positions and associated budget between budget entities, provided the same funding source is used throughout each transfer. The department may also transfer up to 10 percent of the initial approved salary rate between budget entities, provided the same funding source is used throughout each transfer. The department must provide notice to the Executive Office of the Governor, the chair of the Senate Budget Ways and Means Committee, and the chair of the House Committee on Criminal Justice Appropriations for all transfers of positions or salary rate. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

```
Section 20. Consistent with the provisions of section
 1
    216.163, Florida Statutes, in accordance with
 2
 3
    performance-based program budgeting requirements, and
 4
    notwithstanding the provisions of section 216.181, Florida
 5
    Statutes, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement may
 6
    transfer up to one-half of 1 percent of the funds in Specific
 7
    Appropriations 973, 982, 987, and 993 of the 1999-2000 General
 8
    Appropriations Act for lump-sum salary bonuses for
 9
    departmental employees at the discretion of the executive
    director, provided that such bonuses are given only to
10
    selected employees for meritorious performance, instead of
11
12
    being given as across-the-board bonuses for all employees. The
13
    department, after consultation with the Executive Office of
14
    the Governor, shall provide a plan to the chair of the House
    Fiscal Responsibility Council and to the chair of the Senate
15
    Budget Committee for approval before awarding such bonuses.
16
17
    This section is repealed on July 1, 2000.
           Section 21. In order to implement Specific
18
19
    Appropriation 573 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
20
    the Correctional Privatization Commission and the Department
21
    of Juvenile Justice may expend appropriated funds to assist in
    defraying the costs of impacts that are incurred by a
22
23
    municipality or county and associated with opening a facility
    under the authority of the Correctional Privatization
24
    Commission or a facility under the authority of the Department
25
26
    of Juvenile Justice which is located within that municipality
    or county. The amount that is to be paid under this section
27
    for any facility may not exceed 1 percent of the facility
28
    construction cost, less building impact fees imposed by the
29
30
    municipality, or by the county if the facility is located in
31
                                  25
```

the unincorporated portion of the county. This section is 1 repealed on July 1, 2000. 2 3 Section 22. In order to implement Specific 4 Appropriations 1185 and 1189 of the 1999-2000 General 5 Appropriations Act, subsection (7) of section 212.20, Florida 6 Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read: 7 212.20 Funds collected, disposition; additional powers 8 of department; operational expense; refund of taxes 9 adjudicated unconstitutionally collected .--(7) For the 1999-2000 $\frac{1998-1999}{1}$ fiscal year only, the 10 use of funds allocated to the Solid Waste Management Trust 11 12 Fund shall be as provided in the General Appropriations Act. There is transferred\$15.5\$11.2 million for surface water 13 14 improvement and management projects and \$10 \$8 million for the aquatic weed control program from revenues provided by this 15 section. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 16 17 Section 23. In order to implement Specific 18 Appropriations 1274 and 1276 of the 1999-2000 General 19 Appropriations Act, counties receiving funds for aquatic weed 20 control as provided by section 212.20(7), Florida Statutes, 21 may use these funds for recycling purposes. This authorization 22 expires June 30, 2000. Section 24. In order to implement Specific 23 Appropriations 1274 and 1276 of the 1999-2000 General 24 Appropriations Act, subsections (8) and (9) of section 25 403.7095, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, are amended to 26 27 read: 28 403.7095 Solid waste management grant program. --29 (8) For fiscal year 1999-2000 1998-1999, the 30 department shall provide counties with populations under 100,000 with at least 80 percent of the level of funding they 31

received in fiscal year 1997-1998 for solid waste management and recycling grants.

- (9) For fiscal year 1999-2000 1998-1999, the department shall provide 10 percent of the total funds available after the requirements of subsection (8) are met for recycling grants available to all counties on a competitive basis for innovative programs. The department may consider one or more of the following criteria in determining whether a grant proposal is innovative:
 - (a) Demonstrate advanced technologies or processes.
- $\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{(b)}}}$ Collect and recycle materials targeted by the department.
- (c) Demonstrate substantial improvement in program cost-effectiveness and efficiency as measured against statewide average costs for the same or similar programs.
- (d) Demonstrate transferability of technology and processes used in program.
- (e) Demonstrate and implement multicounty or regional recycling programs.

Section 25. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 1535A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, section 110.1239, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

110.1239 State group health insurance program funding.--For the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, it is the intent of the Legislature that the state group health insurance program be managed, administered, operated, and funded in such a manner as to maximize the protection of state employee health insurance benefits. Inherent in this intent is the recognition that the health insurance liabilities

attributable to the benefits offered state employees should be fairly, orderly, and equitably funded. Accordingly:

- (1) The division shall determine the level of premiums necessary to fully fund the state group health insurance program for the next fiscal year. Such determination shall be made after each revenue estimating conference on health insurance as provided in s. 216.136(1), but not later than December 1 and April 1 of each fiscal year.
- (2) The Governor, in the Governor's recommended budget, shall provide premium rates necessary for full funding of the state group health insurance program, and the Legislature shall provide in the General Appropriations Act for a premium level necessary for full funding of the state group health insurance program.
- (3) For purposes of funding, any additional appropriation amounts allocated to the state group health insurance program by the Legislature shall be considered as a state contribution and thus an increase in the state premiums.
- (4) This section is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

 Section 26. In order to implement Specific

 Appropriation 1205 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations

 Act, subsection (17) of section 373.59, Florida Statutes, 1998

 Supplement, is amended to read:
 - 373.59 Water Management Lands Trust Fund.--
- (17) Notwithstanding any provision of this section to the contrary and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the governing board of a water management district may request, and the Secretary of Environmental Protection shall release upon such request, moneys allocated to the districts pursuant to subsection (8) for the purpose of carrying out the provisions of ss. 373.451-373.4595. In addition, for the

1999-2000 fiscal year only, the governing boards of the Northwest Florida Water Management District and Suwannee River 2 3 Water Management District may request, and the Secretary of 4 the Department of Environmental Protection shall release upon 5 such request, moneys allocated to the districts pursuant to 6 subsection (8) for the purpose of carrying out the provisions 7 of ss. 373.0361 and 373.0831. No funds may be used pursuant 8 to this subsection until necessary debt service obligations 9 and requirements for payments in lieu of taxes that may be required pursuant to this section are provided for. This 10 subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999. 11 12 Section 27. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the 13 Administration Commission may approve exceptions to the 14 state's personnel, payroll, and benefit rules, policies, and 15 practices and may approve exemptions from: (1) Statutory provisions relating to state employment 16 17 in chapter 110, Florida Statutes; 18 (2) Statutory provisions relating to state employees 19 in parts I and II of chapter 112, Florida Statutes; and 20 (3) Salary rate and position control provisions in ss. 216.181, 216.251, and 216.262, Florida Statutes, 1998 21 22 Supplement. 23 Such exceptions and exemptions may only be approved in order 24 to take advantage of or to demonstrate the best practices 25 inherent in purchased commercial off-the-shelf software for 26 human resources, payroll, and benefits and shall be granted 27 only after review and approval by those agencies whose 28 29 statutory responsibilities or rule requirements are affected. The Administration Commission shall follow the notice, review, 30 31 and exception procedures set forth in s. 216.177(2), Florida

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30

31

Statutes, and public employee collective bargaining agreements 1 2 established pursuant to s. 447.309, Florida Statutes, prior to 3 granting an exception or exemption. Exceptions and exemptions 4 under this section are limited to only those organizations selected by the Florida Financial Management Information System Coordinating Council to serve as pilot sites in the proof-of-concept pilot project authorized in Specific Appropriation 1535 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000.

Section 28. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 1326 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (15) of section 259.032, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

259.032 Conservation and Recreation Lands Trust Fund; purpose.--

(15) For fiscal year 1999-2000 1998-1999 only, moneys credited to the fund may be appropriated to provide grants to qualified local governmental entities pursuant to the provisions of s. 375.075. This subsection is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 29. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1210, 1212, 1222, and 1223B of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, section 86 of chapter 93-213, Laws of Florida, as amended by section 28 of chapter 98-46, Laws of Florida, is amended to read:

Section 86. The Department of Environmental Regulation is authorized 54 career service positions for administering the state NPDES program. Twenty-five career service positions are authorized for startup of the program beginning July 1, 1993, and the remaining 29 career service positions beginning January 1, 1994. The state NPDES program staffing shall start

July 1, 1993, with completion targeted for 6 months following United States Environmental Protection Agency authorization to administer the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System program. Implementation of positions is subject to review and final approval by the secretary of the Department of Environmental Regulation. The sum of \$3.2 million is hereby appropriated from the Pollution Recovery Trust Fund to cover program startup costs. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, such funds need not be repaid.

Section 30. For the purpose of implementing Specific Appropriation 1656 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, paragraph (k) of subsection (2) of section 110.205, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

110.205 Career service; exemptions.--

- (2) EXEMPT POSITIONS.--The exempt positions which are not covered by this part include the following, provided that no position, except for positions established for a limited period of time pursuant to paragraph (h), shall be exempted if the position reports to a position in the career service:
- (k) 1. All officers and employees in the office of the Governor, including all employees at the Governor's mansion, and employees within each separate budget entity, as defined in chapter 216, assigned to the Governor. Unless otherwise fixed by law, the salary and benefits of these positions shall be set by the department as follows:
- $\underline{a.1.}$ The chief of staff, the assistant or deputy chief of staff, general counsel, Director of Legislative Affairs, inspector general, Director of Cabinet Affairs, Director of Press Relations, Director of Planning and Budgeting, director of administration, director of state-federal relations, and chief prosecutor of the statewide grand jury, and the director

of each separate budget entity shall have their salaries and benefits established by the department in accordance with the rules of the Senior Management Service.

<u>b.2.</u> The salaries and benefits of positions not established in <u>sub-subparagraph a.subparagraph 1.</u>shall be set by the employing agency. Salaries and benefits of employees whose professional training is comparable to that of licensed professionals under paragraph (q), or whose administrative responsibility is comparable to a bureau chief shall be set by the Selected Exempt Service. The department shall make the comparability determinations. Other employees shall have benefits set as if career service employees.

- 2. For the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, all officers and employees in the office of the Governor, including all employees at the Governor's mansion, and employees within each separate budget entity, as defined in chapter 216, assigned to the Governor. Unless otherwise fixed by law, the salary and benefits of these positions shall be set by the department as follows:
- a. The chief of staff, the assistant or deputy chief of staff, general counsel, Director of Legislative Affairs, chief inspector general, Director of Cabinet Affairs, Director of Press Relations, Director of Planning and Budgeting, director of administration, director of state-federal relations, Director of Appointments, Director of External Affairs, Deputy General Counsel, Governor's Liaison for Community Development, Chief of Staff Lieutenant Governor, Deputy Director of Planning and Budgeting, policy coordinators, and the director of each separate budget entity shall have their salaries and benefits established by the

<u>department</u> in accordance with the rules of the Senior Management Service.

- b. The salaries and benefits of positions not established in sub-subparagraph a. shall be set by the employing agency. Salaries and benefits of employees whose professional training is comparable to that of licensed professionals under paragraph (q), or whose administrative responsibility is comparable to a bureau chief shall be set by the Selected Exempt Service. The department shall make the comparability determinations. Other employees shall have benefits set comparable to legislative staff, except that leave shall be comparable to career service.
- c. This subparagraph expires July 1, 2000.

 Section 31. In order to implement Specific

 Appropriation 1617 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations

 Act:
- (1) For purposes of this section, "eligible employee" means any employee of the University of Florida College of Veterinary Medicine Pari-mutuel Laboratory on June 30, 1999, who had permanent status in the Career Service System on June 30, 1997, as an employee of the Department of Business and Professional Regulation in the Pari-mutuel Laboratory and who subsequently transferred to the State University System during the 1997-1998 fiscal year.
- (2) If the laboratory is relocated to Gainesville and the eligible employee is no longer employed by the state, the eligible employee may hold applicable sick and annual leave balances inactive without automatic payout for a period of 1 year from the effective date of termination of state employment, until the effective date of other state employment or the effective date of private employment, whichever is

earlier. At that time, the leave balances shall be transferred to the eligible employee's account or paid to the employee pursuant to applicable law and rules.

- (3) An eligible employee may elect to participate in the new employer's sick leave pool immediately upon commencement of employment if such employee participated in the University of Florida's sick leave pool during the year immediately preceding termination of employment. No eligible employee shall be required to make an initial donation or additional donation of sick leave as a condition of participation in an agency sick leave pool for a period of 1 year.
- (4) Eligible employees shall be given preference, if qualified, for similar employment within the Career Service System or the State University System. The Department of Management Services shall assist eligible employees in identifying similar employment opportunities and determining position eligibility. The department shall also assist eligible employees with resume writing preparation and career counseling training.
- (5) Eligible employees reemployed by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation by June 30, 2000, shall retain all retention points earned during prior employment with the agency, plus the retention points the eligible employee would have accrued had the operation of the pari-mutuel laboratory not been transferred from the agency.
- Section 32. In order to implement Specific

 Appropriations 1928 through 1931 of the 1999-2000 General

 Appropriations Act, subsection (4) of section 287.161, Florida

 Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

(6) This section is repealed on July 1, 2000.

287.161 Executive aircraft pool; assignment of aircraft; charge for transportation.--

(4) Notwithstanding the requirements of subsections (2) and (3) and for the 1999-2000 1998-1999 fiscal year only, the Department of Management Services shall charge all persons receiving transportation from the executive aircraft pool a rate not less than the mileage allowance fixed by the Legislature for the use of privately owned vehicles. Fees collected for persons traveling by aircraft in the executive aircraft pool shall be deposited into the Bureau of Aircraft Trust Fund and shall be expended for costs incurred to operate the aircraft management activities of the department. It is the intent of the Legislature that the executive aircraft pool be operated on a full cost recovery basis, less available funds. This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 33. In order to implement Specific
Appropriations 1038D, 1038E, 1038F, 1038K, 1038L, 1368A,
1368D, 1370, 1379, 1382B, 1382C, 1382D, 1382E, 1383, 1384, and
1397D of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, paragraph
(b) of subsection (11) of section 259.032, Florida Statutes,
1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

259.032 Conservation and Recreation Lands Trust Fund; purpose.--

(11)

(b) An amount up to 1.5 percent of the cumulative total of funds ever deposited into the Florida Preservation 2000 Trust Fund shall be made available for the purposes of management, maintenance, and capital improvements, and for associated contractual services, for lands acquired pursuant to this section and s. 259.101 to which title is vested in the

board of trustees and other conservation and recreation lands managed by a state agency. Each agency with management responsibilities shall annually request from the Legislature funds sufficient to fulfill such responsibilities. Capital improvements shall include, but need not be limited to, perimeter fencing, signs, firelanes, access roads and trails, and minimal public accommodations, such as primitive campsites, garbage receptacles, and toilets. Any equipment purchased with funds provided pursuant to this paragraph may be used for the purposes provided in this paragraph on any conservation and recreation lands managed by a state agency.

Section 34. In order to implement Specific Appropriation 1243 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (6) of section 403.1826, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

403.1826 Grants, requirements for eligibility.--

- (6)(a) A grant may not be made unless the local governmental agency assures the department of the proper and efficient operation and maintenance of the project after construction. Revenue sufficient to ensure that the facility will be self-supporting shall be generated from sources which include, but are not limited to, service charges and connection fees. The revenue generated shall provide for financing future sanitary sewerage capital improvements. The grantee shall accumulate, during the design life of the grant-funded project, moneys in an amount equivalent to the grant amount adjusted for inflationary cost increases.
- (b) The department may waive this accumulation requirement for up to 5 years for a grantee, in a county as defined by s. 125.011(1), which certifies to the department's satisfaction that an equivalent amount of money will be used,

```
above the required amounts, to pay outstanding obligations
 2
    resulting from improvements to the system. This paragraph
 3
    expires July 1, 2000.
           Section 35. In order to implement Specific
 4
    Appropriation 1038A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations
 5
 6
    Act, and notwithstanding the provisions of sections
 7
    496.405(4)(c), 496.409(7), 496.410(15), and 496.419(9),
    Florida Statutes, the moneys received and deposited into the
 8
 9
    General Inspection Trust Fund may be used by the Department of
    Agriculture and Consumer Services to defray the expenses of
10
    the department in the discharge of any and all of its
11
12
    administrative and regulatory powers and duties as prescribed
13
    by law. This section expires July 1, 2000.
14
           Section 36. In order to implement Specific
15
    Appropriation 1038A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations
    Act, the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust
16
17
    Fund's property described as: Lot 4, (less the east 12 feet
    thereof) and all of Lots 5 and 6, Block M, TINKER HEIGHTS,
18
19
    according to the plat thereof as recorded in Plat Book M, page
20
    93, Public Records of Orange County, Florida, shall be deeded,
    by quitclaim deed, on or before September 1, 1999, to the
21
    Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services.
22
23
    Notwithstanding the provisions of chapters 253 and 259,
    Florida Statutes, the Department of Agriculture and Consumer
24
    Services is directed to sell, in accordance with section
25
    255.25001, Florida Statutes, such property for no less than
26
    the property's appraised value. All proceeds from this sale
27
    shall be deposited in the General Inspection Trust Fund of the
28
29
    Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services and may be
    appropriated for the acquisition of property for and
30
31
    construction of an Agricultural Regional Office Center, to be
```

```
located in Polk County, Florida. The purchase of property by
 1
 2
    the Department of Agriculture and consumer Services shall
 3
    follow the requirements of section 253.025, Florida Statutes.
    This section expires July 1, 2000.
 4
 5
           Section 37. In order to implement Specific
 6
    Appropriation 1535A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations
 7
    Act, subsection (4) is added to section 110.12315, Florida
 8
    Statutes, to read:
 9
           110.12315 Prescription drug program. --
          (4) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsections (1)
10
    and (2), under the state employees' prescription drug program,
11
12
    effective October 1, 1999, copayments must be made as follows:
13
          (a) Twenty-dollar copayment for brand name drug with
14
    card;
15
          (b) Seven-dollar copayment for generic drug with card;
               Seven-dollar copayment for generic mail order
16
          (C)
    drug;
17
18
               Twenty-dollar copayment for brand name mail order
          (d)
19
    drug.
20
21
    This subsection expires July 1, 2000.
22
                        In order to implement Specific
           Section 38.
23
    Appropriation 1535A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations
    Act, the following premium and copayments are required:
24
          (1) Effective October 1, 1999, the state share of the
25
26
    State Group Health Insurance Plan premiums and the state share
27
    of the health maintenance organization premiums for the
    Executive Branch, Legislative Branch, and Judicial Branch
28
29
    agencies shall be $191.52 per month for individual coverage
30
    and $391.60 per month for family coverage.
31
```

```
(2) Effective October 1, 1999, the employee share of
 1
 2
    health insurance premiums shall increase to $32.30 per month
 3
    for individual coverage and $116.20 per month for family
 4
    coverage.
 5
          (3) Under the State Health Insurance Program, the
 6
    copayments for physician office visits with health maintenance
 7
    organizations shall increase from $5 to $10, effective October
 8
    1, 1999. In addition, copayments for prescription drugs with
 9
    health maintenance organizations shall increase effective
    October 1, 1999, as follows:
10
          (a) Copayment for brand name drugs shall increase from
11
12 $10 to $20;
13
          (b) Copayment for generic drugs shall increase from $5
14
    to $7.
          (4) This section expires July 1, 2000.
15
16
           Section 39. In order to implement Specific
17
    Appropriations 2037 through 2096A of the 1999-2000 General
18
    Appropriations Act, paragraph (b) of subsection (5) of section
19
    15.09, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:
20
           15.09 Fees.--
21
           (5)
22
           (b) For the 1999-2000 <del>1998-1999</del> fiscal year only,
23
    funds from the Public Access Data Systems Trust Fund may be
    appropriated for the operations of the department. This
24
25
   paragraph expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.
26
           Section 40. In order to implement Specific
    Appropriations 1412-1529 of the 1999-2000 General
27
28
    Appropriations Act, subsection (9) of section 253.034, Florida
29
    Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:
30
           253.034 State-owned lands; uses.--
31
                                  39
```

(9) Notwithstanding any provision of this section or s. 253.111 to the contrary, the Department of Transportation may sell, at fair market value, the following described state real property utilized by the Department of Highway Safety and Motor Vehicles:

5 6

1 2

3

4

31

From the NW Corner of Section 28 Township 22 South, Range 30 East, run North 89 degrees 21 minutes 24 seconds East 1900 feet; thence run South 0 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 59.45 feet for a point of beginning, said point being on the Southerly right-of-way line of State Highway No. 50; thence South 0 degrees 38 minutes 36 seconds East 525.41 feet; thence North 66 degrees 42 minutes 09 seconds East 390 feet more or less to the waters edge of Lake Barton; thence run Northerly along the waters edge of Lake Barton to the North line of said Section 28; thence run South 89 degrees 21 minutes 24 seconds West along the North line of said Section 28, to a 4-inch concrete monument on the Southerly right-of-way line of State Road No. 50, being North 89 degrees 21 minutes 24 seconds East 2315.27 feet from the NW Corner of said Section 28; thence run Westerly 419.59 feet along the arc of a 0 degree 44 minutes 25 seconds curve concave to the Northwesterly, (having a central angle of 3 degrees 6 minutes 22 seconds, the long chord bearing South 81 degrees 08 minutes 37 seconds West 419.50 feet) to the point of beginning. All of the above

described land being in the NE $\ 1/4$ of the NW $\ 1/4$ of said Section 28, Orange County, Florida.

Proceeds from the sale shall be deposited in the State Transportation Trust Fund. The Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund shall execute and deliver a deed of conveyance for the purpose of carrying into effect a contract or agreement of sale. This subsection expires is repealed on July 1, 2000 1999.

Section 41. In order to implement Specific Appropriations 1412 through 1529 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (1) of section 334.0445, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, is amended to read:

334.0445 Model career service classification and compensation plan.--

(1) Effective July 1, 1994, the Legislature grants to the Department of Transportation in consultation with the Department of Management Services, the Executive Office of the Governor, legislative appropriations committees, legislative personnel committees, and the affected certified bargaining unions, the authority on a pilot basis to develop and implement a model career service classification and compensation system. Such system shall be developed for use by all state agencies. Authorization for this program will be through June 30, 2000 for 3 fiscal years beginning July 1, 1994, and ending June 30, 1997; however, the department may elect or be directed by the Legislature to return to the current system at anytime during this period if the model system does not meet the stated goals and objectives. This subsection expires July 1, 2000.

Section 42. Notwithstanding section 337.403, Florida 1 2 Statutes, the City of Milton is relieved of its obligation to 3 repay the Department of Transportation for the relocation of 4 water, gas, and sewer utilities under the agreements between 5 the city and the department dated July 14, 1998, with respect 6 to construction projects on State Roads 87 and 89 which are 7 funded under Specific Appropriation 1509 of the 1999-2000 8 General Appropriations Act. Section 43. In order to implement Specific 9 Appropriations 1412 through 1529 of the 1999-2000 General 10 Appropriations Act, subsection (17) is added to section 11 12 216.181, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, to read: 13 216.181 Approved budgets for operations and fixed 14 capital outlay .--15 (17) Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter to the contrary, the Florida Department of 16 17 Transportation, in order to facilitate the transfer of 18 personnel to the new turnpike headquarters location in Orange 19 County, may transfer salary rate to the turnpike budget entity 20 from other departmental budget entities. The department must 21 provide documentation of all transfers to the Executive Office of the Governor, the Chairman of the Senate Budget Committee, 22 23 and the Chairman of the House of Representatives Committee on Transportation and Economic Development Appropriations. This 24 25 subsection expires July 1, 2000. 26 Section 44. The funds provided in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act for workforce development shall be 27 28 initially allocated to the school district or community 29 college as designated. If, for any reason, a program in whole or in part is moved from a community college to a school 30 district or moved from a school district to a community 31

college, the Commissioner of Education or the executive 2 director of the Division of Community Colleges shall submit a 3 budget amendment pursuant to chapter 216, Florida Statutes, to 4 transfer the appropriate amount of the 1999-2000 appropriation 5 between the affected district and community college. The 6 amount transferred shall be as near as practicable to the 7 actual amount appropriated for the FTE funded for that program. This section is repealed on July 1, 2000. 8 9 Section 45. Notwithstanding section 288.063, Florida Statutes, for 1999-2000, funds in Specific Appropriation 1673 10 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act may be used at the 11 12 discretion of the Governor for the completion of 13 infrastructure projects for the purpose of job retention 14 through making Florida military bases more efficient and 15 accessible. 16 Section 46. In order to implement Specific 17 Appropriation 154 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, 18 subsection (3) of section 240.3341, Florida Statutes, is 19 amended to read: 20 240.3341 Incubator facilities for small business 21 concerns.--22 (3)(a) The incubator facility and any improvements to 23 the facility shall be owned by the community college. community college may charge residents of the facility all or 24 25 part of the cost for facilities, utilities, and support personnel and equipment. No small business concern shall 26 reside in the incubator facility for more than 5 calendar 27 years. The state shall not be liable for any act or failure 28 29 to act of any small business concern residing in an incubator facility pursuant to this section or of any such concern 30 benefiting from the incubator facilities program. 31

```
(b) Notwithstanding any provision of paragraph (a) to
1
2
   the contrary, and for the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, the
3
   incubator facility may be leased by the community college.
4
    This paragraph is repealed on July 1, 2000.
5
           Section 47. In order to implement Specific
6
   Appropriations 8E, 193A, and 195 of the 1999-2000 General
7
   Appropriations Act, subsection (8) is added to section
8
    240.2605, Florida Statutes, to read:
           240.2605 Trust Fund for Major Gifts.--
9
          (8) Notwithstanding other provisions of this section,
10
   for the 1999-2000 fiscal year only, for gifts received during
11
12
   this period, the university presidents shall provide a list of
   donations from private donors for challenge grants, new
13
14
   donations, major gifts, and the eminent scholars program to be
15
   matched for the 1999-2000 fiscal year to the Board of Regents.
   The listing shall contain an explanation of the donation, a
16
17
   statement of the specific benefits accrued to the university
   as a result of the donation, and how the donation is
18
19
   consistent with the mission of the institution, as defined by
20
   the Board of Regents in the 1998-2003 Strategic Plan.
   University presidents shall rank each private donation to
21
   their university, giving highest priority to private donations
22
23
   that provide additional library resources to universities;
   donations that provide student assistance through
24
   scholarships, fellowships, or assistantships; donations that
25
26
   provide funding for existing academic programs at
   universities; and donations that meet the matching requirement
27
   without encumbering pledges. The Board of Regents, using the
28
29
   same criteria, shall develop a systemwide priority list and
   may set restrictions on the annual amount of matching funds
30
   provided for single donations that exceed $5 million.
31
                                  44
```

```
Section 48. In order to implement Specific
 1
    Appropriation 209A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations
 2
 3
    Act, the university presidents shall provide to the Board of
 4
    Regents a list of donations received in 1999-2000 from private
 5
    donors for the State University System Facility Enhancement
 6
    Challenge Grant Program. This listing shall contain an
 7
    explanation of the donation, a statement of the specific
 8
    benefits accrued to the university as a result of the
 9
    donation, and the projected cost to the state for the
    operation and maintenance of the facility. The Board of
10
    Regents shall review and rank each private donation, giving
11
12
    highest priority to private donations that provide the
    financial resources for major renovations to existing
13
14
    facilities, particularly instructional facilities, and new
15
    space requirements as identified by the space utilization
    model. This section expires July 1, 2000.
16
17
           Section 49. Notwithstanding the provisions of section
    240.2601, Florida Statutes, funds included in Specific
18
19
    Appropriation 209A of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act
20
    for the USF Engineering III project and the USF
21
    Psychology/CSD/Lab Building project may be used to match
    private funds or USF Foundation funds previously expended for
22
23
    planning/design costs related to these projects. Additionally,
    USF Foundation funds made available from foundation
24
25
    investments or foundation revenue-generating activities may be
26
    used as the private fund source for the USF Engineering III
    project. This section expires July 1, 2000.
27
           Section 50. Notwithstanding the provisions of section
28
29
    240.156, Florida Statutes, up to $3 million may be used for
30
    payment of professional fees to accomplish 5-year updates to
31
```

```
campus master plans pursuant to section 240.155(3), Florida
 1
 2
    Statutes. This section expires July 1, 2000.
 3
           Section 51. In order to implement Specific
 4
    Appropriations 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 42, 42A, and 43 of the
    1999-2000 General Appropriations Act, subsection (13) is added
 5
    to section 235.014, Florida Statutes, 1998 Supplement, to
 6
 7
    read:
           235.014 Functions of the department.--The functions of
 8
 9
    the department shall include, but not be limited to, the
    following; it shall:
10
          (13) Notwithstanding the provisions of subsection
11
12
   (11), for purposes of preparing the commissioner's
13
    comprehensive fixed capital outlay legislative budget request
14
    for fiscal year 2000-2001 and providing the State Board of
15
    Community Colleges and the Board of Regents an estimate of the
    funds available to develop their required 3-year priority
16
17
    list, the commissioner shall use the total amount of funds
    appropriated in Specific Appropriations 35, 36, 37, 38, 39,
18
19
    42, 42A, and 43 of the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act,
20
    regardless of fund source, as the amount of funds appropriated
    by the Legislature for fixed capital outlay for fiscal year
21
    1999-2000. This subsection expires July 1, 2000.
22
23
           Section 52. A section of this act that implements a
    specific appropriation or specifically identified proviso
24
    language in the 1999-2000 General Appropriations Act is void
25
26
    if the specific appropriation or specifically identified
    proviso language is vetoed. A section of this act that
27
    implements more than one specific appropriation or more than
28
29
    one portion of specifically identified proviso language in the
    1999-2000 General Appropriations Act is void if all the
30
31
```

specific appropriations or portions of specifically identified proviso language are vetoed.

Regular Session of the Legislature or any extension thereof contains a provision that is substantively the same as a provision in this act, but that removes or is otherwise not subject to the future repeal applied to such provision by this act, the Legislature intends that the provision in the other act shall take precedence and shall continue to operate, notwithstanding the future repeal provided by this act.

