## Bill No. HB 591, 2nd Eng.

Amendment No. \_\_\_\_

|    | CHAMBER ACTION   |
|----|--|
|    | Senate<br>• House  |
| 1  | :  |
| 2  | :  |
| 3  | ·  |
| 4  | :  |
| 5  |  |
| 6  |  |
| 7  |  |
| 8  |  |
| 9  |  |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | Senator Geller moved the following amendment to amendment    |
| 12 | (453732):  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 | Senate Amendment   |
| 15 | On page 52, line 30, through page 54, line 7, delete         |
| 16 | those lines  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 | insert:  |
| 19 | (c) Additionally, each MPO shall consider:                   |
| 20 | 1.2. The consistency of transportation planning with         |
| 21 | applicable federal, state, and local energy conservation     |
| 22 | programs, goals, and objectives;                             |
| 23 | 3. The need to relieve congestion and prevent                |
| 24 | congestion from occurring where it does not yet occur;       |
| 25 | 2.4. The likely effect of transportation policy              |
| 26 | decisions on land use and development and the consistency of |
| 27 | transportation plans and programs with all applicable        |
| 28 | short-term and long-term land use and development plans;     |
| 29 | 5. The programming of transportation enhancement             |
| 30 | activities as required by federal law;                       |
| 31 | 6. The effect of all transportation projects to be           |
| •  | 8:44 PM 04/28/99 1 h0591b-29x01                              |

Bill No. <u>HB 591, 2nd Eng.</u>
Amendment No. \_\_\_\_

| i  |   |
|----|---|
| 1  | undertaken in the metropolitan area, without regard to whether        |
| 2  | such projects are publicly funded;                                    |
| 3  | 7. The provision of access to seaports, airports,                     |
| 4  | intermodal transportation facilities, major freight                   |
| 5  | distribution routes, national and state parks, recreation             |
| 6  | areas, monuments and historic sites, and military                     |
| 7  | <del>installations</del> ;  |
| 8  | 8. The need for roads within the metropolitan area to                 |
| 9  | efficiently connect with roads outside the metropolitan area;         |
| 10 | 9. The transportation needs identified through the use                |
| 11 | of transportation management systems required by federal or           |
| 12 | state law;  |
| 13 | 3.10. The preservation of rights-of-way for                           |
| 14 | construction of future transportation projects, including the         |
| 15 | identification of unused rights-of-way that may be needed for         |
| 16 | future transportation corridors and the identification of             |
| 17 | corridors for which action is most needed to prevent                  |
| 18 | destruction or loss;  |
| 19 | 11. Any available methods to enhance the efficient                    |
| 20 | movement of freight;  |
| 21 | 12. The use of life-cycle costs in the design and                     |
| 22 | engineering of bridges, tunnels, or pavement;                         |
| 23 | 4.13. The overall social, economic, energy, and                       |
| 24 | environmental effects of transportation decisions; and                |
| 25 | 5.14. Any Available methods to expand or enhance                      |
| 26 | transit services and increase the use of such services <u>.</u> ; and |
| 27 |   |
| 28 | (Redesignate subsequent sections.)                                    |
| 29 |   |
| 30 |   |
| 31 |   |