DATE: March 15, 2000

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON Water and Resource Management ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 1599

RELATING TO: Rodman Reservoir Recreation Area

SPONSOR(S): Representative Fuller

TIED BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) WATER and RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

(2) ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

(3) GENERAL GOVERNMENT APPROPRIATIONS

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

HB 1599 designates and establishes the Rodman Reservoir Recreation Area (RRRA) as a state recreation area. The RRRA includes all state-owned lands within the floodplain of the Ocklawaha River and those acquired by the state in the future in Marion and Putnam counties from Eureka Dam to Buckman Lock. The bill charges the Department of Environmental Protection's Division of Recreation and Parks with developing multipurpose recreational opportunities for the RRRA and its care, upkeep, maintenance and beautification. In addition, HB 1599 provides for the permanent closure and filling of those dams, locks and other structures transferred by the federal government to the state whose operation and maintenance are not cost-effective.

HB 1599 requires that any action that would substantially alter the RRRA, as it existed on January 1, 2000, be approved by the Legislature, and provides that DEP's Division of State Lands may acquire additional properties for improved management and recreational opportunities in the RRRA. The bill directs the Division of State Lands to identify, contact, and inform property owners that entered into easements within the Rodman Reservoir of the state recreation area designation. Finally, HB 1599 requires the submission of a report by the Division of State Lands to the Governor and Legislature by January 1, 2001 addressing:

- OEach entity that has an easement within the taking line of the reservoir.
- OWhether the holder is willing to sell the easement.
- OEstimating the easement acquisition costs.
- OAny additional issues resulting from the designation.

HB 1599 has an indeterminate fiscal impact. However, it doesn't appear to raise constitutional or other legal concerns. It would take effect July 1, 2000.

DATE: March 15, 2000

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

In 1935, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers began dredging what was envisioned as a 110mile-long canal crossing Florida to open new shipping and transportation routes from the Atlantic Ocean to the Gulf of Mexico. Federal funds ran out a year after the barge canal project began, and it remained uncompleted until 1964 when the Corps received federal funding to resurrect the project. In 1968, construction of the Rodman Dam was completed, blocking off and re-routing 16 miles of the Ocklawaha River and creating the 9,000-acre Rodman Reservoir. Completing the dam and reservoir required the use of federal forest lands and private lands. Rather than purchasing the privately owned lands, the Corps bought easements allowing it to flood the property. In exchange, property owners were compensated monetarily and promised economic benefits once the Florida Barge Canal was finished. All easement agreements stated that the easements were acquired "in connection with the construction, operation and maintenance of the Cross-Florida Barge Canal." According to DEP, "several of the easements contained additional reverter language expressly stating that the easements reverted back to the fee-simple landowner if the land was no longer needed for the Barge Canal." Several lawsuits have been filed and are ongoing regarding this matter as the canal was never completed. Two of the lawsuits may settled on March 28, 2000 when the cabinet meets to vote regarding the purchase of the contested easements.

Construction on the canal ceased for the final time in 1971 when President Nixon signed an executive order to stop the project after federal courts granted the request of environmental groups for an injunction. At the time the work stopped, the project was roughly one-third completed and had consumed \$60 million in federal tax dollars and \$14 million in state and local funds. It wasn't until 19 years later that the barge canal project was officially deauthorized, first by Congress in 1990 and by the governor and Cabinet in 1992. In its place was designated the Cross Florida State Recreation and Conservation Area, later renamed the Cross Florida Greenway.

In 1991, a team of scientists working on the Canal Lands Advisory Committee (CLAC) developed a management plan for the new Greenway. The CLAC plan envisioned a multiple-use conservation and recreational area flexible enough to allow the Greenway's boundary to expand, to allow land exchanges with the counties for special projects that meet criteria, and to create opportunities for alternate uses of certain lands not needed for

DATE: March 15, 2000

PAGE 3

conservation or recreation. A final CLAC recommendation called for further study on the restoration of the Ocklawaha.

The Governor and Cabinet adopted the CLAC plan in 1992. However, they amended the plan to call for the removal of the dam structures at Rodman Reservoir in Marion County in order to restore the Ocklawaha River to a free-flowing condition. To date, no appropriation has been made by the Legislature for dam removal.

In 1993, legislators agreed with the CLAC that the scientific and economic data pertaining to the Rodman Reservoir/Ocklawaha River issues were inconclusive, and appropriated \$900,000 for additional studies, to be conducted by DEP and the St. Johns River Water Management District over an 18-month period. Those studies, plus recommendations, were due to the Legislature by the end of 1994. The Legislature also directed DEP to consider both the economic and environmental benefits and drawbacks of complete restoration of the river, partial restoration, complete retention of the reservoir, and partial retention. The extensive study was completed in 1995. While no definitive conclusions were drawn, cost estimates were given for the different restoration and retention options.

