STORAGE NAME: h1083.jud

**DATE**: March 20, 2000

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY ANALYSIS

**BILL #**: HB 1083

**RELATING TO**: Design Professional Contracts

**SPONSOR(S)**: Representative Bense

TIED BILL(S): None

# ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) BUSINESS REGULATION & CONSUMER AFFAIRS YEAS 6 NAYS 0
- (2) JUDICIARY
- (3) GENERAL GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATIONS

(4)

(5)

# I. SUMMARY:

The bill creates a new statutory provision that limits the types of indemnity agreements that can be included in professional services contracts between design professionals and their clients. Under the bill, the only indemnity agreements permitted in professional services contracts between design professionals and their clients are agreements that protect the client against the negligence, recklessness, or wrongful conduct of the design professional. All other indemnity agreements are void. Accordingly, under the bill, contracts between design professionals and their clients could no longer contain provisions requiring the design professional to indemnify against the negligence of the client or other parties.

The bill defines design professionals as architects and engineers. An amendment traveling with the bill includes land surveyors and mappers in the definition of design professionals.

The bill would take effect upon becoming law.

STORAGE NAME: h1083.jud

**DATE**: March 20, 2000

PAGE 2

# II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

# A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1. <u>Less Government</u> Yes [] No [x] N/A []

The bill places a new regulation on parties' ability to contract.

2. <u>Lower Taxes</u> Yes [] No [] N/A [x]

3. <u>Individual Freedom</u> Yes [] No [] N/A [x]

4. Personal Responsibility Yes [] No [] N/A [x]

5. Family Empowerment Yes [] No [] N/A [x]

# **B. PRESENT SITUATION:**

Currently, construction contracts can contain indemnification clauses. In an indemnification clause, one party can agree to protect a second party against loss or damage specified in the contract and can agree to cover negligence by the second party. In other words, one party can agree to pay the costs of another party's negligence. Section 725.06, F.S., limits indemnity agreements in construction contracts. Pursuant to the statute, all indemnification agreements between parties to a construction contract are void and unenforceable unless: 1) a monetary limit is placed on the extent of the indemnity and is included in the project specifications or bid document, if any; or 2) specific consideration is included in the contract in exchange for the indemnity provision. s. 725.06, F.S. This provision applies to any person contracting for construction with architects, engineers, general contractors, subcontractors, subcontractors, and materialmen. <u>Id</u>. If indemnity agreement meets the requirements of s. 725.06, F.S., parties are free to establish mutually acceptable terms.

Currently, architects and engineers can be bound by indemnity clauses in construction contracts that make these professionals responsible for the negligence of other parties. Representatives of the architects and engineers claim that they are often unable to negotiate contracts without indemnity agreements requiring them to cover events beyond their control. These clauses, the parties claim, make insurance expensive or impossible to obtain.

# C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This bill creates a new statutory provision that would limit the types of indemnity agreements that could be included in professional services contracts between design professionals and their clients. Under this bill, parties would not be able to include indemnity agreements in professional services contracts with design professionals that require the design professional to indemnify the client for the client's negligence or other actions by the client. Design professionals can only indemnify for their own negligence, recklessness, or intentionally wrongful conduct and the similar actions of those persons employed or utilized by them. Indemnity provisions that attempt to do otherwise are void. The Florida Engineering Society claims that this bill ensures that design professionals are responsible for their negligence and other parties are responsible for their own negligence.

The bill defines "design professionals" as a person licensed to practice architecture under chapter 481, F.S., or a person licensed to practice engineering under chapter 471, F.S. An amendment traveling with the bill adds persons licensed to practice land surveying and mapping under chapter 472, F.S., to the definition of "design professionals."

STORAGE NAME: h1083.jud

**DATE**: March 20, 2000

PAGE 3

The bill also defines "professional services contract" to make clear what kinds of contracts are included under the bill.

The new requirements do not affect contracts entered into before the effective date of the bill.

The bill would become effective upon becoming law.

#### D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

<u>Section 1.</u> Creates s. 725.08, F.S., limiting indemnity agreements with design professionals, voiding all indemnity agreements not in compliance with this section, defining professional services contract, defining design professional, and limiting the effect of the section.

Section 2. Amends s. 725.06, F.S., removing architects and engineers from the section.

**Section 3.** Providing an effective date upon becoming law.

# III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

# A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

# 1. Revenues:

None

# 2. Expenditures:

See fiscal comments section below.

# B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

# 1. Revenues:

None

# 2. Expenditures:

See fiscal comments section below.

# C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Architects and engineers can no longer be required to indemnify other parties for the other parties' negligence.

# D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Entities or individuals contracting with design professionals will bear the costs of their own negligence. The impact of this increased cost is unknown.

STORAGE NAME: h1083.jud DATE: March 20, 2000 PAGE 4 IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION: A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION: The bill does not require a city or county to spend funds or to take any action requiring the expenditure of any funds. B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY: The bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate. C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES: The bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities. V. COMMENTS: A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES: None B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY: None C. OTHER COMMENTS: None VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES: On March 14, 2000, the Committee on Business Regulation & Consumer Affairs adopted, without objection, an amendment by Representative Futch. The amendment includes Land Surveyors and Mappers regulated by chapter 472, F.S., in the definition of Design Professional. The amendment is traveling with the bill. VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS REGULATION & CONSUMER AFFAIRS:

Staff Director:

Rebecca R. Everhart

Prepared by:

Eric Lloyd

<b>GE NAME</b> : h1083.jud March 20, 2000	
AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON JUDIO Prepared by:	CIARY: Staff Director:

P.K. Jameson, J.D.

L. Michael Billmeier, J.D.