Section 54. The performance measures and standards established in this section for individual programs in

Education shall be applied to those programs for the 1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance measures and standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000, as required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act of 1994.

- (1) PUBLIC SCHOOLS.--
- (a) For the Pre-Kindergarten Program, the purpose of which is to prepare children for success in school, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance standards with respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 5, 6, and 109 are as follows:
 - 1. PRE-KINDERGARTEN OUTCOME MEASURES.--
- <u>a. Number and percentage of kindergarten and first</u>
 grade students meeting state expectations for readiness.....FY
 2000-2001 LBR
- b. For the Kindergarten through Twelfth Grade (K-12)

 Program, the purpose of which is to provide children and youth
 with the sound education needed to grow to a satisfying and
 productive adulthood, the outcome measures, output measures,

1	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
2	provided in Specific Appropriations 3, 5, 109, 110, 110A, 112,
3	115, 117, 117B, and 118 are as follows:
4	2. K-12 OUTCOME MEASURES
5	a. Number and percentage of a student cohort who
6	graduates from high school, as defined in statute110,027;
7	<u>52.65%</u>
8	b. Number and percentage of students 16 years or older
9	who were reported as dropouts
10	c. Number and percentage of recent graduates who meet
11	the state levels in reading, writing, and mathematics for
12	placement into college-level coursesReading 31,135, 76.2%;
13	Writing 31,992, 78%; Mathematics 28,890,
14	<u>71.9%</u>
15	d. Number and percentage of graduates residing in
16	Florida who, within 6 months after graduation, are employed,
17	enrolled in postsecondary programs, or enlisted in the
18	military FY 2000-2001 LBR
19	e. Median learning gains of students in grades 3-10,
20	as measured by FCATFY 2000-2001 LBR
21	f. Median learning gains for students scoring at or
22	below the 25th percentile on FCATFY 2000-2001 LBR
23	g. Number and percent of students demonstrating 1
24	year's academic gain for 1 year spent in schoolFY 2000-2001
25	<u>LBR</u>
26	h. Student performance results on locally administered
27	norm-referenced tests at grades 4 and 8FY 2000-2001 LBR
28	i. For each of the following measures, the Department
29	of Education shall report disaggregated data for students in
30	Exceptional Education and English for Speakers of Other
31	Languages (ESOL) programs:
	48

1	(I) For Grade 4, percent of students scoring 3 or more
2	on Florida Writes!70%
3	(II) For Grade 4, percent of students who attain
4	proficiency in reading on the FCATFY 2000-2001 LBR
5	(III) For Grade 5, percent of students who attain
6	proficiency in mathematics on the FCATFY 2000-2001 LBR
7	(IV) For Grade 8, percent of students scoring 3 or
8	more on Florida Writes!80%
9	(V) For Grade 8, percent of students who attain
10	proficiency in mathematics on the FCATFY 2000-2001 LBR
11	(VI) For Grade 8, percent of students who attain
12	proficiency in reading on the FCATFY 2000-2001 LBR
13	(VII) For Grade 10, percent of students scoring 3 or
14	more on Florida Writes!85%
15	(VIII) For Grade 10, percent of students who attain
16	proficiency in mathematics on the FCATFY 2000-2001 LBR
17	(IX) For Grade 10, percent of students who attain
18	proficiency in reading on the FCATFY 2000-2001 LBR
19	j. Number and percentage of students absent 11 to 20
20	daysElementary 272,402, 20.3%; Middle 135,672, 22.7%; High
21	135,729, 21.3%; Alternative FY 2000-2001 LBR; Exceptional
22	Education 2,652, 8.3%
23	k. Number and percentage of students absent 21 or more
24	days each yearElementary 116,811, 8.7%; Middle 93,417,
25	15.6%; High 122,359, 19.1%; Alternative FY 2000-2001 LBR;
26	Exceptional Education 27.8%
27	1. Number and percentage of incidents of violence,
28	weapons violations, vandalism, substance abuse, and harassment
29	on the bus, on campus, and at school-sponsored activitiesFY
30	2000-2001 LBR
31	
	49
	コノ

1	${\tt m.}$ Number and percent of teachers teaching more than ${\tt 1}$
2	class out-of-field during a school termFY 2000-2001 LBR
3	n. Number and percent of teachers with a major or
4	minor in the subject area in which they are teachingFY
5	2000-2001 LBR
6	o. Number and percent of teachers who have earned a
7	degree beyond the bachelor's level in the subject area in
8	which they are employed to teachFY 2000-2001 LBR
9	p. Number and percent of teachers receiving more than
10	2 days staff development training during the contract yearFY
11	2000-2001 LBR
12	q. Number and percent of teachers with National
13	Teacher's Certification750, 0.5%
14	r. Meeting attendance rate of school advisory council
15	membersFY 2000-2001 LBR
16	s. Number and percent of school advisory councils,
17	demonstrating by vote, participation in spending of the \$10
18	per unweighted FTE in lottery funds appropriated by the
19	Legislature for use by the councilsFY 2000-2001 LBR
20	t. Number and percent of schools having an active PTO
21	or PTAFY 2000-2001 LBR
22	3. K-12 OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Average number of days teachers and administrators
24	were not in attendance at school for reasons classified as
25	personal leave, sick leave, and temporary duty elsewhereFY
26	2000-2001 LBR
27	(2) COMMUNITY COLLEGES
28	(a) For the Associate of Arts (AA) Program, the
29	purpose of which is to provide freshman and sophomore classes
30	that enable transfers to a university primarily, and
31	secondarily, improve job skills, the outcome measures, output
	50

1	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
2	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 7, 153, and 154 are
3	as follows:
4	1. ASSOCIATE OF ARTS OUTCOME MEASURES
5	a. Percent of AA degree graduates who transfer to a
6	state university within 2 years65%
7	b. Percent of AA degree transfers to the State
8	University System who earn a 2.5 or above in the SUS after a
9	year72%
10	c. Percent of AA graduates who are employed and have
11	not transferred to a state university21%
12	d. Of the AA students completing 18 credit hours, the
13	percent of whom graduate in 4 years29%
14	2. ASSOCIATE OF ARTS OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Number of AA degrees granted29,000
16	b. Percentage of students graduating with total
17	accumulated credit hours that are less than or equal to 120%
18	of the degree requirement36%
19	(b) For the College Preparatory Program, the purpose
20	of which is to provide underprepared students with
21	communication and computation skills so they are prepared to
22	enter college level courses, the outcome measures, output
23	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
24	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 7, 153, and 154 are
25	as follows:
26	1. COLLEGE PREPARATORY OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Percentage of students exiting the
28	college-preparatory program who enter college-level course
29	work associated with the AA, Associate of Science (AS),
30	Postsecondary Vocational Certificate, and Postsecondary Adult
31	Vocational programsFY 2000-2001 LBR
	51

1	b. Percent of AA degree transfers to the State
2	University System who started in College Prep and who earn a
3	2.5 in the SUS after 1 year71%
4	(3) STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM
5	(a) For the Instruction Program, the purpose of which
6	is to transmit knowledge, skills, and competencies that allow
7	eligible individuals to become practicing professionals or to
8	pursue further academic endeavors, the outcome measures,
9	output measures, and associated performance standards with
10	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 8A-8D and
11	180-183 are as follows:
12	1. INSTRUCTION OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Graduation rate for First Time In College (FTIC)
14	students, using a 6-year rate60%
15	b. Retention rate for FTIC students, using a 6-year
16	rate71%
17	c. Graduation rate for AA transfer students, using a
18	4-year rate69%
19	d. Retention rate for AA transfer students, using a
20	4-year rate80%
21	e. Percentage of students graduating with total
22	accumulated credit hours that are less than or equal to 115%
23	of the degree requirement61%
24	f. Pass rate on licensure/certification exams, for the
25	first sittingFY 2000-2001 LBR
26	g. Percentage of graduates remaining in Florida
27	FY 2000-2001 LBR
28	h. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the
29	percentage employed at \$25,000 or more 1 year following
30	graduation45%
31	
	52
	52

1	i. Of those graduates remaining in Florida, the
2	percentage employed at \$25,000 or more 5 years following
3	graduation76%
4	j. Percentage of undergraduate students enrolled in
5	graduate school upon completion of the baccalaureate degree
6	16%
7	2. INSTRUCTION OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number of degrees granted, by levelFY 2000-2001
9	<u>LBR</u>
10	b. Percentage of classes taught by state-funded ranked
11	faculty membersFY 2000-2001 LBR
12	c. Percent of qualified Florida students, those
13	applicants meeting BOR admission standards, admitted as FTIC
14	students93%
15	d. Percent of FTICs admitted as alternative admits
16	11.4%
17	e. Percent of alternative admits who are out-of-state
18	students14.8%
19	
20	The Board of Regents is directed to incorporate these measures
21	as program performance measures in the program reviews
22	conducted pursuant to section 240.209(5)(b), Florida Statutes,
23	1998 Supplement, and use this information in decisions
24	regarding degree program approval, termination, and
25	modification.
26	(b) For the Research Program, the purpose of which is
27	to direct research toward solving technical, social, and
28	economic problems facing the state and the nation, the outcome
29	measures, output measures, and associated performance
30	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
31	Appropriations 8A-8D and 180-183 are as follows:
	F 2
	53

1	1. RESEARCH OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Externally-generated research and training grant
3	funds (federal, state, local, business, and industry) per
4	state-funded ranked faculty full-time equivalent (FTE);
5	Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences (IFAS); and the
6	Health Science Centers to be reflected separately
7	FY 2000-2001 LBR
8	b. Ratio of externally-generated research and training
9	grant funds to state research funds; IFAS and Health Science
10	Centers to be reflected separatelyFY 2000-2001 LBR
11	2. RESEARCH OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Average number of articles in refereed journals per
13	ranked faculty; IFAS and Health Science Centers to be
14	reflected separatelyFY
15	2000-2001 LBR
16	(c) For the Public Service Program, the purpose of
17	which is to apply the expertise of university personnel in
18	solving public problems, the outcome measures, output
19	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
20	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 8A-8D and 180-183
21	are as follows:
22	1. PUBLIC SERVICE OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. For IFAS only, the percent of public service
24	projects where the beneficiary is satisfied or highly
25	satisfied with the extension assistance98%
26	2. PUBLIC SERVICE OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. The number and percentage of Florida's public
28	schools assistedFY 2000-2001 LBR
29	(4) WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
30	(a) For the Workforce Development Education Program,
31	the purpose of which is to respond to emerging local and
	54

statewide economic development needs by providing workforce
development programs, the outcome measures, output measures,
and associated performance standards with respect to funds
provided in Specific Appropriation 148 are as follows:
1. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
a. Number and percent of vocational certificate
program completers who left the program and are found placed
according to the following definitions:
(I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high
wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter12,227, 42.6%
(II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new
entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing
education in a college credit-level program4,369, 15.2%
(III) Level I - Completed any program not included in
Levels II or III and found employed, enlisted in the military,
or continuing their education at the vocational certificate
level
b. Number of targeted population vocational
certificate program completers who left the program and are
found placed and disaggregated by targeted population:
(I) WAGES clients694
(II) Economically disadvantaged4,193
(III) Limited English proficient
(IV) Dislocated worker760
(V) Disabled individuals591
c. Number and percent of applied technology diploma
program completers who left the program and are found placed
according to the following definitions:
55

1	(I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high
2	wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
3	employed at \$4,680 or more per quarterFY 2000-2001 LBR
4	(II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new
5	entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
6	employed at \$3,900 or more per quarter, or found continuing
7	education in a college credit-level programFY 2000-2001 LBR
8	d. Number and percent of associate in science degree
9	and college-credit certificate program completers who left the
10	program and are found placed according to the following
11	definitions:
12	(I) Level III - Completed a program identified as high
13	wage/high skill on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
14	employed at \$4,680 or more per quarter6,891, 57.9%
15	(II) Level II - Completed a program identified for new
16	entrants on the Occupational Forecasting List and found
17	employed at \$3,900 per quarter, or found continuing education
18	in a college credit-level program
19	11.3%
20	(III) Level I - Completed any program not included in
21	Levels II or III and found employed, enlisted in the military,
22	or continuing their education at the vocational certificate
23	level1,661, 13.9%
24	e. Number of targeted population associate in science
25	program completers who left the program and are found
26	placed-disaggregated by targeted populations:
27	(I) Wages clients71
28	(II) Economically disadvantaged690
29	(III) Limited English proficient
30	(IV) Dislocated worker259
31	(V) Disabled individuals274
	56

1	f. Number and percent of completers who are retained
2	in employment 1 year after found placed:
3	(I) Vocational certificateFY 2000-2001 LBR
4	(II) Applied technology diplomaFY 2000-2001 LBR
5	(III) Associate in science degreeFY 2000-2001 LBR
6	2. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
7	a. Number of vocational certificate program completers
8	
9	b. Number of occupational completion points completed
10	in vocational certificate programsFY 2000-2001 LBR
11	c. Number of occupational completion points achieved
12	in apprenticeship programs4,031
13	d. Number of program completers in associate in
14	science degree and college credit certificate programs12,045
15	e. Number of associate in science degrees granted
16	9,338
17	f. Number of occupational completion points completed
18	in applied technology diploma programsFY 2000-2001 LBR
19	(b) For the Adult General Education Program, the
20	purpose of which is to respond to emerging local and statewide
21	economic development needs by providing adult general
22	education courses, outcome measures, output measures, and
23	associated performance standards with respect to funds
24	provided in Specific Appropriation 148 are as follows:
25	1. ADULT GENERAL EDUCATION OUTCOME MEASURES
26	a. Number and percent of adult basic education,
27	including English as a Second Language, literacy completion
28	point completers who left the program and are found according
29	to the following definitions:
30	(I) Level II - Found employed at \$3,900 or more per
31	quarter, or found continuing education at the adult secondary,
	57
	3 /

1	vocational certificate, or college-credit levelsFY 2000-2001
2	<u>LBR</u>
3	(II) Level I - Found in employment not included in
4	Level II or continuing education at the adult basic education
5	levelFY 2000-2001 LBR
6	b. Number of adult secondary education literacy
7	completion point completers who left the program and are found
8	placed according to the following definitions:
9	(I) Level II - Found employed at \$3,900 or more per
10	quarter, or found continuing education at the adult secondary,
11	vocational certificate, or college-credit levelsAdult High
12	School, 18,816; GED, 3,677
13	(II) Level I - Found in employment not included in
14	Level II or continuing education at the adult basic education
15	levelAdult High
16	School, 54,410; GED, 7,474
17	2. ADULT GENERAL EDUCATION OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number of literacy completion points completed in
19	Adult Basic Education and Adult Secondary Program.FY 2000-2001
20	LBR
21	b. Number of literacy completion points completed
22	disaggregated by targeted population (WAGES Clients,
23	Economically Disadvantaged, Limited English Proficient,
24	Dislocated Worker, Disabled Individuals) for Adult Basic,
25	Adult High School, and GEDFY 2000-2001 LBR
26	Section 55. The performance measures and standards
27	established in this section for individual programs in Human
28	Services agencies shall be applied to those programs for the
29	1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance measures and
30	standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in
31	the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as
	58
	ነ

1	required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act
2	of 1994.
3	(1) AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION
4	(a) For the Medicaid Health Services Program, the
5	purpose of which is to ensure that health services are
6	provided to Medicaid eligible pregnant women, children,
7	disabled adults, and the elderly, the outcome measures, output
8	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
9	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 224-279 are as
10	follows:
11	1. HEALTH SERVICES TO PREGNANT WOMEN, NEWBORNS, AND
12	WOMEN WHO WANT FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Percent of women receiving adequate prenatal care
14	
15	b. Neonatal mortality rate per 1,0004.86
16	c. Percent of vaginal deliveries with no complications
17	73.1%
18	d. Average length of time between pregnancies for
19	those receiving family planning services (months)37.4
20	2. HEALTH SERVICES TO PREGNANT WOMEN, NEWBORNS, AND
21	WOMEN WHO WANT FAMILY PLANNING SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of women receiving prenatal care137,130
23	b. Number of vaginal deliveries64,152
24	c. Number of women receiving family planning services
25	136,197
26	3. HEALTH SERVICES TO CHILDREN OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Percent of eligible children who received all
28	required components of EPSDT screen64%
29	b. Percent of hospitalizations for conditions
30	preventable with good ambulatory care7.53%
31	
	59

1	c. Ratio of children hospitalized for mental health
2	care to those receiving mental health services6.8
3	4. HEALTH SERVICES TO CHILDREN OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Number of children ages 1-20 enrolled in Medicaid
5	
6	b. Number of children receiving mental health services
7	
8	c. Number of children receiving EPSDT services.127,967
9	d. Number of services by major type of service:
10	(I) Hospital inpatient services39,828
11	(II) Physician services3,475,670
12	(III) Prescribed drugs2,875,949
13	5. HEALTH SERVICES TO WORKING AGE ADULTS
14	(NON-DISABLED) OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Percent of hospitalizations for conditions
16	preventable with good ambulatory care13.3%
17	6. HEALTH SERVICES TO WORKING AGE ADULTS
18	(NON-DISABLED) OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Percent of non-disabled adults receiving a service
20	<u></u>
21	7. HEALTH SERVICES TO DISABLED WORKING AGE ADULTS
22	OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Percent of hospitalizations for conditions
24	preventable with good ambulatory care13.9%
25	8. HEALTH SERVICES TO DISABLED WORKING AGE ADULTS
26	OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Percent of enrolled disabled adults receiving a
28	service88.6%
29	9. HEALTH SERVICES TO ELDERS OUTCOME MEASURES
30	a. Percent of hospital stays for elder recipients
31	exceeding length of stay criteria26%
	60

b. Percent of elder recipients in long-term care who
improve or maintain activities of daily living (ADL)
functioning to those receiving mental health servicesFY
2000-2001 LBR
10. HEALTH SERVICES TO ELDERS OUTPUT MEASURES
a. Number enrolled in long term care waivers9,766
b. Number of elders receiving mental health care.7,688
c. Number of services by major type of service:
(I) Hospital inpatient services89,048
(II) Physician services1,285,488
(III) Prescribed drugs8,337,539
11. ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID POLICY OUTCOME
MEASURES
a. Percent of new recipients voluntarily selecting
managed care plan75%
b. Percent of programs with cost effectiveness
determined annually5%
12. ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH MEDICAID POLICY OUTPUT
MEASURES
a. Number of new provider applications10,600
b. Number of new enrollees provided choice counseling
516,000
c. Number of providers68,276
13. PROCESS MEDICAID PROVIDER CLAIMS OUTCOME
MEASURES
a. Average length of time between receipt of clean
claim and payment (days)16
b. Percent increase in dollars recovered annually5%
c. Amount of recoveries\$19,275,043
d. Cost avoided because of identification of
third-party coverage:
61

1	(I) Commercial coverage\$197,493,244
2	(II) Medicare\$694,234,790
3	14. PROCESS MEDICAID PROVIDER CLAIMS OUTPUT
4	MEASURES
5	a. Number of claims received96,398,352
6	b. Number of claims processed65,400,797
7	c. Number of claims denied30,997,555
8	d. Number of fraud and abuse cases opened3,776
9	e. Number of fraud and abuse cases closed4,683
10	f. Number of referrals to the Medicaid Fraud Control
11	Unit/Attorney General's Office175
12	(b) For the Health Services Quality Assurance Program,
13	the purpose of which is to ensure that all Floridians have
14	access to quality health care and services through the
15	licensure and certification of facilities, and in responding
16	to consumer complaints about facilities, services, and
17	practitioners, the outcome measures, output measures, and
18	associated performance standards with respect to funds
19	provided in Specific Appropriations 280-291 are as follows:
20	1. STATE REGULATION OF HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONERS
21	OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Percentage of Priority I practitioner
23	investigations resulting in emergency action39%
24	b. Average length of time (in days) to take emergency
25	action on Priority I practitioner investigations60
26	c. Percentage of cease and desist orders issued to
27	unlicensed practitioners in which another complaint of
28	unlicensed activity is subsequently filed against the same
29	practitioner7%
30	d. Percentage of licensed practitioners involved in:
31	(I) Serious incidents0.33%
	62

1	(II) Peer review discipline reports0.02%
2	2. STATE REGULATION OF HEALTH CARE PRACTITIONERS
3	OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Number of complaints determined legally sufficient
5	7,112
6	b. Number of legally sufficient complaints resolved
7	by:
8	(I) Findings of no probable cause, including:
9	(A) Nolle prosse680
10	(B) Letters of guidance491
11	(C) Notice of noncompliance35
12	(II) Findings of probable cause, including:
13	(A) Issuance of citation for minor violations34
14	(B) Stipulations or informal hearings662
15	(C) Formal hearings44
16	c. Percentage of investigations completed by priority
17	within timeframe:
18	(I) Priority I - 45 days100%
19	(II) Priority II - 180 days100%
20	(III) Other - 180 days100%
21	d. Average number of practitioner complaint
22	investigations per FTE87
23	e. Number of inquiries to the call center regarding
24	practitioner licensure and disciplinary information113,293
25	3. STATE LICENSURE AND FEDERAL CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH
26	CARE FACILITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Percentage of investigations of alleged unlicensed
28	facilities and programs that have been previously issued a
29	cease and desist order and that are confirmed as repeated
30	unlicensed activity7%
31	
	62
	63

1	b. Percentage of Priority I consumer complaints about
2	licensed facilities and programs that are investigated within
3	48 hours100%
4	c. Percentage of accredited hospitals and ambulatory
5	surgical centers cited for not complying with life safety,
6	licensure, or emergency access standardsFY 2000-2001 LBR
7	d. Percentage of accreditation validation surveys that
8	result in findings of licensure deficienciesFY 2000-2001 LBR
9	e. Percentage of facilities in which deficiencies are
10	found that pose a serious threat to the health, safety, or
11	welfare of the public by type:
12	(I) Nursing Homes5%
13	(II) Assisted Living Facilities5%
14	(III) Home Health AgenciesFY 2000-2001 LBR
15	(IV) Clinical LaboratoriesFY 2000-2001 LBR
16	(V) Ambulatory Surgical CentersFY 2000-2001 LBR
17	(VI) HospitalsFY 2000-2001 LBR
18	f. Percentage of failures by hospitals to report:
19	(I) Serious incidents (agency identified).FY 2000-2001
20	<u>LBR</u>
21	(II) Peer review disciplinary actions (agency
22	identified)FY 2000-2001 LBR
23	4. STATE LICENSURE AND FEDERAL CERTIFICATION OF HEALTH
24	CARE FACILITIES OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of facility emergency actions taken51
26	b. Total number of full facility quality-of-care
27	surveys conducted and by type6,171
28	(I) Nursing Homes815
29	(II) Home Health Agencies
30	(III) Assisted Living Facilities
31	(IV) Clinical Laboratories1,082
	64

1	(V) Hospitals35
2	(VI) Other
3	c. Average processing time (in days) for statewide
4	<u>panel cases259</u>
5	d. Number of hospitals that the agency determine have
6	<pre>not reported:</pre>
7	(I) Serious incidents(agency identified)FY 2000-2001
8	<u>LBR</u>
9	(II) Peer review disciplinary actions (agency
10	identified)FY 2000-2001 LBR
11	5. HEALTH FACILITY PLANS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW
12	OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of plans and construction review performed
14	by type:
15	(I) Nursing Homes
16	(II) Hospitals
17	(III) Ambulatory Surgical Centers400
18	b. Average number of hours for plans and construction
19	survey and review:
20	(I) Nursing Homes35
21	(II) Hospitals35
22	(III) Ambulatory Surgical Centers35
23	(2) DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES
24	(a) For the Florida Abuse Hotline Program, the purpose
25	of which is to serve as a central receiving and referral point
26	for all cases of suspected abuse, neglect, or exploitation of
27	children, disabled adults, and the elderly, the outcome
28	measures, output measures, and associated performance
29	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
30	Appropriations 322-325 are as follows:
31	
	65
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

1	1. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
2	FAMILIES OUTCOME MEASURES
3	a. Percentage of abandoned calls made to the Florida
4	
5	Abuse Hotline2% 2. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
6	FAMILIES OUTPUT MEASURES
7	a. Calls answered
8	b. Percent of calls answered within three minutes98%
9	
	(b) For the Aging and Adult Services Program, the
10	purpose of which is to protect frail elderly and disabled
11	adults who cannot manage their own affairs from abuse,
12	neglect, or exploitation, the outcome measures, output
13	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
14	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 334-341 are as
15	<u>follows:</u>
16	1. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES AND FRAIL ELDERLY WHO ARE
17	VICTIMS OF ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR EXPLOITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Percent of protective supervision cases in which no
19	report alleging abuse, neglect, or exploitation is received
20	while the case is open (from beginning of protective
21	supervision for a maximum of 1 year96%
22	b. Percent of clients satisfied90%
23	c. Percent of case closures for proposed confirmed
24	within 60 days for each district95%
25	2. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES AND FRAIL ELDERLY WHO ARE
26	VICTIMS OF ABUSE, NEGLECT, OR EXPLOITATION OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Number of protective supervision cases in which no
28	report alleging abuse, neglect, or exploitation is received
29	while the case is open (from beginning of protective
30	supervision for a maximum of 1 year)490
31	b. Number of investigations29,993
	66
	n n

1	c. Number of persons referred to other agencies1,700
2	d. Number of persons receiving protective supervision
3	services516
4	3. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO
5	REMAIN IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES
6	a. Percent of adults with disabilities receiving
7	services who are not placed in a nursing home99%
8	b. Percent of clients satisfied95%
9	4. ADULTS WITH DISABILITIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO
10	REMAIN IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Number of adults with disabilities to be served:
12	(I) Community Care for Disabled Adults1,051
13	(II) Home Care for Disabled Adults1,428
14	(III) Number of Medicaid waiver clients served1,397
15	b. Number of persons receiving OSS case management
16	services (Elderly and Disabled) excluding mental health
17	eligible7,062
18	c. Number of persons placed in an Assisted Living
19	Facility, Adult Family-Care Home, or Nursing Home (Elderly and
20	Disabled)FY 2000-2001 LBR
21	(c) For the People with Mental Health and Substance
22	Abuse Problems Program, the purpose of which is to enable
23	adults with mental health problems to function
24	self-sufficiently in the community, enable children with
25	mental health problems to function appropriately and succeed
26	in school, and enable children and adults with or at serious
27	risk of substance abuse problems to be self-sufficient and
28	addiction free, the outcome measures, output measures, and
29	associated performance standards with respect to funds
30	provided in Specific Appropriations 342-356 are as follows:
31	
	67

1	1. CHILDREN INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED IN JUVENILE JUSTICE
2	OUTCOME MEASURES
3	a. Percent of children restored to competency and
4	recommended to proceed with a judicial hearing:
5	(I) With mental illness90%
6	(II) With mental retardation54%
7	b. Percent of community partners satisfied based upon
8	a survey90%
9	c. Percent of children with mental illness restored to
10	competency or determined unrestorable in less than 180 days
11	
12	d. Percent of children with mental retardation
13	restored to competency or determined unrestorable in less than
14	365 days90%
15	e. Percent of children returned to court for a
16	competency hearing and the court concurs with the
17	recommendation of the provider95%
18	2. CHILDREN INCOMPETENT TO PROCEED IN JUVENILE JUSTICE
19	OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number served who are incompetent to proceed224
21	b. Number of days following the determination by the
22	mental health service provider of restoration of competency or
23	unrestorability of competency to the date of the court hearing
24	on the determination of competencyFY 2000-2001 LBR
25	3. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED)
26	OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Projected annual days SED children (excluding those
28	in juvenile justice facilities) spend in the community338
29	b. Average functional level score SED children will
30	have achieved on the Children's Global Assessment of
31	functioning score49
	68

1	c. Percent of families satisfied with the services
2	received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior scale.83%
3	d. Percent of available school days SED children
4	attended during the last 30 days85%
5	e. Percent of commitments or recommitments to Juvenile
6	JusticeFY 2000-2001 LBR
7	f. Percent of community partners satisfied based on a
8	survey90%
9	g. Percent of improvement of the emotional condition
10	or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving
11	the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional
12	disturbance recorded in the initial assessmentFY 2000-2001
13	<u>LBR</u>
14	4. CHILDREN WITH SERIOUS EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE (SED)
15	OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. SED children to be served22,104
17	b. Total average expenditures for services per client
18	(includes Medicaid services)FY 2000-2001 LBR
19	5. CHILDREN WITH EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCES (ED) OUTCOME
20	MEASURES
21	a. Projected annual days ED children (excluding those
22	in juvenile justice facilities) spent in the community350
23	b. Average functional level score ED children will
24	have achieved on the Children's Global Assessment of
25	Functioning scale55
26	c. Percent of available school days ED children attend
27	during the last 30 days87%
28	d. Percent of commitments or recommitments to Juvenile
29	JusticeFY 2000-2001 LBR
30	e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on a
31	survey90%
	69
	03

1	f. Percent of families satisfied with the services
2	received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior scale.85%
3	g. Percent of improvement of the emotional condition
4	or behavior of the child or adolescent evidenced by resolving
5	the presented problem and symptoms of the serious emotional
6	disturbance recorded in the initial assessmentFY 2000-2001
7	<u>LBR</u>
8	6. CHILDREN WITH EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCES (ED) OUTPUT
9	MEASURES
10	a. Number of ED children to be served13,101
11	b. Total average expenditures for services per client
12	(includes Medicaid services)FY 2000-2001 LBR
13	7. CHILDREN AT RISK OF EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE OUTCOME
14	MEASURES
15	a. Percent of families satisfied with the services
16	received as measured by the Family Centered Behavior scale.90%
17	8. CHILDREN AT RISK OF EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE OUTPUT
18	MEASURES
19	a. Number of at-risk children to be served10,390
20	9. CHILDREN WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTCOME
21	MEASURES
22	a. Percent of children who complete treatment72%
23	b. Percent of parents of children receiving services
24	reporting average or above average level of satisfaction on
25	Family Centered Behavior Scale95%
26	c. Percent of children drug free at 6 months following
27	completion of treatmentFY 2000-2001 LBR
28	d. Percent of children receiving services who are
29	satisfied based on survey90%
30	e. Percent of children under the supervision of the
31	state receiving substance abuse treatment who are not
	70