A special-use permit for the Rodman Dam, issued to the state by the U.S. Forest Service in 1994 for the continued use of flooded forestry lands, expired at the end of 1999 but was extended for 12 months pending completion of an environmental impact study by the state. The extension also was granted to allow the U.S. Forest Service to consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife service regarding manatee deaths in the reservoir. At least 10 manatees have been killed by the dam or lock since 1977 according to Florida Marine Research Institute records.

In 1997, DEP applied to the St. Johns River Water Management District for a permit to minimally restore the Rodman Dam and recently met deadlines for additional information requests on the permit application. DEP stated on January 31, 2000 that the agency had "met another deadline towards the state's long-standing objective of partially restoring the Ocklawaha River ecosystem."

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

HB 1599 would designate all state owned lands within the Ocklawaha floodplain from Eureka Dam in Marion County to Buckman Lock in Putnam County as the Rodman Reservoir Recreation Area totaling approximately 16,000 acres. This designation would be in Chapter 258, dealing with state parks, and would remove Rodman from its current Cross Florida Greenway designation under Chapter 253. DEP's Division of Recreation and Parks would take over management of the area from the Division of Greenways and Trails and is directed in the bill to develop multipurpose recreational opportunities for the area.

HB 1599 provides that any dams, locks, or other structures may be closed and filled if their operation and maintenance is not cost effective due to their condition. HB 1599 would require that any actions that would "substantially alter" the recreation area as it existed on January 1, 2000 must have legislative authorization. The bill authorizes the Division of State Lands to acquire additional property for improved management and recreational opportunities in the recreational area.

Finally, HB 1599 requires a study of easements within the reservoir, whether the holder would be a willing seller, and at what cost the easements might be purchased. The report

DATE: March 15, 2000

PAGE 4

is due to the Legislature by January 1, 2001. The report is also to identify any additional issues resulting from the recreational area designation.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

<u>Section 1.</u> Designates and establishes the Rodman Reservoir Recreation Area (RRRA) as a state recreation area. Charges DEP's Division of Recreation and Parks with developing multipurpose recreational opportunities for the RRRA and its care, upkeep, maintenance and beautification. Provides for the permanent closure and filling of those dams, locks and other structures transferred by the federal government to the state whose operation and maintenance are not cost-effective. Requires that any action that would substantially alter the RRRA, as it existed on January 1, 2000, be approved by the Legislature. Provides that DEP's Division of State Lands may acquire additional properties for improved management and recreational opportunities in the RRRA. Directs the Division of State Lands to identify, contact, and inform property owners that entered into easements within the Rodman Reservoir of the state recreation area designation. Requires the submission of a report by the Division of State Lands to the Governor and Legislature by January 1, 2001.

Section 2. Provides that this act shall take effect July 1, 2000.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

Revenues to the state would be expected to increase an indeterminate amount as the RRRA's designation as a state park would allow for entrance fees. Current entrance fees for parks are typically \$3.25 per vehicle. In addition, an increase in visitors due to improvements to the recreational area might also increase revenues. DEP currently estimates there are 500,000 visitors annually to the Rodman Reservoir area.

2. Expenditures:

Expenditures associated with the RRRA would be expected to increase as park management is more intensive than that for greenways. In addition, the development of "multipurpose recreational opportunities" and the closure of non-cost effective structures also would require funds.

The 1995 DEP report estimated that annual maintenance costs to "adequately and safely continue full Lock and Dam operations" would be between \$400,000 and \$500,000. The report also estimated that, in addition, between \$2 million and \$2.5 million would be necessary for "past-scheduled repairs and maintenance."

Costs associated with the purchase of easements are indeterminate at this time. However, the appraised values of easement acreage currently under litigation is estimated at \$9.4 million.

DATE: March 15, 2000

PAGE 5

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Indeterminate, but a possible increase in local sales and gasoline tax revenues due to increased visitors as a result of improvements to the recreational area.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Merchants may see increased revenues due to higher visitor numbers as a result of improvements to the RRRA.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The 1995 DEP report on the Rodman Reservoir estimated that costs to remove the Rodman Dam and restore the Ocklawaha River ranged from \$5 million to \$23.4 million.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

HB 1599 does not require counties or municipalities to expend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

HB 1599 does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

HB 1599 does not reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties and municipalities.

V. COMMENTS:

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

	PRAGE NAME: h1599.wrm E: March 15, 2000 BE 6			
	C. OTHER COMMENTS:			
	None.			
VI.	/I. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:			
	None.			
VII.	SIGNATURES:			
	COMMITTEE ON Water and Resource Management:			
	Prepared by:	Staff Director:		
	Kellie R. Ralston	 Joyce Pugh		