

STORAGE NAME: h0037.lecp

DATE: December 11, 1998

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE ON
LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIME PREVENTION
BILL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

BILL #: HB 37

RELATING TO: Crime Prevention

SPONSOR(S): Representative Ball

COMPANION BILL(S): SB 138

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CRIME PREVENTION
 - (2) JUDICIARY
 - (3) CRIMINAL JUSTICE APPROPRIATIONS
 - (4)
 - (5)
-

I. SUMMARY:

The bill amends section 960.001, Florida Statutes, to authorize specified law enforcement agencies to participate in and expend funds for crime prevention, public awareness, public participation, and educational activities.

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

Section 187.101, Florida Statutes, provides for a State Comprehensive Plan implementing long-range policy guidance for the orderly social, economic, and physical growth of the state. The State Comprehensive Plan was adopted by statute by the 1985 Legislature as a means of setting broad directional objectives for state agencies. The mechanics of implementation were reserved for specific statutory enactments or annual appropriations policies by each succeeding Legislature. Subsection (7) of the Plan contains a public safety element, one portion of which encourages increased crime prevention efforts for enhanced protection of personal and property safety. Section 187.102(7), F.S., states the policy for the State Comprehensive Plan as follows:

9. Increase crime prevention efforts to enhance the protection of individual personal safety and property.

Chapter 187 does not specify which state agencies are to be involved in crime prevention, nor do the Florida Statutes specifically authorize State Attorneys to participate in crime prevention programs.

Article I, s. 16(b), State Constitution, provides for reasonable notice, attendance, and participation by victims, their representatives, and families at all stages of criminal proceedings where this participation does not interfere with the constitutional rights of the accused defendant.

Chapter 960, Florida Statutes, generally provides for victim rights and victim assistance, but not crime prevention. It was originally enacted as a pure victim compensation program by the 1977 Legislature. Over the intervening years the concept of victim compensation as an incident-based reimbursement program has evolved to victim assistance inclusive of a wider range of proactive services. The chapter now includes the institutionalization of victim/witnesses services in the court administration process and provides for further civil remedies for victims and public safety agencies against convicted defendants for cost recovery actions.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill adds a new paragraph to Section 960.001, Florida Statutes that authorizes law enforcement agencies to participate in and expend funds for crime prevention, public awareness, public participation, and educational activities.

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

1. Less Government:

a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:

(1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

No.

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

The bill will clarify the authority of law enforcement agencies to develop and operate crime prevention programs.

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

No.

b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:

(1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

No.

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

No.

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

No.

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

No.

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

No.

3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

N/A

b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

N/A

4. Individual Freedom:

a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

The bill increases the flexibility of law enforcement agencies to become more involved in crime prevention.

b. Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?

No.

5. Family Empowerment:

a. If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:

(1) Who evaluates the family's needs?

N/A

(2) Who makes the decisions?

N/A

(3) Are private alternatives permitted?

N/A

(4) Are families required to participate in a program?

N/A

(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?

N/A

b. Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?

N/A

c. If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:

(1) parents and guardians?

N/A

(2) service providers?

N/A

(3) government employees/agencies?

N/A

D. STATUTE(S) AFFECTED:

Section 960.001, F.S.

E. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1. Authorizes specified law enforcement agencies to participate in and expend funds for crime prevention, public awareness, public participation, and educational activities.

Section 2. Provides an effective date of July 1 of the year in which enacted.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

N/A

2. Recurring Effects:

N/A

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

N/A

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

N/A

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:

1. Non-recurring Effects:

N/A

2. Recurring Effects:

N/A

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

N/A

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

STORAGE NAME: h0037.lecp

DATE: December 11, 1998

PAGE 7

1. Direct Private Sector Costs:

N/A

2. Direct Private Sector Benefits:

N/A

3. Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:

N/A

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

N/A

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

N/A

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

N/A

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

N/A

V. COMMENTS:

Some law enforcement agencies, like the Department of Legal Affairs, have statutory authority to be involved in and expend funds for crime prevention programs. Other entities, such as the State Attorneys are concerned that it can be difficult to receive the consent of the Comptroller or local government for money, already allocated to the State Attorneys, to be spent on crime prevention programs such as juvenile diversion programs, because there is not statutory authority for the State Attorneys to be involved with crime prevention.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

N/A

STORAGE NAME: h0037.lecp

DATE: December 11, 1998

PAGE 8

VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON LAW ENFORCEMENT AND PUBLIC SAFETY:

Prepared by:

Staff Director:

Kurt E. Ahrendt

Kurt E. Ahrendt