1	committed or recommitted to the Department of Juvenile Justice
2	during the 12 months following treatment completion85%
3	f. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
4	survey90%
5	10. CHILDREN WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTPUT
6	MEASURES
7	a. Number of children served62,979
8	b. Number of children completing treatment4,500
9	11. CHILDREN AT RISK OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE OUTCOME
10	MEASURES
11	a. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs
12	who achieve expected level of improvement in reading75%
13	b. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs
14	who achieve expected level of improvement in math75%
15	c. Percent of children who receive targeted prevention
16	services who are not admitted to substance abuse services
17	during the 12 months after completion of prevention services
18	96%
19	d. Percent of children in targeted prevention programs
20	who perceive substance use to be harmful at the time of
21	discharge when compared to admission76%
22	12. CHILDREN AT RISK OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE OUTPUT
23	MEASURES
24	a. Number of children served in targeted prevention
25	6,233
26	13. ADULTS WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTCOME
27	MEASURES
28	a. Percent drug free at 6 months following completion
29	of treatmentFY 2000-2001 LBR
30	
31	
	71
	71

1	b. Percent of clients completing treatment who are not
2	readmitted for substance abuse services during the 12 months
3	following discharge96%
4	c. Percent of adults employed upon discharge from
5	treatment services61%
6	d. Percent of adult women pregnant during treatment
7	who give birth to substance-free newborns89%
8	e. Average score on the Behavioral Healthcare Rating
9	of Satisfaction138
10	f. Percentage of adults in child welfare protective
11	supervision who have case plans requiring substance abuse
12	treatment who are receiving treatmentFY 2000-2001 LBR
13	g. Percent change in the number of clients with
14	arrests within 90 days following discharge compared to number
15	with arrests within 90 days prior to admission57%
16	h. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
17	surveys90%
18	14. ADULTS WITH SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROBLEMS OUTPUT
19	MEASURES
20	a. Number of adults served141,832
21	b. Number of clients who complete treatment
22	FY 2000-2001 LBR
23	c. Number of adults in child welfare protective
24	supervision who have case plans requiring substance abuse
25	treatment who are receiving treatmentFY 2000-2001 LBR
26	15. ADULTS WITH SERIOUS AND PERSISTENT MENTAL ILLNESS
27	IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Average annual number of days spent in the
29	community (not in institutions or other facilities)340
30	b. Average functional level based on Global Assessment
31	of Functioning score49
	72
	, -

1	c. Average client satisfaction score on the Behavioral
2	Healthcare Rating Scale130
3	d. Average annual days worked for pay30
4	e. Percentage of clients who worked during the year
5	FY 2000-2001 LBR
6	f. Total average monthly income in last 30 days\$530
7	g. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
8	survey90%
9	h. Increase family satisfactionFY 2000-2001 LBR
10	16. ADULTS WITH SERIOUS AND PERSISTENT MENTAL ILLNESS
11	IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of adults with a serious and persistent
13	mental illness in the community served
14	17. ADULTS IN MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Average Global Assessment of Functioning scale
16	change score17
17	b. Percent not readmitted within 30 days100%
18	c. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
19	survey90%
20	d. Increase family satisfactionFY 2000-2001 LBR
21	18. ADULTS IN MENTAL HEALTH CRISIS OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of adults in mental health crisis served
23	
24	19. ADULTS WITH FORENSIC INVOLVEMENT OUTCOME
25	MEASURES
26	a. Average functional level based on Global Assessment
27	of Functioning score47
28	b. Percent of persons who violate their conditional
29	release under chapter 916, Florida Statutes, and are
30	recommitted4%
31	
	73
	, 5

1	c. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
2	survey90%
3	d. Average annual number of days spent in the
4	community (not in institutions or other facilities)325
5	20. ADULTS WITH FORENSIC INVOLVEMENT OUTPUT
6	MEASURES
7	a. Number of adults with forensic involvement served
8	5,845
9	(d) For the Families in Need of Child Care Program and
10	People in Need of Family Safety and Preservation Services
11	Program, the purpose of which is to prevent the reoccurrence
12	of abuse or neglect, to allow parents to obtain and retain
13	employment, to prepare children to enter school ready to
14	learn, and to protect children and adults from abuse, the
15	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
16	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
17	Appropriations 357-375 are as follows:
18	1. FAMILIES IN NEED OF CHILD CARE OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Percent of 4-year-old children placed with
20	contracted providers in care for 9 months who enter
21	Kindergarten ready to learn as determined by DOE or local
22	school systems' readiness assessment80%
23	b. Percent of non-WAGES, working poor clients who need
24	child care that receive subsidized child care services:
25	(I) Ages 0 - 592%
26	(II) School age41.5%
27	(III) All kids63%
28	c. Percent of WAGES clients who need child care that
29	receive subsidized child care services100%
30	d. Percent of licensed child care providers who are
31	satisfied with the licensing process93%
	74

1	e. Percent of licensed child care facilities and homes
2	with no class 1 (serious) violations during their licensure
3	<u>year97</u> %
4	f. Number of provisional licenses as a result of
5	noncompliance with child care standards375
6	g. Number of verified incidents of abuse and/or
7	neglect in licensed child care arrangements62
8	h. Percent of clients receiving subsidized child care
9	services who are satisfied95%
10	2. FAMILIES IN NEED OF CHILD CARE OUTPUTS MEASURES
11	a. Total number served:134,009
12	(I) At Risk13,250
13	(II) Working Poor53,739
14	(III) Migrants
15	(IV) WAGES/Transitional Child Care64,140
16	3. FAMILIES KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT WITH CHILDREN AT
17	RISK OF ABUSE OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Percent of children in families who complete
19	intensive child abuse prevention programs of 3 months or more
20	who are not abused or neglected within:
21	(I) 6 months of program completion95%
22	(II) 12 months of program completion95%
23	(III) 18 months of program completion95%
24	b. Percent of families receiving parent education and
25	other parent skill building services, lasting 6 weeks or
26	longer, who show improved family skills and capacity to care
27	for their childrenFY 2000-2001 LBR
28	c. Percent of clients satisfied95%
29	4. FAMILIES KNOWN TO THE DEPARTMENT WITH CHILDREN AT
30	RISK OF ABUSE OUTPUT MEASURES
31	a. Number of persons served153,005
	75
	, 5

1	b. Number receiving information and referral services
2	61,287
3	5. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
4	FAMILIES OUTCOME MEASURES
5	a. Percent of children who have no findings of child
6	maltreatment within 1 year of case closure from services95%
7	b. Percent of children reunified with family who
8	return to foster care within one year of case closure3%
9	c. Percent of children not abused or neglected during
10	services97%
11	d. Percent of clients satisfied95%
12	e. Percent of families receiving ongoing services who
13	show improved scores on the Child Well-Being ScalesFY
14	2000-2001 LBR
15	f. Percent of children given exit interviews who were
16	satisfied with their foster care placementFY 2000-2001 LBR
17	6. CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN ABUSED OR NEGLECTED BY THEIR
18	FAMILIES OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Reports of child abuse/neglect126,735
20	b. Children identified as abused/neglected during year
21	
22	c. Number of families served by Intensive Crisis
23	Counseling Program, Family Builders6,767
24	d. Number of families served by Protective Supervision
25	
26	e. Number of children served in foster care16,313
27	f. Number of children served in relative care8,126
28	g. Percent of alleged victims seen within 24 hours
29	100%
30	h. Percent of investigations completed within 30 days
31	100%
	76

1	i. Percent of children who exited out-of-home care by
2	the 12th monthFY 2000-2001 LBR
3	j. Percent of cases reviewed by supervisors in
4	accordance with department timeframes for early warning system
5	FY 2000-2001 LBR
6	k. Number of individuals under the department's
7	protective supervision who have case plans requiring substance
8	abuse treatment who are receiving treatmentFY 2000-2001 LBR
9	1. Percent of individuals under the department's
10	protective supervision who have case plans requiring substance
11	abuse treatment who are receiving treatmentFY 2000-2001 LBR
12	m. Ratio of certified workers to children.FY 2000-2001
13	LBR
14	7. VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Ratio of incidents reported resulting in injury or
16	harm to clients as a result of inadequate security procedures
17	per 1,000 shelter daysFY 2000-2001 LBR
18	b. Percent of clients satisfied95%
19	8. VICTIMS OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number of individuals receiving case management
21	services
22	b. Number of children counseled20,340
23	c. Number of adults counseled108,442
24	d. Percent of adult and child victims in shelter more
25	than 72 hours having a plan for family safety and security
26	when they leave shelter100%
27	e. Number of individuals served in emergency shelters
28	
29	9. CHILD VICTIMS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT WHO BECOME
30	ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION OUTCOME MEASURES
31	
	77

1	a. Percent of children who are adopted of the number
2	of children legally available for adoption90%
3	b. Percent of clients satisfied95%
4	10. CHILD VICTIMS OF ABUSE OR NEGLECT WHO BECOME
5	ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Children receiving adoptive services4,454
7	b. Children receiving subsidies12,454
8	c. Number of children placed in adoption1,900
9	(e) For the People with Developmental Disabilities
10	Program, the purpose of which is to enable individuals with
11	developmental disabilities to live everyday lives, as measured
12	by achievement of valued personal outcomes appropriate to life
13	stages from birth to death, the outcome measures, output
14	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
15	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 376-390 are as
16	follows:
17	1. PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Percent of people who have a quality of life score
19	of 19 out of 25 or greater on the Outcome Based Performance
20	Measures Assessment at annual reassessment
21	b. Percent of adults living in homes of their own
22	
23	c. Percent of people who are employed in integrated
24	settings27.5%
25	d. Percent of clients satisfied with services95%
26	2. PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY OUTPUT MEASURES
27	a. Children and adults provided case management.28,664
28	b. Children and adults provided residential care.4,907
29	(f) For the Economic Self-Sufficiency Program, the
30	purpose of which is to help people become economically
31	self-sufficient through programs such as Food Assistance, Work
	78
	, 0

1	and Gain Economic Self-Sufficiency (WAGES), Refugee
2	Assistance, and Medicaid eligibility services, including
3	disability determination eligibility, the outcome measures,
4	output measures, and associated performance standards with
5	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 391-404
6	are as follows:
7	1. WAGES/ADULTS AND FAMILIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO
8	BECOME EMPLOYED OUTCOME MEASURES
9	a. Percentage of applications processed within time
LO	standards (total)100%
L1	b. Percentage of Food Stamp applications processed
L2	within 30 days100%
L3	c. Percentage of cash assistance applications
L4	processed within 45 days100%
L5	d. Percentage of Medicaid applications processed
L6	within 45 days100%
L7	e. Percentage of disabled adult payment applications
L8	processed within 90 days100%
L9	f. Percentage of Food Stamp benefits determined
20	accurately90.70%
21	g. Percentage of WAGES cash assistance benefits
22	determined accurately93.89%
23	h. Percentage of Medicaid benefits determined
24	accurately100%
25	i. Percentage of Benefit Recovery claims established
26	within 90 days100%
27	j. Percentage of dollars collected for established
28	Benefit Recovery claims50%
29	k. Percentage of suspected fraud cases referred that
30	result in Front-end Fraud Prevention savings70%
31	
	79

1	1. Percentage of WAGES sanctions referred by the local
2	WAGES coalitions that are executed within 10 days100%
3	m. Percentage of work eligible WAGES participants
4	accurately referred to the local WAGES coalitions within 1
5	work day100%
6	n. Percentage of Refugee Assistance cases accurately
7	closed at 8 months or less100%
8	o. Percentage of clients satisfied with eligibility
9	services:
10	(I) WAGES95%
11	(II) All other programs95%
12	2. WAGES/ADULTS AND FAMILIES WHO NEED ASSISTANCE TO
13	BECOME EMPLOYED OUTPUT MEASURES
14	a. Total number of applications2,575,690
15	b. Dollars collected through Benefit Recovery
16	\$21,000,000
17	c. Number of Front-end Fraud Prevention investigations
18	completed25,200
19	d. Dollars saved through Front-end Fraud Prevention
20	\$17,900,000
21	e. Number of WAGES participants referred to the local
22	WAGES coalitions125,000
23	f. Number of refugee cases closed5,600
24	(g) For the Mental Health Institutions Program, the
25	purpose of which is to prepare adults with mental health
26	problems to function self-sufficiently in the community, the
27	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
28	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
29	Appropriations 413-419 are as follows:
30	1. ADULTS IN CIVIL COMMITMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
31	
	80

1	a. Percent of patients who improve mental health based
2	on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale65%
3	b. Average scores on a community readiness/ability
4	surveyFY 2000-2001 LBR
5	c. Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents
6	in each mental health institution20
7	d. Percent of patients satisfied based on survey90%
8	e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
9	surveyFY 2000-2001 LBR
LO	f. Percent of people served who are discharged to the
L1	<u>community50%</u>
L2	g. Percent of patients readmitted within 1 yearFY
L3	2000-2001 LBR
L4	h. Percent of residents who meet readiness for
L5	discharge criteria between 6 months and 12 months after
L6	admissionFY 2000-2001 LBR
L7	2. ADULTS IN CIVIL COMMITMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
L8	a. Number of people served3,000
L9	3. ADULTS IN FORENSIC COMMITMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
20	a. Percent of residents who improve mental health
21	based on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale77%
22	b. Average number of days to restore competency167
23	c. Annual number of harmful events per 100 residents
24	in each mental health institution10
25	d. Percent of residents satisfied based on survey80%
26	e. Percent of community partners satisfied based on
27	survey90%
28	f. Percent of residents restored to competency and
29	ready for discharge within 6 months after admissionFY
30	2000-2001 LBR
31	
	81

1	g. Percent of residents restored to competency and
2	ready for discharge between 6 and 12 months after admission.FY
3	2000-2001 LBR
4	4. ADULTS IN FORENSIC COMMITMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Number served1,742
6	b. Number of adult abuse reports confirmed or proposed
7	confirmedFY 2000-2001 LBR
8	c. Number of adult abuse or neglect reports from
9	mental health hospitalsFY 2000-2001 LBR
10	(h) For the Developmental Services Institutions
11	Program, the purpose of which is to enable individuals with
12	developmental disabilities to live everyday lives, as measured
13	by achievement of valued personal outcomes appropriate to life
14	stages from birth to death, the outcome measures, output
15	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
16	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 420-425 are as
17	<u>follows:</u>
18	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Annual number of significant reportable incidents
20	per 100 persons with developmental disabilities living in
21	developmental services institutions26
22	b. Percent of people discharged as planned100%
23	c. Percent of clients satisfied with services95%
24	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Adults receiving services in developmental services
26	<u>institutions1,357</u>
27	b. Adults incompetent to proceed provided competency
28	training and custodial care in the Mentally Retarded
29	Defendants Program156
30	(3) Department of Elderly Affairs
31	
	82

1	(a) For the program entitled Service to Elders
2	Program, the purpose of which is to assist elders to live in
3	the least restrictive and most appropriate community settings
4	and maintain independence, the outcome measures, output
5	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
6	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 426-443 are as
7	follows:
8	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
9	a. Percentage of elders CARES determined to be
10	eligible for nursing home placement who are diverted15.1%
11	b. Percentage of Adult Protective Services referrals
12	servedFY 2000-2001 LBR
13	c. Percentage of CARES imminent risk referrals served
14	
15	d. Satisfaction with the quality and delivery of home
16	and community-based care for service recipients is equal or
17	greater than previous periodsFY 2000-2001 LBR
18	e. Cost of home and community-based care (including
19	non-DOEA programs) is less than nursing home care for
20	comparable client groupsFY 2000-2001 LBR
21	f. Percentage of elders assessed with high or moderate
22	risk environments who improved their environment score83%
23	g. Percentage of elders assessed with a high social
24	isolation score who have improved in receiving services53%
25	h. Percentage of new service recipients with high-risk
26	nutrition scores whose nutritional status improvedFY
27	2000-2001 LBR
28	i. Percentage of new service recipients whose ADL
29	assessment score has been maintained or improvedFY 2000-2001
30	<u>LBR</u>
31	
	83

1	j. Percentage of new service recipients whose IADL
2	assessment score has been maintained or improvedFY 2000-2001
3	<u>LBR</u>
4	k. Percentage of family and family-assisted caregivers
5	who self-report they are very likely to provide care95%
6	1. Percentage of caregivers assessed at risk who
7	self-report they are very likely of continuing to provide care
8	FY 2000-2001 LBR
9	m. Percentage of people placed in jobs after
10	participating in the Older Worker Program77%
11	n. Average wage at placement for people in the Older
12	Worker Program\$7.07
13	o. Percentage of new service recipients (congregate
14	meal sites) whose nutritional status has been maintained or
15	improvedFY 2000-2001 LBR
16	p. Percentage of Elder Helplines with an excellent
17	rating on the Elder Helpline evaluation assessmentFY
18	2000-2001 LBR
19	q. Percentage of people who rate the Memory Disorder
20	Clinic assessment conference as very helpfulFY 2000-2001 LBR
21	r. Percent of clients satisfied with the quality of
22	insurance counseling and information received.FY 2000-2001 LBR
23	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Total number of CARES assessments77,410
25	b. Percentage of Community Care for the Elderly
26	clients defined as "probable Medicaid eligibles" who remain in
27	state-funded programs
28	c. Number of elders who enter DOEA service programs
29	each year with a risk score above the 1997-1998 average2,481
30	
31	
	84

1	d. Number of elders who enter DOEA service programs
2	each year with a frailty level above the 1997-1998 average
3	
4	e. Percentage of copayment goal collected100%
5	f. Number of caregivers assessed11,806
6	g. Number of people trained in Older Workers Program
7	609
8	h. Number of new congregate meal service recipients
9	(assessed)FY 2000-2001 LBR
10	i. Number of people evaluated for memory loss by
11	Memory Disorder ClinicsFY 2000-2001 LBR
12	j. Number of volunteer hoursFY 2000-2001 LBR
13	k. Number of volunteersFY 2000-2001 LBR
14	1. Number of people served127,589
15	Section 56. The performance measures and standards
16	established in this section for individual programs in Public
17	Safety and Judiciary agencies shall be applied to those
18	programs for the 1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance
19	measures and standards are directly linked to the
20	appropriations made in the General Appropriations Act for
21	Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as required by the Government
22	Performance and Accountability Act of 1994.
23	(1) DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
24	(a) For the Custody and Control Program, the purpose
25	of which is to protect the public and provide a safe secure
26	environment for incarcerated offenders and the staff
27	maintaining custody of them by applying effective physical
28	security methods and procedures and providing accurate risk
29	assessment and classification of inmates and adequate
30	nutrition and facility maintenance, the outcome measures,
31	output measures, and associated performance standards with
	85

1	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 566-578A
2	are as follows:
3	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Number of escapes from the secure perimeter of
5	major institutions0
6	b. Percentage of inmates who did not escape when
7	assigned outside a secure perimeter99.9%
8	c. Number of inmate-on-inmate physical assaults on one
9	or more persons
10	d. Number of inmate-on-staff physical assaults on one
11	or more persons592
12	e. Number of major disciplinary reports per 1,000
13	inmates900
14	f. Number of inmates receiving major disciplinary
15	reports per 1,000 inmates
16	g. Percentage of random inmate drug tests that are
17	negative98.5%
18	h. Total number and percentage of inmate random drug
19	tests that are positive1,381/1.5%
20	(b) For the Health Services Program, the purpose of
21	which is to protect the public and maintain a humane
22	environment in correctional institutions for incarcerated
23	offenders and the staff maintaining custody of them by
24	applying effective basic health care treatment to inmates, the
25	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
26	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
27	Appropriations 600-603 are as follows:
28	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Total number of health care grievances upheld50
30	b. Percentage of health care grievances upheld1.6%
31	
	86

1	c. Number of suicides per 1,000 inmates within DOC
2	compared to the national average for correctional
3	facilities/institutions0.06%/National
4	average not available
5	(c) For the Community Corrections Program the purpose
6	of which is to assist sentenced felony offenders to become
7	productive law abiding citizens by applying supervision in the
8	community to hold offenders accountable to the conditions of
9	their supervision and to detect violations of those conditions
10	and make apprehensions when violations or new crimes occur,
11	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
12	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
13	Appropriations 579-589 are as follows:
14	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded within
16	2 years3,544/4.1%
17	b. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
18	supervision revoked within 2 years33,204/37.0%
19	c. Number/percentage of offenders who did not
20	participate in or did not complete programs3,392/4.4%
21	d. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
22	supervision revoked who did not participate in or did not
23	complete programs
24	e. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who
25	completed a secure residential drug treatment program0/0.0%
26	f. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
27	supervision revoked who completed a secure residential drug
28	treatment program21/10.2%
29	g. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who
30	completed a nonsecure residential drug treatment program
31	36/2.3%
	87

1	h. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
2	supervision revoked who completed a nonsecure residential drug
3	treatment program455/29.6%
4	i. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who
5	completed a nonresidential drug treatment program61/1.3%
6	j. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
7	supervision revoked who completed a nonresidential drug
8	treatment program866/18.4%
9	k. Number/percentage of offenders who absconded who
10	completed a program at a Probation and Restitution Center
11	12/2.8%
12	1. Number/percentage of offenders who had their
13	supervision revoked who completed a program at a Probation and
14	Restitution Center
15	m. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
16	completed supervision/work release, but are subsequently
17	recommitted to prison for committing a new crime within 2
18	<u>years497/1.2%</u>
19	n. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
20	completed supervision/work release, but are subsequently
21	$\underline{\text{recommitted}}$ to supervision for committing a new crime within $\underline{2}$
22	<u>years2,211/5.7%</u>
23	o. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
24	completed a secure residential drug treatment program, but are
25	subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new crime
26	within 2 years0/0.0%
27	p. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
28	completed a secure residential drug treatment program, but are
29	subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a new
30	<u>crime within 2 years</u>
31	
	88
	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	q. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
2	completed a nonsecure residential drug treatment program, but
3	are subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new
4	crime within 2 years
5	r. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
6	completed a nonsecure residential drug treatment program, but
7	are subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a
8	new crime within 2 years26/10.2%
9	s. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
10	completed a nonresidential drug treatment program, but are
11	subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new crime
12	within 2 years17/0.6%
13	t. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
14	completed a nonresidential drug treatment program, but are
15	subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a new
16	crime within 2 years171/5.7%
17	u. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
18	completed a probation and restitution center program, but are
19	subsequently recommitted to prison for committing a new crime
20	within 2 years0/0.0%
21	v. Number/percentage of offenders who successfully
22	completed a probation and restitution center program, but are
23	subsequently recommitted to supervision for committing a new
24	crime within 2 years8/8.6%
25	w. Number/percentage of offenders supervised in the
26	community who are ordered by the court to participate in
27	programs and the percentage of those that participate in
28	educational and/or vocational programs1,874/95.3%
29	x. Number/percentage of offenders supervised in the
30	community who are ordered by the court to participate in
31	
	89

1	programs and the percentage of those that participate in drug
2	treatment programs34,142/81.7%
3	y. Percentage of offenders supervised in the community
4	who are ordered by the court to participate in educational
5	and/or vocational programs and the percentage of those who
6	participate1,874/95.3%
7	z. Percentage of offenders supervised in the community
8	who are ordered by the court to participate in drug treatment
9	programs and the percentage of those who participate
10	34,142/81.7%
11	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
13	in the community on administrative supervision compared to the
14	department standard
15	b. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
16	in the community on basic risk supervision compared to the
17	department standard
18	c. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
19	in the community on enhanced risk supervision compared to the
20	department standard
21	d. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
22	in the community on intensive risk supervision compared to the
23	department standard
24	e. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
25	in the community on close risk supervision compared to the
26	department standard
27	f. Number of monthly personal contacts with offenders
28	in the community on community control compared to the
29	department standard
30	g. Total annual dollar amount collected from offenders
31	on community supervision only by DOC\$65,061,512
	80

1	h. Total annual dollar amount collected from offenders
2	on community supervision only by DOC for restitution
3	\$27,432,748
4	i. Total annual dollar amount collected from offenders
5	on community supervision only by DOC for other court-ordered
6	costs\$13,129,604
7	j. Total annual dollar amount collected from offenders
8	on community supervision only by DOC for costs of supervision
9	\$23,592,056
10	k. Annual dollar amount collected for subsistence from
11	offenders/inmates in community correctional centers.\$7,835,742
12	1. Annual dollar amount collected for subsistence from
13	offenders/inmates in probation and restitution centers
14	\$571,560
15	(d) For the Offender Work and Training Program, the
16	purpose of which is to use the labor of incarcerated adult and
17	youthful offenders to benefit the state local communities and
18	victims of crimes by providing educational vocational and life
19	management opportunities that reduce the costs of prison
20	construction provide projects to improve communities and
21	provide inmate work administered by other state agencies, the
22	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
23	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
24	Appropriations 590-598A are as follows:
25	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
26	a. Number and percentage of inmates needing mandatory
27	literacy program who participate in mandatory literacy
28	programs8,364/64%
29	b. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
30	mandatory literacy programs who complete mandatory literacy
31	programs3,364/40%
	91

c. Number and percentage of inmates needing GED
education programs who participate in GED education programs
d. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
GED education programs who complete GED education programs
e. Number and percentage of inmates needing special
education programs who participate in special education
programs3,011/85%
f. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
special education programs who complete special education
programsFY 2000-2001 LBR
g. Number and percentage of inmates needing vocational
education programs who participate in vocational education
programs9,960/64%
h. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
vocational education programs who complete vocational
education programs
i. Number and percentage of inmates needing drug abuse
education/treatment programs who participate in drug abuse
education/treatment programs
j. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
drug abuse education/treatment programs who complete drug
abuse education/treatment programs6,316/34%
k. Number and percentage of inmates needing life
skills programs who participate in life skills programs
1. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
life skills programs who complete life skills programs.160/43%
m. Number and percentage of inmates needing transition
programs who participate in transition programs4,486/100%
92

n. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
transition programs who complete transition programs.3,368/75%
o. Number and percentage of inmates needing wellness
programs who participate in wellness programs2,396/90%
p. Number and percentage of inmates participating in
wellness programs who complete wellness programs672/28%
q. Percentage of inmates placed in a facility that
provides at least one of inmate's primary program needs75%
r. Number of inmates available for work assignments
and the percentage of those available for work who are not
assigned50,971/2.3%
s. Number of available work assignments34,626
t. Average increase in grade level achieved by inmates
participating in educational programs per instructional period
u. Number of GED certificates earned by offenders per
<u>teacher</u> 15.03 for 156 teachers
v. Number of vocational certificates earned by
offenders per teachers17.39 for 139 teachers
2. OUTPUT MEASURES
a. Number and percent of transition plans completed
for inmates released from prison22,338/95%
b. Number of mandatory literacy programs completed by
offenders per teacher with number of GED/MLP teachers shown
21.27/156 teachers
c. Number of victims notified annually and the
percentage of victim notifications that meet the statutory
time period requirements15,586/FY 2000-2001 LBR
d. Number of annual volunteer hours in the chaplaincy
program with annual percentage change shown250,000/2.8%
93

1	Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews
2	required by sections 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes,
3	shall be included in the agency Fiscal Year 2000-2001
4	Legislative Budget Request. Measures for which data are
5	unavailable should be included with an explanation as to the
6	utility of the measure.
7	(2) DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE JUSTICE
8	(a) For the Juvenile Detention Program, the purpose of
9	which is to maintain, develop, and implement a comprehensive
10	range of detention services to protect the community, hold
11	youths accountable, and ensure the appearance of youths for
12	court proceedings, the outcome measures, output measures, and
13	associated performance standards with respect to funds
14	provided in Specific Appropriations 966-968A are as follows.
15	1. SECURE DETENTION OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Number of escapes from secure detention facilities
17	per 100,000 resident days
18	b. Number of youth-on-youth batteries (assaults
19	requiring medical attention) per 100,000 resident days while
20	in secure detention98
21	c. Number of youth-on-staff batteries (assaults
22	requiring medical attention) per 100,000 resident days while
23	in secure detention22
24	2. SECURE DETENTION OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of admissions to secure detention facilities
26	
27	b. Number of releases from secure detention facilities
28	
29	c. Average daily population for secure detention as
30	compared to fixed capacity beds in secure detention as of June
31	302,571:2,222
	94

1	3. HOME/NONSECURE DETENTION OUTCOMES
2	a. Number of absconds from home detention per 100,000
3	resident days121
4	b. Number of new law violations from home detention
5	per 100,000 resident days92
6	4. HOME/NONSECURE DETENTION OUTPUTS
7	a. Number of admissions into home detention/nonsecure
8	detention
9	b. Average daily population for home detention2,751
LO	(b) For the Juvenile Offender Program the purpose of
L1	which is to provide protection for the public from juvenile
L2	crime by reducing juvenile delinquency through the development
L3	and implementation of an effective continuum of services and
L4	commitment programs including secure residential programs, the
L5	outcome measures, and output measures, and associated
L6	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
L7	Specific Appropriations 969-972C are as follows:
L8	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
L9	a. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
20	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
21	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
22	a low-risk program46.6%
23	b. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
24	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
25	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
26	a moderate-risk program46.8%
27	c. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
28	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
29	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
30	a high-risk program47.4%
31	
	95

1	d. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
2	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
3	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
4	a maximum-risk program38.5%
5	e. Percentage of juveniles who were adjudicated or had
6	adjudication withheld in juvenile court or convicted in adult
7	court for a crime which occurred within 1 year of release from
8	an aftercare program41.8%
9	f. Percentage of escapes from low-risk residential
10	commitment programs8.7%
11	g. Percentage of escapes from moderate-risk
12	residential commitment programs
13	h. Percentage of escapes from high-risk residential
14	commitment programs
15	i. Percentage of escapes from maximum residential
16	commitment programs
17	j. Percentage of residential commitment program
18	reviews conducted by Quality Assurance which indicate
19	satisfactory or higher ratings on all physical plant safety
20	and security standards80%
21	k. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
22	youth in low-risk residential commitment programs0.18
23	1. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
24	youth in moderate-risk residential commitment programs0.23
25	m. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
26	youth in high-risk residential commitment programs0.4
27	n. Number of youth-on-youth assaults/batteries per 100
28	youth in low-risk residential commitment programs0
29	o. Number of youth-on-staff assaults/batteries per 100
30	youth in low-risk residential commitment programs1.0
31	
	96

