#### SENATE STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based only on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

CS/SB 1876				
Judiciary Committee and Senator Diaz de la Portilla				
Placement of rip current warning signs				
March 24, 2000	REVISED:	_		
ANALYST nan ss	STAFF DIRECTOR Yeatman Johnson	REFERENCE CA JU	ACTION Favorable Favorable/CS	
	Senator Diaz de la Placement of rip cu March 24, 2000  ANALYST nan	Judiciary Committee and Senator Diaz de la Portilla  Placement of rip current warning signs  March 24, 2000 REVISED:  ANALYST STAFF DIRECTOR  man Yeatman	Judiciary Committee and Senator Diaz de la Portilla  Placement of rip current warning signs  March 24, 2000 REVISED:  ANALYST STAFF DIRECTOR REFERENCE  man Yeatman CA	Judiciary Committee and Senator Diaz de la Portilla  Placement of rip current warning signs  March 24, 2000 REVISED:  ANALYST STAFF DIRECTOR REFERENCE ACTION Than Yeatman CA Favorable

# I. Summary:

The bill requires that the Department of Community Affairs (department), through the Florida Coastal Management Program, develop a program to encourage the placement of rip current warning signs. The department coordinates the program, develops uniform signage, and assists in the distribution and erection of signs. The department is required to make rip current warning signs available to any county or municipality for locations that local governments determine are appropriate for the placement of signs. The bill also limits liability for state and local governments for any injury caused by a rip current, regardless of the posting or failure to post rip current warning signs.

This bill creates section 380.275, Florida Statutes.

#### II. Present Situation:

## **Rip Currents**

A rip current is a strong surface current of water flowing out past the surf zone that can pull even the strongest swimmer into deeper water beyond the sandbar. Most drownings occur when people caught in the rip current try to swim toward shore directly against the current. They become totally exhausted and drown. Sometimes, would-be rescuers are also caught in the currents and drown.

Rip currents are like rivers flowing out through the surf. They generally form as a result of wave action. Sometimes a group of larger waves comes ashore one after another, in a set of waves. When these waves break, water is pushed up the slope of the shore. Gravity pulls this water back toward the sea. If it converges in a narrow river-like current moving away from shore, it forms what is known as a rip current.

BILL: CS/SB 1876 Page 2

Rip currents may pull continuously, but they can suddenly appear or intensify after a set of waves, or when there is a breach in an offshore sandbar. Long shore currents, inshore currents, and other bottom conditions can contribute to the formation of rip currents.

#### **Death Toll From Rip Currents**

Rip currents in Florida are, on average, more deadly than hurricanes, tropical storms, tornadoes, severe thunderstorms, and lightning. Since 1989, rip current drownings have averaged 23 per year, across the state. Volusia county leads the state with 25 deaths caused by rip currents from 1989-1996. Bay and Dade Counties each had 24 deaths during this time period. Numerous other deaths resulted from rip currents in Broward, Brevard, Duval, Escambia, Martin, Nassau, Okaloosa, Palm Beach, Pinellas, St. Johns, Santa Rosa, and Sarasota Counties during this time period.

Rip currents can occur at any time of the year, but the majority of deaths occur from March through August, when the combination of a large number of bathers and favorable wind conditions coincide. Many victims are tourists who are unfamiliar with surf conditions. Most of these deaths occur on unguarded beaches.

Two tragic deaths occurred in Brevard County over the past few years as a result of rip currents. James McGriff, 19 years old and a star football player at Palm Bay High School, drowned April 17, 1998, after being caught in a powerful rip current. Brian Mannix, who was 44, died June 2, 1996, after rescuing his 5 year old nephew from strong rip currents. Brevard County has already installed rip current warning signs along its coastline. The cost was approximately \$10,000 for 200 signs.

# Florida Coastal Management Program -- Current Sign Program For Marking Beach Access Points

In 1978, the Florida Legislature adopted the Florida Coastal Management Act, codified as chapter 380, part II, Florida Statutes. The Florida Coastal Management Program (FCMP), under the auspices of the Department of Community Affairs, uses a variety of tools to educate, inform, and involve Floridians in decisions about coastal resources. In 1993, the Florida Coastal Management Program funded a study to identify all of the government-owned land parcels adjacent to the Atlantic and Gulf coasts. This study laid the foundation for a 1995 FCMP- funded issue paper by the University of Florida, Center for Tourism Research and Development to examine public access to the Florida Coast and to develop recommendations for the state to address problems with public access.

Using the information obtained in 1993, the researchers surveyed each government-owned land parcel for its access characteristics and concluded that of all the access points owned by public entities, only 35 percent were clearly marked as public beach access points. The study concluded that residents and tourists often experience confusion when they encounter legitimate public access points that are inaccurately marked as private or simply do not appear to be publicly used areas. The study recommended that the FCMP work to develop a standardized, easily recognizable sign that could be placed so that it can be read from adjacent roads. As a result, the

BILL: CS/SB 1876 Page 3

FCMP designed and developed a standard beach access sign for use across the state, with the goal of distributing them to local governments free or at a low cost.

