

STORAGE NAME: h1479.hcs

DATE: March 6, 2000

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
COMMITTEE ON
HEALTH CARE SERVICES
ANALYSIS**

BILL #: HB 1479 (PCB HCS 00-02)

RELATING TO: Public Records/Epilepsy

SPONSOR(S): Committee on Health Care Services and Rep. Peadar

TIED BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) HEALTH CARE SERVICES YEAS 13 NAYS 0

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

HB 1479 creates an exemption from the public records law for all information and records held by the Department of Health and its authorized service providers that would identify an individual applying to, or receiving services from, the department's epilepsy services program.

A subsequent repeal and review is specified for this exemption as required by s. 119.15(3)(a), F.S. A public necessity statement is provided for this exemption, as required by Art. 1., s. 24, of the Florida Constitution.

This bill has no fiscal impact on state or local governments, or the private sector.

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

- | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|
| 1. <u>Less Government</u> | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. <u>Lower Taxes</u> | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. <u>Individual Freedom</u> | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. <u>Personal Responsibility</u> | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. <u>Family Empowerment</u> | Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input type="checkbox"/> |

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Public Records Law

Article I, section 24(a), Florida Constitution, expresses Florida's public policy regarding access to government records. This section provides that:

Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public records made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

Article I, section 24, Florida Constitution, also provides that the Legislature may, by general law, exempt public records from the requirements of section 24(a). Such a general law exempting records from public disclosure must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and can be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.

Public policy regarding access to government records is also addressed in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07, F.S., provides:

Every person who has custody of a public record shall permit the record to be inspected and examined by any person desiring to do so, at a reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record or the custodian's designee.

Section 119.15, F.S., the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995, states that an exemption may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and may be no broader than necessary to meet that public purpose. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of the following purposes, and the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public

policy of open government and that such purpose cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

1. Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;
2. Protects information of a sensitive personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which information would be defamatory to such individuals or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals or would jeopardize the safety of such individuals. However, in exemptions under this subparagraph, only information that would identify the individuals may be exempted; or
3. Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information which is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which information would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.

Exemptions are analyzed using the following definition of public necessity: a public necessity justifying an exemption exists when, after considering the public good served by access to the record or meeting and the public or private harm that could be caused by allowing or denying access to the record or meeting, it is determined that the presumption in favor of open records and meetings is overcome because the public's interests are best served by denying access in whole or in part to the record or meeting; and, access is denied to as little of the record or meeting as is practicable.

Personal Identifying or Medical Records

There are more than 250 provisions in law relating to the confidentiality of medical records. Under s. 455.667, F.S. (formerly s. 455.241, F.S., 1996 Supplement), patient information that is in the possession of a health care practitioner is confidential, except under certain specific circumstances. As confidential information, patient records may not be furnished to, and the medical condition of a patient may not be discussed with, any person other than the patient or the patient's legal representative or other health care providers involved in the care or treatment of the patient, except upon written authorization of the patient. There are significant exceptions to the, otherwise, exclusive control given patients over such information:

- Release, without written authorization, of physical or mental examination or administered treatment information to a person that procures such examination or treatment with the patient's consent;
- Forwarding of examination results obtained when a compulsory physical examination is performed for purposes of civil litigation in conformity with the Rules of Civil Procedure; or
- Upon issuance of a subpoena in a civil or criminal action.

Epilepsy Services Program

STORAGE NAME: h1479.hcs

DATE: March 6, 2000

PAGE 4

Chapter 385, F.S., provides for the Department of Health to conduct certain chronic disease control activities. As part of this function, s. 385.207, F.S., authorizes the Department of Health to provide care and assistance to persons with epilepsy. It has recently come to the attention of department staff that Florida's successful Epilepsy Services Program lacks the specific authority to provide its patients and applicants with assurance that their medical records will remain confidential. These records may contain financial, medical, psychological, and case management notes. The Department of Health is proposing legislation that will amend section 385.207, F.S., to provide for the confidentiality of epilepsy client and applicant records by providing a specific exemption from public records requirements for such records.

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

HB 1479 creates an exemption from the public records law for all information and records held by the Department of Health and its authorized service providers that would identify an individual applying to, or receiving services from, the department's epilepsy services program.

A subsequent repeal and review is specified for this exemption as required by s. 119.15(3)(a), F.S. A public necessity statement is provided for this exemption, as required by Art. 1., s. 24, of the Florida Constitution.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

See EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES above.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

STORAGE NAME: h1479.hcs

DATE: March 6, 2000

PAGE 5

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

N/A

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

N/A

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

V. COMMENTS:

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

As required by Art. 1., s. 24, of the Florida Constitution, a public necessity statement accompanies this proposed public records exemption.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

N/A

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

As required by s. 119.15(3)(a), F.S., a subsequent repeal and review of this exemption under the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995 is specified.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

N/A

STORAGE NAME: h1479.hcs

DATE: March 6, 2000

PAGE 6

VII. SIGNATURES:

COMMITTEE ON HEALTH CARE SERVICES:

Prepared by:

Staff Director:

Phil E. Williams

Phil E. Williams