1	p. Number of youth-on-staff assaults/batteries per 100
2	youth in moderate-risk residential commitment programs1.5
3	q. Number of youth-on-staff assaults/batteries per 100
4	youth in high-risk residential commitment programs2.0
5	r. Number of youth-on-staff assaults/batteries per 100
6	youth in low-risk residential commitment programs5.0
7	s. Percentage of residential commitment program
8	reviews conducted by Quality Assurance, which indicated
9	satisfactory or higher ratings on overall quality94%
10	t. Percentage of residential commitment program
11	reviews conducted by Quality Assurance which indicate
12	satisfactory or higher ratings on staff-to-youth ratios80%
13	u. Percentage of youth who were adjudicated or had
14	adjudication withheld for a crime which occurred within one
15	year of exiting a nonresidential program34.7%
16	v. Percentage of cases processed within statutory time
17	frames71.80%
18	w. Average time in days to make recommendations to the
19	State Attorney once the law enforcement report is received9
20	x. The number and percentage of contracts awarded on a
21	competitive basis50/74.6%
22	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Total number of youth served and average daily
24	population of youth served in low-risk residential commitment
25	programs
26	b. Total number of youth served and average daily
27	population of youth served in moderate-risk residential
28	commitment programs9,115/2,681
29	c. Total number of youth served and average daily
30	population of youth served in high-risk residential commitment
31	programs4,030/1,969
	0.7
	97

1	d. Total number of youth served and average daily
2	population of youth served in maximum-risk residential
3	commitment programs
4	e. Number of low-risk residential commitment beds
5	on-line530
6	f. Number of moderate-risk residential commitment beds
7	on-line3,852
8	g. Number of high-risk residential commitment beds
9	on-line
10	h. Number of maximum-risk residential commitment beds
11	on-line297
12	i. Number of youth receiving supervision services,
13	either state or contracted, in community control30,000
14	j. Number of youth receiving supervision services,
15	either state or contracted, in diversion programs17,824
16	k. Average annual community control and intake
17	caseload compared to agency standard for 1,080 FTE42:1/32:1
18	1. Number of youth processed through intake112,000
19	
20	Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews
21	required by sections 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes,
22	shall be included in the agency Fiscal Year 2000-2001
23	Legislative Budget Request. Measures for which data are
24	unavailable should be included with an explanation as to the
25	utility of the measure.
26	(3) DEPARTMENT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT
27	(a) For the Criminal Justice Investigations and
28	Forensic Science Program the purpose of which is to manage,
29	coordinate and provide investigative, forensic, prevention and
30	protection services and through partnerships with local,
31	state, and federal criminal justice agencies to improve the
	98

1	state's capacity to prevent crime and detect, capture and
2	prosecute criminal suspects, the outcome measures, output
3	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
4	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 982-986 are as
5	follows:
6	1. LABORATORY SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
7	a. Number/percentage of service requests by lab
8	discipline completed73,500/95%
9	b. Average number of days to complete lab service
10	requests, excluding serology and DNA30
11	c. Average number of days to complete lab service
12	requests for serology50
13	d. Average number of days to complete lab service
14	requests for DNA115
15	2. INVESTIGATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTCOME
16	MEASURES
17	a. Number/percentage of closed criminal investigations
18	resolved1,008/85%
19	b. Number/percentage of criminal investigations closed
20	resulting in an arrest826/65%/2,212
21	3. LABORATORY SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of crime scenes processed600
23	b. Number of DNA samples added to DNA database7,000
24	c. Number of expert witness appearances in court
25	proceedings
26	4. INVESTIGATION AND SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT
27	MEASURES
28	a. Number of criminal investigations worked2,794
29	b. Number of criminal investigations commenced1,504
30	c. Number/percentage of criminal investigations closed
31	
	99

1	d. Number of short-term investigative assists worked
2	566
3	5. PROTECTIVE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Number of dignitaries provided with FDLE protective
5	services52
6	b. Number of background investigations performed.3,500
7	(b) For the Criminal Justice Information Program the
8	purpose of which is to provide criminal justice information
9	needed to prevent crime, solve cases, recover property and
10	identify and apprehend criminals; to provide screening to
11	identify persons with criminal warrants, arrests, and
12	convictions; and to provide statistical and analytical
13	information about crime to policymakers and the public, the
14	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
15	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
16	Appropriations 987-992 are as follows:
17	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Percentage of responses to simulated FCIC queries
19	within defined time frame90%
20	b. Percent of time FCIC is running and accessible
21	99.5%
22	c. Percentage response to criminal history record
23	check customers within defined time frame92%
24	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Percentage of criminal arrest information received
26	electronically (through AFIS) for entry into the criminal
27	history system70%
28	b. Number of agencies/FCIC work stations networked
29	
30	c. Number of agencies connected to the Criminal
31	Justice Network757
	100
	100

1	d. Number of responses to requests for crime
2	statistics30,000
3	e. Number of responses to requests for criminal
4	history record checks
5	f. Number of registered sexual predators/ offenders
6	identified to the public15,350
7	g. Number of responses to requests for sexual
8	<pre>predator/offender information</pre>
9	h. Number of missing children cases worked through
10	MCIC602
11	(c) For Criminal Justice Professionalism Program the
12	purpose of which is to promote and facilitate the competency
13	and professional conduct of criminal justice officers through
14	a partnership with criminal justice agencies in provide
15	entry-level and in-service officer training and maintain
16	disciplinary procedures the outcome measures, output measures,
17	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
18	provided in Specific Appropriations 993-996 are as follows:
19	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
20	a. Number/percentage of individuals who pass the basic
21	professionalism certification examination for law enforcement
22	officers, correctional officers, and correctional probation
23	officers5,140/75%
24	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of course curricula and examinations
26	developed or revised109
27	b. Number of examinations administered7,000
28	c. Number of individuals trained by the Florida
29	Criminal Justice Executive Institute549
30	d. Number of law enforcement officers trained by DARE
31	
	101

1	e. Number of discipline referrals processed for state
2	and local LEOs and COs and CPOs pursuant to Ch. 120, F.S.
3	2,100
4	f. Number of criminal justice officer disciplinary
5	actions452
6	g. Number of program and financial compliance audits
7	performed3,155
8	h. Number of records audited to validate the accuracy
9	and completeness of ATMS2 record information2,138
10	
11	Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews
12	required by sections 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes,
13	shall be included in the agency Fiscal Year 2000-2001
14	Legislative Budget Request. Measures for which data are
15	unavailable should be included with an explanation as to the
16	utility of the measure.
17	(4) DEPARTMENT OF LEGAL AFFAIRS
18	(a) For the Office of the Attorney General Program,
19	the purpose of which is to provide civil representation and
20	legal services on behalf of the State of Florida, and to
21	assist crime victims and law enforcement agencies through
22	associated support services, the outcome measures, output
23	measures and associated performance standards with respect to
24	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 997-1013 are as
25	follows:
26	1. CIVIL REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL SERVICE OUTCOME
27	MEASURES
28	a. Average number of days for opinion response29
29	b. Percent of mediated cases resolved in 3 weeks or
30	less75%
31	
	102

1	c. Percent of lemon law cases resolved in less than
2	one year99%
3	2. CIVIL REPRESENTATION AND LEGAL SERVICES OUTPUT
4	MEASURES
5	a. Cases opened
6	b. Cases closed4,700
7	c. Number of capital briefs/state & federal
8	responses/oral arguments270
9	d. Number of noncapital briefs/state & federal
10	responses/oral arguments11,289
11	e. Number of Antitrust cases closed20
12	f. Number of Economic Crime cases closed375
13	g. Number of Medicaid Fraud cases closed625
14	h. Number of Children's Legal Services (uncontested
15	disposition orders entered) cases closed
16	i. Number of Ethics cases closed15
17	j. Opinions issued255
18	k. Number/percent of disputes resolved through
19	mediation105/76%
20	1. Cost per mediation\$555
21	3. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICE OUTCOME
22	MEASURES
23	a. Average number of days from application to payment
24	42
25	b. Percent of counties receiving motor vehicle theft
26	grant funds that experienced a reduction in motor vehicle
27	theft incidents below 1994 levels85%
28	4. CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICES OUTPUT
29	MEASURES
30	a. Number of victim compensation claims eligibility
31	determinations7,950
	103
	103

1	b. Number of victim compensation claims paid7,000
2	c. Number of victim compensation final orders issued
3	
4	d. Number of sexual battery examination claims paid
5	
6	e. Number of appellate services provided800
7	f. Number of information and referral services
8	provided25,000
9	g. Number of VOCA grants funded200
10	h. Number of victims served through contract100,000
11	i. Number of motor vehicle theft grants funded40
12	j. Number of people attending training (victims/crime
13	<pre>prevention)</pre>
14	k. Number of training sessions held (victims/crime
15	<u>prevention</u>)33/30
16	(b) For the Statewide Prosecution Program the purpose
17	of which is to investigate and prosecute criminal offenses
18	enumerated in section 16.56, Florida Statutes, when they have
19	been part of an organized crime conspiracy affecting two or
20	more judicial circuits, including assistance to federal state
21	attorneys and local law enforcement offices in their efforts
22	against organized crime, the outcome measures, output
23	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
24	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1014-1016 are as
25	follows:
26	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Of the defendants who reached disposition, the
28	number of those convicted625
29	b. Conviction rate per defendant96%
30	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
31	a. Number of law enforcement agencies assisted66
	104

1	b. Ratio of request to number of intake prosecutors
2	
3	c. Ratio of investigations to number of prosecutors
4	21:1
5	d. Ratio of total filed cases to total number of
6	prosecutors8:1
7	
8	Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews
9	required by sections 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes,
LO	shall be included in the agency Fiscal Year 2000-2001
L1	Legislative Budget Request. Measures for which data are
L2	unavailable should be included with an explanation as to the
L3	utility of the measure.
L4	Section 57. The performance measures and standards
L5	established in this section for individual programs in Natural
L6	Resources shall be applied to those programs for the 1999-2000
L7	fiscal year. These performance measures and standards are
L8	directly linked to the appropriations made in the General
L9	Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as required by
20	the Government Performance and Accountability Act of 1994.
21	(1) DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AND CONSUMER SERVICES
22	(a) For the Food Safety and Quality Program, the
23	purpose of which is to ensure the safety, wholesomeness,
24	quality, and accurate labeling of food products through
25	inspections, laboratory analyses, consumer assistance, and
26	enforcement actions, the outcome measures, output measures,
27	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
28	provided in Specific Appropriations 1042-1046 are as follows:
29	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
30	
31	
	105

1	a. Total outbreaks of food-borne illness in
2	Florida/total number of people who become ill in calendar year
3	FY 2000-2001 LBR
4	b. Number/percentage of food and dairy establishments
5	which fail to meet food safety and sanitation requirements
6	
7	c. Number of food or dairy products removed from sale
8	for failure to meet food safety requirements or standards
9	
10	d. Number/percentage of food products analyzed which
11	fail to meet standards775/8.5%
12	e. Number/percentage of milk and milk products
13	analyzed which fail to meet standards1,300/6.5%
14	f. Number/percentage of produce or other food samples
15	analyzed which fail to meet pesticide residue standards
16	52/2.3%
17	g. Number/percentage of food and dairy enforcement
18	actions which result in compliance or other resolution within
19	60 days, excluding Field Notices of Violation13,000/99%
20	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
21	a. Number of inspections of food establishments, dairy
22	establishments, and water vending machines61,500
23	b. Number of enforcement actions taken, excluding
24	Field Notices of Violation
25	c. Number of food analyses/samples analyzed
26	31,200/9,000
27	d. Number of milk and milk products analyses/samples
28	analyzed70,000/20,000
29	e. Number of pesticide residue analyses/samples
30	analyzed273,000/3,050
31	
	100
	106

1	f. Number of food-related consumer assistance
2	investigations or actions4,800
3	g. Tons of poultry and shell eggs graded430,000
4	(b) For the Consumer Protection Program, the purpose
5	of which is to protect Florida's consumers from deceptive and
6	unfair business and trade practices and from unsafe, harmful,
7	and inferior products and services, the outcome measures,
8	output measures, and associated performance standards with
9	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations
10	1047-1050A are as follows:
11	1. STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION OUTCOME
12	MEASURES
13	a. Number/percentage of LP Gas accidents due to
14	equipment failure or code violations at licensed LP Gas
15	storage, distribution, and handling facilities2/3%
16	b. Number/percentage of LP Gas facilities found in
17	compliance with safety requirements on first inspection
18	989/20%
19	c. Number of reportable accidents resulting from
20	amusement attraction mechanical or structural failure1
21	d. Number/percentage of amusement attractions found in
22	full compliance with safety requirements on first inspection
23	3,441/37%
24	e. Number/percentage of regulated weighing and
25	measuring devices, packages, and businesses with scanners in
26	compliance with accuracy standards during initial
27	inspection/testing237,000/95%
28	f. Number/percentage of petroleum products meeting
29	quality standards57,000/99.2%
30	
31	
	107
	'

1	g. Number/percentage of state and commercial weights
2	and volumetric standards found within specified tolerances
3	11,760/98%
4	2. STANDARDS AND PETROLEUM QUALITY INSPECTION OUTPUT
5	MEASURES
6	a. Number of LP Gas facility inspections/reinspections
7	conducted4,200
8	b. Number of LP Gas-related accidents investigated50
9	c. Number of amusement device safety/permit
10	inspections conducted9,300/1,725
11	d. Number of weighing and measuring devices
12	inspected/tested249,000
13	e. Number of complaints investigated/processed
14	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of
15	Standards in the Consumer Protection Program3,180
16	f. Number of LP Gas professional certification
17	examinations administered
18	g. Number of laboratory analyses performed on
19	regulated petroleum products140,000
20	h. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
21	entities regulated by the Division of Standards in the
22	Consumer Protection Program
23	i. Number of physical measurement standards tests or
24	calibrations conducted12,000
25	3. CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
26	a. Number/percentage regulated entities (motor vehicle
27	repair shops, health studio, telemarketer, business
28	opportunity, dance studio, solicitation of contribution,
29	sellers of travel, and pawn shops) found operating in
30	violation of the consumer protection laws8,892/26%
31	
	108
	100

1	b. Number/percentage of consumer hotline callers that
2	receive accurate information and are treated courteously by
3	call center staffFY 2000-2001 LBR
4	c. Number/percentage of "no-sales solicitation"
5	complaints from subscribers
6	d. Amount/percentage of money recovered for consumers
7	from regulated motor vehicle repair shops\$165,000/FY
8	2000-2001 LBR
9	4. CONSUMER PROTECTION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Number of assists provided to consumers, not
11	including lemon law1,003,195
12	b. Number of lemon law assists made to consumers
13	
14	c. Number of complaints investigated/processed
15	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of Consumer
16	Services in the Consumer Protection Program33,529
17	d. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
18	entities regulated by the Division of Consumer Services in the
19	Consumer Protection Program
20	e. Number of "no sales solicitation calls"
21	subscriptions processed
22	5. AGRICULTURAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES OUTCOME
23	MEASURES
24	a. Number/percentage of licensed pest control
25	applicators inspected who misapply chemicals or otherwise
26	violate regulations375/23%
27	b. Number/percentage of feed, seed, and fertilizer
28	inspected products in compliance with performance/quality
29	standards16,698/90.5%
30	c. Number/percentage of licensed pesticide applicators
31	who do not apply chemicals properly198/36%
	109

1	d. Number of reported human/equine disease cases
2	caused by mosquitoes3/40
3	6. AGRICULTURAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES OUTPUT
4	MEASURES
5	a. Number of pest control inspections conducted1,630
6	b. Number of feed, seed, and fertilizer inspections
7	conducted12,146
8	c. Number of complaints investigated/processed
9	relating to all entities regulated by the Division of
10	Agricultural Environmental Services in the Consumer Protection
11	Program excluding pesticide-related actions800
12	d. Number of pest control professional certification
13	examinations administered
14	e. Number of laboratory analyses performed on seed and
15	fertilizer samples
16	f. Number of enforcement actions taken against all
17	entities regulated by the Division of Agricultural
18	Environmental Services in the Consumer Protection Program
19	excluding pesticide-related actions
20	g. Number of pesticide-related complaints investigated
21	
22	h. Number of pesticide-related inspections conducted
23	
24	i. Number of pesticide-related enforcement actions
25	<pre>initiated/completed500</pre>
26	j. Number of wells monitored for pesticide or nitrate
27	residues46
28	k. Number of pesticide product and residue analyses
29	performed in the pesticide laboratory63,500
30	1. Number of persons in Florida served by effective
31	mosquito control programs14,000,000
	110

1	(c) For the Agricultural Economic Development Program,
2	the purpose of which is to maintain and enhance Florida
3	agriculture in the national and international marketplace, the
4	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
5	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
6	Appropriations 1051-1068M are as follows:
7	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
8	a. Percentage of national agricultural gate receipts
9	represented by Florida agricultural productsFY 2000-2001 LBR
10	b. Percentage of national agricultural exports
11	represented by Florida agricultural productsFY 2000-2001 LBR
12	c. Percentage/value of Florida's gross state product
13	represented by Florida agricultural productsFY 2000-2001 LBR
14	2. MARKET DEVELOPMENT, DISTRIBUTION, STATISTICS, AND
15	REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Gate receipts value of agriculture and seafood
17	products sold by Florida's agricultural industry, in dollars
18	in calendar year\$7.075 billion
19	b. Total sales of agricultural and seafood products
20	generated by tenants of state farmers markets\$194,189,444
21	c. Dollar value of federal commodities and recovered
22	food distributed\$52,142,213
23	3. MARKET DEVELOPMENT, DISTRIBUTION, STATISTICS, AND
24	REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of buyers reached with agricultural
26	promotion campaign messages
27	b. Number of marketing assists provided to producers
28	and businesses96,319
29	c. Pounds of federal commodities and recovered food
30	distributed
31	4. FRUIT AND VEGETABLE REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
	111

1	a. Dollar value of fruit and vegetables that are
2	shipped to other states or countries which are subject to
3	mandatory inspection\$1,443,648,000
4	5. FRUIT AND VEGETABLE REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURE
5	a. Number of tons of fruits and vegetables inspected
6	
7	6. PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES
8	a. Number/percentage of newly introduced pests and
9	diseases prevented from infesting Florida plants to a level
10	where eradication is biologically or economically unfeasible
11	100/93.5%
12	b. Number/percentage of commercial citrus acres free
13	of citrus canker832,581/98.5%
14	c. Number/percentage of acres of commercial citrus,
15	monitored by the department, at the request of the grower,
16	which are free of the Caribbean fruit fly186,000/98%
17	d. Number/percentage of exotic fruit fly
18	(Mediterranean, Oriental, Mexican, Queensland, West Indian)
19	outbreaks where eradication can occur without use of
20	aerial-treatments2/100%
21	7. PLANT PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of plant, fruit fly trap, and honeybee
23	inspections performed
24	b. Number of commercial citrus acres surveyed for
25	citrus canker245,000
26	c. Number of exotic fruit fly traps serviced36,729
27	d. Millions of sterile med flies released7,800
28	e. Number of acres where plant pest and disease
29	eradication or control efforts were undertake100,000
30	f. Number of shipments of plant products certified
31	pest-free for export25,000

ا ۽	an Atomber of all out and the state of the s
1	g. Number of plant, soil, insect, and other organism
2	samples processed for identification or diagnosis650,000
3	8. ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURE
4	a. Number/percentage of livestock and poultry infected
5	with specific transmissible diseases for which monitoring,
6	controlling, and eradicating activities are established
7	
8	9. ANIMAL PEST AND DISEASE CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES
9	a. Number of animal site inspections performed14,904
10	b. Number of animals tested/vaccinated.650,000/120,000
11	c. Number of animal sites quarantined and monitored
12	
13	d. Number of/unit cost per animal-related diagnostic
14	laboratory procedure(s) performed850,000/\$2.84
15	e. Number of animals covered by health certificates
16	815,000
17	f. Number of animal permits processed4,750
18	10. AGRICULTURE INSPECTION STATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural
20	inspection stations11,236,244
21	b. Number of vehicles inspected at agricultural
22	inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated
23	commodities2,505,682
24	c. Percentage of vehicles inspected at agricultural
25	inspection stations transporting agricultural or regulated
26	commodities22%
27	d. Amount of revenue generated by Bills of Lading
28	transmitted to the Department of Revenue from Agricultural
29	Inspection stations\$12,658,800
30	
31	
	112
	113

1	e. Number of Bills of Lading transmitted to the
2	Department of Revenue from Agricultural Inspection stations
3	
4	(d) For the Forest and Resource Protection Program,
5	the purpose of which is to promote and use sound management
6	practices for forestry and other agricultural activities, the
7	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
8	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
9	Appropriations 1038D-1038V are as follows:
10	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Number/percentage of acres of protected forest and
12	wildlands not burned by wildfires24,924,300/99.3%
13	b. Number/percentage of threatened structures not
14	burned by wildfires
15	c. Number/percentage of wildfires caused by humans
16	
17	d. Number/percentage of State Forest timber producing
18	acres adequately stocked and growing107,485/25.9%
19	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number of wildfires detected and suppressed3,800
21	b. Average elapsed time in minutes between wildfire
22	ignition and detection55
23	c. Average elapsed time in minutes between wildfire
24	detection and arrival on scene34
25	d. Number/percentage of forest acres and other lands
26	managed by the department and purchased by the state with
27	approved management plans831,951/94%
28	e. Number of acres burned through prescribed burning
29	2.1 million
30	f. Number of person-hours of firefighting training
31	provided47,000
	114

1	g. Number of forest-related technical assists provided
2	to nonindustrial private land owners
3	h. Number of open burning authorizations processed for
4	land clearing, agriculture, and silviculture118,000
5	i. Number of fire prevention presentations made1,350
6	j. Number of person-hours spent responding to
7	emergency incidents other than wildfires8,000
8	(2) DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
9	(a) No later than 45 days after this act becomes law,
LO	the Executive Office of the Governor may adjust measures
L1	related to the Air Resources Management, Water Resources
L2	Management, and Waste Management programs as necessary to
L3	comply with statutory law and specific appropriations, subject
L4	to the notification, review and objection procedures of
L5	section 216.177, Florida Statutes. Nothing in these measures
L6	and standards shall permit the agency to engage in regulatory
L7	or enforcement activities, or to establish requirements, more
L8	stringent than those specifically authorized in statutory law.
L9	(b) For the State Lands Program, the purpose of which
20	is to acquire, administer, and dispose of state lands, the
21	title of which is vested in the Board of Trustees of the
22	Internal Improvement Trust Fund; administer, manage, and
23	maintain the records of all lands held by the Board of
24	Trustees; administer and maintain the geodetic survey
25	requirements for the State of Florida; identify and set
26	ordinary and mean high water boundaries for purposes of
27	sovereignty and land title; and control aquatic and invasive
28	plant species, the outcome measures, output measures, and
29	associated performance standards with respect to funds
30	provided in Specific Appropriations 1187-1209 are as follows:
31	1. LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE

1	a. Percent increase in the number of occurrences of
2	endangered/ threatened/special concern species on publicly
3	managed conservation areas10%
4	2. LAND ACQUISITION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Number of acres of critical habitat acquired by the
6	P2000 Program as listed in the CARL report311,601
7	b. Percentage of acres acquired by the P2000 Program
8	that have a critical habitat within the acquired tract38%
9	c. Number of acres of land acquired by the P2000
10	Program that had its highest resource values based on FNAI
11	elements218,808
12	d. Number and percent completion of projects on the
13	CARL list95/10%
14	e. Percentage of parcels at less than appraised value
15	- \$100,000 or less6%
16	f. Percentage of parcels at less than appraised value
17	- greater than \$100,00063%
18	g. Percentage of appraised value to purchase price -
19	\$100,000 or less93%
20	h. Percentage of appraised value to purchase price -
21	greater than \$100,00089%
22	i. Number of appraisals certified336
23	j. Number of surveys/maps certified for environmental
24	land acquisition98/49
25	k. Number of surveys/maps certified for
26	nonenvironmental land acquisition20/21
27	1. Percentage of parcels acquired within the "standard
28	time limit" - \$100,000 or less51%
29	m. Percentage of parcels acquired within the "standard
30	time limit" - greater than \$100,00057%
31	3. LAND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
	116
	116

1	a. Number of parcels evaluated and disposed of that
2	have been determined to have no further public use80
3	b. Percentage of easements, leases, and other requests
4	completed by maximum time frames prescribed75%
5	c. Percentage of all leases of sovereign submerged
6	lands in compliance with lease conditions92%
7	d. Percentage of all land management plans completed
8	within statutory time frames60%
9	4. LAND ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Percentage of submerged land leases found in
11	compliance annually92%
12	b. Ratio of parcels of lands surplused/parcels of land
13	evaluated for possible surplus1:2
14	c. Number of verified records maintained237,265
15	d. Number of submerged land leases audited annually
16	313
17	5. AQUATIC/EXOTIC PLANT CONTROL OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Number of new acres of public land that have
19	invasive, exotic, upland plants controlled and have existing
20	management personnel committed to maintaining these plants
21	under control after initial treatment3,500
22	b. Percentage of Florida's public waters where control
23	of hydrilla, water hyacinth, and water lettuce has been
24	achieved and sustained93%
25	6. AQUATIC/EXOTIC PLANT CONTROL OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Percentage of public lakes and rivers that contain
27	invasive, nonnative aquatic plants and are under maintenance
28	<u>control</u> 93%
29	b. Percentage of public lands where invasive,
30	nonnative upland plants, have been brought under control
31	
	117

1	through efforts of, or pass-through funding, by the Bureau of
2	Aquatic Plant ManagementFY 2000-2001 LBR
3	c. Average cost per acre to achieve maintenance
4	control of aquatic, nonnative plants\$130
5	(c) For the Marine Resources Program, the purpose of
6	which is to preserve, enhance, and restore desired natural
7	functions and diversity of Florida's marine and estuarine
8	environments, the outcome measures, output measures, and
9	associated performance standards with respect to funds
LO	provided in Specific Appropriations 1221A-1221AB are as
L1	follows:
L2	1. SHELLFISH REGULATION AND MARINE RESEARCH
L3	OUTCOMES
L4	a. Reduce the ratio of shellfish illnesses reported
L5	from Florida shellfish products to the number of meals served
L6	
L7	b. Increase in the number of marine fisheries stocks
L8	reported as stable or increasing113
L9	2. SHELLFISH REGULATION AND MARINE RESEARCH OUTPUT
20	MEASURES
21	a. Percent of research projects that provide
22	management recommendations or support management actions100%
23	b. Percent of shellfish and crab processing facilities
24	in significant compliance with permit and food safety
25	regulations80%
26	c. Limit in the number of reported cases of
27	sickness/deaths from shellfish consumption that can be
28	directly traced to seafood harvested from contaminated waters
29	or to actions by fishermen, packing houses, or seafood dealers
30	not in compliance with state regulations48/3
31	
	110
	118

1	d. Commercial and other fishing licenses processed
2	annually25,951
3	e. Artificial reefs monitored and/or created annually
4	65
5	f. Percentage of shellfish harvesting areas opened
6	67.5%
7	g. Red tide/fish kill/disease investigations6
8	3. PROTECTION OF ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES
9	OUTCOME MEASURE
10	a. Reduction in the manatee mortality rate1%
11	4. PROTECTION OF ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES
12	OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Limit in the number of manatee deaths as a result
14	of human activities57
15	b. Limit in the number of manatee deaths as a result
16	of nonhuman activities134
17	c. Manatee population2,275
18	d. Number of Sea turtle nests - NW region905
19	e. Number of Sea turtle nests - NE region2,702
20	f. Number of Sea turtle nests - SE region68,022
21	g. Number of Sea turtle nests - SW region6,235
22	h. Manatee federal recovery plans completed and tasks
23	implemented87
24	i. Miles of sea turtle index nesting beaches surveyed
25	201
26	j. Limit in the number/percent of stranded sea turtles
27	necropsied1,000/10%
28	(d) For the Water Resources Management Program, the
29	purpose of which is to regulate, manage, conserve, and protect
30	the state's drinking water, surface and groundwater resources,
31	wetlands, beaches, and lands reclaimed after mining
	119
	113

1	activities, the outcome measures, output measures, and
2	associated performance standards with respect to funds
3	provided in Specific Appropriations 1222-1243A are as follows:
4	1. WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND PERMITTING OUTCOME
5	MEASURES
6	a. Percentage of rivers that meet designated uses92%
7	b. Percentage of lakes that meet designated uses87%
8	c. Percentage of estuaries that meet designated uses
9	
10	d. Percentage of groundwater that meets designated
11	uses
12	e. Percentage of reclaimed water (reuse) capacity
13	relative to total domestic wastewater capacity40%
14	f. Percentage of public water systems with no
15	significant (public health-based) drinking water quality
16	problems90%
17	g. Number of wetland acres within agency jurisdiction
18	successfully preserved, created, restored, and enhanced to
19	offset the number of wetland acres impacted; and functional
20	wetland acres - net gain/loss ratio0
21	2. WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND PERMITTING OUTPUT
22	MEASURES
23	a. Number of wastewater inspections, site visits,
24	technical assistance contacts, and other compliance activities
25	
26	b. Number of wastewater permits and other
27	authorizations processed30
28	c. Number of water quality stations monitored in the
29	statewide monitoring networks980
30	
31	
	120

