STORAGE NAME: h1029z.aq **FAILED TO PASS THE LEGISLATURE**

DATE: May 4, 2000

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE FINAL ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1029

RELATING TO: Animal Cruelty

SPONSOR(S): Representative Rayson

TIED BILL(S): None

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) AGRICULTURE

(2) CRIME & PUNISHMENT

(3) CRIMINAL JUSTICE APPROPRIATIONS

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

HB 1029 provides penalties for tethering or confining a breeding sow or calf in an enclosure too small to stand, move around, or lie down, with some exceptions. Violation of this provision constitutes a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable by imprisonment not exceeding one year or a fine of \$1,000.

This legislation has no fiscal impact to the state and the effective date is upon becoming law.

HB 489 was not considered and, therefore, died in the Agriculture Committee.

STORAGE NAME: h1029z.aq

DATE: May 4, 2000

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No [x]	N/A []
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

Less Government: This legislation would add further regulations regarding animal cruelty.

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

N/A

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

<u>Section 1:</u> Prohibts the tethering or confinement of a breeding sow or calf in an enclosure too small to stand, turn around, or lie down without any physical impediments. Violations of this provision constitute a misdemeanor of the first degree, punishable by imprisonment not exceeding one year or a fine of \$1,000. Exceptions to the provision include:

- when a sow or calf is undergoing a veterinarial examination, test, treatment, or operation; or
- during the 7 days prior to a sow's expected date of giving birth and while the sow is nursing her young.

Section 2: Provides an effective date of upon becoming law.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Please see Section C. (Effect of Proposed Changes).

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None

		2. Expenditures:			
		None			
	C.	DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:			
		N/A			
	D.	FISCAL COMMENTS:			
		N/A			
IV.	CC	CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:			
	A.	APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:			
		This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take action requiring the expenditure of funds.			
	B.	REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:			
		This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenue in the aggregate.			
	C.	REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:			
		This bill does not reduce any state tax shared with counties or municipalities.			
V.	CC	DMMENTS:			
	A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:			
		None			
	В.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:			
		None			

STORAGE NAME: h1029z.ag DATE: May 4, 2000 PAGE 3

None

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

	ГЕ: Мау 4, 2000 ЭЕ 4				
	C. OTHER COMMENTS:				
	None				
VI.	AMENDMENTS OR COMM	ITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:			
	IN/A				
√II.	SIGNATURES:				
	COMMITTEE ON AGRICUL Prepared by:	TURE: Staff Director:			
	Debbi Kaiser	Susan D. Reese			
	FINAL ANALYSIS PREPARED BY THE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE: Prepared by: Staff Director:				
	Debbi Kaiser	Susan D. Reese			

STORAGE NAME: h1029z.ag