The FCMP explored a number of production and distribution options prior to initiating the project, including the possibility of the Florida Department of Corrections using state prisoners to manufacture signs. This did not prove to be a viable option and the FCMP ultimately contracted with a commercial sign production facility for the project. In November 1996, the FCMP, with the help of the League of Cities and the Florida Association of Counties, conducted a poll of local governments and municipalities regarding their willingness to participate in a voluntary beach access sign program. After getting positive responses from an adequate number of local governments, the FCMP decided to implement the sign program. For each interested local government or municipality, the FCMP agreed to supply signs free-of-charge. In turn, the local government or municipality supplied the FCMP with the number of public access points in its jurisdiction, and agreed to provide the labor and hardware necessary to install the signs. The program has proven to be quite popular, with 18 different local governments participating during the first year. The FCMP is currently in its second cycle of sign distribution.

# III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The bill creates s. 380.275, F.S., to provide for a cooperative effort between the Florida Coastal Management Program (FCMP) and local governments to plan for and assist in the placement of rip current warning signs along the beaches and coastal areas of the state. The bill directs the Department of Community Affairs, through the FCMP, to develop and coordinate the rip current warning sign program.

Subsection (2) of s. 380.275, F.S., describes the rip current warning sign program as encouraging the placement of rip current warning signs in areas that pose a significant risk to the public, and that such signs may be located where the public has established an access way to the beach. The bill does not specify whether the department or the local government is ultimately responsible for erecting the rip current warning signs.

The bill requires the department to adopt a uniform rip current warning sign to be placed at appropriate public access ways to the beaches or coastal areas. The department must make rip current warning signs available, and coordinate with the local government in the distribution and erection of these signs, when there is a request for assistance. The department is granted authority to adopt the administrative rules necessary to implement the program.

The state, state agencies, local governments, and local government agencies are not liable for any injury caused by the placement or maintenance of rip current warning signs or the failure to install or maintain rip current warning signs. This provision of the bill would probably broaden the immunity from liability that would apply to state and local governments for injuries associated with the placement and maintenance of rip current warning signs.

The state waives its immunity from suit under tort only to the extent provided by s. 768.28, F.S. While the state and its agencies and subdivisions are liable for tort claims in the same manner and to the same extent as a private individual in like circumstances, the state or its subdivisions are

BILL: CS/SB 1876 Page 4

only liable to pay a claim or a judgement by any one person up to \$100,000 and \$200,000 per incident.

Under cases construing the scope of the waiver of sovereign immunity granted under s. 768.28, F.S., Florida courts distinguish between actions of the government entity that can be characterized as planning level activities and activities that are characterized as operational activities. *Commercial Carrier Corp. v. Indian River County,* 371 So.2d 1010 (Fla. 1979) establishes that discretionary, judgmental, planning level decisions are immune from suit, but operational-level decisions are not. The typical example of how this rule is applied is in the case of traffic signs. Typically, the decision to locate a stop sign and the decision to build a road with a particular alignment are judgmental, planning level functions for which there is immunity, while the failure to properly maintain an existing traffic control device is an operational decision subject to suit. However, "the failure to warn of a known danger is a negligent omission at the operational level of government...," *Department of Transportation v. Neilson*, 419 So.2d 1071, 1077 (Fla. 1982).

In a case recently decided by the Florida Supreme Court, *Florida Department of Natural Resources v. Garcia*, Case No. SC93065, February 10, 2000, the court discusses the state's liability for injuries in bodies of water. Generally, a government entity operating a public swimming area has the same operational-level duty to invitees as a private landowner--the duty to keep the premises in a reasonably safe condition and to warn the public of any dangerous conditions of which it knew or should have known. *Id* at p. 5, citing *Avallone v. Board of County Commissioners*, 493 So.2d 1002 (Fla. 1986). This duty of care is considered an operational-level function for which the state waives sovereign immunity. While the presence of a rip current is not a dangerous condition made by a state or local government, there could be factual scenarios where the failure of a state or local government to warn the public of locations where rip currents are common, at beaches they manage or designate as swimming areas, could constitute negligence. *See Butler v. Sarasota County*, 501 So.2d 579 (Fla. 1986).

The bill creates an immunity from liability for any injury caused by a rip current regardless of the placement or maintenance of rip current warning signs or the failure to install or maintain rip current signs. Any liability associated with existing warning signs installed by the state or local governments either prior to the creation of this program or outside of the program, would not appear to be covered by this immunity.

The effective date of the bill is October 1, 2000.

## IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

BILL: CS/SB 1876 Page 5 C. Trust Funds Restrictions: None. V. **Economic Impact and Fiscal Note:** Tax/Fee Issues: None. B. Private Sector Impact: None. C. Government Sector Impact: The department will incur staff and resource costs in implementing the program. The costs to the department are dependent upon the number of signs requested and provided each year and whether the department provides funding for the distribution and erection of the signs. Based on the experience of the Florida Coastal Management Program's signage program for marking local beach access points, the department estimates that the first year costs for the program would be approximately \$25,000. This figure assumes the provision of an average of 5 signs per coastal county, 35 coastal counties and a cost \$85 per sign. It also assumes \$10,000 in personnel costs. The department notes that there is probably a potential demand for the signs of 4 to 5 times their first year estimate, and that replacement of lost or damaged signs would eventually be needed. In addition, the state and local governments may incur additional costs in determining the appropriate location for rip current warning signs. VI. **Technical Deficiencies:** None. VII. **Related Issues:** None.

This Senate staff analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's sponsor or the Florida Senate.

VIII.

Amendments:

None.