1	d. Number of drinking water inspections, site visits,
2	technical assistance contacts, and other compliance activities
3	2,520
4	3. BEACHES AND COASTAL SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT AND
5	PERMITTING OUTCOME MEASURE
6	a. Linear miles of beaches which provide upland
7	protection, wildlife habitat, or recreation according to
8	statutory and rule requirements825
9	4. BEACHES AND COASTAL SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT AND
10	PERMITTING OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Beach renourishment and dune restoration funds
12	awarded\$7.7 million
13	b. Number of beach renourishment and dune restoration
14	projects funded7
15	c. Number of other compliance activities168
16	d. Number of coastal construction permits, including
17	field permits, processed
18	e. Miles of shoreline surveyed and monitored752
19	5. MINE RECLAMATION AND PERMITTING OUTCOME MEASURE
20	a. Percentage of mined lands qualifying for
21	reclamation which have been reclaimed according to statutory
22	and rule requirements95%
23	6. MINE RECLAMATION AND PERMITTING OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Funds awarded annually for mine reclamation
25	projects\$10 million
26	b. Number of mining permits processed/number of
27	<u>inspections20/550</u>
28	c. Number of applications/acreage processed for mine
29	reclamation projects
30	7. WATER FACILITIES FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OUTCOME
31	MEASURE
	121

1	a. Percentage of wastewater, drinking water, and
2	stormwater projects on State Revolving Fund loan priority
3	lists and the construction grant priority list that are funded
4	annually3.5%
5	8. WATER FACILITIES FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE OUTPUT
6	MEASURES
7	a. Loan grant funds awarded\$80 million
8	b. Number of local governments, including
9	systems/utilities funded12
10	(e) For the Waste Management Program, the purpose of
11	which is to protect the public and the environment through
12	promotion of sound waste management practices, the outcome
13	measures, output measures, and associated performance
14	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
15	Appropriations 1244-1277D are as follows:
16	1. PETROLEUM TANK REGULATION AND CONTAMINATED SITE
17	REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
18	a. Percentage of regulated petroleum storage tank
19	facilities in compliance with state regulations89%
20	b. Percentage/number of contaminated petroleum sites
21	with rehabilitation underway9%/1,544
22	c. Percentage/number of contaminated petroleum sites
23	with rehabilitation completed0.3%/57
24	2. PETROLEUM TANK REGULATION AND CONTAMINATED SITE
25	REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Percentage of reimbursement claims processed100%
27	b. Number and percentage of petroleum sites eligible
28	for state financial assistance
29	3. DRYCLEANING SITE REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
30	a. Percentage and number of contaminated drycleaning
31	sites with rehabilitation underway9%/82
-	22000 1011401110401011 anactway
	122

1	b. Percentage and number of contaminated drycleaning
2	sites with rehabilitation completed0%/0
3	4. DRYCLEANING SITE REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURE
4	a. Number of drycleaning site cleanup applications
5	eligible for state financial assistance
6	5. HAZARDOUS WASTE REGULATION, MANAGEMENT, AND SITE
7	REHABILITATION OUTCOMES
8	a. Percentage of all hazardous waste generators in
9	significant compliance with state and federal regulations88%
10	b. Percentage of permitted transfer, storage, and
11	disposal facilities in significant compliance with state and
12	federal regulations95%
13	c. Number of facilities or sources of pollution that
14	modified their industrial processes to reduce generation of
15	pollutants as a result of department activities10
16	d. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (Federal
17	superfund sites) with rehabilitation underway100%/49
18	e. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (Federal
19	superfund sites) with rehabilitation completed0%/0
20	f. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (known
21	state program sites) with rehabilitation underway95%/19
22	g. Percentage/number of contaminated sites (known
23	state program sites) with rehabilitation completed5%/1
24	6. HAZARDOUS WASTE REGULATION, MANAGEMENT, AND SITE
25	REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURE
26	a. Number of tons of hazardous waste generated in
27	Florida185,221
28	7. SOLID WASTE REGULATION AND MANAGEMENT OUTCOME
29	MEASURES
30	a. Percentage of permitted solid waste facilities in
31	compliance with state requirements96%
	122
	123

1	b. Percentage of municipal solid waste recycled
2	statewide40%
3	c. Number of tons/percentage of municipal solid waste
4	collected that is recycled9,423,784/40%
5	d. Number of tons/percentage of municipal solid waste
6	burned annually
7	e. Number of tons/percentage of municipal solid waste
8	disposed in landfills
9	8. SOLID WASTE REGULATION AND MANAGEMENT OUTPUT
10	MEASURES
11	a. Number of solid waste permits and registrations
12	processed
13	b. Number and dollar amount of solid waste management
14	and recycling grants issued252/\$35 million
15	c. Number of waste-to-energy facilities located in
16	Florida13
17	(f) For the Recreation and Parks Program, the purpose
18	of which is to anticipate and meet the outdoor recreation
19	demands of Florida's residents and visitors and to ensure that
20	an adequate natural resource base is maintained to accommodate
21	future demands and preserve a quality environment, the outcome
22	measures, output measures, and associated performance
23	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
24	Appropriations 1278-1327C are as follows:
25	1. STATE PARK OPERATIONS OUTCOME MEASURES
26	a. Increase in attendance at state parks over prior
27	year1.3%
28	b. Increase the acreage available for public
29	recreation over prior year2%
30	2. STATE PARK OPERATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES
31	a. Number of parks sites managed151
	124

1	b. Number of recreational facilities built, repaired,
2	or restored by type compared to plan development needs174
3	c. Number of cultural/historical sites restored or
4	maintained compared to need1
5	d. Number of acres managed for secondary use/multiple
6	use500
7	e. Acres of native habitat successfully maintained as
8	natural areas in state parks compared to need57,176/532,217
9	f. Percentage of management plans completed in
10	compliance with Florida Statutes100%
11	g. Percentage of lands acquired by P2000 that meet at
12	least 3 criteria of the program100%
13	h. Number of parks/acres/trail miles supported by
14	general administration, maintenance/minor repairs, protection,
15	and all variations of visitor service activities
16	152/534,387/380
17	i. Number of private/public partnerships utilized to
18	assist operations of state parks900
19	j. Number of state parks additions/inholding land
20	acquisitions10
21	k. Number of recreational and natural/cultural
22	additions and inholding acquisitions for existing parks by
23	type as related to available funding1
24	3. GREENWAYS AND TRAILS OUTCOME MEASURE
25	a. Number of additional greenways, recreational
26	trails, or trail systems acquired to provide or enhance access
27	to public lands while ensuring that the ecological integrity
28	of the land is not compromised18
29	4. GREENWAYS AND TRAILS OUTPUT MEASURES
30	a. Number of state greenways and trails managed 4
31	
	125
	•

1	b. Number of miles of recreational facilities built,
2	repaired, or restored by type compared to plan development
3	needs35
4	c. Number of trailheads developed to provide public
5	access points on greenways and trails10
6	5. RECREATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
7	OUTCOME MEASURE
8	a. Increase in technical assistance and grant related
9	services to local governments over prior year2%
10	6. RECREATIONAL ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS OUTPUT
11	MEASURES
12	a. Number of recreational grants/funding to local
13	governments for recreational facilities and land acquisition
14	330/\$34.6 million
15	b. Number of technical assistance consultations,
16	meetings, calls, and publications350
17	7. COASTAL AND AQUATIC MANAGED AREAS OUTCOME
18	MEASURE
19	a. Percentage of degraded acreage identified in state
20	buffer enhanced or restored11.6%
21	8. COASTAL AND AQUATIC MANAGED AREAS OUTPUT
22	MEASURES
23	a. Number of acres managed129,493
24	b. Number of acres where invasive or undesirable plant
25	species have been controlled2,255
26	(g) For the Air Resources Management Program, the
27	purpose of which is to maintain and improve the state's air
28	quality through air-pollution mitigation and prevention, the
29	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
30	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
31	Appropriations 1328-1335 are as follows:
	126
	140

1	1. AIR QUALITY OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Percentage of population living in areas monitored
3	for air quality90%
4	b. Annual average percentage of time monitored
5	population breathes good quality air80%
6	c. Annual average percentage of time monitored
7	population breathes moderate quality air20%
8	d. Percentage of the population which breathes air
9	that violates the standard for ozone as determined by the data
10	generated by the state air quality monitoring network4%
11	2. AIR QUALITY OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of monitors operated by the department and
13	local programs163
14	b. Number of quality assurance audits conducted to
15	ensure accurate and reliable ambient air quality data301
16	3. AIR POLLUTION ABATEMENT OR PREVENTION OUTCOME
17	MEASURES
18	a. Annual 0.5% reduction of NOX air emissions per
19	capita129.24
20	b. Annual 0.5% reduction of SO2 air emissions per
21	capita99.67
22	c. Annual 0.5% reduction of CO air emissions per
23	capita544.33
24	d. Annual 0.5% reduction of VOC air emissions per
25	capita108.49
26	4. AIR POLLUTION ABATEMENT OR PREVENTION OUTPUT
27	MEASURES
28	a. Number of Title V permits issued/denied315/0
29	b. Number of Title V modifications issued/denied10/0
30	c. Number of non-Title V permits issued/denied350/3
31	
	107
	127

1	d. Number of non-Title V modifications issued/denied
2	97/0
3	e. Number of Title V facilities inspected850
4	f. Number of asbestos projects reviewed and evaluated
5	
6	(h) For the Law Enforcement Program, the purpose of
7	which is to protect the people, the environment, and the
8	natural resources through law enforcement, education, and
9	public service, the outcome measures, output measures, and
10	associated performance standards with respect to funds
11	provided in Specific Appropriations 1336-1345 are as follows:
12	1. OUTCOME MEASURE
13	a. Number/percentage of known hazardous substance dump
14	sites and petroleum spills whereby action, other than criminal
15	investigation, was taken to reduce, control, or eliminate risk
16	to public health and the environment1,430/48%
17	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number of investigations opened/closed227/182
19	b. Number of environmental dump sites responded to 673
20	c. Number of petroleum spills responded to757
21	d. Number of arrests for speed zone violations or
22	manatee molestation
23	e. Funds spent/recovered on spill remediation
24	\$928,153/\$86,638
25	f. Number of sites/spills remediated561
26	(3) GAME AND FRESH WATER FISH COMMISSION
27	(a) For the Law Enforcement Program, the purpose of
28	which is to provide patrol and protection activities to
29	safeguard the opportunities for boating, camping, fishing,
30	hunting, wildlife viewing, and other natural resource related
31	activities in a safe and healthy environment, the outcome
	128

1	measures, output measures, and associated performance
2	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
3	Appropriations 1361-1375 are as follows:
4	1. UNIFORM PATROL OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Total number of violations29,130
6	b. Number of felony violationsFY 2000-2001 LBR
7	c. Number of misdemeanor violationsFY 2000-2001 LBR
8	d. Number of infractions violationsFY 2000-2001 LBR
9	e. Total number of hours spent in preventative land
10	patrol516,259
11	f. Total number of hours spent in preventative water
12	patrol
13	g. Total number of hours spent in preventative air
14	patrol8,244
15	2. INVESTIGATIONS OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Number of hours spent on investigations297,167
17	b. Number of violations encountered by all staff
18	14,050
19	c. Total number of investigations opened806
20	d. Number of felony investigations opened.FY 2000-2001
21	<u>LBR</u>
22	e. Number of misdemeanor investigations openedFY
23	2000-2001 LBR
24	f. Number of infractions investigations openedFY
25	2000-2001 LBR
26	g. Total number of investigations closed725
27	h. Number of felony investigations closed.FY 2000-2001
28	LBR
29	i. Number of misdemeanor investigations closedFY
30	2000-2001 LBR
31	
	129
	149

1	j. Number of infractions investigations closedFY
2	2000-2001 LBR
3	k. Total violations by investigative staff1,368
4	1. Total conviction rateFY 2000-2001 LBR
5	m. Felony conviction rateFY 2000-2001 LBR
6	n. Misdemeanor conviction rateFY 2000-2001 LBR
7	o. Infraction conviction rateFY 2000-2001 LBR
8	3. INSPECTION OUTPUT MEASURES
9	a. Number of inspections4,890
10	b. Number of violations587
11	4. AVIATION OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of air contacts resulting in detection and
13	apprehension445
14	b. Number of hours of biological flight time
15	requested/provided1,666/1,220
16	5. BOATING SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of vessel safety inspections154,408
18	b. Number of accident investigated210
19	c. Number of fatalities investigated26
20	d. Number of injuries investigated136
21	e. Number of vessel safety inspection hours on St.
22	Johns River9,318
23	f. Number of accidents on St. Johns River21
24	g. Number of vessel safety inspection hours on Lake
25	Okeechobee5,861
26	h. Number of accidents on Lake Okeechobee15
27	6. HUNTER EDUCATION OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Number of hunter education classes offered350
29	b. Number of graduates of hunter education classes
30	12,125
31	
	130
	130

1	c. Percent of total students graduating hunter
2	education classes87%
3	d. Number of hunting accidents23
4	e. Number of attendees or graduates of hunter
5	education classes involved in hunting accidents
6	(b) For the Wildlife Management Program, the purpose
7	of which is to maintain or enhance Florida's diverse wildlife
8	and to provide for responsible use of this resource, the
9	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
10	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
11	Appropriations 1379-1394 are as follows:
12	1. WILDLIFE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES OUTCOME
13	MEASURES
14	a. Percent change in the number of licensed resident
15	hunters2.3%
16	b. Percent change in the number of licensed
17	nonresident hunters4.6%
18	c. Economic impact of wildlife-related outdoor
19	recreation\$3,675,935,000
20	d. Percent of satisfied hunters73%
21	e. Percent of satisfied wildlife viewers92%
22	f. Percent of the acreage under management control
23	which is open to the public for wildlife-related outdoor
24	recreation99.9%
25	2. WILDLIFE RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES OUTPUT
26	MEASURES
27	a. Number of publicly-owned acres managed for
28	wildlife-related outdoor recreation3,700,000
29	b. Number of privately-owned acres managed for
30	wildlife-related outdoor recreation830,780
31	c. Number of licensed resident hunters164,626
	131
	191

1	d. Number of licensed nonresident hunters4,760
2	e. Number of participants enrolled in wildlife
3	achievement programs3,750
4	f. Number of wildlife viewers3,630,000
5	3. WILDLIFE POPULATION AND HABITAT OUTCOME MEASURES
6	a. The mean biological vulnerability score of 63 game
7	species - score is from 0 to 70 and lower is better16.44
8	b. The mean biological vulnerability score of 389
9	nongame species - score is from 0 to 70 and lower is better
10	13.21
11	c. The mean biological vulnerability score of 80
12	wildlife species listed as endangered, threatened or as a
13	species of special concern - score is from 0 to 70 and lower
14	<u>is better29.62</u>
15	4. WILDLIFE POPULATION AND HABITAT OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Number of acres managed for wildlife4,530,780
17	b. Number of habitat management plans requested by and
18	prepared for private landowners
19	c. Number of requests for wildlife habitat technical
20	assistance received from and provided to other agency or local
21	governments299/299
22	d. Number of survey and monitoring projects for game
23	<u>species16</u>
24	e. Number of survey and monitoring projects for
25	nongame wildlife species11
26	f. Number of survey and monitoring projects for
27	wildlife species listed as endangered, threatened or species
28	of special concern4
29	g. Number of wildlife species for which sufficient
30	data have been obtained to refine the biological vulnerability
31	score78
	132

1	5. COMMERCIAL WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Wholesale price value of the commercial adult
3	alligators, hatchlings, and eggs\$5,228,826
4	b. Percent change in the number of alligator licenses
5	sold0%
6	c. Percent change in the number of alligator tags sold
7	- adult, hatchlings, and eggs
8	6. COMMERCIAL WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
9	a. Number of properties enrolled in the private-lands
10	alligator management program124
11	b. Number of alligators available for harvest under
12	the public-waters harvest programs
13	c. Number of alligator nest eggs available to
14	alligator ranches1,118
15	d. Number of alligator hatchlings available to
16	alligator ranches10,200
17	(c) For the Fisheries Management Program, the purpose
18	of which is to maintain, enhance, and provide for responsible
19	use of Florida's freshwater fisheries, the outcome measures,
20	output measures, and associated performance standards with
21	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations
22	1395-1401B are as follows:
23	1. RECREATIONAL FISHING OPPORTUNITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Percent change in licensed resident anglers3.6%
25	b. Percent change in licensed nonresident anglers17%
26	c. Percent angler satisfaction75%
27	d. Percent change in licensed freshwater commercial
28	fishermen0%
29	2. RECREATIONAL FISHING OPPORTUNITIES OUTPUT
30	MEASURES
31	
	133
	100

1	a. Number of water bodies and acres in fish management
2	areas, urban areas, and other lakes or rivers managed to
3	<pre>improve fishing113/770,955</pre>
4	b. Number of access points established or maintained
5	42
6	c. Number of participants in achievement programs600
7	d. Number of licensed resident anglers426,000
8	e. Number of licensed nonresident anglers117,000
9	f. Number of fish stocked2,385,000
10	g. Number of outreach participants in clinics and
11	derbies25,000
12	h. Number of private and volunteer-staffed events15
13	i. Number of information and technical assistance
14	requests provided to sports fishermen9,468
15	j. Number of licensed freshwater commercial fishermen
16	
17	k. Number of commercial fishing permits reviewed and
18	issued including fishing gear and grass carp1,145
19	1. Number of information and technical assistance
20	requests received and provided to commercial fishermen25
21	3. FISHERIES HABITAT REHABILITATION AND RESTORATION
22	OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Number of water bodies and acres where habitat
24	rehabilitation projects have been completed6/40,000
25	b. Percentage change in degraded lakes rehabilitated
26	
27	4. FISHERIES HABITAT REHABILITATION AND RESTORATION
28	OUTPUT MEASURES
29	a. Number of water bodies and acres with approved
30	habitat rehabilitation plans in progress12/90,000
31	
	134
	±2 ±

1	b. Number of water bodies and acres surveyed for
2	habitat rehabilitation plans15/150,000
3	c. Number of water bodies and acres with developed
4	habitat rehabilitation plans20/110,000
5	d. Number of habitat rehabilitation technical
6	assistance requests received and provided, including other
7	agencies and local governments4
8	(4) DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
9	(a) For the District Operations Program, the purpose
10	of which is to develop and implement the State Highway System;
11	to acquire rights of way necessary to support the DOT's work
12	program; to promote all forms of public transportation
13	including transit, aviation, intermodal/rail, and seaport
14	development; and to provide routine and uniform maintenance of
15	the State Highway System, operate vehicle repair shops and
16	warehouses, manage highway beautification, and operate welcome
17	centers, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
18	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
19	Specific Appropriations 1434-1458, 1467-1483, and 1492-1529
20	are as follows:
21	1. HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION/ENGINEERING PROGRAM OUTCOME
22	MEASURES
23	a. Number of motor vehicle fatalities per 100 million
24	miles traveledless than 2.05
25	b. Percentage of state highway system pavement in good
26	condition80%
27	c. Percentage of state-maintained bridges in good
28	condition95%
29	d. Percentage increase in number of days required for
30	completed construction contracts over original contract days
31	(less weather days)less than 30%
	135
	100

1	e. Percentage increase in final amount paid for
2	completed construction contracts over original contract amount
3	less than 10%
4	f. Percentage of vehicle crashes on state highway
5	system where road-related conditions were listed as a
6	contributing factorless than 1.0%
7	g. Construction Engineering as a percentage of
8	construction15%
9	2. HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION/ENGINEERING PROGRAM OUTPUT
10	MEASURES
11	a. Number of lane miles let to contract for
12	resurfacing1,752
13	b. Number of lane miles let to contract for highway
14	capacity improvements235
15	c. Percentage of construction contracts planned for
16	letting that were actually let95%
17	d. Number of bridges let to contract for repair63
18	e. Number of bridges let to contract for replacement
19	<u>67</u>
20	3. RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
21	a. Number of right-of-way parcels acquired2,170
22	b. Number of projects certified ready for construction
23	108
24	4. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
25	a. Transit ridership growth compared to population
26	growth2%/2%
27	b. Tons of cargo shipped by air4,500,000
28	5. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
29	a. Number of passenger enplanements59,000,000
30	b. Number of public transit passenger trips
31	173,000,000
	136

1	c. Number of cruise embarkations and disembarkations
2	at Florida ports11,000,000
3	6. TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MAINTENANCE PROGRAM OUTCOME
4	MEASURES
5	a. Maintenance condition rating of state highway
6	system as measured against the department's maintenance manual
7	standards80
8	(b) For the Planning and Engineering Program, the
9	purpose of which is to reduce occurrences of overweight
10	commercial motor vehicles on the State Highway System and
11	eliminate hazards caused by defective or unsafe commercial
12	motor vehicles, the outcome measures, output measures, and
13	associated performance standards with respect to funds
14	provided in Specific Appropriations 1434-1442A are as follows:
15	1. MOTOR CARRIER COMPLIANCE PROGRAM OUTCOME
16	MEASURES
17	a. Percent of commercial vehicles weighed that were
18	<pre>over weight:</pre>
19	(I) Fixed scale weighings0.4%
20	(II) Portable scale weighings37.0%
21	2. MOTOR CARRIER COMPLIANCE PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of commercial vehicles weighed10,400,000
23	b. Number of commercial vehicles safety inspections
24	performed75,000
25	c. Number of portable scale weighings performed.50,000
26	(c) For the Finance and Administration Program, the
27	purpose of which is the efficiently operate and maintain state
28	toll facilities, the outcome measures, the output measures,
29	and associated performance standard with respect to funds
30	provided in Specific Appropriations 1412-1427A are as follows:
31	1. TOLL OPERATION PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
	137

1	a. Operational cost per toll
2	2. TOLL OPERATION PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
3	a. Number of toll transactions472,000,000
4	
5	Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews
6	required by sections 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes,
7	shall be included in the agency Fiscal Year 2000-2001
8	Legislative Budget Request. Measures for which data are
9	unavailable should be included with an explanation as to the
10	utility of the measure.
11	Section 58. The performance measures and standards
12	established in this section for individual programs in the
13	General Government agencies shall be applied to those programs
14	for the 1999-2000 fiscal year. These performance measures and
15	standards are directly linked to the appropriations made in
16	the General Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 1999-2000 as
17	required by the Government Performance and Accountability Act
18	of 1994.
19	(1) DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND FINANCE
20	(a) For the Financial Accountability for Public Funds
21	Program, the purpose of which is to provide for and promote
22	financial accountability for public funds throughout state
23	government, provide the citizens of Florida with timely,
24	factual, and comprehensive information on the financial status
25	of the state and how state funds are expended, and receive and
26	investigate complaints of government fraud, waste and abuse,
27	the outcome measures, output measures and associated
28	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
29	Specific Appropriations 1554-1560 are as follows:
30	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
31	

1	a. Percentage of program's customers who return an
2	overall customer service rating of good or excellent on
3	surveys94%
4	b. Percentage of payment requests rejected during the
5	preaudit process for inconsistencies with legal and/or other
6	applicable requirements1%
7	c. Percentage of vendor payments issues in less than
8	the Comptroller's statutory time limit of ten days100%
9	d. Accuracy rate of postaudited vendor paymentsFY
10	2000-2001 LBR
11	e. Dollars recovered from erroneous payments compared
12	to total dollars of erroneous payment detectedFY 2000-2001
13	LBR
14	f. Percentage of federal wage and information returns
15	prepared and filed where no penalties or interest were paid
16	100%
17	g. Percentage of federal tax deposits where no
18	penalties or interest were paid
19	h. Percentage of payroll payments made accurately
20	based on information submitted100%
21	i. Percentage of those utilizing program provided
22	financial information who rate the overall relevancy,
23	usefulness, and timeliness of information as good or excellent
24	95%
25	j. Number of qualifications in the Independent
26	Auditor's Report on the State General Purpose Financial
27	Statements which are related to the presentation of the
28	financial statements0
29	k. Percentage of vendor payments issued electronically
30	
31	
	139
	133

1	1. Percentage of payroll payments issued
2	electronically77%
3	m. Percentage of retirement payments issued
4	electronically79%
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of vendor payment requests preaudited
7	
8	b. Percentage of vendor payment requests preaudited
9	19%
10	c. Dollar amount of vendor payment requests preaudited
11	\$14.1 billion
12	d. Number of vendor payment requests postauditedFY
13	2000-2001 LBR
14	e. Percentage of vendor payment requests postaudited
15	FY 2000-2001 LBR
16	f. Dollar amount of vendor payment requests
17	postauditedFY 2000-2001 LBR
18	g. Number of vendor invoices paid4.2 million
19	h. Dollar amount of vendor invoices paid.\$34.7 billion
20	i. Number of federal wage and information returns
21	<u>prepared</u> and filed289,000
22	j. Number of federal tax deposits made88
23	k. Number of IRS penalties paid0
24	1. Dollar amount of IRS penalties paid0
25	m. Number of payroll payments issued5,416,880
26	n. Dollar amount of payroll payments issued\$5.8
27	<u>billion</u>
28	o. Number of payroll payments issued according to
29	<pre>published schedules</pre>
30	p. Percentage of payroll payments issued according to
31	published schedules100%
	140
	T 7 U

1	q. Number of instances during the year where as a
2	result of inadequate cash management under this program,
3	general revenue had a negative cash balance0
4	r. Percentage of atypical balances corrected at
5	year-end0
6	s. Average number of days from month's end to complete
7	reconciliations30
8	t. Number of payments issued electronically7.1
9	million
10	u. Dollar amount of payments issued electronically
11	\$23.7 billion
12	v. Hours of training/education conducted on accounting
13	issues425
14	w. Hours of training/education conducted on payroll
15	issues50
16	x. Number of fiscal integrity cases closedFY
17	2000-2001 LBR
18	y. Number of "get lean" hotline calls processed for
19	referral to the appropriate agency500
20	z. Number of fiscal integrity cases closed where
21	criminal, disciplinary, and/or administrative actions taken.FY
22	2000-2001 LBR
23	(b) For the Financial Institutions Regulatory Program,
24	the purpose of which is to ensure the safety and soundness of
25	state financial institutions and to enhance the dual banking
26	system, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
27	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
28	Specific Appropriations 1566-1569 are as follows:
29	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
30	
31	
	141

1	a. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
2	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
3	Florida on Return on Assets51%
4	b. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
5	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
6	Florida on Return on Equity51%
7	c. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
8	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
9	Florida on Capital to Asset Ratio51%
10	d. Percentage of Florida state-chartered banks that
11	exceed the median of all national/federal banks chartered in
12	Florida on Tier 1 Capital51%
13	e. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
14	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
15	chartered in Florida on Return on Assets51%
16	f. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
17	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
18	chartered in Florida on Return on Equity51%
19	g. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
20	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
21	chartered in Florida on Capital to Asset Ratio51%
22	h. Percentage of Florida state-chartered credit unions
23	that exceed the median of all national/federal credit unions
24	chartered in Florida on Tier 1 Capital51%
25	i. Percentage of applications for new Florida
26	financial institutions that seek state charters67%
27	j. Unit average dollar savings in assessments paid by
28	state chartered banks compared to assessments that would be
29	paid if the bank was nationally or federally chartered.\$15,300
30	k. Unit average dollar savings in assessments paid by
31	state chartered credit unions compared to assessments that
	142

1	would be paid if the credit unions was nationally or federally
2	chartered\$350
3	1. Percentage of banks receiving an examination report
4	within 45 days after the conclusion of their on-site state
5	examination75%
6	m. Percentage of credit unions receiving an
7	examination report within 30 days after the conclusion of
8	their on-site state examination
9	n. Percentage of international financial institutions
10	receiving an examination report within 45 days after the
11	conclusion of their on-site state examination75%
12	o. Percentage of trust companies receiving an
13	examination report within 60 days after the conclusion of
14	their on-site state examination
15	p. Percentage of De Novo applications statutorily
16	complete that are processed within a standard number of 90
17	days67%
18	q. Percentage of branch applications statutorily
19	complete that are processed within 50 days67%
20	r. Percentage of expedited branch applications that
21	are processed within 10 days100%
22	s. Percentage of merger/acquisition applications
23	statutorily complete that are processed within 60 days67%
24	t. Percentage of financial institutions under
25	enforcement action that are substantially in compliance with
26	conditions imposed90%
27	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
28	a. Median Florida state-chartered banks Return on
29	Assets
30	b. Median Florida state-chartered banks Return on
31	Equity11.01%
	143

1	c. Median Florida state-chartered banks Capital to
2	Asset Ratio9.15%
3	d. Median Florida state-chartered banks Tier 1 Capital
4	9.18%
5	e. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return
6	on Assets1.04%
7	f. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Return
8	on Equity8.06%
9	g. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions
10	Capital to Asset Ratio12.94%
11	h. Median Florida state-chartered credit unions Tier 1
12	<u>Capital12.18%</u>
13	i. Number of new Florida state-chartered banks opened
14	20
15	j. Amount of annual assessments paid by banks
16	\$6,756,100
17	k. Amount of annual assessments paid by credit unions
18	\$1,237,200
19	1. Number of banks examined by the Division of Banking
20	receiving an examination report within 45 days45
21	m. Number of credit unions examined by the Division of
22	Banking receiving an examination report within 30 days57
23	n. Number of International financial institutions
24	examined by the Division of Banking receiving an examination
25	report within 45 days16
26	o. Number of Trust Companies examined by the Division
27	of Banking receiving an examination report within 60 days8
28	p. Number of statutorily complete new De Novo
29	applications received that are processed within 90 days5
30	q. Number of statutorily complete branch applications
31	received that are processed within 50 days27
	144

1	r. Number of statutorily complete expedited branch
2	applications received that are processed within 10 days45
3	s. Number of statutorily complete merger/acquisition
4	applications received that are processed within 60 days17
5	t. Number of institutions under enforcement actions.23
6	u. Percentage/number of financial institutions
7	examined within statutory time frames by type of institution
8	FY 2000-2001 LBR
9	v. Percentage/number of surveys returned that rate the
10	Division's examination program as satisfactory or above
11	
12	w. Percentage/number of state examinations where total
13	examination time was reduced by a standard percentage compared
14	to the hours required during the base examination.FY 2000-2001
15	LBR
16	x. Percentage/number of state examinations where
17	on-site hours were reduced by a standard percentage compared
18	to the on-site hours required during the base examinationFY
19	2000-2001 LBR
20	(c) For the Unclaimed Property Program, the purpose of
21	which is to increase efforts in finding, locating, collecting
22	in a manner to allow for better identification of owners, and
23	returning unclaimed property to the owners, the outcome
24	measures, output measures, and associated performance
25	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
26	Appropriations 1570-1573 are as follows:
27	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Percentage increase in the total number of holders
29	reporting5%
30	b. Percentage of previously filing holders who submit
31	problem reports3%
	145

