STORAGE NAME: h0085a.jud

DATE: March 3, 1999

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY ANALYSIS

HB 85 BILL #:

RELATING TO: Thomas H. Barkdull, Jr. Courthouse SPONSOR(S): Rep. Cosgrove and Rep. Levine

COMPANION BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) (2) JUDICIARY YEAS 9 NAYS 0

CRIMINAL JUSTICE APPROPRIATIONS

(3) (4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

HB 0085 provides that the courthouse of the Third District Court of Appeal in the State of Florida be designated as the "Thomas H. Barkdull, Jr., District Courthouse." The Third District Court of Appeal is authorized to erect suitable markers.

The act is to be effective upon becoming law.

STORAGE NAME: h0085a.jud

DATE: March 3, 1999

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. PRESENT SITUATION:

The Third District Court of Appeal has its courthouse in Miami, Florida. Judge Thomas H. Barkdull, Jr. served as a Judge of that court from 1961 through 1996.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill would designate the Third District Court of Appeals Courthouse as the "Thomas H. Barkdull, Jr., District Courthouse."

C. APPLICATION OF PRINCIPLES:

1. Less Government:

- a. Does the bill create, increase or reduce, either directly or indirectly:
 - (1) any authority to make rules or adjudicate disputes?

No.

(2) any new responsibilities, obligations or work for other governmental or private organizations or individuals?

No.

(3) any entitlement to a government service or benefit?

No.

- b. If an agency or program is eliminated or reduced:
 - (1) what responsibilities, costs and powers are passed on to another program, agency, level of government, or private entity?

N/A

(2) what is the cost of such responsibility at the new level/agency?

N/A

(3) how is the new agency accountable to the people governed?

N/A

2. Lower Taxes:

a. Does the bill increase anyone's taxes?

No.

b. Does the bill require or authorize an increase in any fees?

No.

STORAGE NAME: h0085a.jud DATE: March 3, 1999 PAGE 3

c. Does the bill reduce total taxes, both rates and revenues?

No.

d. Does the bill reduce total fees, both rates and revenues?

No.

e. Does the bill authorize any fee or tax increase by any local government?

No.

3. Personal Responsibility:

a. Does the bill reduce or eliminate an entitlement to government services or subsidy?

No.

b. Do the beneficiaries of the legislation directly pay any portion of the cost of implementation and operation?

N/A

4. Individual Freedom:

a. Does the bill increase the allowable options of individuals or private organizations/associations to conduct their own affairs?

N/A

b. Does the bill prohibit, or create new government interference with, any presently lawful activity?

No.

5. Family Empowerment:

- a. If the bill purports to provide services to families or children:
 - (1) Who evaluates the family's needs?

N/A

(2) Who makes the decisions?

N/A

(3) Are private alternatives permitted?

N/A

(4) Are families required to participate in a program?

N/A

(5) Are families penalized for not participating in a program?

N/A

STORAGE NAME: h0085a.jud

DATE: March 3, 1999

PAGE 4

b. Does the bill directly affect the legal rights and obligations between family members?

No.

- c. If the bill creates or changes a program providing services to families or children, in which of the following does the bill vest control of the program, either through direct participation or appointment authority:
 - (1) parents and guardians?

N/A

(2) service providers?

N/A

(3) government employees/agencies?

N/A

D. STATUTE(S) AFFECTED:

N/A

E. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1. The courthouse of the Third District Court of Appeal of the State of Florida is designated the "Thomas H. Barkdull, Jr., District Courthouse."

Section 2. The Third District Court of Appeals is directed to erect appropriate markers.

Section 3. This act takes effect upon becoming law.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE AGENCIES/STATE FUNDS:
 - 1. Non-recurring Effects:

None.

2. Recurring Effects:

None.

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

None.

4. Total Revenues and Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AS A WHOLE:

		None.		
	2. <u>Direct Private Sector Benefits</u> :			
			None.	
		3.	Effects on Competition, Private Enterprise and Employment Markets:	
			None.	
	D. FISCAL COMMENTS:			
	N/A			
IV.	CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:			
	A.	. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:		
			e bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the penditure of funds.	
B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:			DUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:	
			e bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the gregate.	
	C.	RE	DUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:	
		Thi	s bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.	
V.	CO	MME	<u>ENTS</u> :	

h0085a.jud

3. Long Run Effects Other Than Normal Growth:

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

STORAGE NAME:

N/A

N/A

March 3, 1999

None.

None.

None.

1. Non-recurring Effects:

1. <u>Direct Private Sector Costs</u>:

2. Recurring Effects:

DATE:

PAGE 5

VII.	SIGNATURES:		
	COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY: Prepared by:	Staff Director:	
	Jo Ann Levin	 Don Rubottom	

SIORAGE NAME: h0085a.jud DATE: March 3, 1999 PAGE 6

PAGE 6