1	c. Percentage of the total number of claims paid to
2	the owner compared to the total number of returnable accounts
3	reported/received22%
4	d. Percentage of the total dollar amount of claims
5	paid to the owner compared to the total dollars in returnable
6	accounts reported/received80%
7	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number of holders reports processed16,000
9	b. Number of seminars conducted3
10	c. Number of in-state exams of holders who have not
11	previously filed a holder report13
12	d. Number of out-of-state exams of holders who have
13	not previously filed a holder report200
14	e. Number of in-state exams conducted26
15	f. Dollar value collected as a result of in-state
16	exams\$500,000
17	g. Number of out-of-state exams processed450
18	h. Dollar value collected as a result of out-of-state
19	exams\$15 million
20	i. Number/dollar value of owner accounts processed
21	255,000/\$101 million
22	j. Total cost of the program to the number of holder
23	reports/owner accounts processed\$30
24	k. Number/dollar value of claims paid to owners
25	56,400/FY 2000-2001 LBR
26	1. Number of owner accounts advertised100,000
27	m. Number of claims processed60,000
28	n. Percentage of claims approved/denied within
29	30/60/90 days from the date receivedFY 2000-2001 LBR
30	o. Percentage of claims paid within 30/60/90 days from
31	date received
	146
	110

1	p. Percentage of customer telephone calls answered
2	within 20 secondsFY 2000-2001 LBR
3	(d) For the Consumer Financial Protection and Industry
4	Authorization Program, the purpose of which is to protect
5	consumers of the securities and finance industries and the
6	public from illegal financial activities, and provide
7	consumers and the public with authoritative and expedient
8	information, the outcome measures, output measures, and
9	associated performance standards with respect to funds
10	provided in Specific Appropriations 1574-1578 are as follows:
11	1. CONSUMER PROTECTION OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Percentage of licensees examined where department
13	action is taken for violations for cause due to receipt of
14	information which indicates a potential violation of the
15	statuteFY 2000-2001 LBR
16	b. Percentage of licensees examined where department
17	action is taken for violations found in routine randomly
18	selected licenses examined based on a risk assessment profile
19	FY 2000-2001 LBR
20	c. Percentage of investigations of licensed and
21	unlicensed entities referred to other agencies where
22	investigative assistance aided in obtaining
23	criminal/civil/administrative actionsFY 2000-2001 LBR
24	d. Dollars returned (voluntarily or through court
25	ordered restitution) to victims compared to total dollars of
26	verified loss as a result of investigative efforts of licensed
27	entitiesFY 2000-2001 LBR
28	e. Dollars returned to victims compared to total
29	dollars of verified loss as a result of investigative efforts
30	of unlicensed entitiesFY 2000-2001 LBR
31	
	147
	T#/

1	f. Percentage of written complaints processed within
2	applicable standards85%
3	g. Percentage of telephone complaints resolved without
4	written documentation from the consumerFY 2000-2001 LBR
5	h. Percentage of written complaints regarding licensed
6	and unlicensed entities referred for examination,
7	investigation, or legal/criminal action resulting in
8	formal/informal sanctions within/outside statutory authority
9	FY 2000-2001 LBR
10	i. Percentage of participants at public/consumer
11	awareness activities who completed a questionnaire and
12	responded that the subject presented was informative,
13	understandable, important, and timelyFY 2000-2001 LBR
14	2. CONSUMER PROTECTION OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Number of for-cause examinations completedFY
16	2000-2001 LBR
17	b. Number of routine examinations completedFY
18	2000-2001 LBR
19	c. Percent of total licensees examined to determine
20	compliance with applicable regulations7.1%
21	d. Number of investigations closed550
22	e. Number of background investigations completed768
23	f. Amount of court ordered restitution to victims of
24	licensed/unlicensed entitiesFY 2000-2001 LBR
25	g. Amount of voluntary reimbursement received from
26	licensed/unlicensed entitiesFY 2000-2001 LBR
27	h. Amount returned to victims of licensed/unlicensed
28	entitiesFY 2000-2001 LBR
29	i. Amount of verified loss to victims of
30	licensed/unlicensed entitiesFY 2000-2001 LBR
31	
	140
	148

1	j. Average number of days for initial written
2	responses to consumers7
3	k. Average number of days to resolve, refer, or close
4	a written complaint68
5	1. Number of complaints resolved, referred, or closed
6	<u>during the year4,350</u>
7	m. Percentage of complaints remaining open beyond 90
8	days21%
9	n. Percentage of complaints remaining open beyond 120
10	days15%
11	o. Number of hotline/complaint line calls processed as
12	complaintsFY
13	2000-2001 LBR
14	p. Number of written complaints where the department
15	identified statutory violations
16	q. Number of complaints referred for consideration of
17	legal or criminal action40
18	r. Number of public/consumer awareness contacts made
19	FY 2000-2001 LBR
20	s. Number of public/consumer awareness activities
21	conductedFY 2000-2001 LBR
22	t. Number of participants at public/awareness
23	activitiesFY 2000-2001 LBR
24	3. INDUSTRY REGULATION OUTCOME MEASURES
25	a. Percentage of licensees sanctioned for violations
26	
27	b. Percentage of total applicants not licensed to
28	conduct business in the state because they fail to meet
29	substantive licensing requirements5%
30	c. Percentage of applicants prevented from entering
31	the securities industry in Florida who subsequently are the
	149

1	subject of additional disciplinary action in other
2	jurisdictions within 3 years60%
3	4. INDUSTRY REGULATION OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Number of final actions taken against licensees.370
5	b. Number of applications denied or withdrawn3,546
6	c. Number of applications licensed67,398
7	d. Number of applications processed70,944
8	e. Amount of securities registration applications
9	denied or withdrawn\$4.2 billion
10	f. Number of applicants licensed with restrictions.280
11	g. Number of applications denied or withdrawn with
12	additional disciplinary information reported on the CRD within
13	<u>three years324</u>
14	h. Number/percentage of filing or requests processed
15	within a designated standard number of days by typeFY
16	2000-2001 LBR
17	(2) EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR
18	(a) For the Economic Improvement Program, the purpose
19	of which is to maintain and improve the economic health of
20	Florida by increasing jobs, income, and investments through
21	promoting targeted businesses, tourism, professional and
22	amateur sports and entertainment, and by assisting
23	communities, residents, and businesses, and the outcome
24	measures, output measures, and associated performance
25	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
26	Appropriations 1668-1673 are as follows:
27	1. OFFICE OF TOURISM TRADE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
28	OUTPUT MEASURES
29	a. Number/dollar amount of contracts and grants
30	administered283/\$290 million
31	
	150
	200

1	b. Public expenditures per job created/retained under
2	QTI incentive program\$3,750
3	c. Number of state agency proposed rules reviewed
4	which impact small businesses85
5	d. Number of business leaders' meetings coordinated3
6	2. BLACK BUSINESS INVESTMENT BOARD OUTCOME MEASURES
7	a. Number of businesses/jobs retained or created as a
8	result of the venture capital funds4/25
9	b. Dollar amount/number of bid and performance bonds
10	to contractors in bonding program\$10 million/35
11	c. Dollar amount and procurement opportunities
12	generated for Black businesses\$2.5 million
13	3. BLACK BUSINESS INVESTMENT BOARD OUTPUT MEASURES
14	a. Amount of venture capital funds provided\$250,000
15	b. Number of participants enrolled in Contractor
16	Assistance and Bonding Program74
17	c. Number of missions/events coordinated/participated
18	in to develop business opportunities5
19	d. BBICs created or supported7
20	e. Private dollars leveraged\$2 million
21	4. FLORIDA SPORTS FOUNDATION OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Economic contributions from Florida Sports
23	Foundation-sponsored regional and major sporting events grants
24	\$150 million
25	5. FLORIDA SPORTS FOUNDATION OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Number/amount of major sports event grants awarded
27	
28	b. Number of publications produced/distributed
29	
30	c. Number of promotions conducted/supported:
31	(I) Statewide6
	151

1	(II) National1
2	d. Number of trade/consumer shows facilitated or
3	conducted10
4	6. GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL ON PHYSICAL FITNESS AND AMATEUR
5	SPORTS OUTCOME MEASURES
6	a. Number of participants - Youth, Seniors, and Adults
7	32,300
8	b. Number of participants - Bike Florida750
9	c. Number of surveys conducted/satisfaction rating
10	
11	7. GOVERNOR'S COUNCIL ON PHYSICAL FITNESS AND AMATEUR
12	SPORTS OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Education symposiums conducted10
14	b. Host festival events in accordance with section
15	14.22, Florida Statutes14
16	c. Publications, magazines, brochures, pamphlets -
17	distribution350,000
18	8. FLORIDA COMMISSION ON TOURISM OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Sustained growth in the number of travelers who
20	come to and go through Florida:
21	(I) Out-of-state49.9 million
22	(II) Residents
23	b. Sustained growth in the beneficial impacts that
24	travelers in Florida have on the state's overall economy:
25	(I) Rental car surcharge\$141.7 million
26	(II) Tourism-related employment815,267
27	(III) Taxable sales\$45.5 billion
28	(IV) Local option tax\$293 million
29	c. Growth in private sector contributions to VISIT
30	FLORIDA\$26.7 million
31	9. FLORIDA COMMISSION ON TOURISM OUTPUT MEASURES
	152

1	a. Quality and effectiveness of paid advertising
2	messages reaching the target audience:
3	(I) Impressions505 million
4	(II) Leads (number contacting VISIT FLORIDA in
5	response to advertising)552,500
6	b. Value and number of consumer promotions facilitated
7	by VISIT FLORIDA\$11 million/150
8	c. Number of leads and visitor inquiries generated by
9	VISIT FLORIDA events and media placements650,000
10	d. Number of private sector partners1,500
11	e. Level of private sector partner financial
12	contributions through:
13	(I) Direct financial investment\$2 million
14	(II) Strategic alliance program\$300,000
15	10. SPACEPORT FLORIDA OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Value of new investment in the Florida space
17	business and programs (cumulative)\$200 million
18	b. Number of launches30
19	c. Number of visitors to space-related tourism
20	facilities
21	d. Tax revenue generated by space-related tourism
22	facilities\$1,206,600
23	11. SPACEPORT FLORIDA OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of students in Spaceport Florida Authority
25	(SFA) sponsored space-related classroom or research at
26	accredited institutions of higher education300
27	b. Equity in SFA industrial/research facilities\$54.2
28	million
29	c. Presentations to industry and governmental decision
30	makers15
31	
	153
	100

1	d. Equity in SFA space-related tourist facilities\$20
2	million
3	12. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA International Trade and
4	Economic Development OUTCOME MEASURES
5	a. Number of permanent jobs directly created as a
6	result of ITED programs27,000
7	b. Number of permanent jobs retained as a direct
8	result of ITED programs
9	c. Documented export sales attributable to programs
10	and activities\$40 million
11	d. Documented sales as a result of foreign office
12	activities\$18 million
13	e. Signed Representation Agreements72
14	13. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA International Trade and
15	Economic Development OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Total number of qualified trade leads440
17	b. Number of trade events33
18	c. Number of Florida companies in field office
19	<pre>portfolio (counseled)</pre>
20	d. Number of investment projects identified or
21	referred by foreign offices159
22	e. Number of Florida companies assisted by foreign
23	offices
24	f. Number of active retention/expansion projects
25	worked during the year70
26	g. Number of active recruitment projects worked during
27	<u>the year225</u>
28	h. Number of leads and projects referred to local
29	Economic Development Organizations120
30	14. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Technology Development OUTCOME
31	MEASURES
	154

1	a. Jobs created/retained as a result of assistance to
2	manufacturing firms650
3	b. Lowered inventory costs as a result of assistance
4	to manufacturing firms\$7.72 million
5	c. Lowered labor and materials costs as a result of
6	assistance to manufacturing firms\$6.06 million
7	d. Increased sales as a result of assistance to
8	manufacturing firms (Florida Manufacturing Technology Centers)
9	\$46 million
10	e. Commercialized technologies (Innovation and
11	Commercialization Corporations)30
12	f. Assistance in formation of new companies/joint
13	ventures (Innovation and Commercialization Corporations)10
14	g. Capital raised by assisted companies (Innovation
15	and Commercialization Corporations)\$20 million
16	h. Assist companies in creating new and retaining
17	existing jobs (Innovation and Commercialization Corporations)
18	421
19	15. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Technology Development OUTPUT
20	MEASURES
21	a. Number of companies assisted by Manufacturing
22	Technology Centers:960
23	(I) Small companies719
24	(II) Medium companies190
25	(III) Women/Minority companies95
26	(IV) Rural companies75
27	b. Number of new companies/joint ventures created by
28	Innovation and Commercialization Corporations10
29	c. Review technology assistance applications500
30	d. Sign contracts (Innovation and Commercialization
31	<u>Corporations</u>)
	155

1	e. Assist technology-based companies/entrepreneurs.700
2	f. Number of activities assisting manufacturing
3	companies900
4	16. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Workforce Development OUTCOME
5	MEASURES
6	a. Individuals completing Performance-Based Incentive
7	Fund programs and placed in targeted occupations23,264
8	b. Individuals exiting Performance-Based Incentive
9	Fund programs and placed in targeted occupations18,964
10	c. Disadvantaged individuals and WAGES participants
11	completing training and placed in targeted occupations7,966
12	d. Disadvantaged individuals and WAGES participants
13	exiting and placed in targeted occupations4,826
14	e. WAGES participants completing training and placed
15	in expanded "career path" occupations as defined by JEP/WAGES
16	3,183
17	f. Trained and placed WAGES participants retaining
18	<pre>employment at least six months</pre>
19	g. Individuals receiving customized training and being
20	placed in new companies in Enterprise Zones and companies
21	<u>located in rural areas1,270</u>
22	h. Individuals receiving customized training and
23	placed in high skill/high wage jobs8,450
24	17. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Workforce Development OUTPUT
25	MEASURES
26	a. Incentives paid for individuals in
27	Performance-Based Incentive Fund programs completing and
28	placed in targeted occupations\$8.863 million
29	b. Incentives paid for individuals in
30	Performance-Based Incentive Fund programs exiting and placed
31	in targeted occupations\$7.25 million
	156

1	c. Incentives paid for WAGES participants and other
2	disadvantaged individuals completing and placed in targeted
3	occupations\$5.9 million
4	d. Incentives paid for WAGES participants and other
5	disadvantaged individuals exiting and placed in targeted
6	occupations\$4.86 million
7	e. Number of Quick Response Training grants executed
8	with new and expanding businesses in rural areas6
9	f. Number of Quick Response Training grants executed
10	with new and expanding businesses in Enterprise Zones4
11	g. Number of Quick Response Training Grants executed
12	with new and expanded businesses33
13	18. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Capital Development OUTCOME
14	MEASURES
15	a. Jobs created as a result of Capital Development,
16	nonexport loans120
17	b. Jobs created as a result of Capital Development,
18	venture capital activity55
19	c. Venture Capital raised by presenters at venture
20	forums\$7 million
21	d. Investments received by Florida businesses from
22	Cypress Fund sponsored firms and co-investors\$12 million
23	e. Florida businesses cumulatively receiving venture
24	capital investments from Cypress Fund venture firms5
25	19. ENTERPRISE FLORIDA Capital Development OUTPUT
26	MEASURES
27	a. Number of nonexport low-cost business loans funded
28	at sub-prime rates8
29	b. Dollar value of nonexport low-cost business loans
30	funded at sub-prime rates\$12 million
31	
	157
	± 🗸 /

1	c. Number of Venture Finance Directories and primers
2	distributed882
3	d. Venture capital conferences/forums and
4	investor/entrepreneur networking seminars7
5	e. Investors, entrepreneurs, and service providers
6	attending venture capital forums330
7	f. Venture capital invested by Florida institutions in
8	Cypress Fund\$2.8 million
9	(3) DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAY SAFETY AND MOTOR VEHICLES
10	(a) For the Highway Patrol Program, the purpose of
11	which is to increase highway safety in Florida through law
12	enforcement, preventive patrol, and public education, the
13	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
14	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
15	Appropriations 1682-1689A are as follows:
16	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
17	a. Percent of seat belt use (for information only):
18	(I) Annual percent change1%
19	(II) State compliance rate62%
20	(III) National average compliance rate
21	b. Annual mileage death rate on all Florida roads per
22	100 million vehicle miles of travel:
23	(I) Florida
24	(II) National average
25	c. Annual alcohol-related death rate per 100 million
26	vehicle miles of travel
27	d. Annual crashes investigated by FHP:
28	(I) Number of crashes investigated by FHP (for
29	information only)197,405
30	(II) Percent change1%
31	
	158

1	e. Annual crash rate per 100 million vehicle miles of
2	travel on all Florida roads186.2
3	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
4	a. Average time (hours) spent per criminal
5	investigation cases closed40.93
6	b. Actual number of criminal cases closed1,350
7	c. Average time (hours) spent per professional
8	compliance investigation cases closed85.26
9	d. Actual number of professional compliance
LO	investigation cases closed95
L1	e. Number of hours spent on traffic homicide
L2	investigations (for information only)135,607
L3	f. Number of cases resolved as result of traffic
L4	homicide investigations
L5	g. Public traffic safety presentations:
L6	(I) Number of presentations made630
L7	(II) Persons in attendance72,000
L8	h. Number of training courses offered to FHP recruits
L9	and personnel67
20	i. Number of students successfully completing the
21	course
22	j. Actual average response time (in minutes) to calls
23	for crashes or assistance24.50
24	k. Number of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
25	on preventive patrol (for information only)1,014,971
26	1. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
27	on preventive patrol42%
28	m. Number of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
29	on crash investigation (for information only)338,826
30	n. Percent of law enforcement officer duty hours spent
31	on crash investigation14%
	159
	137

1	o. Law enforcement officer assistance rendered:
2	(I) Duty hours spent (for information only)111,355
3	(II) Percent of duty hours5%
4	(III) Number of motorists assisted308,500
5	p. Average size of audience per traffic safety
6	presentation given by public information officers114
7	q. Average time (in hours) to investigate crashes:
8	(I) Long-form
9	(II) Short-form
10	(III) Nonreportable0.70
11	r. Average time spent (in hours) per traffic homicide
12	investigation84.65
13	s. Percentage of recruits retained by FHP for 1 year
14	after the completion of training93%
15	(b) For the Driver Licenses Program, the purpose of
16	which is to maintain an efficient driver licensing program
17	assuring that only drivers demonstrating the necessary
18	knowledge, skills, and abilities are licensed to operate motor
19	vehicles on Florida roads; to remove drivers from the highways
20	who abuse their driving privilege or require further driver
21	education; to ensure that drivers are financially responsible
22	for their actions; and to maintain adequate records for driver
23	education and administrative control, the outcome measures,
24	output measures, and associated performance standards with
25	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations
26	1690-1695A are as follows:
27	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Percent of customers waiting 15 minutes or less for
29	driver license service79%
30	b. Percent of customers waiting 30 minutes or more for
31	driver license service8%
	160
	$oldsymbol{\perp}$

1	c. Percent of DUI course graduates who do not
2	recidivate within three years of graduation86%
3	d. Average number of corrections per 1,000 driver
4	records maintained4.3
5	e. Percent of motorists complying with financial
6	responsibility83%
7	f. Number of driver licenses/identification cards
8	suspended, cancelled, and invalidated as a result of
9	fraudulent activity, with annual percent change shown.2,046/1%
10	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
11	a. Number of driver licenses issued3,609,500
12	b. Number of identification cards issued729,854
13	c. Number of (written) driver license examinations
14	conducted1,029,731
15	d. Number of road tests conducted393,744
16	(c) For the Motor Vehicles Program, the purpose of
17	which is to increase consumer protection, health, and public
18	safety through efficient license systems that register and
19	title motor vehicles, vessels, and mobile homes, regulate
20	vehicle and motor home dealers, manufacturers, and central
21	emission inspection stations, and to collect revenue in the
22	most efficient and effective manner, the outcome measures,
23	output measures, and associated performance standards with
24	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1696-1705
25	are as follows:
26	1. OUTCOME MEASURERS
27	a. Percent of motor vehicle titles issued without
28	error99%
29	b. Fraudulent motor vehicle titles:
30	(I) Number identified and submitted to law enforcement
31	1,042
	161
	101

1	(II) Percent change5%
2	c. Ratio of warranty complaints to new mobile homes
3	titled1:890
4	d. Percent reduction in pollution tonnage per day in
5	the six applicable (air quality) counties15.63%
6	e. Ratio of taxes collected from international
7	registration plans (IRP) and international fuel tax agreements
8	(IFTA) audits to cost of audits\$2:\$1
9	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
10	a. Number of motor vehicle and mobile homes
11	registrations issued13,642,317
12	b. Number of motor vehicle and mobile home titles
13	issued4,794,000
14	c. Average cost to issue a motor vehicle title\$2.05
15	d. Average time to issue a motor vehicle title3.4
16	days
17	e. Number of vessel registrations issued841,849
18	f. Number of vessel titles issued206,375
19	g. Average cost to issue a vessel title\$5.50
20	h. Number of motor carriers audited per auditor, with
21	number of auditors shown
22	
23	Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews
24	required by sections 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes,
25	shall be included in the agency Fiscal Year 2000-2001
26	Legislative Budget Request. Measures for which data are
27	unavailable should be included with an explanation as to the
28	utility of the measure.
29	(4) DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
30	(a) For the Fire Marshal Program, the purpose of which
31	is to enhance public safety through investigation and forensic
	162

1	services, increasing the solvability of criminal cases, by
2	ensuring that emergency responders and service providers are
3	qualified, competent, and ethical through quality training,
4	education, and establishing professional standards; and
5	maintaining the safest possible environment through the
6	regulation, product testing, and inspection of fire
7	suppression and protection equipment, explosives, and
8	fireworks, the outcome measures, output measures, and
9	associated performance standards with respect to funds
10	provided in Specific Appropriations 1745-1753 are as follows:
11	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
12	a. Number/percentage of closed fire investigations
13	successfully concluded, including by cause determined, suspect
14	identified and/or arrested, or other reasons5,443/87%
15	b. Number/percentage of closed arson investigations
16	for which an arrest was made1,031/29%
17	c. Number/percentage of inspected state owned and
18	leased properties that experience a fireFY 2000-2001 LBR
19	d. Number/percentage of licensed entities found in
20	violation of statutesFY 2000-2001 LBR
21	e. Number of unlicensed entities found in violation of
22	statutesFY 2000-2001 LBR
23	f. Number/percentage of students who rate training
24	they received at the Florida State Fire College as improving
25	their ability to perform assigned duties5,901/95%
26	g. Percent of above satisfactory ratings by
27	supervisors of students job performance from post-class
28	evaluations of skills gained through training at the Florida
29	State Fire College85%
30	
31	
	162
	163

1	h. Number/percentage of favorable rulings by hearing
2	officers on challenges to examination results and eligibility
3	determinations
4	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Total number of fire investigations commenced.7,968
6	b. Number of criminal investigations commenced3,558
7	c. Number of accidental investigations commenced.2,696
8	d. Number of other investigations commenced1,714
9	e. Total number of fire investigations closed8,567
10	f. Total number of fire code compliance inspections in
11	state owned/leased buildings14,611
12	g. Number of recurring inspections completed of fire
13	code compliance in state owned/leased buildings7,200
14	h. Number of high hazard inspections completed of fire
15	code compliance in state owned/leased buildings6,536
16	i. Number of construction inspections completed of
17	fire code compliance in state owned/leased buildings875
18	j. Percent of fire code inspections completed within
19	statutory defined time-frame91%
20	k. Number of plans reviewed to assure compliance with
21	fire codes in state owned/leased buildings1,157
22	1. Percent of fire code plans reviews completed within
23	statutory defined time-frame98%
24	m. Total number of boilers inspected12,500
25	n. Number of boilers inspected by department
26	<u>inspectors</u> 4,200
27	o. Number of boilers inspected by other inspectors
28	8,300
29	p. Number of complaint investigations completed1,497
30	q. Number of regulatory inspections completed850
31	
	164

1	r. Number of licensed applications reviewed for
2	qualification
3	s. Number of classes conducted by the Florida State
4	Fire College
5	t. Number of students trained and classroom contact
6	hours provided by the Florida State Fire College.6,212/215,677
7	u. Number of curricula developed for Florida State
8	Fire College and certified training center delivery5
9	v. Percentage of satisfactory student evaluations of
10	Florida State Fire College facilities and services95%
11	w. Number/percentage of customer requests for
12	certification testing completed within defined time frames
13	
14	x. Number/percentage of certified training centers
15	inspected that meet certification requirements27/100%
16	y. Number of examinations administered5,500
17	(b) For the State Property and Casualty Claims
18	Program, the purpose of which is to ensure that participating
19	
	state agencies are provided quality workers' compensation,
20	liability, federal civil rights, auto liability, and property
21	insurance coverage at reasonable rates by provided
22	self-insurance, purchase of insurance, claims handling, and
23	technical assistance in managing risk, the outcome measures,
24	output measures, and associated performance standards with
25	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1754-1757
26	are as follows:
27	1. WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME
28	MEASURES
29	a. Number/percentage of indemnity and medical payments
30	made in a timely manner in compliance with DLES Security Rule
31	38F-24.021, F.A.C121,672/97%
	165

1	b. State Employees' Workers Compensation Benefit Cost
2	Rate, as defined by indemnity and medical costs per \$100 of
3	state employees' payroll\$1.16
4	2. WORKERS' COMPENSATION CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT
5	MEASURES
6	a. Number of workers' compensation claims worked
7	
8	b. Number of workers compensation claims litigated.780
9	c. Number of workers' compensation claims referred to
10	the Special Investigative Unit or the Department's Bureau of
11	Workers' Compensation Fraud96
12	3. RISK SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Number/percentage of workers' compensation claims
14	requiring some payment per 100 full-time-equivalent employees
15	FY 2000-2001 LBR
16	b. Number and percent of agencies who indicated the
17	risk services training they received was useful in developing
18	and implementing risk management plans in their agencies
19	
20	c. Average cost of tort liability claims paid\$12,905
21	d. Average cost of Federal Civil Rights liability
22	claims paid\$29,067
23	e. Average cost of workers' compensation claims.\$3,250
24	f. Average cost of property claims paid\$7,547
25	4. RISK SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
26	a. Number of risk services training units provided to
27	state agency personnel70
28	b. Number of risk services surveys, follow-ups, and
29	visits made50
30	c. Number of risk services consultative contacts made
31	195
	166

1	5. LIABILITY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Number/percentage of claims closed in relation to
3	claims closed during the fiscal year4,226/51%
4	b. Number/percentage of lawsuits, generated from a
5	liability claim, evaluated with SEFES codes entered within
6	prescribed timeframes902/92%
7	6. LIABILITY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT MEASURE
8	a. Number of liability claims worked8,287
9	7. PROPERTY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTCOME MEASURES
10	a. Number/percentage of trainees who indicated the
11	training they received was useful in performing required
12	property program processesFY 2000-2001 LBR
13	b. Number and percent of property claims closed within
14	prescribed time periods from the date complete documentation
15	<u>is received70/93%</u>
16	8. PROPERTY CLAIMS COVERAGE OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of training units/assists provided by the
18	property program50/253
19	b. Number of state property loss/damage claims worked
20	306
21	(5) DEPARTMENT OF LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT SECURITY
22	(a) For the Disability Determination Program, the
23	purpose of which is to make timely and accurate disability
24	decisions for Florida citizens applying for benefits under the
25	federal Social Security Act or the Medically Needy program
26	administered by the Department of Children and Families, the
27	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
28	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
29	Appropriations 1847-1849 are as follows:
30	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
31	
	167

1	a. Average number of days required to complete initial
2	disability determinations:
3	(I) Under Title II80
4	(II) Under Title XVI80
5	b. Average number of days required to complete initial
6	Medically Needy decisions70
7	c. Percentage of Title II and XVI disability decisions
8	completed accurately as measured by the Social Security
9	Administration92%
10	d. Percentage of Medically Needy decisions completed
11	accurately, as measured by the internal ODD Quality Assurance
12	section94%
13	e. Cost per case (Titles II and XVI)\$281
14	f. Cost per case (Medically Needy)\$181
15	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
16	a. Number of Title II and XVI disability decisions
17	completed229,593
18	b. Number of Medically Needy decisions completed
19	
20	c. Title II/XVI production per work year275
21	d. Medically Needy production per work year334
22	(b) For the Rehabilitation Program, the purpose of
23	which is to oversee programs that provide vocational and
24	rehabilitative services to individuals with mental or physical
25	disabilities in an effort to enable them to live and work as
26	independently as possible, the outcome measures, output
27	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
28	funds in Specific Appropriations 1830-1846 are as follows:
29	1. VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION OUTCOME MEASURES
30	a. Rate and number of customers gainfully employed
31	(rehabilitated) at least 90 days:62%/9,500
	1.60
	168

1	(I) Of VR severely disabled63%/3,800
2	(II) Of VR most severely disabled56%/4,275
3	(III) Of BSCI customers referred to VR55%/89
4	(IV) Of all other VR disabled
5	b. Rate and number of VR customers placed in
6	competitive employment97.5%/9,262
7	c. Rate and number of VR customers retained in
8	<pre>employment after one year61.5%/5,200</pre>
9	d. Average annual earnings of VR customers at
10	placement\$13,633
11	e. Average annual earnings of VR customers after one
12	<u>year\$14,384</u>
13	f. Rate and number of BSCI customers returned
14	(reintegrated) to their communities at an appropriate level of
15	functioning for their injuries82%/800
16	g. Percentage of case costs covered by third-party
17	payers25%
18	h. Average cost of case life (to Division):
19	(I) For severely disabled VR customers\$3,311
20	(II) For most severely disabled VR customers\$3,611
21	(III) For all other disabled VR customers\$450
22	(IV) For brain injured BSCI customers\$3,500
23	(V) For spinal cord injured BSCI customers\$9,500
24	2. VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of customers reviewed for eligibility.24,000
26	b. Number of individualized written plans for services
27	19,750
28	c. Number of customers served72,000
29	d. Customer caseload per counseling/case management
30	<u>team member165</u>
31	
	169

1	e. Percent of eligibility determinations completed in
2	compliance with federal law85%
3	3. BLIND SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Rate and number of rehabilitation customers
5	gainfully employed at least 90 days68.3%/847
6	b. Rate and number of rehabilitation customers placed
7	in competitive employment
8	c. Projected average annual earnings of rehabilitation
9	customers at placement\$13,500
10	d. Rate and number of successfully rehabilitated older
11	persons, nonvocational rehabilitation55.2%/1,355
12	e. Ratio and number of customers (children)
13	successfully rehabilitated/transitioned from preschool to
14	school
15	f. Ratio and number of customers (children)
16	successfully rehabilitated/transitioned from school to work
17	
18	g. Percentage of eligible library customers served
19	19.8%
20	h. Percentage of library customers satisfied with the
21	timeliness of services98.6%
22	i. Percentage of library customers satisfied with the
23	selection of reading materials available96.0%
24	4. BLIND SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of customers reviewed for eligibility2,035
26	b. Number of written plans for services1,425
27	c. Number of customers served14,500
28	d. Average time lapse between application and
29	eligibility determination for rehabilitation customers69
30	e. Customer caseload per counseling/case management
31	team member114
	170
	± , ∨

1	f. Number of books available per library customer
2	51.14
3	g. Number of books loaned per library customer12.39
4	h. Number of periodicals loaned per library customer
5	
6	i. Net increase in registered customers for library
7	services822
8	j. Cost per library customer\$19.65
9	k. Total number of food service managers162
10	1. Number of existing food services facilities
11	renovated10
12	m. Number of new food service facilities constructed.5
13	(c) For the Safety/Workers' Compensation Program, the
14	purpose of which is to keep the workplace safe and return
15	injured employees to work at a reasonable cost to employers,
16	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
17	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
18	Appropriations 1799-1807 are as follows:
19	1. WORKERS' COMPENSATION OUTCOME MEASURES
20	a. Percentage of injured workers returning to work at
21	80 percent or more of previous average (BRE) quarterly wage
22	for at least 1 quarter of the year following injury for
23	accident 2 years prior63.5%
24	b. Percentage of initial payments made on time by
25	insurance carriers91.8%
26	c. Number of workers newly protected by workers'
27	compensation coverage per fiscal year as a result of
28	<pre>compliance efforts14,105</pre>
29	d. Percent of investigated issues resolved by EAO10%
30	e. Average closure time for disputed issues through
31	efforts of EAO (in days)30
	171
	± / ±

f. Percent of noncomplying carriers in compliance upon
reaudit78%
g. Percent of cases closed during fiscal year in which
a worker returns to work63%
h. Number of employers brought into compliance through
investigations2,995
i. Estimated amount of insurance premium dollars newly
generated due to compliance\$12,562,847
j. Average total cost per 4-year-old case (information
only)\$17,597
k. Percentage of lost time cases with no petition for
benefits filed 18 months after the date of accident77%
2. WORKERS' COMPENSATION OUTPUT MEASURES
a. Number of employer coverage documents processed,
including exemptions from coverage filed by construction
employers621,694
b. Number of stop-work orders served to employers
failing to comply with requirements
c. Number of employer investigations conducted for
compliance with workers' compensation law22,758
d. Number of applicants screened for reemployment
services1,921
e. Number of program applicants provided reemployment
services1,750
f. Number of carriers audited381
g. Number of investigated issues resolved by the
Employee Assistance Office
h. Number of days between the filing of the petition
for benefits with the division and the referral of the
petition to the Judges of Compensation Claims.FY 2000-2001 LBR
3. SAFETY OUTCOME MEASURES
172

1	a. Occupational injury and illness total case
2	incidence rate (per 100 workers) (information only)8.1%
3	b. Percentage change in total case incidence rate for
4	private sector job sites served4.0%
5	c. Percentage change in total case incidence rate for
6	public sector job sites served4.0%
7	d. Percentage reduction in lost workday case incidence
8	rate for private sector job sites served5.0%
9	e. Percentage change in lost workday case incidence
10	rate for public sector job sites served5.0%
11	f. Percentage change in disabling compensable claims
12	rate for private employers served5.0%
13	g. Percentage change in disabling compensable claims
14	rate for public employers served5.0%
15	h. Percent of employers surveyed who view services as
16	adequately effective or above90%
17	4. SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number of private sector employers (and job sites)
19	provided OHSA 7 (c)1 consultation services549
20	b. Number of public sector employers (and job sites)
21	provided consultation services
22	c. Number of private sector employers receiving
23	training and other technical servicesFY 2000-2001 LBR
24	d. Number of public sector employers receiving
25	training and other technical servicesFY 2000-2001 LBR
26	(d) For the Employment Security Program, the purpose
27	of which is to increase Floridians' ability to lead
28	independent lives, secure safe and gainful employment, and
29	provide employers with skilled workers, thereby enabling
30	Florida to compete successfully in the global economy, the
31	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance

1	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
2	Appropriations 1808-1826 are as follows:
3	1. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Percent of UC benefits paid timely90.0%
5	b. Percent of UC benefits paid accurately95%
6	c. Percent of UC appeal cases completed timely87.01%
7	d. Percent of new UC employer liability determinations
8	made timely84.20%
9	e. Percent of current quarter UC taxes paid timely
10	95.5%
11	2. EMPLOYMENT SECURITY OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of UC claimant eligibility determinations
13	issued184,324
14	b. Number of UC benefits weeks paid3,266,221
15	c. Amount of UC benefits paid\$741,304,302
16	d. Number of appeal cases completed52,197
17	e. Number of new UC employer liability determinations
18	made69,118
19	f. Amount of UC taxes collected\$523,054,615
20	g. Number of UC employer tax/wage reports processed
21	
22	3. JOBS AND BENEFITS OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Percent of job openings filled50.2%
24	b. Percent individuals referred to jobs who are placed
25	
26	c. Percent food stamp clients employed11.8%
27	d. Percent increase in high skill/high wage
28	apprenticeship programs registered5.00%
29	4. JOBS AND BENEFITS OUTPUT MEASURES
30	a. Number individuals referred to job openings listed
31	with J&B540,000
	187
	174

1	b. Number individuals placed by J&B137,700
2	c. Number individuals obtaining employment after
3	receiving specific J&B services
4	d. Cost per placement by J&B\$231
5	e. Cost per individual placed or obtained employment
6	\$176
7	f. Number recipients employed:
8	(I) Food stamps14,800
9	(II) Cost per food stamp placement\$302
10	g. Number Apprenticeship Program requests meeting high
11	skill/high wage requirements166
12	h. Number apprentices successfully completing terms of
13	training as set by registered industry standards2,900
14	5. WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT (WIA) OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. WIA adult and dislocated worker placement rate
16	(Information only)76.50%
17	b. WIA youth positive outcome rate (Information only)
18	
19	6. WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT (WIA) OUTPUT MEASURES
20	a. Number WIA Adult Program completers8,568
21	b. Number WIA Youth Program completers5,809
22	7. WAGES OUTCOMES
23	a. Percentage of WAGES Coalitions clients employed.41%
24	8. WAGES OUTPUTS
25	a. Number of WAGES Coalitions clients employed51,000
26	b. Cost per WAGES client employed\$1,800
27	(e) For the Public Employees Relations Commission, the
28	purpose of which is to promote harmonious employer/employee
29	relations at the state and local levels by resolving and
30	mediating workplace disputes, the following measures and
31	
	175
	1/3

1	standards shall be applied to the funds provided in Specific
2	Appropriations 1791-1794:
3	1. PERC OUTCOMES
4	a. Percentage of timely labor dispositions95.2%
5	b. Percentage of timely employment dispositions94.9%
6	c. Percentage of dispositions not appealed96%
7	d. Percentage of appealed dispositions affirmed86%
8	2. PERC OUTPUTS
9	a. Number of labor dispositions
10	b. Number of employment dispositions744
11	(f) For the Workers' Compensation Hearings Program,
12	the purpose of which is to resolve disputed compensation
13	claims in conformity with pertinent statutory, rule, and
14	caseload requirements through the maintenance of a statewide
15	mediation, hearing, and order adjudicatory system, the outcome
16	measures, output measures, and associated performance
17	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
18	Appropriations 1795-1798 are as follows:
19	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
20	a. Percentage of concluded mediations resulting in
21	resolution56%
22	b. Percentage of appealed, decided orders affirmed.80%
23	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of petitions received by presiding judge
25	
26	b. Number of mediations held17,600
27	c. Number of final hearings held3,800
28	d. Number of other hearings held38,500
29	(I) Number of final merit orders2,850
30	(II) Number of lump sum settlements orders29,190
31	
	176

1	(a) Hay the Transplanment Bureals Commission the
1	(g) For the Unemployment Appeals Commission, the
2	purpose of which is to provide rapid cost-effective review and
3	decisions for appealed unemployment compensation claims, the
4	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
5	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
6	Appropriations 1850-1852 are as follows:
7	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
8	a. Percentage of unemployment compensation appeals
9	disposed within 45 days50%
10	b. Percentage of unemployment compensation appeals
11	disposed within 90 days95%
12	c. Percentage of cases appealed to DCA
13	d. Average unit cost of cases appealed to Unemployment
14	Appeals Commission\$186
15	e. Average unit cost of cases appealed to DCA\$685
16	f. Percentage of appealed decisions affirmed by the
17	DCA94%
18	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Number of unemployment compensation appeals
20	disposed of10,500
21	(h) For the Information Management Center, the purpose
22	of which is to provide application development and support,
23	processing applications error-free, through a computer network
24	that is responsive and available, the outcome measures, output
25	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
26	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1827-1829 are as
27	follows:
28	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Percentage of data processing requests completed by
30	due date95%
31	b. System design and programming hourly cost\$52.00
	177

1	c. Percentage of scheduled production jobs completed
2	99.9%
3	d. Percentage scheduled hours available data center
4	operations99.79%
5	e. Cost per MIP (millions of instructions per second)
6	\$19,000.00
7	f. Percentage of Help Desk calls resolved within 3
8	working days89.48%
9	g. Cost per Help Desk call\$8.00
10	h. Percentage scheduled hours available network.99.08%
11	i. Cost for support per network device\$195.00
12	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of data processing requests completed by due
14	date2,900
15	b. Number of scheduled production jobs completed
16	517,000
17	c. Number of hours available data center operations
18	
19	d. Number of Help Desk calls resolved within 3 working
20	days18,175
21	e. Number of hours available network2,855
22	
23	Additional measures and standards as contained in reviews
24	required by sections 11.513 and 216.0166, Florida Statutes,
25	shall be included in the agency Fiscal Year 2000-2001
26	Legislative Budget Request. Measures for which data are
27	unavailable should be included with an explanation as to the
28	utility of the measure.
29	(6) DEPARTMENT OF THE LOTTERY
30	(a) For the Sale of Lottery Products Program, the
31	purpose purpose of which is to maximize revenues for public
	170
	178

1	education in a manner consistent with the dignity of the state
2	and the welfare of its citizens, the outcome measures, output
3	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
4	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1871-1882 are as
5	follows:
6	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
7	a. Total revenue in dollars\$2,047 million
8	b. Percent change from prior year0.56%
9	c. Transfers to the state Educational Enhancement
10	<u>Trust Fund\$784.7</u>
11	<u>million</u>
12	d. Percent of total revenue to the Educational
13	Enhancement Trust Fund38%
14	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Percent of total revenue paid as prizes49.65%
16	b. Administrative expense paid for retailer commission
17	\$112.6 million
18	c. Operating expense\$252.8 million
19	d. Operating expense as percent of total revenue12%
20	e. Survey results of public awareness of the
21	contribution to education by the Lottery - percent of
22	respondents who are aware of the Lottery's contribution to
23	education65%
24	(7) DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES
25	(a) For the State Group Insurance Program, the purpose
26	of which is to contribute to a productive workforce
27	representative of the labor market by providing cost effective
28	employee health insurance, the outcome measures, output
29	measures, and associated performance standards with respect to
30	funds provided in Specific Appropriations 1897-1903 are as
31	follows:
	179
	= • 2

1	1. STATE GROUP INSURANCE OUTCOME MEASURES
2	a. Customer feedback ranking for Division out of
3	possible 10 points
4	b. Percentage of claims reaching final action within
5	30 days of receipt98%
6	c. Overall payment and procedural error rate5%
7	d. Telephone queue time in seconds45
8	e. Unprocessed original claims inventory30,000
9	f. Average annual cost per contract to administer
10	insurance programs\$14.84
11	(b) For the Facilities Program, the purpose of which
12	is to provide best value office facilities considering the
13	total cost of constructing, managing, and maintaining office
14	facilities, and compared to comparable industry standards, the
15	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
16	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
17	Appropriations 1904-1927A are as follows:
18	1. FACILITIES OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Gross square foot construction cost of office
20	facilities for DMS\$80.02
21	b. Gross square foot construction cost of office
22	facilities for private industry average\$87.55
23	c. Average full service rent - composite cost per net
24	square foot in counties where DMS has office facilities for
25	DMS actual\$15.13
26	d. Average full service rent - composite cost per net
27	square foot in counties where DMS has office facilities for
28	private industry\$16.42
29	e. New office space efficiency per net square
30	foot/gross square foot87%
31	
	180

f. Average operations and maintenance cost per net
square foot maintained by DMS\$5.04
g. Average operations and maintenance cost per net
square foot maintained by private industry\$5.92
h. Number of criminal incidents per 100,000 gross
square feet4.7
i. Number of criminal incidents per 1,000 employees
20.33
2. FACILITIES OUTPUT MEASURES
a. Gross square feet of office facilities completed
337,320
b. Net square feet of state-owned office space
occupied by state agencies including non-DMS owned facilities
c. Net square feet of private office space occupied by
state agencies11,057,443
d. Number of square feet maintained by DMS4,893,921
e. Number of square feet maintained by private
contractor1,912,009
f. Gross square feet monitored for security purposes
g. Number of investigations conducted210
(c) For the Support Program, the purpose of which is
to provide government entities access to best value
commodities and services through centralized procurement,
federal property assistance, and fleet management, the outcome
measures, output measures, and associated performance
standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
Appropriations 1928-1931 are as follows:
1. SUPPORT OUTCOME MEASURES
a. Percentage of state term contracts savings35%
181

1	b. State term contracts cost avoidance\$205,000,000
2	c. Average percentage below private sector fleet
3	maintenance for labor costs13%
4	d. Average percentage below private sector fleet
5	maintenance for parts costs26%
6	e. Average percentage state rental vehicles below
7	state rental contract rates30%
8	f. Passenger load factor for DMS3.5
9	g. Passenger load factor for large corporation3.4
10	h. Cost per flight hour - DMS aircraft pool\$1,166
11	i. Average percentage DMS direct cost per flight hour
12	below industry direct cost44%
13	j. Number of government and nonprofit organizations
14	visiting a surplus property distribution center3,400
15	k. Federal property distribution rate85%
16	2. SUPPORT OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number of commodities/services on state term
18	contracts233,000
19	b. Number of agencies using SPURS30
20	c. Percentage of agencies using SPURS75%
21	d. Number of federal property orders processed2,150
22	e. Number of vehicle maintenance service hours8,600
23	f. Days of state rental vehicle service provided
24	41,000
25	g. Miles of state rental vehicle service provided
26	
27	h. Number of flights by executive aircraft pool2,500
28	(d) For the Workforce Program, the purpose of which is
29	to manage the State Personnel System to help state agencies
30	achieve an effective workforce; perform a variety of
31	activities to assist state agencies in human resource
	182

1	management; and provide administrative support for the
2	Cooperative Personnel Employment Subsystem (COPES), the
3	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
4	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
5	Appropriations 1932-1936 are as follows:
6	1. STATE PERSONNEL SYSTEM OUTCOME MEASURES
7	a. Administrative cost per FTE for Cooperative
8	Personnel Employee System\$40.20
9	b. Administrative cost per FTE for administrative cost
10	net of COPES\$35.38
11	c. Administrative cost per FTE total administrative
12	cost per FTE\$75.58
13	d. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
14	information provided resulted in more effective and efficient
15	HR-related decisionmaking83%
16	e. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
17	technical assistance provided resulted in more effective and
18	efficient HR-related decision-making83%
19	f. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
20	information provided was timely83%
21	g. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
22	information provided was accurate83%
23	h. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
24	information provided was consistent with past practices83%
25	i. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
26	technical assistance provided was timely87%
27	j. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
28	technical assistance provided was accurate87%
29	k. Percentage of customers satisfied that the
30	technical assistance provided was consistent with past
31	practices74%
	183
	_~~

1	1. Percentage of agencies at or above EEO gender
2	parity with available labor market86.7%
3	m. Percentage of agencies at or above EEO minority
4	parity with the available labor market56.7%
5	2. STATE PERSONNEL SYSTEM OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of informational materials provided1,820
7	b. Number of responses to technical assistance
8	requests15,343
9	(e) For the Retirement Benefits Program, the purpose
10	of which is to provide quality and cost-effective retirement
11	services, the outcome measures, output measures, and
12	associated performance standards with respect to funds
13	provided in Specific Appropriations 1937-1947 are as follows:
14	1. RETIREMENT BENEFITS PROGRAM OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Percentage of participating agencies satisfied with
16	retirement information94.9%
17	b. Percentage of participating active members
18	satisfied with retirement information86.5%
19	c. Percentage of participating recent retirees
20	satisfied with retirement information96.5%
21	d. Percentage of participating other retirees
22	satisfied with retirement information96.3%
23	e. Percentage of agency payroll transactions correctly
24	reported97.9%
25	f. Percentage of standard retirement services offered
26	by FRS compared to comparable programs82%
27	g. Percentage of participating agencies satisfied with
28	retirement services94.9%
29	h. Percentage of participating active members
30	satisfied with retirement services87.7%
31	
	184
	± > ±

1	i. Percentage of participating recent retirees
2	satisfied with retirement services97%
3	j. Percentage of participating other retirees
4	satisfied with retirement services95.8%
5	k. Administrative cost per active and retired member
6	\$20.60
7	1. Ratio of active and retired members to division FTE
8	
9	m. Funding ratio of FRS assets to liabilities93%
10	n. Percentage of local retirement systems annually
11	reviewed which are funded on a sound actuarial basis92%
12	2. RETIREMENT BENEFITS PROGRAM OUTPUT MEASURES
13	a. Number of annuitants added to retired payroll
14	13,200
15	b. Number of retirement account audits83,000
16	c. Number of changes processed54,445
17	d. Number of benefit payments issued2,158,346
18	e. Number of local pension plan valuations and impact
19	statements reviewed850
20	(f) For the Information Technology Program, the
21	purpose of which is to effectively and efficiently satisfy
22	customer needs for using, sharing, and managing information
23	technology resources, the outcome measures, output measures,
24	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
25	provided in Specific Appropriations 1948-1959 are as follows:
26	1. TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Percentage SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
28	for local access40%
29	b. Percentage SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
30	for long distance40%
31	
	185

1	c. Percentage SUNCOM discount from commercial rates
2	for data service25%
3	d. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for
4	service features2.23
5	e. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for
6	service delivery2.16
7	f. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for
8	timely problem resolution2.33
9	g. Customer Survey Ranking (Scale of 1 to 5) for best
10	value services2.15
11	2. TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Number of SUNCOM long distance billable minutes
13	
14	b. Number of SUNCOM local service main stations
15	
16	c. Number of SUNCOM data locations served10,747
17	d. Percentage SUNCOM service growth for local access
18	9%
19	e. Percentage SUNCOM service growth for long distance
20	1%
21	f. Percentage SUNCOM service growth for data service
22	9%
23	3. INFORMATION SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
25	accessible information services2.67
26	b. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
27	desirable technology services2.40
28	c. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
29	timely problem resolution2.33
30	d. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
31	projects within schedule2.56
	186
	-

1	e. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for best
2	value services
3	f. Customer survey ranking (scale of 1 to 5) for
4	reliable information services2.11
5	4. INFORMATION SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of Technology Resource Center research
7	projects completed15
8	b. Number of Technology Resource Center consulting
9	<pre>projects completed</pre>
10	c. Number of Technology Resource Center development
11	projects completed425
12	d. Percentage utilization by the Unisys System as used
13	for capacity planning & technology refresh, employing 80%
14	<pre>maximum utilization standard60%</pre>
15	e. Percentage utilization by the IBM System as used
16	for capacity planning & technology refresh, employing 80%
17	<pre>maximum utilization standard59.5%</pre>
18	5. WIRELESS SERVICES OUTCOME MEASURE
19	a. Percentage wireless discount from commercially
20	available and similar type engineering services35%
21	6. WIRELESS SERVICES OUTPUT MEASURES
22	a. Number of engineering projects and approvals
23	handled for state government110
24	b. Number of engineering projects and approvals
25	handled for local governments550
26	c. Number of Joint Task Force Radio Systems fixed
27	sites operated and maintained81
28	d. Percentage of state covered by the Joint Task Force
29	Radio System34%
30	e. Percentage of Joint Task Force Radio System current
31	<pre>phase(s) under development completed34%</pre>
	187

1	(8) DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AFFAIRS
2	(a) For the Readiness and Response Program, the
3	purpose of which is to provide military units and personnel
4	(at the Governor's request) that are ready to protect life and
5	property; preserve peace, order, and public safety; and
6	contribute to such state and local programs that add value to
7	the State of Florida, the outcome measures, output measures,
8	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
9	provided in Specific Appropriations 1975-1979A are as follows:
10	1. READINESS OUTCOME MEASURES
11	a. Percentage of Area Commands assigned Military
12	Support Missions that are prepared to execute those missions
13	
14	b. Percentage of units with a Green readiness rating
15	
16	2. READINESS OUTPUT MEASURES
17	a. Number/percentage of armories rated adequate.57/97%
18	b. Percentage of satisfaction with training facilities
19	at Camp Blanding80%
20	c. Number of annual training days at Camp Blanding
21	120,000
22	d. Percentage of available training days at Camp
23	Blanding15.7%
24	e. Percentage of assigned soldiers to authorized
25	staffing levels99%
26	f. Number of new recruits using State Education
27	Assistance Program625
28	g. Number of crisis response exercises conducted
29	annually3
30	3. RESPONSE OUTCOME MEASURES
31	
	188
	100

1	a. Percentage of supported agencies reporting
2	satisfaction with the department's support for specific
3	missions88%
4	4. RESPONSE OUTPUT MEASURES
5	a. Percentage of State Active Duty (SAD) purchase
6	orders processed in 24 hours96%
7	b. Percentage of SAD vouchers purchased and paid in 40
8	days98%
9	c. Percentage of SAD payrolls paid on time98%
10	d. Percentage of Area Command Plans rated satisfactory
11	as a result of operations100%
12	e. Percentage of missions accomplished on or before
13	time90%
14	(9) DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
15	(a) For the Property Tax Administration Program, the
16	purpose of which is to enhance the equity in property
17	assessments and taxation through the state and to facilitate
18	equalization of the distribution of the required local effort
19	millage, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
20	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
21	Specific Appropriations 2000-2003 are as follows:
22	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
23	a. Percent of classes studied found to have a level of
24	at least 90 percent97.2%
25	b. Tax roll uniformity - the average for coefficient
26	of dispersion11.5%
27	c. Percent of taxing authorities in total or
28	substantial truth in millage compliance on initial submission
29	97.3%
30	d. Percent of refund and tax certificate applications
31	processed within 30 days of receipt92.5%
	189

1	e. Refund request per 100,000 parcels31.8
2	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
3	a. Number of subclasses of property studied with
4	feedback to property appraisers5,250
5	b. Number of tax roll review notices issued3
6	c. Total number of tax roll defects found4
7	d. Number of truth in millage compliance letters sent
8	to taxing authorities485
9	e. Number of truth in millage compliance letters sent
10	to taxing authorities with minor infractions118
11	f. Number of property tax refund requests processed
12	
13	g. Number of tax certificates cancellations and
14	corrections processed2,500
15	h. Number of taxpayers audited on behalf of county
16	property appraisers - Tangible Personal Property250
17	i. Student training hours provided to property
18	appraisers and their staff - Tangible Personal Property3,500
19	(b) For the Child Support Enforcement Program, the
20	purpose of which is to establish paternity and child support
21	orders, enforce those orders to collect child support, and
22	distribute child support collections in a timely manner, the
23	outcome measures, output measures, and associated performance
24	standards with respect to funds provided in Specific
25	Appropriations 2004-2012 are as follows:
26	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. Percentage of children with a court order for
28	support47%
29	b. Percentage of children with paternity established
30	81%
31	
	100
	190

1	c. Total child support dollars collected per \$1 of
2	total expenditures\$2.77
3	d. Percent of child support collected that was due
4	during the fiscal year51%
5	e. Percent of cases with child support due in a month
6	that received a payment during the month53%
7	2. OUTPUT MEASURE
8	a. Number of children with a newly established court
9	order58,800
10	(c) For the General Tax Administration Program, the
11	purpose of which is to administer the revenue laws of the
12	state in a fair and equitable manner and to collect all money
13	owed, the outcome measures, output measures, and associated
14	performance standards with respect to funds provided in
15	Specific Appropriations 2013-2023 are as follows:
16	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
17	a. Average days from receipt of payment to final
18	processing of deposit - sales, corporation, intangibles, fuel
19	
20	b. Number of days between initial distribution of
21	funds and final adjustments - sales, fuel66
22	c. Percent of sales tax returns filed substantially
23	error free and on time76%
24	d. Percent of sales tax returns filed substantially
25	error free and on time by first time filers65%
26	e. Return on investment - total collections per dollar
27	spent\$147.73
28	f. Dollars collected as a percentage of actual
29	liability of notices sent for apparent sales tax return filing
30	errors or late returns55%
31	
	191
	±-/-±

1	g. Percentage of tax returns that did not result in a
2	notice of apparent filing error or late return90%
3	h. Average time in days between the processing of a
4	sales tax return and the first notification to the taxpayer of
5	an apparent filing error or late return
6	i. Percentage of delinquent sales tax return and
7	filing error or late return notices issued accurately to
8	taxpayer90%
9	j. Percentage of delinquent tax return and filing
10	error or late return notices sent to taxpayers that had to be
11	revised due to department or taxpayer error20%
12	k. Percentage of final audit assessment amounts
13	collected - tax only85%
14	1. Final audit assessment amounts as a percentage of
15	initial assessment amounts - tax only74%
16	m. Dollars collected voluntarily as a percentage of
17	total dollars collected97%
18	n. Average number of days to resolve a dispute of an
19	audit assessment175
20	o. Direct collections per enforcement related dollar
21	spent\$4.92
22	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of delinquent tax return notices issued to
24	taxpayers732,000
25	b. Number of notices sent to taxpayers for apparent
26	tax return filing errors or late return528,000
27	(10) DEPARTMENT OF STATE
28	(a) For the Historical, Archaeological, and Folklife
29	Appreciation Program, the purpose of which is to encourage
30	identification, evaluation, protection, preservation,
31	collection, conservation, interpretation, and public access to
	192

1	information about Florida's historic sites, properties, and
2	objects related to Florida history and to archaeological and
3	folk cultural heritage, the outcome measures, output measures,
4	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
5	provided in Specific Appropriations 2051-2056A are as follows:
6	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
7	a. Number/percentage increase of general public
8	utilizing historic information200,000/21%
9	b. Number of historic and archaeological objects
10	maintained for public use and scientific research99,000
11	c. Increase in number/percentage of historic and
12	archaeological properties:
13	(I) Recorded9,650/8%
14	(II) Protected or preserved for public use154/26%
15	d. Total local funds leveraged by historical resources
16	program\$61.5
17	million
18	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
19	a. Number of grants awarded243
20	b. Number of dollars awarded through grants
21	\$16,088,144
22	c. Number of museum exhibits82
23	d. Number of publications and multimedia products
24	available for the general public315
25	e. Number of institutions to which items are on loan
26	53
27	f. Average cost to collect historical and
28	archaeological objects\$75.62
29	g. Average cost to maintain historical and
30	archaeological objects\$1.16
31	
	102
	193

1	h. Number of sites maintained in the Florida Master
2	Site File133,000
3	i. Number of preservation services applications
4	reviewed8,000
5	j. Number of produced and sponsored events:
6	(I) K-12 targeted activities
7	(II) Other sponsored events720
8	(b) For the Commercial Recording and Registration
9	Program, the purpose of which is to promote financial and
10	economic stability through public notice of clients' interest
11	in business organizations, trademarks, financial transactions,
12	and liens as well as identification of those doing business
13	under names other than their own, output measures, and
14	associated performance standards with respect to funds
15	provided in Specific Appropriations 2057-2059 are as follows:
16	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
17	a. Percentage public reporting satisfaction with the
18	division's services91%
19	b. Percentage business reporting satisfaction with the
20	division's services91%
21	c. Percentage law enforcement reporting satisfaction
22	with the division's services91%
23	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Average Cost/Corporate Filing\$5.38
25	b. Average Cost/Uniform Commercial Code Filings\$1.81
26	c. Average Cost/Inquiry\$0.075
27	d. Proportion of total inquires handled by telephone
28	
29	e. Proportion of total inquiries handled by
30	mail/walk-ins10%
31	
	194

1	f. Proportion of total inquiries handled by electronic
2	means65%
3	(c) For the Libraries, Archives, and Information
4	Services Program, the purpose of which is to ensure access to
5	information of past, present, and future value for the
6	educational and cultural benefit of the people of Florida, the
7	Library, Archives, and Information program works in
8	partnership with citizens, information providers, and
9	government for efficient and effective management and
10	development of information services, the outcome measures,
11	output measures, and associated performance standards with
12	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2060-2067
13	are as follows:
14	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
15	a. Annual increase in the use of local public library
16	service2%
17	b. Annual increase in accessibility by library patrons
18	to materials not owned by their local public library4%
19	c. Annual increase in usage of research collections.6%
20	d. Annual cost avoidance achieved by government
21	agencies through records storage/disposition/micrographics
22	\$58,000,000
23	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
24	a. Number of items loaned by public libraries
25	
26	b. Number of library customer visits49,513,960
27	c. Number of public library reference requests
28	
29	d. Number of public library registered borrowers
30	7,066,610
31	
	105
	195

1	e. Number of persons attending public library programs
2	3,087,030
3	f. Number of volumes in public library collections
4	
5	g. Number of records added to the statewide library
6	holdings database annually1,826,191
7	h. Number of new users (State Library, State Archives)
8	
9	i. Number of reference requests handled (State
10	Library, State Archives)117,847
11	j. Number of items used on site (State Library).39,822
12	k. Number of database searches conducted (State
13	Library, State Archives)
14	1. Number of items loaned (State Library)81,286
15	m. Cubic feet of obsolete public records approved for
16	disposal510,000
17	n. Cubic feet of noncurrent records stored at the
18	Records Center
19	o. Number of microfilm images created, processed,
20	and/or duplicated at the Records Center160,000,000
21	(d) For the Cultural Grants Program, the purpose of
22	which is foster development of a receptive climate for
23	cultural programs, to enrich culturally and benefit the
24	citizens of this state in their daily lives, to increase the
25	appeal of Florida visits and vacations, and to attract to
26	Florida residency outstanding creators through the promotion
27	of cultural programs, the outcome measures, output measures,
28	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
29	provided in Specific Appropriations 2068-2083A are as follows:
30	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
31	a. Attendance at supported cultural events25,000,000
	196
ļ	

1	b. Number of individuals served by professional
2	associations8,000,000
3	c. Total local financial support leveraged by state
4	funding\$343,832,378
5	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
6	a. Number of grants awarded:
7	(I) Capital16
8	(II) Program705
9	b. Dollars awarded through grants:
10	(I) Capital\$7,616,189
11	(II) Program\$14,687,872
12	c. Percentage of counties funded by the program: .85.1%
13	(I) Large counties (N=34; population >75,000)94.0%
14	(II) Small counties (N=33; population less than
15	<u>75,000)</u>
16	d. Number of state supported performances and exhibits
17	23,000
18	(e) For the Licensing Program, the purpose of which is
19	to protect the public's health, safety, and welfare through
20	the licensing, regulation, and enforcement of the private
21	security, private investigative, and recovery industries; the
22	regulation of game promotions conducted in Florida; and the
23	issuance of licenses to citizens wishing to carry concealed
24	weapons or firearms for lawful defense, the outcome measures,
25	output measures, and associated performance standards with
26	respect to funds provided in Specific Appropriations 2084-2087
27	are as follows:
28	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery
30	licenses issued within 90 days of receipt of an application
31	
	197

1	b. Percent/number Concealed Weapon/Firearm licenses
2	issued within 90 day statutory timeframe without fingerprint
3	results19%/8,509
4	c. Number of default Concealed Weapons/Firearms
5	licensees with prior criminal histories
6	d. Percent of license revocations or suspensions
7	initiated within 20 days of receipt of disqualifying
8	information (all license types)60%
9	e. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery
10	investigations completed within 60 days94%
11	f. Percent Security, Investigative, and Recovery
12	inspections completed within 30 days80%
13	g. Percent of Concealed Weapons/Firearm violators to
14	licensed population0.06%
15	h. Percent of Security, Investigative, and Recovery
16	violators to the licensed population
17	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Average cost/Concealed Weapon/Firearm application
19	processed\$30
20	b. Average cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery
21	application processed\$35
22	c. Average cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery
23	investigation\$1,596
24	d. Average cost/Security, Investigative, and Recovery
25	compliance inspection\$325
26	e. Average cost/Administrative Action (revocation,
27	fine, probation & compliance letters)\$500
28	f. Number investigations performed (Security,
29	Investigative, and Recovery complaint and agency generated
30	inspections)
31	
	198
	190

1	g. Number compliance inspections performed (Security,
2	Investigative, and Recovery licensees/new agency inspections
3	and random inspections)
4	(f) For the Florida Association of Voluntary Agencies
5	for Caribbean Action, the outcome measures, output measures,
6	and associated performance standards with respect to funds
7	provided in Specific Appropriation 2039A are as follows:
8	1. FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF VOLUNTARY AGENCIES FOR
9	CARIBBEAN ACTION OUTCOME MEASURES
10	a. Percent of overseas clients who indicate assistance
11	is very responsive96%
12	b. Percent of volunteer-consultants who would
13	volunteer again97%
14	c. Ratio of donated services and contributions as
15	compared to the amount of state funding1.5:1
16	2. FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF VOLUNTARY AGENCIES FOR
17	CARIBBEAN ACTION OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Number of volunteer technical assistance missions
19	to Central America and the Caribbean96
20	b. Number of international and domestic development
21	missions15
22	Section 59. The Legislature adopts the following
23	programs and performance measures for the entities indicated
24	for use in preparation of FY 2000-2001 legislative budget
25	request.
26	(1) DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL
27	REGULATION The department shall recommend standards for the
28	following outcomes and outputs for fiscal year 2000-2001 to
29	the appropriate legislative committees. For each outcome and
30	output, or for each group of integrally related outcomes and
31	outputs, the department shall identify total associated costs
	199

for producing that outcome or output, based on the fiscal year 1 1999-2000 budget, in order to improve the Legislature's 2 3 ability to appropriate funds, compare activities, and evaluate 4 department activities for efficiency: 5 (a) For the Professional Regulation Program, the 6 purpose of which is to license nonmedical professions within 7 the state and the individual practice acts that govern each of 8 the professions; serve as a liaison between the public and professional boards, as well as between the licensees and 9 their respective boards; process applications, monitor 10 continuing education, renewal and reactivation requirements; 11 12 approve educational courses; develop, prepare, administer and score to ensure validity and reliability of exams; and receive 13 14 and investigate complaints and prosecute violators, the 15 outcome measures and output measures are as follows: 16 1. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURES. --17 a. Percent of application denials appealed which were 18 upheld 19 b. Percent of licensees in compliance with licensure 20 requirement/category as determined by random audit 21 c. Percent of applications processed timely d. Customer Satisfaction Ranking with resolutions of 22 23 inquiries, requests and disputes e. Percent of examinations satisfying reliability 24 25 requirements 26 f. Percent of state developed examinations that 27 satisfy validity requirements 28 g. Percent of examinations challenged and upheld 29 h. Percent of examination results timely released 2. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTPUT MEASURES. --30 a. Number of completed applications denied 31

1	b. Number of application denials appealed
2	c. Number of application denials appealed which were
3	upheld
4	d. Number of applicants who receive licenses - all
5	categories
6	e. Number of licensees audited
7	f. Number of audited licensees in compliance by
8	licensure requirement/category
9	g. Number of examinations
10	h. Number of examinations challenged
11	i. Number of examinations challenged which are upheld
12	3. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
13	a. Percent of complaints processed timely
14	b. Percent of cases involving repeat offenders
15	c. Percent of disciplined licensees in compliance with
16	terms of discipline imposed
17	d. Percent of inspections/audits that result in
18	disciplinary action being taken
19	e. Percent of unlicensed activity cases which involve
20	<u>repeat offenders</u>
21	f. Percent of cases that are resolved through
22	alternative means such as Notices of Noncompliance, Citations,
23	or Alternative Dispute Resolution
24	4. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of total offenders
26	b. Number of repeat offenders
27	c. Number of licensees disciplined
28	d. Number of disciplined licensees in compliance with
29	terms of discipline imposed
30	e. Number of alternative dispute resolutions
31	
	201

1	f. Number of Notices of Noncompliance that have been
2	issued pursuant to rules of the various boards or by direction
3	of the department
4	(b) For the Pari-mutuel Wagering Program, the purpose
5	of which is to license and regulate the state's pari-mutuel
6	industries, including cardrooms, and to collect all
7	pari-mutuel taxes and fees in a timely manner, the outcome
8	measures and output measures are as follows:
9	1. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURES
LO	a. Average number of days to issue a permanent license
L1	b. Percent of licenses correctly issued as determined
L2	<u>by audit</u>
L3	2. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTPUT MEASURES
L4	a. Number of days to issue a license that required
L5	<u>fingerprints</u>
L6	b. Number of days to issue a license that does not
L7	require fingerprints
L8	c. Number of occupational licenses reviewed
L9	d. Number of occupational licenses determined by
20	review to be issued correctly
21	3. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
22	a. Percent of races and games that result in statutory
23	or rule infractions
24	b. Percent of compliance audits timely completed
25	c. Percent of compliance audits with recurring
26	violations
27	d. Percent of urine/blood samples resulting in drug
28	<u>positives</u>
29	4. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
30	a. Number of races and games officiated
31	b. Number of races and game violations
	202

1	c. Number of compliance audits with violations
2	d. Number of compliance audits with recurring
3	violations
4	e. Number of administrative actions taken as a result
5	of drug positives
6	f. Number of urine/blood samples tested
7	g. Number of urine/blood samples that tested positive
8	5. AUDITING AND FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT OUTCOME
9	MEASURES
10	a. Percent of taxes and fees accurately collected
11	b. Percent of purse audits resulting in recurring
12	financial violations
13	6. AUDITING AND FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT OUTPUT MEASURES
14	a. Total dollars of tax revenue collected
15	b. Number of purse audits conducted
16	c. Number of purse audits with financial violations
17	d. Number of purse audits with recurring financial
18	<u>violations</u>
19	(c) For the Hotels and Restaurants Program, the
20	purpose of which is to license and regulate public lodging and
21	food service establishments, elevators, escalators, and other
22	vertical conveyance devices, the outcome measures and output
23	measures are as follows:
24	1. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURES
25	a. Percent of hotel and restaurant licenses and
26	elevator certificates of operation processed timely
27	b. Customer satisfaction ranking with resolution of
28	inquiries, requests and disputes
29	c. Percent of Hospitality Education Program workshop
30	participants that found the training useful
31	2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
	203

1	a. Percent of food service and lodging establishments
2	with repeat critical enforcement actions
3	b. Percent of licensed food service establishments
4	with confirmed food borne illness outbreaks directly related
5	to food storage, preparation, or handling
6	c. Percent of repeat critical violations cited during
7	food service and lodging inspections resulting in compliance
8	d. Percent of hotel and restaurant administrative
9	complaints resolved in favor of the agency
0_	e. Number of elevator equipment malfunction accidents
.1	reported compared to number of active elevators
.2	f. Percent of Hospitality Education Program workshop
.3	participants that pass the Food Manager Certification
4	<u>Examination</u>
.5	3. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
.6	a. Number of food service and lodging establishment
-7	cases initiated with critical violations
.8	b. Number of food service and lodging establishment
9	cases involving repeat offenders with critical violations
20	c. Number of food service establishments with
21	confirmed food-borne illness directly related to food storage,
22	preparation, or handling which have had prior enforcement
23	action
24	d. Number of food service and lodging establishment
25	cases where a fine is imposed against repeat offenders
26	e. Number of licensed public food service
27	<u>establishments</u>
28	f. Number of confirmed food-borne illness outbreaks
29	directly related to food storage, preparation or handling
30	g. Number of repeat critical violations during food
31	service and lodging inspections resulting in compliance
	204

1	h. Number of critical violations cited as a result of
2	food service and lodging inspections
3	i. Number of hotel and restaurant administrative
4	complaints resolved in favor of the agency
5	j. Number of hotel and restaurant administrative
6	complaints initiated
7	k. Number of violations recorded for elevator
8	<u>inspections</u>
9	1. Number of elevator enforcement actions initiated
10	m. Number of participants in Hospitality Education
11	Program workshop
12	n. Number of Hospitality Education Program workshop
13	participants receiving passing grade
14	(d) For the Alcoholic Beverages and Tobacco Program,
15	the purpose of which is to supervise the conduct, management,
16	and operation of the manufacturing, packaging, distribution,
17	and sale of all alcoholic beverages; to enforce the provisions
18	of the beverage and tobacco laws, as well as the rules and
19	regulations adopted by the program; and to collect and
20	distribute all taxes, surcharges and licensing fees from
21	alcohol and tobacco sources, the outcome measures and output
22	measures are as follows:
23	1. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURE
24	a. Customer satisfaction ranking with alcoholic
25	beverages and tobacco licensure standards uniformly and
26	equitably applied
27	2. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Percent of disputed administrative cases resolved
29	in favor of the agency
30	b. Percent of complaints/cases settled by warning
31	notice or stipulation
	205

1	c. Percent repeated noncomplying wholesale licensees
2	on yearly basis
3	d. Percent of excise tax penalties collected compared
4	to final assessments in dollars
5	e. Percent of repeated noncomplying retail licensees
6	on yearly basis
7	f. Percent of surcharge penalties collected compared
8	to final assessments in dollars
9	g. Percent of alcoholic beverages and tobacco
10	retailers tested found to be in compliance with underage
11	persons' access
12	h. Percent of underage alcoholic beverages and tobacco
13	cases involving repeat retail offenders
14	3. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES
15	a. Number of complaints resulting in a warning notice
16	b. Number of administrative cases settled by
17	stipulation
18	c. Total amount of penalties assessed in dollars for
19	excise tax
20	d. Total amount of penalties collected in dollars for
21	excise tax
22	e. Total amount of penalties assessed in dollars for
23	surcharge
24	f. Total amount of penalties collected in dollars for
25	surcharge
26	g. Number of alcoholic beverages and tobacco retailers
27	randomly tested for underage persons' access
28	h. Number of alcoholic beverages and tobacco retailers
29	tested because of a complaint for underage persons' access
30	i. Number of underage alcoholic beverages and tobacco
31	arrests
	206

1	j. Number underage alcohol/tobacco administrative
2	cases
3	k. Number of underage alcohol/tobacco administrative
4	cases involving repeat retail offenders
5	4. AUDITING AND FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT OUTCOMES
6	MEASURES
7	a. Percent of wholesale audit findings collected
8	b. Percent of retail audit findings collected
9	c. Average return on investment
10	d. Customer satisfaction ranking with alcoholic
11	beverages and tobacco taxation standards uniformly and
12	equitably applied
13	5. AUDITING AND FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT OUTPUT MEASURES
14	a. Total dollar amount of wholesale audit findings
15	b. Total dollar amount of wholesale audit findings
16	collected
17	c. Total dollar amount of retail audit findings
18	d. Total dollar amount of retail audit findings
19	<u>collected</u>
20	(e) For the Florida Land Sales, Condominiums, and
21	Mobile Homes Program, the purpose of which is to regulate the
22	sale of subdivided lands in the state and out-of-state
23	subdivided lands offered for sale in the state; residential
24	condominiums and cooperatives; real estate timesharing; mobile
25	home parks; and yacht, ship brokers and salesmen, the outcome
26	measures and output measures are as follows:
27	1. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTCOME MEASURES
28	a. Average number of days to approve filings for
29	timeshare, condominiums, and mobile homes
30	b. Average number of days to issue permanent licenses
31	for land sales
	207

1	2. STANDARDS AND LICENSURE OUTPUT MEASURES
2	a. Number of deficiency letters issued for approved
3	filings
4	b. Number of permanent licenses issued
5	3. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTCOME MEASURES.
6	a. Percent of administrative actions resulting in
7	consent orders
8	b. Average number of days to resolve consumer
9	complaints not investigated
10	c. Average number of days to resolve investigations
11	d. Average number of days to resolve cases submitted
12	for arbitration for condominiums
13	e. Percent of parties surveyed that benefited from
14	education provided for condominiums
15	4. COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT OUTPUT MEASURES.
16	a. Number of administrative actions resolved by
17	consent orders
18	b. Number of days to close consumer complaints
19	c. Number of consumer complaints closed
20	d. Number of days to close investigations
21	e. Number of investigations closed
22	f. Number of days to close cases
23	g. Number of cases closed
24	h. Number of seminars conducted
25	i. Number of attendees at educational seminars
26	surveyed
27	j. Number of topics covered at educational seminars
28	k. Number of unit owners represented at educational
29	seminars
30	1. Number of associations represented at educational
31	seminars
	208
	. ∠∪δ

1	(2) THE DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT SERVICES/DIVISION OF
2	ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS The division shall recommend
3	standards for the following outcomes and outputs for fiscal
4	year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative committees. For
5	each outcome and output, or for each group of integrally
6	related outcomes and outputs, the division shall identify
7	total associated costs for producing that outcome or output,
8	based on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget, in order to improve
9	the Legislature's ability to appropriate funds, compare
10	activities, and evaluate division activities for efficiency
11	(a) For the Administrative Hearings Program, the
12	purpose of which is to resolve conflicts between citizens and
13	agencies of the state, the outcome measures and output
14	measures are as follows:
15	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
16	a. Percentage of cases scheduled for hearing within 90
17	days of filing
18	b. Percentage of professional licensure cases
19	scheduled for hearing within 90 days of filing
20	c. Percentage of professional licensure cases closed
21	within 120 days of filing
22	d. Percentage of cases closed within 120 days of
23	<u>filing</u>
24	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
25	a. Number of cases opened
26	b. Number of cases closed
27	c. Number of cases carried forward
28	d. Staffing ratio based on the average number of cases
29	closed per administrative law judge
30	e. Number of cases opened
31	f. Number of cases closed
	209

1	g. Number of cases carried forward
2	(3) PAROLE COMMISSION The commission shall
3	recommend standards for the following outcomes and outputs for
4	fiscal year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative
5	committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of
6	integrally related outcomes and outputs, the commission shall
7	identify total associated costs for producing that outcome or
8	output, based on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget, in order to
9	improve the Legislature's ability to appropriate funds,
10	compare activities, and evaluate commission activities for
11	efficiency:
12	(a) For the Parole Commission, the purpose of which is
13	to provide public safety and protect the rights of victims by
14	administering effective post-incarceration services including
15	offender release, offender revocation, clemency, and victim
16	assistance, the outcome measures and output measures are as
17	follows:
18	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
19	a. Number and percentage of releasees who have
20	successfully completed their supervision without revocation
21	within the first 2 years
22	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Number of conditional release cases handled
24	b. Number of conditional medical release
25	<u>determinations</u>
26	c. Number of supervision reviews
27	d. Number of revocation determinations
28	e. Number of Clemency Board decisions supported
29	f. Number of clemency cases monitored
30	(4) PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION The commission shall
31	recommend standards for the following outcomes and outputs for
	210
	•

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

1	fiscal year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative
2	committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of
3	integrally related outcomes and outputs, the commission shall
4	identify total associated costs for producing that outcome or
5	output, based on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget, in order to
6	improve the Legislature's ability to appropriate funds,
7	compare activities, and evaluate commission activities for
8	efficiency:
9	(a) For the Utilities Regulation and Competitive
10	Market Oversight Program, to provide a regulatory environment

- (a) For the Utilities Regulation and Competitive

 Market Oversight Program, to provide a regulatory environment
 that facilitates the provision of desired utility services of
 acceptable quality at fair prices, the outcome and output
 measures are as follows:
 - 1. RATEMAKING OUTCOME MEASURES.--
- a. Average allowed Return on Equity (ROE) in Florida compared to average ROE in the USA for electric
- b. Average allowed Return on Equity (ROE) in Florida compared to average ROE in the USA for gas
- c. Average allowed Return on Equity (ROE) in Florida compared to average ROE in the USA for water and wastewater
- d. Percentage of utilities achieving within range, over range, and under range of last authorized ROE for electric
- <u>e. Percentage of utilities achieving within range,</u> over range, and under range of last authorized ROE for gas
- f. Percentage of utilities achieving within range, over range, and under range of last authorized ROE for water and wastewater
- g. Percentage of annual utility bill increases for
 average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by
 the Consumer Price Index for communications

1	h. Percentage of annual utility bill increases for
2	average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by
3	the Consumer Price Index for electric
4	i. Percentage of annual utility bill increases for
5	average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by
6	the Consumer Price Index for gas
7	j. Percentage of annual utility bill increases for
8	average residential usage compared to inflation as measured by
9	the Consumer Price Index for water and wastewater
10	k. Average basic residential utility bill as a
11	percentage of average Florida household income for composite
12	1. Average basic residential utility bill as a
13	percentage of average Florida household income for
14	communications
15	m. Average basic residential utility bill as a
16	percentage of average Florida household income for electric
17	n. Average basic residential utility bill as a
18	percentage of average Florida household income for gas
19	o. Average basic residential utility bill as a
20	percentage of average Florida household income for water and
21	wastewater
22	2. RATEMAKING OUTPUT MEASURES
23	a. Proceedings, reviews and audits examining rates,
24	rate structure, earnings and expenditures for electric
25	b. Proceedings, reviews and audits examining rates,
26	rate structure, earnings and expenditures for gas
27	c. Proceedings, reviews and audits examining rates,
28	rate structure, earnings and expenditures for water and
29	wastewater
30	3. COMPETITIVE MARKET OVERSIGHT FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS
31	OUTCOME MEASURES
	212
	<u> </u>

1	a. Market share of largest service provider compared
2	to the composite market share of the next three largest
3	providers for Interexchange
4	b. Market share of largest service provider compared
5	to the composite market share of the next three largest
6	providers for alternate access vendors
7	c. Market share of largest service provider compared
8	to the composite market share of the next three largest
9	providers for pay telephone companies
10	d. Market share of local exchange telephone companies
11	compared to market share of alternate local exchange telephone
12	companies for local exchange telephone companies
13	e. Market share of local exchange telephone companies
14	compared to market share of alternate local exchange telephone
15	companies for alternate local exchange telephone companies
16	4. COMPETITIVE MARKET OVERSIGHT FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS
17	OUTPUT MEASURES
18	a. Proceedings establishing agreements between local
19	service providers
20	b. Proceedings granting certificates to operate as a
21	telecommunications company
22	c. Communications tariffs reviewed
23	5. SERVICE AND SAFETY OUTCOME MEASURES
24	a. Percentage of communications service variances per
25	inspection points examined for local exchange and alternate
26	local exchange telephone companies
27	b. Percentage of communications service variances per
28	inspection points examined for Interexchange
29	c. Percentage of communications service variances per
30	inspection points examined for pay telephone companies
31	

1	d. Percentage of electric safety variances per
2	inspection points examined
3	e. Percentage of gas safety variances per inspection
4	systems inspected
5	f. Percentage of consumer calls answered
6	g. Average waiting time for consumer calls
7	h. Percentage of consumer complaints resolved within
8	30 days
9	i. Percentage of consumer complaints resolved within
10	60 days
11	6. SERVICE AND SAFETY OUTPUT MEASURES
12	a. Proceedings granting service authority, resolving
13	territorial disputes for electric
14	b. Proceedings granting service authority, resolving
15	territorial disputes for gas
16	c. Proceedings granting service authority, resolving
17	territorial disputes for water and wastewater
18	d. Ten-year site plan reviews and need determinations
19	for electric utilities
20	e. Consumer inquiries/complaints handled for
21	communications
22	f. Consumer inquiries/complaints handled for electric
23	g. Consumer inquiries/complaints handled for gas
24	h. Consumer inquiries/complaints handled for water and
25	<u>wastewater</u>
26	i. Consumer information activities relating to
27	<u>service/safety</u>
28	j. Service evaluations performed for communications
29	k. Safety inspections performed for electric
30	1. Safety inspections performed for gas
31	
	21.4

1	m. Enforcement proceedings relating to service and
2	safety for communications
3	n. Enforcement proceedings relating to service and
4	safety for electric
5	o. Enforcement proceedings relating to service and
6	safety for gas
7	7. CONSERVATION OUTCOME MEASURES
8	a. Per capita annual KWH energy savings through
9	conservation programs
LO	b. Percentage of combined conservation goals achieved
L1	by 7 FEECA utilities
L2	8. CONSERVATION OUTPUT MEASURES
L3	a. Conservation programs reviewed
L4	b. Consumer information activities relating to
L5	conservation
L6	(5) DEPARTMENT OF HEALTHThe department shall
L7	recommend standards for the following outcomes and outputs for
L8	fiscal year 2000-2001 to the appropriate legislative
L9	committees. For each outcome and output, or for each group of
20	integrally related outcomes and outputs, the department shall
21	identify total associated costs for producing that outcome or
22	output, based on the fiscal year 1999-2000 budget, in order to
23	improve the Legislature's ability to appropriate funds,
24	compare activities, and evaluate department activities for
25	efficiency:
26	(a) For the Children's Medical Services (CMS) Program,
27	the purpose of which is to provide a comprehensive system of
28	appropriate care for children with special health care needs
29	and high risk pregnant women through a statewide network of
30	physicians, health providers, hospitals, medical schools, and
31	<u> </u>

1	regional health clinics, the outcome and output measures are
2	as follows:
3	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
4	a. Percent of families in Children's Medical Services
5	(CMS) program Network indicating a positive perception of care
6	b. Percent of CMS program Network enrollees in
7	compliance with the periodicity schedule for well child care
8	c. Percent of eligible infants/toddlers provided CMS
9	program Early Intervention program services
10	d. Percent of Child Protection Team (CPT) team
11	assessments provided to Family Safety and Preservation program
12	within established time frames
13	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
14	a. Number of children enrolled in CMS program Network
15	(Medicaid and Non-Medicaid)
16	b. Number of clients receiving services in the CMS
17	program Early Intervention program
18	c. Number of children receiving Child Protection Team
19	(CPT) assessments
20	(b) For the Health Care Practitioner and Access
21	Program, the purpose of which is to protect the health of
22	residents and visitors by improving access to health care
23	practitioners and ensuring those practitioners including
24	Emergency Management Services personnel and providers meet
25	credentialing requirements and practice according to accepted
26	standards of care, the outcome and output measures are as
27	follows:
28	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
29	a. Number of unlicensed individuals identified and
30	referred to the state's attorneys
31	
	216

1	b. Percent of health care practitioners' applications
2	for licensure completed within 90 days
3	c. Percent of emergency medical service providers
4	found to have a significant deficiency during licensure
5	<u>inspection</u>
6	d. Age-adjusted injury death rate per 100,000
7	2. OUTPUT MEASURES
8	a. Number of unlicensed individuals investigated
9	b. Number of initial health care practitioner licenses
LO	(I) Processed
L1	(II) Issued
L2	c. Number of initial health care practitioner licenses
L3	issued
L4	d. Number of emergency medical service providers
L5	licensed annually
L6	e. Number of medical students who do a rotation in a
L7	medically underserved area
L8	f. Number of persons who receive continuing education
L9	services through Work Force Development
20	(c) For the Community Public Health Program, the
21	purpose of which is to maintain and improve the health of the
22	public via the provision of personal health, disease control
23	and environmental sanitation services, including statewide
24	support services, the outcome and output measures are as
25	<u>follows:</u>
26	1. OUTCOME MEASURES
27	a. AIDS case rate per 100,000 population
28	b. HIV/AIDS resident total deaths per 100,000
29	population
30	c. Chlamydia case rate per 100,000 population
31	d. Tuberculosis case rate per 100,000 population
	217

e. Immunization rate among 2-year-olds	
f. Total infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births	
g. Nonwhite infant mortality rate per 1,000 nonwhite	
births	
h. Percent of low birth weight births among prenatal	
Women, Infants and Children program clients	
i. Live births to mothers age 15-19 per 1,000 females	
<u>15-19</u>	
j. Percent of mothers 15-19 having a repeat birth	
k. Percent of targeted low income population receiving	
dental health services from a county health department	
1. Percent of middle and high school students who	
report using tobacco products in the last 30 days	
m. Percent of students who visit the health clinic and	
are able to return to class rather than leaving school	
n. Food and waterborne disease cases per 1,000	
facilities regulated by the department	
o. Overall sanitation and safety score in department	
regulated facilities on a scale of 0% to 100%	
p. Septic tank failure rate per 1,000 within 2 years	
of system installation	
2. OUTPUT MEASURES	
a. Number of HIV/AIDS counseling and testing services	
provided annually	
b. Number of HIV partner notification services	
provided annually	
c. Number of clients served in county health	
department sexually transmitted disease programs	
d. Number of tuberculosis medical management services	
provided	
218	
	f. Total infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births g. Nonwhite infant mortality rate per 1,000 nonwhite births h. Percent of low birth weight births among prenatal Women, Infants and Children program clients i. Live births to mothers age 15-19 per 1,000 females 15-19 j. Percent of mothers 15-19 having a repeat birth k. Percent of targeted low income population receiving dental health services from a county health department l. Percent of middle and high school students who report using tobacco products in the last 30 days m. Percent of students who visit the health clinic and are able to return to class rather than leaving school n. Food and waterborne disease cases per 1,000 facilities regulated by the department o. Overall sanitation and safety score in department regulated facilities on a scale of 0% to 100% p. Septic tank failure rate per 1,000 within 2 years of system installation 2. OUTPUT MEASURES a. Number of HIV/AIDS counseling and testing services provided annually b. Number of HIV partner notification services provided annually c. Number of clients served in county health department sexually transmitted disease programs d. Number of tuberculosis medical management services provided

1	e. Number of patients who complete tuberculosis
2	therapy at the A.G. Holley tuberculosis hospital
3	f. Number of immunization services provided by county
4	<pre>public health departments</pre>
5	g. Number of women and infants receiving Healthy Start
6	services
7	h. Average monthly participants in Women, Infants, and
8	Children program
9	i. Number of clients served in county health
10	department Family Planning programs
11	j. Number of teens age 15-19 served in county health
12	department Family Planning programs
13	k. Number of adults and children receiving county
14	health department sponsored professional dental care
15	1. Number of children served in the county health
16	department Child Health program
17	m. Number of adults served in the county health
18	department Adult Health and Chronic Disease programs
19	n. Number of School Health nursing assessments
20	provided
21	o. Number of department regulated facilities inspected
22	p. Number of onsite sewage disposal system inspections
23	<u>completed</u>
24	Section 60. If any provision of this act or the
25	application thereof to any person or circumstance is held
26	invalid, the invalidity shall not affect other provisions or
27	applications of the act which can be given effect without the
28	invalid provision or application, and to this end the
29	provisions of this act are declared severable.
30	Section 61. This act shall take effect July 1, 1999;
31	or, in the event this act fails to become a law until after
	2.1.9

```
that date, it shall take effect upon becoming a law and shall
    operate retroactively to July 1, 1999.
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
                                   220
```

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.